PROCEEDINGS OF THE
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
Volume 146, Number 11, November 2018, Pages 4549–4562
https://doi.org/10.1090/proc/13805
Article electronically published on August 10, 2018

THE CHEBOTAREV INVARIANT OF A FINITE GROUP: A CONJECTURE OF KOWALSKI AND ZYWINA

ANDREA LUCCHINI

(Communicated by Pham Huu Tiep)

ABSTRACT. A subset $\{g_1, \dots, g_d\}$ of a finite group G invariably generates G if $\{g_1^{x_1}, \dots, g_d^{x_d}\}$ generates G for every choice of $x_i \in G$. The Chebotarev invariant $C(G)$ of G is the expected value of the random variable n that is minimal subject to the requirement that n randomly chosen elements of G invariably generate G. Confirming a conjecture of Kowalski and Zywina, we prove that there exists an absolute constant β such that $C(G) \leq \beta\sqrt{|G|}$ for all finite groups G.

1. INTRODUCTION

We say that a subset $\{g_1, \dots, g_d\}$ of a finite group G invariably generates G if $\{g_1^{x_1}, \dots, g_d^{x_d}\}$ generates G for every choice of $x_i \in G$. The Chebotarev invariant $C(G)$ of G is the expected value of the random variable n that is minimal subject to the requirement that n randomly chosen elements of G invariably generate G. The main motivation for introducing the invariant $C(G)$ is the relationship to Chebotarev's Theorem and the calculation of Galois groups of polynomials with integer coefficients. Chebotarev's Theorem provides elements of a suitable Galois group G, where the elements are obtained only up to conjugacy in G. The interest in the study of $C(G)$ comes from computational Galois theory, where there is a need to know how long one should expect to wait in order to ensure that choices of representatives from the conjugacy classes provided by Chebotarev's Theorem will generate G. This is discussed more carefully in [6] and [22].

In response to a question of Kowalski and Zywina [22], Kantor, Lubotzky, and Shalev [17] bounded the size of a randomly chosen set of elements of G that is likely to generate G invariably. As a corollary of their result, they proved that there exists an absolute constant c such that $C(G) \leq c\sqrt{|G|\log|G|}$ for all finite groups G ([17, Theorem 1.2]). This bound is close to best possible: as noticed in [17], sharply 2-transitive groups provide an infinite family of groups G for which $C(G) \sim \sqrt{|G|}$. In particular, $C(\mathrm{AGL}(1,q)) \sim q$ as $q \to \infty$ [22, Proposition 4.1]. In fact [22, Section 9] asks whether $C(G) = O(\sqrt{|G|})$ for all finite groups G. In this paper we give an affirmative answer.

Theorem 1. *There exists an absolute constant β such that $C(G) \leq \beta\sqrt{|G|}$ for all finite groups G.*

Received by the editors February 19, 2016, and, in revised form, November 7, 2016, and May 7, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20P05.

The author was partially supported by Università di Padova (Progetto di Ricerca di Ateneo: "Invariable generation of groups").

For $k \geq 1$, let $P_I(G,k)$ be the probability that k randomly chosen elements of G generate G invariably. An easy argument in probability theory shows that if $P_I(G,k) \geq \epsilon$, then $C(G) \leq k/\epsilon$. Indeed we obtain Theorem 1 as a corollary of the following result.

Theorem 2. *For any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists τ_ϵ such that $P_I(G,k) \geq 1-\epsilon$ for any finite group G and any $k \geq \tau_\epsilon \sqrt{|G|}$.*

One of the ingredients used in the proof of Theorem 2 is the notion of crown, introduced by Gaschütz in [7] in the case of finite solvable groups and generalized in [16] to arbitrary finite groups. The property of the crowns is enough to prove the theorem in the case of solvable groups, but in order to apply our arguments to arbitrary finite groups, we need some results relying on the classification of the finite simple groups. The first is a bound on the order of the first cohomology group of a finite group over a faithful irreducible module: if V is an irreducible faithful G-module over a finite field, then $|\,\mathrm{H}^1(G,V)| \leq \sqrt{V} < |V|$ (see [1] and [14]). This result is nearly sufficient for our purposes, but we need more precise information in the particular case when $|V| \leq |G|$ and the proportion of elements of G fixing no nontrival vector of V is small (see Proposition 10). Two other consequences of the classification of the finite simple groups are necessary to prove Lemma 13: there exists an absolute constant c_1 such that any finite group G has at most $c_1|G|^{3/2}$ maximal subgroups [19, Theorem 1.3] and the proportion of fixed-point-free permutations in a nonaffine primitive group of degree n is at least $c_2/\log n$, for some absolute constant $c_2 > 0$ [8, Theorem 8.1]. This last result in turn relies on a conjecture made independently by Boston and Shalev, stating that there exists an absolute constant $\epsilon > 0$ such that the proportion of fixed-point-free elements in any finite simple transitive permutation group is at least ϵ. This conjecture was proved for alternating groups by Łuczak and Pyber in [20] and for the simple groups of Lie type by Fulman and Guralnick in a series of four papers ([8], [9], [10], [11]).

In this paper we don't give any kind of estimation for the constant β appearing in the statement of Theorem 1. More recently, in a joint paper with Gareth Tracey [23], we proved that the methods and results introduced in this paper can be employed to show that for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a constant c_ϵ such that $C(G) \leq (1+\epsilon)\sqrt{|G|}+c_\epsilon$.

2. Crowns in finite groups

Let L be a monolithic primitive group and let A be its unique minimal normal subgroup. For each positive integer k, let L^k be the k-fold direct product of L. The crown-based power of L of size k is the subgroup L_k of L^k defined by

$$L_k = \{(l_1,\dots,l_k) \in L^k \mid l_1 \equiv \cdots \equiv l_k \bmod A\}.$$

Equivalently, $L_k = A^k \operatorname{diag} L^k$.

Following [16], we say that two irreducible G-groups A and B are G-equivalent and we put $A \sim_G B$ if there is an isomorphism $\Phi : A \rtimes G \to B \rtimes G$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & A \rtimes G & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Phi} & & \| & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & B \rtimes G & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & 1
\end{array}$$

Note that two G-isomorphic G-groups are G-equivalent. In the particular case where A and B are abelian the converse is true: if A and B are abelian and G-equivalent, then A and B are also G-isomorphic. It is proved (see for example [16, Proposition 1.4]) that two chief factors A and B of G are G-equivalent if and only if either they are G-isomorphic between them or there exists a maximal subgroup M of G such that $G/\operatorname{Core}_G(M)$ has two minimal normal subgroups N_1 and N_2 G-isomorphic to A and B respectively. For example, the minimal normal subgroups of a crown-based power L_k are all L_k-equivalent.

