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#### Abstract

We apply the arithmetic theory of Teichmüller modular forms to calculating constants in relations, which are connected with Klein's (amazing) formulas, between certain invariants of canonical curves of genus $g=3,4$.


## 1. Introduction

Klein's formulas connect certain invariants of canonical curves of genus $g=3,4$ with the product $\theta_{g}$ of even theta constants for their Jacobian varieties. In this paper, motivated by results of Lachaud, Ritzenthaler, and Zykin [8, 9], we apply the arithmetic theory of Teichmüller modular forms [3, 4] to the calculation of the constants in Klein's formulas. Further, we describe $\theta_{4}$ by derivatives of Schottky's modular form $J$, which is a Siegel modular form of degree 4 with zero divisor as the Jacobian locus. We obtain identities (up to a sign) between modular forms and invariants for canonical curves of genus 3,4 by using the fact that these identities hold up to a nonzero constant (cf. [7,10) and then showing that both sides are integral and primitive.

First, we consider a smooth complex curve $C_{P} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ of genus 3 defined as $P=0$, where $P$ is a homogeneous quartic polynomial. Let $\left(\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}\right)$ be the period $3 \times 6$ matrix of canonical regular 1-forms on $C_{P}$ such that $Z=\Omega_{1}^{-1} \Omega_{2}$ belongs to the Siegel upper half space of degree 3. Then in [7], Klein proved that the discriminant $\operatorname{Disc}(P)$ of $P$ satisfies

$$
\operatorname{Disc}(P)^{2}=c_{1} \frac{\theta_{3}(Z)}{\operatorname{det}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)^{18}}
$$

for a certain constant $c_{1}$ independent of $C_{P}$. In [8, 9 , Lachaud, Ritzenthaler, and Zykin proved that

$$
c_{1}=\frac{(2 \pi)^{54}}{2^{28}}
$$

by big calculations (using the software MAGMA) of theta constants for the Jacobian variety of a special $C_{P}$ which is isogenous to the product of three elliptic curves. Their work is also closely related to a conjecture of Serre [13] on a refinement of the Torelli theorem. In this paper, we give another proof of this result by showing that the invariant Disc equals (up to a sign) the integral and primitive Teichmüller modular form proportional to $\sqrt{\theta_{3}}$.

[^0]Second, we show that this method can also be applied to determine the constant $c_{2}$ in the formula by Matone and Volpato [10, Theorem 3.4]

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\left(1+\delta_{i j}\right) \frac{\partial J(Z)}{\partial z_{i j}}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 4}^{2}=c_{2} \cdot \theta_{4}(Z)
$$

where $J$ denotes Schottky's modular form and $Z=\left(z_{i j}\right)$ are the period matrices of canonical curves of genus 4 . By using the arithmetic property of the Teichmüller modular form $\sqrt{\theta_{4}}$ and results of Brinkmann and Gerritzen [1,2] on the lowest term of $J$, we determine $c_{2}$. From this result, we can obtain a complete form of Klein's amazing formula (cf. [7, p. 462]) such as

$$
\Delta(C)^{2} \cdot T(C)^{8}=\frac{(2 \pi)^{272} \theta_{4}(Z)}{2^{120} \operatorname{det}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)^{68}}
$$

where $C \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{3}$ is a canonical curve, and $\Delta(C)$ and $T(C)$ denote its (integral, primitive) discriminant and tact invariant respectively.

