

## ON THE DUAL BOUNDARY CONDITIONS OF THE FOURTH DERIVATIVE OPERATORS

By

PENG-FEI YAO

*Institute of Systems Science, Academia Sinica, Beijing, China*

**Abstract.** A dual relationship between the boundary condition of the fourth derivative operator

$$Au = \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial x^4}$$

is established and examined in this paper. Some dual properties determined by the dual boundary conditions are also considered.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $l > 0$  and  $A$  be the fourth derivative operator on  $L^2(0, l)$  with the domain

$$D(A) \subset H^4(0, l), \quad Au = u^{(4)}(\cdot). \quad (1.1)$$

The inner product in  $L^2(0, l)$  is

$$(u, v) = \int_0^l u(x)\overline{v(x)} dx, \quad \forall u, v \in L^2(0, l).$$

Assume  $D(A)$  is such that  $A$  is selfadjoint. Integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} (Au, v) &= \int_0^l u^{(4)}(x)\overline{v(x)} dx \\ &= (u'''(x)\overline{v(x)} - u''(x)\overline{v'(x)})|_0^l + \int_0^l u''(x)\overline{v''(x)} dx, \quad \forall u, v \in D(A). \end{aligned}$$

Specializing to  $(Au, u)$ ,  $A$  is seen to be nonnegative if

$$(u'''(x)\overline{u(x)} - u''(x)\overline{u'(x)})|_0^l \geq 0, \quad \forall u \in D(A). \quad (1.2)$$

In particular,  $A$  is said to have SDE (strictly distributed energy [1]) boundary conditions if

$$(u'''(x)\overline{u(x)} - u''(x)\overline{u'(x)})|_0^l = 0, \quad \forall u \in D(A). \quad (1.3)$$

---

Received September 17, 1993.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 34B05, 47B06.

*Key words and phrases.* "beam" operators, Sobolev spaces, selfadjoint extensions of closed symmetric operators.

This research was supported by the National Natural Foundation of China.

When (1.3) holds, the system energy becomes

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^l \left( \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \right)^2 \right) dx.$$

Now we introduce one of the significant contributions made by D. L. Russell [1]. Consider the real Hilbert space  $L^2(0, l)$  and a nonnegative selfadjoint operator  $A$ , as described above, with  $D(A) \subset L^2(0, l)$ . If  $A$  is nonnegative it is well known that the spectrum of  $A$  consists of eigenvalues:

$$0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \dots$$

with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = +\infty$ , where the multiple eigenvalues are listed according to their algebraic multiplicities. There exists an orthonormal basis  $\{\varphi_n\}$  of  $L^2(0, l)$  such that

$$A\varphi_n = \lambda_n \varphi_n, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Let  $k$  be the nonnegative integer such that

$$\lambda_j = 0 \quad \text{if } j \leq k; \quad \lambda_j > 0 \quad \text{if } j \geq k + 1.$$

If  $A$  is positive, then  $k = 0$ . Set

$$\psi_n(\cdot) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}} \varphi_n''(\cdot), \quad n \geq k + 1; \tag{1.4}$$

$$B(u, v) = u'''(\cdot)v(\cdot) - u''(\cdot)v'(\cdot) - u(\cdot)v'''(\cdot) + u'(\cdot)v''(\cdot), \quad \forall u, v \in D(A).$$

D. L. Russell [1] drew the following conclusion (see [1, pp. 761–765]): *if*

$$B(u, v)|_{x=0} = B(u, v)|_{x=l} = 0, \quad \forall u, v \in D(A), \tag{1.5}$$

*then  $\{\psi_n | n \geq k + 1\}$  is an orthogonal set in  $L^2(0, l)$ .* In addition, since  $\varphi_n^{(4)}(\cdot) = \lambda_n \varphi_n(\cdot)$ , we have that  $(\varphi_n''(\cdot))^{(4)} = \lambda_n \varphi_n''(\cdot)$ , for any  $n \geq k + 1$ . Therefore, D. L. Russell [1] pointed out that  $\psi_n$  is the eigenfunction of some symmetric operator  $\hat{A}$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_n$  and called  $\hat{A}$  the operator with the dual boundary conditions. Unfortunately, in general,  $\{\psi_n | n \geq k + 1\}$  is not an orthogonal set in  $L^2(0, l)$  under the assumptions (1.5) only. A counterexample is given in [2, Proposition 3.2].

