

NORM ESTIMATES OF WEIGHTED COMPOSITION OPERATORS PERTAINING TO THE HILBERT MATRIX

MIKAEL LINDSTRÖM, SANTERI MIIHKINEN, AND NIKLAS WIKMAN

(Communicated by Stephan Ramon Garcia)

ABSTRACT. Very recently, Božin and Karapetrović [J. Funct. Anal. 274 (2018), no. 2, pp. 525–543] solved a conjecture by proving that the norm of the Hilbert matrix operator \mathcal{H} on the Bergman space A^p is equal to $\frac{\pi}{\sin(\frac{2\pi}{p})}$ for $2 < p < 4$. In this article we present a partly new and simplified proof of this result. Moreover, we calculate the exact value of the norm of \mathcal{H} defined on the Korenblum spaces H_α^∞ for $0 < \alpha \leq 2/3$ and an upper bound for the norm on the scale $2/3 < \alpha < 1$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Hilbert matrix operator \mathcal{H} is one of the central operators in operator theory and the study of its boundedness, norm, and other properties on several analytic function spaces has been under active investigation in recent years. Magnus [9] was the first one to study \mathcal{H} as an operator on the space l^2 of all square-summable complex sequences. Diamantopoulos and Siskakis [6] considered \mathcal{H} acting on the Hardy spaces H^p . They showed that $\mathcal{H}: H^p \rightarrow H^p$ is bounded for $1 < p < \infty$ and obtained an upper bound for its norm, namely $\|\mathcal{H}\|_{H^p \rightarrow H^p} \leq \frac{\pi}{\sin(\frac{\pi}{p})}$ for $2 \leq p < \infty$ and another estimate on the scale $1 < p < 2$. In [7], the boundedness of \mathcal{H} on the Bergman spaces A^p , $2 < p < \infty$, was shown and the first upper bound for the norm of \mathcal{H} was also obtained, that is,

$$\|\mathcal{H}\|_{A^p \rightarrow A^p} \leq \frac{\pi}{\sin(\frac{2\pi}{p})}$$

for $4 \leq p < \infty$ and another less precise upper estimate for $2 < p < 4$. Later, Dostanić, Jevtić, and Vukotić [8] proved this to be the exact value of the norm on the scale $4 \leq p < \infty$ and improved the upper bound in [7] for the exponents $2 < p < 4$. They also established the precise value of the norm of \mathcal{H} in the Hardy space case for all $1 < p < \infty$. In turn, the boundedness of \mathcal{H} defined on the Korenblum spaces H_α^∞ was observed in [2]. The upper estimate for the norm of \mathcal{H} on A^p , $2 < p < 4$, was conjectured in [8] to be the same as the one for the values $4 \leq p < \infty$. Recently, Božin and Karapetrović [4] solved the conjecture in the positive and obtained that

$$\|\mathcal{H}\|_{A^p \rightarrow A^p} = \frac{\pi}{\sin(\frac{2\pi}{p})}$$

Received by the editors May 20, 2018.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47B38; Secondary 30H20.

Key words and phrases. Hilbert matrix, weighted composition operator, operator norm, Bergman spaces, Korenblum spaces.

also for $2 < p < 4$. In [4], the problem of determining the norm of \mathcal{H} was reduced to estimates concerning the Beta function. The proof of one of their key results rests heavily on the use of a theorem of Sturm involving the number of zeros of a polynomial; see [10]. The purpose of this article is twofold: first, by avoiding the use of Sturm’s theorem, we give a partly new and simplified proof of the main result in [4]. Secondly, we establish the exact value of the norm of \mathcal{H} defined on the Korenblum spaces H_α^∞ for $0 < \alpha < 2/3$ and an upper bound for the norm on the scale $2/3 \leq \alpha < 1$. Our proof of the Bergman space case rests upon a new estimate for the Beta function due to Bhayo and Sándor [3]. We also give a different proof of case $2 + \sqrt{3} \leq p < 4$ in [4, Lemma 2.6] based on a change of variables in the Beta function. Regarding the H_α^∞ case, we employ the representation of \mathcal{H} in terms of weighted composition operators and a formula for the norm of these operators on spaces H_α^∞ .

