

CORRECTION TO “ON SOME SUBSPACES OF BANACH SPACES WHOSE DUALS ARE L_1 SPACES”

M. ZIPPIN

(Communicated by Thomas Schlumprecht)

ABSTRACT. E. Casini, E. Miglierina, L. Piasecki, and L. Veselý have recently constructed an example of an L_1 -predual hyperplane W of c which does not contain a subspace isometric to c , in spite of the fact that the closed unit ball of W contains an extreme point. This example shows that Remark A of Section 4 of [Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 23 (1969), pp. 378-385], titled as above, is false. The purpose of this note is to present two correct versions of that Remark A and a short proof of our 1969 main result.

1. INTRODUCTION

Remark A of Section 4 of [Z] has been proved to be false in [CMPV]. We apologize for the mistake and present here two correct versions of Remark A. We do that by using only the information which was known in 1969, when [Z] was published. With one exception, all tools used below can be found in [Z]. This exception (the consequence of (2.2) below) is an observation which enables us to considerably simplify the (correct, but complicated) proof of our main Theorem 1 of [Z]. We do this in Remark 3 at the end of this paper.

We say that the Banach spaces U and V are $(1 + \varepsilon)$ isomorphic if there is a one-to-one surjective operator $T : U \rightarrow V$ satisfying, for every $u \in U$, the inequality $(1 + \varepsilon)^{-1} \|u\| \leq \|T(u)\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|u\|$. A subspace U of V is said to be $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -complemented if there is a projection P from V onto U with $\|P\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon)$. Let A be a subset of a space X ; then $[A]$ denotes the closed linear span of A in X ; $B(X)$ is the closed unit ball of X .

Note that there are several misprints in [Z]. (1). In the bottom line of page 379, u_i^{m+i} should be replaced by u_i^{m+1} . (2). In the top line of page 380, $1 \leq i \leq n$ should be replaced by $1 \leq i \leq m$.

If X is a separable space and X^* is an $L_1(\mu)$ space, then, as is well known (see, e.g., [LL1]), there exists a normalized monotone basis $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of X such that, if $X_n = [\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n]$, then, for every $n \geq 1$, X_n is isometric to ℓ_∞^n and contains a basis $\{e_i^n\}_{i=1}^n$ with $e_n^n = x_n$ which satisfies the following two conditions:

$$(1.1) \quad \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n c_i e_i^n \right\| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |c_i| \text{ for all } \{c_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset R$$

Received by the editors January 19, 2018, and, in revised form, April 4, 2018.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46B04, 46B25.

Key words and phrases. Lindenstrauss spaces, L_1 -predual spaces.

and

$$(1.2) \quad \text{for every } 1 \leq i \leq n, e_i^n = e_i^{n+1} + a_i^n e_{n+1}^{n+1} \text{ where } \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i^n| \leq 1.$$

It follows from (1.2) that the functional ϕ_j defined on $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty X_n$ by

$$(1.3) \quad \phi_j \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i e_i^n \right) = c_j \quad \text{for all } n \geq j \quad \text{and} \quad \{c_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset R$$

is a well-defined linear functional that can be uniquely extended by continuity to a linear functional on $X = [\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty X_n]$ which, as is proved in Lemma 1 of [Z], is an extreme point of $B(X^*)$. Clearly $\{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is isometrically equivalent to the standard basis of ℓ_1 .

Remark 1. It follows from [MP] that the first element x_1 of the monotone basis can be chosen to be an arbitrary normalized vector of X .

The following result is a special case ($i(k) = n(k)$) of Lemma 2 of [Z]. It is a tool to construct subspaces of X which are also L_1 preduals. Because the proof of this special case is much simpler than that of Lemma 2 of [Z], we will present it here for completeness.

Lemma 1. *Let $\{n(k)\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a strictly increasing subsequence of the integers with $n(1) = 1$. Then the sequence $\{e_{n(k)}^{n(k)}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ forms a monotone basis for the subspace U it spans in X . Also, in each subspace $U_m = \left[\left\{ e_{n(k)}^{n(k)} \right\}_{k=1}^m \right]$ there is a basis $\{u_i^m\}_{i=1}^m$ satisfying the following three conditions:*

$$(1.4) \quad u_m^m = e_{n(m)}^{n(m)},$$

$$(1.5) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq m, u_i^m = u_i^{m+1} + b_i^m u_{m+1}^{m+1} \text{ where } \sum_{i=1}^m |b_i^m| \leq 1$$

and

$$(1.6) \quad \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m c_i u_i^m \right\| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} |c_i| \text{ for any } \{c_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset R.$$

