

## SIMPLE AND LARGE EQUIVALENCE RELATIONS

LEWIS BOWEN

(Communicated by Adrian Ioana)

**ABSTRACT.** We construct ergodic discrete probability-measure-preserving equivalence relations  $\mathcal{R}$  that have no proper ergodic normal subequivalence relations and no proper ergodic finite-index subequivalence relations. We show that every treeable equivalence relation satisfying a mild ergodicity condition and cost  $> 1$  surjects onto every countable group with ergodic kernel. Lastly, we provide a simple characterization of normality for subequivalence relations and an algebraic description of the quotient.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $(X, \mu)$  denote a standard Borel probability space and  $\mathcal{R} \subset X \times X$  a Borel equivalence relation. We say that  $\mathcal{R}$  is **discrete** if for all  $x \in X$ , the  $\mathcal{R}$ -class of  $x$ , denoted  $[x]_{\mathcal{R}}$ , is countable. All equivalence relations considered in this note are discrete regardless of whether this is mentioned explicitly. We endow  $\mathcal{R}$  with two measures  $\mu_L$  and  $\mu_R$  satisfying:

$$\mu_L(S) = \int |S_x| d\mu(x), \quad \mu_R(S) = \int |S^y| d\mu(y),$$

where

$$S_x = \{y \in X : (x, y) \in S\}, \quad S^y = \{x \in X : (x, y) \in S\}.$$

We say that  $\mu$  is  **$\mathcal{R}$ -quasi-invariant** if  $\mu_L$  and  $\mu_R$  are in the same measure class. We say  $\mu$  is  **$\mathcal{R}$ -invariant** or  $\mathcal{R}$  is **measure-preserving** if  $\mu_L = \mu_R$ . A subset  $A \subset X$  is  **$\mathcal{R}$ -invariant** or  **$\mathcal{R}$ -saturated** if it is a union of  $\mathcal{R}$ -classes. We say  $\mathcal{R}$  is **ergodic** if for every measurable  $\mathcal{R}$ -invariant subset  $A \subset X$ ,  $\mu(A) \in \{0, 1\}$ . In the sequel we will always assume  $\mu$  is  $\mathcal{R}$ -quasi-invariant.

A Borel subset  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{R}$  is a **subequivalence relation** if it is a Borel equivalence relation in its own right. If  $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}' \subset \mathcal{R}$  are two subequivalence relations whose symmetric difference is null with respect to  $\mu_L$  (or equivalently  $\mu_R$ ), then we say  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{S}'$  agree almost everywhere (a.e.). From here on we will not distinguish between relations that agree almost everywhere. We say  $\mathcal{S}$  is **proper** if it does not equal  $\mathcal{R}$  a.e. We usually write  $\mathcal{S} \leq \mathcal{R}$  to mean  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{R}$  (a.e. with respect to  $\mu_L$ ) when  $\mathcal{S}$  is a subequivalence relation.

The concept of a normal subequivalence relation was introduced in [FSZ88, FSZ89] where it was shown that if  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{R}$  is normal, then there is a natural quotient

Received by the editors August 18, 2015 and, in revised form, March 7, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 37A20, 37A15.

*Key words and phrases.* Ergodic equivalence relations.

This work was supported in part by NSF grant DMS-1500389, NSF CAREER Award DMS-0954606.

object, denoted  $\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{S}$ , which is a discrete measured groupoid (groupoids are defined in §2). Moreover, if  $\mathcal{S}$  is ergodic, then  $\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{S}$  is a countable group and in this case we say  $\mathcal{R}$  **subjects** onto  $\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{S}$  is a quotient of  $\mathcal{R}$ .

Unfortunately, the definition of normality given in [FSZ88,FSZ89] is rather complicated. In §2 we provide a simple characterization:  $\mathcal{S}$  is **normal** if and only if it is the kernel of a Borel morphism  $c : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  where  $\mathcal{G}$  is a discrete Borel groupoid. We also show in §3 that when  $\mathcal{S}$  is normal and ergodic in  $\mathcal{R}$ , then  $\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{S}$  is isomorphic with the full group  $[\mathcal{R}]$  modulo the normalizer of  $[\mathcal{S}]$  in  $[\mathcal{R}]$ . This fact was probably known to the authors of [FSZ88,FSZ89] but it is not explicitly stated.

If  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{R}$  is an arbitrary subequivalence relation and  $\mathcal{R}$  is ergodic, then, as shown in [FSZ89], there exists a number  $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  such that for a.e.  $x \in X$ ,  $[x]_{\mathcal{R}}$  contains exactly  $N$   $\mathcal{S}$ -classes. This number  $N$  is called the **index** of  $\mathcal{S}$  in  $\mathcal{R}$  and is denoted  $N = [\mathcal{R} : \mathcal{S}]$ . Our first main result:

**Theorem 1.1.** *There exists an ergodic discrete probability-measure-preserving equivalence relation  $\mathcal{R}$  such that  $\mathcal{R}$  does not contain any proper ergodic normal subequivalence relations. Moreover,  $\mathcal{R}$  does not contain any proper finite-index ergodic subequivalence relations.*

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on Popa's Cocycle Superrigidity Theorem [Po07]. The ergodicity condition is necessary because if  $\mathcal{P}$  is any finite measurable partition of  $X$ , then the subequivalence relation  $\mathcal{S}$  defined by:  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{S}$  if and only if  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $x, y$  are in the same part of  $\mathcal{P}$ , has finite-index and is normal in  $\mathcal{R}$ . Of course,  $\mathcal{S}$  is not ergodic if  $\mathcal{P}$  is nontrivial.

