

NONOSCILLATORY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH RETARDED AND ADVANCED ARGUMENTS*

BY

K. GOPALSAMY

Flinders University of South Australia

Abstract. Sufficient conditions are derived for a vector-matrix system of the form

$$\frac{d^n X(t)}{dt^n} + (-1)^{n-1} [P(t)X(t - \tau_1(t)) + Q(t)X(t + \tau_2(t))] = 0$$

to be nonoscillatory.

1. Introduction. We will first derive a set of sufficient conditions for scalar differential equations of the form

$$\frac{d^n x(t)}{dt^n} + (-1)^{n-1} [a(t)x(t - \tau_1(t)) + b(t)x(t + \tau_2(t))] = 0 \quad (1.1)$$

to be nonoscillatory. Although some authors (Kusano [3], Anderson [1]) have discussed oscillatory nature of (1.1), the literature concerned with nonoscillation of equations of the form (1.1) is scarce. We will assume the following for (1.1);

(A₁) a, b, τ_1, τ_2 are bounded continuous functions defined on $\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$ such that for $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < a(t) \leq \alpha; & \quad 0 \leq t - \tau_1(t) \\ 0 \leq b(t) \leq \beta; & \quad 0 \leq \tau_2(t) \\ 0 < \tau_1(t) \leq \sigma; & \end{aligned}$$

where α, β, σ are positive constants.

(A₂) The positive constants α, β, σ are such that

$$(\alpha + \beta)e^n \sigma^n / n^n \leq 1. \quad (1.2)$$

The following elementary observation is useful in proving our nonoscillation result. Consider a function $g: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$g(\mu) = \mu^n - (\alpha + \beta)e^{\mu\sigma}.$$

* Received April 3, 1984.

Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(0) &= -(\alpha + \beta) < 0 \\ g(n/\sigma) &= (n/\sigma)^n - (\alpha + \beta)e^n \\ &= (n/\sigma)^n [1 - (\alpha + \beta)e^n \sigma^n / n^n] \geq 0 \quad (\text{by (1.2)}), \end{aligned}$$

it will follow that $g(\mu) = 0$ has a positive root say μ^* such that

$$(\mu^*)^n = (\alpha + \beta)e^{\sigma\mu^*}. \quad (1.3)$$

2. Nonoscillatory scalar systems. As it is customary we will say that (1.1) is oscillatory if and only if all solutions of (1.1) have zeros on every interval of the form $[\alpha, \infty)$ for arbitrary real constants α and (1.1) will be called nonoscillatory if there exists at least one solution of (1.1) having no zeros on an interval of the form $[\beta, \infty)$ for some real constant β . We can now establish the following:

THEOREM 2.1. Suppose a, b, τ_1, τ_2 in (1.1) satisfy the hypothesis (A_1) and (A_2) ; then (1.1) is nonoscillatory.

Proof. As one will see the proof is surprisingly simple. We consider a sequence $\{x_m(t); t \geq -\sigma; m = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ defined as follows:

$$x_0(t) = \exp[-\mu^*t]; \quad t \geq -\sigma; \quad (2.1)$$

$$x_{m+1}(t) = \begin{cases} \exp[-\mu^*t]; & t \in [-\sigma, 0]; \\ \int_t^\infty \frac{(s-t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} [a(s)x_m(s-\tau_1(s)) + b(s)x_m(s+\tau_2(s))] ds, & t > 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

It is immediate from (2.1)–(2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} x_1(t) &\leq \int_t^\infty \frac{(s-t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} [\alpha \exp[-\mu^*(s-\sigma)] + \beta \exp[-\mu^*(s+\tau_2(s))]] ds \\ &\leq (\alpha + \beta)(\exp[\mu^*\sigma]) \int_t^\infty \frac{(s-t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \exp[-\mu^*s] ds \\ &\leq (\alpha + \beta) \{ \exp[\mu^*\sigma] / (\mu^*)^n \} \exp[-\mu^*t] \\ &\leq e^{-\mu^*t} \quad (\text{by the choice of } \mu^*) \\ &\leq x_0(t) \quad \text{for } t > 0, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$x_1(t) - x_0(t) \leq 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq -\sigma. \quad (2.3)$$

From (2.2) and (2.3) one can similarly obtain

$$x_2(t) - x_1(t) \leq 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq -\sigma, \quad (2.4)$$

and repeating the above procedure we derive

$$0 \leq x_{m+1}(t) \leq x_m(t) \leq \dots \leq x_1(t) \leq x_0(t) \quad \text{for } t \geq -\sigma. \quad (2.5)$$

The pointwise limit of the sequence $\{x_m(t)\}$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ exists for $t \geq -\sigma$ and so we can let

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_m(t) = x^*(t), \quad t \geq -\sigma. \quad (2.6)$$

It will now follow by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem that

$$x^*(t) = \int_t^\infty \frac{(s-t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} [a(s)x^*(s-\tau_1(s)) + b(s)x^*(s+\tau_2(s))] ds, \quad t > 0, \quad (2.7)$$

showing that x^* is a solution of (1.1) for $t > 0$. Since x^* is the limit of a sequence of nonnegative functions, x^* itself is nonnegative. Now it will follow from (2.7) that $x^*(t) > 0$ on $[-\sigma, \infty)$ for if $x^*(t) > 0$ on $[-\sigma, \tilde{t})$ and $x^*(\tilde{t}) = 0$ then (2.7) will lead to a contradiction. The result follows.