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $H(\mathbb{D})$ be the algebra of analytic functions in the open unit disc $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ of the complex plane \mathbb{C} . For those $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$, $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k z^k$, for which the sequence

$$\left(\sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{a_k}{n+k+1} \right)_{n=0}^\infty$$

converges, the Hilbert matrix operator \mathcal{H} can be defined by its action on the Taylor coefficients of f as follows:

$$\mathcal{H}(f)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left(\sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{a_k}{n+k+1} \right) z^n.$$

However, it also admits an integral representation in terms of weighted composition operators T_t ,

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{H}(f)(z) = \int_0^1 T_t(f)(z) dt, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

where $T_t(f)(z) = \omega_t(z)f(\phi_t(z))$ for $0 < t < 1$, $\omega_t(z) = \frac{1}{(t-1)z+1}$, and $\phi_t(z) = \frac{t}{(t-1)z+1}$; see [6]. The representation (2.1) is employed in this article. We consider \mathcal{H} acting on the Bergman spaces

$$A^p = \left\{ f \in H(\mathbb{D}) : \|f\|_{A^p} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^p dA(z) \right)^{1/p} < \infty \right\},$$

where $2 < p < \infty$ and $dA(z)$ is the normalized Lebesgue area measure on \mathbb{D} , and on the Korenblum spaces

$$H_\alpha^\infty = \left\{ f \in H(\mathbb{D}) : \|f\|_{H_\alpha^\infty} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha |f(z)| < \infty \right\},$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1$. We also need the Beta function defined as

$$B(s, t) = \int_0^1 x^{s-1}(1-x)^{t-1} dx,$$

where $s, t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy $\Re(t) > 0$ and $\Re(s) > 0$. It can be checked that

$$B(s, t) = \Gamma(s)\Gamma(t)/\Gamma(s+t),$$

where Γ is the Gamma function. We will also use the well-known equation

$$\Gamma(z)\Gamma(1 - z) = \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}.$$

We refer the reader to [1] for these and other identities regarding the Beta and Gamma functions.

3. THE NORM OF THE HILBERT MATRIX OPERATOR ON A^p

In this section, we present a partly shorter proof than the one given in [4] for the operator norm of \mathcal{H} acting on the Bergman spaces A^p , $2 < p < 4$. In [4], the proof of the main result rests upon a new estimate for the Beta function (see [4, Lemma 2.6]), and a part of it is in turn proved by utilizing a classical result of Sturm. We are able to avoid the result of Sturm and consequently simplify their proof considerably. A crucial tool for us is an estimate for the Beta function proved by Bhayo and Sándor [3], which we state and prove next for the convenience of the reader.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $x > 1$, $0 < y < 1$. Then*

$$(3.1) \quad B(x, y) < \frac{1}{xy}(x + y - xy).$$

Proof. Let us first show that

$$(3.2) \quad \psi(1 + x) - \psi(x + y) < \frac{1 - y}{x + y - xy},$$

where $\psi(x) = \frac{\Gamma'(x)}{\Gamma(x)}$. Write

$$g_x(y) := \psi(1 + x) - \psi(x + y) - \frac{1 - y}{x + y - xy}.$$

For the second derivative of $g_x(y)$ with respect to y notice that

$$\psi''(x + y) = (-1)^3 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{2!}{(x + y + k)^3} < 0;$$

see [1]. Thus for $x > 1$, and $0 < y < 1$ we obtain

$$g_x''(y) = \frac{2x - 2}{(x(1 - y) + y)^3} - \psi''(x + y) > 0.$$

It now follows that g_x is convex as a function of y . By using the equality $\psi(1 + x) - \psi(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ we conclude that

$$g_x(0) = \psi(1 + x) - \psi(x) - \frac{1}{x} = 0 = g_x(1).$$

By the convexity of g_x inequality (3.2) follows.

Now, we define

$$h_y(x) = \log(\Gamma(1 + x)) + \log(\Gamma(1 + y)) - \log(\Gamma(x + y)) - \log(x + y - xy).$$

Then estimate (3.1) is equivalent to $h_y(x) < 0$. By differentiating h_y with respect to x we get

$$h_y'(x) = g_x(y),$$

which is negative due to inequality (3.2). Thus $h_y(x)$ is nonincreasing for $x > 1$, and since $h_y(1) = 0$ the result follows. \square

Next, we state Lemma 2.5 from [4] with a significantly simplified proof compared to the one given there. In [4], the proof of Lemma 2.5 is divided into three cases depending on the size of the parameter p . Two of the cases utilize a classical result of Sturm involving the number of zeros of a polynomial; see [4, Theorem 2.1]. However, the proof presented here avoids using the result of Sturm by utilizing Lemma 3.1 and, moreover, it suffices to consider only two cases, namely $2 < p \leq 5/2$ and $5/2 < p < 4$. The proof of the former case is the same as the one in [4], but it is presented here for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $2 < p < 4$. Then $B\left(\frac{2}{p}, 2(p-2)\right) \leq \frac{1}{(p-2)(4-p)}$.*

Proof.

