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Abstract. We characterize the uniform limits of Dirichlet polynomials on a
right half plane. In the Dirichlet setting, we find approximation results, with
respect to the Euclidean distance and to the chordal one as well, analogous
to classical results of Runge, Mergelyan and Vituškin. We also strengthen the
notion of universal Dirichlet series.

1. Introduction

For an arbitrary compact set $K \subset \mathbb{C}$, we denote by $A(K)$ the class of functions
$f : K \to \mathbb{C}$ that are continuous on $K$ and holomorphic on the interior of $K$. It is
well known that the set of uniform limits of polynomials on the closed unit disc $\mathbb{D}$
is the disc algebra $A(\mathbb{D})$, consisting of all continuous functions $f : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$, which
are holomorphic in the open unit disc $\mathbb{D}$. In [18], for an arbitrary set $I$, the set
of uniform limits $A(\mathbb{D}^I)$ on $\mathbb{D}^I$ of polynomials, each depending on a finite subset
of the variables, is investigated. This space consists of all continuous functions
$f : \mathbb{D}^I \to \mathbb{C}$, where $\mathbb{D}^I$ is endowed with the product topology, which are separately
holomorphic in $\mathbb{D}^I$. We shall be particularly interested in the case where $I = N_0^{(N)}$,
the set of sequences of non-negative integers with finite support. That is, $N_0^{(N)}$
consists of all $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N, 0 \ldots)$ where $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha_j \in N_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ for
$j = 1, \ldots, N$.

The motivation of the present paper is to replace polynomials by Dirichlet
polynomials and to examine analogous approximation questions. First we inves-
tigate the set of uniform limits of Dirichlet polynomials on the right half plane
$\mathbb{C}_+ = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Re } s > 0\}$. This set coincides with the space of uniformly continuous
functions $f : \mathbb{C}_+ \to \mathbb{C}$, representable by convergent Dirichlet series on $\mathbb{C}_+$. It is
isomorphic to the space $A(\mathbb{D}^{N_0})$ and to the space of bounded uniformly continuous
and complex Fréchet differentiable functions on the open unit ball of $c_0$. This brings
together the results of [14] and it is the content of Section 2.
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Next we consider uniform approximation by Dirichlet polynomials on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$. For this, we need to strengthen an approximation result from [5], valid for particular compact sets said to be “admissible”. This is done in Section 3 and it yields a strengthened notion of universal Dirichlet series ([5], [7]). It follows that the universal approximation by the partial sums of a universal Dirichlet series is valid on every compact set $K$ in the closure of $\mathbb{C}_- := \{ s \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Re } s \leq 0 \}$ having connected complement, not only on “admissible” compact sets $K$.

In Section 4, using the previous approximation result, we extend results of Mergelyan, Runge and Vituškin, replacing polynomials by Dirichlet polynomials and rational functions by Dirichlet rational functions. A Dirichlet polynomial has the form $P(s) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j j^{-s}$ and a Dirichlet rational function has the form $P_0(s) + P_1(1/(s - z_1)) + \ldots + P_n(1/(s - z_n))$, where $P_j, j = 0, 1, \ldots, n$, are Dirichlet polynomials. We also investigate the set of uniform limits of Dirichlet polynomials on any straight line in $\mathbb{C}$.

In Section 5 we treat analogous questions where the uniform approximation is not meant with respect to the usual Euclidean distance on $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}^2$ but with respect to the chordal distance on $\mathbb{C} \cup \{ \infty \}$. This extends results of [15] to the Dirichlet setting.

A preliminary version of the present article can be found in [1].

2. Background review; Closure of Dirichlet polynomials on a half plane

To any Dirichlet series $D = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s}$, one can associate the following abscissas:

\[ \sigma_c(D) = \inf \{ \text{Re } s : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s} \text{ is convergent} \}. \]

\[ \sigma_b(D) = \inf \{ \sigma : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s} \text{ is bounded on } \text{Re } s \geq \sigma \}. \]

\[ \sigma_u(D) = \inf \{ \sigma : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s} \text{ is uniformly convergent on } \text{Re } s \geq \sigma \}. \]

\[ \sigma_a(D) = \inf \{ \text{Re } s : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s} \text{ is absolutely convergent} \}. \]

It is known that

\[ \sigma_c(D) \leq \sigma_b(D) = \sigma_u(D) \leq \sigma_a(D) \leq \sigma_c(D) + 1. \]

The proof of inequalities relating $\sigma_c(D), \sigma_u(D)$ and $\sigma_a(D)$ can be found in [22, Section 4.1, p. 98] or in [10]. The equality $\sigma_b(D) = \sigma_u(D)$ was obtained by Bohr, and a proof can be found in [22, Theorem 6.2.3, p. 145].

Recall that $H_\infty$ is the Banach space of all Dirichlet series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s}$ that converge to a bounded function $D(s)$ on $\mathbb{C}_+$, endowed with the supremum norm

\[ \| D \|_\infty = \sup_{s \in \mathbb{C}_+} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s} \right|. \]

**Definition 2.1.** Let us denote by $A(\mathbb{C}_+)$ the set of all Dirichlet series $D(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s}$ which are convergent on $\mathbb{C}_+$ and define a uniformly continuous function on that half plane.
Proposition 2.2. \( A(\mathbb{C}_+) \) is a closed subspace of \( \mathcal{H}_\infty \).

Proof. Since the uniform limit of a sequence of uniformly continuous functions is uniformly continuous, it is enough to check that \( A(\mathbb{C}_+) \) is a subset of \( \mathcal{H}_\infty \). Let \( D(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s} \in A(\mathbb{C}_+) \). By hypothesis there exists \( \delta > 0 \) such that \( |D(s_1) - D(s_2)| < 1 \) for every \( s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{C}_+ \) such that \( |s_1 - s_2| < \delta \). Let us take \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) with \( \frac{1}{k} < \delta \). Since \( \sigma_c(D) \leq 0 \), we have \( \sigma_a(D) \leq 1 \). Thus

\[
|D(s)| = \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s} \right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|}{n^{1+\frac{1}{k}}} := M < \infty,
\]

for every \( s \in \mathbb{C} \) such that \( \text{Re } s \geq 1 + \frac{1}{k} \). On the other hand if \( 0 < \text{Re } s < 1 + \frac{1}{k} \),

\[
|D(s)| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{k} |D\left(s + \frac{j}{k}\right) - D\left(s + \frac{j+1}{k}\right)| + \sum_{j=0}^{k} |D\left(s + \frac{k+1}{k}\right)| \leq k + 1 + M.
\]

\[ \square \]

Theorem 2.3. Given \( f : \mathbb{C}_+ \to \mathbb{C} \), the following are equivalent:

1. \( f \) is the uniform limit on \( \mathbb{C}_+ \) of a sequence of Dirichlet polynomials.
2. \( f \) is represented by a Dirichlet series pointwise on \( \mathbb{C}_+ \) and \( f \) is uniformly continuous on \( \mathbb{C}_+ \).