3. Nonoscillatory vector-matrix systems. Let us now consider the vector-matrix system

$$\frac{d^n X(t)}{dt^n} + (-1)^{n-1} [P(t)X(t-\tau_1(t)) + Q(t)X(t+\tau_2(t))] = 0, \quad t > 0, \quad (3.1)$$

with the following assumptions:

(A₃) τ_1, τ_2 are bounded continuous scalar functions as in (A₁).

(A₄) $P(t), Q(t)$ are $m \times m$ matrices with nonnegative elements such that at least one element of $P(t)$ is positive and in an element wise ordering we have

$$0 \leq P(t) + Q(t) \leq M \quad \text{for } t \geq 0 \quad (3.2)$$

where M is a constant $m \times m$ matrix with positive elements.

We will need the following preparation; it is well known (Perron's theorem) that M will have a positive eigenvalue say α^* corresponding to which M will have an eigenvector say Z with positive elements. Consider now a "majorant" of (3.1) in the form

$$\frac{d^n Y(t)}{dt^n} + (-1)^{n-1} M Y(t-\sigma) = 0; \quad t > 0. \quad (3.3)$$

The characteristic equation associated with (3.3) is given by

$$\det[\lambda^n I + (-1)^{n-1} M e^{-\lambda\sigma}] = 0 \quad (3.4)$$

or equivalently

$$\det[\mu^n I - M e^{\mu\sigma}] = 0, \quad \text{with } \mu = -\lambda.$$

If $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m$ are the eigenvalues of M we have

$$\det[\mu^n I - M e^{\mu\sigma}] = 0 \Leftrightarrow \prod_{j=1}^m [\mu^n - \alpha_j e^{\mu\sigma}] = 0.$$

If $\alpha_s = \alpha^*$ for some $s \in (1, 2, \dots, m)$ we can consider

$$\mu^n - \alpha^* e^{\mu\sigma} = 0 \quad (3.5)$$

in looking for real roots of (3.4). Let us now assume that

$$\alpha^*(\sigma)^n e^n / n^n \leq 1. \quad (3.6)$$

It will then follow that (3.5) has a positive root say μ^* corresponding to which (3.3) will have a solution given by

$$Y(t) = Z(\exp[-\mu^*t]); \quad t \geq -\sigma \quad (3.7)$$

(Z being a positive eigenvector associated with the positive eigenvalue μ^* of M). With this preparation we can now formulate the following for (3.1).

THEOREM 3.1. Assume that P, Q, τ_1, τ_2 satisfy the hypotheses (A_3) and (A_4) . Furthermore assume that (3.6) holds. Then (3.1) is nonoscillatory.

Proof. Proof is quite similar to that of the scalar case and we provide a brief outline only. Define a sequence $\{X^K(t); t \geq -\sigma; K = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} X^{(0)}(t) &= Z(\exp[-\mu^*t]); \quad t \geq -\sigma; \quad (3.8) \\ X^{K+1}(t) &= \begin{cases} Z(\exp[-\mu^*t]); & t \in [-\sigma, 0]; \\ \int_t^\infty \frac{(s-t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} [P(s)X^{(K)}(s-\tau_1(s)) + Q(s)X^{(K)}(s+\tau_2(s))] ds; & t > 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.9) \end{aligned}$$

With a componentwise comparison, it will follow as in the scalar case (on using (3.6)),

$$0 \leq X^{(K)}(t) \leq X^{(K-1)}(t) \leq \dots \leq X^{(1)}(t) \leq X^{(0)}(t), \quad t > -\sigma, \quad (3.10)$$

and the rest of the proof is exactly similar to that in Theorem (2.1) and we will omit further details.

We conclude with a remark that we have shown elsewhere [2] that conditions of the type in (1.2) and (3.6) are in fact necessary also for equations of the form (1.1) with (3.1) with constant coefficients to be nonoscillatory.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. H. Anderson, *Asymptotic oscillation results for solutions of first-order nonlinear differential-difference equations of advanced type*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **24**, 430-439 (1968).
- [2] K. Gopalsamy, *Oscillations in linear systems of differential-difference equations*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **29**, 377-387 (1984)
- [3] T. Kusano, *On even order functional differential equations with advanced and retarded arguments*, J. Diff. Equns. **45**, 75-84 (1984)