(1) Case $2 < p \leq \frac{5}{2}$. By using $B(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{xy}, x, y \in (0, 1]$ and observing that both parameters in the Beta function belong to the interval $(0, 1]$, we have

$$B\left(\frac{2}{p}, 2(p-2)\right) \leq \frac{1}{(p-2)(4-p)}.$$

(2) Case $\frac{5}{2} < p < 4$. Now $2(p-2) > 1$ and $\frac{2}{p} < 1$. Hence by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} B\left(\frac{2}{p}, 2(p-2)\right) &< \frac{1}{\frac{2}{p} \cdot 2(p-2)} \left(\frac{2}{p} + 2(p-2) - \frac{2}{p} \cdot 2(p-2)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2(p-2)}(p^2 - 4p + 5). \end{aligned}$$

The claim follows from the following inequality

$$\frac{1}{2}(p^2 - 4p + 5) \leq \frac{1}{4-p},$$

which is equivalent to

$$(p-3)^2(p-2) \geq 0. \quad \square$$

A crucial estimate for the proof of the main result [4, Theorem 1.1] is Lemma 2.6 in [4]; see Lemma 3.3 below. Its proof is divided into two parts: (i) $2 + \sqrt{3} \leq p < 4$ and (ii) $2 < p < 2 + \sqrt{3}$. We provide a new proof for the case (i). The proof of case (ii) is the same as in [4] and therefore omitted. It essentially uses Lemma 3.2 above and is briefly commented at the end of the proof.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $2 < p < 4$, and let $s \in [0, 1]$. Then*

$$F_p(s) = \left(\frac{4-p}{2} + \frac{p-2}{2}s^4\right) B\left(\frac{2}{p}, 1 - \frac{2}{p}\right) - \int_0^1 \psi_p(t) \max\{s^2, t^2\}^{p-2} dt \leq 0,$$

where $\psi_p(t) = t^{\frac{2}{p}-1}(1-t)^{-\frac{2}{p}}$.

Proof. First note that

$$F_p(1) = \left(\frac{4-p}{2} + \frac{p-2}{2}\right) B\left(\frac{2}{p}, 1 - \frac{2}{p}\right) - \int_0^1 \psi_p(t) dt = 0.$$

The function $F_p(s)$ can be written as

$$F_p(s) = \left(\frac{4-p}{2} + \frac{p-2}{2}s^4\right) B\left(\frac{2}{p}, 1 - \frac{2}{p}\right) - s^{2(p-2)} \int_0^s \psi_p(t) dt - \int_s^1 \psi_p(t) t^{2(p-2)} dt.$$

By differentiating F_p we get

$$\begin{aligned} F'_p(s) &= 2(p-2)s^3 B\left(\frac{2}{p}, 1 - \frac{2}{p}\right) - 2(p-2)s^{2p-5} \int_0^s \psi_p(t) dt \\ &\quad - s^{2(p-2)}\psi_p(s) + s^{2(p-2)}\psi_p(s) \\ &= 2(p-2)s^{2p-5} \left(B\left(\frac{2}{p}, 1 - \frac{2}{p}\right) s^{8-2p} - \int_0^s \psi_p(t) dt \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now a change of variable yields

$$B\left(\frac{2}{p}, 1 - \frac{2}{p}\right) = \int_0^1 t^{\frac{2}{p}-1}(1-t)^{-\frac{2}{p}} dt = \int_0^s t^{\frac{2}{p}-1}(s-t)^{-\frac{2}{p}} dt.$$

Consequently, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} F'_p(s) &= 2(p-2)s^{2p-5} \left[s^{8-2p} \int_0^s t^{\frac{2}{p}-1}(s-t)^{-\frac{2}{p}} dt - \int_0^s t^{\frac{2}{p}-1}(1-t)^{-\frac{2}{p}} dt \right] \\ &= 2(p-2)s^{2p-5} \left[\int_0^s t^{\frac{2}{p}-1} \left(s^{8-2p}(s-t)^{-\frac{2}{p}} - (1-t)^{-\frac{2}{p}} \right) dt \right]. \end{aligned}$$

For fixed $p \in (2, 4)$ and $s \in [0, 1)$ define the function

$$H_{p,s}(t) = s^{8-2p}(s-t)^{-\frac{2}{p}} - (1-t)^{-\frac{2}{p}}$$

for $t \in [0, s)$.

