

INTEGRABLE SPATIOTEMPORALLY VARYING KDV AND MKDV EQUATIONS: GENERALIZED LAX PAIRS AND AN EXTENDED ESTABROOK-WAHLQUIST METHOD

BY

MATTHEW RUSSO (*Department of Mathematics, University of Central Florida, Orlando, Florida
32816-1364*)

AND

S. ROY CHOUDHURY (*Department of Mathematics, University of Central Florida, Orlando,
Florida 32816-1364*)

Abstract. This paper develops two approaches to Lax-integrable systems with spatiotemporally varying coefficients. A technique based on extended Lax Pairs is first considered to derive variable-coefficient generalizations of various Lax-integrable NLPDE hierarchies recently introduced in the literature. As illustrative examples, we consider generalizations of the KdV and MKdV equations. It is demonstrated that the techniques yield Lax- or S-integrable NLPDEs with both time- AND space-dependent coefficients which are thus more general than almost all cases considered earlier via other methods such as the Painlevé Test, Bell Polynomials, and various similarity methods.

However, this technique, although operationally effective, has the significant disadvantage that, for any integrable system with spatiotemporally varying coefficients, one must ‘guess’ a generalization of the structure of the known Lax Pair for the corresponding system with constant coefficients. Motivated by the somewhat arbitrary nature of the above procedure, we therefore next attempt to systematize the derivation of Lax-integrable systems with variable coefficients. Hence we attempt to apply the Estabrook-Wahlquist (EW) prolongation technique, a relatively self-consistent procedure requiring little prior information. However, this immediately requires that the technique be significantly generalized or broadened in several different ways, including solving matrix partial differential equations instead of algebraic ones as the structure of the Lax Pair is deduced systematically following the standard Lie-algebraic procedure. The same is true while finding the explicit forms for the various ‘coefficient’ matrices which occur in the procedure and which must satisfy the various constraint equations which result at various stages of the calculation.

Received June 1, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35-XX.

Key words and phrases. Generalizing Lax or S-integrable equations, spatially and temporally-dependent coefficients, generalized Lax Pairs, extended Estabrook-Wahlquist method.

©2016 Brown University

The new and extended EW technique which results is illustrated by algorithmically deriving generalized Lax-integrable versions of the generalized fifth-order KdV and MKdV equations.

1. Introduction. Variable Coefficient Korteweg-deVries (vcKdV) and Modified Korteweg de Vries (vcMKdV) equations have a long history dating from their derivation in various applications [1]-[10]. However, almost all studies, including those which derived exact solutions by a variety of techniques, as well as those which considered integrable sub-cases and various integrability properties by methods such as Painlevé analysis, Hirota's method, and Bell Polynomials, treat vcKdV equations with coefficients which are functions of the time only. For instance, for generalized variable coefficient NLS (vcNLS) equations, a particular coefficient is usually taken to be a function of x [11], as has also been sometimes done for vcMKdV equations [12]. The papers [13]-[14] are somewhat of an exception in that they treat vcNLS equations having coefficients with general x and t dependences. Variational principles, solutions, and other integrability properties have also been considered for some of the above variable coefficient NLPDEs in cases with time-dependent coefficients.

In applications, the coefficients of vcKdV equations may include spatial dependence, in addition to the temporal variations that have been extensively considered using a variety of techniques. Both for this reason, as well as for their general mathematical interest, extending integrable hierarchies of nonlinear PDEs (NLPDEs) to include *both* spatial and temporal dependence of the coefficients is worthwhile.

Given the above, we compare two methods for deriving the integrability conditions of both a general form of variable-coefficient MKdV (vcMKdV) equation, as well as a general, variable-coefficient KdV (vcKdV) equation. In both cases, the coefficients are allowed to vary in space AND time.

The first method employed here is based on directly establishing Lax integrability (or S-integrability to use the technical term) as detailed in the following sections. As such, it is fairly general, although subject to the ensuing equations being solvable. We should stress that the computer algebra involved is quite challenging and an order of magnitude beyond that encountered for integrable, constant coefficient NLPDEs.

However, this first technique, although operationally effective, has the significant disadvantage that, for any integrable system with spatiotemporally varying coefficients, one must 'guess' a generalization of the structure of the known Lax Pair for the corresponding system with constant coefficients. This involves replacing constants in the Lax Pair for the constant coefficient integrable system, including powers of the spectral parameter, by functions. Provided that one has guessed correctly and generalized the constant coefficient system's Lax Pair sufficiently, and this is of course hard to be sure of a priori, one may then proceed to systematically deduce the Lax Pair for the corresponding variable-coefficient integrable system.

Motivated by the somewhat arbitrary nature of the above procedure, we next attempt to systematize the derivation of Lax-integrable systems with variable coefficients. Of the many techniques which have been employed for constant coefficient integrable systems,

the Estabrook-Wahlquist (EW) prolongation technique [15]-[18] is among the most self-contained. The method directly proceeds to attempt construction of the Lax Pair or linear spectral problem, whose compatibility condition is the integrable system under discussion. While not at all guaranteed to work, any successful implementation of the technique means that Lax-integrability has already been verified during the procedure, and in addition the Lax Pair is algorithmically obtained. If the technique fails, that does not necessarily imply non-integrability of the equation contained in the compatibility condition of the assumed Lax Pair. It may merely mean that some of the starting assumptions may not be appropriate or general enough.

Hence we attempt to apply the Estabrook-Wahlquist (EW) method as a second, more algorithmic, technique to generate a variety of such integrable systems with such spatiotemporally varying coefficients. However, this immediately requires that the technique be significantly generalized or broadened in several different ways, which we then develop and outline, before illustrating this new and extended method with examples.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we briefly review the Lax Pair method and its modifications for variable-coefficient NLPDEs, and then apply it to three classes of generalized vcMKdV equations. In Section 3 we consider an analogous treatment of a generalized vcKdV equation. In Section 4, we lay out the extensions required to apply the EW procedure to Lax-integrable systems with spatiotemporally varying coefficients. Sections 5 and 6 then illustrate this new, extended EW method in detail for Lax-integrable versions of the MKdV and generalized Korteweg-deVries (KdV) equations respectively, each with spatiotemporally varying coefficients. We also illustrate that this generalized EW procedure algorithmically generates the same results as those obtained in a more ad hoc manner in Sections 2 and 3. Some solutions of the generalized vcKdV equation considered in Sections 3 and 6 are then obtained in Section 7 via the use of truncated Painlevé expansions. Section 8 briefly reviews the results and conclusions and directions for possible future work.

More involved algebraic details, which are integral to both the procedures employed here, are relegated to the appendices.

2. Extended Lax Pair method.

2.1. *The generalized variable coefficient MKdV (vcMKdV) equation.* In the Lax Pair method [20] - [21] for solving and determining the integrability conditions for nonlinear partial differential equations (NLPDEs) a pair of $n \times n$ matrices, \mathbf{U} and \mathbf{V} , needs to be derived or constructed. The key component of this construction is that the integrable nonlinear PDE under consideration must be contained in, or result from, the compatibility of the two linear Lax equations (the Lax Pair)

$$\Phi_x = U\Phi \quad (1)$$

$$\Phi_t = V\Phi \quad (2)$$

where Φ is an eigenfunction, and \mathbf{U} and \mathbf{V} are the time-evolution and spatial-evolution (eigenvalue problem) matrices.

From the cross-derivative condition (i.e. $\Phi_{xt} = \Phi_{tx}$) we get

$$U_t - V_x + [U, V] = \dot{0} \tag{3}$$

known as the zero-curvature condition where $\dot{0}$ is contingent on $v(x, t)$ being a solution to the nonlinear PDE. A Darboux transformation can then be applied to the linear system to obtain solutions from known solutions and other integrability properties of the integrable NLPDE.

We first consider the following generalized variable-coefficient MKdV (vcMKdV) equation:

$$v_t + a_1 v_{xxx} + a_2 v^2 v_x = 0. \tag{4}$$

This equation, which we shall always call the physical (or field) NLPDE to distinguish it from the many other NLPDEs we encounter, is considered to be Lax-integrable or S-integrable if we can find a Lax Pair whose compatibility condition (3) contains (4).

One expands the Lax Pair \mathbf{U} and \mathbf{V} in powers of v and its derivatives with unknown functions as coefficients. This results in a VERY LARGE system of coupled NLPDEs for the variable coefficient functions in (4). Upon solving these (and a solution is not guaranteed and may prove to be impossible to obtain in general for some physical NLPDEs), we simultaneously obtain the Lax Pair and integrability conditions on the a_i for which (4) is Lax-integrable.

The results, for which the details are given in Appendix A, are given in the following subsection.

2.1.1. *Conditions on the a_i .*

$$\begin{aligned} &6a_1 a_{2x}^3 - 6a_1 a_2 a_{2x} a_{2xx} + a_1 a_2^2 a_{2xxx} - \frac{K_t}{K} a_2^3 + a_2^2 a_{2t} - a_2^3 a_{1xxx} \\ &+ 3a_{1xx} a_2^2 a_{2x} - 6a_{1x} a_2 a_{2x}^2 + 3a_{1x} a_2^2 a_{2xx} = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where $K(t)$ is an arbitrary function of t .

2.2. *The generalized variable coefficient fifth-order KdV (vcKdV) equation.* Here, we will apply the technique of the last section in exactly the same fashion to generalized vcKdV equations, but will omit the details for the sake of brevity. Please note that the coefficients a_i in this section are totally distinct or different from those given the same symbols in the previous section. All equations in this section are thus to be read independently of those in the previous one.

Consider the generalized fifth-order vcKdV equation in the form

$$u_t + a_1 u u_{xxx} + a_2 u_x u_{xx} + a_3 u^2 u_x + a_4 u u_x + a_5 u_{xxx} + a_6 u_{xxxxx} + a_7 u + a_8 u_x = 0. \tag{6}$$

As in the previous sub-section, we consider the generalized variable-coefficient KDV equation to be integrable if we can find a Lax Pair which satisfies (3). The results, for which the details are given in Appendix B, are as follows:

$$a_{2-4} = H_{2-4} a_1 \tag{7}$$

$$a_7 = \frac{a_1}{H_1} \left(\left(\frac{H_1}{a_1} \right)_t + \left(\frac{H_1 a_5}{a_1} \right)_{xxx} + \left(\frac{H_1 a_6}{a_1} \right)_{xxxxx} + \left(\frac{H_1 a_8}{a_1} \right)_x \right) \tag{8}$$

where $H_{1-4}(t)$ are arbitrary functions of t and a_1, a_5, a_6 and a_8 are taken to be arbitrary functions of x and t . This form helps to give integrability conditions to sub-equations of (6).

Having considered these two examples of various vcMKdV equations, as well as the vcKdV equation, we shall now proceed to consider whether these results may be recovered in a more algorithmic manner. As discussed in Section 1, it would be advantageous if they could be obtained without: a) requiring to know the form of the Lax Pair for the corresponding constant-coefficient Lax-integrable equation, and b) requiring to generalize this constant-coefficient Lax Pair by guesswork. Towards that end, we now proceed to consider how this may be accomplished by generalizing and extending the Estabrook-Wahlquist technique to Lax-integrable systems with variable coefficients.

3. The extended Estabrook-Wahlquist technique. In the standard Estabrook-Wahlquist method one begins with a constant coefficient NLPDE and assumes an implicit dependence on $u(x, t)$ and its partial derivatives of the spatial and time evolution matrices (\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{G}) involved in the linear scattering problem

$$\psi_x = \mathbb{F}\psi, \quad \psi_t = \mathbb{G}\psi.$$

The evolution matrices \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{G} are connected via a zero-curvature condition (independence of path in spatial and time evolution) derived by mandating $\psi_{xt} = \psi_{tx}$. That is, it requires that

$$\mathbb{F}_t - \mathbb{G}_x + [\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{G}] = 0$$

provided $u(x, t)$ satisfies the NLPDE.