Proof. (1) \( \Rightarrow \) (2) Let \( f : \mathbb{C}_+ \to \mathbb{C} \), be the uniform limit on \( \mathbb{C}_+ \) of a sequence \( (P_k) \) of Dirichlet polynomials \( P_k(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{n_k} \frac{b_n}{n^s} \). Since \( \mathcal{H}_\infty \) is a Banach space, \( f \) belongs to \( \mathcal{H}_\infty \). Thus, \( f \) can be pointwise represented as \( f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s} \) and as the uniform limit of uniformly continuous functions, \( f \) too is uniformly continuous.

(2) \( \Rightarrow \) (1) Conversely, let \( f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s} \) pointwise on \( \mathbb{C}_+ \) with \( f \) uniformly continuous on \( \mathbb{C}_+ \). Given \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there exists \( \delta > 0 \) such that if \( s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{C}_+ \) satisfy \( |s_1 - s_2| < \delta \), then \( |f(s_1) - f(s_2)| < \varepsilon / 2 \). Since, by Proposition 2.2, \( f \) is bounded on \( \mathbb{C}_+ \), we have \( \sigma_u(f) = \sigma_k(f) \leq 0 \). Hence, given \( \delta / 2 \), the polynomials \( P_k(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{n_k} \frac{a_n}{n^s} \) converge uniformly to \( f \) on \( [\text{Re } s \geq \delta / 2] \). Thus if we set \( Q_k(s) = P_k(s + \delta / 2) = \sum_{n=1}^{n_k} \frac{a_n}{n^{\delta / 2} n^s} \), then \( \{Q_k(s)\} \) is a sequence of Dirichlet polynomials converging uniformly to \( f(s + \delta / 2) \) on \( \mathbb{C}_+ \) and we obtain

\[
\left| f(s) - \sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{a_n}{n^{\delta / 2} n^s} \right| = \left| f(s) - f(s + \frac{\delta}{2}) \right| + \left| f(s + \frac{\delta}{2}) - \sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{a_n}{n^{\delta / 2} n^s} \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \left\| f(s + \frac{\delta}{2}) - Q_k \right\|,
\]

for every \( s \in \mathbb{C}_+ \) and every \( k \). Now choosing \( k_0 \) such that \( \| f(\cdot + \frac{\delta}{2}) - Q_k \| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \) for \( k \geq k_0 \), we arrive at

\[
\| f - Q_k \| \leq \varepsilon,
\]

for every \( k \geq k_0 \). \( \square \)

Corollary 2.4. Given a function \( f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} \), the following are equivalent:

1. On every (right) half plane, \( f \) is the uniform limit of Dirichlet polynomials.
2. There exists a Dirichlet series \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \frac{1}{n^s} \), which converges to \( f \) at every point of \( \mathbb{C} \).
Proof. (2) ⇒ (1). Assume that \( f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \frac{1}{n^s} \) for all points \( s \) of \( \mathbb{C} \). Since \( \sigma_s(f) \leq \sigma_s(f) + 1 \) we have that \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| \frac{1}{n^s} < \infty \) for every \( s \). Hence the sequence of Dirichlet polynomials \( \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n \frac{1}{n^s} \) converges to \( f \) uniformly on every half plane. 

(1) ⇒ (2). Fix \( k \) as a non-positive integer. With a suitable change of variables, Theorem 2.3 implies that there exists a Dirichlet series \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(k) \frac{1}{n^s} \) such that

\[
f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(k) \frac{1}{n^s},
\]

for every \( s \) in \( \mathbb{C} \) with \( \Re s \geq k \). Thus all Dirichlet series of \( f \) coincide on \( \mathbb{C}_+ \). Now the uniqueness of coefficients of a convergent Dirichlet series on \( \mathbb{C}_+ \) implies that \( a_n(k) = a_n(l) \) for every non-positive integer \( k \) and \( l \) and every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Defining \( a_n = a_n(k) \), we obtain \( f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \frac{1}{n^s} \), for every \( s \) in \( \mathbb{C} \). \( \square \)

Let \( B_{c_0} \) denote the open unit ball in the space \( c_0 \) of sequences which converge to zero and for a function \( f : B_{c_0} \to \mathbb{C} \), set \( \|f\|_{B_{c_0}} = \sup \{ |f(x)| : x \in B_{c_0} \} \). Endowed with this norm, the space of all functions on \( B_{c_0} \) which are uniformly continuous and complex Fréchet differentiable is a Banach algebra, which we denote by \( A_u(B_{c_0}) \).

**Theorem 2.5.** \( A(\mathbb{C}_+) \) is isometrically isomorphic to \( A_u(B_{c_0}) \).

Hedenmalm, Lindqvist and Seip in [17] obtained that \( H_\infty \), the Banach space of all Dirichlet series bounded and convergent on \( \mathbb{C}_+ \) is isometrically isometric to \( H_\infty(B_{c_0}) \), the Banach space of all bounded and complex Fréchet differentiable functions on the open unit ball of \( c_0 \). Even though their proof makes use of other isometries, a careful analysis yields our claim, taking into consideration that \( B_{c_0} \) is denoted by \( D_\infty \cap c_0(\mathbb{N}) \) in [17], and using our formula (2.1), below. On the other hand, in the very recent paper [3], another proof of that isometry can be found, but again the proof of our statement is only implicit there and one needs to invoke (2.1). We present here an explicit proof of this fact since we shall need it in the proof of Theorem 2.7.

**Proof.** By \( z^\alpha \) we denote the monomial defined on \( \mathbb{C}^\mathbb{N} \) by \( z^\alpha = z_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots z_N^{\alpha_N} \). Obviously \( z^\alpha \) belongs to \( A_u(B_{c_0}) \) since it is a complex Fréchet differentiable function when restricted to \( c_0 \) that is uniformly continuous on \( B_{c_0} \). But \( z^\alpha \) also can be considered as a monomial in \( N \)-variables defined on \( \mathbb{C}^\mathbb{N} \).