Let $A = X/Y$ be a chief factor of G. A complement U to A in G is a subgroup U of G such that $UX = G$ and $U \cap X = Y$. We say that $A = X/Y$ is a Frattini chief factor if X/Y is contained in the Frattini subgroup of G/Y; this is equivalent to saying that A is abelian and there is no complement to A in G. The number $\delta_G(A)$ of non-Frattini chief factors G-equivalent to A in any chief series of G does not depend on the series. Now, we denote by L_A the monolithic primitive group associated to A, that is,

$$L_A = \begin{cases} A \rtimes (G/C_G(A)) & \text{if } A \text{ is abelian,} \\ G/C_G(A) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If A is a non-Frattini chief factor of G, then L_A is a homomorphic image of G. More precisely, there exists a normal subgroup N of G such that $G/N \cong L_A$ and $\operatorname{soc}(G/N) \sim_G A$. Consider now all the normal subgroups N of G with the property that $G/N \cong L_A$ and $\operatorname{soc}(G/N) \sim_G A$: the intersection $R_G(A)$ of all these subgroups has the property that $G/R_G(A)$ is isomorphic to the crown-based power $(L_A)_{\delta_G(A)}$. The socle $I_G(A)/R_G(A)$ of $G/R_G(A)$ is called the A-crown of G, and it is a direct product of $\delta_G(A)$ minimal normal subgroups G-equivalent to A.

Lemma 3 ([2, Lemma 1.3.6])**.** *Let G be a finite group with trivial Frattini subgroup. There exists a crown $I_G(A)/R_G(A)$ and a nontrivial normal subgroup U of G such that $I_G(A) = R_G(A) \times U$.*

Lemma 4 ([4, Proposition 11])**.** *Assume that G is a finite group with trivial Frattini subgroup and let $I_G(A), R_G(A), U$ be as in the statement of Lemma 3. If $KU = KR_G(A) = G$, then $K = G$.*

3. Crown-based powers with abelian socle

In this section we will assume that H is a finite group acting irreducibly and faithfully on an elementary abelian p-group V. The semidirect product $L = V \rtimes H$ is a monolithic primitive group. For a positive integer u we consider the crown-based power L_u: we have that L_u is isomorphic to the semidirect product $G = V^u \rtimes H$, where we assume that the action of H is diagonal on V^u; that is, H acts in the same way on each of the u direct factors. We assume that $h_1, \ldots, h_d$ (invariably) generate H and we look for conditions ensuring the existence of d-elements $w_1, \ldots, w_d \in V^u$ such that $h_1 w_1, \ldots, h_d w_d$ (invariably) generate G. The case when $H = 1$ is trivial: $V \cong C_p$ is a cyclic group of prime order, and $G = C_p^u$ can be generated by d elements $w_1, \ldots, w_d$ if and only if $u \leq d$. So for the remaining part of this section we will assume $H \neq 1$. We will denote by $\operatorname{Der}(H, V)$ the set of derivations from H to V (i.e., the maps $\delta : H \to V$ with the property that $\delta(h_1 h_2) = \delta(h_1)^{h_2} + \delta(h_2)$ for every $h_1, h_2 \in H$). If $v \in V$, then the map $\delta_v : H \to V$ defined by $\delta_v(h) = [h, v]$ is a derivation. The set $\operatorname{InnDer}(H, V) = \{\delta_v \mid v \in V\}$ of

the inner derivations from H to V is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Der}(V,H)$, and the factor group $\mathrm{H}^1(H,V) = \mathrm{Der}(H,V)/\mathrm{InnDer}(H,V)$ is the first cohomology group of H with coefficients in V.

The following is a generalization of a similar partial result ([3, Proposition 2.1]), proved in the particular case when H is solvable or, more generally, when $\mathrm{H}^1(H,V)=0$.

Proposition 5. *Suppose that $H=\langle h_1,\dots,h_d\rangle$. Let $w_i=(w_{i,1},\dots,w_{i,u})\in V^u$ with $1\le i\le d$. The following are equivalent.*

(1) *$G\neq\langle h_1w_1,\dots,h_dw_d\rangle$;*
(2) *there exist $\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_u\in F=\mathrm{End}_H(V)$ and a derivation $\delta\in\mathrm{Der}(H,V)$ with $(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_u,\delta)\neq(0,\dots,0,0)$ such that $\sum_{1\le j\le u}\lambda_j w_{i,j}=\delta(h_i)$ for each $i\in\{1,\dots,d\}$.*

Proof. Let $K=\langle h_1w_1,\dots,h_dw_d\rangle$. First we prove, by induction on u, that if $K\neq G$, then (2) holds. Let $z_i=h_i(w_{i,1},\dots,w_{i,u-1},0)$ and let $Z=\langle z_1,\dots,z_d\rangle$. If $Z\ncong V^{u-1}H$, then, by induction, there exist $\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_{u-1}\in F$ and $\delta\in\mathrm{Der}(H,V)$ with $(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_{u-1},\delta)\neq(0,\dots,0,0)$ such that $\sum_{1\le j\le u-1}\lambda_j w_{i,j}=\delta(h_i)$ for each $i\in\{1,\dots,d\}$. In this case $\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_{u-1},0$, and δ are the requested elements.

So we may assume that $Z\cong V^{u-1}H$. Set $V_u=\{(0,\dots,0,v)\mid v\in V\}$. We have $ZV_u=KV_u=G$ and $Z\neq G$; this implies that Z is a complement of V_u in G and therefore there exists $\delta^*\in\mathrm{Der}(Z,V_u)$ such that $\delta^*(z_i)=w_{i,u}$ for each $i\in\{1,\dots,d\}$. By Propositions 2.7 and 2.10 of [1], there exist $\delta\in\mathrm{Der}(H,V)$ and $\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_{u-1}\in F$ such that for each $h(v_1,\dots,v_{u-1},0)\in Z$ we have

$$\delta^*(h(v_1,\dots,v_{u-1},0))=\delta(h)+\lambda_1v_1+\cdots+\lambda_{u-1}v_{u-1}.$$

In particular $-\sum_{1\le j\le u-1}\lambda_j w_{i,j}+w_{i,u}=\delta(h_i)$ for each $i\in\{1,\dots,d\}$; hence (2) holds.

Conversely, if (2) holds, then $\langle h(v_1,\dots,v_u)\mid\delta(h)=\lambda_1v_1+\cdots+\lambda_uv_u\rangle$ is a proper subgroup of G containing K. □

Notice that V, $\mathrm{Der}(H,V)$, and $\mathrm{H}^1(H,V)$ are vector spaces over $F=\mathrm{End}_H(V)$. Let $n:=\dim_F V=\dim_F\mathrm{InnDer}(H,V)$ and $m:=\dim_F\mathrm{H}^1(H,V)$. Clearly, we have $\dim_F\mathrm{Der}(H,V)=n+m$.

Let $\pi_i:V^u\mapsto V$ be the canonical projection on the i-th component:

$$\pi_i(v_1,\dots,v_u)=v_i.$$

Let $w_i=(w_{i,1},\dots,w_{i,u})\in V^u$, for $i\in\{1,\dots,d\}$, and consider the vectors

$$r_j=(\pi_j(w_1),\dots,\pi_j(w_d))=(w_{1,j},\dots,w_{d,j})\in V^d \text{ for } j\in\{1,\dots,u\}.$$

Proposition 5 says that the elements $h_1w_1,\dots,h_dw_d$ generate a proper subgroup of G if and only if there exists a nonzero vector $(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_u,\delta)$ in $F^u\times\mathrm{Der}(H,V)$ such that

$$\sum_{1\le j\le u}\lambda_j r_j=\big(\delta(h_1),\dots,\delta(h_d)\big).$$

Equivalently, $\langle h_1w_1,\dots,h_dw_d\rangle=G$ if and only if $r_1,\dots,r_u$ in V^d are linearly independent modulo the vector space

$$D=\{\big(\delta(h_1),\dots,\delta(h_d)\big)\in V^d\mid\delta\in\mathrm{Der}(H,V)\}.$$

Since $G=\langle h_1,\dots,h_d\rangle$, the map $\mathrm{Der}(H,V)\to D$ defined via $\delta\mapsto(\delta(h_1)\cdots\delta(h_d))$ is an F-isomorphism. In particular $\dim_F(D)=\dim_F(\mathrm{Der}(H,V))=n+m$, and so we

conclude that there exist elements $w_1, \dots, w_d$ in V^u such that $\langle h_1 w_1, \dots, h_d w_d \rangle = G$ if and only if $u \leq \dim_F(V^d) - \dim_F(D) = n(d-1) - m$.