Considering the forms $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}(u, u_x, u_t, \dots, u_{m_x, n_t})$ and $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{G}(u, u_x, u_t, \dots, u_{k_x, j_t})$ for the space and time evolution matrices where $u_{p_x, q_t} = \frac{\partial^{p+q} u}{\partial x^p \partial t^q}$ we see that this condition is equivalent to

$$\sum_{m,n} \mathbb{F}_{u_{m_x, n_t}} u_{m_x, (n+1)t} - \sum_{j,k} \mathbb{G}_{u_{j_x, k_t}} u_{(j+1)x, kt} + [\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{G}] = 0.$$

From here there is often a systematic approach [15]-[18] to determining the form for \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{G} which is outlined in [17] and will be utilized in the examples to follow.

Typically a valid choice for dependence on $u(x, t)$ and its partial derivatives is to take \mathbb{F} to depend on all terms in the NLPDE for which there is a partial time derivative present. Similarly we may take \mathbb{G} to depend on all terms for which there is a partial space derivative present. For example, given the Camassa-Holm equation,

$$u_t + 2ku_x - u_{xxt} + 3uu_x - 2u_x u_{xx} - uu_{xxx} = 0,$$

one would consider $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}(u, u_{xx})$ and $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{G}(u, u_x, u_{xx})$. Imposing compatibility allows one to determine the explicit form of \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{G} in a very algorithmic way. Additionally the compatibility condition induces a set of constraints on the coefficient matrices in \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{G} . These coefficient matrices subject to the constraints generate a finite dimensional matrix Lie algebra.

In the extended Estabrook-Wahlquist method we allow for \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{G} to be functions of t, x, u and the partial derivatives of u . Although the details change, the general procedure will remain essentially the same. We will begin by equating the coefficient of

the highest partial derivative of the unknown function(s) to zero and work our way down until we have eliminated all partial derivatives of the unknown function(s).

This typically results in a complicated partial differential equation (in the standard Estabrook-Wahlquist method, this is an algebraic equation) which can be solved by equating the coefficients of the different powers of the unknown function(s) to zero. This final step induces a set of constraints on the coefficient matrices in \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{G} . Another big difference which we will see in the examples comes in the final and, arguably, hardest step. In the standard Estabrook-Wahlquist method the final step involves finding explicit forms for the set of coefficient matrices such that they satisfy the constraints derived in the procedure. Note that these constraints are nothing more than a system of algebraic matrix equations. In the extended Estabrook-Wahlquist method these constraints will be in the form of matrix partial differential equations which can be used to derive an integrability condition on the coefficients in the NLPDE.

As we are now letting \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{G} have explicit dependence on x and t and for notational clarity, it will be more convenient to consider the following version of the zero-curvature condition:

$$D_t\mathbb{F} - D_x\mathbb{G} + [\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{G}] = 0 \tag{9}$$

where D_t and D_x are the total derivative operators on time and space, respectively. Recall the definition of the total derivative

$$D_y f(y, z, u_1(y, z), u_2(y, z), \dots, u_n(y, z)) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_1} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_2} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial y} + \dots + \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_n} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial y}.$$

Thus we can write the compatibility condition as

$$\mathbb{F}_t + \sum_{m,n} \mathbb{F}_{u_{m,x},n,t} u_{m,x,(n+1)t} - \mathbb{G}_x - \sum_{j,k} \mathbb{G}_{u_{j,x},k,t} u_{(j+1)x,kt} + [\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{G}] = 0.$$

It is important to note that the subscripted x and t denote the partial derivative with respect to only the x and t elements, respectively. That is, although u and its derivatives depend on x and t this will not invoke use of the chain rule as they are treated as independent variables. This will become more clear in the examples of the next section.

Note that compatibility of the time and space evolution matrices will yield a set of constraints which contain the constant coefficient constraints as a subset. In fact, taking the variable coefficients to be the appropriate constants will yield exactly the Estabrook-Wahlquist results for the constant coefficient version of the NLPDE. That is, the constraints given by the Estabrook-Wahlquist method for a constant coefficient NLPDE are always a proper subset of the constraints given by a variable-coefficient version of the NLPDE. This can easily be shown. Letting \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{G} not depend explicitly on x and t and taking the coefficients in the NLPDE to be constant the zero-curvature condition as it is written above becomes

$$\sum_{m,n} \mathbb{F}_{u_{m,x},n,t} u_{m,x,(n+1)t} - \sum_{j,k} \mathbb{G}_{u_{j,x},k,t} u_{(j+1)x,kt} + [\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{G}] = 0,$$

which is exactly the standard Estabrook-Wahlquist method.

The conditions derived via mandating (9) be satisfied upon solutions of the vc-NLPDE may be used to determine conditions on the coefficient matrices and variable-coefficients (present in the NLPDE). Successful closure of these conditions is equivalent to the system

being S-integrable. A major advantage to using the Estabrook-Wahlquist method that carries forward with the extension is the fact that it requires little guesswork and yields quite general results.

In Khawaja’s method [14]-[22] an educated guess is made for the structure of the variable-coefficient NLS Lax Pair based on the associated constant coefficient NLS Lax Pair. That is, Khawaja considered the matrices

$$\mathbb{F} = U = \begin{bmatrix} f_1 + f_2q & f_3 + f_4q \\ f_5 + f_6r & f_7 + f_8r \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathbb{G} = V = \begin{bmatrix} g_1 + g_2q + g_3q_x + g_4rq & g_5 + g_6q + g_7q_x + g_8rq \\ g_9 + g_{10}r + g_{11}r_x + g_{12}rq & g_{13} + g_{14}r + g_{15}r_x + g_{16}rq \end{bmatrix}$$

where f_i and g_i are unknown functions of x and t which satisfy conditions derived by mandating the zero-curvature condition be satisfied on solutions of the variable-coefficient NLS. In fact, in a previous paper Khawaja derives the associated Lax Pair via a similar means where he begins with an even weaker assumption on the structure of the Lax Pair. This Lax Pair is omitted from the paper as it becomes clear the zero-curvature condition mandates many of the coefficients be zero.

An ideal approach would be a method which does not require knowledge of the Lax Pair to an associated constant coefficient system and involves little to no guesswork. The extended Estabrook-Wahlquist does exactly this. It will be shown that the results obtained from Khawaja’s method are in fact a special case of the extended Estabrook-Wahlquist method.

We now proceed with the variable coefficient MKdV equation as our first example of this extended Estabrook-Wahlquist method.

4. The variable coefficient MKdV (vcMKdV) equation reconsidered. For this example we consider the mKdV equation given by

$$v_t + b_1v_{xxx} + b_2v^2v_x = 0 \tag{10}$$

where b_1 and b_2 are arbitrary functions of x and t . Following the procedure we let

$$\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}(x, t, u), \quad \mathbb{G} = \mathbb{G}(x, t, u, u_x, u_{xx}).$$

Plugging this into (9) we obtain

$$\mathbb{F}_t + \mathbb{F}_v v_t - \mathbb{G}_x - \mathbb{G}_v v_x - \mathbb{G}_{v_x} v_{xx} - \mathbb{G}_{v_{xx}} v_{xxx} + [\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{G}] = 0. \tag{11}$$

Using (10) to substitute for v_t we have

$$\mathbb{F}_t - \mathbb{G}_x - (\mathbb{G}_v + b_2\mathbb{F}_v v^2)v_x - \mathbb{G}_{v_x} v_{xx} - (\mathbb{G}_{v_{xx}} + b_1\mathbb{F}_v)v_{xxx} + [\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{G}] = 0. \tag{12}$$

Since \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{G} do not depend on v_{xxx} we can set the coefficient of the v_{xxx} term to zero from which we have

$$\mathbb{G}_{v_{xx}} + b_1\mathbb{F}_v = 0 \Rightarrow \mathbb{G} = -b_1\mathbb{F}_v v_{xx} + \mathbb{K}^0(x, t, v, v_x).$$

Substituting this into (12) we have

$$\mathbb{F}_t + (b_1 \mathbb{F}_v)_x v_{xx} - \mathbb{K}_x^0 + b_1 \mathbb{F}_{vv} v_x v_{xx} - \mathbb{K}_v^0 v_x - b_2 \mathbb{F}_v v^2 v_x - \mathbb{K}_{v_x}^0 v_{xx} - b_1 [\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_v] v_{xx} + [\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{K}^0] = 0. \tag{13}$$

Since \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{K}^0 do not depend on v_{xx} we can equate the coefficient of the v_{xx} term to zero from which we require

$$(b_1 \mathbb{F}_v)_x + b_1 \mathbb{F}_{vv} v_x - \mathbb{K}_{v_x}^0 - b_1 [\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_v] = 0. \tag{14}$$

Solving for \mathbb{K}^0 we have

$$\mathbb{K}^0 = (b_1 \mathbb{F}_v)_x v_x + \frac{1}{2} b_1 \mathbb{F}_{vv} v_x^2 - b_1 [\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_v] v_x + \mathbb{K}^1(x, t, v).$$

Substituting this expression into (13) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{F}_t - (b_1 \mathbb{F}_v)_{xx} v_x - \frac{1}{2} (b_1 \mathbb{F}_{vv})_x v_x^2 + (b_1 [\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_v])_x v_x - \mathbb{K}_x^1 - (b_1 \mathbb{F}_{vv})_x v_x^2 - \frac{1}{2} b_1 \mathbb{F}_{vvv} v_x^3 \\ &- \mathbb{K}_v^1 v_x + b_1 [\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_{vv}] v_x^2 - b_2 \mathbb{F}_v v^2 v_x + [\mathbb{F}, (b_1 \mathbb{F}_v)_x] v_x + \frac{1}{2} b_1 [\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_{vv}] v_x^2 - b_1 [\mathbb{F}, [\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_v]] v_x \\ &+ [\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{K}^1] = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Since \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{K}^1 do not depend on v_x we can equate the coefficients of the v_x , v_x^2 and v_x^3 terms to zero from which we obtain the system

$$O(v_x^3) : \mathbb{F}_{vvv} = 0 \tag{16}$$

$$O(v_x^2) : \frac{1}{2} (b_1 \mathbb{F}_{vv})_x + (b_1 \mathbb{F}_{vv})_x - b_1 [\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_{vv}] - \frac{1}{2} b_1 [\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_{vv}] = 0 \tag{17}$$

$$O(v_x) : (b_1 \mathbb{F}_v)_{xx} - (b_1 [\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_v])_x + \mathbb{K}_v^1 + b_2 \mathbb{F}_v v^2 - [\mathbb{F}, (b_1 \mathbb{F}_v)_x] + b_1 [\mathbb{F}, [\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_v]] = 0. \tag{18}$$

