

ULRICH BUNDLES ON ABELIAN SURFACES

ARNAUD BEAUVILLE

(Communicated by Lev Borisov)

ABSTRACT. We prove that any abelian surface admits a rank 2 Ulrich bundle.

Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a projective variety of dimension d over an algebraically closed field. An *Ulrich bundle* on X is a vector bundle E on X satisfying $H^*(X, E(-1)) = \dots = H^*(X, E(-d)) = 0$. This notion was introduced in [ES], where various other characterizations are given; let us just mention that it is equivalent to say that E admits a linear resolution as an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}$ -module, or that the pushforward of E onto \mathbb{P}^d by a general linear projection is a trivial bundle.

In [ES] the authors ask whether every projective variety admits an Ulrich bundle. The answer is known only in a few cases: hypersurfaces and complete intersections [HUB], and del Pezzo surfaces [ES, Corollary 6.5]. The case of K3 surfaces is treated in [AFO]. In this short note we show that the existence of Ulrich bundles for abelian surfaces follows easily from Serre's construction:

Theorem 1. *Any abelian surface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ carries a rank 2 Ulrich bundle.*

Proof. We put $\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1)) = n$. Let C be a smooth curve in $|\mathcal{O}_X(1)|$; we have $\mathcal{O}_C(1) \cong \omega_C$ and $g(C) = n + 1$. We choose a subset $Z \subset C$ of n general points. Then Z has the *Cayley-Bacharach property* on X (see for instance [HL], Theorem 5.1.1): for every $p \in Z$, any section of $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1))$ vanishing on $Z \setminus \{p\}$ vanishes on Z . Indeed, the image V of the restriction map $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1)) \rightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(1))$ has dimension $n - 1$, hence the only element of V vanishing on $n - 1$ general points is zero; thus the only element of $|\mathcal{O}_X(1)|$ containing $Z \setminus \{p\}$ is C .

By *loc. cit.*, there exists a rank 2 vector bundle E on X and an exact sequence

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{s} E \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_Z(1) \rightarrow 0.$$

Let η be a non-zero element of $\text{Pic}^0(X)$. Then $h^0(\omega_C \otimes \eta) = n$, and so $H^0(C, \omega_C \otimes \eta(-Z)) = 0$ since Z is general; and therefore $H^0(X, \mathcal{I}_Z \eta(1)) = 0$. Since $\chi(\mathcal{I}_Z \eta(1)) = 0$, we have also $H^1(X, \mathcal{I}_Z \eta(1)) = 0$; from the above exact sequence we conclude that $H^*(X, E \otimes \eta) = 0$.

The zero locus of the section s of E is Z ; since $\det E|_C = \mathcal{O}_C(1) = \omega_C$, we get an exact sequence

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(Z) \xrightarrow{s|_C} E|_C \rightarrow \omega_C(-Z) \rightarrow 0.$$

Received by the editors December 9, 2015 and, in revised form, January 11, 2016.
 2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14J60; Secondary 13D02.

As above, the cohomology of $\omega_C \otimes \eta(-Z)$ and $\eta(Z)$ vanishes, and hence $H^*(C, (E \otimes \eta)|_C) = 0$. Now from the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E(-1) \rightarrow E \rightarrow E|_C \rightarrow 0$$

we conclude that $H^*(X, E \otimes \eta(-1)) = H^*(X, E \otimes \eta) = 0$, hence $F := E \otimes \eta(1)$ is an Ulrich bundle. \square

Remarks. 1) There is no Ulrich line bundle on a general abelian surface X . Indeed, a line bundle M on X with $\chi(M) = 0$ satisfies $c_1(M)^2 = 0$ by Riemann-Roch; since X is general, we have $\text{NS}(X) = \mathbb{Z}$, hence M is algebraically equivalent to \mathcal{O}_X . Thus if L is an Ulrich line bundle, $L(-1)$ and $L(-2)$ must be algebraically equivalent to \mathcal{O}_X , a contradiction.