A function \( P : c_0 \to \mathbb{C} \) is called an \( m \)-homogeneous polynomial if there exists a continuous \( m \)-linear form \( A : c_0^m \to \mathbb{C} \), such that \( P(x) = A(x, \ldots, x) \) for every \( x \in c_0 \). Clearly \( P \in A_u(B_{c_0}) \). It is known (see e.g [2]) that every function on \( A_u(B_{c_0}) \) is the uniform limit of linear combinations of continuous homogeneous polynomials. In other words

\[
A_u(B_{c_0}) = \text{span}\{ P_m, m \text{-homogeneous polynomial} : m \in N_0 \}^{\|\cdot\|_{B_{c_0}}}. 
\]

But in [9] it was shown that every homogeneous polynomial on \( c_0 \) is weakly uniformly continuous when restricted to the unit ball of \( c_0 \) and, by [14] Proposition 2.8, p. 90] and the fact that the canonical basis of \( c_0 \) is shrinking, every such polynomial is the uniform limit on \( B_{c_0} \) of a sequence of polynomials of the form

\[
P(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in J} c_\alpha z^\alpha \text{ where } J \text{ is a finite subset of } N_0^{(N)} \text{ and } c_\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \text{ for every } \alpha \in J.
\]
Thus we have

\[ (2.1) \quad A_u(B_{c_0}) = \text{span}\{z^\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(N)}\} \]  

The other ingredient needed for the proof is a deep result of Harald Bohr [11] (see also [22, pp. 115-117]). Let \( p = (p_n) \) denote the increasing sequence of prime numbers. Given \( \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k, 0, \ldots) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(N)} \) we set \( p^\alpha = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k} \) and \( N \in \mathbb{N} \), and we denote by \( \Lambda_N \) the family of multi-indexes \( \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(N)} \) such that \( p^\alpha \leq N \). Then

\[
\sup_{\Re s > 0} \left| \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{a_n}{n^s} \right| = \sup_{z \in D^k} \left| \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda_N} a_{\alpha} z^\alpha \right|,
\]

for every \( a_1, \ldots, a_N \in \mathbb{C} \) and all \( N \), where the number \( k \) of variables is the subscript of the largest prime number \( p_k \) less than or equal to \( N \) (in other words, \( k \) is the number of primes less than or equal to \( N \)). This result yields that the correspondence:

\[
\frac{1}{n^s} \rightarrow z^\alpha, \quad n = p^\alpha,
\]

generates a mapping

\[
\phi : \text{span}\left\{ \frac{1}{n^s} : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}_+) \rightarrow \text{span}\left\{ z^\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(N)} \right\} \subset A_u(B_{c_0}),
\]

which is an isometry and is both linear and multiplicative. Thus it can be extended to a surjective isometry

\[
\tilde{\phi} : \text{span}\left\{ \frac{1}{n^s} : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \rightarrow \text{span}\left\{ z^\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(N)} \right\} \]  

The conclusion follows from Theorem 2.3 which states that

\[
\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}_+) = \text{span}\left\{ \frac{1}{n^s} : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\},
\]

and from (2.1). \( \square \)

Remark 2.6. Since we can extend any uniformly continuous function on \( \mathbb{C}_+ \) to its closure \( \overline{\mathbb{C}_+} \), any function in \( \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}_+) \) extends uniformly to a uniformly continuous function on \( \overline{\mathbb{C}_+} \). However, there exist functions \( f : \overline{\mathbb{C}_+} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \) that are uniformly continuous on \( \overline{\mathbb{C}_+} \) and pointwise representable as a certain \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_n}{n^s} \) for every \( s \in \mathbb{C}_+ \), but for which nevertheless there is some \( t \in \mathbb{R} \) so that \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_n}{n^t} \) does not converge. That is, in general \( f(it) \) is not representable by this Dirichlet series.

Indeed, there exists \( g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n \) in the disc algebra such that \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_n}{n^s} \) is not convergent. Hence, by considering \( g \) in \( A_u(B_{c_0}) \) and taking \( f = \tilde{\phi}^{-1}(g) \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}_+) \), we have \( f(s) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} b_{l-1} \frac{1}{(2^l-1)s^l} \) for every \( s \in \mathbb{C}_+ \). But

\[
\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} b_{l-1} \frac{1}{(2^l-1)s^l} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n
\]

does not converge and hence cannot represent the uniformly continuous unique extension of \( f \) at 0.

Given a non-empty set of indices \( I \), the space \( \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D}^I) \) is a Banach subalgebra of the space \( C(\mathbb{D}^I) \) of all continuous functions on \( \mathbb{D}^I \) endowed with the supremum norm. We now show that whenever \( I \) is an infinite and countable set, this space is going to be isometrically isomorphic to the space of Dirichlet series \( \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}_+) \).
Theorem 2.7. For \( I = \mathbb{N} \), the Banach algebra \( A(\mathbb{D}^N) \) is isometrically isomorphic to \( A(\mathbb{C}_+) \) and also to \( A_u(B_{c_0}) \).

Proof. The vector space \( V = \text{span}\{z^\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^\mathbb{N}\} \) can be considered as a dense subspace of both \( A_u(B_{c_0}) \) and \( A(\mathbb{D}^N) \). Since the norms \( \|\cdot\|_{B_{c_0}} \) and \( \|\cdot\|_{C(\mathbb{D}^N)} \) coincide on \( V \), we have that \( A(\mathbb{D}^N) \) and \( A_u(B_{c_0}) \) are isometrically isomorphic. But, by Theorem 2.5, \( A_u(B_{c_0}) \) is isometrically isomorphic to \( A(\mathbb{C}_+) \) and the conclusion follows.

Here is another very interesting way of obtaining the isometry between \( A(\mathbb{D}^N) \) and \( A_u(B_{c_0}) \). It is known that every function \( f \in A_u(B_{c_0}) \) is both uniformly continuous and also weakly uniformly continuous (see e.g. [21]). Applying [3, Theorem 6.3] we see that \( f \) has a (unique) extension to a weak-star continuous holomorphic function on \( B_{\ell_\infty} \). However, the closed unit ball of \( \ell_\infty \) endowed with the \( w(\ell_\infty, \ell_1) \) topology is homeomorphic to the polydisc \( \mathbb{D}^N \) with the product topology. Thus the space \( A_u(B_{c_0}) \) can be isometrically identified with a subspace of the space \( A(\mathbb{D}^N) \). Now, the fact that the set of monomials is included in \( A_u(B_{c_0}) \) and is a total subset of \( A(\mathbb{D}^N) \) implies that \( A(\mathbb{D}^N) \cong A_u(B_{c_0}) \).

3. Universal Dirichlet series

The existence of the first universal Dirichlet series was established by the second author in [5] under the assumption that the compact sets under consideration were "admissible". Further results on universal Dirichlet series can be found in [20], [13], [19]. Now, we improve most of those results by relaxing the assumption that the compact set be admissible.