Since the MKdV equation does not contain a vv_t term and for ease of computation we require that $\mathbb{F}_{vv} = 0$ from which we have $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{X}_1(x, t) + \mathbb{X}_2(x, t)v$. For the $O(v_x)$ equation we solve for \mathbb{K}^1 and thus have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{K}^1 = &- (b_1 \mathbb{X}_2)_{xx} v + (b_1 [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2])_x v + [\mathbb{X}_1, (b_1 \mathbb{X}_2)_x] v + \frac{1}{2} [\mathbb{X}_2, (b_1 \mathbb{X}_2)_x] v^2 \\ &- b_1 [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2]] v - \frac{1}{3} b_2 \mathbb{X}_2 v^3 - \frac{1}{2} b_1 [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2]] v^2 + \mathbb{X}_0(x, t). \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Substituting this expression for \mathbb{K}^1 into (15) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{X}_{1,t} + (b_1 \mathbb{X}_2)_{xxx} v - (b_1 [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2])_{xx} v + \frac{1}{3} (b_2 \mathbb{X}_2)_x v^3 - ([\mathbb{X}_1, (b_1 \mathbb{X}_2)_x])_x v \\
 & - \frac{1}{2} ([\mathbb{X}_2, (b_1 \mathbb{X}_2)_x])_x v^2 + (b_1 [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2]])_x v + \frac{1}{2} (b_1 [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2]])_x v^2 - \mathbb{X}_{0,x} \\
 & - [\mathbb{X}_1, (b_1 \mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}] v + [\mathbb{X}_1, (b_1 [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2])_x] v + \mathbb{X}_{2,t} v \\
 & - \frac{1}{3} b_2 [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2] v^3 + [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (b_1 \mathbb{X}_2)_x]] v \\
 & + \frac{1}{2} [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (b_1 \mathbb{X}_2)_x]] v^2 - b_1 [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2]]] v - \frac{1}{2} b_1 [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2]]] v^2 \\
 & - [\mathbb{X}_2, (b_1 \mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}] v^2 + [\mathbb{X}_2, (b_1 [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2])_x] v^2 + [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (b_1 \mathbb{X}_2)_x]] v^2 + [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_0] \\
 & + \frac{1}{2} [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, (b_1 \mathbb{X}_2)_x]] v^3 - b_1 [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2]]] v^2 - \frac{1}{2} b_1 [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2]]] v^3 \\
 & + [\mathbb{X}_2, \mathbb{X}_0] v = 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Since the \mathbb{X}_i do not depend on v we can equate the coefficients of the different powers of v to zero. We thus obtain the constraints

$$O(1) : \mathbb{X}_{1,t} - \mathbb{X}_{0,x} + [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_0] \tag{21}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 O(v) : & \mathbb{X}_{2,t} - ([\mathbb{X}_1, (b_1 \mathbb{X}_2)_x])_x + (b_1 [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2]])_x - [\mathbb{X}_1, (b_1 \mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}] + [\mathbb{X}_1, (b_1 [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2])_x] \\
 & - (b_1 [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2])_{xx} + (b_1 \mathbb{X}_2)_{xxx} + [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (b_1 \mathbb{X}_2)_x]] - b_1 [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2]]] \\
 & + [\mathbb{X}_2, \mathbb{X}_0] = 0
 \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 O(v^2) : & -\frac{1}{2} ([\mathbb{X}_2, (b_1 \mathbb{X}_2)_x])_x + \frac{1}{2} (b_1 [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2]])_x + \frac{1}{2} [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (b_1 \mathbb{X}_2)_x]] - [\mathbb{X}_2, (b_1 \mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}] \\
 & - \frac{1}{2} b_1 [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2]]] + [\mathbb{X}_2, (b_1 [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2])_x] - b_1 [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2]]] \\
 & + [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (b_1 \mathbb{X}_2)_x]] = 0
 \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

$$O(v^3) : \frac{1}{3} (b_2 \mathbb{X}_2)_x - \frac{1}{3} b_2 [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2] + \frac{1}{2} [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, (b_1 \mathbb{X}_2)_x]] - \frac{1}{2} b_1 [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2]]] = 0. \tag{24}$$

Note that if we decouple the $O(v^3)$ equation into the following equations:

$$b_1 [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2] - (b_1 \mathbb{X}_2)_x = 0 \tag{25}$$

$$b_2 [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2] - (b_2 \mathbb{X}_2)_x = 0 \tag{26}$$

we find that the $O(v^2)$ equation is immediately satisfied and the $O(v)$ equation reduces to

$$[\mathbb{X}_2, \mathbb{X}_0] + \mathbb{X}_{2,t} = 0. \tag{27}$$

Therefore utilizing the generators

$$\mathbb{X}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} g_1(x, t) & g_2(x, t) \\ g_3(x, t) & g_4(x, t) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbb{X}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & f_1(x, t) \\ f_2(x, t) & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbb{X}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & f_3(x, t) \\ f_4(x, t) & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

we obtain the system of equations

$$f_1 f_4 - f_2 f_3 = 0 \tag{28}$$

$$(b_1 f_j)_x = (b_2 f_j)_x = 0, \quad j = 3, 4 \tag{29}$$

$$f_3 g_3 - f_4 g_2 = 0 \tag{30}$$

$$f_{jt} + (-1)^j f_j (g_1 - g_4) = 0, \quad j = 3, 4 \tag{31}$$

$$g_{jx} + (-1)^j (f_1 g_3 - f_2 g_2) = 0, \quad j = 1, 4 \tag{32}$$

$$f_{jt} - g_{(j+1)x} + (-1)^j f_j (g_4 - g_1) = 0, \quad j = 2, 3. \tag{33}$$

Solving this system yields the following:

$$f_j(x, t) = \frac{F_j(t)}{b_1(x, t)}, \quad j = 3, 4 \tag{34}$$

$$g_3(x, t) = \frac{F_4(t)g_2(x, t)}{F_3(t)} \tag{35}$$

$$f_2(x, t) = \frac{F_4(t)f_1(x, t)}{F_3(t)} \tag{36}$$

$$g_1(x, t) = G_1(t) \tag{37}$$

$$g_4(x, t) = G_4(t). \tag{38}$$

Subject to the constraints

$$\left(\frac{F_j}{b_1}\right)_t + (-1)^j (G_1 - G_4) = 0, \quad j = 3, 4 \tag{39}$$

$$\left(\frac{b_2 F_j}{b_1}\right)_x = 0 \quad j = 3, 4 \tag{40}$$

$$f_{jt} - g_{(j+1)x} + (-1)^j f_j (G_4 - G_1) = 0, \quad j = 2, 3 \tag{41}$$

Solving (39) and (40) for F_4, b_1, b_2 and G_4 we obtain

$$F_4(t) = \frac{c_1 F_2(t)^2}{F_3(t)} \tag{42}$$

$$b_1(x, t) = F_1(x) F_2(t) \tag{43}$$

$$b_2(x, t) = F_1(x) F_5(t) \tag{44}$$

$$G_4(t) = \frac{F_3(t)F_2'(t) - F_2(t)F_3'(t) + G_1(t)F_2(t)F_3(t)}{F_2(t)F_3(t)} \tag{45}$$

$$g_2(x, t) = \int \frac{[f_1(x, t)]_t F_3(t) F_2(t) - f_1(x, t) F_3'(t) F_2(t) + f_1(x, t) F_3(t) F_2'(t)}{F_3(t) F_2(t)} dx + F_6(t) \tag{46}$$

where F_1 and F_2 are arbitrary functions in their respective variables and c_1 is an arbitrary constant.

The Lax Pair for the variable-coefficient MKdV equation with the previous integrability conditions is thus given by

$$F = \mathbb{X}_1 + \mathbb{X}_2 v \tag{47}$$

$$G = -b_1 \mathbb{X}_2 v_{xx} - \frac{1}{3} b_2 \mathbb{X}_2 v^3 + \mathbb{X}_0. \tag{48}$$

We should note that had we opted instead for the forms

$$\mathbb{X}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} g_1(x, t) & g_4(x, t) \\ g_{10}(x, t) & g_{16}(x, t) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbb{X}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} f_1(x, t) & f_3(x, t) \\ f_5(x, t) & f_7(x, t) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbb{X}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} f_2(x, t) & f_4(x, t) \\ f_6(x, t) & f_8(x, t) \end{bmatrix}$$

we would have obtained an equivalent system to that obtained in [22] for the mKdV. The additional unknown functions which appear in Khawaja’s method ([22]) can again be introduced with the proper substitutions via their functional dependence on the twelve unknown functions given above.

Next, we illustrate our generalized Estabrook-Wahlquist technique by applying it to the generalized fifth-order vcKdV equation.

5. The generalized fifth-order KdV (vcKdV) equation reconsidered. As a second example of the extended EW technique, let us consider the generalized KdV equation

$$u_t + a_1 uu_{xxx} + a_2 u_x u_{xx} + a_3 u^2 u_x + a_4 uu_x + a_5 u_{xxx} + a_6 u_{xxxxx} + a_7 u + a_8 u_x = 0 \tag{49}$$

where a_{1-8} are arbitrary functions of x and t . As with the last example, we will go through the procedure outlined earlier in the paper and show how one can obtain the results previously obtained for the constant coefficient cases. Running through the standard procedure we let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}(x, t, u)$ and $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{G}(x, t, u, u_x, u_{xx}, u_{xxx}, u_{xxxx})$. Plugging this into (9) we obtain

$$\mathbb{F}_t + \mathbb{F}_u u_t - \mathbb{G}_x - \mathbb{G}_u u_x - \mathbb{G}_{u_x} u_{xx} - \mathbb{G}_{u_{xx}} u_{xxx} - \mathbb{G}_{u_{xxx}} u_{xxxx} - \mathbb{G}_{u_{xxxx}} u_{xxxxx} + [\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{G}] = 0. \tag{50}$$

Next, substituting (49) into this expression in order to eliminate the u_t yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{F}_t - \mathbb{F}_u (a_1 uu_{xxx} + a_2 u_x u_{xx} + a_3 u^2 u_x + a_4 uu_x + a_5 u_{xxx} + a_6 u_{xxxxx} + a_7 u + a_8 u_x) \\ &- \mathbb{G}_x - \mathbb{G}_u u_x - \mathbb{G}_{u_x} u_{xx} - \mathbb{G}_{u_{xx}} u_{xxx} - \mathbb{G}_{u_{xxx}} u_{xxxx} - \mathbb{G}_{u_{xxxx}} u_{xxxxx} + [\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{G}] = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

Since \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{G} do not depend on u_{xxxxx} we can equate the coefficient of the u_{xxxxx} term to zero. This requires that we must have

$$\mathbb{G}_{u_{xxxxx}} + a_6 \mathbb{F}_u = 0 \Rightarrow \mathbb{G} = -a_6 \mathbb{F}_u u_{xxxxx} + \mathbb{K}^0(x, t, u, u_x, u_{xx}, u_{xxx}).$$

Now updating (51) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{F}_t - \mathbb{F}_u (a_1 uu_{xxx} + a_2 u_x u_{xx} + a_3 u^2 u_x + a_4 uu_x + a_5 u_{xxx} + a_7 u + a_8 u_x) + a_6 \mathbb{F}_u u_{xxxxx} \\ &+ a_6 \mathbb{F}_{xu} u_{xxxxx} - \mathbb{K}_x^0 - \mathbb{K}_u^0 u_x - \mathbb{K}_{u_x}^0 u_{xx} - \mathbb{K}_{u_{xx}}^0 u_{xxx} - \mathbb{K}_{u_{xxx}}^0 u_{xxxx} + a_6 \mathbb{F}_{uu} u_x u_{xxxxx} \\ &- [\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_u] a_6 u_{xxxxx} + [\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{K}^0] = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

Since \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{K}^0 do not depend on u_{xxxxx} we can equate the coefficient of the u_{xxxxx} term to zero. This requires that we have

$$a_6 \mathbb{F}_u + a_6 \mathbb{F}_{xu} + a_6 \mathbb{F}_{uu} u_x - \mathbb{K}_{u_{xxxx}}^0 - [\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_u] a_6 = 0. \tag{53}$$

Thus, integrating with respect to u_{xxx} and solving for \mathbb{K}^0 we have

$$\mathbb{K}^0 = a_{6x}\mathbb{F}_u u_{xxx} + a_6\mathbb{F}_{xu}u_{xxx} + a_6\mathbb{F}_{uu}u_x u_{xxx} - [\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_u]a_6 u_{xxx} + \mathbb{K}^1(x, t, u, u_x, u_{xx}). \tag{54}$$

Now we update (52) by plugging in our expression for \mathbb{K}^1 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{F}_t - \mathbb{F}_u (a_1 u u_{xxx} + a_2 u_x u_{xx} + a_3 u^2 u_x + a_4 u u_x + a_5 u_{xxx} + a_7 u + a_8 u_x) - a_{6xx}\mathbb{F}_u u_{xxx} \\ &- 2a_{6x}\mathbb{F}_{xu}u_{xxx} - a_6\mathbb{F}_{xuu}u_{xxx} - a_{6x}\mathbb{F}_{uu}u_x u_{xxx} - a_6\mathbb{F}_{xuu}u_x u_{xxx} + [\mathbb{F}_x, \mathbb{F}_u]a_6 u_{xxx} \\ &+ [\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_{xu}]a_6 u_{xxx} + [\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_u]a_6 u_{xxx} - \mathbb{K}_x^1 - a_{6x}\mathbb{F}_{uu}u_x u_{xxx} - a_6\mathbb{F}_{xuu}u_x u_{xxx} \\ &- a_6\mathbb{F}_{uuu}u_x^2 u_{xxx} + [\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_{uu}]a_6 u_x u_{xxx} - \mathbb{K}_{u_x}^1 u_x - a_6\mathbb{F}_{uu}u_x u_{xxx} - \mathbb{K}_{u_x}^1 u_{xx} - \mathbb{K}_{u_x}^1 u_{xxx} \\ &+ a_{6x}[\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_u]u_{xxx} + a_6[\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_{xu}]u_{xxx} + a_6[\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_{uu}]u_x u_{xxx} - [\mathbb{F}, [\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_u]]a_6 u_{xxx} + [\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{K}^1] = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