Finally, let $\psi_j = \phi_{n(j)}|_U$; then $\psi_j(u_i^m) = \delta_{i,j}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ and, for every $u \in U$, we have

$$(1.7) \quad \|u\| = \sup_{j \geq 1} |\psi_j(u)| = \sup_{j \geq 1} |\phi_{n(j)}(u)|.$$

Proof. Define $u_k^k = e_{n(k)}^{n(k)}$ for all $k \geq 1$; then $\{u_k^k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is a monotone basis for its closed linear span U because it is a subsequence of a monotone basis $\{e_n^n\}_{n=1}^\infty$. We now define u_h^k for $1 \leq h \leq k-1$ by induction on k : If $u_h^{k-1} = \sum_{j=1}^{n(k)} b_{h,j}^{k-1} e_j^{n(k)}$, then $u_h^k = \sum_{j=1}^{n(k)-1} b_{h,j}^k e_j^{n(k)}$. It follows that $u_h^{k-1} = u_h^k + b_h^{k-1} u_k^k$ where $b_h^{k-1} = b_{h,n(k)}^k$. We will show, by induction on k , that, for every choice of signs, $\left\| \sum_{h=1}^k \pm u_h^k \right\| = 1$. Indeed, $\|\pm u_1^1\| = 1$ and, assuming that $\left\| \sum_{h=1}^{k-1} \pm u_h^{k-1} \right\| = 1$, by (1.1) we get that, for every $1 \leq j \leq n(k)$, $\sum_{h=1}^{k-1} |b_{h,j}^k| \leq 1$. It follows that $\left\| \sum_{h=1}^{k-1} \pm u_h^k \right\| \leq 1$ and,

since each u_h^k with $1 \leq h \leq k - 1$ is supported on $\{e_j^{n(k)}\}_{j=1}^{n(k)-1}$ while $u_k^k = e_{n(k)}^{n(k)}$, we have $\left\| \sum_{h=1}^k \pm u_h^k \right\| = 1$. This property clearly implies (1.5) because $\sum_{j=1}^k |b_j^k| = \sum_{j=1}^k |\phi_{n(k+1)}(u_j^k)| \leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^k \pm u_j^k \right\| \leq 1$ for some choice of the signs. We will now prove by induction on k that $\phi_{n(h)}(u_j^k) = \delta_{h,j}$ for all $1 \leq h, j \leq k$. By (1.3), $\phi_{n(1)}(u_1^1) = \phi_{n(1)}(e_{n(1)}^{n(1)}) = 1$.

Assume the assertion for $k - 1$ and proceed by induction. Since $\phi_{n(h)}(e_{n(k)}^{n(k)}) = 0$ for all $1 \leq h \leq k - 1$ we get that $\phi_{n(h)}(u_j^k) = \phi_{n(h)}(u_j^{k-1} - b_j^{k-1} e_{n(k)}^{n(k)}) = \phi_{n(h)}(u_j^{k-1}) = \delta_{h,j}$ for all $1 \leq j, h \leq k - 1$. By definition, $\phi_{n(k)}(u_j^k) = 0$ if $1 \leq j \leq k - 1$ while $\phi_{n(k)}(u_k^k) = 1$. This proves the assertion $\phi_{n(h)}(u_j^k) = \delta_{h,j}$ for all $k \geq 1$ and $1 \leq h, j \leq k$. It follows that, for every $k \geq 1$ and real $\{c_j\}_{j=1}^k$, $\max_{1 \leq i \leq k} |c_i| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \left| \phi_{n(i)}(\sum_{j=1}^k c_j u_j^k) \right| \leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^k c_j u_j^k \right\| \leq (\max_{1 \leq i \leq k} |c_i|) \left\| \sum_{j=1}^k \pm u_j^k \right\| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} |c_i|$ for some choice of signs. This settles (1.6) and shows that (1.7) holds for every $u \in \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty U_i$. Since $\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty U_i$ is dense in U the proof of Lemma 1 is complete. \square

Let c denote the space of convergent sequences $s = (s(1), s(2), s(3), \dots)$ with

$$\|s\| = \sup_{j \geq 1} |s(j)|.$$

We use the usual notation with respect to the standard bases of c and c^* : we let $w_0 = (1, 1, 1, \dots)$ and, for $i \geq 1$, we define $w_i \in c$ by $w_i = (w_i(1), w_i(2), w_i(3), \dots)$ where $w_i(j) = \delta_{i,j}$. We define the functionals $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=0}^\infty \subset c^*$ by

$$\varphi_0(s) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} s(j)$$

and $\varphi_i(s) = s(i)$ if $i \geq 1$ for every $s = (s(1), s(2), s(3), \dots)$. We are now ready to state the following two versions of Remark A of [Z].

