

A CLASS OF COMPLETELY MIXED MONOTONIC FUNCTIONS INVOLVING THE GAMMA FUNCTION WITH APPLICATIONS

ZHEN-HANG YANG AND JING-FENG TIAN

(Communicated by Mourad Ismail)

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce the notion of completely mixed monotonicity of a function of several variables, very few of which have appeared. We give a necessary and sufficient condition for a function constructed by ratios of gamma functions to be completely mixed monotonic. From this, some new inequalities for gamma, psi, and polygamma functions are derived.

1. INTRODUCTION

Recall that a function f is called completely monotonic (for short, CM) on an interval I if f has derivatives of all orders on I and satisfies

$$(-1)^k (f(x))^{(k)} \geq 0$$

for all $k \geq 0$ on I (see [1], [2]). A function f is called logarithmically completely monotonic (for short, LCM) on an interval I if f has derivatives of all orders on I and its logarithm $\ln f$ satisfies

$$(-1)^k (\ln f(x))^{(k)} \geq 0$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ on I (see [3], [4]). The celebrated Bernstein–Widder’s Theorem [2, p. 161, Theorem 12b] states that a necessary and sufficient condition that $f(x)$ should be completely monotonic for $0 < x < \infty$ is that

$$f(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-xt} d\alpha(t),$$

where $\alpha(t)$ is nondecreasing and the integral converges for $0 < x < \infty$.

For convenience, we denote the sets of the completely and logarithmically completely monotonic functions on I by $\mathcal{C}[I]$ and $\mathcal{L}[I]$, respectively.

We now introduce the notion of a completely monotonic function in several variables.

Definition 1 ([5, Theorem 4.2.2]). A function $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$ is called completely monotonic in a cone $X = X_1 \times X_2 \times \dots \times X_k$ if it is C^∞ and satisfies

$$(-1)^{n_1+n_2+\dots+n_k} \frac{\partial^{n_1+n_2+\dots+n_k} f}{\partial x_1^{n_1} \partial x_2^{n_2} \dots \partial x_k^{n_k}} \geq 0$$

Received by the editors January 2, 2018.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 33B15, 26B25; Secondary 26D15.

Key words and phrases. Completely mixed monotonic function, gamma function, psi function, polygamma function, inequality.

The second author is the corresponding author.

for all combinations $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k \geq 0$. This class of completely monotonic functions is denoted by $\mathcal{C}[X]$.

Remark 1. To avoid confusion with univariate functions, we prefer to say that $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$ is completely mixed monotonic on X .

Remark 2. If a function $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$ is completely mixed monotonic in a cone X , then f is completely monotonic in every x_j on $X_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

The classical Euler’s gamma function Γ is defined by

$$(1.1) \quad \Gamma(x) = \int_0^\infty t^{x-1} e^{-t} dt$$

for $x > 0$, and its logarithmic derivative $\psi(x) = \Gamma'(x) / \Gamma(x)$ is known as the psi or digamma function, while ψ', ψ'', \dots are called polygamma functions.

In 1986, Ismail, Lorch and Muldoon [6] showed that the function

$$x \mapsto x^{b-a} \frac{\Gamma(x+a)}{\Gamma(x+b)}$$

for $a > b \geq 0$ is logarithmically completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $a + b \geq 1$. In the same year, Bustoz and Ismail [7] further presented some complete monotonicity results involving ratios of gamma functions. Since then, many papers on this topic have been published; see for example, [4], [8], [9], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15], [16], [17], [18], [19], [20].

However, there are very few articles on complete mixed monotonicity in various journals. The aim of this paper is to investigate the complete mixed monotonicity of the ratio $\mathcal{G}_{p,q}(x, y) / \mathcal{G}_{r,s}(x, y)$, where

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{G}_{p,q}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \left[\frac{\Gamma(x+p)\Gamma(y+q)}{\Gamma(y+p)\Gamma(x+q)} \right]^{1/((p-q)(x-y))} & \text{if } (p-q)(x-y) \neq 0, \\ \exp \left[\frac{\psi(x+p) - \psi(y+p)}{x-y} \right] & \text{if } p = q, x \neq y, \\ \exp \left[\frac{\psi(x+p) - \psi(x+q)}{p-q} \right] & \text{if } p \neq q, x = y, \\ \exp[\psi'(x+p)] & \text{if } p = q, x = y \end{cases}$$

for $x, y > -\min(p, q)$.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we list some properties of an important auxiliary function $\eta_{u,v} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined for $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ by

$$(1.3) \quad \eta_{u,v}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{e^{-ut} - e^{-vt}}{v-u} & \text{if } u \neq v, \\ te^{-ut} & \text{if } u = v. \end{cases}$$

These properties, especially Properties 3-4, are crucial to the proof of our results. In Sections 3, the necessary and sufficient conditions for $\ln(\mathcal{G}_{p,q}(x, y) / \mathcal{G}_{r,s}(x, y))$ to be completely mixed monotonic are stated and proved. In the last section, as applications, some new inequalities involving gamma, psi, and polygamma functions are established.

2. PROPERTIES OF THE AUXILIARY FUNCTION $\eta_{u,v}(t)$

The auxiliary function $\eta_{u,v}(t)$ has the following properties, which were listed in [21, Section 2].

Property 1. We have

$$(2.1) \quad \eta_{u,v}(t) = t \int_0^1 \exp(-utx - vt(1-x)) dx,$$

$$(2.2) \quad \eta_{u,v}(t) = \begin{cases} e^{-(u+v)t/2} \frac{\sinh[(u-v)t/2]}{(u-v)/2} & \text{if } u \neq v, \\ te^{-ut} & \text{if } u = v. \end{cases}$$

Property 2. Let $u, v, t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\eta_{u,v}(t)$ satisfies that

- (i) $\eta_{u,v}(t) > (<) 0$ for $t > (<) 0$;
- (ii) $\eta_{u,v}(t) = \eta_{v,u}(t)$;
- (iii) $e^{-\rho t} \eta_{u-\rho, v-\rho}(t) = \eta_{u,v}(t)$ for any $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$.

Property 3. Let $u, v, r, s \in \mathbb{R}$, and let $\eta_{u,v}$ be defined on $(0, \infty)$ by (2.1). Then the comparison inequality $\eta_{u,v}(t) \geq \eta_{r,s}(t)$ holds for all $t > 0$ if and only if

$$(2.3) \quad u + v \leq r + s \quad \text{and} \quad \min(u, v) \leq \min(r, s).$$

The auxiliary function $\eta_{u,v}(t)$ also has a new property.

Property 4. The function $(u, v) \mapsto \eta_{u,v}(t)$ is strictly completely mixed monotonic on \mathbb{R}^2 for $t \in (0, \infty)$.