## 2. Preliminaries

2.1. A canonical curve is a proper smooth curve embedded into the projective space by the linear system associated with its canonical divisor. If a proper smooth curve $C$ is not hyperelliptic, then a basis of the space $H^{0}\left(C, \Omega_{C}\right)$ of regular 1-forms on $C$ gives a closed immersion $C \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$ which defines a canonical curve. Each canonical curve of genus 3 is given by a quartic curve in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, and that of genus 4 is given by the intersection of a quadric surface and a cubic surface in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$.
2.2. For an integer $g>1$, let $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{g}$ be the moduli stacks of proper smooth curves of genus $g$ and of principally polarized abelian varieties of dimension $g$ respectively. Then there exists the Hodge line bundle $\lambda$ on these stacks whose fiber is spanned by the exterior product of a basis of regular 1 -forms. Let $R$ be a commutative ring with unity, and let $h$ be an integer. Then Siegel (resp. Teichmüller) modular forms of degree $g$ and weight $h$ over $R$ are defined as global sections of $\lambda^{\otimes h}$ on $\mathcal{A}_{g} \otimes R\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathcal{M}_{g} \otimes R\right)$. The pullback by the Torelli map

$$
\tau: \mathcal{M}_{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g}
$$

gives an $R$-linear map $\tau^{*}$ between the $R$-modules of Siegel modular forms and of Teichmüller modular forms over $R$ which preserves their degree and weight. These forms are called integral if $R=\mathbb{Z}$ and given as elements of $\mathcal{O}_{S}$ if $\lambda$ is trivialized on a scheme $S$ over $\mathcal{A}_{g} \otimes R\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathcal{M}_{g} \otimes R\right)$.

By taking regular 1-forms $\omega_{i}=d \zeta_{i} / \zeta_{i}(1 \leq i \leq g)$ on Mumford's abelian scheme of dimension $g$ [11 formally represented as

$$
\left\{\left(\zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{g}\right) \in \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^{g}\right\} /\left\langle\left(q_{i j}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq g} \mid 1 \leq j \leq g\right\rangle,
$$

the trivialization of $\lambda$ by $\omega_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{g}$ gives rise to the Fourier ( $q_{i j^{-}}$) expansion for Siegel modular forms of degree $g$. For variables $\alpha_{ \pm 1}, \ldots, \alpha_{ \pm g}$, put

$$
A_{0}=\mathbb{Z}\left[\alpha_{k}, \frac{1}{\alpha_{l}-\alpha_{m}}(k, l, m \in\{ \pm 1, \ldots, \pm g\}, l \neq m)\right]
$$

and let

$$
A=A_{0}\left[\left[\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{g}\right]\right]
$$

be the ring of formal power series over $A_{0}$ of variables $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{g}$. Then it is shown in [4. Theorem 3.5] that there exists a generalized Tate curve $\mathcal{C}_{g}$ which is a stable curve of genus $g$ over $A$ and has the following properties:

- The curve $\mathcal{C}_{g}$ is a universal deformation over $A$ of the singular projective line obtained as $\alpha_{i}=\alpha_{-i}(1 \leq i \leq g)$ in $\mathbb{P}_{A_{0}}^{1}$ and is Mumford uniformized by

$$
\left\langle\left.\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha_{i} & \alpha_{-i} \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & \beta_{i}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha_{i} & \alpha_{-i} \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right)^{-1} \right\rvert\, 1 \leq i \leq g\right\rangle .
$$

- The Jacobian of $\mathcal{C}_{g}$ becomes Mumford's abelian scheme

$$
\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^{g} /\left\langle\left(p_{i j}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq g} \mid 1 \leq j \leq g\right\rangle,
$$

where $p_{i j} \in A$ are called the universal periods whose lowest terms become $\beta_{i}($ if $i=j)$ or $\frac{\left(\alpha_{i}-\alpha_{j}\right)\left(\alpha_{-i}-\alpha_{-j}\right)}{\left(\alpha_{i}-\alpha_{-j}\right)\left(\alpha_{-i}-\alpha_{j}\right)}($ if $i \neq j)$.
Then the evaluation of Teichmüller modular forms of degree $g$ over $R$ on $\mathcal{C}_{g}$ gives elements of $A \otimes R$, and this evaluation of the images by $\tau^{*}$ of Siegel modular forms is obtained by putting $q_{i j}=p_{i j}$ on their Fourier expansions.