This paper is a correction and development of the above idea. In Sec. 2, the boundary characterizations are given, which assure that  $A$  should be selfadjoint, or nonnegative selfadjoint, or should have SDE boundary conditions. In Sec. 3, the dual boundary condition operator  $\hat{A}$  is defined, by which one can easily obtain the boundary conditions for  $\hat{A}$  from those for  $A$ . Furthermore, some dual properties between  $A$  and  $\hat{A}$  are studied, such as nonnegativity, SDE boundary conditions, eigenvalues, eigenfunctions, nonnegative square roots, etc.

**2. Boundary characterizations.** Set

$$N = \{\varphi \mid \varphi \in H^8(0, l), \varphi^{(8)} + \varphi = 0\}.$$

In this paper  $N$  will be called the “boundary” space of the fourth derivative operator defined by (1.1) partially because of the following Proposition 2.1. Define the operator  $A_0$  on  $L^2(0, l)$  by

$$D(A_0) = H_0^4(0, l), \quad A_0 u = u^{(4)}(\cdot), \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$H_0^4(0, l) = \{u \mid u, u', u'', u^{(3)}, u^{(4)} \in L^2(0, l), u^{(j)}(0) = u^{(j)}(l) = 0, j = 0, 1, 2, 3\}.$$

It is easily checked that  $A_0$  is a closed symmetric operator on  $L^2(0, l)$  and the adjoint operator  $A_0^*$  of  $A_0$  is

$$D(A_0^*) = H^4(0, l), \quad A_0^* u = u^{(4)}(\cdot). \tag{2.2}$$

Set

$$N_+ = \{\varphi \mid \varphi \in H^4(0, l), \varphi^{(4)} = i\varphi\},$$

$$N_- = \{\varphi \mid \varphi \in H^4(0, l), \varphi^{(4)} = -i\varphi\},$$

where  $i$  is the imaginary unit. The following proposition shows that  $N$  is in fact the boundary space of  $H^4(0, l)$ .

PROPOSITION 2.1. (i)  $H^4(0, l) = H_0^4(0, l) \oplus N_+ \oplus N_-$ ,

(ii)  $N = N_+ \oplus N_-$ ,

where  $\oplus$  is the direct sum of sets.

*Proof.* From (2.1) and (2.2) and by the first formula of von Neumann [3], we obtain

(i). It is easy to verify that  $N_+ \subset N, N_- \subset N, \dim N_+ = \dim N_- = 4$ , and  $\dim N = 8$ .

Thus (i) implies (ii).  $\square$

For any  $\varphi \in H^8(0, l)$ , denote

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x) = (\varphi^{(7)}(x), p^{(6)}(x), \varphi^{(5)}(x), \varphi^{(4)}(x), \varphi'''(x), \varphi''(x), \varphi'(x), \varphi(x))^T.$$

A direct calculation yields

PROPOSITION 2.2.  $\varphi \in N$  if and only if  $\tilde{\varphi}(x)$  is a solution of the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\varphi}'(x) = E\tilde{\varphi}(x), & 0 < x \leq l, \\ \tilde{\varphi}(0) = \alpha, \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ I_7 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^8, I_7$  is the  $7 \times 7$  unit matrix, and  $\mathbb{C}^8$  is the 8-dimensional complex Euclidean space.

Let  $\varphi \in N$ . Then by Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x) = e^{Ex}\tilde{\varphi}(0), \quad 0 \leq x \leq l.$$

Set

$$e^{El} = \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & E_2 \\ E_3 & E_4 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.4}$$

where  $E_j$  are  $4 \times 4$  matrices, for  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

PROPOSITION 2.3.  $\det E_3 \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\det E_3 = 0$ . Then there is  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^4$ ,  $\beta \neq 0$ , such that

$$E_3\beta = 0.$$

By Proposition 2.2, there is  $\varphi \in N$  such that

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x) = e^{Ex} \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad 0 \leq x \leq l.$$

Since

$$\tilde{\varphi}(l) = \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & E_2 \\ E_3 & E_4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} E_1\beta \\ E_3\beta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} E_1\beta \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

it follows that

$$\varphi^{(j)}(0) = \varphi^{(j)}(l) = 0, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, 3,$$

i.e.,  $\varphi \in H_0^4(0, l)$ . From Proposition 2.1, we obtain  $\varphi = 0$ . Thus  $\tilde{\varphi}(0) = (\beta^\tau, 0)^\tau = 0$ , contradicting  $\beta \neq 0$ .  $\square$

PROPOSITION 2.4. Define the mapping  $\partial : H^4(0, l) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^8$  by

$$\partial\varphi = (\varphi'''(l), \varphi''(l), \varphi'(l), \varphi(l), \varphi'''(0), \varphi''(0), \varphi'(0), \varphi(0))^\tau,$$

$$\forall \varphi \in H^4(0, l).$$

Then  $\partial : N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^8$  is a linear isomorphism.