For \( \sigma > 0 \) we denote \( \| \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n n^{-s} \|_\sigma = \sum_{n=1}^\infty |a_n| n^{-\sigma} \), and

\[
D_a(\mathbb{C}_+) = \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n n^{-s} : \left\| \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n n^{-s} \right\|_\sigma < \infty, \text{ for all } \sigma > 0 \right\}.
\]

We endow \( D_a(\mathbb{C}_+) \) with the Fréchet topology induced by the semi-norms \( \| \cdot \|_\sigma, \sigma > 0 \).

Theorem 3.1. Let \( K \subset \mathbb{C}_- \) be compact with connected complement, \( f \in D_a(\mathbb{C}_+) \), \( g \in A(K) \), \( \sigma > 0 \) and \( \varepsilon > 0 \). Then there exists a Dirichlet polynomial \( h = \sum_{n=1}^N a_n n^{-s} \) such that \( \|h - g\|_{C(K)} < \varepsilon \) and \( \|h - f\|_\sigma < \varepsilon \).

The proof of this theorem, inspired by [4] and by [8, Section 11.5], needs some preparation. We first give two lemmas on entire functions of exponential type.

Lemma 3.2. Let \( f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} \) be an entire function of exponential type and let \( \alpha \) be greater than the type. There exists a positive constant \( C \) depending only on \( f \), such that if \( u > 1 \) with \( |f(u)| > 0 \) and \( N \) is an integer satisfying \( N \geq e^2 \alpha (u + 1) \), then there exists an interval \( I \subset [u - 1, u + 1] \) of length \( 1/(2N^2) \) such that

\[
x \in I \implies \left| f(x) \right| \geq \frac{|f(u)|}{2} - Ce^{-N}.
\]

A proof of this lemma can be found in [22, Lemma 7.2.7].
Lemma 3.3. Let \( f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} \) be a non-zero entire function of exponential type and let \( \delta \in (0, 1) \). Assume that

\[
\limsup_{x \to +\infty} \frac{\log |f(x)|}{x} \geq 0.
\]

Then

\[
\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{|f(\log n)|}{n^{1-\delta}} = +\infty.
\]

Proof. Choose \( \alpha \) greater than the type of \( f \) and \( 0 < \delta < 1 \). Let \( (x_j) \) be a sequence of positive real numbers going to infinity such that for every \( j \geq 1 \), \( |f(x_j)| \geq e^{-\delta x_j/2} \), \( x_j > 1 \) and \( |x_j|^2 \geq e^{2\alpha(x_j+1)} \). Let \( N_j = |x_j|^2 \). If \( C \) is the constant obtained in the above lemma then, by choosing \( x_1 \) large enough, we can assume that \( C e^{-|x_j|^2} < \frac{1}{4} e^{-\delta x_j/2} \) for all \( j \). By Lemma 3.2 we can find an interval \( I_j = \left[ y_j, y_j + 1/(2N_j^2) \right] \) contained in \( [x_j - 1, x_j + 1] \) such that \( x \in I_j \implies |f(x)| \geq e^{-\delta x_j/2}/2 \).

By choosing \( x_1 \) sufficiently large, we may assume that

\[
e^{y_j + \frac{1}{2N_j^2}} - e^{y_j} > 1.
\]

Indeed,

\[
e^{y_j + \frac{1}{2N_j^2}} - e^{y_j} \geq \min_{t \in [x_j - 1, x_j + 1]} \left( e^{t + \frac{1}{2N_j^2}} - e^t \right) = e^{x_j - 1 + \frac{1}{2N_j^2}} - e^{x_j - 1} \geq e^{x_j - 1 + (x_j - 1)^{-4}/2} - e^{x_j - 1} = e^{(x_j - 1)^{-4}/2 - 1} = e^{1/(1-x_j)} - e^{y_j},
\]

which tends to \(+\infty\) by l’Hôpital’s rule, establishing (3.1). Now, using the notation \( A(j) \gg B(j) \) if there exists a constant \( C > 0 \), such that \( A(j) \geq C \cdot B(j) \) for all sufficiently large \( j \), we have

\[
\sum_{\log n \in I_j} \frac{|f(\log n)|}{n^{1-\delta}} \gg \sum_{\log n \in I_j} \frac{e^{-\delta x_j/2}}{e^{(1-\delta)y_j}} \gg e^{-(1-\delta/2)y_j} \text{card}\{ n : \log n \in I_j \} \gg e^{-(1-\delta/2)y_j} \text{card}\{ n : n \in [e^{y_j}, e^{y_j + \frac{1}{2N_j^2}}] \} \gg e^{-(1-\delta/2)y_j} \left( e^{y_j + \frac{1}{2N_j^2}} - e^{y_j} \right) \text{ by (3.1)} \gg e^{\delta y_j/2} \frac{1}{y_j^2}.
\]

But this last quantity goes to infinity as \( j \) goes to infinity. \(\square\)

We also need a result from the geometry of function spaces. A proof of it can be found in [8, Lemma 11.11].

Lemma 3.4. Let \( X \) be a locally convex topological vector space and let \( (x_n)_{n \geq 1} \) be a sequence in \( X \). Assume that \( \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} |\langle x^*, x_n \rangle| = +\infty \) for every non-zero continuous
linear functional \( x^* \in X^* \). Then, for every \( N \in \mathbb{N} \), the set

\[
\left\{ \sum_{n=N}^{M} a_n x_n; \ M \geq N, |a_n| \leq 1 \right\}
\]

is dense in \( X \).

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** Let \( K \subset \mathbb{C}^- \) be compact with connected complement, let \( P \in D_\sigma(C_+) \) be a Dirichlet polynomial, \( g \in A(K) \), \( \sigma > 0 \) and \( \varepsilon > 0 \). We set \( \delta = \sigma/2 \) and choose \( N \) larger than the degree of \( P \) and such that

\[
\sum_{n \geq N} \frac{1}{n^{1+\delta}} < \varepsilon.
\]

Let \( \phi \) be a non-zero continuous linear functional on \( A(K) \). By the Hahn-Banach and the Riesz representation theorems, there exists a non-zero (complex) measure \( \mu \) with support contained in \( K \) such that, for any \( u \in A(K) \),

\[
\langle \phi, u \rangle = \int_K u d\mu.
\]

We intend to show that \( \sum_{n \geq 1} |\langle \phi, n^{-s} \rangle| = +\infty \). Observe that

\[
\phi(n^{-s}) = \int_K e^{-s\log n} d\mu = \mathcal{L}_\mu(\log n),
\]

where \( \mathcal{L}_\mu \) is the Laplace transform of \( \mu \). Observe that \( \mathcal{L}_\mu \) is non-zero. Indeed, differentiating under the integral sign and evaluating at zero,

\[
\mathcal{L}_\mu^{(k)}(0) = (-1)^k \langle \phi, z^k \rangle.
\]