On the other hand, some particular abelian surfaces do carry an Ulrich line bundle. Let $(A, \mathcal{O}_A(1))$, $(B, \mathcal{O}_B(1))$ be two polarized elliptic curves, and let α, β be non-zero elements of $\text{Pic}^\circ(A)$ and $\text{Pic}^\circ(B)$. Put $X = A \times B$ and $\mathcal{O}_X(1) = \mathcal{O}_A(1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_B(1)$. Then $\alpha(1) \boxtimes \beta(2)$ is an Ulrich line bundle for $(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1))$.

2) It follows from the exact sequence (2) that $E|_C$ is semi-stable, hence E , and consequently F , are semi-stable (actually any Ulrich bundle is semi-stable; see [CKM, Proposition 2.12]). Moreover if F is not stable, there is a line bundle $L \subset E$ with $(L \cdot C) = n$, so that $L|_C$ must be isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_C(Z)$ or $\omega_C(-Z)$. But we have $2 = \dim \text{Pic}^\circ(X) < \dim \text{Pic}^\circ(C) = n + 1$, so for Z general $\mathcal{O}_C(Z)$ and $\omega_C(-Z)$ do not belong to the image of the restriction map $\text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(C)$. Therefore F is stable.

3) We have constructed the vector bundle E from a curve $C \in |\mathcal{O}_X(1)|$, a subset Z of C and an extension class in $\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(1), \mathcal{O}_X)$. This space is dual to $H^1(X, \mathcal{I}_Z(1))$; from the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_Z(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z(1) \rightarrow 0$ we get $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(1)) = h^0(\mathcal{I}_Z(1)) = 1$, thus the extension class is unique up to a scalar. It is not difficult to prove that $H^0(X, E) = \mathbb{C}s$; hence E determines $Z = Z(s)$ and the curve C , so it depends on $\dim |C| + \text{Card}(Z) = 2n - 1$ parameters. To get an Ulrich bundle we put $F = E \otimes \eta(1)$ with $\eta \in \text{Pic}^\circ(X)$; the line bundle η is determined up to 2-torsion by $\det F = \eta^2(3)$. Thus our construction depends on $2n + 1$ parameters.

On the other hand, the moduli space of stable rank 2 vector bundles with the same Chern classes as F is smooth of dimension $2n + 2$ [M]; the Ulrich bundles form a Zariski open subset \mathcal{M}_U of this moduli space. Therefore our construction gives a hypersurface in \mathcal{M}_U .

REFERENCES

- [AFO] M. Aprodu, G. Farkas, A. Ortega, *Minimal resolutions, Chow forms and Ulrich bundles on K3 surfaces*, preprint [arXiv:1212.6248](https://arxiv.org/abs/1212.6248). J. Reine Angew. Math., to appear.
- [CKM] Emre Coskun, Rajesh S. Kulkarni, and Yusuf Mustopa, *On representations of Clifford algebras of ternary cubic forms*, New trends in noncommutative algebra, Contemp. Math., vol. 562, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2012, pp. 91–99, DOI 10.1090/conm/562/11132. MR2905555
- [HUB] J. Herzog, B. Ulrich, and J. Backelin, *Linear maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules over strict complete intersections*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **71** (1991), no. 2-3, 187–202, DOI 10.1016/0022-4049(91)90147-T. MR1117634 (92g:13011)
- [ES] David Eisenbud, Frank-Olaf Schreyer, and Jerzy Weyman, *Resultants and Chow forms via exterior syzygies*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (2003), no. 3, 537–579, DOI 10.1090/S0894-0347-03-00423-5. MR1969204 (2004j:14067)

- [HL] Daniel Huybrechts and Manfred Lehn, *The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Mathematical Library, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010. MR2665168 (2011e:14017)
- [M] Shigeru Mukai, *Symplectic structure of the moduli space of sheaves on an abelian or K3 surface*, *Invent. Math.* **77** (1984), no. 1, 101–116, DOI 10.1007/BF01389137. MR751133 (85j:14016)

LABORATOIRE J.-A. DIEUDONNÉ, UMR 7351 DU CNRS, UNIVERSITÉ DE NICE, PARC VALROSE,
F-06108 NICE CEDEX 2, FRANCE

E-mail address: `arnaud.beauville@unice.fr`