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi \in N$  be such that  $\partial\varphi = 0$ . Then  $\varphi \in H_0^4(0, l)$ . From Proposition 2.1, it follows that  $\varphi = 0$ . Thus  $\partial : N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^8$  is injective. For any  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5, \alpha_6, \alpha_7, \alpha_8)^\tau \in \mathbb{C}^8$ , by Proposition 2.2, there is  $\varphi \in N$  such that

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x) = e^{Ex} \begin{pmatrix} E_3^{-1} & -E_3^{-1}E_4 \\ 0 & I_4 \end{pmatrix} \alpha, \quad \forall 0 \leq x \leq l. \tag{2.5}$$

Letting  $x = 0$  in (2.5) yields

$$\varphi^{(j)}(0) = \alpha_{8-j}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, 3.$$

Letting  $x = l$  yields

$$\varphi^{(j)}(l) = \alpha_{4-j}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, 3.$$

Thus  $\partial\varphi = \alpha$ . Then the mapping  $\partial : N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^8$  is surjective. The proof is complete.  $\square$

Let  $A$  be defined by (1.1) and  $A_0$  by (2.1). Since  $A \subset A_0^*$ , we have that  $A_0 = (A_0^*) \subset A^*$ . If  $A$  is selfadjoint, i.e.,  $A = A^*$ , then  $A$  is a selfadjoint extension of the closed symmetric operator  $A_0$ . By the second formula of von Neumann [3], there is an isometric, surjective, linear operator  $\mathcal{V} : N_+ \rightarrow N_-$  such that

$$D(A) = \{u \mid u = u_0 + \varphi - \mathcal{V}\varphi, u_0 \in H_0^4(0, l), \varphi \in N_+\}, \tag{2.6}$$

$$Au = u_0^{(4)}(\cdot) + i\varphi(\cdot) + i(\mathcal{V}\varphi)(\cdot). \tag{2.7}$$

However, the calculation of  $\mathcal{V} : N_+ \rightarrow N_-$  is in general difficult. As concerns the fourth derivative operator  $A$ , we now give a counterpart of the von Neumann theorem. Let  $\phi_j \in H^4(0, l)$ , for  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . Denote

$$\mathbb{C}_{\phi_{1,4}}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1'''(x) & \phi_2'''(x) & \phi_3'''(x) & \phi_4'''(x) \\ \phi_1''(x) & \phi_2''(x) & \phi_3''(x) & \phi_4''(x) \\ \phi_1'(x) & \phi_2'(x) & \phi_3'(x) & \phi_4'(x) \\ \phi_1(x) & \phi_2(x) & \phi_3(x) & \phi_4(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad 0 \leq x \leq l.$$

Set

$$\mathcal{B}_{\phi_{1,4}} = \overline{\mathbb{C}_{\phi_{1,4}}^\tau(l)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ J & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{C}_{\phi_{1,4}}(l) - \overline{\mathbb{C}_{\phi_{1,4}}^\tau(0)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ J & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{C}_{\phi_{1,4}}(0),$$

where

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**THEOREM 2.1.** Let  $A$  be a fourth derivative operator defined by (1.1). Then  $A$  is self-adjoint if and only if there are linearly independent elements  $\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3, \phi_4$  in  $N$  such that

(i) 
$$\overline{\mathcal{B}_{\phi_{1,4}}^\tau} = \mathcal{B}_{\phi_{1,4}}; \tag{2.8}$$

(ii)

$$D(A) = \left\{ u \mid u = u_0 + \sum_{j=1}^4 \alpha_j \phi_j, u_0 \in H_0^4(0, l), \alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}, 1 \leq j \leq 4 \right\}. \tag{2.9}$$

*Proof of necessity.* Let  $A$  be selfadjoint. By the von Neumann theorem, there is a unique isometric, surjective, linear operator  $\mathcal{V} : N_+ \rightarrow N_-$  such that (2.6) and (2.7) hold. Let  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4$  be a basis of  $N_+$ . Set

$$\phi_j = \varphi_j - \mathcal{V}\varphi_j, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

It follows from (2.6) that (ii) holds. To prove that  $\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3, \phi_4$  are linearly independent, let  $\sum_{j=1}^4 \alpha_j \phi_j = 0$ . Letting  $\varphi = \sum_{j=1}^4 \alpha_j \varphi_j$ , we have

$$\varphi = \mathcal{V}\varphi.$$

Since  $\varphi \in N_+$  and  $\mathcal{V}\varphi \in N_-$ , we have  $\varphi = 0$  from Proposition 2.1 (ii). Thus  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = 0$ .