Proof. Using integral representation (2.1), we immediately get

$$(2.4) \quad (-1)^n \frac{\partial^n \eta_{u,v}}{\partial u^k \partial v^{n-k}} = t^{n+1} \int_0^1 x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \exp(-utx - vt(1-x)) dx > 0$$

for $t \in (0, \infty)$, which proves the completely mixed monotonicity of $\eta_{u,v}(t)$ with respect to (u, v) on \mathbb{R}^2 . □

3. MAIN RESULTS

With the aid of those properties of $\eta_{u,v}(t)$ presented in the previous section, the aim of this section is to investigate the complete mixed monotonicity of $(p, q, x, y) \mapsto \mathcal{G}_{p,q}(x, y)$ and the necessary and sufficient conditions for $(x, y) \mapsto \ln(\mathcal{G}_{p,q}(x, y) / \mathcal{G}_{r,s}(x, y))$ to be completely mixed monotonic.

Theorem 1. Let $\mathcal{G}_{p,q}(x, y)$ be defined by (1.2). Then $(p, q, x, y) \mapsto \ln(\mathcal{G}_{p,q}(x, y))$ is completely mixed monotonic on Ω , where

$$\Omega = \{x, y > -\min(p, q), p, q, x, y \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Proof. To prove the desired assertion, we need an integral representation of $\ln \mathcal{G}_{p,q}(x, y)$:

$$(3.1) \quad \ln \mathcal{G}_{p,q}(x, y) = \int_0^\infty \eta_{p,q}(t) \eta_{x,y}(t) \frac{dt}{t(1-e^{-t})},$$

where $\eta_{p,q}(t)$ is defined by (1.3). In fact, by using the integral representation of $\ln \Gamma(z)$ [22, p. 258, (6.1.50)]

$$(3.2) \quad \ln \Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty \left[(z-1)e^{-t} - \frac{e^{-t} - e^{-zt}}{1 - e^{-t}} \right] \frac{dt}{t} \quad (\text{Re}(z) > 0),$$

we get that for $(x - y)(u - v) \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \mathcal{G}_{p,q}(x, y) &= \frac{\ln \Gamma(x + p) + \ln \Gamma(y + q) - \ln \Gamma(y + p) - \ln \Gamma(x + q)}{(p - q)(x - y)} \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-t(x+p)} + e^{-t(y+q)} - e^{-t(y+p)} - e^{-t(x+q)}}{(p - q)(x - y)} \frac{dt}{t(1 - e^{-t})} \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-tp} - e^{-tq}}{q - p} \frac{e^{-tx} - e^{-ty}}{y - x} \frac{dt}{t(1 - e^{-t})} = \int_0^\infty \eta_{p,q}(t) \eta_{x,y}(t) \frac{dt}{t(1 - e^{-t})}, \end{aligned}$$

which is also true for $(p - q)(x - y) = 0$.

Now by (2.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(-1)^{n_1+n_2+n_3+n_4} \frac{\partial^{n_1+n_2+n_3+n_4} [\ln \mathcal{G}_{p,q}(x, y)]}{\partial p^{n_1} \partial q^{n_2} \partial x^{n_3} \partial y^{n_4}} \\ &= \int_0^\infty \left[(-1)^{n_1+n_2} \frac{\partial^{n_1+n_2} \eta_{p,q}(t)}{\partial p^{n_1} \partial q^{n_2}} \right] \left[(-1)^{n_3+n_4} \frac{\partial^{n_3+n_4} \eta_{x,y}(t)}{\partial x^{n_3} \partial y^{n_4}} \right] \frac{dt}{t(1 - e^{-t})} > 0, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

Remark 3. Theorem 1 yields $x, y \mapsto \ln \mathcal{G}_{p,q}(x, y) \in \mathcal{C} [(-\min(p, q), \infty)]$, that is to say, $\ln \mathcal{G}_{p,q}(x, y)$ is a CM function both in x and y on $(-\min(p, q), \infty)$. This was first proved in [10, Theorem 3] by Qi.

Theorem 2. For fixed $p, q, r, s \in \mathbb{R}$, $\rho = \min(p, q, r, s)$, let $\mathcal{G}_{p,q}(x, y)$ be defined on $(-\min(p, q), \infty)^2$ by (1.2). Then $\ln(\mathcal{G}_{p,q}/\mathcal{G}_{r,s}) \in \mathcal{C} [(-\rho, \infty)^2]$ if and only if

$$(3.3) \quad p + q \leq r + s \quad \text{and} \quad \min(p, q) \leq \min(r, s).$$

Proof. From the integral representation (3.1), we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad \ln \frac{\mathcal{G}_{p,q}(x, y)}{\mathcal{G}_{r,s}(x, y)} = \int_0^\infty [\eta_{p,q}(t) - \eta_{r,s}(t)] \frac{\eta_{x,y}(t)}{t(1 - e^{-t})} dt.$$

We first prove the sufficiency. By the integral representation (3.1) we easily find that

$$(3.5) \quad (-1)^n \frac{\partial^n \ln(\mathcal{G}_{p,q}/\mathcal{G}_{r,s})}{\partial x^k \partial y^{n-k}} = \int_0^\infty \frac{\eta_{p,q}(t) - \eta_{r,s}(t)}{t(1 - e^{-t})} \left[(-1)^n \frac{\partial^n \eta_{x,y}(t)}{\partial x^k \partial y^{n-k}} \right] dt.$$

The application of Property 3 yields $\eta_{p,q}(t) - \eta_{r,s}(t) \geq 0$ if $p + q \leq r + s$ and $\min(p, q) \leq \min(r, s)$, while $\eta_{x,y}(t)$ is completely mixed monotonic in (x, y) on $(-\rho, \infty)^2$ due to Property 4. This proves the sufficiency.

The necessity can follow from the inequality $\ln(\mathcal{G}_{p,q}(x, x)/\mathcal{G}_{r,s}(x, x)) \geq 0$, that is, $\mathcal{G}_{p,q}(x, x) \geq \mathcal{G}_{r,s}(x, x)$, for all $x > -\rho$. If $(p - q)(r - s) \neq 0$, then this is equivalent to

$$\frac{\psi(x + p) - \psi(x + q)}{p - q} \geq \frac{\psi(x + r) - \psi(x + s)}{r - s},$$

which can be changed into

$$(3.6) \quad \psi_1^{-1} \left(\frac{\int_q^p \psi_1(x + t) dt}{p - q} \right) - x \leq \psi_1^{-1} \left(\frac{\int_s^r \psi_1(x + t) dt}{r - s} \right) - x,$$

where $\psi_n = (-1)^{n-1} \psi^{(n)}$ is decreasing on $(0, \infty)$.