Let

$$
\theta_{g}(Z)=\prod_{\substack{a, b \in\{0,1 / 2\}^{g} \\ 4 a^{t} b: \text { even }}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{g}} \exp \left(2 \pi \sqrt{-1}\left[\frac{1}{2}(n+a) Z^{t}(n+a)+(n+a)^{t} b\right]\right)
$$

be the product of even theta constants (i.e., theta null-values) of degree $g$, where $Z$ belongs to the Siegel upper half space $H_{g}$ of degree $g$. For $g \geq 3, \theta_{g}$ is an integral Siegel modular form of degree $g$ and weight $2^{g-2}\left(2^{g}+1\right)$. Then in 14, Theorem 1], Tsuyumine proved that $\tau^{*}\left(\theta_{g}\right)$ has a square root as a Teichmüller modular form over $\mathbb{C}$. Put

$$
N_{g}= \begin{cases}-2^{28} & (g=3), \\ 2^{2^{g-1}\left(2^{g}-1\right)} & (g \geq 4) .\end{cases}
$$

Then using the above expansion theory of Teichmüller modular forms, it is shown in [3, Theorem 4.4] and [4, Corollary 4.6] that $\mu_{g}=\sqrt{\tau^{*}\left(\theta_{g}\right) / N_{g}}$ is an integral Teichmüller modular form which is also primitive, i.e., not congruent to 0 modulo any prime. Actually, [3, Proposition 4.3] implies that the lowest term of the expansion of $\mu_{g}$ as an element of $A$ is primitive.

## 3. Genus 3 case

3.1. For a homogeneous polynomial $P$ of $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ of degree 4 , define the discriminant $\operatorname{Disc}(P)$ of $P$ as

$$
\operatorname{Disc}(P)=2^{-14} \cdot \operatorname{Res}\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial x_{1}}, \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_{2}}, \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_{3}}\right),
$$

where Res denotes the multivariate resultant. It is known that $\operatorname{Disc}(P)$ is an integral and primitive polynomial of the coefficients of $P$ and that $\operatorname{Disc}(P)=0$ if and only if $\partial P / \partial x_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ have a common nonzero root (cf. [8, 2.2] and [9, 2.1]). If $\operatorname{Disc}(P) \neq 0$, then the curve $C_{P} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ defined as $P=0$ is a smooth curve of genus 3. For each $f=x_{i}$, let $\omega_{f}$ be a 1 -form on $C_{P}$ defined as

$$
d P \wedge \omega_{f}=f\left(-x_{1} d x_{2} \wedge d x_{3}+x_{2} d x_{1} \wedge d x_{3}-x_{3} d x_{1} \wedge d x_{2}\right)
$$

namely,

$$
\omega_{f}=\frac{f \cdot\left(x_{j} d x_{k}-x_{k} d x_{j}\right)}{\partial P / \partial x_{i}} \quad \text { if } \partial P / \partial x_{i} \neq 0 \text { and }(i j k) \text { is even. }
$$

From this expression, one can see that $\omega_{x_{i}}(1 \leq i \leq 3)$ are well defined and give a basis of $H^{0}\left(C_{P}, \Omega_{C_{P}}\right)$. We call $\omega_{x_{i}}$ the canonical regular 1-forms on $C_{P}$.

Let $X_{4}$ be the affine space over $\mathbb{Z}$ consisting of homogeneous polynomials $P$ of $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ of degree 4 , and let $X_{4}^{\text {o }}$ be its Zariski open subspace on which $P$ is irreducible and $\operatorname{Disc}(P)$ is invertible. Then the correspondence $P \mapsto C_{P}$ gives rise to a morphism

$$
\phi: X_{4}^{\mathrm{o}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{3}
$$

whose image is the locus of nonhyperelliptic curves. Using invariant theory, Klein proved in [7 that the pullback $(\tau \circ \phi)^{*}\left(\theta_{3}\right)$ of $\theta_{3}$ by $\tau \circ \phi: X_{4}^{\mathrm{o}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{3}$ is a multiple by a nonzero constant of the square Disc ${ }^{2}$ of Disc. Further, Lachaud, Ritzenthaler, and Zykin [8, 9] calculated this constant and noticed that their result is equivalent to the following fact, which we will show using the arithmetic property of $\mu_{3}$ :