(1) Case $2 + \sqrt{3} \leq p < 4$. Solving $H_{p,s}(t) = 0$ we get

$$s^{8-2p}(s-t)^{-\frac{2}{p}} = (1-t)^{-\frac{2}{p}} \iff s^{(4-p)p}(1-t) = (s-t).$$

By denoting $x = (4-p)p$ and solving the equation above with respect to t we have $t = \frac{s^x - s}{s^x - 1}$. Since $s^x - 1 < 0$ for all $s \in [0, 1)$, solutions to $H_{p,s}(t) = 0$ exist only if there is some t_0 such that

$$\begin{aligned} t_0 = \frac{s^x - s}{s^x - 1} \geq 0 &\iff s^x - s \leq 0 \\ &\iff p^2 - 4p + 1 \leq 0 \\ &\iff 2 - \sqrt{3} \leq p \leq 2 + \sqrt{3}. \end{aligned}$$

It also holds that $t_0 \leq s$ as

$$t_0 \leq s \iff \frac{s^x - s}{s^x - 1} \leq s \iff s < 1.$$

Because $2 + \sqrt{3} < p < 4$, it follows that $H_{p,s}(t) = 0$ has no solution in $[0, s)$. Moreover, $\lim_{t \rightarrow s} H_{p,s}(t) = +\infty$, and hence $F'_p(s) \geq 0$. Thus $F_p(s)$ is nondecreasing in $[0, 1]$, and because $F_p(1) = 0$ the statement holds.

(2) Case $2 < p < 2 + \sqrt{3}$. The proof of this case essentially uses the estimate $F_p(0) \leq 0$, which follows from Lemma 3.2. See the proof of [4, Lemma 2.6] for details. \square

The main result of [4] is the following.

Theorem 3.4 (Theorem 1.1 in [4]). *Let $2 < p < 4$. Then the norm of the Hilbert matrix operator \mathcal{H} acting on A^p is*

$$\|\mathcal{H}\|_{A^p \rightarrow A^p} = \frac{\pi}{\sin(2\pi/p)}.$$

The starting point of its proof is the representation of the Hilbert matrix operator in terms of weighted composition operators; see (2.1). The proof relies on a method based on Lemma 3.3 and using monotonicity of integral means in a new way yielding the upper estimate

$$\|\mathcal{H}\|_{A^p \rightarrow A^p} \leq \frac{\pi}{\sin(2\pi/p)},$$

which in combination with the same lower estimate implies Theorem 3.4. We refer the reader to [4] for the complete proof.

4. THE NORM OF THE HILBERT MATRIX OPERATOR ON H_α^∞

In this section, we derive norm estimates for the Hilbert matrix operator acting on H_α^∞ . Since \mathcal{H} is not bounded on H_α^∞ for $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha \geq 1$, we focus on the scale $0 < \alpha < 1$.

Let $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Define

$$f_\alpha(z) = \frac{1}{(1-z)^\alpha}.$$

On one hand, we have the estimate

$$\|f_\alpha\|_{H_\alpha^\infty} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{(1-|z|^2)^\alpha}{|1-z|^\alpha} \leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1+|z|)^\alpha = 2^\alpha.$$

On the other hand, for $r \in (0, 1)$ it holds that $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} |f_\alpha(r)|(1-r^2)^\alpha = 2^\alpha$, and we obtain $\|f_\alpha\|_{H_\alpha^\infty} = 2^\alpha$.

The weighted composition operator T_t applied to a function f_α can be written as

$$T_t(f_\alpha)(z) = \frac{((t-1)z+1)^{\alpha-1}}{(1-t)^\alpha} f_\alpha(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

and furthermore

$$\mathcal{H}(f_\alpha)(z) = \left(\int_0^1 \frac{((t-1)z+1)^{\alpha-1}}{(1-t)^\alpha} dt \right) f_\alpha(z).$$

By a change of variables $t = 1 - s$ we can write $\mathcal{H}(f_\alpha)(z) = \psi_\alpha(z)f_\alpha(z)$, where

$$\psi_\alpha(z) = \int_0^1 \frac{(1-sz)^{\alpha-1}}{s^\alpha} ds.$$

Notice that $\psi_\alpha(z)$ is defined and continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ for $0 < \alpha < 1$. Thus we have for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$ that

$$|\psi_\alpha(z)| \leq \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{s^\alpha} ds = \frac{\pi}{\sin(\alpha\pi)}.$$

We will also need the following representation of the norm of a weighted composition operator $uC_\varphi: H_\alpha^\infty \rightarrow H_\alpha^\infty$:

$$(4.1) \quad \|uC_\varphi\|_{H_\alpha^\infty \rightarrow H_\alpha^\infty} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \left(|u(z)| \left(\frac{1-|z|^2}{1-|\varphi(z)|^2} \right)^\alpha \right),$$

where $u \in H^\infty$ and φ is an analytic self-map of the unit disc; see [5]. We are now ready to give the lower bound for \mathcal{H} in the H_α^∞ case.