We now discuss the same question in the case of invariable generation, generalizing to an arbitrary irreducible H-module V a partial result ([5, Proposition 8]) proved under the hypothesis $\mathrm{H}^1(H, V) = 0$.

Proposition 6. *Suppose that $h_1, \dots, h_d$ invariably generate H. Let $w_1, \dots, w_d \in V^u$ with $w_i = (w_{i,1}, \dots, w_{i,u})$. For $j \in \{1, \dots, u\}$, consider the vectors*

$$r_j = \big(\pi_j(w_1), \dots, \pi_j(w_d)\big) = (w_{1,j}, \dots, w_{d,j}) \in V^d.$$

Then $h_1 w_1, h_2 w_2, \dots, h_d w_d$ invariably generate $V^u \rtimes H$ if and only if the vectors $r_1, \dots, r_u$ are linearly independent modulo $D + W$ where

$$D = \{\big(\delta(h_1), \dots, \delta(h_d)\big) \in V^d \mid \delta \in \mathrm{Der}(H, V)\},$$
$$W = \{(u_1, \dots, u_d) \in V^d \mid u_i \in [h_i, V],\ i = 1, \dots, d\}.$$

In particular, there exist elements $w_1, \dots, w_d \in V^u$ such that $h_1 w_1, h_2 w_2, \dots, h_d w_d$ invariably generate $V^u \rtimes H$ if and only if $u \leq nd - \dim_F(D + W)$.

Proof. Let $g_i = y_i x_i$ with $x_i \in H$ and $y_i = (y_{i,1}, \dots, y_{i,u}) \in V^u$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and let $X_{g_1,\dots,g_d} = \langle (h_1 w_1)^{g_1}, \dots, (h_d w_d)^{g_d} \rangle$. We have

$$(h_i w_i)^{g_i} = (h_i^{y_i} w_i)^{x_i} = h_i^{x_i} ([h_i, y_i] + w_i)^{x_i} = h_i^{x_i} z_i,$$

where $z_i = ([h_i, y_i] + w_i)^{x_i} \in V^u$. Then $X_{g_1,\dots,g_d} = G$ if and only if the vectors

$$\big(\pi_j(z_1), \dots, \pi_j(z_d)\big) = \big(([h_1, y_{1,j}] + w_{1,j})^{x_1}, \dots, ([h_d, y_{d,j}] + w_{d,j})^{x_d}\big) \in V^d,$$

for $j \in \{1, \dots, u\}$, are linearly independent modulo the subspace

$$\begin{aligned} D^* &= \{\big(\delta(h_1^{x_1}), \dots, \delta(h_d^{x_d})\big) \in V^d \mid \delta \in \mathrm{Der}(H, V)\} \\ &= \left\{\Big(\big(\delta(h_1) - [h_1, \delta(x_1^{-1})]\big)^{x_1}, \dots, \big(\delta(h_d) - [h_d, \delta(x_d^{-1})]\big)^{x_d}\Big) \in V^d \mid \delta \in \mathrm{Der}(H, V)\right\} \end{aligned}$$

(we have indeed that $\delta(h^x) = \delta(x^{-1}hx) = \delta(x^{-1}h)^x + \delta(x) = (\delta(x^{-1}h) + \delta(x)^{x^{-1}})^x = (\delta(x^{-1})^h + \delta(h) - \delta(x^{-1}))^x = (\delta(h) - [h, \delta(x^{-1})])^x$).

Note that the map $f_{(x_1,\dots,x_d)} : V^d \mapsto V^d$ defined by

$$f_{(x_1,\dots,x_d)}(v_1, \dots, v_d) = (v_1^{x_1}, \dots, v_d^{x_d})$$

is an isomorphism. Therefore $X_{g_1,\dots,g_d} = G$ if and only if the vectors

$$\big([h_1, y_{1,j}] + w_{1,j}, \dots, [h_d, y_{d,j}] + w_{d,j}\big) = r_j + \big([h_1, y_{1,j}], \dots, [h_d, y_{d,j}]\big),$$

for $j = 1, \dots, u$, are linearly independent modulo the subspace

$$\left\{\big(\big(\delta(h_1) - [h_1, \delta(x_1^{-1})]\big), \dots, \big(\delta(h_d) - [h_d, \delta(x_d^{-1})]\big)\big) \in V^d \mid \delta \in \mathrm{Der}(H, V)\right\}.$$

Since this condition has to hold for every choice of $y_i \in V^u$ and $x_j \in H$, this means that the elements $r_1, \dots, r_u$ have to be linearly independent modulo the subspace $D + W$, as required. □

Lemma 7. *In the situation described in Proposition* 6 *and using the same notation, we have that*

$$nd - \dim_F(D + W) \geq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} \dim_F C_V(h_i) - m,$$

with $m = \dim_F \mathrm{H}^1(H, V)$.

Proof. Firstly, notice that

$$\dim_F W = \sum_{1\le i\le d} \dim_F [h_i, V] = \sum_{1\le i\le d} (n - \dim_F C_V(h_i)) = nd - \sum_{1\le i\le d} \dim_F C_V(h_i).$$

Moreover $D\cap W$ contains $I = \{\big(\delta(h_1),\dots,\delta(h_d)\big) \in V^d \mid \delta \in \mathrm{InnDer}(H,V)\}$, which is F-isomorphic to $\mathrm{InnDer}(H,V)$, and consequently

$$\begin{aligned}\dim_F(D+W) - \dim_F(W) &= \dim_F((D+W)/W) = \dim_F(D/(D\cap W))\\ &\le \dim_F D/I = \dim_F(\mathrm{Der}(H,V)/\mathrm{InnDer}(H,V))\\ &= \dim_F \mathrm{H}^1(H,V) = m.\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\dim_F(D+W) &\le \dim_F W + \dim_F \mathrm{H}^1(H,V)\\ &\le nd - \sum_{1\le i\le d} \dim_F C_V(h_i) + m.\end{aligned}$$

□

4. First cohomology groups for finite groups

For this section we will assume that H is a finite group, F is a field of finite characteristic, and V is a faithful and absolutely irreducible FH-module. Moreover let $n = \dim_F V$, $m = \dim_F \mathrm{H}^1(H,V)$.

In the proof of our main result we will need a sharp upper bound for m. The following result is available (see [1, Theorem A], [14, Theorem 1]):

Proposition 8. *Let $m \le \lfloor n/2 \rfloor \le n-1$.*

Guralnick made a conjecture that there should be a universal bound on the dimension of the first cohomology groups $\mathrm{H}^1(H,V)$, where H is a finite group and V is an absolutely irreducible faithful representation for H. The conjecture reduces to the case where H is a finite simple group. Very recently, computer calculations of Frank Lübeck, complemented by those of Leonard Scott and Tim Sprowl, have provided strong evidence that the Guralnick conjecture may unfortunately be false. However for our purpose it is not necessary that the Guralnick conjecture be true. A much weaker result, which will be discussed in this section, is enough. First we need a preliminary lemma.