Theorem 3.1 (cf. [9, Remark 2.2.4]). We have

$$
\phi^{*}\left(\mu_{3}\right)= \pm \text { Disc }
$$

under the trivialization of $\lambda$ by $\omega_{x_{1}} \wedge \omega_{x_{2}} \wedge \omega_{x_{3}}$.
Proof. Note that $\phi^{*}\left(\mu_{3}\right)$ and Disc are integral polynomials of $x_{i}$ and that they are seen to be proportional. Further, Disc is primitive, and hence there exists an integer $c$ such that

$$
\phi^{*}\left(\mu_{3}\right)=c \cdot \operatorname{Disc} \cdot\left(\omega_{x_{1}} \wedge \omega_{x_{2}} \wedge \omega_{x_{3}}\right)^{\otimes 9} .
$$

Since $\mu_{3}$ is primitive on $\mathcal{M}_{3}$ and $\phi$ is dominant over all the special fibers of $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$, $\phi^{*}\left(\mu_{3}\right)$ is not congruent to 0 modulo any prime. Therefore, $\phi^{*}\left(\mu_{3}\right)$ is also primitive, and hence $c= \pm 1$.
3.2. We apply Theorem 3.1 to re-prove the following main result of 8].

Corollary 3.2 (cf. [8, Theorem 4.3.1 and Corollary 4.3.3] and [9, Theorem 2.2.3]). Let $C_{P} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ be a canonical complex curve as above, and let $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{6}$ be a symplectic basis of $H_{1}\left(C_{P}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ for the intersection pairing. Put

$$
\Omega=\left(\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}\right)=\left(\int_{\gamma_{j}} \omega_{x_{i}}\right)_{i, j}
$$

and $Z=\Omega_{1}^{-1} \Omega_{2} \in H_{3}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Disc}(P)^{2}=\frac{(2 \pi)^{54} \cdot \theta_{3}(Z)}{2^{28} \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)^{18}}
$$

Proof. As is mentioned in subsection [2.2] $\mu_{3}=\sqrt{-\tau^{*}\left(\theta_{3}\right) / 2^{28}}$, and hence the assertion follows from Theorem 3.1 and that

$$
\omega_{1} \wedge \omega_{2} \wedge \omega_{3}=(2 \pi \sqrt{-1})^{3} d z_{1} \wedge d z_{2} \wedge d z_{3}
$$

under the identification by $\zeta_{i}=\exp \left(2 \pi \sqrt{-1} z_{i}\right)$ of Mumford's abelian scheme of dimension 3 over $\mathbb{C}$ with the complex torus $\mathbb{C}^{3} /\left(\mathbb{Z}^{3}+\mathbb{Z}^{3} \cdot Z\right)$, where $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)$ are the natural coordinates on $\mathbb{C}^{3}$.

## 4. Genus 4 CASE

4.1. For a multiple $n$ of 4 , let

$$
\Theta_{n}(Z)=\sum_{\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{4}\right) \in L_{2 n}^{4}} \exp \left(\pi \sqrt{-1} \sum_{i, j=1}^{4}\left\langle\lambda_{i}, \lambda_{j}\right\rangle z_{i j}\right) \quad\left(Z=\left(z_{i j}\right) \in H_{4}\right)
$$

be the theta series for the lattice

$$
L_{2 n}=\left\{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{2 n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n} \mid 2 a_{i}, a_{i}-a_{j}, \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} a_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