It has been shown in [20, Theorem 1.3] that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow -\min(p,q)} A_{\psi_n}(x; p, q) &= \lim_{x \rightarrow -\min(p,q)} \left[\psi_n^{-1} \left(\frac{\int_q^p \psi_n(x+t) dt}{p-q} \right) - x \right] = \min(p, q), \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} A_{\psi_n}(x; p, q) &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left[\psi_n^{-1} \left(\frac{\int_q^p \psi_n(x+t) dt}{p-q} \right) - x \right] = \frac{p+q}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then letting $x \rightarrow \infty$ in inequality (3.6) yields

$$\frac{p+q}{2} \leq \frac{r+s}{2},$$

which is the first necessary condition. We claim that the second one is $\min(p, q) \leq \min(r, s)$. If not, that is, $\min(p, q) > \min(r, s)$, this indicates $\rho = \min(p, q, r, s) = \min(r, s)$. Taking $x \rightarrow -\rho = -\min(r, s)$ in inequality (3.6) leads to

$$\psi_1^{-1} \left(\frac{\int_q^p \psi_1(t - \min(r, s)) dt}{p-q} \right) + \min(r, s) \leq \min(r, s),$$

which is obviously a contradiction. Clearly, this is also true if $(p-q)(r-s) = 0$. We thus prove the desired assertion. \square

For $p \neq q$ and $s = r + 1, r$, the ratio $\mathcal{G}_{p,q}(x, y) / \mathcal{G}_{r,s}(x, y)$ can be written as

$$\frac{\mathcal{G}_{p,q}(x, y)}{\mathcal{G}_{r,r+1}(x, y)} = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{y+r}{x+r} \right)^{1/(x-y)} \left[\frac{\Gamma(x+p)\Gamma(y+q)}{\Gamma(x+q)\Gamma(y+p)} \right]^{1/((p-q)(x-y))} & \text{if } x \neq y, \\ \exp \left(\frac{\psi(x+p) - \psi(x+q)}{p-q} - \frac{1}{x+r} \right) & \text{if } x = y, \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\mathcal{G}_{p,q}(x, y)}{\mathcal{G}_{r,r}(x, y)} \\ &= \begin{cases} \left[\frac{\Gamma(x+p)\Gamma(y+q)}{\Gamma(x+q)\Gamma(y+p)} \right]^{1/((p-q)(x-y))} \exp \left[-\frac{\psi(x+r) - \psi(y+r)}{x-y} \right] & \text{if } x \neq y, \\ \exp \left[\frac{\psi(x+p) - \psi(x+q)}{p-q} - \psi'(x+r) \right] & \text{if } x = y. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Then by Theorem 2, the following corollaries are immediate.

Corollary 1. For $p, q, r \in \mathbb{R}$, $\rho = \min(p, q, r)$, let $\mathcal{G}_{p,q}(x, y)$ be defined on $(-\min(p, q), \infty)^2$ by (1.2). Then $\ln(\mathcal{G}_{p,q}/\mathcal{G}_{r,r+1}) \in \mathcal{C} [(-\rho, \infty)^2]$ if and only if $r \geq \max[(p+q-1)/2, \min(p, q)]$, while $\ln(\mathcal{G}_{r,r+1}/\mathcal{G}_{p,q}) \in \mathcal{C} [(-\rho, \infty)^2]$ if and only if $r \leq \min[(p+q-1)/2, \min(p, q)]$.

Corollary 2. For $p, q, r \in \mathbb{R}$, $\rho = \min(p, q, r)$, let $\mathcal{G}_{p,q}(x, y)$ be defined on $(-\min(p, q), \infty)^2$ by (1.2). Then $\ln(\mathcal{G}_{p,q}/\mathcal{G}_{r,r}) \in \mathcal{C} [(-\rho, \infty)^2]$ if and only if $r \geq (p+q)/2$, while $\ln(\mathcal{G}_{r,r}/\mathcal{G}_{p,q}) \in \mathcal{C} [(-\rho, \infty)^2]$ if and only if $r \leq \min(p, q)$.

The integral representation (3.4) together with $\eta_{x,x}(t) = te^{-xt}$ and (iii) of Property 2 gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.7) \quad \ln \frac{\mathcal{G}_{p,q}(x,x)}{\mathcal{G}_{r,s}(x,x)} &= \int_0^\infty [\eta_{p,q}(t) - \eta_{r,s}(t)] \frac{e^{-xt}}{1 - e^{-t}} dt \\
 &= \int_0^\infty [\eta_{p-\rho,q-\rho}(t) - \eta_{r-\rho,s-\rho}(t)] \frac{e^{-(x+\rho)t}}{1 - e^{-t}} dt,
 \end{aligned}$$

which, by Bernstein–Widder’s Theorem [2, p. 161, Theorem 12b] and Property 3, implies that the following.

Theorem 3. *For fixed $p, q, r, s \in \mathbb{R}$, $\rho = \min(p, q, r, s)$, let $\mathcal{G}_{p,q}(x, y)$ be defined on $(-\min(p, q), \infty)^2$ by (1.2). Then the function $x \mapsto \ln [\mathcal{G}_{p,q}(x, x) / \mathcal{G}_{r,s}(x, x)]$ is completely monotonic on $(-\rho, \infty)$ if and only if the conditions of (3.3) are satisfied.*

Denote by

$$(3.8) \quad W_{u,v}(x) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\Gamma(x+u)}{\Gamma(x+v)} \right)^{1/(u-v)} & \text{if } u \neq v, \\ \exp[\psi(x+u)] & \text{if } u = v \end{cases}$$

for $x > -\min(u, v)$. It is evident that

$$\ln \frac{\mathcal{G}_{p,q}(x,x)}{\mathcal{G}_{r,s}(x,x)} = \frac{d}{dx} \left[\ln \frac{W_{p,q}(x)}{W_{r,s}(x)} \right] \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \ln \frac{W_{p,q}(x)}{W_{r,s}(x)} = 0,$$

of which the latter follows from $\Gamma(x+p)/\Gamma(x+q) \sim x^{p-q}$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Then by Theorem 3 we immediately get the following corollary, which was proved in [21, Theorem 3.1].