Lemma 9. *If $m \neq 0$, then:*

1. *H has a unique minimal normal subgroup N and N is nonabelian.*
2. *If S is a component of N and W is an irreducible FN-submodule of V which is not centralized by S, then the other components of N act trivially on W.*
3. *$m \le \dim_F \mathrm{H}^1(S,W)$ for any irreducible submodule W of V which is not centralized by S.*
4. *Every element of $C_H(S)$ fixes at least a nonzero vector of V.*

Proof. It is well known that if K is an extension field of F, then $\mathrm{H}^1(H,V)\otimes_F K$ and $\mathrm{H}^1(H, V\otimes_F K)$ are naturally isomorphic, so may assume that F is algebraically closed. The first three statements are proved in [15, Lemma 5.2]. Let Ω be the set of irreducible FN-submodules of V which are not centralized by S and let $U = \sum_{W\in\Omega} W$. Let I be the stabilizer of U in H. It follows from (2) that $I = N_H(S)$. Since V is irreducible, U is an irreducible I-module. Let $R = SC_H(S)$. By

[15, Lemma 3.4], $\mathrm{H}^1(H,V) = \mathrm{H}^1(I,U)$, and by [15, Lemma 3.11], $\dim \mathrm{H}^1(I,U) \leq \dim \mathrm{H}^1(R,U)$. Since $R = S \times C_H(S)$, U is a direct sum of modules of the form $W \otimes X$ where $W \in \Omega$ and each X is an irreducible $C_H(S)$-module. By [15, Lemma 3.10] if all the X are nontrivial $C_H(S)$-modules, then $\mathrm{H}^1(R,U) = 0$, and so $\mathrm{H}^1(H,V) = 0$. So $C_H(S)$ acts trivially on some of the direct factors of U. □

Proposition 10. *Denote by p the probability that an element h of H centralizes a nonzero vector of V. There exists a constant α (independent on the choice of H and V) with the property that if $|V| \leq |H|$, then either $m \leq \alpha$ or $p|H| \geq m^2$.*

Proof. We may assume $m \neq 0$. By Lemma 9, H has a unique minimal normal subgroup $N \cong S^t$ where S is a nonabelian simple group. First assume $t \neq 1$. We may identify H with a subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut} S \wr K$ being K the transitive subgroup of $\mathrm{Sym}(t)$ induced by the conjugacy action of H on the components. It follows from Lemma 9(4) that

$$p|H| \geq |C_H(S)| \geq \frac{|H|}{t|\operatorname{Aut} S|} \geq \frac{|K||S|^{t-1}}{t|\operatorname{Out} S|},$$

while, since $2^n \leq q^n \leq |H|$, we have

$$m < n \leq \log|H| \leq \log(|\operatorname{Aut} S|^t |K|) \leq \log(|S|^{2t}|K|).$$

It follows that there exists τ such that $p|H| \geq m^2$ if $|S| \geq \tau$. On the other hand, there are only finitely many possible pairs (S,W) where S is a simple group of order at most τ and W is an irreducible FS-module with $\mathrm{H}^1(S,W) \neq 0$ (since $\mathrm{H}^1(S,W) = 0$ if S and W have coprime orders), so it follows from Lemma 9(3) that there exists α such that $m \leq \alpha$ whenever $|S| \leq \tau$.

So we may assume that H is an almost simple group and that $S = \operatorname{soc} H$ is a finite group of Lie type or alternating group, since the number of possibilities for H and V when H is sporadic and $\mathrm{H}^1(H,V) \neq 0$ is finite. Let r be the characteristic of F. The condition $m \neq 0$ implies that r divides $|H|$. Moreover all the elements of a Sylow r-subgroup of H centralize at least a nonzero vector of V, so $p|H| \geq |H|_r$, the largest power of r dividing $|H|$. We have three possibilities:

(a) $S = \mathrm{Alt}(k)$. Since $2^n \leq q^n \leq |H| \leq k!$, we have $n \leq k \log k$. By [13, Corollary 3], we have $m \leq n/(f-1)$ being f the largest prime such that $f \leq k-2$. Nagura [24] proved that for each $x \geq 25$, the interval $[x, 6x/5]$ contains a prime; hence if k is large enough, then $(f-1) \geq k/2$ and consequently $m \leq k \log k/(f-1) \leq 2 \log k$. We may assume $r \leq k/2$; otherwise a Sylow r-subgroup of H would be cyclic and this would imply that $m \leq 1$ (see [12, Proposition 2.5]). But then $k = ar + b$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{N}, a \geq 1$, and $b < r \leq k/2$. So $(k!)_r \geq r^a \geq r \cdot a \geq k/2$. We conclude that $|H|_r \geq k/2 \geq (2 \log k)^2 \geq m^2$ if k is large enough, say $k \geq \tau$. Since there are only finitely many possibilities of $k \leq \tau$ and an absolutely irreducible $\mathrm{Alt}(k)$-module V such that $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{Alt}(k), V) \neq 0$, we are done in this case.

(b) S is a group of Lie type defined over a field whose characteristic is different from the characteristic r of F. Let us denote by $\delta(S)$ the smallest degree of a nontrivial irreducible representation of S in cross characteristic. Lower bounds for the degree of irreducible representations of finite groups of Lie type in cross characteristic were found by Landazuri and Seitz [18] and improved later by Seitz and Zalesskii [26] and by Tiep [27]. It turns out that $\delta(S)$ is quite large, and, apart from finitely many exceptions, we have $r^{\delta(S)} > |\operatorname{Aut} S|$, in contradiction to $r^{\delta(S)} \leq |V| < |H| \leq |\operatorname{Aut} S|$.

(c) S is a group of Lie type defined over a field whose characteristic coincides with the characteristic r of F. We have $p|H| \geq |H|_r \geq |S|^{1/3}$ (see [21, Proposition 3.5]). On the other hand, $|V| \leq |H| \leq |S|^2$; hence $m \leq n/2 \leq \log |S|$, and again we can conclude that $p|H| \geq |S|^{1/3} \geq \log^2 |S| \geq m^2$ if $|S|$ is large enough. □

5. Auxiliary results

We begin this section with an elementary result in probability theory which will play a crucial role in our considerations. Let us denote by $B(m,p)$ the binomial random variable of parameters m and p.