Since \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{K}^1 do not depend on u_{xxx} we can equate the coefficient of the u_{xxx} term to zero. This requires that we have

$$\begin{aligned} &-\mathbb{F}_u(a_1 u + a_5) - a_{6xx}\mathbb{F}_u - 2a_{6x}\mathbb{F}_{xu} - a_6\mathbb{F}_{xuu} - a_{6x}\mathbb{F}_{uu}u_x - a_6\mathbb{F}_{xuu}u_x \\ &+ [\mathbb{F}_x, \mathbb{F}_u]a_6 + [\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_{xu}]a_6 + [\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_u]a_6 - a_{6x}\mathbb{F}_{uu}u_x - a_6\mathbb{F}_{xuu}u_x - a_6\mathbb{F}_{uuu}u_x^2 \\ &+ [\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_{uu}]a_6 u_x - a_6\mathbb{F}_{uu}u_{xx} - \mathbb{K}_{u_x}^1 + a_{6x}[\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_u] + a_6[\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_{xu}] + a_6[\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_{uu}]u_x \\ &- [\mathbb{F}, [\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_u]]a_6 = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

Integrating with respect to u_{xx} and solving for \mathbb{K}^1 and collecting like terms we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{K}^1 &= -\mathbb{F}_u(a_1 u + a_5)u_{xx} - (a_6\mathbb{F}_u)_{xx}u_{xx} - 2(a_6\mathbb{F}_{uu})_x u_x u_{xx} + 2(a_6[\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_u])_x u_{xx} \\ &- a_6\mathbb{F}_{uuu}u_x^2 u_{xx} + 2a_6[\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_{uu}]u_x u_{xx} - \frac{1}{2}a_6\mathbb{F}_{uu}u_{xx}^2 - a_6[\mathbb{F}_x, \mathbb{F}_u]u_{xx} \\ &- a_6[\mathbb{F}, [\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_u]]u_{xx} + \mathbb{K}^2(x, t, u, u_x). \end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

Plugging (57) into (55) and simplifying a little bit we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{F}_t - \mathbb{F}_u(a_2 u_x u_{xx} + a_3 u^2 u_x + a_4 u u_x + a_7 u + a_8 u_x) + (a_1\mathbb{F}_u)_x u u_{xx} + (a_5\mathbb{F}_u)_x u_{xx} \\ &+ (a_6\mathbb{F}_u)_{xx}u_{xx} + 2(a_6\mathbb{F}_{uu})_{xx}u_x u_{xx} - (a_6[\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_u])_{xx}u_{xx} + (a_6\mathbb{F}_{uuu})_x u_x^2 u_{xx} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}(a_6\mathbb{F}_{uu})_x u_{xx}^2 - ([\mathbb{F}, (a_6\mathbb{F}_u)_x])_x u_{xx} + (a_6[\mathbb{F}, [\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_u]])_x u_{xx} - \mathbb{K}_x^2 + \mathbb{F}_{uu}a_1 u u_x u_{xx} \\ &+ \mathbb{F}_u a_1 u_x u_{xx} + \mathbb{F}_{uu}a_5 u_x u_{xx} + (a_6\mathbb{F}_{uu})_{xx}u_x u_{xx} + 2(a_6\mathbb{F}_{uuu})_x u_x^2 u_{xx} + a_6\mathbb{F}_{uuuu}u_x^3 u_{xx} \\ &- a_6[\mathbb{F}_u, \mathbb{F}_{uu}]u_x^2 u_{xx} - a_6[\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_{uuu}]u_x^2 u_{xx} + \frac{5}{2}a_6\mathbb{F}_{uuu}u_{xx}^2 u_x - [\mathbb{F}_u, (a_6\mathbb{F}_u)_x]u_x u_{xx} \\ &- 2[\mathbb{F}, (a_6\mathbb{F}_{uu})_x]u_x u_{xx} - a_6[\mathbb{F}_u, \mathbb{F}_{uu}]u_x^2 u_{xx} - a_6[\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_{uuu}]u_x^2 u_{xx} + a_6[\mathbb{F}_u, [\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_u]]u_x u_{xx} \\ &+ a_6[\mathbb{F}, [\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_{uu}]]u_x u_{xx} - \mathbb{K}_u^2 u_x + 2(a_6\mathbb{F}_{uu})_x u_{xx}^2 - \frac{3}{2}a_6[\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_{uu}]u_{xx}^2 - \mathbb{K}_{u_x}^2 u_{xx} \\ &- a_1[\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_u]u u_{xx} - a_5[\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_u]u_{xx} - [\mathbb{F}, (a_6\mathbb{F}_u)_{xx}]u_{xx} - [\mathbb{F}, (a_6\mathbb{F}_{uu})_x]u_x u_{xx} \\ &+ [\mathbb{F}, (a_6[\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_u])_x]u_{xx} - a_6[\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_{uuu}]u_x^2 u_{xx} + 2a_6[\mathbb{F}, [\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_{uu}]]u_x u_{xx} \\ &+ [\mathbb{F}, [\mathbb{F}, (a_6\mathbb{F}_u)_x]]u_{xx} - 3(a_6[\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_{uu}])_x u_x u_{xx} - a_6[\mathbb{F}, [\mathbb{F}, [\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_u]]]u_{xx} + [\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{K}^2] = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

Now, since \mathbb{K}^2 and \mathbb{F} do not depend on u_{xx} we can start by setting the coefficients of the u_{xx}^2 and the u_{xx} terms to zero. Note the difference here that we have multiple powers

of u_{xx} present in (58). Setting the $O(u_{xx}^2)$ term to zero requires that

$$\frac{3}{2}(a_6\mathbb{F}_{uu})_x + \frac{5}{2}a_6\mathbb{F}_{uuu}u_x - \frac{3}{2}a_6[\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}_{uu}] = 0. \quad (59)$$

Since \mathbb{F} does not depend on u_x we must have that the coefficient of the u_x term in this previous expression is zero. This is equivalent to

$$\mathbb{F}_{uuu} = 0 \Rightarrow \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{X}_1(x, t) + \mathbb{X}_2(x, t)u + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{X}_3(x, t)u^2.$$

Plugging this into (59) we obtain

$$3(a_6\mathbb{X}_3)_x - 3a_6([\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_3] + [\mathbb{X}_2, \mathbb{X}_3]u) = 0. \quad (60)$$

Now since the \mathbb{X}_i do not depend on u we can set the coefficient of the u to zero. That is, we require that \mathbb{X}_2 and \mathbb{X}_3 commute. We find now that (60) reduces to the condition

$$(a_6\mathbb{X}_3)_x - a_6[\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_3] = 0. \quad (61)$$

For ease of computation and in order to immediately satisfy (61) we set $\mathbb{X}_3 = 0$. Plugging into (58) our expression for \mathbb{F} we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{X}_{1,t} + \mathbb{X}_{2,t}u - \mathbb{X}_2(a_2u_xu_{xx} + a_3u^2u_x + a_4uu_xa_7u + a_8u_x) + (a_1\mathbb{X}_2)_xuu_{xx} \\ & + (a_5\mathbb{X}_2)_xuu_{xx} + (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xxx}u_{xx} - (a_6[\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2])_{xx}u_{xx} - \mathbb{K}_x^2 + \mathbb{X}_2a_1u_xu_{xx} \\ & - [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]u_xu_{xx} - ([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x])_xu_{xx} - ([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x])_xuu_{xx} \\ & + (a_6[\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2]])_xu_{xx} + (a_6[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2]])_xuu_{xx} - \mathbb{K}_u^2u_x - \mathbb{K}_{u_x}^2u_{xx} - a_1[\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2]uu_{xx} \\ & + a_6[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2]]u_xu_{xx} + [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6[\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2])_x]uu_{xx} - a_5[\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2]u_{xx} - [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}]u_{xx} \\ & - [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}]uu_{xx} + [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6[\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2])_x]u_{xx} + [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]u_{xx} \\ & + [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]uu_{xx} + [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]uu_{xx} + [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{K}^2] - a_6[\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2]])u_{xx} \\ & - a_6[\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2]]]uu_{xx} - a_6[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2]]]uu_{xx} + [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]u^2u_{xx} \\ & - a_6[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2]]]u^2u_{xx} + [\mathbb{X}_2, \mathbb{K}^2]u = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

Now again using the fact that the \mathbb{X}_i and \mathbb{K}^2 do not depend on u_{xx} we can set the coefficient of the u_{xx} term in (62) to zero. This requires that

$$\begin{aligned} & (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xxx} - (a_6[\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2])_{xx} + a_1\mathbb{X}_2u_x - [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]u_x - a_2\mathbb{X}_2u_x \\ & - ([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x])_x - ([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x])_xu + (a_6[\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2]])_x + (a_6[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2]])_xu \\ & - \mathbb{K}_{u_x}^2 - a_1[\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2]u + a_6[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2]]u_x + [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6[\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2])_x]u + (a_5\mathbb{X}_2)_x \\ & - a_5[\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2] - [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}] - [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}]u + [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6[\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2])_x] \\ & + [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]] + [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]u + [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]u + (a_1\mathbb{X}_2)_xu \\ & - a_6[\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2]]] - a_6[\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2]]]u - a_6[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2]]]u \\ & + [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]u^2 - a_6[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2]]]u^2 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

Thus integrating with respect to u_x and solving for \mathbb{K}^2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{K}^2 = & (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}u_x + \frac{1}{2}a_1\mathbb{X}_2u_x^2 - \frac{1}{2}[\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]u_x^2 - \frac{1}{2}a_2\mathbb{X}_2u_x^2 + (a_6[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2]])_xuu_x \\ & - (a_6[\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2])_{xx}u_x - ([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x])_xu_x - ([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x])_xuu_x + (a_6[\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2]])_xu_x \\ & - a_1[\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2]uu_x + \frac{1}{2}a_6[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2]]u_x^2 + [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6[\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2])_x]uu_x + (a_5\mathbb{X}_2)_xu_x \\ & - a_5[\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2]u_x - [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}]u_x - [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}]uu_x + [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6[\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2])_x]u_x \\ & + [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]u_x + [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]uu_x + [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]uu_x + (a_1\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}uu_x \\ & - a_6[\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2]]]u_x - a_6[\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2]]]uu_x - a_6[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2]]]uu_x \\ & + [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]u^2u_x - a_6[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2]]]u^2u_x + \mathbb{K}^3(x, t, u). \end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

It is helpful at this stage to define the following new matrices:

$$\mathbb{X}_4 = [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2], \quad \mathbb{X}_5 = [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_4], \quad \mathbb{X}_6 = [\mathbb{X}_2, \mathbb{X}_4], \tag{65}$$

$$\mathbb{X}_7 = [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_5], \quad \mathbb{X}_8 = [\mathbb{X}_2, \mathbb{X}_5], \quad \mathbb{X}_9 = [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_6], \quad \mathbb{X}_{10} = [\mathbb{X}_2, \mathbb{X}_6]. \tag{66}$$

Now we update (62) by plugging in (64). This yields a long expression which is (C.1) in Appendix C.