Corollary 3. *For fixed $p, q, r, s \in \mathbb{R}$, $\rho = \min(p, q, r, s)$, let $W_{u,v}(x)$ be defined on $(-\min(u, v), \infty)$ by (3.8). Then $\ln(W_{p,q}/W_{r,s}) \in \mathcal{C} [(-\rho, \infty)]$ if and only if*

$$p + q \geq r + s \quad \text{and} \quad \min(p, q) \geq \min(r, s).$$

Theorem 4. *For fixed $p, q, r, s \in \mathbb{R}$, $\rho = \min(p, q, r, s)$, let $\mathcal{G}_{p,q}(x, y)$ be defined on $(-\min(p, q), \infty)^2$ by (1.2). Then $\mathcal{G}_{p,q}/\mathcal{G}_{r,s}$ is log-convex on $(-\rho, \infty)^2$ if and only if the conditions of (3.3) are satisfied.*

Proof. We first prove the sufficiency. It suffices to prove

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ln(\mathcal{G}_{p,q}/\mathcal{G}_{r,s})}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial^2 \ln(\mathcal{G}_{p,q}/\mathcal{G}_{r,s})}{\partial y^2} - \left[\frac{\partial^2 \ln(\mathcal{G}_{p,q}/\mathcal{G}_{r,s})}{\partial x \partial y} \right]^2 \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial^2 \ln(\mathcal{G}_{p,q}/\mathcal{G}_{r,s})}{\partial x^2} > 0$$

if the conditions of (3.3) are satisfied. First, Property 3 and identity (2.4) yield

$$P(t) = \frac{\eta_{p,q}(t) - \eta_{r,s}(t)}{t(1 - e^{-t})} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial^2 \eta_{x,y}(t)}{\partial x^2} = t^3 \int_0^1 \theta^2 e^{\phi(\theta)} d\theta > 0,$$

where $\phi(\theta) = -(\theta x + (1 - \theta)y)t$, which implies

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ln(\mathcal{G}_{p,q}/\mathcal{G}_{r,s})}{\partial x^2} = \int_0^\infty P(t) \frac{\partial^2 \eta_{x,y}(t)}{\partial x^2} dt > 0.$$

Second, application of the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial^2 \ln(\mathcal{G}_{p,q}/\mathcal{G}_{r,s})}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial^2 \ln(\mathcal{G}_{p,q}/\mathcal{G}_{r,s})}{\partial y^2} = \int_0^\infty P(t) \frac{\partial^2 \eta_{x,y}(t)}{\partial x^2} dt \int_0^\infty P(t) \frac{\partial^2 \eta_{x,y}(t)}{\partial y^2} dt \\ & \geq \left(\int_0^\infty P(t) \sqrt{\frac{\partial^2 \eta_{x,y}(t)}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial^2 \eta_{x,y}(t)}{\partial y^2}} dt \right)^2 \geq \left(\int_0^\infty P(t) \frac{\partial^2 \eta_{x,y}(t)}{\partial x \partial y} dt \right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds due to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial^2 \eta_{x,y}(t)}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial^2 \eta_{x,y}(t)}{\partial y^2} - \left(\frac{\partial^2 \eta_{x,y}(t)}{\partial x \partial y} \right)^2 \\ & = \left(t^3 \int_0^1 \theta^2 e^{\phi(\theta)} d\theta \right) \left(t^3 \int_0^1 (1-\theta)^2 e^{\phi(\theta)} d\theta \right) - \left(t^3 \int_0^1 \theta(1-\theta) e^{\phi(\theta)} d\theta \right)^2 \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the sufficiency.

Conversely, if $\mathcal{G}_{p,q}/\mathcal{G}_{r,s}$ is log-convex on $(-\rho, \infty)^2$, then $\partial^2 \ln(\mathcal{G}_{p,q}/\mathcal{G}_{r,s})/\partial x^2 > 0$ for all $x, y > -\rho$. From the identity (2.4) we clearly see that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \partial \eta_{x,y}(t)/\partial x = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \eta_{x,y}(t) = 0$. It then follows that $\ln(\mathcal{G}_{p,q}(x,y)/\mathcal{G}_{r,s}(x,y)) > 0$ for all $x, y > -\rho$. From the proof of necessity of Theorem 2, we find that this implies $p + q \leq r + s$ and $\min(p, q) \leq \min(r, s)$, which proves the necessity.

This completes the proof. □

4. SOME NEW INEQUALITIES FOR GAMMA, PSI, AND POLYGAMMA FUNCTIONS

Over the past decades, bounding for certain ratios of gamma functions has been researched by many researchers; see for example, Wendel [23], Gautschi [24], Kečkić and Vasić [25], Kershaw [26], Lorch [27], Laforgia [28], Alzer [29], Elezović et al. [30], Batir [31], Qi et al. [32], [34], [33], Merkle [35], and Yang and Tian [37].

More bounding for such ratios can be found in Qi’s review article [16] and recent papers [36], [17], [18], [20] and references therein.

From completely (mixed) monotonicity of those functions presented in the third section, we can deduce many known inequalities for gamma, psi, and polygamma functions. In this section, we only list some new inequalities to illustrate applications of our results.

Corollary 4. *Let $p > q > 0$ with $p + q - 1 > 0$. Then the double inequality*

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{\Gamma(p)}{\Gamma(q)} \left(1 + \frac{x}{r_1}\right)^{p-q} < \frac{\Gamma(x+p)}{\Gamma(x+q)} < \frac{\Gamma(p)}{\Gamma(q)} \left(1 + \frac{x}{r_2}\right)^{p-q}$$

holds for $x > 0$ if $r_1 \geq \max(q, (p + q - 1)/2)$ and $r_2 \leq \min(q, (p + q - 1)/2)$.

In particular, for $p = 1, q \in (0, 1)$, and $r_1 = q, r_2 = q/2$, we have

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \left(1 + \frac{x}{q}\right)^{1-q} < \frac{\Gamma(x+1)}{\Gamma(x+q)} < \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \left(1 + \frac{2x}{q}\right)^{1-q}$$

for $x > 0$.

Proof. Corollary 1 tells us that the function $\ln(\mathcal{G}_{p,q}/\mathcal{G}_{r,r+1}) \in \mathcal{C} [(-\rho, \infty)^2]$ if and only if $r \geq \max((p + q - 1)/2, \min(p, q))$, where $\rho = \min(q, r)$, and so $x \mapsto \ln(\mathcal{G}_{p,q}(x, y)/\mathcal{G}_{r,r+1}(x, y)) \in \mathcal{C} [(-\rho, \infty)]$ for $y > -\rho$. Therefore, when $r \geq \max((p + q - 1)/2, q)$, we obtain that for $x > 0$,

$$0 = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \ln \frac{\mathcal{G}_{p,q}(x, y)}{\mathcal{G}_{r,r+1}(x, y)} < \ln \frac{\mathcal{G}_{p,q}(x, y)}{\mathcal{G}_{r,r+1}(x, y)} < \frac{\mathcal{G}_{p,q}(0, y)}{\mathcal{G}_{r,r+1}(0, y)},$$

that is,

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} 1 &< \left(\frac{y+r}{x+r}\right)^{1/(x-y)} \left[\frac{\Gamma(x+p)\Gamma(y+q)}{\Gamma(x+q)\Gamma(y+p)}\right]^{1/((p-q)(x-y))} \\ &< \left(\frac{y+r}{r}\right)^{-1/y} \left[\frac{\Gamma(p)\Gamma(y+q)}{\Gamma(q)\Gamma(y+p)}\right]^{-1/((p-q)y)}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $y = 0$ and $r = r_1$ in the left-hand side inequality in (4.3) yields

$$1 < \left(\frac{r_1}{x+r_1}\right)^{1/x} \left[\frac{\Gamma(x+p)\Gamma(q)}{\Gamma(x+q)\Gamma(p)}\right]^{1/((p-q)x)},$$

which is equivalent to the left-hand side inequality in (4.1).