For any  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}^4$ ,  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)^\tau$ ,  $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4)^\tau$ . Set

$$u = \sum_{j=1}^4 \alpha_j \phi_j, \quad v = \sum_{j=1}^4 \beta_j \phi_j.$$

Since  $u, v \in D(A)$ , the selfadjointness of  $A$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (Au, v) - (u, Av) \\ &= (u'''(x)\overline{v(x)} - u''(x)\overline{v'(x)} - u(x)\overline{v'''(x)} + u'\overline{v''(x)})|_0^l \\ &= (\overline{v'''(x)}, \overline{v''(x)}, \overline{v'(x)}, \overline{v(x)}) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u'''(x) \\ u''(x) \\ u'(x) \\ u(x) \end{pmatrix} \Big|_0^l \\ &= \overline{\beta^\tau} \left[ \overline{\mathbb{C}_{\phi_{1,4}}^\tau}(l) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & J \\ J & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{C}_{\phi_{1,4}}(l) - \overline{\mathbb{C}_{\phi_{1,4}}^\tau}(0) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & J \\ J & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{C}_{\phi_{1,4}}(0) \right] \alpha = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}^4.$

Thus,

$$\overline{\mathbb{C}_{\phi_{1,4}}^\tau}(l) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & J \\ J & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{C}_{\phi_{1,4}}(l) - \overline{\mathbb{C}_{\phi_{1,4}}^\tau}(0) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & J \\ J & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{C}_{\phi_{1,4}}(0) = 0. \tag{2.10}$$

It follows that  $\overline{\mathcal{B}_{\phi_{1,4}}^\tau} = \mathcal{B}_{\phi_{1,4}}$  since  $\overline{J^\tau} = -J$ .

*Sufficiency.* Let  $\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3, \phi_4 \in N$  be linearly independent elements such that (2.8) and (2.9) hold. Then (2.10) holds. By Proposition 2.1(ii),  $\phi_j$  have the unique direct sums

$$\phi_j = \varphi_j - \psi_j, \quad \varphi_j \in N_+, \quad \psi_j \in N_-, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

We will prove that  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4$  are linearly independent. Suppose that  $\sum_{j=1}^4 \alpha_j \varphi_j = 0$ . Set  $\phi = \sum_{j=1}^4 \alpha_j \phi_j$ . Then  $\phi = -\sum_{j=1}^4 \alpha_j \psi_j \in N_-$ , i.e.,  $\phi^{(4)} = -i\phi$ . From (2.10) and by integration by parts, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} -2i(\phi, \phi) &= (\phi^{(4)}, \phi) - (\phi, \phi^{(4)}) \\ &= \overline{\alpha^\tau \mathbb{C}_{\phi_{1,4}}^\tau}(x) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & J \\ J & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{C}_{\phi_{1,4}}(x) \alpha \Big|_0^l = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)^\tau$ , i.e.,  $\phi = \sum_{j=1}^4 \alpha_j \phi_j = 0$ . Therefore  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = 0$ .

Define the operator  $\mathcal{V} : N_+ \rightarrow N_-$  by

$$\mathcal{V}\varphi = \sum_{j=1}^4 \alpha_j \psi_j, \quad \forall \varphi = \sum_{j=1}^4 \alpha_j \varphi_j \in N_+.$$

From (2.9), (2.6) holds. To complete the proof of sufficiency it remains to show that  $\mathcal{V} : N_+ \rightarrow N_-$  is isometric since  $\dim N_+ = \dim N_- = 4$ . For any  $\varphi = \sum_{j=1}^4 \alpha_j \varphi_j \in N_+$ , set  $g = \varphi - \mathcal{V}\varphi$ . From (2.10) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 2i(\varphi, \varphi) - 2i(\mathcal{V}\varphi, \mathcal{V}\varphi) &= (i\varphi + i\mathcal{V}\varphi, \varphi - \mathcal{V}\varphi) - (\varphi - \mathcal{V}\varphi, i\varphi + i\mathcal{V}\varphi) \\ &= (g^{(4)}, g) - (g, g^{(4)}) \\ &= \overline{\alpha^\tau \mathbb{C}_{\phi_{1,4}}^\tau}(x) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & J \\ J & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{C}_{\phi_{1,4}}(x) \alpha \Big|_0^l = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the proof is complete.  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.2.** Let  $A$  be a selfadjoint operator defined by (1.1) and  $\mathcal{B}_{\phi_{1,4}}$  the same as that in Theorem 2.1. Then  $A$  is nonnegative on  $L^2(0, l)$  if and only if  $\mathcal{B}_{\phi_{1,4}}$  is nonnegative on  $\mathcal{C}^4$ . In particular,  $A$  has SDE boundary conditions if and only if  $\mathcal{B}_{\phi_{1,4}} = 0$ .