Now since \mathbb{K}^3 and the \mathbb{X}_i do not depend on u_x we can set the coefficient of the u_x^2 term to zero (C.1). Therefore we require that

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{2}(a_1\mathbb{X}_2)_x + \frac{1}{2}([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x])_x + \frac{1}{2}(a_2\mathbb{X}_2)_x - (a_6\mathbb{X}_6)_x + a_1\mathbb{X}_4 \\ & -\frac{1}{2}(a_6\mathbb{X}_6)_x + ([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x])_x + a_6\mathbb{X}_9 + [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}] + \frac{1}{2}a_6\mathbb{X}_{10}u \\ & -[\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]] - [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]] - (a_1\mathbb{X}_2)_x - [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x] \\ & -2[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]u + 2a_6\mathbb{X}_{10}u - \frac{1}{2}a_2\mathbb{X}_4 + a_6\mathbb{X}_8 + \frac{1}{2}a_1\mathbb{X}_4 \\ & -\frac{1}{2}[\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]] + \frac{1}{2}a_6\mathbb{X}_9 - \frac{1}{2}[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]u = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

Further since we know that the \mathbb{X}_i do not depend on u we can decouple this condition as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{3}{2}([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x])_x - \frac{3}{2}(a_1\mathbb{X}_2)_x + \frac{1}{2}(a_2\mathbb{X}_2)_x - \frac{3}{2}(a_6\mathbb{X}_6)_x + \frac{3}{2}a_1\mathbb{X}_4 + \frac{3}{2}a_6\mathbb{X}_9 \\ & -\frac{3}{2}[\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]] - [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]] - [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x] + [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}] \\ & -\frac{1}{2}a_2\mathbb{X}_4 + a_6\mathbb{X}_8 = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

$$a_6\mathbb{X}_{10} - [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]] = 0. \tag{69}$$

Taking these conditions into account and once again noting the fact that \mathbb{K}^3 and the \mathbb{X}_i are not independent of u_x we can simplify and equate the coefficient of the u_x in (C.1) to zero. Thus we now obtain the condition (C.2) in Appendix C.

Now we update (C.1) by plugging in (C.3). Upon doing this we will have a rather large expression which is no more than an algebraic equation in u . We will find our remaining constraints by equating the coefficients of the different powers of u in this expression to zero. This updated version of (C.1) is very lengthy, and omitted here.

Now, in the final step, as the \mathbb{X}_i do not depend on u we can set the coefficients of the different powers of u in this last, lengthy expression to zero. Thus we have

$$O(1) : \mathbb{X}_{1,t} - \mathbb{X}_{0,x} + [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_0] = 0. \quad (70)$$

$$\begin{aligned} O(u) : & [\mathbb{X}_2, \mathbb{X}_0] - a_6[\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_7]] + [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x]]] \\ & + [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]]] + [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_5\mathbb{X}_2)_x]] - [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}]]] \\ & + [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_7)_x] - [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_{xx}]] - a_5\mathbb{X}_7 - [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, ([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]_x)]] \\ & + [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xxx}]] - [\mathbb{X}_1, ([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x]_x)] - [\mathbb{X}_1, ([\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]_x)] \\ & + [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_5\mathbb{X}_4)_x] + [\mathbb{X}_1, ([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}]_x)] - [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_5\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}] \\ & - [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_5)_{xx}] + [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_{xxx}] + [\mathbb{X}_1, ([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]_{xx})] \\ & - a_8\mathbb{X}_4 - [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xxxx}] + [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_5)_x]] + (a_6[\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_7])_x \\ & - ([\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]]_x) - ([\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x]]_x) + (a_8\mathbb{X}_2)_x \\ & + ([\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}]]_x) - ([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_5\mathbb{X}_2)_x]_x) - (a_6\mathbb{X}_7)_{xx} + ([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_{xx}]_x) \\ & + ([\mathbb{X}_1, ([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]_x)]_x) - ([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xxx}]_x) + ([\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]_{xx}) \\ & + (a_5\mathbb{X}_5)_x + \mathbb{X}_{2,t} - ([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}]_{xx}) - (a_5\mathbb{X}_4)_{xx} + (a_5\mathbb{X}_2)_{xxx} \\ & + (a_6\mathbb{X}_5)_{xxx} - ([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]_{xxx}) - ([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_5)_x]_x) + (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xxxx} \\ & - (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_{xxxx} - a_7\mathbb{X}_2 + ([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x]_{xx}) = 0. \quad (71) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
O(u^2) : & a_5\mathbb{X}_8 + 2a_6[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_7]] - 2[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]]] \\
& - 2[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x]]] + 2[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}]]] \\
& - 2[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_5\mathbb{X}_2)_x]] + 2[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, ([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]_x)]] + a_4\mathbb{X}_4 \\
& - 2[\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_7)_x] + 2[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_{xx}]] \\
& - 2[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xxx}]] + 2[\mathbb{X}_2, ([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x]_x)] \\
& + 2[\mathbb{X}_2, ([\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]_x)]_x - 2[\mathbb{X}_2, (a_5\mathbb{X}_4)_x] - 2[\mathbb{X}_2, ([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}]_x)] \\
& + 2[\mathbb{X}_2, (a_5\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}] - 2[\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_{xxx}] - 2[\mathbb{X}_2, ([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]_{xx})] \\
& + 2[\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xxxx}] - 2[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_5)_x]] + 2[\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_5)_{xx}] + a_5\mathbb{X}_9 \\
& - [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_5\mathbb{X}_2)_x]] - [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]]] \\
& + [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}]]] - [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_1\mathbb{X}_2)_x]] \\
& + [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, ([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]_x)] - [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]]] \\
& + [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_{xx}]] - [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x]]] \\
& + a_6[\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_9]] - [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xxx}]] \\
& - [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]]] - [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_5)_x]] \\
& + [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}]]] + [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, ([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]_x)]] \\
& + a_6[\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_8]] - [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x]]] + a_1\mathbb{X}_7 \\
& - [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_6)_x]] + [\mathbb{X}_1, ([\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]_x)] - [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_9)_x] \\
& - [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_8)_x] + [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_6)_{xx}] + [\mathbb{X}_1, ([\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]_x)]_x \\
& - [\mathbb{X}_1, ([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}]_x)] + [\mathbb{X}_1, ([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x]_x)] \\
& + [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_1\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}] - [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_1\mathbb{X}_4)_x] - [\mathbb{X}_1, ([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]_{xx})] \\
& + a_6[\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, \mathbb{X}_7]] + ([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_5\mathbb{X}_2)_x]_x) + ([\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]]_x) \\
& - ([\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xxx}]]_x) - (a_5\mathbb{X}_6)_x - ([\mathbb{X}_2, ([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]_x)]_x) \\
& + ([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_5)_x]_x) + ([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_1\mathbb{X}_2)_x]_x) - ([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_{xxx}]_x) \\
& - (a_6[\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_9])_x - (a_6[\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_8])_x + ([\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x]]_x) \\
& + ([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xxx}]_x) + ([\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]]_x) \\
& + ([\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]]_x) - ([\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}]_x)]_x \\
& + ([\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x]_x) - ([\mathbb{X}_1, ([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]_x)]_x) - (a_1\mathbb{X}_5)_x \\
& + ([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_6)_x]_x) + (a_6\mathbb{X}_9)_{xx} + (a_6\mathbb{X}_8)_{xx} \\
& - ([\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]_x]_{xx}) - (a_6\mathbb{X}_6)_{xxx} - ([\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]_x]_{xx}) \\
& + ([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}]_{xx}) - ([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x]_{xx}) - (a_1\mathbb{X}_2)_{xxx} \\
& + (a_1\mathbb{X}_4)_{xx} + ([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]_{xxx}) - (a_6[\mathbb{X}_2, \mathbb{X}_7])_x - (a_4\mathbb{X}_2)_x = 0. \tag{72}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 O(u^3) : & -2([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_6)_x])_x + 3[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]]] \\
 & -3[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}]]] + 2(a_3\mathbb{X}_2)_x - 3[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_{xx}]] \\
 & +3[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_5)_x]] + 3[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_1\mathbb{X}_2)_x]] - 3[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, ([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x])_x]] \\
 & -3a_6[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_9]] + 3[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]]] - 3a_6[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_8]] \\
 & +3[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x]]] + 3[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]]] \\
 & +3[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xxx}]] - 3[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}]]] \\
 & -3[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, ([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x])_x]] - 3a_1\mathbb{X}_8 + 3[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x]]] \\
 & +3[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_6)_x]] - 3[\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_6)_{xx}] - 3[\mathbb{X}_2, ([\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x])_x]] \\
 & +3[\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_9)_x] - 3[\mathbb{X}_2, ([\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x])_x]] + 3[\mathbb{X}_2, ([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}])_x]] \\
 & -3[\mathbb{X}_2, (a_1\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}] + 3[\mathbb{X}_2, ([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]_{xx})] + 3[\mathbb{X}_2, (a_1\mathbb{X}_4)_x] \\
 & +3[\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_8)_x] - 3[\mathbb{X}_2, ([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x]_x)] - 3a_6[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, \mathbb{X}_7]] \\
 & -2a_6[\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, \mathbb{X}_9]] + 2[\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_1\mathbb{X}_2)_x]] + 2[\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]]] \\
 & -2[\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, ([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]_x)]] + 2[\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]]] \\
 & -2[\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xxx}]]] - 2a_6[\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, \mathbb{X}_8]] + 2[\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_6)_x]] \\
 & +2[\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x]]] - 2a_1\mathbb{X}_9 - 2([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_1\mathbb{X}_2)_x])_x \\
 & -2a_3\mathbb{X}_4 + 2(a_6[\mathbb{X}_2, \mathbb{X}_9])_x + 2(a_6[\mathbb{X}_2, \mathbb{X}_8])_x - 2([\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]])_x \\
 & -2([\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]])_x + 2([\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}]])_x \\
 & +2([\mathbb{X}_2, ([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]_x)]_x) - 2([\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x]]_x) + 2(a_1\mathbb{X}_6)_x = 0. \quad (73)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 O(u^4) : & [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]]] - a_6[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, \mathbb{X}_8]] + [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]]] \\
 & -a_6[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, \mathbb{X}_9]] - [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}]]] - [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, ([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]_x)]] \\
 & +[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_6)_x]] + [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x]]] = 0. \quad (74)
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that if we decouple (68) into the following conditions:

$$([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]_x) - (a_6\mathbb{X}_6)_x + a_6\mathbb{X}_9 - [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]] = 0 \quad (75)$$

$$[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]] + [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x] - [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}] - a_6\mathbb{X}_8 = 0 \quad (76)$$

$$((a_2 - 3a_1)\mathbb{X}_2)_x - (a_2 - 3a_1)\mathbb{X}_4 = 0, \quad (77)$$

then the $O(u^4)$ equation is identically satisfied. To reduce the complexity of the $O(u^3)$ equation we can decouple it into the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]] - [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}]] - [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_{xx}] + [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_5)_x] \\
 & + [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_1\mathbb{X}_2)_x] - [\mathbb{X}_2, ([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]_x)] + [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x]] + [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xxx}] \\
 & - a_1\mathbb{X}_5 - (a_1\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx} + (a_1\mathbb{X}_4)_x - a_6[\mathbb{X}_2, \mathbb{X}_7] = 0. \quad (78)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(a_3\mathbb{X}_2)_x + [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_1\mathbb{X}_2)_x]] - a_1\mathbb{X}_9 - ([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_1\mathbb{X}_2)_x]_x) - a_3\mathbb{X}_4 + (a_1\mathbb{X}_6)_x = 0. \quad (79)$$

From this last condition, we can use (75) – (79) to reduce the $O(u^2)$ condition to the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -a_5\mathbb{X}_8 - a_6[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_7]] + [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]]] + [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x]]] \\
 & - [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}]]] + [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_5\mathbb{X}_2)_x]] - [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, ([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]_x)] \\
 & + [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_7)_x] - [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_{xx}]] + [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xxx}]] - [\mathbb{X}_2, ([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x]_x)] \\
 & - [\mathbb{X}_2, ([\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]_x)] + [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_5\mathbb{X}_4)_x] + [\mathbb{X}_2, ([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}]_x)] - [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_5\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}] \\
 & + [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_{xxx}] + [\mathbb{X}_2, ([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]_{xx})] - [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xxx}] + [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_5)_x]] \\
 & - [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_5)_{xx}] - \frac{1}{2}a_5\mathbb{X}_9 + \frac{1}{2}[\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_5\mathbb{X}_2)_x]] - \frac{1}{2}([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_5\mathbb{X}_2)_x]_x) + \frac{1}{2}(a_5\mathbb{X}_6)_x \\
 & - \frac{1}{2}a_4\mathbb{X}_4 + \frac{1}{2}(a_4\mathbb{X}_2)_x = 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