*Remark 1.* Stefaan Vaes constructed the first explicit examples of type  $II_1$  von Neumann algebras having only trivial finite-index subfactors in [Va08] by a twisted group-measure space construction. Moreover it follows from [Va08, Theorem 6.4] that the orbit-equivalence relation of the generalized Bernoulli shift action  $SL(2, \mathbb{Q}) \ltimes \mathbb{Q}^2 \curvearrowright (X_0, \mu_0)^{\mathbb{Q}^2}$  has no finite-index ergodic subequivalence relations and no non-trivial finite extensions. Here  $(X_0, \mu_0)$  is any nontrivial atomic probability space with unequal weights (so that it has a trivial automorphism group). More generally, the proof of [Va08, Theorem 6.4] shows how to describe all finite-index subequivalence relations, extensions and bimodules whenever cocycle superrigidity applies.

We say that a measured equivalence relation  $\mathcal{R}$  is **large** if for every countable group  $G$  there exists an ergodic normal subequivalence relation  $\mathcal{N} \leq \mathcal{R}$  such that  $\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{N} \cong G$ .

Next we prove that some treeable equivalence relations are large:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Suppose  $\mathcal{R}$  is a treeable ergodic equivalence relation on  $(X, \mu)$  of cost  $> 1$  and there exists an ergodic primitive proper subequivalence relation  $\mathcal{S} \leq \mathcal{R}$ . Then  $\mathcal{R}$  is large.*

The terms treeable and primitive are explained in §6 below. (Primitive means the same as free factor; this notion was studied by Damien Gaboriau [Ga00, Ga05]). For example, the orbit-equivalence relation of any Bernoulli shift over a rank  $\geq 2$  free group satisfies the hypothesis above and hence is large. It is an open question whether every ergodic treeable equivalence relation with cost  $> 1$  satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1.2.<sup>1</sup> In particular it is unknown whether every such

---

<sup>1</sup>Robin Tucker-Drob recently proved that the answer to this question is positive. Thus every ergodic treeable pmp equivalence relation of cost  $> 1$  is large.

equivalence relation subjects every countable group. In unpublished work, Clinton Conley, Damien Gaboriau, Andrew Marks and Robin Tucker-Drob have proven that any treeable *strongly ergodic* pmp equivalence relation satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 1.2 and therefore subjects onto every countable group.

## ORGANIZATION

In §2 we provide a simple characterization for normality of a subequivalence relation. §3 provides an algebraic description for the quotient  $\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{N}$ . §4 reviews generalized Bernoulli shifts and Popa’s Cocycle Superrigidity Theorem [Po07]. The latter is used in §5 to prove Theorem 1.1. The last section §6 proves Theorem 1.2. The proof is mostly independent of the rest of the paper.

## 2. A SIMPLE CRITERION FOR NORMALITY

The purpose of this section is to give a simple criterion for normality. To begin, we need some definitions.

**Definition 1** (Choice functions). Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be an ergodic discrete Borel equivalence relation on  $(X, \mu)$ . Let  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{R}$  be a Borel subequivalence relation and let  $N = [\mathcal{R} : \mathcal{S}]$ . A **family of choice functions** is a set  $\{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^N$  of Borel functions  $\phi_j : X \rightarrow X$  such that for each  $x \in X$ ,  $\{[\phi_j(x)]_{\mathcal{S}}\}_{j=1}^N$  is a partition of  $[x]_{\mathcal{R}}$ . [FSZ89, Lemma 1.1] shows that a family of choice functions exists.

**Definition 2** (Discrete probability groupoid). A **groupoid** is a category in which all morphisms are invertible. To be precise, a groupoid consists of the following data. There is a set  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}^1$  of **morphisms** and a subset  $\mathcal{G}^0 \subset \mathcal{G}$  of **objects**.

There are source and range maps, denoted  $\mathfrak{s} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^0$  and  $\mathfrak{r} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^0$ . We let  $\mathcal{G}^2 \subset \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}$  be the set of all “composable pairs”. Precisely,  $\mathcal{G}^2$  is the set of all  $(f, g) \in \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}$  such that  $\mathfrak{r}(g) = \mathfrak{s}(f)$ . Then there is a **composition map**  $c : \mathcal{G}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  satisfying the associative property:  $c(f, c(g, h)) = c(c(f, g), h)$  (for any  $f, g, h \in \mathcal{G}$ ). Moreover, there is an **inverse map**  $\iota : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  satisfying  $c(\iota(f), f) = \mathfrak{s}(f)$  and  $c(f, \iota(f)) = \mathfrak{r}(f)$  for all  $f$ . Moreover,  $c(\mathfrak{r}(f), f) = f = c(f, \mathfrak{s}(f))$  for any  $f$ . The maps  $\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{r}, \iota, c$  are called the **structure maps**.

To ease notation, we write  $c(f, g) = fg$  and  $\iota(f) = f^{-1}$  for  $f, g \in \mathcal{G}$ . We also denote the groupoid by  $\mathcal{G}$  leaving the structure maps implicit.

A groupoid is **discrete** if for every  $x \in \mathcal{G}^0$ ,  $\mathfrak{s}^{-1}(x)$  and  $\mathfrak{r}^{-1}(x)$  are at most countable. A groupoid is **Borel** if there is a Borel structure on  $\mathcal{G}$  such that  $\mathcal{G}^0$  is a Borel subset of  $\mathcal{G}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}^2$  is a Borel subset of  $\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}$  with the product structure and all of the structure maps are Borel. Let  $\mu$  be a Borel probability measure on  $\mathcal{G}^0$ . Define two measures  $\mu_{\mathfrak{s}}, \mu_{\mathfrak{r}}$  on  $\mathcal{G}$  by

$$\mu_{\mathfrak{s}}(K) = \int |\mathfrak{s}^{-1}(x) \cap K| d\mu(x), \quad \mu_{\mathfrak{r}}(K) = \int |\mathfrak{r}^{-1}(x) \cap K| d\mu(x).$$

We say  $(\mathcal{G}, \mu)$  is a **discrete probability groupoid** if  $\mu_{\mathfrak{s}}$  and  $\mu_{\mathfrak{r}}$  are equivalent measures. A subset  $X \subset \mathcal{G}^0$  is **invariant** if for every  $x \in X$  and  $f, g \in \mathcal{G}$  with  $\mathfrak{s}(f) = \mathfrak{r}(g) = x$  we have  $\mathfrak{r}(f), \mathfrak{s}(g) \in X$ . The measure  $\mu$  is **ergodic** if every measurable invariant set  $X$  has  $\mu(X) \in \{0, 1\}$ .