with standard inner product $\langle$,$\rangle . Then Schottky's J$ defined as

$$
J(Z)=\frac{2^{2}}{3^{2} \cdot 5 \cdot 7}\left(\Theta_{4}(Z)^{2}-\Theta_{8}(Z)\right)
$$

is a Siegel modular form of degree 4 and weight 8 which is represented as an integral polynomial of theta constants (cf. [5] 6]) and hence is integral. Further, $J$ is characterized (up to a constant) as a nonzero Siegel modular form of degree 4 of minimal weight vanishing on the Jacobian locus. Then Brinkmann and Gerritzen [1.2] proved that the lowest term of (the Fourier expansion of) $J$ for $q_{11}, q_{22}, q_{33}, q_{44}$ becomes

$$
-2^{16}\left(\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq 4} q_{i j}^{-1}\right) F \cdot q_{11} q_{22} q_{33} q_{44}
$$

Here $F$ is an integral polynomial of $q_{i j}(1 \leq i<j \leq 4)$ which is a (unique up to a sign) generator of the kernel of the algebra homomorphism

$$
\varphi: \mathbb{Z}\left[q_{i j}(1 \leq i<j \leq 4)\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\left[\alpha_{k}, \frac{1}{\alpha_{l}-\alpha_{m}}(k, l, m \in\{ \pm 1, \ldots, \pm 4\}, l \neq m)\right]
$$

given by

$$
\varphi\left(q_{i j}\right)=\frac{\left(\alpha_{i}-\alpha_{j}\right)\left(\alpha_{-i}-\alpha_{-j}\right)}{\left(\alpha_{i}-\alpha_{-j}\right)\left(\alpha_{-i}-\alpha_{j}\right)},
$$

where $\alpha_{ \pm 1}, \ldots, \alpha_{ \pm 4}$ are variables. Actually, $F$ is written as $\Delta H-G$ by the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta & =\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq 4}\left(q_{i j}-1\right), \\
H & =\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq 4} q_{i j}-\left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq 4} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k<l \leq 4 \\
k, l \neq i}} q_{k l}\right)+q_{12} q_{34}+q_{13} q_{24}+q_{14} q_{23}, \\
G & =q_{12} q_{34} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i<j \leq 4 \\
(i, j) \neq(1,2),(3,4)}}\left(q_{i j}-1\right)^{2}+q_{13} q_{24} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i<j \leq 4 \\
(i, j) \neq(1,3),(2,4)}}\left(q_{i j}-1\right)^{2} \\
& +q_{14} q_{23} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i<j \leq 4 \\
(i, j) \neq(1,4),(2,3)}}\left(q_{i j}-1\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Put

$$
S_{i j}=\frac{-1}{2^{16} \cdot 2 \pi \sqrt{-1}} \frac{\partial J(Z)}{\partial z_{i j}}=-\frac{q_{i j}}{2^{16}} \frac{\partial J}{\partial q_{i j}} \quad(1 \leq i, j \leq 4)
$$

and denote by $S$ the $4 \times 4$ symmetric matrix whose $(i, j)$-components are $\left(1+\delta_{i j}\right) S_{i j}$, where $\delta_{i j}$ denotes Kronecker's delta.

Theorem 4.1. The pullback $\tau^{*}(\operatorname{det}(S))$ of the determinant $\operatorname{det}(S)$ of $S$ by the Torelli map $\tau$ is equal to $\pm \mu_{4}$, and hence it is an integral and primitive Teichmüller modular form of degree 4 .

Proof. As mentioned in subsection [2.2, 3, Proposition 4.3] implies that the lowest term of the expansion of $\mu_{g}$ as an element of $A$ is integral and primitive, and by [10, Theorem 3.4], $\tau^{*}(\operatorname{det}(S))$ is proportional to $\mu_{4}$ as a Teichmüller modular form. In the following, we will prove that the lowest term of $\tau^{*}(\operatorname{det}(S))$ as a formal power series of $\beta_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 4)$ is a primitive element of $A_{0}$. Then one can see that $\tau^{*}(\operatorname{det}(S))= \pm \mu_{4}$, and hence it is integral and primitive.