Theorem 4.1. *If $\mathcal{H} : H_\alpha^\infty \rightarrow H_\alpha^\infty$, then*

$$\|\mathcal{H}\|_{H_\alpha^\infty \rightarrow H_\alpha^\infty} \geq \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi\alpha)}$$

holds for $0 < \alpha < 1$.

Proof. We will use the normalized test function $g_\alpha(z) = \frac{f_\alpha(z)}{\|f_\alpha\|_{H_\alpha^\infty}}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{H}\|_{H_\alpha^\infty \rightarrow H_\alpha^\infty} &\geq \|\mathcal{H}(g_\alpha)\|_{H_\alpha^\infty} = \|\psi_\alpha g_\alpha\|_{H_\alpha^\infty} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |\psi_\alpha(z)| |g_\alpha(z)| (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha \\ &\geq \sup_{0 \leq r \leq 1} |\psi_\alpha(r)| |g_\alpha(r)| (1 - r^2)^\alpha = \sup_{0 \leq r \leq 1} \frac{|\psi_\alpha(r)|(1+r)^\alpha}{\|f_\alpha\|_{H_\alpha^\infty}} = \frac{2^\alpha |\psi_\alpha(1)|}{\|f_\alpha\|_{H_\alpha^\infty}} \\ &= |\psi_\alpha(1)| = \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi\alpha)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the equality $\|f_\alpha\|_{H_\alpha^\infty} = 2^\alpha$. □

Before proceeding to the proof of the upper bound for $\|\mathcal{H}\|_{H_\alpha^\infty \rightarrow H_\alpha^\infty}$ (Theorem 4.3), we establish the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then*

$$\|T_t\|_{H_\alpha^\infty \rightarrow H_\alpha^\infty} = \begin{cases} \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{(1-t)^\alpha} & \text{if } 0 < \alpha \leq 2/3 \text{ and } 0 < t < 1 \text{ or if } 2/3 < \alpha < 1 \text{ and } \frac{3\alpha-2}{4\alpha-2} \leq t < 1, \\ (1-x_0)^{2\alpha-1} \left(\frac{1-|x_0|^2}{(1-x_0)^2-t^2} \right)^\alpha & \text{if } 2/3 < \alpha < 1 \text{ and } 0 < t < \frac{3\alpha-2}{4\alpha-2}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$x_0 = \frac{\alpha + 2\alpha t - t - \sqrt{4\alpha^2 t - 2\alpha t + \alpha^2 - 2\alpha + 1}}{2\alpha - 1}.$$

Proof. Assume first that $0 < \alpha \leq 1/2$ and $f \in H_\alpha^\infty$. Then the estimate $|\phi'_t(z)| \leq \frac{1-t}{t}$ and the Schwarz–Pick lemma yield

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_t(f)\|_{H_\alpha^\infty} &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |T_t(f)(z)| (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha \\ &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t(1-t)}} |\phi'_t(z)|^{1/2} |f(\phi_t(z))| (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha \\ &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t(1-t)}} |\phi'_t(z)|^{1/2-\alpha} |\phi'_t(z)|^\alpha |f(\phi_t(z))| (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{t(1-t)}} \left(\frac{1-t}{t} \right)^{1/2-\alpha} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |f(\phi_t(z))| (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha |\phi'_t(z)|^\alpha \\ &\leq \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{(1-t)^\alpha} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |f(\phi_t(z))| (1 - |\phi_t(z)|^2)^\alpha \leq \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{(1-t)^\alpha} \|f\|_{H_\alpha^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $1/2 < \alpha < 1$. We apply equation (4.1) to T_t , in which case we have

$$u(z) = \omega_t(z) = \frac{1}{(t-1)z + 1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t(1-t)}} (\phi'_t(z))^{1/2}$$

and $\varphi = \phi_t$, and thus we get

$$\|T_t\|_{H_\alpha^\infty \rightarrow H_\alpha^\infty} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |1 - (1-t)z|^{2\alpha-1} \left(\frac{1 - |z|^2}{|1 - (1-t)z|^2 - t^2} \right)^\alpha.$$