Theorem 1. *Let X be a separable $L_1(\mu)$ predual space. Then there exist a subspace $U \subset X$ and an isometry $T : U \rightarrow c$ such that the range $Y = T(U)$ is either the whole space c or a closed hyperplane of c . Moreover, if $B(X)$ has an extreme point, then Y contains the element $(1, 1, 1, \dots)$ of c .*

Theorem 2. *Let X be a separable $L_1(\mu)$ predual space and assume that $B(X)$ has an extreme point. Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, X contains a $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -complemented subspace Z which is $(1 + \varepsilon)$ isomorphic to c .*

Note that Theorem 1 is “linearly close” while Theorem 2 is “norm close” to Remark A of [Z].

2. PROOFS OF THE THEOREMS

Proof of Theorem 1. We use the structure of X described in the introduction. Since $B(X^*)$ is w^* compact we can select a subsequence $\{\phi_{n(k)}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ with $n(1) = 1$ which w^* -converges to a functional $\phi \in B(X^*)$. Then we construct the subspace U and the functionals $\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ as in Lemma 1. Because $\psi_j = \phi_{n(j)}|_U$, we get that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \psi_j(u) = \phi(u)$$

for every $u \in U$. Put $\psi_0 = \phi|_U$ and assume, first, that $\psi_0 \neq 0$. Use (1.7) to obtain

$$(2.1) \quad \|u\| = \max_{j \geq 0} |\psi_j(u)| \text{ for every } u \in U.$$

It follows that the operator $T : U \rightarrow c$ defined by $T(u) = (\psi_1(u), \psi_2(u), \psi_3(u), \dots)$ is an isometric isomorphism from U onto a subspace Y of c . If this isometry is not onto c , then there is a functional $\varphi \in c^*$ which annihilates $T(U) = Y$. Using the notation introduced in the introduction, we get a representation $\varphi = \sum_{i=0}^\infty a_i \varphi_i$ and, since for every $u \in U$, $T^* \varphi_j(u) = \varphi_j(Tu) = \varphi_j(\psi_1(u), \psi_2(u), \psi_3(u), \dots) = \psi_j(u)$, we get that $T^*(\varphi_j) = \psi_j$ for all $j \geq 0$ hence $T^*(\varphi) = \sum_{j=0}^\infty a_j \psi_j$. By using the structure of U we will show that, up to a numerical multiple, the functional φ is unique. Indeed, by Lemma 1, we know that, for every $m \geq 1$ and $1 \leq i \leq m$, $\psi_i(u_j^m) = \delta_{i,j}$. Therefore,

$$(2.2) \quad 0 = T^*(\varphi)(u_j^m) = \sum_{i=0}^\infty a_i \psi_i(u_j^m) = a_0 \psi_0(u_j^m) + a_j + \sum_{i=m+1}^\infty a_i \psi_i(u_j^m).$$

Since $\sum_{i=0}^\infty |a_i|$ converges, we get from (2.2) that

$$|a_0 \psi_0(u_j^m) + a_j| = \left| \sum_{i=m+1}^\infty a_i \psi_i(u_j^m) \right| \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Therefore, if $a_0 \neq 0$, then $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \psi_0(u_j^m)$ exists and $a_0^{-1} a_j = - \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \psi_0(u_j^m)$ for all $j \geq 1$. It follows that all annihilators φ of $T(U)$ with $a_0 \neq 0$ are numerical multiples of each other hence $T(U)$ is a hyperplane of c . On the other hand, if $a_0 = 0$ for every φ annihilating Y , then, by (2.2), $a_j = 0$ for all $j \geq 1$, hence $\varphi = 0$ and thus $T(U) = c$. Suppose that $\psi_0 = 0$; then T , defined above, becomes an operator $T : U \rightarrow c_0$. If there exists a functional $\varphi \in c_0^*$ which annihilates $T(U)$, then $\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^\infty a_i \varphi_i$. It follows from (2.2) that $a_i = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ hence $\varphi = 0$ and T is an isometry from U onto c_0 .