Decoupling this equation allows for the simplification of the $O(u)$ equation. Thus we write the previous condition as the following system of equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -[\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x] - [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]] + a_5\mathbb{X}_4 + [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}] - (a_5\mathbb{X}_2)_x \\
 & + (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_{xx} + ([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]_x) - (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xxx} - (a_6\mathbb{X}_5)_x = 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -a_5\mathbb{X}_9 + [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_5\mathbb{X}_2)_x]] - ([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_5\mathbb{X}_2)_x]_x) + (a_5\mathbb{X}_6)_x - \frac{1}{2}a_4\mathbb{X}_4 \\
 & + \frac{1}{2}(a_4\mathbb{X}_2)_x = 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

Using this the $O(u)$ equation is reduced to

$$\mathbb{X}_{2,t} + [\mathbb{X}_2, \mathbb{X}_0] - a_8\mathbb{X}_4 + (a_8\mathbb{X}_2)_x - a_7\mathbb{X}_2 = 0. \tag{83}$$

We therefore find that the final, reduced constraints are given by (69), (75) – (79) and (81) – (83). In order to satisfy these constraints we begin with the following rather simple forms for our generators:

$$\mathbb{X}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} g_1(x, t) & g_2(x, t) \\ g_3(x, t) & g_4(x, t) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbb{X}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & f_1(x, t) \\ f_2(x, t) & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbb{X}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & f_3(x, t) \\ f_4(x, t) & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

To get more general results we will assume $a_2 \neq 3a_1$. Again we should note that had we instead opted for the forms

$$\mathbb{X}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} g_1(x, t) & g_{12}(x, t) \\ g_{23}(x, t) & g_{34}(x, t) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbb{X}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} f_1(x, t) & f_3(x, t) \\ f_5(x, t) & f_7(x, t) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbb{X}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} f_2(x, t) & f_4(x, t) \\ f_6(x, t) & f_8(x, t) \end{bmatrix}$$

we would have obtained an equivalent system to that obtained in [22]. The additional unknown functions which appear in Khawaja’s method [22] can be introduced with the proper substitutions via their functional dependence on the twelve unknown functions given above.

Taking the naive approach of beginning with the smaller conditions first we begin with (77), which, utilizing the given forms for $\mathbb{X}_0, \mathbb{X}_1,$ and $\mathbb{X}_2,$ becomes

$$(a_2 - 3a_1)(f_1f_4 - f_2f_3) = 0 \tag{84}$$

$$((a_2 - 3a_1)f_j)_x = 0, \quad j = 3, 4. \tag{85}$$

Solving this system for f_2, f_3 and f_4 yields

$$f_3(x, t) = \frac{F_3(t)}{a_2(x, t) - 3a_1(x, t)} \tag{86}$$

$$f_4(x, t) = \frac{F_4(t)}{a_2(x, t) - 3a_1(x, t)} \tag{87}$$

$$f_2(x, t) = \frac{f_1(x, t)F_4(t)}{F_3(t)} \tag{88}$$

where $F_{3,4}(t)$ are arbitrary functions of t . With these choices we've elected to satisfy $\mathbb{X}_4 = 0$ rather than $a_2 = 3a_1$. Looking next at (83) we obtain the system

$$\left(\frac{F_j}{a_2 - 3a_1}\right)_t - \frac{F_j a_7}{a_2 - 3a_1} + \left(\frac{F_j a_8}{a_2 - 3a_1}\right)_x + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{F_j a_4}{a_2 - 3a_1}\right)_x + (-1)^j \frac{F_j(g_4 - g_1)}{a_2 - 3a_1} = 0, \quad j = 3, 4. \tag{89}$$

$$\frac{F_3 g_3}{a_2 - 3a_1} - \frac{F_4 g_2}{a_2 - 3a_1} = 0. \tag{90}$$

Solving the second equation for g_3 yields

$$g_3 = \frac{F_4(t)g_2(x, t)}{F_3(t)}.$$

Considering the $O(1)$ equation next, we have the following system of equations:

$$g_{1x} = g_{4x} = 0 \tag{91}$$

$$f_{1t} - g_{2x} + f_1(g_4 - g_1) = 0 \tag{92}$$

$$F_3(F_4 f_1)_t - f_1 F_4 F_{3t} - g_{2x} F_4 F_3 + F_3 F_4 f_1 (g_1 - g_4) = 0. \tag{93}$$

It follows that we must have $g_1(x, t) = G_1(t)$ and $g_4(x, t) = G_4(t)$ where G_1 and G_4 are arbitrary functions of t . Since (92) and (93) do not depend on the a_i we will postpone solving them until the end. At this point the remaining conditions have been reduced to conditions involving solely the a_i and the previously introduced arbitrary functions of t . The remaining conditions are given by

$$\left(\frac{a_5}{a_2 - 3a_1}\right)_x + \left(\frac{a_6}{a_2 - 3a_1}\right)_{xxx} = 0 \tag{94}$$

$$\left(\frac{a_3}{a_2 - 3a_1}\right)_x = 0 \tag{95}$$

$$\left(\frac{a_1}{a_2 - 3a_1}\right)_{xx} = 0 \tag{96}$$

$$\left(\frac{a_4}{a_2 - 3a_1}\right)_x = 0. \tag{97}$$

One can easily solve the system of equations given by (89), (94) – (97) yielding

$$F_4 = c_1 F_3 e^{2 \int (G_4 - G_1) dt} \tag{98}$$

$$g_2 = \int (f_{1t} + f_1(G_4 - G_1)) dx + F_{10} \tag{99}$$

$$a_2 = -\frac{(3F_1 - 1 - 3F_2x)a_1}{F_2x - F_1} \tag{100}$$

$$a_3 = \frac{F_5 a_1}{F_2x - F_1} \tag{101}$$

$$a_4 = \frac{F_6 a_1}{F_2x - F_1} \tag{102}$$

$$a_6 = \frac{(F_7 + F_8x + F_9x^2)a_1}{F_2x - F_1} - \int^x \int^y \frac{a_5(z, t) dz dy}{a_2(z, t) - 3a_1(z, t)} \tag{103}$$

$$a_7 = \frac{a_2 - 3a_1}{F_3} \left(\frac{F_3}{a_2 - 3a_1} \right)_t + (a_2 - 3a_1) \left(\frac{a_8}{a_2 - 3a_1} \right)_x + G_4 - G_1 \tag{104}$$

where F_{5-10} are arbitrary functions of t . Note that a_1, a_5 and a_8 have no restrictions beyond the appropriate differentiability and integrability conditions.

The Lax Pair for the generalized variable-coefficient KdV equation with the previous integrability conditions is therefore given by

$$F = \mathbb{X}_1 + \mathbb{X}_2 u \tag{105}$$

$$G = -a_6 \mathbb{X}_2 u_{xxx} + (a_6 \mathbb{X}_2)_x u_{xxx} - \mathbb{X}_2 (a_1 u + a_5) u_{xx} - (a_6 \mathbb{X}_2)_{xx} u_{xx} - a_8 \mathbb{X}_2 u + \frac{1}{2} a_1 \mathbb{X}_2 u_x^2 - \frac{1}{2} a_2 \mathbb{X}_2 u_x^2 + (a_1 \mathbb{X}_2)_x u u_x - \frac{1}{3} a_3 \mathbb{X}_2 u^3 - \frac{1}{2} a_4 \mathbb{X}_2 u^2 + \mathbb{X}_0. \tag{106}$$

This completes the extended EW analysis of the generalized fifth-order vKdV equation. Next, we consider some solutions of these new integrable equations derived by two different methods in the preceding sections.

6. Painlevé analysis method. Next we shall consider methods to derive some solutions of the generalized integrable hierarchies of NLPDEs derived in the previous sections.

Given a nonlinear partial differential equation in $(n+1)$ -dimensions, without specifying initial or boundary conditions, we may find a solution about a movable singular manifold

$$\phi - \phi_0 = 0$$

as an infinite series given by

$$u(x_1, \dots, x_n, t) = \phi^{-\alpha} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} u_m \phi^m. \tag{107}$$

Note that when $m \in (\mathbb{Q} - \mathbb{Z})$ (107) is more commonly known as a Puiseux series. One can avoid dealing with Puiseux series if proper substitutions are made, as we will see a little later on. Plugging this infinite series into the NLPDE yields a recurrence relation for the u_m 's. As with most series-type solution methods for NLPDEs we will seek a solution to our NLPDE as (107) truncated at the constant term. Plugging this truncated series into our original NLPDE and collecting terms in decreasing order of ϕ will give us a set of determining equations for our unknown coefficients u_0, \dots, u_α known as the Painlevé-Backlund equations. We now define new functions

$$C_0(x_0, \dots, x_n, t) = \frac{\phi_t}{\phi_{x_0}} \tag{108}$$

$$C_1(x_0, \dots, x_n, t) = \frac{\phi_{x_1}}{\phi_{x_0}} \tag{109}$$

$$\vdots \tag{110}$$

$$C_n(x_0, \dots, x_n, t) = \frac{\phi_{x_n}}{\phi_{x_0}} \tag{111}$$

$$V(x_0, \dots, x_n, t) = \frac{\phi_{x_0 x_0}}{\phi_{x_0}} \tag{112}$$

which will allow us to eliminate all derivatives of ϕ other than ϕ_{x_0} . For simplicity it is common to allow $C_i(x_0, \dots, x_n, t)$ and $V(x_0, \dots, x_n, t)$ to be constants, thereby reducing a system of PDEs (more than likely nonlinear) in $\{C_i(x, t), V(x, t)\}$ to an algebraic system in $\{C_i, V\}$ for $(i = 0, \dots, n)$.

6.1. *Analytic solutions for generalized inhomogeneous KdV equation of form (49).* Consider the following example:

$$\begin{aligned} &u_t + \frac{10H_1\xi(t)}{F(\int \eta(t)dt + x)}uu_{xxx} + \frac{2(3 + 2H_1)\xi(t)}{F(\int \eta(t)dt + x)}u_xu_{xx} + \frac{6H_1 - 1}{F(\int \eta(t)dt + x)}u^2u_x \\ &+ \frac{10H_5\xi(t)}{F(\int \eta(t)dt + x)}u_{xxx} + \frac{4(3H_1 + 2)\xi(t)^2}{5F(\int \eta(t)dt + x)}u_{xxxx} - \left(\frac{1}{\xi(t)}\right)' F\left(\int \eta(t)dt + x\right)u \\ &+ \left(H_6(t) + \frac{\xi(t)^2(c_2^4(8H_1 - 3) - 2500c_1(H_4 + 30c_1H_1 - 5c_1))}{5F(\int \eta(t)dt + x)}\right)u_x \\ &+ \frac{10H_4\xi(t)}{F(\int \eta(t)dt + x)}uu_x = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{113}$$

where $\xi(t) = \frac{H_5}{10c_1H_1 - 10c_1 + H_4}$ and $H_1(t), H_4(t), H_5(t)$ and $\eta(t)$ are arbitrary functions of t and c_1, c_2 are arbitrary constants. As with our last example the leading order analysis yields $\alpha = 2$. Unlike our last example we will not force the u_2 term to be 0 initially. The first orders of ϕ which determine the u_i are as follows:

$$O(\phi^{-7}): -576(3H_1+2)\xi(t)^2u_0\phi_x^5 - 2(6H_1-1)u_0^3\phi_x - 24H_1u_0^2\phi_x^3 - 24(3+2H_1)\xi(t)u_0^2\phi_x^3 = 0. \tag{114}$$