**Example 1.** Let  $G$  be a countable group. We may think of  $G$  as a groupoid with  $G^0 = \{e\}$  and composition being group composition. In this case the trivial

probability measure on  $G^0$  makes  $G$  into a discrete probability groupoid. This measure is ergodic.

**Example 2.** Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a discrete equivalence relation on a probability space  $(X, \mu)$ . Assume  $\mu$  is  $\mathcal{R}$ -quasi-invariant. We may think of  $\mathcal{R}$  as a probability groupoid with  $\mathcal{R}^0 = \{(x, x) : x \in X\}$ ,  $\mathfrak{s}(x, y) = y$ ,  $\mathfrak{r}(x, y) = x$ ,  $\iota(x, y) = (y, x)$  and  $c((x, y)(y, z)) = (x, z)$ .

**Definition 3.** Let  $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}$  be groupoids. A map  $\alpha : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is a **morphism** (or **functor**) if  $\alpha(fg) = \alpha(f)\alpha(g)$  for all composable  $f, g \in \mathcal{G}$ . In particular, if  $\mathcal{R}$  is an equivalence relation, then a morphism is also called a **cocycle**. Moreover a map  $\alpha : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is a morphism if  $\alpha(x, z) = \alpha(x, y)\alpha(y, z)$  for all  $(x, y), (y, z) \in \mathcal{R}$ . The **kernel** of  $\alpha$  is the subgroupoid  $\ker(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{G}$  consisting of all  $g \in \mathcal{G}$  such that  $\alpha(g) \in \mathcal{H}^0$ . In particular, if  $\mathcal{G}$  is an equivalence relation, then  $\ker(\alpha)$  is a subequivalence relation.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be an ergodic discrete Borel equivalence relation on  $(X, \mu)$ . Let  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{R}$  be a subequivalence relation. The following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\mathcal{S}$  is normal in  $\mathcal{R}$  in the sense of [FSZ89, Definition 2.1].
- (2) There are choice functions  $\{\phi_j\}$  for  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{R}$  with  $\phi_j \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{S})$  for all  $j$ . This means that if  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{S}$ , then  $(\phi_j(x), \phi_j(y)) \in \mathcal{S}$ .
- (3) The extension  $\rho : \hat{\mathcal{R}} = \mathcal{S} \times_{\sigma} J \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  is normal in the sense of Zimmer [Zi76];
- (4) There is a discrete, ergodic measured groupoid  $(\mathcal{H}, \nu)$  and a morphism  $\theta : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  such that
  - (a)  $\ker(\theta) = \mathcal{S}$ ;
  - (b)  $\theta$  is class-surjective in the following sense: for any  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $x \in X$  with  $\theta(x)$  equal to the source of  $h$ , there exists  $y \in [x]_{\mathcal{R}}$  with  $\theta(y, x) = h$ ;
  - (c) for any discrete ergodic measured groupoid  $(\mathcal{H}', \nu')$  and homomorphism  $\theta' : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}'$  with  $\ker(\theta') \supset \mathcal{S}$  there is a morphism  $\kappa : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}'$  with  $\kappa\theta = \theta'$ ;
- (5) there is a discrete Borel groupoid  $\mathcal{G}$  and a Borel morphism  $c : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  with  $\mathcal{S} = \ker(c)$ .

*Proof.* The equivalence of the first four statements is [FSZ89, Theorem 2.2]. Clearly (4) implies (5). So we need only show that (5) implies (2). So let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a discrete Borel groupoid with unit space  $\mathcal{G}^0$ , source and range maps  $\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{r} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^0$ . Also let  $c : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  be a Borel morphism. Without loss of generality, we may assume  $c$  is surjective. Because  $\mathcal{R}$  is ergodic there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  such that  $\{c(x, y) : y \in [x]_{\mathcal{R}}\}$  has cardinality  $N$  for a.e.  $x$ . After removing a measure zero subset of  $X$ , we may assume  $\{c(x, y) : y \in [x]_{\mathcal{R}}\}$  has cardinality  $N$  for every  $x$ .

By the Lusin-Novikov Theorem [Ke95, Theorem 18.10], there exists a countable family of Borel functions  $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^N, f_j : \mathcal{G}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  such that for every  $x \in \mathcal{G}^0$ ,

$$\{f_j(x)\}_{j=1}^N = \mathfrak{s}^{-1}(x).$$

Since each  $f_j$  is injective and Borel, the image of  $f_j$  is a Borel subset of  $\mathcal{G}$ . Let  $\text{Image}(f_j)$  denote this subset. Then  $c^{-1}(\text{Image}(f_j)) \subset \mathcal{R}$  is Borel. Also its left projection is  $X$  (equivalently,  $\mathfrak{s}(c^{-1}(\text{Image}(f_j))) = X$ ). So for each  $j$  there exists a Borel map  $\psi_j : X \rightarrow X$  with a graph contained in  $c^{-1}(\text{Image}(f_j))$ .

For each  $x \in X$ , define  $\phi_1(x) = \psi_1(x)$ . For  $i > 1$  inductively define  $\phi_i(x) = \psi_j(x)$  where  $j$  is the smallest number such that there does not exist  $k < i$  with  $(\phi_k(x), \psi_j(x)) \in \mathcal{S}$ . Then  $\{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^N$  is a family of choice functions satisfying (2).  $\square$

## 3. THE QUOTIENT GROUP

The purpose of this section is to provide an algebraic description of the quotient  $\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{N}$  when  $\mathcal{N} \triangleleft \mathcal{R}$  is normal.