Similarly, by the complete monotonicity of $x \mapsto \ln(\mathcal{G}_{p,q}(x, y)/\mathcal{G}_{r,r+1}(x, y))$ on $(-\rho, \infty)$ for $r \leq \min((p + q - 1)/2, \min(p, q))$, we can obtain the right-hand side inequality in (4.1).

Taking $p = 1, q \in (0, 1), r_1 = \max(q, q/2) = q$, and $r_2 = \min(q, q/2) = q/2$ in (4.1) gives (4.2), which completes the proof. \square

Corollary 5. *Let $t \in (0, 1)$, and let $y \in (0, \infty)$. Then we have*

$$(4.4) \quad \left(\frac{2y}{t+2y}\right)^{2(2y+1)} e^{t(2+\psi(1+y))} < \frac{\Gamma(t+y)}{\Gamma(y)} < \left(\frac{y}{t+y}\right)^{y+1} e^{t(1+\psi(1+y))},$$

$$(4.5) \quad \left(\frac{y+1}{y+t}\right)^{y+1} e^{-(1-t)(1+\psi(1+y))} < \frac{\Gamma(t+y)}{\Gamma(1+y)} < \left(\frac{2y+1}{2y+t}\right)^{2(2y+1)} e^{-(1-t)(2+\psi(1+y))}.$$

Proof. Letting $p = 1, q \in (0, 1)$, and $x \rightarrow y$ in the first inequality in (4.3) gives

$$1 < \exp\left(\frac{\psi(1+y) - \psi(q+y)}{1-q} - \frac{1}{r_1+y}\right)$$

for $y > 0$ and $r_1 \geq \max(\min(p, q), (p + q - 1)/2) = q$, which is reduced to

$$\frac{1}{r_1+y} < \frac{\psi(1+y) - \psi(q+y)}{1-q}.$$

This is reversed for $r_2 \leq \min(\min(p, q), (p + q - 1)/2) = q/2$. Taking $r_1 = q$ and $r_2 = q/2$ we have

$$\frac{1}{q+y} < \frac{\psi(1+y) - \psi(q+y)}{1-q} < \frac{1}{q/2+y},$$

which can be changed into

$$\psi(1+y) - \frac{1-q}{q/2+y} < \psi(q+y) < \psi(1+y) - \frac{1-q}{q+y}.$$

Then integrating over $[0, t]$ ($t \in [0, 1]$) for q yields

$$\begin{aligned} & (2 + \psi(1 + y))t - 2(2y + 1) \ln \left(\frac{t}{2y} + 1 \right) \\ & < \ln \frac{\Gamma(t + y)}{\Gamma(y)} < (1 + \psi(1 + y))t - (y + 1) \ln \left(\frac{t}{y} + 1 \right), \\ & (3 - \gamma)t - 6 \ln(t + 2) < \ln \Gamma(t + 1) < (2 - \gamma)t - 2 \ln(t + 1), \end{aligned}$$

which implies (4.4).

Analogously, then integrating over $[t, 1]$ ($t \in [0, 1]$) for q yields

$$\begin{aligned} & (2 + \psi(1 + y))(1 - t) + 2(2y + 1) \ln \frac{2y + t}{2y + 1} \\ & < \ln \frac{\Gamma(1 + y)}{\Gamma(t + y)} < (1 - t)(1 + \psi(1 + y)) + (y + 1) \ln \frac{y + t}{y + 1}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (4.5). □

Remark 4. Putting $y = 1$ in inequalities (4.4) and (4.5) we derive

$$(4.6) \quad \frac{e^{(3-\gamma)t}}{(1 + t/2)^6} < \Gamma(t + 1) < \frac{e^{(2-\gamma)t}}{(1 + t)^2},$$

$$(4.7) \quad 4 \frac{e^{-(2-\gamma)(1-t)}}{(t + 1)^2} < \Gamma(t + 1) < 3^6 \frac{e^{-(3-\gamma)(1-t)}}{(t + 2)^6}$$

for $t \in (0, 1)$. Note that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{e^{(3-\gamma)t}}{(1 + t/2)^6} \right] = 64(3 - \gamma) \frac{e^{(3-\gamma)t}}{(t + 2)^7} \left(t - \frac{2\gamma}{3 - \gamma} \right),$$

which implies that the lower bound given in (4.6) has a minimum value at $t = 2\gamma / (3 - \gamma) \approx 0.47649$, that is,

$$\frac{e^{(3-\gamma)t}}{(1 + t/2)^6} \geq \left[\frac{e^{(3-\gamma)t}}{(1 + t/2)^6} \right]_{t=2\gamma/(3-\gamma)} = \frac{(3 - \gamma)^6}{729} e^{2\gamma} \approx 0.88008.$$

Therefore, we obtain a constant lower bound for $\Gamma(t + 1)$ on $(0, 1)$:

$$0.88008 \approx \frac{(3 - \gamma)^6}{729} e^{2\gamma} \leq \frac{e^{(3-\gamma)t}}{(1 + t/2)^6} < \Gamma(t + 1).$$

This constant lower bound is superior to one given in [38, Corollary 3], since it is more concise and

$$\frac{(3 - \gamma)^6}{729} e^{2\gamma} - \left(2 \frac{\sqrt{\gamma(1 - \gamma)} - (1 - \gamma)}{2\gamma - 1} \right)^{\gamma(1-\gamma)/(2\gamma-1)} \approx 4.1836 \times 10^{-5} > 0.$$

Similarly, the lower bound given in (4.7) has a minimum value at $t = \gamma / (2 - \gamma) \approx 0.40569$, which implies that for $t \in (0, 1)$,

$$\Gamma(t + 1) > 4 \frac{e^{-(2-\gamma)(1-t)}}{(t + 1)^2} \geq \left[4 \frac{e^{-(2-\gamma)(1-t)}}{(t + 1)^2} \right]_{t=\gamma/(2-\gamma)} = (2 - \gamma)^2 e^{2\gamma-2} \approx 0.86907.$$

This constant lower bound is superior to another one, $e^{-\gamma/4}$, given in [38, Corollary 3].

Corollary 6. *If $r \geq 1$, then the double inequality*

$$(4.8) \quad \frac{1}{x-1} \ln \left(\frac{x+r}{1+r} \right) < \frac{\psi(x+1) - \psi(2)}{x-1} < \ln \frac{re}{1+r} + \frac{1}{x-1} \ln \left(\frac{x+r}{1+r} \right)$$

holds for $x > 0$ with $x \neq 1$. It is reversed if $0 < r \leq 1/2$. In particular, we have

$$(4.9) \quad \psi(2) + \ln \left(\frac{x+1}{2} \right) < (>) \psi(x+1) < (>) \psi(2) + \ln \left(\frac{2x+1}{3} \right)$$

for $0 < x > (<) 1$.