Since the expansion $\tau^{*}(\operatorname{det}(S))$ by $\beta_{i}$ is obtained by putting $q_{i j}=p_{i j}$ on $\operatorname{det}(S)$, the lowest term of $\tau^{*}(\operatorname{det}(S))$ for $\beta_{i}$ belongs to $A_{0}$. Put $D=\prod_{k=1}^{4} q_{k k}$, and for each $1 \leq i, j \leq 4$, denote by $L_{i j}$ the constant term of $\left(1+\delta_{i j}\right) S_{i j} / D$ for $q_{k k}(1 \leq k \leq 4)$ which belongs to $\mathbb{Z}\left[q_{l m}^{ \pm 1}(1 \leq l<m \leq 4)\right]$. Then we prove that the lowest term of $\tau^{*}(\operatorname{det}(S))$ is primitive by showing that $L_{i j}(1 \leq i, j \leq 4)$ form a regular matrix modulo the above $F$ over any field. Put $N=\prod_{1 \leq l<m \leq 4} q_{l m}$. Then this assertion follows from the fact that $\operatorname{det}\left(L_{i j} \cdot N\right)$ is not divided by $F$ as a polynomial of $q_{l m}$ $(1 \leq l<m \leq 4)$ over any field since $F / q_{l m}$ is not a polynomial for any $l<m$. By putting $q_{l m}=0$ for $(l, m) \neq(1,2),(3,4)$, we have

$$
F \mapsto F^{\prime} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left(q_{12}-1\right)\left(q_{34}-1\right) q_{12} q_{34}-q_{12} q_{34}=q_{12}^{2} q_{34}^{2}-q_{12}^{2} q_{34}-q_{12} q_{34}^{2}
$$

and

$$
L_{i j} \cdot N \mapsto \begin{cases}2 F^{\prime} & (i=j), \\ q_{12}^{2} q_{34}^{2}-q_{12}^{2} q_{34} & ((i, j)=(1,2)), \\ q_{12}^{2} q_{34}^{2}-q_{12} q_{34}^{2} & ((i, j)=(3,4)), \\ -F^{\prime} & \text { (otherwise). }\end{cases}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{det}\left(L_{i j} \cdot N\right) \\
& \mapsto \operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
2 F^{\prime} & q_{12}^{2} q_{34}^{2}-q_{12}^{2} q_{34} & -F^{\prime} & -F^{\prime} \\
q_{12}^{2} q_{34}^{2}-q_{12}^{2} q_{34} & 2 F^{\prime} & -F^{\prime} & -F^{\prime} \\
-F^{\prime} & -F^{\prime} & 2 F^{\prime} & q_{12}^{2} q_{34}^{2}-q_{12} q_{34}^{2} \\
-F^{\prime} & -F^{\prime} & q_{12}^{2} q_{34}^{2}-q_{12} q_{34}^{2} & 2 F^{\prime}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \equiv q_{12}^{6} q_{34}^{6} \not \equiv 0 \bmod \left(F^{\prime}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence $\operatorname{det}\left(L_{i j} \cdot N\right)$ is not congruent to 0 modulo $F$ over any field. This implies that the lowest term of $\tau^{*}(\operatorname{det}(S))$ is primitive, and hence Theorem 4.1 follows from the above argument.