Since \( K \) has connected complement, polynomials are dense in \( A(K) \) and since \( \phi \neq 0 \), it follows that \( \mathcal{L}_\mu^{(k)}(0) \neq 0 \) for some \( k \). On the other hand, it is well known (see for instance [8, Lemma 11.15]) that \( \mathcal{L}_\mu \) is an entire function of exponential type and that

\[
\limsup_{x \to +\infty} \frac{\log |\mathcal{L}_\mu(x)|}{x} \geq 0.
\]

Applying Lemma 3.3 we get

\[
\sum_{n \geq 1} |\langle \phi, n^{-s-1+\delta} \rangle| = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{|\mathcal{L}_\mu(\log n)|}{n^{1-\delta}} = +\infty.
\]

By Lemma 3.4 this implies that \( \left\{ \sum_{n=N}^{M} a_n n^{-(1-\delta)n^{-s}}; \ M \geq N, |a_n| \leq 1 \right\} \) is dense in \( A(K) \). In particular, there exists \( h_0 = \sum_{n=N}^{M} a_n n^{-(1-\delta)n^{-s}}, |a_n| \leq 1 \) such that

\[
\|h_0 - (g - P)\|_{C(K)} < \varepsilon.
\]

Setting \( h = h_0 + P \), we thus have \( \|h - g\|_{C(K)} < \varepsilon \) and

\[
\|h - P\|_{\sigma} = \|h_0\|_{\sigma} \leq \sum_{n=N}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1-\delta+\sigma}} < \varepsilon.
\]

We have shown the theorem for the case that \( f \) is a Dirichlet polynomial. The general case follows trivially. \( \square \)
Remark 3.5. In the above theorem, translating \( K \) and reducing \( \sigma \) if necessary, we may in fact choose \( K \subset \{ s : \text{Re } s < \sigma \} \). Using Cauchy’s formula, we deduce that, for every compact \( L \subset \mathbb{C}_- \), for every \( f \in D_\alpha(\mathbb{C}_+) \), \( g \) entire, \( \sigma, \epsilon > 0 \), and \( N \in \mathbb{N} \), there exists a Dirichlet polynomial \( h \) such that

\[
\sup_{0 \leq l \leq N} \| h^{(l)} - g^{(l)} \|_{C(L)} < \epsilon \text{ and } \| h - f \|_{\sigma} < \epsilon.
\]

A consequence of Theorem 3.1 is the following result.

Theorem 3.6. There exists a Dirichlet series \( D \), absolutely convergent in \( \mathbb{C}_+ \) with partial sums \( S_N D \), such that for every entire function \( g \) there exists a sequence \( (\lambda_n) \), so that for every \( \ell \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\} \) the derivatives \( S_{\lambda_n} D^{(\ell)} \) converge to \( g^{(\ell)} \) uniformly on each compact subset of the closed left half plane, as \( n \) goes to infinity. The set of such Dirichlet series is dense and a \( G_\delta \) in the space of Dirichlet series absolutely convergent in \( \mathbb{C}_+ \), and it contains a dense vector subspace, apart from 0.

Proof. Let \( K_m = [-m, 0] \times [-m, m] \) and let \((g_k)\) be an enumeration of all polynomials with coefficients in \( \mathbb{Q} + i\mathbb{Q} \). We endow the space \( H(\mathbb{C}) \) of entire functions with the following distance:

\[
d_m(f, g) = \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} 2^{-l} \min\left(1, \| f^{(l)} - g^{(l)} \|_{C(K_m)} \right).
\]

For \( n, k, m, s \geq 1 \), we define

\[
E(n, k, m, s) = \{ h \in D_\alpha(\mathbb{C}_+) : d_m(S_n h, g_k) < 1/s \}.
\]

By Remark 3.5, the set \( \bigcup_n E(n, k, m, s) \) is dense and it is also clearly open in \( D_\alpha(\mathbb{C}_+) \). We claim that each \( D \) in \( \bigcap_{k,m,s} \bigcup_n E(n, k, m, s) \) satisfies the conclusion of the theorem. Indeed, let \( g \) be any entire function. For each \( m \geq 1 \), there exists \( k_m \) such that

\[
d_m(g, g_{k_m}) < 4^{-m}/2.
\]

Then, since \( D \in \bigcup_n E(n, k_m, m, 2 \cdot 4^m) \), we know from the triangle inequality that there exists an integer \( \lambda_m \) such that

\[
d_m(S_{\lambda_m} D, g) < 4^{-m}.
\]

By the definition of \( d_m \), this implies that, for any \( l \leq m \),

\[
\| S_{\lambda_m} D^{(l)} - g^{(l)} \|_{C(K_m)} < 2^{-m}.
\]

Since any compact set of \( \mathbb{C}_- \) is contained in all \( K_m \) for any \( m \) large enough, we are done. The construction of a dense vector subspace follows from the methods of [7].

This result improves [7] Theorem 10] where the set \( K \) is assumed to be admissible, while in Theorem 3.1 of the present \( K \) is any compact subset of \( \mathbb{C}_- \) with connected complement.

Remark 3.7. We should mention that S. Gardiner and M. Manolaki have recently been applying potential theory techniques to study properties of universal Dirichlet series in [16]. This promising approach has yielded results that apparently cannot be answered using standard complex analysis.

4. MERGEYAN AND RUNGE TYPE THEOREMS IN THE DIRICHLET SETTING

Another consequence of Theorem 3.1 is the following result.

Lemma 4.1. Let \( K \subset \mathbb{C} \) be compact with connected complement, \( f \in A(K) \) and \( \epsilon > 0 \). Then there exists a Dirichlet polynomial \( P(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n \frac{1}{n^s} \) such that \( \| f - P \|_{C(K)} < \epsilon \).
It follows easily from Lemma 4.1 and Runge’s theorem on polynomial approximation that if $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ is an arbitrary compact set, then the set of uniform limits of Dirichlet polynomials on $K$ is the same as the set $P(K)$ of uniform limits of (algebraic) polynomials on $K$. The family $P(K)$ is known to consist precisely of the set of functions on $K$ having an extension in $A(\widehat{K})$ where $\widehat{K}$ is the complement of the unbounded component of $K^c = \mathbb{C} \setminus K$. Indeed, if a function $f$ defined on $K$ is the uniform limit of a sequence $\{p_n\}$ of polynomials, then the sequence $\{p_n\}$ is uniformly Cauchy on $K$ and so, by the maximum principle it is also uniformly Cauchy on $\widehat{K}$. Thus, the sequence $\{p_n\}$ converges uniformly on $\widehat{K}$ to some function $\widehat{f}$, which is in $A(\widehat{K})$ and whose restriction to $K$ is $f$. Conversely, if $f$ extends to a function $\widehat{f} \in A(\widehat{K})$, then, by Mergelyan’s theorem, $\widehat{f}$ is the uniform limit on $\widehat{K}$ of a sequence of polynomials and a fortiori $f$ is the uniform limit on $K$ of the same sequence of polynomials.