Define

$$F(z) = |1 - (1 - t)z|^{2\alpha-1} \left(\frac{1 - |z|^2}{|1 - (1 - t)z|^2 - t^2} \right)^\alpha$$

and a projection

$$R(z) = 1 - |z - 1|$$

that rotates a point $z \in \mathbb{D}$ around the point 1 placing it on the real axis. We have that $|R(z)| \leq |z|$ and $|1 - R(z)| = |1 - z|$ for each $z \in \mathbb{D}$, so it follows that

$$F(z) \leq |1 - R((1 - t)z)|^{2\alpha-1} \left(\frac{1 - \left| \frac{R((1-t)z)}{1-t} \right|^2}{|1 - R((1-t)z)|^2 - t^2} \right)^\alpha.$$

Since $|R((1 - t)z)| \leq 1 - t$, we have

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} F(z) \leq \sup_{|x| \leq 1-t} G(x),$$

where

$$G(x) = (1 - x)^{2\alpha-1} \left(\frac{1 - \left| \frac{x}{1-t} \right|^2}{(1 - x)^2 - t^2} \right)^\alpha$$

is a differentiable function in $[t - 1, 1 - t]$ with $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1-t} G(x) = \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{(1-t)^\alpha}$. Assuming that $x \neq 1 - t$, we may write

$$G(x) = (1 - x)^{2\alpha-1} \left(\frac{1 - t + x}{(1 - t)^2(1 + t - x)} \right)^\alpha.$$

Denoting its continuous extension to $[t - 1, 1 - t]$ still by G we have

$$G(x) = (1 - x)^{2\alpha-1} \left(\frac{1 - t + x}{(1 - t)^2(1 + t - x)} \right)^\alpha$$

that is a differentiable function in $[t - 1, 1 - t]$ and $G(1 - t) = \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{(1-t)^\alpha}$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} G'(x) &= \alpha(1 - x)^{2\alpha-1} \left(\frac{1 - t + x}{(1 - t)^2(1 + t - x)^2} + \frac{1}{(1 - t)^2(1 + t - x)} \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\frac{1 - t + x}{(1 - t)^2(1 + t - x)} \right)^{\alpha-1} \\ &\quad - (2\alpha - 1)(1 - x)^{2(\alpha-1)} \left(\frac{1 - t + x}{(1 - t)^2(1 + t - x)} \right)^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

For $x \in (t - 1, 1 - t]$, we may write

$$G'(x) = \frac{(1-x)^{2(\alpha-1)} \left(\frac{1-t+x}{(t-1)^2(1+t-x)} \right)^\alpha ((1-2\alpha)x^2 + (4\alpha t - 2t + 2\alpha)x + (1-2\alpha)t^2 - 1)}{(t-x)^2 - 1}.$$

Since $x = t - 1$ corresponds to the point $z = -1$ and $F(-1) = G(t - 1) = 0$, we may omit it. The zeros of G in $(t - 1, 1 - t]$ are the zeros of a quadratic polynomial

$$p(x) = (1 - 2\alpha)x^2 + (4\alpha t - 2t + 2\alpha)x + (1 - 2\alpha)t^2 - 1.$$

From $p(x) = 0$, we get

$$x_0 = \frac{\alpha + 2\alpha t - t - \sqrt{4\alpha^2 t - 2\alpha t + \alpha^2 - 2\alpha + 1}}{2\alpha - 1},$$

since the other root of $p(x)$ is located outside the interval $(t - 1, 1 - t]$ and therefore can be discarded. Looking at the sign of $G'(x)$ near x_0 , we observe that G has a

global maximum at $x_0 > 0$ whenever $x_0 \in [t - 1, 1 - t]$. Let us first confirm that $x_0 > 0$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\alpha + 2\alpha t - t)^2 &= \alpha^2 + 4\alpha^2 t + 4\alpha^2 t^2 - 2t\alpha - 4\alpha t^2 + t^2 = \alpha^2 + 4\alpha^2 t - 2t\alpha + (2\alpha - 1)^2 t^2 \\
 &> \alpha^2 + 4\alpha^2 t - 2t\alpha + 1 - 2\alpha,
 \end{aligned}$$

since $1 - 2\alpha < 0$. Hence

$$x_0 = \frac{\alpha + 2\alpha t - t - \sqrt{4\alpha^2 t - 2\alpha t + \alpha^2 - 2\alpha + 1}}{2\alpha - 1} > \frac{\alpha + 2\alpha t - t - \sqrt{(\alpha + 2\alpha t - t)^2}}{2\alpha - 1} = 0.$$