*Proof.* For any  $u = u_0 + \sum_{j=1}^4 \alpha_j \phi_j \in D(A)$ , from (1.2)  $A$  is nonnegative if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} & (u'''(x)\overline{u(x)} - u''(x)\overline{u'(x)})|_0^l \\ &= (\overline{u'''(x)}, \overline{u''(x)}, \overline{u'(x)}, \overline{u(x)}) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u'''(x) \\ u''(x) \\ u'(x) \\ u(x) \end{pmatrix} \Big|_0^l \\ &= \overline{\alpha^\tau} \mathcal{C}_{\phi_{1,4}}^\tau(x) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ J & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{C}_{\phi_{1,4}}(x) \alpha \Big|_0^l \\ &= \overline{\alpha^\tau} \mathcal{B}_{\phi_{1,4}} \alpha \geq 0, \quad \forall \alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)^\tau \in \mathcal{C}^4. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, from (1.3)  $A$  has SDE boundary conditions if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} & (u'''(x)\overline{u(x)} - u''(x)\overline{u'(x)})|_0^l \\ &= \overline{\alpha^\tau} \mathcal{B}_{\phi_{1,4}} \alpha = 0, \quad \forall \alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)^\tau \in \mathcal{C}^4. \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

From Theorem 2.1(i), (2.11) holds if and only if  $\mathcal{B}_{\phi_{1,4}} = 0$ . Thus the proof is complete.  $\square$

**3. Dual boundary condition operators.** Set

$$\Gamma(u, v) = (u'''(x)\overline{v''(x)} - u''(x)\overline{v'''(x)} - u'(x)\overline{v(x)} + u(x)\overline{v'(x)})|_0^l, \quad \forall u, v \in H^4(0, l).$$

**DEFINITION 3.1.** Let  $A$  be a selfadjoint operator defined by (1.1). Define the operator  $\hat{A}$  on  $L^2(0, l)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} D(\hat{A}) &= \{u \mid u \in H^4(0, l), \Gamma(u, v) = 0, \forall v \in D(A)\}, \\ \hat{A}u &= u^{(4)}(\cdot). \end{aligned}$$

$\hat{A}$  is said to be the dual boundary condition operator of  $A$ .

**EXAMPLE 3.1.** Let us consider a beam with both ends fixed. The boundary conditions become

$$u(0) = u'(0) = 0, \quad u(l) = u'(l) = 0.$$

It is easily checked that  $u \in H^4(0, l)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(u, v) &= (u'''(x)\overline{v''(x)} - u''(x)\overline{v'''(x)} - u'(x)\overline{v(x)} + u(x)\overline{v'(x)})|_0^l \\ &= u'''(l)\overline{v''(l)} - u''(l)\overline{v'''(l)} - u'''(0)\overline{v''(0)} + u''(0)\overline{v'''(0)} \\ &= 0, \quad \forall v \in D(A), \end{aligned}$$

if and only if

$$u''(0) = u'''(0) = 0, \quad u''(l) = u'''(l) = 0.$$

Therefore  $\hat{A}$  is the ‘‘beam’’ operator with both ends free.

EXAMPLE 3.2. Let us consider a cantilever beam with elastic forces applying at the free end,  $x = l$ . The boundary conditions become

$$u(0) = u'(0) = 0, \quad u'''(l) - au(l) = 0, \quad u''(l) + bu'(l) = 0,$$

where  $a > 0$  and  $b > 0$ .  $D(\hat{A})$  consists of  $u \in H^4(0, l)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(u, v) &= u'''(l)\overline{v''(l)} - u''(l)\overline{v'''(l)} - u'(l)\overline{v(l)} + u(l)\overline{v'(l)} - u'''(0)\overline{v''(0)} + u''(0)\overline{v'''(0)} \\ &= (-bu'''(l) + u(l)\overline{v'(l)}) - (au''(l) + u'(l))\overline{v(l)} - u'''(0)\overline{v''(0)} + u''(0)\overline{v'''(0)} \\ &= 0, \quad \forall v \in D(A). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

It is easy to check that (3.1) holds if and only if  $u \in H^4(0, l)$  such that

$$u''(0) = u'''(0) = 0, \quad u'''(l) - \frac{1}{b}u(l) = 0, \quad u''(l) + \frac{1}{a}u'(l) = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Then  $\hat{A}$  is the “beam” operator with the boundary conditions (3.2).