It remains to consider the case where $B(X)$ has an extreme point. In this case, by [S], X is isometric to a space $A(S)$ of affine continuous functions on a Choquet simplex S . It is proved in Theorem 5.2 of [LL2] that, under these circumstances, the space X has a structure $X = [\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty X_n]$ of finite dimensional subspaces $X_n = \ell_\infty^n$, each with a basis $\{e_i^n\}_{i=1}^n$ satisfying (1.1), (1.2), and the following additional property:

$$(2.3) \quad a_i^n \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^n = 1 \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

It follows that $e_1^1 = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^n$ for all $n \geq 1$ and, by (1.3), $\phi_i(e_1^1) = 1$ for all $i \geq 1$. Since $\psi_j = \phi_{n(j)}|_U$ and $n(1) = 1$, we get that $\psi_j(u_1^1) = \phi_{n(j)}(e_1^1) = 1$ for every $j \geq 1$ and therefore, by the definition of the isometry T , $T(u_1^1) = (1, 1, 1, \dots) \in Y$. This proves Theorem 1. □

Remark 2. This consequence of [LL2] that we use above was discussed in J. Lindenstrauss' Functional Analysis Seminar at The Hebrew University of Jerusalem in 1967. Actually, it can be deduced from Remark 1 above. Indeed, if we choose $x_1 = e_1^1$ to be the unit function of $A(S)$, then, by multiplying x_n by -1, if necessary, we can build, for every n , the basis $\{e_i^n\}_{i=1}^n$ to satisfy (2.3).

Proof of Theorem 2. We use Theorem 1 and the notation as above. Let $\varphi = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i \varphi_i$ be a nontrivial functional which annihilates Y . Since $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} a_i = 0$, given $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}(1 + \varepsilon)^{-1} \varepsilon$ and an integer $h > 0$, there is a $k > h$ and a number d with $|d| < \delta$ such that $\varphi(w_k - dw_h) = a_k - da_h = 0$ and, consequently, $w_k - dw_h \in Y$. Therefore, there exist an infinite sequence $\{p(j)\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of positive integers and numbers $\{d_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ with $\max_{j \geq 1} |d_j| < \delta$ such that, for all $j \geq 1$, $w_{p(2j)} + d_j w_{p(2j-1)} \in Y$. Put $p(0) = p(-1) = d_0 = 0$ and let $V = \left[\{w_{p(2j)} + d_j w_{p(2j-1)}\}_{j=0}^{\infty} \right]$. Clearly, the subspace $W = \left[\{w_{p(2j)}\}_{j=0}^{\infty} \right]$ is isometric to the whole space c . Let $v_j = w_{p(2j)} + d_j w_{p(2j-1)}$; then $v_0 = w_0$ and, for any null sequence $\{b_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ of real numbers,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j (v_j - w_{p(2j)}) \right\| &= \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j d_j w_{p(2j-1)} \right\| = \max_{j \geq 1} |b_j d_j| \leq \delta \max_{j \geq 1} |b_j| \\ &\leq 2\delta \max \{ |b_0|, \max \{ |b_0 + b_j| : j \geq 1 \} \} = 2\delta \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j w_{p(2j)} \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Let $S : W \rightarrow V$ be the operator defined by $S(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j w_{p(2j)}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j v_j$; then, as we have just proved, $\|Sw - w\| \leq 2\delta \|w\|$ for all $w \in W$ and hence V is $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -isomorphic to c . Since T is an isometry, the space $Z = T^{-1}(V)$ is $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -isomorphic to c . It remains to show that there is a good projection from X onto Z .

Let $z_i = T^{-1}v_i$ for all $i \geq 0$ and recall that $e_1^1 = u_1^1 = T^{-1}(w_0) = T^{-1}(v_0) = z_0$ and, for all $i \geq 1$, $\phi_{n(i)}(e_1^1) = 1$ and also $\phi(z_0) = \phi(e_1^1) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{n(i)}(e_1^1) = 1$. Define the operator $P : X \rightarrow Z$ by $P(x) = \phi(x)z_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\phi_{n(p(2i))} - \phi)(x)z_i$. Then $P(z_0) = z_0$ and, since $\phi_{n(p(2j))}|_U = \psi_{p(2j)} = T^*(\varphi_{p(2j)})$, we obtain, for $j, i \geq 1$, the relation $(\phi_{n(p(2j))} - \phi)(z_i) = T^*(\varphi_{p(2j)} - \varphi_0)(z_i) = (\varphi_{p(2j)} - \varphi_0)(T(z_i)) = (\varphi_{p(2j)} - \varphi_0)(w_{p(2i)} - d_j w_{p(2i-1)}) = \delta_{i,j}$ while $\phi(z_i) = T^*(\varphi_0)(z_i) = \varphi_0(T(z_i)) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_k(w_{p(2i)} - d_i w_{p(2i-1)}) = 0$ and therefore $P(z_i) = z_i$ for all $i \geq 0$. Hence P is a projection from X onto Z .