To be precise, let  $\mathcal{R}$  denote an ergodic probability-measure-preserving discrete equivalence relation on a probability space  $(X, \mu)$ . Let  $\text{Aut}(X, \mu)$  be the group of all measure-preserving automorphisms  $\phi : X \rightarrow X$ . We implicitly identify two automorphisms that agree almost everywhere. Let  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{R})$  be the subgroup of all  $\phi \in \text{Aut}(X, \mu)$  such that  $x\mathcal{R}y \Rightarrow \phi(x)\mathcal{R}\phi(y)$  (for  $\mu_L$ -a.e.  $(x, y)$ ). Also let  $[\mathcal{R}] = \text{Inn}(\mathcal{R})$  be the subgroup of all  $\phi \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{R})$  such that  $x\mathcal{R}\phi(x)$  for a.e.  $x$ . Then  $[\mathcal{R}]$  is normal in  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{R})$ , so we may consider the quotient  $\text{Out}(\mathcal{R}) := \text{Aut}(\mathcal{R})/[\mathcal{R}]$ .

In the sequel we use the word ‘countable’ to mean ‘countable or finite’.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a countable subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{R})$ . Let  $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma := \langle \mathcal{R}, \Gamma \rangle$  denote the smallest equivalence relation on  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{R}_\Gamma$  and  $(x, \gamma x) \in \mathcal{R}_\Gamma$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Observe that this is a discrete probability-measure-preserving ergodic equivalence relation and  $\mathcal{R}$  is normal in  $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *If  $\Gamma, \Lambda \leq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{R})$  are countable subgroups and  $\Gamma[\mathcal{R}] = \Lambda[\mathcal{R}]$ , then  $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma = \mathcal{R}_\Lambda$ . Here,  $\Gamma[\mathcal{R}] \subset \text{Out}(\mathcal{R})$  denotes the image of  $\Gamma$  in  $\text{Out}(\mathcal{R}) = \text{Aut}(\mathcal{R})/[\mathcal{R}]$ .*

*Proof.* This is straightforward.  $\square$

So if  $\Gamma \leq \text{Out}(\mathcal{R})$  is any countable subgroup, then we may define  $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma := \mathcal{R}_{\Gamma'}$  where  $\Gamma' \leq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{R})$  is any countable subgroup such that  $\Gamma = \Gamma'[\mathcal{R}]$ . The next lemma follows immediately.

**Lemma 3.2.** *If  $\Gamma \leq \Lambda \leq \text{Out}(\mathcal{R})$  are countable subgroups, then  $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma \leq \mathcal{R}_\Lambda$ .*

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{R} \leq \mathcal{U}$  be ergodic discrete probability-measure-preserving equivalence relations on  $(X, \mu)$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{R}$  is normal in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then there exists a countable subgroup  $\Gamma \leq \text{Out}(\mathcal{R})$  such that  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{R}_\Gamma$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma/\mathcal{R}$  is isomorphic to  $\Gamma$ . In particular,  $[\mathcal{R}_\Gamma : \mathcal{R}] = |\Gamma|$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from [FSZ89, Theorems 2.12 and 2.13].  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{R} \leq \mathcal{U}$  be ergodic discrete probability-measure-preserving equivalence relations on  $(X, \mu)$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{R}$  is normal in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then*

$$\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{R} \cong N_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{R})/[\mathcal{R}]$$

where

$$N_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{R}) := \{g \in [\mathcal{U}] : g[\mathcal{R}]g^{-1} = [\mathcal{R}]\}.$$

Moreover  $N_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{R}) = [\mathcal{U}] \cap \text{Aut}(\mathcal{R})$ .

*Proof.* We prove the last claim first. So suppose  $g \in N_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{R})$  and  $x \in X$ . Then for any  $\phi \in [\mathcal{R}]$  we must have  $g\phi g^{-1} \in [\mathcal{R}]$ . This implies  $(x, g\phi g^{-1}x) \in \mathcal{R}$  which implies, by replacing  $x$  with  $gx$ , that  $(gx, g\phi x) \in \mathcal{R}$ . Since  $\phi$  is arbitrary and  $[\mathcal{R}]$  acts transitively on each  $\mathcal{R}$ -class, this implies  $g \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{R})$ . Thus  $N_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{R}) \subset [\mathcal{U}] \cap \text{Aut}(\mathcal{R})$ .

Now suppose  $g \in [\mathcal{U}] \cap \text{Aut}(\mathcal{R})$ . If  $\phi \in [\mathcal{R}]$ , then  $(x, \phi x) \in \mathcal{R}$ . This implies  $(gx, g\phi x) \in \mathcal{R}$ . By replacing  $x$  with  $g^{-1}x$  we obtain  $(x, g\phi g^{-1}x) \in \mathcal{R}$  which implies  $g\phi g^{-1} \in [\mathcal{R}]$  (since  $x$  is arbitrary). Thus  $g \in N_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{R})$ . This proves  $N_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{R}) = [\mathcal{U}] \cap \text{Aut}(\mathcal{R})$ .

By Theorem 3.3 there exists a countable subgroup  $\Gamma \leq \text{Out}(\mathcal{R})$  such that  $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma = \mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{R} \cong \Gamma$ . Let  $\tilde{\Gamma} \leq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{R})$  be the inverse image of  $\Gamma$  under the quotient map

$\text{Aut}(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{R})/[\mathcal{R}] = \text{Out}(\mathcal{R})$ . Since  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{R}_\Gamma$  we must have  $\tilde{\Gamma} \leq [\mathcal{U}]$  and therefore  $\tilde{\Gamma} \leq N_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{R})$  which implies  $\Gamma \leq N_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{R})/[\mathcal{R}]$ .