Proposition 11. *For every real number $0 < \epsilon < 1$, there exists an absolute constant γ_ϵ such that, for any positive integer l and any positive real number $p < 1$, we have that $P(B(m,p) \geq l) \geq \epsilon$ if $m \geq \gamma_\epsilon l/p$.*

Proof. Let $M(t)$ be the moment generating function of the random variable $X = B(m,p)$. We have $M(t) = (pe^t + (1-p))^m$. By Chernoff's bounds (see for example [25, Chapter 8, Proposition 5.2]), $P(X \leq a) \leq e^{-ta}M(t)$ for every real negative number t. Taking $t = -1$ and $a = l$, we deduce that

$$P(X \leq l) \leq e^l(1-\alpha p)^m \quad \text{with } \alpha = (1 - 1/e).$$

In particular $P(X \geq l) \geq 1 - e^l(1-\alpha p)^m$, and we are reduced to proving that there exists γ_ϵ such that $e^l(1-\alpha p)^m \leq (1-\epsilon)$ if $m \geq \gamma_\epsilon l/p$. It suffices to choose γ_ϵ such that $(1-\alpha p)^{\gamma_\epsilon/p} \leq (1-\epsilon)/e$. Since $(1-\alpha p)^{\gamma_\epsilon/p} = (1-\alpha p)^{\alpha\gamma_\epsilon/\alpha p} \leq e^{-\gamma_\epsilon \alpha}$, it suffices to take $\gamma_\epsilon \geq (1 - \log(1-\epsilon))/\alpha$. □

From now on we will use the notation $\langle x_1, \ldots, x_d \rangle_I = G$ to say that $x_1, \ldots, x_d$ invariably generate G.

Lemma 12. *Assume that G is a finite group with trivial Frattini subgroup and let $I = I_G(A)$, $R = R_G(A)$, and U be as in the statement of Lemma 3. Let $g_1, \ldots, g_t \in G$. If $\langle g_1U, \ldots, g_tU \rangle_I = G/U$ and $\langle g_1R, \ldots, g_tR \rangle_I = G/R$, then $\langle g_1, \ldots, g_t \rangle_I = G$.*

Proof. Let $x_1, \ldots, x_t \in G$ and consider $K = \langle g_1^{x_1}, \ldots, g_t^{x_t} \rangle$. Since $\langle g_1U, \ldots, g_tU \rangle_I = G/U$ (and resp. $\langle g_1R, \ldots, g_tR \rangle_I = G/R$) we have $KU = G$ (and resp. $KR = G$). But then $K = G$ by Lemma 4. □

Lemma 13 ([17, Proof of Theorem 4.1])**.** *Denote by $P_G^*(k)$ the probability that k randomly chosen elements $g_1, \ldots, g_k \in G$ have the property that there exists a maximal subgroup M of G such that the primitive group $G/\operatorname{Core}_G(M)$ is not of affine type and $g_1, \ldots, g_k \in \bigcup_{g \in G} M^g$. For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists c_ϵ such that $P_G^*(k) \leq \epsilon$ for any finite group G and any $k \geq c_\epsilon(\log |G|)^2$.*

Proof. This result is part of the proof of [17, Theorem 4.1]. In the first part of that proof, the authors show that

$$P_G^*(k) \leq c_1\sqrt{|G|^3}\,(1 - c_2/\log |G|)^k$$

for some absolute constants c_1 and c_2 and notice that there exists c_3 such that if $k \geq c_3(\log |G|)^2$, then the right-hand tends to zero as $|G| \to \infty$. □

The authors of [17] noticed that the proof of the previous result uses [8, Theorem 8.1], which in turn relies on the conjecture due to Boston and Shalev stating that there exists an absolute constant $\epsilon > 0$ such that the proportion of fixed-point-free elements in any finite simple transitive permutation group is at least ϵ. However,

in [17] it was noticed that a weaker version of [8, Theorem 8.1] allows one to prove that for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists c_ϵ such that $P^*_G(k) \leq \epsilon$ for any finite group G and any $k \geq c_\epsilon(\log|G|)^3|G|^{1/3}$. This weaker version of Lemma 13 still suffices for our purpose.

We now introduce some other definitions. Let N be a normal subgroup of a finite group G and let $\Lambda_{G,N}$ be the set of the ordered sequences $(x_1,\dots,x_d) \in G^d$ (for any possible choice of d) having the property that $\langle Nx_1,\dots Nx_d\rangle_I = G/N$. For $\xi = (x_1,\dots,x_d) \in \Lambda_{G,N}$, denote by $P_I(G,N,\xi,k)$ the probability that k randomly chosen elements $y_1,\dots,y_k$ of G have the property that $\langle x_1,\dots,x_d,y_1,\dots,y_k\rangle_I = G$ and let

$$P_I(G,N,k) = \inf_{\xi\in\Lambda_{G,N}} P_I(G,N,\xi,k).$$

We have in particular that

$$P_I(G,k_1+k_2) \geq P_I(G/N,k_1)P_I(G,N,k_2)$$

for every $k_1,k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 14. *Assume that G is a finite group with trivial Frattini subgroup and let $I = I_G(A)$, $R = R_G(A)$, and U be as in the statement of Lemma 3. There exists an absolute constant c, independent of the choice of G, such that if $k \geq c\sqrt{|G|}$, then $P_I(G,U,k) \geq 3/4$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that there exists an absolute constant c, independent of the choice of G and ξ, such that if $k \geq c\sqrt{|G|}$, then $P_I(G,U,\xi,k) \geq 3/4$ for every $\xi \in \Lambda_{G,U}$. So we fix $\xi = (x_1,\dots,x_d) \in \Lambda_{G,U}$ and we estimate $P_I(G,U,\xi,k)$. Let $\bar{G} = G/R$ and $\bar{\xi} = (x_1R,\dots,x_dR) \in \bar{G}^d$. By Lemma 12, given $(y_1,\dots,y_k) \in H^k$, if $\langle x_1R,\dots,x_d,y_1R,\dots,y_kR\rangle_I = \bar{G}$, then $\langle x_1,\dots,x_d,y_1,\dots,y_k\rangle_I = G$. Hence $P_I(G,U,\xi,k) \geq P_I(\bar{G},\bar{U},\bar{\xi},k)$, and so we may assume $R = 1$. We have $R = R_G(A)$ where A is an irreducible G-group: in particular $G = L_\delta$ where L is the monolithic primitive group associated to A and $\delta = \delta_G(A)$.

First assume that A is nonabelian. We want to count the k-tuples $(y_1,\dots,y_k)$ such that $\langle x_1,\dots,x_d,y_1,\dots,y_k\rangle_I = G$. If $\langle x_1,\dots,x_d,y_1,\dots,y_k\rangle_I \neq G$, then there exists a maximal subgroup M of G such that

$$\{x_1,\dots,x_d,y_1,\dots,y_k\} \subseteq \bigcup_{g\in G} M^g.$$

This M cannot contain U; otherwise $\{Ux_1,\dots,Ux_d\} \subseteq \bigcup_{gU\in G/U}(M/U)^{gU}$, against the property that $Ux_1,\dots Ux_d$ invariably generate G/U. Thus $MU = G$, and, consequently, being $U \cong A^\delta$ with A nonabelian, the primitive group $G/\operatorname{Core}_G(M)$ is not of affine type and $\{y_1,\dots,y_k\} \subseteq \bigcup_{g\in G} M^g$. Hence, by Lemma 13, $P_I(G,U,\xi,k) \geq 1 - P^*_G(k) \geq 3/4$ if $k \geq c_{1/4}(\log|G|)^2$. Clearly there exists an absolute constant c^* such that $c_{1/4}(\log m)^2 \leq c^*\sqrt{m}$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

We assume now that A is abelian. In this case A is G-isomorphic to an irreducible G-module V. Moreover either $V \cong C_p$ is a trivial G-module and $G \cong (C_p)^\delta$ or $G \cong U \rtimes H$, where H acts in the same way on each of the δ factors of $U \cong V^\delta$ and this action is faithful and irreducible.