Proof. Taking $y = 1$ and $p \rightarrow q = 1$ in the double inequality (4.3) yields

$$1 < \left(\frac{1+r}{x+r} \right)^{1/(x-1)} \exp \left(\frac{\psi(x+1) - \psi(2)}{x-1} \right) < \frac{re}{1+r}$$

for $x > 0$ with $x \neq 1$ and $r \geq \max(\min(p, q), (p+q-1)/2) = 1$, which is equivalent to (4.8). It is reversed if $r \leq \min(\min(p, q), (p+q-1)/2) = 1/2$.

Let $r = 1$ and $r = 1/2$ in (4.8) and its reverse, respectively. Then we obtain

$$\frac{1}{x-1} \ln \left(\frac{x+1}{2} \right) < \frac{\psi(x+1) - \psi(2)}{x-1} < \frac{1}{x-1} \ln \left(\frac{x+1/2}{1+1/2} \right)$$

for $x > 0$ with $x \neq 1$, which proves (4.9). □

As a direct consequence of Theorem 3, we have the following.

Corollary 7. *For $(p-q)(r-s) \neq 0$ and every nonnegative integer n , the inequality*

$$(4.10) \quad (-1)^n \frac{\psi^{(n)}(x+p) - \psi^{(n)}(x+q)}{p-q} > (<) (-1)^n \frac{\psi^{(n)}(x+r) - \psi^{(n)}(x+s)}{r-s}$$

holds for $x > (-\min(p, q, r, s), \infty)$ if and only if $p+q \leq (\geq) r+s$ and $\min(p, q) \leq (\geq) \min(r, s)$. In particular, the double inequality

$$(4.11) \quad \frac{n!}{(x+r_1)^{n+1}} < (-1)^n \frac{\psi^{(n)}(x+p) - \psi^{(n)}(x+q)}{p-q} < \frac{n!}{(x+r_2)^{n+1}}$$

holds for $x > -\min(p, q, r_1, r_2)$ if and only if $r_1 \geq \max(\min(p, q), (p+q-1)/2)$ and $r_2 \leq \min(\min(p, q), (p+q-1)/2)$, while the inequalities

$$(4.12) \quad (-1)^n \psi^{(n+1)}(x+r_1) < (-1)^n \frac{\psi^{(n)}(x+p) - \psi^{(n)}(x+q)}{p-q} < (-1)^n \psi^{(n+1)}(x+r_2)$$

hold for $x > -\min(p, q, r_1, r_2)$ if and only if $r_1 \geq (p+q)/2$ and $r_2 \leq \min(p, q)$.

Proof. The first assertion is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3. Letting $(r, s) = (r_i, r_i + 1)$ ($i = 1, 2$) in (4.10) and using the recurrence formula

$$\psi^{(n)}(x+1) - \psi^{(n)}(x) = (-1)^n \frac{n!}{x^{n+1}}$$

yield the inequalities (4.11), while inequalities (4.12) follow by putting $(r, s) \rightarrow (r_i, r_i)$ ($i = 1, 2$) in (4.10). □

Remark 5. Clearly, inequalities (4.11) slightly improve the ones given in [13, Theorem 3]. Inequalities (4.10) can be rewritten as

$$\psi_{n+1}(x+r_1) < \frac{\int_q^p \psi_{n+1}(x+t) dt}{p-q} < \psi_{n+1}(x+r_2),$$

where $\psi_n = (-1)^{n-1} \psi^{(n)}$, which was proved in [20, Corollary 1.4] for $r_1 = (p+q)/2$ and $r_2 = \min(p, q)$.

The following is a consequence of the log-convexity of $\mathcal{G}_{p,q}/\mathcal{G}_{r,s}$ in (x, y) on $(-\rho, \infty)^2$ given in Theorem 4.

Corollary 8. *Let $p, q, r, s, x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ with $(p-q)(r-s)(x-y) \neq 0$ and $\rho = \min(p, q, r, s)$.*

(i) *The inequality*

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{p-q} \int_q^p \left[\frac{\int_y^x \psi'(u+v) dv}{x-y} - \psi' \left(u + \frac{x+y}{2} \right) \right] du \\ & > (<) \frac{1}{r-s} \int_s^r \left[\frac{\int_y^x \psi'(u+v) dv}{x-y} - \psi' \left(u + \frac{x+y}{2} \right) \right] du \end{aligned}$$

holds for $x, y > -\rho$ if and only if $p+q \leq (\geq) r+s$ and $\min(p, q) \leq (\geq) \min(r, s)$.

(ii) *The double inequality*

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{L(x+r_1, y+r_1)} - \frac{1}{A(x+r_1, y+r_1)} \\ & < \frac{1}{p-q} \int_q^p \left[\frac{\int_y^x \psi'(u+v) dv}{x-y} - \psi' \left(u + \frac{x+y}{2} \right) \right] du \\ & < \frac{1}{L(x+r_2, y+r_2)} - \frac{1}{A(x+r_2, y+r_2)} \end{aligned}$$

holds for $x > -\min(p, q, r_1, r_2)$ if and only if $r_1 \geq \max(\min(p, q), (p+q-1)/2)$ and $r_2 \leq \min(\min(p, q), (p+q-1)/2)$, where $L(a, b) = (a-b)/(\ln a - \ln b)$ and $A(a, b) = (a+b)/2$ are the logarithmic and arithmetical means of distinct positive numbers a and b , respectively.

(iii) *The double inequality*

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{\psi(x+r_1) - \psi(y+r_1)}{x-y} - \psi' \left(\frac{x+y}{2} + r_1 \right) \\ & < \frac{1}{p-q} \int_q^p \left[\frac{\int_y^x \psi'(u+v) dv}{x-y} - \psi' \left(u + \frac{x+y}{2} \right) \right] du \\ & < \frac{\psi(x+r_2) - \psi(y+r_2)}{x-y} - \psi' \left(\frac{x+y}{2} + r_2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

holds for $x > -\min(p, q, r_1, r_2)$ if and only if $r_1 \geq (p+q)/2$ and $r_2 \leq \min(p, q)$.

Proof.

(i) By Theorem 4 we obtain that for $x, y > -\rho$,

$$\frac{1}{2} \left[\ln \frac{\mathcal{G}_{p,q}(x, y)}{\mathcal{G}_{r,s}(x, y)} + \ln \frac{\mathcal{G}_{p,q}(y, x)}{\mathcal{G}_{r,s}(y, x)} \right] \geq (\leq) \ln \frac{\mathcal{G}_{p,q}((x+y)/2, (x+y)/2)}{\mathcal{G}_{r,s}((x+y)/2, (x+y)/2)}$$

if $p + q \leq (\geq) r + s$ and $\min(p, q) \leq (\geq) \min(r, s)$. This together with $\mathcal{G}_{p,q}(x, y) = \mathcal{G}_{p,q}(y, x)$ and

$$\ln \mathcal{G}_{p,q}(x, y) = \frac{\int_q^p \int_y^x \psi'(u + v) \, dudv}{(p - q)(x - y)}$$

for $(p - q)(x - y) \neq 0$ yields (4.13), which proves the sufficiency.