On the other hand, we clearly have  $\mathcal{R}_{N_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{R})} \leq \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{R}_\Gamma$ . So Lemma 3.2 implies  $N_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{R})/[\mathcal{R}] \leq \Gamma$ . Theorem 3.3 now implies  $N_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{R})/[\mathcal{R}] = \Gamma \cong \mathcal{U}/\mathcal{R}$ .  $\square$

4. GENERALIZED BERNOULLI SHIFTS AND COCYCLE SUPERRIGIDITY

Let  $G$  be a countable group,  $I$  a countable set on which  $G$  acts and  $(X_0, \mu_0)$  a standard probability space. We let  $X_0^I$  be the set of all functions  $x : I \rightarrow X_0$  and  $\mu_0^I$  the product measure on  $X_0^I$ . Then  $G \curvearrowright X_0^I$  by  $(gx)(i) = x(g^{-1}i)$ . This action preserves the measure  $\mu_0^I$ . The action  $G \curvearrowright (X_0, \mu_0)^I$  is a **generalized Bernoulli shift**.

Our interest in these actions stems from Popa’s Cocycle Superrigidity Theorem. To explain, let  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$  be a probability-measure-preserving action. A **cocycle** into a countable group  $H$  is a Borel map  $c : G \times X \rightarrow H$  such that

$$c(g_1g_2, x) = c(g_1, g_2x)c(g_2, x).$$

Alternatively, if  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$  is essentially free (this means  $gx \neq x$  for every  $g \in G - \{1_G\}$  and a.e.  $x \in X$ ), then we can identify  $G \times X$  with the orbit-equivalence relation, denoted  $\mathcal{R}$ , via  $(g, x) \mapsto (gx, x)$ . In this way, we can think of the cocycle as a map from  $\mathcal{R}$  to  $H$ . We say the action is **cocycle superrigid** if for every such cocycle there is a homomorphism  $\rho : G \rightarrow H$  and a Borel map  $\phi : X \rightarrow H$  such that

$$c(g, x) = \phi(gx)^{-1}\rho(g)\phi(x).$$

The next result is a special case of a celebrated theorem due to S. Popa [Po07] (see also [PV08, Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 2.3]).

**Theorem 4.1.** *Suppose every orbit of  $G \curvearrowright I$  is infinite. If  $G$  has property (T), then the generalized Bernoulli shift  $G \curvearrowright (X_0, \mu_0)^I$  is cocycle superrigid.*

5. A SIMPLE EQUIVALENCE RELATION

**Theorem 5.1.** *Suppose  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$  is an essentially free measure-preserving ergodic action of a countably infinite group  $G$  on a standard probability space  $(X, \mu)$ . Let  $\mathcal{R} = \{(x, gx) : x \in X, g \in G\}$  be the orbit equivalence relation. Suppose  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$  is cocycle superrigid. If  $G$  is simple, then  $\mathcal{R}$  has no proper ergodic normal subequivalence relations. If  $G$  has no nontrivial finite quotients, then  $\mathcal{R}$  has no proper ergodic normal finite-index subequivalence relations.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be the orbit-equivalence relation of the action  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ . Let  $\mathcal{N} \triangleleft \mathcal{R}$  be an ergodic normal subequivalence relation and  $c : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}/\mathcal{N}$  the canonical cocycle. Since the action is cocycle superrigid, there exists a Borel map  $\phi : X \rightarrow H := \mathcal{R}/\mathcal{N}$  and a homomorphism  $\rho : G \rightarrow H$  such that

$$c(gx, x) = \phi(gx)^{-1}\rho(g)\phi(x).$$

*Claim 1.* If  $\rho$  is trivial, then  $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{R}$ .

*Proof of Claim 1.* For every  $g \in \mathcal{R}/\mathcal{N}$ ,  $\phi^{-1}(g) \subset X$  is  $\mathcal{N}$ -invariant. Because  $\mathcal{N}$  is ergodic, this implies  $\phi$  is essentially constant which implies  $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{R}$ .  $\square$

*Claim 2.*  $\rho$  is noninjective.

*Proof of Claim 2.* To obtain a contradiction, suppose  $\rho$  is injective. We claim that  $\mathcal{N}$  is finite. To see this, let

$$X_g = \{x \in X : (gx, x) \in \mathcal{N}\} = \{x \in X : \phi(gx)\phi(x)^{-1} = \rho(g)\}.$$

Also, for  $g \in G, h \in \mathcal{R}/\mathcal{N}$  let

$$X_{g,h} = \{x \in X_g : \phi(x) = h\} = \{x \in X : \phi(x) = h, \phi(gx) = \rho(g)h\}.$$

Because  $\rho$  is injective, for any fixed  $h$ , the sets  $\{gX_{g,h} : g \in G\}$  are pairwise disjoint. Therefore  $\sum_{g \in G} \mu(X_{g,h}) \leq 1$ . By the Borel-Cantelli Lemma, almost every  $x$  is contained in at most finitely many of the  $X_{g,h}$ 's (for fixed  $h$ ). However for each  $x \in X$  there is exactly one  $h$  such that  $x$  is contained in some  $X_{g,h}$ . So, in fact,  $x$  is contained in at most finitely many  $X_{g,h}$ 's (allowing  $g$  and  $h$  to vary). Since  $X_g = \bigcup_h X_{g,h}$  this implies that a.e.  $x$  is contained in at most finitely many  $X_g$ 's which implies that for a.e.  $x$ , the  $\mathcal{N}$ -equivalence class  $[x]_{\mathcal{N}}$  is finite.