Another easy consequence of Lemma 4.1 is the following result.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be an open simply connected set and $H(\Omega)$ be the space of holomorphic functions on $\Omega$ endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compacta. Then, Dirichlet polynomials are dense in $H(\Omega)$.

Mergelyan’s theorem, initially valid for compact sets with connected complement, can be extended to compact sets $K$ such that $K^c$ has a finite number of components, where the approximation will not be achieved by polynomials but by rational functions (see [23, Exercise 1, Chapter 20, p. 394]). In analogy to this result we prove the following one. Let $\Omega$ be an open subset of $\mathbb{C}$. The class $A(\Omega)$ consists of all holomorphic functions $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ which have a continuous extension on $\overline{\Omega}$, where the closure is taken in $\mathbb{C}$.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a domain bounded by a finite number of disjoint Jordan curves. Let $\{z_1, \ldots, z_N\}$ be a set containing exactly one point from every bounded component of $\overline{\Omega}^c$. Let $f \in A(\Omega)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exist Dirichlet polynomials $P_0, P_1, \ldots, P_N$ so that the “rational Dirichlet” function

$$R(s) = P_0(s) + P_1 \left( \frac{1}{s - z_1} \right) + \ldots + P_N \left( \frac{1}{s - z_N} \right)$$

satisfies

$$\|f - R\|_{C(\overline{\Omega})} < \varepsilon.$$  

**Proof.** Let $V_j$, $j = 0, \ldots, N$ be the components of $(\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}) \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ and $\infty \in V_0$. By the well-known “Laurent Decomposition” (see for example [12]),

$$f = f_0 + f_1 + \ldots + f_N$$

where $f_j \in A(V_j)$ for $j = 0, \ldots, N$ and $f_1(\infty) = \ldots = f_N(\infty) = 0$.

The complement of $V_0^c$ is $V_0$ which is connected. So by Lemma 4.1 there exists a Dirichlet polynomial $P_0$ so that

$$\|P_0 - f_0\|_{C(\overline{\Omega})} \leq \|P_0 - f_0\|_{C(V_j^c)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{N + 1}.$$  

If we do the inversion $z \to \frac{1}{z - z_j}$ ($j = 1, \ldots, N$), then the image of $V_j^c$ is a compact set with connected component. Thus, by Lemma 4.1 the function $f_j\left( \frac{1}{w} + z_j \right)$ can...
be approximated by a Dirichlet polynomial \( P_j(w) \) so that
\[
\|f_j(z) - P_j(z)\|_{C(\Omega)} \leq \|f_j(z) - P_j(z)\|_{C(V_j)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{N + 1}.
\]
The triangle inequality yields the result. \( \square \)

For a compact set \( K \subset \mathbb{C} \), clearly the set \( P(K) \) of functions \( f : K \to \mathbb{C} \) which can be uniformly approximated by polynomials is contained in the class \( A(K) \). For a bounded domain \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{C} \), it is customary to use the notation \( A(\Omega) \), if \( (\Omega)^0 = \Omega \) as shorthand for \( A(\Omega) \). Mergelyan’s theorem gives a characterization of those compact sets \( K \subset \mathbb{C} \) such that \( P(K) = A(K) \). Let us call such sets Mergelyan sets. Mergelyan’s theorem then states that \( K \) is a Mergelyan set if and only if \( K^c \) is connected. The analogous problem for rational approximation was solved by Vituškin [24]. For compact \( K \subset \mathbb{C} \), denote by \( R(K) \) the set of functions \( f : K \to \mathbb{C} \) which are uniform limits of rational functions. Again, clearly \( R(K) \subset A(K) \). Vituškin characterized, in terms of continuous analytic capacity, those compact \( K \subset \mathbb{C} \) for which \( R(K) = A(K) \). Let us call such sets Vituškin sets. Since rational functions are well defined on the Riemann sphere, we could also consider uniform approximation of functions \( f : K \to \mathbb{C} \), defined on compact subsets \( K \subset \mathbb{C} \cup \{ \infty \} \), by rational functions whose poles lie outside of \( K \). However, for simplicity, we shall confine our study to compact subsets of \( \mathbb{C} \).

An example of a Vituškin set is the closure \( \overline{\Omega} \) of a domain \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{C} \) bounded by finitely many disjoint Jordan curves. We can use this example to formulate an analogue of the previous theorem for arbitrary Vituškin sets. If \( P \) is a Dirichlet polynomial and \( a \in \mathbb{C} \) we define the rational Dirichlet function \( P_a(s) = P(1/(s-a)) \) and if \( a = \infty \), we set \( P_\infty = P \).

**Theorem 4.4.** Let \( K \subset \mathbb{C} \) be a Vituškin compactum. Let \( Z \) be a set which meets every component of \( \mathbb{C} \setminus K \). Let \( f \in A(K) \) and \( \varepsilon > 0 \). Then, there exist finitely many points \( z_1, \ldots, z_N \in Z \) and Dirichlet polynomials \( P_{z_1}, \ldots, P_{z_N} \) so that the “rational Dirichlet” function
\[
R(s) = P_{z_1}(s) + \ldots + P_{z_N}(s)
\]
satisfies
\[
\|f - R\|_{C(K)} < \varepsilon.
\]

**Proof.** By Vituškin’s theorem, there is a rational function \( g \) such that \( \|f - g\|_{C(K)} < \varepsilon/2 \). Since rational functions composed with Möbius transformations are again rational functions, we may assume that \( K \subset \mathbb{C} \). Let \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{C} \) be a domain bounded by finitely many disjoint Jordan curves, such that \( \Omega \) contains \( K \) and all poles of \( h \) lie outside of \( \overline{\Omega} \). By the previous theorem, there is a rational Dirichlet function \( R \) such that \( \|g - R\|_{C(\Omega)} < \varepsilon/2 \). The triangle inequality yields the desired approximation. \( \square \)

The usual notation for the set of uniform limits of rational functions having no poles on \( K \) is \( R(K) \) and we have defined \( K \) to be a Vituškin set if \( R(K) = A(K) \). Let us denote by \( RD(K) \) the uniform limits of Dirichlet rational functions having no singularities on \( K \). We have shown that \( RD(K) = A(K) \) if \( R(K) = A(K) \), that is, if the compact set \( K \) is a Vituškin compactum. In fact, this condition is also necessary, since for the converse we may approximate Dirichlet polynomials by regular polynomials using Runge’s theorem.
Examples. Theorem 4.3 asserts that the closure $\Omega$ of a domain $\Omega$ bounded by finitely many disjoint Jordan curves is a Vituškin set. There are many other interesting examples of Vituškin sets. For example, if the diameters of the complementary components of $K$ (even though there may be infinitely many such components) are bounded away from zero or if the compact set has area measure zero, then it is a Vituškin set.