Corollary 4.2. Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{3}$ be a canonical complex curve of genus 4 whose Jacobian variety is represented as a complex torus $\mathbb{C}^{4} /\left(\mathbb{Z}^{4}+\mathbb{Z}^{4} \cdot Z\right)$, where $Z=\left(z_{i j}\right) \in H_{4}$. Denote by $S(C)$ the $4 \times 4$ matrix obtained by putting $q_{i j}=\exp \left(2 \pi \sqrt{-1} z_{i j}\right)$ in $S$. Then

$$
\operatorname{det}(S(C))^{2}=\frac{(2 \pi)^{272} \cdot \theta_{4}(Z)}{2^{120}}
$$

Proof. This assertion follows from Theorem 4.1 and that $\mu_{4}=\sqrt{\tau^{*}\left(\theta_{4}\right) / 2^{120}}$.
4.2. The above results are also applied to calculating the unknown constant in Klein's amazing formula [7] p. 462]. Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}=\left\{\left(x_{1}: x_{2}: x_{3}: x_{4}\right)\right\}$ be (possibly singular) curves which are obtained as the intersections of quadric surfaces and cubic surfaces given by $Q=0$ and $E=0$ respectively. Then the discriminant $\Delta(C)$ of $C$ is defined as $\operatorname{det}\left(\left(1+\delta_{i j}\right) Q_{i j}\right)$, where $Q$ is given by $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq 4} Q_{i j} x_{i} x_{j}$. Further, the tact invariant $T(C)$ of $C$ is defined in [12, p. 122] as a polynomial of the coefficients of $Q, E$ corresponding to the locus over which $C$ are singular. Especially, we take $T(C)$ as an integral and primitive polynomial. If $C$ is smooth, then its genus is 4 and there exists a basis of $H^{0}\left(C, \Omega_{C}\right)$ which consists of canonical regular 1-forms $\omega_{x_{i}}(1 \leq i \leq 4)$ satisfying

$$
d Q \wedge d E \wedge \omega_{x_{i}}=x_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{4}(-1)^{j} x_{j} d x_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d x_{j-1} \wedge d x_{j+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d x_{4}
$$

on $C \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$. Then Klein [7, p. 462] (see also [10, Remark 3.6]) states that there exists a constant $d$ independent of $C$ such that

$$
\Delta(C)^{2} \cdot T(C)^{8}=d \frac{\theta_{4}(Z)}{\operatorname{det}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)^{68}}
$$

where $\left(\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}\right)$ is the period matrix of $\omega_{x_{i}}(1 \leq i \leq 4)$ for a symplectic basis of $H_{1}(C, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $Z=\Omega_{1}^{-1} \Omega_{2} \in H_{4}$.

For $d=2,3$, let $Y_{d}$ be the affine space over $\mathbb{Z}$ consisting of homogeneous polynomials of $x_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 4)$ with degree $d$. Then the above discriminant and tact invariant give integral and primitive polynomials defined on $Y_{2} \times Y_{3}$, which we denote by $\Delta$ and $T$ respectively. Let $Y_{2,3}^{\mathrm{o}}$ be the Zariski open subspace of $Y_{2} \times Y_{3}$ which consists of $(Q, E)$ satisfying that $\{Q=0\} \cap\{E=0\}$ are smooth curves in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. Then we have a family of canonical curves of genus 4 over $Y_{2,3}^{\mathrm{o}}$ with basis of canonical regular 1-forms $\omega_{x_{i}}$. Therefore, there exists a natural morphism

$$
\psi: Y_{2,3}^{\mathrm{o}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{4}
$$

whose image corresponds to the locus of nonhyperelliptic curves of genus 4 . Then Klein's statement implies that under the trivialization of $\lambda$ by $\omega_{x_{1}} \wedge \omega_{x_{2}} \wedge \omega_{x_{3}} \wedge \omega_{x_{4}}$, $\psi^{*}\left(\mu_{4}\right)$ is a multiple of $\Delta \cdot T^{4}$ by a nonzero constant. Since $\psi$ is dominant over all the special fibers of $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}), \psi^{*}\left(\mu_{4}\right)$ is integral and primitive, and hence we have

$$
\psi^{*}\left(\mu_{4}\right)= \pm \Delta \cdot T^{4}
$$

Therefore, as in the proof of Corollaries 3.2 and 4.2, the above constant $d$ can be determined as

$$
d=\frac{(2 \pi)^{272}}{2^{120}}
$$
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