As concerns  $\hat{A}$ , we have the following

THEOREM 3.1. Let  $A$  be a selfadjoint operator defined by (1.1). Then

- (i) the dual boundary condition operator  $\hat{A}$  is selfadjoint;
- (ii)  $\hat{\hat{A}} = A$ .

*Proof.* (i) Since  $A$  is selfadjoint, from Theorem 2.1, there are linearly independent elements  $\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3, \phi_4$  in  $N$  such that (2.8) and (2.9) hold. Set

$$M = \{\varphi \mid \varphi \in N, \Gamma(\varphi, \phi_j) = 0, 1 \leq j \leq 4\}.$$

Then  $M$  is a linear subspace of  $N$ . From Proposition 2.1, it is easily checked that

$$D(\hat{A}) = \{u \mid u = u_0 + \varphi, u_0 \in H_0^4(0, l), \varphi \in M\}. \tag{3.3}$$

Now we will prove that  $\dim M = 4$ . Let  $\varphi \in H^4(0, l)$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\varphi, \phi_j) &= (\varphi'''(x)\overline{\phi_j''(x)} - \varphi''(x)\overline{\phi_j'''(x)} - \varphi'(x)\overline{\phi_j(x)} + \varphi(x)\overline{\phi_j'(x)})\Big|_0^l \\ &= (\overline{\phi_j'''(x)}, \overline{\phi_j''(x)}, \overline{\phi_j'(x)}, \overline{\phi_j(x)}) \begin{pmatrix} J & 0 \\ 0 & -J \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi'''(x) \\ \varphi''(x) \\ \varphi'(x) \\ \varphi(x) \end{pmatrix} \Big|_0^l \\ &= \overline{\partial\phi_j} \begin{pmatrix} J & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -J & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -J & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & J \end{pmatrix} \partial\varphi, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that  $\varphi \in M$  if and only if  $\varphi \in N$  such that

$$G\partial\varphi = 0, \tag{3.4}$$



where

$$G = (\overline{\mathbb{C}_{\phi_{1,4}}^\tau(l)}, \overline{\mathbb{C}_{\phi_{1,4}}^\tau(0)}) \begin{pmatrix} J & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -J & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -J & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & J \end{pmatrix}$$

is a  $4 \times 8$  matrix. From Proposition 2.4, the rank of the matrix

$$(\overline{\mathbb{C}_{\phi_{1,4}}^\tau(l)}, \overline{\mathbb{C}_{\phi_{1,4}}^\tau(0)})$$

equals 4. Thus the rank of  $G$  also equals 4. From the basic theory of linear algebra, the nullspace, formed of solutions to (3.4), has precisely 4 linearly independent elements. By Proposition 2.4,  $\dim M = 4$ .

Set

$$\alpha^j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_2 & 0 & 0 \\ -I_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I_2 \\ 0 & 0 & -I_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \partial\phi_j, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4, \tag{3.5}$$

where  $I_2$  is the  $2 \times 2$  unit matrix. Since  $\partial\phi_1, \partial\phi_2, \partial\phi_3, \partial\phi_4$  are linearly independent in  $\mathbb{C}^8$ , so are  $\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \alpha^3, \alpha^4$ . From Proposition 2.4, there are  $\varphi_j \in N$ , for  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , such that

$$\partial\varphi_j = \alpha^j, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4,$$

and  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4$  are linearly independent in  $N$ . By (3.5) and (2.10), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\varphi_j, \phi_k) &= \overline{\partial\phi_k^\tau} \begin{pmatrix} J & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -J & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -J & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & J \end{pmatrix} \partial\varphi_j = \overline{\partial\phi_k^\tau} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & J & 0 & 0 \\ J & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -J \\ 0 & 0 & -J & 0 \end{pmatrix} \partial\phi_j \\ &= \overline{e_k^\tau} \left( \overline{\mathbb{C}_{\phi_{1,4}}^\tau(l)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & J \\ J & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{C}_{\phi_{1,4}}(l) - \overline{\mathbb{C}_{\phi_{1,4}}^\tau(0)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & J \\ J & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{C}_{\phi_{1,4}}(0) \right) e_j \\ &= 0, \quad k, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$e_1 = (1, 0, 0, 0)^\tau, \quad e_2 = (0, 1, 0, 0)^\tau, \quad e_3 = (0, 0, 1, 0)^\tau, \quad e_4 = (0, 0, 0, 1)^\tau.$$