Using the fact that $T : U \rightarrow c$ is an isometry we get, for every $x \in B(X)$, the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|P(x)\| &= \|TP(x)\| = \left\| \phi(x)v_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\phi_{n(p(2j))} - \phi)(x)v_j \right\| \\ &= \left\| \phi(x)w_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\phi_{n(p(2j))} - \phi)(x)(w_{p(2j)} + d_j w_{p(2j-1)}) \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \phi(x)w_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\phi_{n(p(2j))} - \phi)(x)w_{p(2j)} \right\| \\ &\quad + \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\phi_{n(p(2j))} - \phi)(x)d_j w_{p(2j-1)} \right\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \max \{ |\phi(x)|, \max \{ |\phi_{n(p(2j))}(x)| : j \geq 1 \} \} \\
&\quad + \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\phi_{n(p(2j))} - \phi)(x) d_j w_{p(2j-1)} \right\| \\
&\leq 1 + 2 \max \{ |d_j| : j \geq 1 \} \leq 1 + 2\delta < 1 + \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\|P\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$ and this completes the proof of Theorem 2. \square

Remark 3. Theorem 1 of [Z] states that every separable L_1 predual X contains a 1-complemented subspace Z which is isometric to the space c_0 . The following is a simple proof of Theorem 1 of [Z] which is based on Theorem 1 above.

Let U be the subspace of X constructed in Theorem 1 above and let $\varphi = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i \varphi_i$ be the annihilator of $T(U)$ in c^* . For some small $\varepsilon > 0$ pick a subsequence of integers $\{p(j)\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ and vectors $v_j = w_{p(2j)} + d_j w_{p(2j-1)}$ with $|d_j| \leq \varepsilon$ which belong to $T(U)$. Let $z_j = T^{-1}(v_j)$ and put $Z = \left[\{z_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \right]$. The operator T is an isometry and $\left[\{v_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \right]$ is isometric to c_0 hence Z is isometric to c_0 . We know that $T^*(\varphi_{p(2j)}) = \psi_{p(2j)} = \phi_{n(p(2j))}|_U$ and $\varphi_{p(2j)}(v_i) = \delta_{i,j}$. The subspace $Z_0 = \left[\{z_{2j} - z_{2j-1}\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \right]$ is isometric to c_0 and $\omega^* \lim(\phi_{n(p(4j))} - \phi_{n(p(4j-2))}) = 0$. It follows that the operator P defined by

$$P(x) = (1/2) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\phi_{n(p(4j))} - \phi_{n(p(4j-2))})(x) (z_{2j} - z_{2j-1})$$

for every $x \in X$ is a projection of norm 1 from X onto Z_0 . This proves Theorem 1 of [Z].

REFERENCES

- [CMPV] Emanuele Casini, Enrico Miglierina, Łukasz Piasecki, and Libor Veselý, *Rethinking polyhedrality for Lindenstrauss spaces*, Israel J. Math. **216** (2016), no. 1, 355–369, DOI 10.1007/s11856-016-1412-8. MR3556971
- [LL1] A. J. Lazar and J. Lindenstrauss, *On Banach spaces whose duals are L_1 spaces*, Israel J. Math. **4** (1966), 205–207, DOI 10.1007/BF02760079. MR0206670
- [LL2] A. J. Lazar and J. Lindenstrauss, *Banach spaces whose duals are L_1 spaces and their representing matrices*, Acta Math. **126** (1971), 165–193, DOI 10.1007/BF02392030. MR0291771
- [MP] E. Michael and A. Pelczyński, *Separable Banach spaces which admit l_n^∞ approximations*, Israel J. Math. **4** (1966), 189–198, DOI 10.1007/BF02760077. MR0211247
- [S] Z. Semadeni, *Free compact convex sets* (English, with Russian summary), Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **13** (1965), 141–146. MR0190719
- [Z] M. Zippin, *On some subspaces of Banach spaces whose duals are L_1 spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (1969), 378–385, DOI 10.2307/2037177. MR0246094

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM, JERUSALEM, ISRAEL
Email address: zippin@math.huji.ac.il