If we consider any arbitrary domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$, then there is an exhausting family of compact subsets $K_m, m = 1, 2, \ldots$ of $\Omega$, such that every $K_m$ is bounded by finite number of disjoint Jordan curves. Thus, $K_m$ can be chosen as $\Omega$ in Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 4.3 can be applied. Let $A$ be a set containing a point in each bounded component of $\Omega$. (In fact let $A_m = \{z_{1,m}, \ldots, z_{N_m,m}\}$ be a set containing exactly one point of $\Omega^c$ from each bounded component of the set $K_m^c$. Then it suffices to take $A = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m$.) Then one easily derives from Theorem 4.3 the following Runge type theorem.

**Theorem 4.5.** Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a domain and let $A = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m$ be as above. We consider $H(\Omega)$ endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compacta. Then “rational Dirichlet” functions of the form

$$R(s) = P_0(s) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} P_j \left( \frac{1}{s - z_j} \right),$$

$N \in \mathbb{N}, z_j \in A$ and $P_j(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_j} a_{n,j} \frac{1}{n^s}$ are dense in $H(\Omega)$.

In addition to considering uniform approximation by Dirichlet polynomials on compacta, one can raise the question of uniform approximation on (unbounded) closed sets. We restrict our comments to the case where the closed set is a line. Let $E$ be a straight line in $\mathbb{C}$. Denote by $X(E)$ the family of uniform limits of Dirichlet polynomials on $E$.

1) If $E = \mathbb{R}$, it is easy to see that $X(\mathbb{R})$ coincides with the set of Dirichlet polynomials. Indeed, the only Dirichlet polynomials which are bounded on the real line are the constant polynomials. Thus, if a sequence $\{P_j\}$ of Dirichlet polynomials is uniformly Cauchy on the real line, then for some natural number $n_0$, we have that $P_{n_0} - P_j$ is a constant $c_j$, for each $j \geq n_0$, so $P_{n_0} - P_j$ converges to a constant $c$. Thus, if $P_j$ converges uniformly on the real line to a function $f$, then $f$ is the Dirichlet polynomial $P_{n_0} - c$. The same is true for $E$ on any line which is not vertical.

2) If $E = i\mathbb{R}$, then by Theorem 2.3 one can see that functions in $X(i\mathbb{R})$ are the boundary values of functions in $A(\mathbb{C}_+)$. That is, $\varphi \in X(i\mathbb{R})$ if and only if there exists a function $f \in A(\mathbb{C}_+)$, such that

$$\varphi(i\tau) = \lim_{\sigma \to 0} f(\sigma + i\tau), \quad -\infty < \tau < +\infty.$$ 

5. Spherical approximation

In this section we try to extend the previous results when the uniform approximation is not meant with respect to the usual Euclidean distance but it is meant with respect to the chordal distance $\chi$. 
Lemma 4.1 implies that every polynomial can be approximated on 
\( \chi \), \( \chi \) being a proposition. Let \( \chi \) be precisely the family of mappings 
\( \chi \) is precisely the family of mappings \( \chi \)-uniform limits on \( K \) by polynomials.

\[ \chi(a,b) = \begin{cases} \frac{|a-b|}{\sqrt{1+|a|^2} \sqrt{1+|b|^2}} & \text{for } a, b \in \mathbb{C}, \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|a|^2}} & \text{for } a \in \mathbb{C}, b = \infty. \end{cases} \]

Obviously \( \chi(a,b) \leq |a - b| \) for \( a, b \in \mathbb{C} \).

Let us start with a compact set \( K \subset \mathbb{C} \) with connected complement. By Mergelyan’s theorem every Dirichlet polynomial can be approximated by polynomials uniformly in \( |.| \). Since \( \chi(a,b) \leq |a - b| \) for all \( a, b \in \mathbb{C} \), it follows that every Dirichlet polynomial can be approximated \( \chi \)-uniformly on \( K \) by polynomials. Lemma 4.2 implies that every polynomial can be approximated on \( K \) by Dirichlet polynomials. Since \( \chi(a,b) \leq |a - b| \), it follows that every polynomial can be approximated \( \chi \)-uniformly on \( K \) by Dirichlet polynomials. Thus we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 5.1.** Let \( K \subset \mathbb{C} \) be a compact set with connected complement. Then the set of \( \chi \)-uniform limits on \( K \) of Dirichlet polynomials coincides with the set of \( \chi \)-uniform limits on \( K \) of polynomials.

If \( K \) is a closed Jordan domain the set of \( \chi \)-uniform limits on \( K \) of polynomials is precisely the family of mappings \( \tilde{A}(K) = \{ f : K \to \mathbb{C} \cup \{ \infty \} \text{ continuous, } f \equiv \infty \text{ or } f(K^\circ) \subset \mathbb{C} \} \text{ and } f|_{K^\circ} \text{ holomorphic} \}. \) See [15] and the references therein. Combining this with the above proposition, we obtain the following result, which was proved in [21].

**Theorem 5.2.** Let \( K \) be the closure of a Jordan domain. Then the set of \( \chi \)-uniform limits on \( K \) of Dirichlet polynomials is precisely \( \tilde{A}(K) \).

For an arbitrary compact subset \( K \subset \mathbb{C} \), let \( \tilde{A}(K) \) be the family of continuous mappings \( f : K \to \mathbb{C} \cup \{ \infty \} \), such that for every component \( V \) of \( K^\circ \) either \( f|_V \equiv \infty \) or \( f(V) \subset \mathbb{C} \) and \( f|_V \) is holomorphic. In case \( K \) is a closed Jordan domain, this is consistent with our previous definition of \( \tilde{A}(K) \). It is well known that if \( f : K \to \mathbb{C} \cup \{ \infty \} \) is a \( \chi \)-uniform limit of functions holomorphic on \( K \), then \( f \in \tilde{A}(K) \). In particular, this is the case if \( f \) is a \( \chi \)-uniform limit on \( K \) of Dirichlet polynomials on \( K \) (see for example [15]). The converse has been proved in the particular case where \( K \) is the closure of a Jordan domain according to Theorem 5.2, but it is open in the general case of compact sets \( K \) with connected complement (see [15]). Thus we have the

**Question 5.3.** Let \( K \subset \mathbb{C} \) be a compact set with \( K^c \) connected. Let \( f \in \tilde{A}(K) \) and \( \varepsilon > 0 \); is it then true that there exists a Dirichlet polynomial \( P(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \frac{1}{n^s} \) such that \( \chi(f(s), P(s)) < \varepsilon \) for all \( s \in K \)?