Thus  $\varphi_j \in M$ , for  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , and  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4$  form a basis of  $M$ . In addition, by

(3.5), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{B}_{\varphi_{1,4}} &= \overline{\mathbb{C}_{\varphi_{1,4}}^\tau(l)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ J & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{C}_{\varphi_{1,4}}(l) - \overline{\mathbb{C}_{\varphi_{1,4}}^\tau(0)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ J & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{C}_{\varphi_{1,4}}(0) \\
 &= (\overline{\partial\varphi_1}, \overline{\partial\varphi_2}, \overline{\partial\varphi_3}, \overline{\partial\varphi_4})^\tau \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ J & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -J & 0 \end{pmatrix} (\partial\varphi_1, \partial\varphi_2, \partial\varphi_3, \partial\varphi_4) \\
 &= (\overline{\partial\phi_1}, \overline{\partial\phi_2}, \overline{\partial\phi_3}, \overline{\partial\phi_4})^\tau \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -J & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & J \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} (\partial\phi_1, \partial\phi_2, \partial\phi_3, \partial\phi_4) \\
 &= \overline{\mathbb{C}_{\phi_{1,4}}^\tau(l)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -J \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{C}_{\phi_{1,4}}(l) - \overline{\mathbb{C}_{\phi_{1,4}}^\tau(0)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -J \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{C}_{\phi_{1,4}}(0) \\
 &= \overline{\mathcal{B}_{\phi_{1,4}}^\tau}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

From Theorem 2.1, the combination of (3.3) and (3.6) yields that  $\hat{A}$  is selfadjoint.

(ii) For any  $u \in D(A)$ , since

$$\Gamma(u, v) = -\overline{\Gamma(u, v)} = 0,$$

for any  $v \in D(\hat{A})$ , we have  $u \in D(\hat{A})$ . Thus  $D(A) \subset D(\hat{A})$ . Since  $A$  and  $\hat{A}$  are selfadjoint, we have that

$$A = \hat{A}. \quad \square$$

Finally, we consider some dual properties between  $A$  and  $\hat{A}$ . From Theorem 2.2 and (3.6), the following theorem is immediate.

**THEOREM 3.2.** Let  $A$  be a selfadjoint operator defined by (1.1). Then  $A$  is nonnegative if and only if  $\hat{A}$  is nonnegative. In particular,  $A$  has SDE boundary conditions if and only if  $\hat{A}$  has SDE boundary conditions.

**THEOREM 3.3.** Let  $A$  be a selfadjoint operator defined by (1.1) and let  $A$  have SDE boundary conditions. Let  $\hat{A}$  be the dual boundary condition operator of  $A$ . Then

(i)  $\sigma_p(A) \setminus \{0\} = \sigma_p(\hat{A}) \setminus \{0\}$ , where  $\sigma_p(A)$  and  $\sigma_p(\hat{A})$  are the point spectra of  $A$  and  $\hat{A}$ , respectively;

(ii) Let  $\lambda \in \sigma_p(A)$  and  $\lambda \neq 0$ . If  $u(\cdot)$  is an eigenfunction of  $A$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , then  $u''(\cdot)$  is an eigenfunction of  $\hat{A}$  corresponding to  $\lambda$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda \in \sigma_p(A)$ ,  $\lambda \neq 0$ , and let  $u$  be an eigenfunction of  $A$  corresponding to  $\lambda$ . By Theorem 2.1, there are linearly independent elements  $\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3, \phi_4$  in  $N$  such that (2.8) and (2.9) hold. Set