In the first case, denoting by $P(C_p^\delta, k)$ the probability that k elements of C_p^δ generate C_p^δ, we have

$$P_I(G,U,\xi,k) \geq P_I(C_p^\delta,k) = P(C_p^\delta,k) = \prod_{k-\delta+1\leq i\leq k}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^i}\right) \geq 1-\frac{p^\delta-1}{p-1}\frac{1}{p^k}$$
$$\geq 1-\frac{p^\delta}{p^k},$$

in particular $P_I(G,U,\xi,k) \geq 3/4$ if $k \geq \delta+2$. It suffices to choose $c \geq 3/\sqrt{2}$, since in that case $c\sqrt{|G|} \geq 3p^{\delta/2}/\sqrt{2} \geq \delta+2$.

In the second case, we have $G = V^\delta \rtimes H$ and we estimate $P_I(G,U,\xi,k)$ by applying Proposition 6. Let $F = \mathrm{End}_H V$, with $|F| = q$, and let $n = \dim_F V$ (so in particular $|V| = q^n$). For $i \in \{1,\dots,d\}$, let $x_i = k_i w_i$ with $w_i \in V^\delta$ and $k_i \in H$. Now choose $y_1,\dots,y_k \in G$, where $y_j = h_j w_j^*$ with $w_j^* \in V^\delta$ and $h_j \in H$. Given a subset $J = \{j_1,\dots,j_f\}$ of $I = \{1,\dots,k\}$, consider the projection $\pi_J : V^{d+k} \to V^f$ defined by setting $\pi_J(v_1,\dots,v_d,v_1^*,\dots,v_k^*) = (v_{j_1}^*,\dots,v_{j_f}^*)$, and for $t \in \{1,\dots,\delta\}$ let

$$r_t = (\pi_t(w_1),\dots,\pi_t(w_d),\pi_t(w_1^*),\dots,\pi_t(w_k^*)) \in V^{d+k},$$
$$r_{t,J} = \pi_J(r_t) = (\pi_t(w_{j_1}^*),\dots,\pi_t(w_{j_f}^*)) \in V^f.$$

Moreover let

$$W = \{(u_1,\dots,u_d,u_1^*,\dots,u_k^*) \,|\, u_i \in [k_i,V] \text{ for } 1\leq i\leq d, u_j^* \in [h_j,V] \text{ for } 1\leq j\leq k\},$$
$$D = \{\big(\delta(k_1),\dots,\delta(k_d),\delta(h_1),\dots,\delta(h_k)\big) \in V^{d+k} \mid \delta \in \mathrm{Der}(H,V)\},$$
$$W_J = \pi_J(W) = \{(u_{j_1}^*,\dots,u_{j_f}^*) \mid u_{j_i}^* \in [h_{j_i},V] \text{ for } 1\leq i\leq f\},$$
$$D_J = \pi_J(D) = \{\big(\delta(h_{j_1}),\dots,\delta(h_{j_f})\big) \in V^f \mid \delta \in \mathrm{Der}(H,V)\}.$$

Notice that if the vectors $r_{1,J},\dots,r_{\delta,J}$ are F-linearly independent modulo $W_J + D_J$ for some $J \subseteq I$, then $r_1,\dots,r_\delta$ are linearly independent modulo $W+D$ and, by Proposition 6, $\langle x_1,\dots,x_d,y_1,\dots,y_k\rangle_I = G$. Now let $m = \dim_F \mathrm{H}^1(H,V)$ and distinguish the following cases:

(a) $|H| \geq |V|\overline{m}^2$, with $\overline{m} = \max\{1,m\}$. Let Δ_l be the subset of H^k consisting of the k-tuples $(h_1,\dots,h_k)$ with the property that $C_V(h_i) \neq 0$ for at least l different choices of $i \in \{1,\dots,k\}$. If $(h_1,\dots,h_k) \in \Delta_l$, then, by Lemma 7, $W_I + D_I$ is a subspace of $V^k \cong F^{nk}$ of codimension at least $l-m$, so the probability that $r_{1,I},\dots,r_{\delta,I}$ are F-linearly independent modulo $W_I + D_I$ is at least

$$p_l = \left(\frac{q^{nk}-q^{nk-l+m}}{q^{nk}}\right)\cdots\left(\frac{q^{nk}-q^{nk-l+m+\delta-1}}{q^{nk}}\right)$$
$$= \left(1-\frac{1}{q^{l-m}}\right)\dots\left(1-\frac{q^{\delta-1}}{q^{l-m}}\right) \geq 1-\left(\frac{q^\delta-1}{q-1}\right)\frac{1}{q^{l-m}}.$$

Notice in particular that $p_l \geq 7/8$ if $l \geq \delta+m+3$; hence

$$P_I(G,U,\xi,k) \geq \frac{7\rho}{8},$$

where ρ denotes the probability that $(h_1,\dots,h_k) \in \Delta_{\delta+m+3}$. Therefore in order to conclude our proof it suffices to show that there exists a constant c_1 such that

$\rho \geq 6/7$ if $k \geq c_1\sqrt{|G|}$. Let p be the probability that a randomly chosen element h of H satisfies the condition $C_V(h) \neq 0$. We have

$$\rho = P(B(k,p) \geq \delta + m + 3).$$

Therefore, by Proposition 11, $\rho \geq 6/7$ if $k \geq \gamma(\delta + m + 3)/p$, being $\gamma = \gamma_{6/7}$. Let v be a fixed nonzero vector of V and let H_v be the stabilizer of $v \in H$. Clearly $p \geq |H_v|/|H| \geq 1/|V| = 1/q^n$; hence $\rho \geq 6/7$ if $k \geq \gamma(\delta + m + 3)q^n$. Since we are assuming $|G| = |H||V|^\delta \geq q^n\overline{m}^2 q^{n\delta} = q^{n(\delta+1)}\overline{m}^2$, there exists an absolute constant c_1 such that $\gamma(\delta+m+3)q^n \leq c_1\overline{m}q^{n(\delta+1)/2} \leq c_1\sqrt{|G|}$. Hence $\rho \geq 6/7$ if $k \geq c_1\sqrt{|G|}$.

(b) $|H| \geq |V|$ and $m \leq \alpha$, where α is the constant which appears in the statement of Proposition 10. Arguing as before, we have that $P_I(G,U,\xi,k) \geq 3/4$ if

$$\gamma(\delta + m + 3)q^n \leq \gamma(\delta + \alpha + 3)q^n \leq k.$$

We are assuming $|G| = |H||V|^\delta \geq q^n q^{n\delta} = q^{n(\delta+1)}$, so there exists a constant c_2 such that $\gamma(\delta + m + 3)q^n \leq \gamma(\delta + \alpha + 3)q^n \leq c_2 q^{n(\delta+1)/2} \leq c_2\sqrt{|G|}$.