By Proposition 5.1 this problem is equivalent to that of finding, for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \), an algebraic polynomial \( P \) such that \( \chi(f(s), P(s)) < \varepsilon \) for all \( s \in K \). This, in turn, is equivalent to finding, for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \), a rational function \( R \), pole-free on \( K \), such that \( \chi(f(s), R(s)) < \varepsilon \) for all \( s \in K \). Indeed, one direction is obvious, since every polynomial is a rational function pole-free on \( K \). Suppose, conversely, that there is a rational function \( R \) pole-free on \( K \) such that \( \chi(f(s), R(s)) < \varepsilon/2 \), for every \( s \in K \). By Runge’s theorem, there is a polynomial \( P \), such that \( |R(s) - P(s)| < \varepsilon/2 \), for
every \( s \in K \). Hence, for every \( s \in K \), we have

\[
\chi(f(s), P(s)) \leq \chi(f(s), R(s)) + \chi(R(s), P(s)) < \chi(f(s), R(s)) + |R(s) - P(s)| < \epsilon,
\]

which establishes the claim.

Combining Theorem 5.2 with a Laurent Decomposition (12), we obtain the following Theorem 5.4. We omit the proof, which is identical to the proof of Theorem 3.3 in [13], with the only difference that instead of polynomials we use Dirichlet polynomials.

**Theorem 5.4.** Let \( \Omega \subset \C \) be a domain bounded by a finite set of disjoint Jordan curves. Let \( K = \overline{\Omega} \) and \( A \) be a set containing a point from every bounded component of \( \C \setminus K \). Let \( f : K \to \C \cup \{ \infty \} \) be a continuous mapping. Then the following are equivalent:

1. The function \( f \) is the \( \chi \)-uniform limit on \( K \) of a sequence of functions of the form \( P_0(s) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} P_j \left( \frac{1}{s-z_j} \right) \) where \( P_j \) are Dirichlet polynomials and \( z_j \in A, \ j = 1, \ldots, N, \ N \in \N \) and \( P_0 \) is a Dirichlet polynomial.
2. The function \( f \) is the \( \chi \)-uniform limit on \( K \) of a sequence of functions of the form \( P_0(s) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} P_j \left( \frac{1}{s-z_j} \right) \) where \( z_j \in \C \setminus K, \ j = 1, \ldots, N, \ N \in \N \) and all \( P_j \) are Dirichlet polynomials.
3. \( f \in \overline{A}(K) \).

Since every domain has an exhaustion by compact sets \( K_m, \ m = 1, 2, \ldots \) of the form of the set \( K \) in Theorem 5.4 we obtain the following Runge type theorem.

**Theorem 5.5.** Let \( \Omega \) be a domain in \( \C \) and \( A \) be a set containing \( \infty \) and a point from each bounded component of \( \C \setminus \Omega \). Let \( f \equiv \infty \) or \( f : \Omega \to \C \) be holomorphic. Then \( f \) is the \( \chi \)-uniform limit on each compact subset of \( \Omega \) of functions of the form \( h = P_0(s) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} P_j \left( \frac{1}{s-z_j} \right) \), where \( P_j \) are Dirichlet polynomials for \( j = 0, \ldots, N, \) \( z_j \in A \setminus \{ \infty \}, \) for \( j = 1, \ldots, N \) and \( N \in \N \).

Conversely, every \( \chi \)-uniform limit on each compact subset of \( \Omega \) of functions of the form of \( h \) is either constant equal to \( \infty \) or holomorphic in \( \Omega \).

**Remark 5.6.** Earlier, we made some remarks concerning uniform approximation on lines by Dirichlet polynomials. Now we consider \( \chi \)-uniform approximation on the real line. Denote by \( X_\chi(\R) \) the \( \chi \)-uniform limits on \( \R \) of Dirichlet polynomials. If \( f \in X_\chi(\R) \), what can we say about \( f \) apart from the fact that it is \( \chi \)-continuous? The constant function \( f \equiv \infty \) can be thus approximated by the Dirichlet polynomials \( D_n(s) = n \). Trivially, every Dirichlet polynomial can be so approximated. Are there other examples?

Consider the special case of Dirichlet series \( D(s) \), which are \( \chi \)-uniformly convergent on \( \R \). We claim that \( \Sigma(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s} \) is such a series. It \( \chi \)-converges pointwise to the function \( \Sigma(\sigma) = \zeta(\sigma) \), for \( \sigma > 1 \) and \( \Sigma(\sigma) = \infty \), for \( \sigma \leq 1 \). The sum \( \Sigma(\sigma) \) is \( \chi \)-continuous on \( \R \). We wish to show that the series converges \( \chi \)-uniformly. Fix \( \epsilon > 0 \) and choose \( \sigma_\epsilon > 1 \) such that \( \chi(\Sigma(\sigma), 0) < \epsilon \), for \( \sigma \leq \sigma_\epsilon \). Choose \( N_1 \) such that \( \chi(\sum_{n=1}^{N} n^{-\sigma}, 0) < \epsilon \), for all \( N \geq N_1 \). It follows that \( \chi(\sum_{n=1}^{N} n^{-\sigma}, \infty) < \epsilon \), for all \( \sigma \leq \sigma_\epsilon \) and all \( N \geq N_1 \). Now, choose \( N_2 \) such that \( |\sum_{n=1}^{N} n^{-\sigma} - \zeta(\sigma)| < \epsilon \), for all \( N \geq N_2 \) and all \( \sigma \geq \sigma_\epsilon \). Now set \( N_\epsilon = \max\{N_1, N_2\} \). Then, \( N \geq N_\epsilon \) implies that \( \chi(\sum_{n=1}^{N} n^{-\sigma}, \Sigma(\sigma)) \leq 2\epsilon \), for all \( \sigma \in \R \). We have shown that the Dirichlet series \( \Sigma(\sigma) \) converges \( \chi \)-uniformly on \( \R \). The same holds for every Dirichlet series \( D(s) \).
with non-negative coefficients. It converges \( \chi \)-uniformly on all of \( \mathbb{R} \). It is finite for \( \sigma > \sigma_c(D) \) and it is \( \infty \) for \( \sigma \leq \sigma_c(D) \). This holds even if \( \sigma_c(D) = \pm \infty \).
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