Conversely, if inequality (4.13) holds for all $x, y > -\rho$, that is,

$$\ln \mathcal{G}_{p,q}(x, y) - \mathcal{G}_{p,q}\left(\frac{x + y}{2}, \frac{x + y}{2}\right) \geq (\leq) \ln \mathcal{G}_{r,s}(x, y) - \ln \mathcal{G}_{r,s}\left(\frac{x + y}{2}, \frac{x + y}{2}\right).$$

Dividing by $(x - y)^2$ and letting $y \rightarrow x$ gives

$$\frac{1}{p - q} \int_q^p \frac{1}{24} \psi'''(u + x) \, du > (<) \frac{1}{r - s} \int_s^r \frac{1}{24} \psi'''(u + x) \, du,$$

which is written as

$$\psi_3^{-1}\left(\frac{\int_q^p \psi_3(u + x) \, du}{p - q}\right) - x < (>) \psi_3^{-1}\left(\frac{\int_s^r \psi_3(u + x) \, du}{r - s}\right) - x,$$

where $\psi_n = (-1)^{n-1} \psi^{(n)}$ is decreasing on $(0, \infty)$. Similar to proof of necessity of Theorem 2, it follows that $p + q \leq (\geq) r + s$ and $\min(p, q) \leq (\geq) \min(r, s)$, which proves the necessity.

(ii) Letting $(r, s) = (r_i, r_i + 1)$ ($i = 1, 2$) in (4.13) and noting that

$$\ln \mathcal{G}_{r,r+1}(x, y) = \frac{1}{x - y} \ln \frac{x + r}{y + r} \quad \text{and} \quad \ln \mathcal{G}_{r,r+1}(x, x) = \frac{1}{x + r}$$

give the inequalities (4.14).

(iii) Taking $(r, s) \rightarrow (r_i, r_i)$ ($i = 1, 2$) in (4.13) and noting that

$$\ln \mathcal{G}_{r,r}(x, y) = \frac{\psi(x + r) - \psi(y + r)}{x - y} \quad \text{and} \quad \ln \mathcal{G}_{r,r}(x, x) = \psi'(x + r)$$

lead to (4.15).

This ends the proof. □

Remark 6. Let $p, q > 0$ with $p + q - 1 > 0$. Then taking $(x, y) = (1, 0)$ in the double inequality (4.14) and noting that

$$\int_0^1 \psi'(u + v) \, dv = \psi(u + 1) - \psi(u) = \frac{1}{u},$$

we obtain

$$\ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{r_1}\right) - \frac{1}{r_1 + 1/2} < \frac{1}{L(p, q)} - \frac{1}{p - q} \int_q^p \psi'\left(u + \frac{1}{2}\right) \, du < \ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{r_2}\right) - \frac{1}{r_2 + 1/2}$$

if $r_1 \geq \max(\min(p, q), (p + q - 1)/2)$ and $0 < r_2 \leq \min(\min(p, q), (p + q - 1)/2)$.

Remark 7. Likewise, for $p > q > 0$, taking $(x, y) = (1, 0)$ and $(r_1, r_2) = ((p + q)/2, q)$ in the double inequality (4.14) we conclude that

$$\frac{2}{p + q} - \psi'\left(\frac{p + q + 1}{2}\right) < \frac{1}{L(p, q)} - \frac{1}{p - q} \int_q^p \psi'\left(u + \frac{1}{2}\right) \, du < \frac{1}{q} - \psi'\left(\frac{1}{2} + q\right).$$

Clearly, taking into account the left-hand side inequality above and that the Hermite–Hadamard inequality [39] due to ψ' is convex on $(0, \infty)$, we arrive at

$$(4.16) \quad 0 < \frac{\int_q^p \psi'(x+1/2) dx}{p-q} - \psi' \left(\frac{p+q+1}{2} \right) < \frac{1}{L(p,q)} - \frac{1}{A(p,q)}.$$

Remark 8. Letting $q = p + 1$ in the double inequality (4.16) we have

$$\frac{2}{p+1/2} - \ln \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} \right) < \psi'(p+1) < \frac{1}{p+1/2}$$

for $p > 0$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Serge Bernstein, *Sur les fonctions absolument monotones* (French), Acta Math. **52** (1929), no. 1, 1–66, DOI 10.1007/BF02547400. MR1555269
- [2] David Vernon Widder, *The Laplace Transform*, Princeton Mathematical Series, v. 6, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1941. MR0005923
- [3] R. D. Atanassov and U. V. Tsoukrovski, *Some properties of a class of logarithmically completely monotonic functions*, C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci. **41** (1988), no. 2, 21–23. MR939205
- [4] F. Qi and B.-N. Guo, Complete monotonicities of functions involving the gamma and digamma functions, *RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll.* **7** (1) (2004), Art. 8, 63–72, <http://rgmia.org/v7n1.php>.
- [5] Salomon Bochner, *Harmonic analysis and the theory of probability*, University of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles, 1955. MR0072370
- [6] Mourad E. H. Ismail, Lee Lorch, and Martin E. Muldoon, *Completely monotonic functions associated with the gamma function and its q -analogues*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **116** (1986), no. 1, 1–9, DOI 10.1016/0022-247X(86)90042-9. MR837337
- [7] Joaquin Bustoz and Mourad E. H. Ismail, *On gamma function inequalities*, Math. Comp. **47** (1986), no. 176, 659–667, DOI 10.2307/2008180. MR856710
- [8] M. Merkle, *Gurland's ratio for the gamma function*, Comput. Math. Appl. **49** (2005), no. 2-3, 389–406, DOI 10.1016/j.camwa.2004.01.016. MR2123415
- [9] Feng Qi, Qiao Yang, and Wei Li, *Two logarithmically completely monotonic functions connected with gamma function*, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. **17** (2006), no. 7, 539–542, DOI 10.1080/10652460500422379. MR2242416
- [10] Feng Qi, *Three classes of logarithmically completely monotonic functions involving gamma and psi functions*, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. **18** (2007), no. 7-8, 503–509, DOI 10.1080/10652460701358976. MR2348595
- [11] F. Qi and B.-N. Guo, *Wendel-Gautschi-Kershaw's inequalities and sufficient and necessary conditions that a class of functions involving ratio of gamma functions are logarithmically completely monotonic*, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. **10** (1) (2007), Art. 2; Available online at <http://www.staff.vu.edu.au/rgmia/v10n1.asp>.
- [12] Feng Qi, *A class of logarithmically completely monotonic functions and the best bounds in the first Kershaw's double inequality*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **206** (2007), no. 2, 1007–1014, DOI 10.1016/j.cam.2006.09.005. MR2333728
- [13] Feng Qi and Bai-Ni Guo, *Wendel's and Gautschi's inequalities: refinements, extensions, and a class of logarithmically completely monotonic functions*, Appl. Math. Comput. **205** (2008), no. 1, 281–290, DOI 10.1016/j.amc.2008.07.005. MR2466631
- [14] Feng Qi and Bai-Ni Guo, *A class of logarithmically completely monotonic functions and the best bounds in the second Kershaw's double inequality*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **212** (2008), no. 2, 444–456, DOI 10.1016/j.cam.2006.12.022. MR2383033
- [15] Feng Qi, *A class of logarithmically completely monotonic functions and application to the best bounds in the second Gautschi-Kershaw's inequality*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **224** (2009), no. 2, 538–543, DOI 10.1016/j.cam.2008.05.030. MR2492886
- [16] Feng Qi, *Bounds for the ratio of two gamma functions*, J. Inequal. Appl., posted on 2010, Art. ID 493058, 84, DOI 10.1155/2010/493058. MR2611044