Because  $G$  is infinite and  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$  is essentially free and ergodic,  $\mu$  is nonatomic. Because  $\mathcal{N}$  is finite and  $\mu$  is nonatomic,  $\mathcal{N}$  is not ergodic. This contradiction proves that  $\rho$  is noninjective.  $\square$

If  $G$  is simple, either  $\rho$  is trivial or injective and so the claims above finish the proof. If  $G$  does not have any nontrivial finite quotients and  $\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{N}$  is finite, then  $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathcal{R}/\mathcal{N}$  must be trivial. So Claim 1 finishes the proof.  $\square$

**Definition 4** (Restrictions). Let  $\mathcal{R} \subset X \times X$  be a probability-measure-preserving Borel equivalence relation on a probability space  $(X, \mu)$ . If  $Y \subset X$  has positive measure, then we let  $\mathcal{R}_Y := \mathcal{R} \cap (Y \times Y)$  denote the **restriction of  $\mathcal{R}$  to  $Y$** . It is an equivalence relation on  $Y$ .

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $Y \subset X$  be a Borel set with positive measure. Let  $\mathcal{S} \leq \mathcal{R}_Y$  be a subequivalence relation. If  $\mathcal{R}$  is ergodic, then there exists a subequivalence relation  $\mathcal{T} \leq \mathcal{R}$  such that  $\mathcal{T}_Y = \mathcal{S}$ . Moreover, if  $\mathcal{S}$  is ergodic, then  $\mathcal{T}$  is ergodic and if  $\mathcal{S}$  is normal in  $\mathcal{R}_Y$ , then  $\mathcal{T}$  is normal in  $\mathcal{R}$ .*

*Remark 2.*  $\mathcal{T}$  is not unique. Moreover, even if  $\mathcal{S}$  is ergodic and normal there may exist subequivalence relations  $\mathcal{T}'$  such that  $\mathcal{T}'_Y = \mathcal{S}$  but  $\mathcal{T}'_Y$  is neither ergodic nor normal.

*Proof.* Because  $\mathcal{R}$  is ergodic there exists a Borel map  $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$  with graph contained in  $\mathcal{R}$  such that  $\phi(y) = y$  for every  $y \in Y$ . Define the subequivalence relation  $\mathcal{T}$  by  $x\mathcal{T}y$  iff  $\phi(x)\mathcal{S}\phi(y)$ . In other words, if  $\Phi : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_Y$  is the map  $\Phi(x, y) = (\phi(x), \phi(y))$ , then  $\mathcal{T} = \Phi^{-1}(\mathcal{S})$ . This implies that  $\mathcal{T}$  is Borel. It is easy to check that  $\mathcal{T}$  is a subequivalence relation and  $\mathcal{T}_Y = \mathcal{S}$ .

Suppose that  $\mathcal{S}$  is ergodic. Let  $A \subset X$  be a  $\mathcal{T}$ -saturated set of positive measure. Observe that  $A = \phi^{-1}(\phi(A))$  by definition of  $\mathcal{T}$ . Also  $\phi(A)$  is  $\mathcal{S}$ -saturated. Therefore  $\phi(A) = Y$  since  $\mathcal{S}$  is ergodic. So  $A = \phi^{-1}\phi(A) = X$ . Because  $A$  is arbitrary,  $\mathcal{T}$  is ergodic.

Suppose that  $\mathcal{S}$  is normal. Then there exists a groupoid morphism  $c : \mathcal{R}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  such that  $\mathcal{S} = \ker(c)$ . Define  $c' : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  by  $c'(x, y) = c(\phi(x), \phi(y))$ . Observe that

$$c'(x, y)c'(y, z) = c(\phi(x), \phi(y))c(\phi(y), \phi(z)) = c(\phi(x), \phi(z)) = c'(x, z).$$

So  $c'$  is a cocycle. If  $(x, y) \in \ker(c')$ , then  $c(\phi(x), \phi(y)) \in \mathcal{G}^0$  which implies  $(\phi(x), \phi(y)) \in \ker(c) = \mathcal{S}$  which implies  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{T}$ . So  $\ker(c') \subset \mathcal{T}$ . On the other

hand, if  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{T}$ , then  $(\phi(x), \phi(y)) \in \mathcal{S} = \ker(c)$  which implies  $(x, y) \in \ker(c')$ . So  $\mathcal{T} = \ker(c')$  is normal by Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be an ergodic probability-measure-preserving equivalence relation with a finite-index ergodic subequivalence relation  $\mathcal{S} \leq \mathcal{R}$ . Then  $\mathcal{R}$  has a finite-index ergodic normal subequivalence relation  $\mathcal{N}$  with  $\mathcal{N} \leq \mathcal{S}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $n = [\mathcal{R} : \mathcal{S}]$  denote the index of  $\mathcal{S}$  in  $\mathcal{R}$ . Let  $\phi : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$  be any Borel function satisfying

- for a.e.  $x \in X$ ,  $\phi(x, x) = 1$ ;
- for a.e.  $(x, y), (x, z) \in \mathcal{R}$  with  $(y, z) \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $\phi(x, y) = \phi(x, z)$ ;
- for a.e.  $x \in X$ , the map  $y \mapsto \phi(x, y)$  surjects onto  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . So this map is a bijection from the set of  $\mathcal{S}$ -classes in  $[x]_{\mathcal{R}}$  to  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ .

Define a cocycle  $\alpha : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \text{Sym}(n)$  (the symmetric group of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ ) by

$$\alpha(x, y)(k) = \phi(x, z)$$

where  $z \in [x]_{\mathcal{R}}$  is any element satisfying  $\phi(y, z) = k$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the kernel of this cocycle. This is a finite-index normal subequivalence relation and  $\mathcal{K} \leq \mathcal{S}$  but  $\mathcal{K}$  might not be ergodic. However, it can have at most finitely many ergodic components (this is true for any finite-index subequivalence relation). Let  $Y \subset X$  be an ergodic component of  $\mathcal{K}$ . So  $\mathcal{K}_Y$  is an ergodic finite-index normal subequivalence relation of  $\mathcal{R}_Y$ . By Lemma 5.2 there exists an ergodic normal finite-index subequivalence relation  $\mathcal{N} \leq \mathcal{R}$  such that  $\mathcal{N}_Y = \mathcal{K}_Y$ . Since  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{S}$  are ergodic and  $\mathcal{N}_Y \leq \mathcal{S}_Y$  we must have that  $\mathcal{N} \leq \mathcal{S}$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let  $G$  be a simple property (T) group. Quoting from [Th10]: there are two sources of simple groups with Kazhdan’s property (T). Such groups appear for example as lattices in certain Kac-Moody groups; see [CR06]. Much earlier, it was also shown by Gromov ([Gr87]) that every hyperbolic group surjects onto a Tarski monster, i.e., every proper subgroup of this quotient is finite cyclic; in particular: this quotient group is simple and is a Kazhdan group if the hyperbolic group was a Kazhdan group.