Let us next investigate when $x_0 \leq 1 - t$. Now for $1/2 < \alpha < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_0 &\leq 1 - t \\
 \iff \alpha + 2\alpha t - t - \sqrt{4\alpha^2 t - 2\alpha t + \alpha^2 - 2\alpha + 1} &\leq (2\alpha - 1)(1 - t) \\
 \iff (4\alpha - 2)t + 1 - \alpha &\leq \sqrt{4\alpha^2 t - 2\alpha t + \alpha^2 - 2\alpha + 1} \\
 \iff (8\alpha^2 - 8\alpha + 2)t^2 + (7\alpha - 6\alpha^2 - 2)t &\leq 0 \\
 \iff 8(\alpha - 1/2)^2 t &\leq 6\alpha^2 - 7\alpha + 2 = 6(\alpha - 1/2)(\alpha - 2/3) \\
 \iff t &\leq \frac{3\alpha - 2}{4\alpha - 2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have the following cases.

(1) If $1/2 < \alpha \leq 2/3$, then $x_0 \leq 1 - t$ if and only if $t \leq \frac{3\alpha - 2}{4\alpha - 2} < 0$. Since $0 < t < 1$, it holds that $x_0 > 1 - t$ and $\|T_t\|_{H_\alpha^\infty \rightarrow H_\alpha^\infty} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} F(z) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} F(x) = G(1 - t) = \frac{t^{\alpha - 1}}{(1 - t)^\alpha}$.

(2) If $2/3 < \alpha < 1$, then $x_0 \leq 1 - t$ if and only if $0 < t \leq \frac{3\alpha - 2}{4\alpha - 2}$. In this case,

$$\|T_t\|_{H_\alpha^\infty \rightarrow H_\alpha^\infty} = F(x_0/(1 - t)) = G(x_0) = (1 - x_0)^{2\alpha - 1} \left(\frac{1 - \left| \frac{x_0}{1 - t} \right|^2}{(1 - x_0)^2 - t^2} \right)^\alpha.$$

Otherwise, we have $x_0 > 1 - t$ if and only if $\frac{3\alpha - 2}{4\alpha - 2} < t < 1$, and then $\|T_t\|_{H_\alpha^\infty \rightarrow H_\alpha^\infty} = G(1 - t) = \frac{t^{\alpha - 1}}{(1 - t)^\alpha}$.

Now in combination with the case $0 < \alpha \leq 1/2$, we have the following result:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|T_t\|_{H_\alpha^\infty \rightarrow H_\alpha^\infty} \\
 &= \begin{cases} \frac{t^{\alpha - 1}}{(1 - t)^\alpha} & \text{if } 0 < \alpha \leq 2/3 \text{ and } 0 < t < 1 \text{ or if } 2/3 < \alpha < 1 \text{ and } \frac{3\alpha - 2}{4\alpha - 2} \leq t < 1, \\ (1 - x_0)^{2\alpha - 1} \left(\frac{1 - \left| \frac{x_0}{1 - t} \right|^2}{(1 - x_0)^2 - t^2} \right)^\alpha & \text{if } 2/3 < \alpha < 1 \text{ and } 0 < t < \frac{3\alpha - 2}{4\alpha - 2}, \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$x_0 = \frac{\alpha + 2\alpha t - t - \sqrt{4\alpha^2 t - 2\alpha t + \alpha^2 - 2\alpha + 1}}{2\alpha - 1}. \quad \square$$

Theorem 4.3. *Let $0 < \alpha \leq 2/3$, and let $\mathcal{H}: H_\alpha^\infty \rightarrow H_\alpha^\infty$ be the Hilbert matrix operator. Then*

$$\|\mathcal{H}\|_{H_\alpha^\infty \rightarrow H_\alpha^\infty} = \frac{\pi}{\sin(\alpha\pi)}.$$

For $2/3 < \alpha < 1$, we have the following upper bound:

$$\|\mathcal{H}\|_{H_\alpha^\infty \rightarrow H_\alpha^\infty} \leq \int_0^{\frac{3\alpha - 2}{4\alpha - 2}} G(x_0) dt + \int_{\frac{3\alpha - 2}{4\alpha - 2}}^1 t^{\alpha - 1} (1 - t)^{-\alpha} dt,$$

where

$$G(x) = (1-x)^{2\alpha-1} \left(\frac{1 - \left| \frac{x}{1-t} \right|^2}{(1-x)^2 - t^2} \right)^\alpha$$

and

$$x_0 = \frac{\alpha + 2\alpha t - t - \sqrt{4\alpha^2 t - 2\alpha t + \alpha^2 - 2\alpha + 1}}{2\alpha - 1}.$$