(c) $|V| \leq |H| \leq |V|m^2$ and $m > \alpha$. We repeat the same argument as above, using the bound $p \geq |H|/m^2$, ensured by Proposition 10. We find that $P_I(G,U,\xi,k) \geq 3/4$ if $k \geq \gamma(\delta + m + 3)|H|/m^2$. Since $|H|^{1/2} \leq q^{n/2}m$, there exists a constant c_3 such that

$$\frac{\gamma(\delta + m + 3)|H|}{m^2} \leq \frac{\gamma(\delta + 4)|H|}{m} \leq \gamma(\delta + 4)|H|^{1/2}q^{n/2} \leq c_3|H|^{1/2}q^{n\delta/2} \leq c_3\sqrt{|G|}.$$

(d) $|H| \leq |V| = q^n$. Let Ω_l be the subset of H^k consisting of the k-tuples $(h_1,\dots,h_k)$ with the property that $h_i = 1$ for at least l different choices of $i \in I = \{1,\dots,k\}$. For a given $\omega \in \Omega_l$, let $J_\omega = \{i \in I \mid h_i = 1\}$ and let $l_\omega = |J_\omega| \geq l$. We have that $W_{J_\omega} + D_{J_\omega} = 0$, so the probability that $r_{1,J_\omega},\dots,r_{\delta,J_\omega}$ are F-linearly independent modulo $W_{J_\omega} + D_{J_\omega} = 0$ is at least

$$\begin{aligned} q_\omega &= \left(\frac{q^{nl_\omega} - 1}{q^{nl_\omega}}\right)\cdots\left(\frac{q^{nl_\omega} - q^{nl_\omega-\delta-1}}{q^{nl_\omega}}\right) \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^{nl_\omega}}\right)\cdots\left(1 - \frac{q^{\delta-1}}{q^{nl_\omega}}\right) \geq 1 - \left(\frac{q^\delta - 1}{q-1}\right)\frac{1}{q^{nl_\omega}} \geq 1 - \left(\frac{q^\delta - 1}{q-1}\right)\frac{1}{q^{nl}}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice in particular that $q_\omega \geq 7/8$ if $nl \geq \delta + 3$; hence

$$P_I(G,U,\xi,k) \geq \frac{7\rho}{8}.$$

where ρ denotes the probability that the number of trivial entries in $(h_1,\dots,h_k)$ is larger than $\lceil(\delta+3)/n\rceil \leq \delta + 3$. Therefore in order to conclude our proof it suffices to show that there exists a constant c_4 such that $\rho \geq 6/7$ if $k \geq c_4\sqrt{|G|}$. By Proposition 11, $\rho \geq 6/7$ if $k \geq \gamma(\delta+3)|H|$, being $\gamma = \gamma_{6/7}$. Since $|G| = |H||V^\delta|$ and $|H| \leq |V|$, there exists an absolute constant c_4 such that

$$\gamma(\delta+3)|H| \leq c_4|H|q^{n(\delta-1)/2} \leq c_4|H|^{1/2}q^{n\delta/2} \leq c_4\sqrt{|G|}.$$

Hence $\rho \geq 6/7$ if $k \geq c_4\sqrt{|G|}$.

If we take $c = \max\{c^*, \sqrt{3}/2, c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4\}$, we have $P_I(G,U,k) \geq 3/4$. □

6. Proof of Theorem 2

An easy argument (see the end of this section) shows that in order to prove Theorem 2 it suffices to prove the statement for a particular choice of the positive real number ϵ. So the proof of Theorem 2 will be a corollary of the following result:

Theorem 15. *Let $\bar{c} = 15c$ where c is a constant introduced in the statement of Lemma 14. If G is a finite group and $k \geq \bar{c}\sqrt{|G|}$, then $P_I(G,k) \geq 2/9$.*

Proof. Let $F_1 = \mathrm{Frat}(G)$. By Lemma 3, there exist a crown I_1/R_1 of G and a nontrivial normal subgroup U_1/F_1 of G/F_1 such that $I_1/F_1 = R_1/F_1 \times U_1/F_1$. If $U_1 = G$, then, since $k \geq \bar{c}\sqrt{|G|} \geq c\sqrt{|G|}$, $P_I(G,k) = P_I(G/F_1,k) \geq 3/4$ by Lemma 14. Otherwise let $F_2/U_1 = \mathrm{Frat}(G/U_1)$. Again by Lemma 3, there exist a crown I_2/R_2 of G and a nontrivial normal subgroup U_2/F_2 of G/F_2 such that $I_2/F_2 = R_2/F_2 \times U_2/F_2$. If $U_2 = G$, then there exist two integers k_1 and k_2, both larger than $c\sqrt{|G|}$ and such that $k_1 + k_2 \leq \bar{c}\sqrt{|G|}$. By Lemma 14, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_I(G,k) &\geq P_I(G,k_1+k_2) \geq P_I(G/U_1,k_1)P(G,U_1,k_2) \\ &= P_I(G/F_2,k_1)P(G,U_1,k_2) \geq \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Finally assume $G \neq U_2$. We have that $U_2/F_2 \sim_G A_2^{\delta_2}$ and $U_1/F_1 \sim_G A_1^{\delta_1}$, where A_1 and A_2 are non-G-equivalent chief factors of G; in particular $|A_1||A_2| \geq 6$ and consequently $|G|/|U_2| \leq |G|/6$. But then

$$\begin{aligned} k \geq \bar{c}\sqrt{|G|} = 15c\sqrt{|G|} &\geq 30 \cdot c\sqrt{\frac{|G|}{6}} + c\sqrt{\frac{|G|}{2}} + c\sqrt{|G|} + 4 \\ &\geq 2\left\lceil \bar{c}\sqrt{|G/U_2|}\right\rceil + \left\lceil c\sqrt{|G/U_1|}\right\rceil + \left\lceil c\sqrt{|G|}\right\rceil, \end{aligned}$$

and there exist three integers k_1, k_2, and k_3 such that

$$k_1 + k_2 + k_3 \leq k, \quad k_1 \geq 2\left\lceil \bar{c}\sqrt{|G/U_2|}\right\rceil, \quad k_2 \geq c\sqrt{|G/U_1|}, \quad \text{and} \quad k_3 \geq c\sqrt{|G|}.$$

By induction, if $t \geq \bar{c}\sqrt{|G/U_2|}$, then $p = P_I(G/U_2,t) \geq 2/9$, and consequently

$$P_I(G/U_2,2t) \geq 1 - (1-p)^2 = 2p - p^2 \geq 32/81.$$

Hence the probability that $(x_1,\dots,x_{k_1}) \in G^{k_1}$ satisfies the condition

$$\langle x_1U_2,\dots,x_{k_1}U_2\rangle_I = G/U_2$$

is at least $32/81$. Applying Lemma 14 twice, we conclude that

$$P_I(G,k_1+k_2+k_3) \geq \frac{32}{81}\cdot\frac{3}{4}\cdot\frac{3}{4} = \frac{2}{9}. \qquad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 2. Given $0 < \epsilon < 1$, there exists a positive integer t such that $\epsilon \geq (7/9)^t$. Let $\tau_\epsilon = t(1+\bar{c})$ where $\bar{c}$ is the constant introduced in the statement of Theorem 15. Let k be an integer larger than $\tau_\epsilon\sqrt{|G|}$. We have

$$t\left\lceil \bar{c}\sqrt{|G|}\right\rceil \leq t\bar{c}\sqrt{|G|} + t = \tau_\epsilon\sqrt{G} \leq k.$$