$$u = u_0 + \sum_{j=1}^4 \alpha_j \phi_j, \quad u_0 \in H_0^4(0, l), \quad \alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Since  $A$  has SDE boundary conditions, for any  $v = v_0 + \sum_{j=1}^4 \beta_j \phi_j \in D(A)$ , from Theorem 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(u'', v) &= [(u'')''\overline{v''} - (u'')''\overline{v''''} - u''\overline{v} + u''\overline{v}']|_0^l \\ &= \lambda(u'\overline{v''} - u\overline{v''''})|_0^l - (u''\overline{v} - u''\overline{v}')|_0^l \\ &= -\lambda\overline{\beta^\tau \mathcal{B}_{\phi_{1,4}}^\tau} \alpha - \overline{\beta^\tau} \mathcal{B}_{\phi_{1,4}} \alpha = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)^\tau$  and  $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4)^\tau$ . Thus  $u''(\cdot) \in D(\hat{A})$ . In addition, since  $u^{(4)}(\cdot) = \lambda u(\cdot)$ , it is clear that  $u''(\cdot)^{(4)} = \lambda u''(\cdot)$ . Therefore,  $\lambda \in \sigma_p(\hat{A}) \setminus \{0\}$  and  $u''$  is an eigenfunction of  $\hat{A}$  corresponding to  $\lambda$ . By Theorem 3.1(ii), the conclusion is obtained.  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.4.** Let the selfadjoint operator  $A$ , defined by (1.1), have SDE boundary conditions, and let  $\hat{A}$  be the dual boundary condition operator of  $A$ . Denote the nullspaces of  $A$  and  $\hat{A}$  by  $\mathbb{N}(A)$  and  $\mathbb{N}(\hat{A})$ , respectively. Let  $L^2(0, l)$  have the following orthogonal direct sum decompositions:

$$L^2(0, l) = \mathbb{R}_0 \oplus \mathbb{N}(\hat{A}) = \hat{\mathbb{R}}_0 \oplus \mathbb{N}(A),$$

where  $\mathbb{R}_0 = (\mathbb{N}(\hat{A}))^\perp$  and  $\hat{\mathbb{R}}_0 = (\mathbb{N}(A))^\perp$ . Then there is a unique, isometric, surjective, linear operator  $Q : \mathbb{R}_0 \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{R}}_0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} A^{1/2}u &= -Q(u''), \quad \forall u \in D(A^{1/2}), \\ \hat{A}^{1/2}u &= -Q^{-1}(u''), \quad \forall u \in D(\hat{A}^{1/2}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $A^{1/2}$  and  $\hat{A}^{1/2}$  are the nonnegative square roots of  $A$  and  $\hat{A}$ , respectively, and  $Q^{-1} : \hat{\mathbb{R}}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0$  is the inverse of  $Q : \mathbb{R}_0 \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{R}}_0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi_n, \psi_n, \lambda_n$ , and  $k$  be the same as those in Sec. 1. By Theorem 3.3,  $\{\psi_n | n \geq k + 1\}$  is the subspace of  $L^2(0, l)$  formed of all the eigenfunctions of  $\hat{A}$  corresponding to all the nonzero eigenvalues. We therefore obtain

$$\mathbb{R}_0 = \overline{\text{span}}\{\psi_n \mid n \geq k + 1\}. \tag{3.7}$$

A similar argument yields

$$\hat{\mathbb{R}}_0 = \overline{\text{span}}\{\varphi_n \mid n \geq k + 1\}. \tag{3.8}$$

By [2, Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 2.3], there is an isometric, surjective, linear operator  $Q : \mathbb{R}_0 \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{R}}_0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} Q\psi_n &= \varphi_n, \quad n \geq k + 1; \\ A^{1/2}u &= -Q(u''), \quad \forall u \in D(A^{1/2}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

A similar argument shows that there is an isometric, surjective, linear operator  $\hat{Q} : \hat{\mathbb{R}}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0$  such that

$$\hat{Q}\varphi_n = \psi_n, \quad n \geq k + 1; \tag{3.10}$$

$$\hat{A}^{1/2}u = -\hat{Q}(u''), \quad \forall u \in D(\hat{A}).$$

From (3.9) and (3.10), it follows that

$$\hat{Q} = Q^{-1} : \mathbb{R}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0.$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

REMARK. It should be noted that Theorem 3.3 fails, in general, when  $A$  does not have SDE boundary conditions and this is the essence of the counterexample given in [2] to the result given in [1]; thus the result in [1] remains valid in the case of SDE boundary conditions.

**Acknowledgment.** I would like to express my thanks to Professor De-Xing Feng for his valuable advice.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] D. L. Russell, *On the positive square root of the fourth derivative operator*, Quart. Appl. Math. **XLVI**, 751–773 (1988)
- [2] P. F. Yao and D. X. Feng, *Structure for nonnegative square roots of unbounded nonnegative self-adjoint operators*, to appear, this journal
- [3] Joachim Weidmann, *Linear operators in Hilbert spaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1980