Let  $(X_0, \mu_0)$  be a nontrivial Borel probability space and  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu) := (X_0, \mu_0)^G$  the Bernoulli shift action. By Popa’s Cocycle Superrigidity Theorem 4.1,  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$  is cocycle superrigid. So Theorem 5.1 implies  $\mathcal{R}$  has no ergodic proper normal subequivalence relations. Proposition 5.3 implies  $\mathcal{R}$  has no ergodic proper finite-index subequivalence relations  $\square$

## 6. TREEABLE EQUIVALENCE RELATIONS

**Definition 5.** A **graphing** of an equivalence relation  $\mathcal{R} \subset X \times X$  is a Borel subset  $G \subset X \times X$  such that  $\mathcal{R}$  is the smallest equivalence relation containing  $G$  and  $G$  is symmetric:  $(x, y) \in G \Rightarrow (y, x) \in G$ . The **local graph** of  $G$  at  $x$  is denoted by  $G_x$ . It has vertex set  $[x]_{\mathcal{R}}$  and edges  $\{y, z\}$  where  $y, z \in [x]_{\mathcal{R}}$  and  $(y, z) \in G$ . So  $G$  is a graphing if and only if it is symmetric and all local graphs are connected. A graphing is a **treeing** if all of its local graphs are trees.

**Definition 6.** Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be an ergodic treeable equivalence relation. A subequivalence relation  $\mathcal{S} \leq \mathcal{R}$  is **primitive** if there exist treeings  $G_{\mathcal{S}}, G_{\mathcal{R}}$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  such that  $G_{\mathcal{S}} \subset G_{\mathcal{R}}$ . This means the same as free factor as used in [Ga00, Ga05].

**Example 3.** If  $F = \langle S \rangle$  is a free group with free generating set  $S \subset F$  and  $F \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$  is an essentially free action, then  $G_F = \{(x, sx), (sx, x) : x \in X, s \in S\}$  is a treeing of the orbit-equivalence relation  $\mathcal{R}$ . Moreover if  $g \in S$  and  $\mathcal{S}$  is the orbit-equivalence relation generated by  $\{g^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , then  $\mathcal{S}$  is primitive in  $\mathcal{R}$  since  $G_{\mathcal{S}} = \{(x, gx), (gx, x) : x \in X\}$  is a treeing of  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $G_{\mathcal{S}} \subset G_F$ . More generally, if  $g$  is primitive in  $F$  (this means that it is contained in some free generating set of  $F$ ) and  $\mathcal{S}$  is the orbit-equivalence relation of  $\{g^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , then  $\mathcal{S}$  is primitive in  $\mathcal{R}$ .

Before proving Theorem 1.2 we need a lemma.

**Lemma 6.1.** *Suppose  $\Gamma$  is a countable group and  $c : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \Gamma$  is a cocycle such that  $\ker(c) \leq \mathcal{R}$  is ergodic. Let*

$$\Lambda = \{g \in \Gamma : \mu_L(\{(x, y) \in \mathcal{R} : c(x, y) = g\}) > 0\}.$$

*Then  $\Lambda$  is a subgroup of  $\Gamma$  and  $\mathcal{R}/\ker(c)$  is isomorphic to  $\Lambda$ .*

*Proof.* For  $x \in X$ , let  $\Gamma_x = \{c(x, y) : y \in [x]_{\mathcal{R}}\}$ . If  $(x, z) \in \ker(c)$ , then  $c(x, y) = c(z, y)$ . So  $\Gamma_x = \Gamma_z$ . Since  $\ker(c)$  is ergodic, this implies the existence of a subset  $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$  such that  $\Gamma' = \Gamma_x$  for a.e.  $x$ . Observe that since  $c(x, y) \in \Gamma_x$ ,  $c(y, x) = c(x, y)^{-1} \in \Gamma_y$ . Thus  $\Gamma'$  is invariant under inverse. Also if  $c(x, y) \in \Gamma_x$  and  $c(y, z) \in \Gamma_y$ , then  $c(x, z) = c(x, y)c(y, z) \in \Gamma_x$ . So  $\Gamma'$  is a subgroup. By ergodicity again,  $\Lambda = \Gamma'$ . So without loss of generality, we may assume  $\Lambda = \Gamma$ .

It follows from [FSZ89, Theorem 2.2] that there is a homomorphism  $\theta' : \mathcal{R}/\ker(c) \rightarrow \Gamma$  such that if  $\theta : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}/\ker(c)$  is the canonical morphism, then

$$\theta'\theta = c.$$

Since  $c$  and  $\theta$  have the same kernel,  $\theta'$  must be injective. Since  $\Lambda = \Gamma$ , it is also surjective and so  $\mathcal{R}/\ker(c)$  is isomorphic to  $\Lambda$ . □

**Theorem 6.2.** *Suppose  $\mathcal{R}$  is a treeable ergodic probability-measure-preserving equivalence relation on  $(X, \mu)$  of cost  $> 1$  and there exists a subequivalence relation  $\mathcal{S} \leq \mathcal{R}$  that is primitive, ergodic and proper. Then  $\mathcal{R}$  surjects onto every countable group.*

*Proof.* Because  $\mathcal{S} \leq \mathcal{R}$  is primitive, there exist treeings  $G_{\mathcal{S}} \subset G_{\mathcal{R}}$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$ . Because  $\mathcal{S}$  is proper,  $\mu_L(\mathcal{R} \setminus \mathcal{S}) > 0$  and therefore  $\mu_L(G_{\mathcal{R}} \setminus G_{\mathcal{S}}) > 0$ . Let  $c : G_{\mathcal{R}} \setminus G_{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{\infty}$  be any measurable map such that

- for every  $g \in \mathbb{F}_{\infty}$ ,  $\mu_L(c^{-1}(g)) > 0$ ;
- $c(x, y) = c(y, x)^{-1}$  wherever this is defined.