Hence there exist t integers $k_1,\dots,k_t$ such that $k_1 + \cdots + k_t \leq k$ and $k_i \geq \bar{c}\sqrt{|G|}$ for all $i \in \{1,\dots,t\}$. It follows that

$$P_I(G,k) \geq P_I(G,k_1+\cdots+k_t) \geq 1 - \prod_{1\leq i\leq t}(1 - P_I(G,k_i)) \geq 1 - (7/9)^t \geq 1 - \epsilon$$

since $P_I(G, k_i) \geq 2/9$ by Theorem 15. □

As we said in the introduction, in [23] we improved Theorem 1, proving that for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a constant c_ϵ such that $C(G) \leq (1+\epsilon)\sqrt{|G|} + c_\epsilon$. As we noticed in that paper (see in particular [23, Proposition 8]), the proof of Lemma 14 implies that the difference $\alpha_U = C(G) - C(G/U)$ is "small" if U is nonabelian and can be bounded in terms of δ, q, n, m, and $|H|$ when U is abelian. This gives some hints on the structure of the finite groups G with $C(G) \sim \sqrt{|G|}$. For these groups we should have that either U is very small or U is abelian, $\delta = 1$, and $\alpha_U \sim \sqrt{|H||V|} \sim \sqrt{|G|}$. In other words, if $C(G) \sim \sqrt{G}$, then G should have a large epimorphic image $X = V \rtimes H$ with $C(X) \sim \sqrt{X}$. It seems reasonable to conjecture that, for large X, this would imply that X is metabelian, although the discussion of the case when $\mathrm{H}^1(H, V) \neq 0$ could present some difficulties.

References

[1] M. Aschbacher and R. Guralnick, *Some applications of the first cohomology group*, J. Algebra **90** (1984), no. 2, 446–460, DOI 10.1016/0021-8693(84)90183-2. MR760022

[2] Adolfo Ballester-Bolinches and Luis M. Ezquerro, *Classes of finite groups*, Mathematics and Its Applications (Springer), vol. 584, Springer, Dordrecht, 2006. MR2241927

[3] Eleonora Crestani and Andrea Lucchini, *d-Wise generation of prosolvable groups*, J. Algebra **369** (2012), 59–69, DOI 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2012.07.014. MR2959786

[4] E. Detomi and A. Lucchini, *Crowns and factorization of the probabilistic zeta function of a finite group*, J. Algebra **265** (2003), no. 2, 651–668, DOI 10.1016/S0021-8693(03)00275-8. MR1987022

[5] Eloisa Detomi and Andrea Lucchini, *Invariable generation with elements of coprime prime-power orders*, J. Algebra **423** (2015), 683–701, DOI 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2014.10.037. MR3283736

[6] John D. Dixon, *Random sets which invariably generate the symmetric group*, Discrete Math. **105** (1992), no. 1-3, 25–39, DOI 10.1016/0012-365X(92)90129-4. MR1180190

[7] Wolfgang Gaschütz, *Praefrattinigruppen* (German), Arch. Math. (Basel) **13** (1962), 418–426, DOI 10.1007/BF01650090. MR0146262

[8] Jason Fulman and Robert Guralnick, *Derangements in simple and primitive groups*, Groups, combinatorics & geometry (Durham, 2001), World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2003, pp. 99–121, DOI 10.1142/9789812564481_0006. MR1994962

[9] Jason Fulman and Robert Guralnick, *Bounds on the number and sizes of conjugacy classes in finite Chevalley groups with applications to derangements*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **364** (2012), no. 6, 3023–3070, DOI 10.1090/S0002-9947-2012-05427-4. MR2888238

[10] Jason Fulman and Robert Guralnick, *Derangements in subspace actions of finite classical groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **369** (2017), no. 4, 2521–2572, DOI 10.1090/tran/6721. MR3592520

[11] Jason Fulman and Robert Guralnick, *Derangements in finite classical groups for actions related to extension field and imprimitive subgroups and the solution of the Boston–Shalev conjecture*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **370** (2018), no. 7, 4601–4622, DOI 10.1090/tran/7377. MR3812089

[12] Robert M. Guralnick, *Generation of simple groups*, J. Algebra **103** (1986), no. 1, 381–401, DOI 10.1016/0021-8693(86)90194-8. MR860714

[13] Robert M. Guralnick and Wolfgang Kimmerle, *On the cohomology of alternating and symmetric groups and decomposition of relation modules*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **69** (1990), no. 2, 135–140, DOI 10.1016/0022-4049(90)90038-J. MR1086556

[14] Robert M. Guralnick and Corneliu Hoffman, *The first cohomology group and generation of simple groups*, Groups and geometries (Siena, 1996), Trends Math., Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998, pp. 81–89. MR1644977

[15] Robert Guralnick, William M. Kantor, Martin Kassabov, and Alexander Lubotzky, *Presentations of finite simple groups: profinite and cohomological approaches*, Groups Geom. Dyn. **1** (2007), no. 4, 469–523, DOI 10.4171/GGD/22. MR2357481

[16] Paz Jiménez-Seral and Julio P. Lafuente, *On complemented nonabelian chief factors of a finite group*, Israel J. Math. **106** (1998), 177–188, DOI 10.1007/BF02773467. MR1656885

[17] W. M. Kantor, A. Lubotzky, and A. Shalev, *Invariable generation and the Chebotarev invariant of a finite group*, J. Algebra **348** (2011), 302–314, DOI 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2011.09.022. MR2852243

[18] Vicente Landazuri and Gary M. Seitz, *On the minimal degrees of projective representations of the finite Chevalley groups*, J. Algebra **32** (1974), 418–443, DOI 10.1016/0021-8693(74)90150-1. MR0360852

[19] Martin W. Liebeck, Laszlo Pyber, and Aner Shalev, *On a conjecture of G. E. Wall*, J. Algebra **317** (2007), no. 1, 184–197, DOI 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2006.10.047. MR2360145

[20] Tomasz Łuczak and László Pyber, *On random generation of the symmetric group*, Combin. Probab. Comput. **2** (1993), no. 4, 505–512, DOI 10.1017/S0963548300000869. MR1264722

[21] Wolfgang Kimmerle, Richard Lyons, Robert Sandling, and David N. Teague, *Composition factors from the group ring and Artin's theorem on orders of simple groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **60** (1990), no. 1, 89–122, DOI 10.1112/plms/s3-60.1.89. MR1023806

[22] Emmanuel Kowalski and David Zywina, *The Chebotarev invariant of a finite group*, Exp. Math. **21** (2012), no. 1, 38–56, DOI 10.1080/10586458.2011.565261. MR2904906

[23] Andrea Lucchini and Gareth Tracey, *An upper bound on the Chebotarev invariant of a finite group*, Israel J. Math. **219** (2017), no. 1, 449–467, DOI 10.1007/s11856-017-1507-x. MR3642029

[24] Jitsuro Nagura, *On the interval containing at least one prime number*, Proc. Japan Acad. **28** (1952), 177–181. MR0050615

[25] Sheldon Ross, *A first course in probability*, 2nd ed., Macmillan Co., New York; Collier Macmillan Ltd., London, 1984. MR732623

[26] Gary M. Seitz and Alexander E. Zalesskii, *On the minimal degrees of projective representations of the finite Chevalley groups. II*, J. Algebra **158** (1993), no. 1, 233–243, DOI 10.1006/jabr.1993.1132. MR1223676

[27] Pham Huu Tiep, *Low dimensional representations of finite quasisimple groups*, Groups, combinatorics & geometry (Durham, 2001), World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2003, pp. 277–294, DOI 10.1142/9789812564481_0017. MR1994973

Dipartimento di Matematica, University of Padova, Via Trieste 63, 35121 Padova, Italy

Email address: lucchini@math.unipd.it