$$\begin{aligned} O(\phi^{-6}) : & 1440H_1H_5^2\phi_x^4u_{0x} - 288H_1H_5^2\phi_x^5u_1 + 600c_1^2H_1^3u_0^2u_{0x} - 1300c_1^2H_1^2u_0^2u_{0x} \\ & + 6H_1H_4^2u_0^2u_{0x} + 500c_1^2\phi_xu_0^2u_1 + 20c_1H_4u_0^2u_{0x} + 5H_4^2\phi_xu_0^2u_1 \\ & - 840c_1H_5\phi_x^2u_0u_{0x} + 84H_4H_5\phi_x^2u_0u_{0x} + 600c_1H_5\phi_x^3u_0u_1 - 60H_4H_5\phi_x^3u_0u_1 \\ & - 3000c_1^2H_1^3\phi_xu_0^2u_1 + 6500c_1^2H_1^2\phi_xu_0^2u_1 + 120c_1H_1^2H_4u_0^2u_{0x} \\ & - 4000c_1^2H_1\phi_xu_0^2u_1 - 140c_1H_1H_4u_0^2u_{0x} - 30H_1H_4^2\phi_xu_0^2u_1 - 100c_1H_4\phi_xu_0^2u_1 \\ & - 192H_5^2\phi_x^5u_1 - 100c_1^2u_0^2u_{0x} - H_4^2u_0^2u_{0x} + 960H_5^2\phi_x^4u_{0x} + 1920H_5^2\phi_x^3\phi_{xx}u_0 \\ & + 2880H_1H_5^2\phi_x^3\phi_{xx}u_0 - 240c_1H_5\phi_x\phi_{xx}u_0^2 + 24H_4H_5\phi_x\phi_{xx}u_0^2 \\ & + 1960c_1H_1^2H_5\phi_x\phi_{xx}u_0^2 - 1720c_1H_1H_5\phi_x\phi_{xx}u_0^2 \\ & + 2360c_1H_1^2H_5\phi_x^2u_0u_{0x} - 1520c_1H_1H_5\phi_x^2u_0u_{0x} \\ & + 236H_1H_4H_5\phi_x^2u_0u_{0x} + 2800c_1H_1H_5\phi_x^3u_0u_1 - 340H_1H_4H_5\phi_x^3u_0u_1 \\ & - 600c_1H_1^2H_4\phi_xu_0^2u_1 + 700c_1H_1H_4\phi_xu_0^2u_1 + 800c_1^2H_1u_0^2u_{0x} \\ & + 196H_1H_4H_5\phi_x\phi_{xx}u_0^2 - 3400c_1H_1^2H_5\phi_x^3u_0u_1 = 0. \tag{115} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 O(\phi^{-5}) : & -600c_1H_5\phi_x^2u_0u_{1x} + 60H_4H_5\phi_x^2u_0u_{1x} + 200c_1H_4H_5\phi_xu_0^2 - 100c_1^2u_0^2u_{1x} \\
 & - H_4^2u_0^2u_{1x} - 68H_1H_4H_5\phi_x^3u_1^2 - 80c_1H_1H_5\phi_xu_0^2 + 204H_1H_4H_5\phi_x^2u_0x u_1 \\
 & + 192H_5^2\phi_x^4u_{1x} - 384H_5^2\phi_x^3u_0x x - 680c_1H_1^2H_5\phi_x^3u_1^2 + 560c_1H_1H_5\phi_x^3u_1^2 \\
 & - 2400c_1^2H_1^3\phi_xu_0^2u_2 + 5200c_1^2H_1^2\phi_xu_0^2u_2 - 3200c_1^2H_1\phi_xu_0^2u_2 - 2400c_1^2H_1^3\phi_xu_0u_1^2 \\
 & - 2600c_1^2H_1^2u_0u_0xu_1 + 5200c_1^2H_1^2\phi_xu_0u_1^2 - 24H_1H_4^2\phi_xu_0^2u_2 - 80c_1H_4\phi_xu_0^2u_2 \\
 & - 2400c_1H_1H_5^2\phi_x^3u_0 - 2320c_1H_1H_5\phi_x\phi_{xx}u_0u_1 + 256H_1H_4H_5\phi_x\phi_{xx}u_0u_1 \\
 & + 2560c_1H_1^2H_5\phi_x\phi_{xx}u_0u_1 - 400c_1H_1H_5\phi_x^2u_0u_{1x} + 100H_1H_4H_5\phi_x^2u_0u_{1x} \\
 & - 16H_1H_4H_5\phi_xu_0^2 - 360c_1H_5\phi_x^2u_0xu_1 + 120c_1H_1^2H_4u_0^2u_{1x} - 140c_1H_1H_4u_0^2u_{1x} \\
 & + 1200c_1^2H_1^3u_0u_0xu_1 + 1600c_1^2H_1u_0u_0xu_1 - 3200c_1^2H_1\phi_xu_0u_1^2 + 12H_1H_4^2u_0u_0xu_1 \\
 & - 24H_1H_4^2\phi_xu_0u_1^2 + 40c_1H_4u_0u_0xu_1 - 80c_1H_4\phi_xu_0u_1^2 + 36H_4H_5\phi_x^2u_0xu_1 \\
 & - 160c_1H_1^2H_5\phi_xu_0^2 + 200c_1H_1H_5\phi_{xx}u_0^2 - 20H_1H_4H_5\phi_{xx}u_0^2 \\
 & - 200c_1H_1^2H_5\phi_{xxx}u_0^2 + 560c_1H_1H_4\phi_xu_0u_1^2 - 576H_1H_5^2\phi_x^2\phi_{xxx}u_0 \\
 & + 120c_1H_5\phi_xu_0u_0x - 1728H_1H_5^2\phi_x^2\phi_{xx}u_0x + 576H_1H_5^2\phi_x^3\phi_{xx}u_1 \\
 & - 864H_1H_5^2\phi_x\phi_{xx}u_0 + 120c_1H_5\phi_{xx}u_0u_0x - 12H_4H_5\phi_{xx}u_0u_0x \\
 & + 240c_1H_1^2H_4u_0u_0xu_1 - 480c_1H_1^2H_4\phi_xu_0u_1^2 - 280c_1H_1H_4u_0u_0xu_1 \\
 & - 480c_1H_1^2H_4\phi_xu_0^2u_2 + 1000c_1H_1^2H_5\phi_x^2u_0u_{1x} - 1680c_1H_1H_5\phi_x^2u_0xu_1 \\
 & - 12H_4H_5\phi_xu_0u_0x - 200c_1^2u_0u_0xu_1 + 240c_1H_5\phi_xu_0^2 + 2400c_1H_1H_5\phi_x^3u_0u_2 \\
 & - 2400c_1H_1^2H_5\phi_x^3u_0u_2 - 200c_1H_1H_4H_5\phi_xu_0^2 + 2040c_1H_1^2H_5\phi_x^2u_0xu_1 \\
 & + 400c_1^2\phi_xu_0u_1^2 - 2H_4^2u_0u_0xu_1 + 4H_4^2\phi_xu_0u_1^2 + 400c_1^2\phi_xu_0^2u_2 + 4H_4^2\phi_xu_0^2u_2 \\
 & + 2400c_1H_5^2\phi_x^3u_0 - 240H_4H_5^2\phi_x^3u_0 + 120c_1H_5\phi_x^3u_1^2 - 12H_4H_5\phi_x^3u_1^2 \\
 & - 24H_4H_5\phi_xu_0^2 + 600c_1^2H_1^3u_0^2u_{1x} - 1300c_1^2H_1^2u_0^2u_{1x} + 800c_1^2H_1u_0^2u_{1x} \\
 & + 6H_1H_4^2u_0^2u_{1x} - 384H_5^2\phi_x^2\phi_{xxx}u_0 + 24H_4H_5\phi_x\phi_{xx}u_0u_1 \\
 & + 20c_1H_4u_0^2u_{1x} - 20H_4^2H_5\phi_xu_0^2 + 288H_1H_5^2\phi_x^4u_{1x} + 384H_5^2\phi_x^3\phi_{xx}u_1 \\
 & - 576H_5^2\phi_x\phi_{xx}u_0 - 576H_1H_5^2\phi_x^3u_0x - 1152H_5^2\phi_x^2\phi_{xx}u_0x - 68H_1H_4H_5\phi_{xx}u_0u_0x \\
 & - 680c_1H_1^2H_5\phi_xu_0u_0x - 680c_1H_1^2H_5\phi_{xx}u_0u_0x - 240c_1H_5\phi_x\phi_{xx}u_0u_1 \\
 & + 560c_1H_1H_5\phi_xu_0u_0x - 68H_1H_4H_5\phi_xu_0u_0x + 560c_1H_1H_5\phi_{xx}u_0u_0x \\
 & - 240H_1H_4H_5\phi_x^3u_0u_2 + 560c_1H_1H_4\phi_xu_0^2u_2 = 0.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{116}$$

Upon solving the $O(\phi^{-7}), O(\phi^{-6})$ and $O(\phi^{-5})$ equations for u_0, u_1 and u_2 respectively we find that

$$u_0(x, t) = -\frac{12H_5\phi_x^2}{10c_1H_1 - 10c_1 + H_4} = -12\xi(t)\phi_x^2 \tag{117}$$

$$u_1(x, t) = \frac{12H_5\phi_{xx}}{10c_1H_1 - 10c_1 + H_4} = 12\xi(t)\phi_{xx} \tag{118}$$

$$u_2(x, t) = -\frac{(4\phi_x\phi_{xxx} - 50c_1\phi_x^2 - 3\phi_{xx}^2)H_5}{(10c_1H_1 - 10c_1 + H_4)\phi_x^2} = \frac{(4\phi_x\phi_{xxx} - 50c_1\phi_x^2 - 3\phi_{xx}^2)\xi(t)}{\phi_x^2} \tag{119}$$

which similarly lends itself nicely to a representation of the solution as

$$u(x, t) = 12\xi(t)[\log(\phi(x, t))]_{xx} + u_2(x, t).$$

Further, if we let $C(x, t) = B(t)$ and once again $V(x, t) = 1$ the choices for coefficients reduce the remaining orders of ϕ to an identically satisfied system. Solving the determining equations for $\phi(x, t)$ we have that $\phi(x, t) = c_2 + c_3e^{\int B(t)dt+x}$. Therefore we have the solution

$$u(x, t) = -\frac{\xi(t) \left(c_2^2(1 - 50c_1) - 10c_2c_3(1 + 10c_1)e^{\int B(t)dt+x} + c_3^2(1 - 50c_1)e^{2\int B(t)dt+2x} \right)}{\left(c_2 + c_3e^{\int B(t)dt+x} \right)^2}. \tag{120}$$

7. Analytic solutions for generalized inhomogeneous MKdV Equations of form (4). The $a_i, (i = 1, 2)$, and all other quantities in this section refer to equation (4).

Allowing $a_2(x, t) = F(x)G_0(t)$ (separable) and using the results of Khawaja’s method we find that a_1 takes the form

$$a_1(x, t) = \left(F(x) \int \frac{1}{2}x^2M(x, t)dx \right) - \left(xF(x) \int xM(x, t)dx \right) + \left(x^2F(x) \int \frac{1}{2}M(x, t)dx \right) + F(x)(G_1(t) + G_2(t)x + G_3(t)x^2) \tag{121}$$

where $M(x, t) = \frac{H(t)G'_0(t) - H'(t)G_0(t)}{H(t)G_0(t)F(x)}$ and C, F and G_{0-3} are arbitrary functions in their respective variables. Letting $F(x) = e^{-x}, G_{1-3}(t) = 0$ and keeping all other functions arbitrary we have the following vcmKdV:

$$u_t + \left(\frac{H(t)G'_0(t) - H'(t)G_0(t)}{H(t)G_0(t)} \right) u_{xxx} + e^{-x}G_0(t)u^2u_x = 0. \tag{122}$$

Leading order analysis yields $\alpha = 1$. Therefore we seek a solution of the form $u(x, t) = \frac{u_0(x, t)}{\phi(x, t)} + u_1(x, t)$. Plugging this into (122) and collecting orders of ϕ we have

$$O(\phi^{-4}) : -u_0\phi_x \left(e^{-x}G_0u_0^2 + 6 \left(\frac{H(t)G'_0(t) - H'(t)G_0(t)}{H(t)G_0(t)} \right) \phi_x^2 \right) \tag{123}$$

$$O(\phi^{-3}) : e^{-x}G_0u_0^2u_{0x} + 6 \left(\frac{H(t)G'_0(t) - H'(t)G_0(t)}{H(t)G_0(t)} \right) (u_0\phi_x\phi_{xx} + u_{0x}\phi_x^2) - 2e^{-x}G_0u_0^2u_1\phi_x \tag{124}$$

$$O(\phi^{-2}) : e^{-x}G_0u_0^2u_{1x} + 2e^{-x}G_0u_0u_{0x}u_1 - e^{-x}G_0u_0u_1^2\phi_x - u_0\phi_t \tag{125}$$

$$- \left(\frac{H(t)G'_0(t) - H'(t)G_0(t)}{H(t)G_0(t)} \right) (3u_{0x}\phi_{xx} + 3u_{0xx}\phi_x + u_0\phi_{xxx}) \tag{126}$$

$$O(\phi^{-1}) : \left(\frac{H(t)G'_0(t) - H'(t)G_0(t)}{H(t)G_0(t)} \right) u_{0xxx} + 2e^{-x}G_0u_0u_1u_{1x} + e^{-x}G_0u_{0x}u_1^2 + u_{0t} \tag{127}$$

$$O(\phi^0) : u_{1t} + \left(\frac{H(t)G'_0(t) - H'(t)G_0(t)}{H(t)G_0(t)} \right) u_{1xxx} + e^{-x}G_0(t)u_1^2u_{1x}. \tag{128}$$