Here  $\mathbb{F}_{\infty}$  denotes the free group of countably infinite rank. We extend  $c$  to  $G_{\mathcal{S}}$  by  $c(x, y) = e$  for any  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{S}$ . Now  $c$  is defined on all of  $G_{\mathcal{R}}$ . Because  $G_{\mathcal{R}}$  is a treeing there is a unique extension of  $c$  to a cocycle  $c : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{\infty}$ .

By definition  $\ker(c)$  contains  $\mathcal{S}$ . Because  $\mathcal{S}$  is ergodic, this implies  $\ker(c)$  is ergodic. Lemma 6.1 now implies  $\mathcal{R}/\ker(c) \cong \mathbb{F}_{\infty}$ .

Now let  $\Lambda$  be an arbitrary countable group and  $\phi : \mathbb{F}_{\infty} \rightarrow \Lambda$  a surjective homomorphism. Let  $c' : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \Lambda$  be the cocycle  $c'(x, y) = \phi(c(x, y))$ . Since  $\ker(c)$  is ergodic,  $\ker(c')$  is ergodic. So Lemma 6.1 implies  $\mathcal{R}/\ker(c') \cong \Lambda$ . □

**Example 4.** If  $\mathcal{R}$  is the orbit-equivalence relation of a Bernoulli shift action of  $\mathbb{F}_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ), then every generator of  $\mathbb{F}_n$  acts ergodically. Therefore,  $\mathcal{R}$  satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 6.2.

**Conjecture 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be an ergodic treeable equivalence relation of cost  $> 1$ . Then there exists an ergodic element  $f \in [\mathcal{R}]$  such that the subequivalence relation generated by  $f$  is primitive in  $\mathcal{R}$ .*

*Remark 3.* Robin Tucker-Drob recently announced a proof of Conjecture 1. Together with Theorem 6.2 this implies that every ergodic pmp treeable equivalence relation  $\mathcal{R}$  with cost  $> 1$  is large. So every countable group is a quotient of  $\mathcal{R}$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks to Vadim Alekseev for suggesting the use of Popa's Cocycle Superrigidity Theorem to prove Theorem 1.1; to Alekos Kechris for some inspiring questions, many helpful conversations and many corrections; to Robin Tucker-Drob for helpful conversations; to Pierre-Emmanuel Caprace for conversations about Kac-Moody groups that, with superrigidity results, yield more examples of equivalence relations without finite extensions.

#### REFERENCES

- [CR06] Pierre-Emmanuel Caprace and Bertrand Rémy, *Simplicité abstraite des groupes de Kac-Moody non affines* (French, with English and French summaries), C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **342** (2006), no. 8, 539–544, DOI 10.1016/j.crma.2006.02.029. MR2217912
- [FSZ88] J. Feldman, C. E. Sutherland, and R. J. Zimmer, *Normal subrelations of ergodic equivalence relations*, Miniconferences on harmonic analysis and operator algebras (Canberra, 1987), Proc. Centre Math. Anal. Austral. Nat. Univ., vol. 16, Austral. Nat. Univ., Canberra, 1988, pp. 95–102. MR953986
- [FSZ89] J. Feldman, C. E. Sutherland, and R. J. Zimmer, *Subrelations of ergodic equivalence relations*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **9** (1989), no. 2, 239–269, DOI 10.1017/S0143385700004958. MR1007409
- [Ga00] Damien Gaboriau, *Coût des relations d'équivalence et des groupes* (French, with English summary), Invent. Math. **139** (2000), no. 1, 41–98, DOI 10.1007/s002229900019. MR1728876
- [Ga05] D. Gaboriau, *Examples of groups that are measure equivalent to the free group*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **25** (2005), no. 6, 1809–1827, DOI 10.1017/S0143385705000258. MR2183295
- [Gr87] M. Gromov, *Hyperbolic groups*, Essays in group theory, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 8, Springer, New York, 1987, pp. 75–263, DOI 10.1007/978-1-4613-9586-7\_3. MR919829
- [Ke95] Alexander S. Kechris, *Classical descriptive set theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 156, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. MR1321597
- [KM04] Alexander S. Kechris and Benjamin D. Miller, *Topics in orbit equivalence*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1852, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. MR2095154
- [Po07] Sorin Popa, *Cocycle and orbit equivalence superrigidity for malleable actions of w-rigid groups*, Invent. Math. **170** (2007), no. 2, 243–295, DOI 10.1007/s00222-007-0063-0. MR2342637
- [PV08] Sorin Popa and Stefaan Vaes, *Strong rigidity of generalized Bernoulli actions and computations of their symmetry groups*, Adv. Math. **217** (2008), no. 2, 833–872, DOI 10.1016/j.aim.2007.09.006. MR2370283
- [Th10] Andreas Thom, *Examples of hyperlinear groups without factorization property*, Groups Geom. Dyn. **4** (2010), no. 1, 195–208, DOI 10.4171/GGD/80. MR2566306
- [Va08] Stefaan Vaes, *Explicit computations of all finite index bimodules for a family of  $\text{II}_1$  factors* (English, with English and French summaries), Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **41** (2008), no. 5, 743–788. MR2504433
- [Zi76] Robert J. Zimmer, *Extensions of ergodic group actions*, Illinois J. Math. **20** (1976), no. 3, 373–409. MR0409770