- [17] Feng Qi and Qiu-Ming Luo, *Bounds for the ratio of two gamma functions: from Wendel's asymptotic relation to Elezović-Giordano-Pečarić's theorem*, J. Inequal. Appl. (2013), 2013:542, 20. MR3339476
- [18] F. Qi, *Bounds for the ratio of two gamma functions: from Gautschi's and Kershaw's inequalities to complete monotonicity*, Turkish J. Anal. Number Theory **2** (5) (2014), 152–164, DOI:10.12691/tjant-2-5-1.
- [19] Zhen-Hang Yang and Yu-Ming Chu, *Monotonicity and absolute monotonicity for the two-parameter hyperbolic and trigonometric functions with applications*, J. Inequal. Appl., posted on 2016, Paper No. 200, 10, DOI 10.1186/s13660-016-1143-8. MR3538004
- [20] Zhen-Hang Yang and Shen-Zhou Zheng, *Monotonicity of a mean related to polygamma functions with an application*, J. Inequal. Appl., posted on 2016, Paper No. 216, 10, DOI 10.1186/s13660-016-1155-4. MR3545603
- [21] Zhen-Hang Yang and Shen-Zhou Zheng, *Complete monotonicity involving some ratios of gamma functions*, J. Inequal. Appl., posted on 2017, Paper No. 255, 17, DOI 10.1186/s13660-017-1527-4. MR3711629
- [22] Milton Abramowitz and Irene A. Stegun (eds.), *Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables*, reprint of the 1972 edition, Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1992. MR1225604
- [23] J. G. Wendel, *Note on the gamma function*, Amer. Math. Monthly **55** (1948), 563–564, DOI 10.2307/2304460. MR0029448
- [24] Walter Gautschi, *Some elementary inequalities relating to the gamma and incomplete gamma function*, J. Math. and Phys. **38** (1959/60), 77–81, DOI 10.1002/sapm195938177. MR0103289
- [25] Jovan D. Kečkić and Petar M. Vasić, *Some inequalities for the gamma function*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) **11(25)** (1971), 107–114. MR0308446
- [26] D. Kershaw, *Some extensions of W. Gautschi's inequalities for the gamma function*, Math. Comp. **41** (1983), no. 164, 607–611, DOI 10.2307/2007697. MR717706
- [27] Lee Lorch, *Inequalities for ultraspherical polynomials and the gamma function*, J. Approx. Theory **40** (1984), no. 2, 115–120, DOI 10.1016/0021-9045(84)90020-0. MR732692
- [28] Andrea Laforgia, *Further inequalities for the gamma function*, Math. Comp. **42** (1984), no. 166, 597–600, DOI 10.2307/2007604. MR736455
- [29] Horst Alzer, *Some gamma function inequalities*, Math. Comp. **60** (1993), no. 201, 337–346, DOI 10.2307/2153171. MR1149288
- [30] Neven Elezović, Carla Giordano, and Josip Pečarić, *The best bounds in Gautschi's inequality*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **3** (2000), no. 2, 239–252, DOI 10.7153/mia-03-26. MR1749300
- [31] Necdet Batir, *Some new inequalities for gamma and polygamma functions*, JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **6** (2005), no. 4, Article 103, 9. MR2178284
- [32] Feng Qi, Bai-Ni Guo, and Chao-Ping Chen, *The best bounds in Gautschi-Kershaw inequalities*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **9** (2006), no. 3, 427–436, DOI 10.7153/mia-09-41. MR2242773
- [33] Feng Qi, *A new lower bound in the second Kershaw's double inequality*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **214** (2008), no. 2, 610–616, DOI 10.1016/j.cam.2007.03.016. MR2398355
- [34] Feng Qi, Xiao-Ai Li, and Shou-Xin Chen, *Refinements, extensions and generalizations of the second Kershaw's double inequality*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **11** (2008), no. 3, 457–465, DOI 10.7153/mia-11-35. MR2431210
- [35] M. Merkle, *Inequalities for the gamma function via convexity*, in Advances in Inequalities for Special Functions, P. Cerone and S. S. Dragomir, Eds., pp. 81–100, Nova Science, New York, NY, USA, 2008.
- [36] G. J. O. Jameson, *Inequalities for gamma function ratios*, Amer. Math. Monthly **120** (2013), no. 10, 936–940, DOI 10.4169/amer.math.monthly.120.10.936. MR3139572
- [37] Zhen-Hang Yang and Jing-Feng Tian, *Monotonicity and inequalities for the gamma function*, J. Inequal. Appl., posted on 2017, Paper No. 317, 15, DOI 10.1186/s13660-017-1591-9. MR3740576
- [38] Zhen-Hang Yang and Jingfeng Tian, *Monotonicity and sharp inequalities related to gamma function*, J. Math. Inequal. **12** (2018), no. 1, 1–22, DOI 10.7153/jmi-2018-12-01. MR3771780
- [39] D. S. Mitrinović and I. B. Lacković, *Hermite and convexity*, Aequationes Math. **28** (1985), no. 3, 229–232, DOI 10.1007/BF02189414. MR791622

COLLEGE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, NORTH CHINA ELECTRIC POWER UNIVERSITY, BAODING, HEBEI PROVINCE, 071051, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA — AND — DEPARTMENT OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, STATE GRID ZHEJIANG ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY RESEARCH INSTITUTE, HANGZHOU, ZHEJIANG, 310014, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

Email address: yzhkm@163.com

COLLEGE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, NORTH CHINA ELECTRIC POWER UNIVERSITY, BAODING, HEBEI PROVINCE, 071051, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

Email address: tianjf@ncepu.edu.cn