Proof. Let $0 < \alpha \leq 2/3$. Then by Lemma 4.2 we have for $f \in H_\alpha^\infty$ with $\|f\|_{H_\alpha^\infty} = 1$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{H}f\|_{H_\alpha^\infty} &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \left| \int_0^1 T_t f(z) dt (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha \right| \leq \int_0^1 \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |T_t f(z)| (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha dt \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \|T_t\|_{H_\alpha^\infty \rightarrow H_\alpha^\infty} dt = \int_0^1 t^{\alpha-1} (1-t)^{-\alpha} dt = B(\alpha, 1-\alpha) = \frac{\pi}{\sin(\alpha\pi)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus in view of the lower bound (Theorem 4.1) we have established

$$\|\mathcal{H}\|_{H_\alpha^\infty \rightarrow H_\alpha^\infty} = \frac{\pi}{\sin(\alpha\pi)}$$

for $0 < \alpha \leq 2/3$. In the case $2/3 < \alpha < 1$, we obtain the following upper bound:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{H}\|_{H_\alpha^\infty \rightarrow H_\alpha^\infty} &\leq \int_0^1 \|T_t\|_{H_\alpha^\infty \rightarrow H_\alpha^\infty} dt \\ &= \int_0^{\frac{3\alpha-2}{4\alpha-2}} G(x_0) dt + \int_{\frac{3\alpha-2}{4\alpha-2}}^1 t^{\alpha-1} (1-t)^{-\alpha} dt, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$G(x) = (1-x)^{2\alpha-1} \left(\frac{1 - \left| \frac{x}{1-t} \right|^2}{(1-x)^2 - t^2} \right)^\alpha$$

and

$$x_0 = \frac{\alpha + 2\alpha t - t - \sqrt{4\alpha^2 t - 2\alpha t + \alpha^2 - 2\alpha + 1}}{2\alpha - 1}$$

are from Lemma 4.2. □

REFERENCES

- [1] *Handbook of mathematical functions, with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables*, Edited by Milton Abramowitz and Irene A. Stegun. Third printing, with corrections. National Bureau of Standards Applied Mathematics Series, vol. 55, Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1965. MR0177136
- [2] Alexandru Aleman, Alfonso Montes-Rodríguez, and Andreea Sarafoleanu, *The eigenfunctions of the Hilbert matrix*, Constr. Approx. **36** (2012), no. 3, 353–374, DOI 10.1007/s00365-012-9157-z. MR2996436
- [3] B. Bhayo and J. Sándor, *On the inequalities for beta function*, Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics, Vol. 21 (2015), No. 2, pp. 1–7.
- [4] Vladimir Božin and Boban Karapetrović, *Norm of the Hilbert matrix on Bergman spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **274** (2018), no. 2, 525–543, DOI 10.1016/j.jfa.2017.08.005. MR3724148
- [5] M. D. Contreras and A. G. Hernandez-Diaz, *Weighted composition operators in weighted Banach spaces of analytic functions*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A **69** (2000), no. 1, 41–60. MR1767392
- [6] E. Diamantopoulos and Aristomenis G. Siskakis, *Composition operators and the Hilbert matrix*, Studia Math. **140** (2000), no. 2, 191–198, DOI 10.4064/sm-140-2-191-198. MR1784632
- [7] E. Diamantopoulos, *Hilbert matrix on Bergman spaces*, Illinois J. Math. **48** (2004), no. 3, 1067–1078. MR2114270

- [8] Milutin Dostanić, Miroljub Jevtić, and Dragan Vukotić, *Norm of the Hilbert matrix on Bergman and Hardy spaces and a theorem of Nehari type*, J. Funct. Anal. **254** (2008), no. 11, 2800–2815, DOI 10.1016/j.jfa.2008.02.009. MR2414221
- [9] Wilhelm Magnus, *On the spectrum of Hilbert's matrix*, Amer. J. Math. **72** (1950), 699–704, DOI 10.2307/2372284. MR0041358
- [10] Victor V. Prasolov, *Polynomials*, Algorithms and Computation in Mathematics, vol. 11, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010. Translated from the 2001 Russian second edition by Dimitry Leites; Paperback edition [of MR2082772]. MR2683151

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ÅBO AKADEMI UNIVERSITY, FI-20500 ÅBO, FINLAND
Email address: `mikael.lindstrom@abo.fi`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ÅBO AKADEMI UNIVERSITY, FI-20500 ÅBO, FINLAND
Email address: `santeri.miihkinen@abo.fi`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ÅBO AKADEMI UNIVERSITY, FI-20500 ÅBO, FINLAND
Email address: `niklas.wikman@abo.fi`