Solving the $O(\phi^{-4})$ and $O(\phi^{-3})$ equations for u_0 and u_1 respectively we obtain the following results:

$$u_0(x, t) = \left(-6e^x \frac{H(t)G'_0(t) - H'(t)G_0(t)}{H(t)G_0(t)^2} \right)^{1/2} \phi_x \tag{129}$$

$$u_1(x, t) = - \left(-6e^x \frac{H(t)G'_0(t) - H'(t)G_0(t)}{H(t)G_0(t)^2} \right)^{1/2} \phi_x \left(\frac{\phi_{xx}}{\phi_x} \right). \tag{130}$$

Substituting the equations for $C(x, t)$ and $V(x, t)$ into the remaining orders of ϕ , solving the new system for $C(x, t)$ and $V(x, t)$, and mandating that $H(t)$ satisfy

$$\sqrt{-24G'_0(t)G_0(t)M(t) + 6G_0(t)^2M(t)^2 + 24G_0^2M'(t)} = M(t)G_0(t)$$

where $M(t) = \frac{H(t)G'_0(t) - H'(t)G_0(t)}{H(t)}$ we therefore have

$$H(t) = \frac{c_2G_0(t)}{\left(\frac{5}{24} \int G_0(t)dt + c_1 \right)^{24/5}} \tag{131}$$

$$C(x, t) = - \frac{53G_0(t)}{15 \int G_0(t)dt + 72c_1} \tag{132}$$

$$V(x, t) = - \frac{1}{6} \tanh \left(\frac{1}{12}x - \frac{53}{180} \ln \left(\frac{5}{24} \int G_0(t)dt + c_1 \right) \right). \tag{133}$$

Solving the coupled pde system for $\phi(x, t)$ we get

$$\phi(x, t) = \tanh \left(\frac{1}{12}x - \frac{53}{180} \ln \left(\frac{5}{24} \int G_0(t)dt + c_1 \right) \right). \tag{134}$$

Therefore, after a bit of simplification, we have the solution

$$u(x, t) = \frac{ie^{x/2}}{\sqrt{5 \int G_0(t)dt + 24c_1}} \coth \left(\frac{1}{12}x - \frac{53}{180} \ln \left(\frac{5}{24} \int G_0(t)dt + c_1 \right) \right). \tag{135}$$

8. Conclusions and future work. We have used two direct methods to obtain very significantly extended Lax- or S-integrable families of generalized KdV and MKdV equations with coefficients which may in general vary in both space and time. Of these, the second technique which was developed here is a new, significantly extended version of the well-known Estabrook-Wahlquist technique for Lax-integrable systems with constant coefficients. Some solutions for the generalized inhomogeneous KdV equations and one family of Lax-integrable generalized MKdV equations have also been presented here.

Future work will address the derivation of additional solutions by various methods, as well as detailed investigations of other integrability properties of these novel integrable inhomogeneous NLPDEs such as Backlund Transformations and conservation laws.

Appendix A. Lax integrability for generalized MKdV equation. The Lax Pair is expanded in powers of u and its derivatives as follows:

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} f_1 + f_2v & f_3 + f_4v \\ f_5 + f_6v & f_7 + f_8v \end{bmatrix} \tag{A.1}$$

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} V_1 & V_2 \\ V_3 & V_4 \end{bmatrix} \tag{A.2}$$

$$\tag{A.3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 &= g_1 + g_2v + g_3v^2 \\ V_2 &= g_4 + g_5v + g_6v^2 + g_7v^3 + g_8v_x + g_9v_{xx} \\ V_3 &= g_{10} + g_{11}v + g_{12}v^2 + g_{13}v^3 + g_{14}v_x + g_{15}v_{xx} \\ V_4 &= g_{16} + g_{17}v + g_{18}v^2. \end{aligned}$$

The compatibility condition gives

$$U_t - V_x + [U, V] = \dot{0} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & p_1(x, t)F[v] \\ p_2(x, t)F[v] & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{A.4}$$

where $F[v]$ represents the MKdV equation.

A.1. *Determining equations for the MKdV equation.* Requiring that the compatibility condition yield the $F[v]$ gives $f_4 = p_1, f_6 = p_2, g_7 = -\frac{1}{3}p_1a_2, g_9 = -p_1a_1, g_{13} = -\frac{1}{3}p_2a_2, g_{15} = -p_2a_1, f_2 = f_8 = g_{12} = g_6 = 0$

$$f_3p_2 - f_5p_1 = 0 \tag{A.5}$$

$$g_{18x} + p_1g_{11} - p_2g_5 = 0 \tag{A.6}$$

$$g_{3x} + p_2g_5 - p_1g_{11} = 0 \tag{A.7}$$

$$g_{17} + f_3g_{14} - f_5g_8 = 0 \tag{A.8}$$

$$2g_{18} + p_1g_{14} - p_2g_8 = 0 \tag{A.9}$$

$$g_2 + f_5g_8 - f_3g_{14} = 0 \tag{A.10}$$

$$2g_3 + p_2g_8 - p_1g_{14} = 0 \tag{A.11}$$

$$f_5(g_{18} - g_3) + p_2(g_{17} - g_2) = 0 \tag{A.12}$$

$$f_3(g_{18} - g_3) + p_1(g_{17} - g_2) = 0 \tag{A.13}$$

$$f_{1t} - g_{1x} + f_3g_{10} - f_5g_4 = 0 \tag{A.14}$$

$$f_{7t} - g_{16x} - f_3g_{10} + f_5g_4 = 0 \tag{A.15}$$

$$g_{11} + g_{14x} - g_{14}(f_7 - f_1) = 0 \tag{A.16}$$

$$g_5 + g_{8x} + g_8(f_7 - f_1) = 0 \tag{A.17}$$

$$g_8 - (p_1a_1)_x - p_1a_1(f_7 - f_1) = 0 \tag{A.18}$$

$$g_{14} - (p_2a_1)_x + p_2a_1(f_7 - f_1) = 0 \tag{A.19}$$

$$g_{17x} + f_3g_{11} - f_5g_5 + p_1g_{10} - p_2g_4 = 0 \tag{A.20}$$

$$g_{2x} - f_3g_{11} + f_5g_5 - p_1g_{10} + p_2g_4 = 0 \tag{A.21}$$

$$\frac{1}{3}(p_1a_2)_x + \frac{1}{3}p_1a_2(f_7 - f_1) + p_1(g_{18} - g_3) = 0 \tag{A.22}$$

$$\frac{1}{3}(p_2a_2)_x - \frac{1}{3}p_2a_2(f_7 - f_1) - p_2(g_{18} - g_3) = 0 \tag{A.23}$$

$$f_{3t} - g_{4x} - g_4(f_7 - f_1) - f_3(g_1 - g_{16}) = 0 \tag{A.24}$$

$$f_{5t} - g_{10x} + g_{10}(f_7 - f_1) + f_5(g_1 - g_{16}) = 0 \tag{A.25}$$

$$p_{1t} - g_{5x} - g_5(f_7 - f_1) - p_1(g_1 - g_{16}) - f_3(g_2 - g_{17}) = 0 \tag{A.26}$$

$$p_{2t} - g_{11x} + g_{11}(f_7 - f_1) + p_2(g_1 - g_{16}) + f_5(g_2 - g_{17}) = 0 \tag{A.27}$$

A.2. *Deriving a relation between the a_i .* In this section we reduce the previous system down to equations which depend solely on the a_i 's. We find that

$$g_{16} = g_1 = f_7 = f_1 = g_{10} = g_4 = g_{17} = g_2 = f_5 = f_3 = 0, p_2 = -p_1 = -\frac{C(t)}{a_2}$$

$$g_{18} = -g_3 = -\frac{C(t)g_8}{a_2}$$

$$g_{11} = -g_5 = g_{8x}$$

$$g_{14} = -g_8 = -C(t)\left(\frac{a_1}{a_2}\right)_x$$

which leads to

$$6a_1a_{2x}^3 - 6a_1a_2a_{2x}a_{2xx} + a_1a_2^2a_{2xxx} - \frac{K_t}{K}a_2^3 + a_2^2a_{2t} - a_2^3a_{1xxx} + 3a_{1xx}a_2^2a_{2x} - 6a_{1x}a_2a_{2x}^2 + 3a_{1x}a_2^2a_{2xx} = 0 \tag{A.28}$$

where $K(t)$ and $C(t)$ are arbitrary functions of t .

Appendix B. Lax integrability conditions for generalized KdV equation.

As mentioned in the text, the notation and calculations here refer to the treatment of the generalized vKdV equation of Section 3 ONLY.

The Lax Pair for the generalized vcKdV equation is expanded in powers of u and its derivatives as follows:

$$\mathbf{U} = \begin{bmatrix} f_1 + f_2u & f_3 + f_4u \\ f_5 + f_6u & f_7 + f_8u \end{bmatrix} \tag{B.1}$$

$$\mathbf{V} = \begin{bmatrix} V_1 & V_2 \\ V_3 & V_4 \end{bmatrix} \tag{B.2}$$

where $V_i = g_k + g_{k+1}u + g_{k+2}u^2 + g_{k+3}u^3 + g_{k+4}u_x + g_{k+5}u_x^2 + g_{k+6}u_{xx} + g_{k+7}uu_{xx} + g_{k+8}u_{xxx} + g_{k+9}uu_{xxx} + g_{k+10}u_{xxx}$, $k = 11(i - 1) + 1$ and $f_{1-8}(x, t)$ and $g_{1-44}(x, t)$ are unknown functions. The compatibility condition

$$U_t - V_x + [U, V] = \dot{0} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & p_1(x, t)F[u] \\ p_2(x, t)F[u] & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{B.3}$$

where $F[u]$ represents (49) and $p_{1-2}(x, t)$ are unknown functions requires that

$$g_{21} = g_{32} = f_2 = g_4 = g_{10} = g_{11} = f_8 = g_{37} = g_{43} = g_{44} = 0, f_4 = p_1, g_{15} = -\frac{1}{3}p_1a_3, \\ g_{21} = -p_1a_6, f_6 = p_2, g_{26} = -\frac{1}{3}p_2a_6, g_{33} = -p_2a_6, g_{41} = -2g_{39} = g_8 = -2g_6,$$

$$p_2g_{17} - p_1g_{28} = 0 \tag{B.4}$$

$$p_2g_{19} - p_1g_{30} = 0 \tag{B.5}$$

$$g_{19} + 2g_{17} = -p_1a_2 \tag{B.6}$$

$$g_{30} + 2g_{28} = -p_2a_2 \tag{B.7}$$

$$g_{6x} + f_3g_{28} - f_5g_{17} = 0 \tag{B.8}$$

$$g_{6x} + f_5g_{17} - f_3g_{28} = 0 \tag{B.9}$$

$$2g_{25} + p_2(g_{38} - g_5) = -p_2a_4 \tag{B.10}$$

$$g_{19} + p_1(g_9 - g_{42}) = -p_1a_1 \tag{B.11}$$

$$g_8 + p_2g_{20} - p_1g_{31} = 0 \tag{B.12}$$

$$-2g_6 + p_1g_{31} - p_2g_{20} = 0 \tag{B.13}$$

$$g_{30} + p_2(g_{42} - g_9) = -p_2a_1 \tag{B.14}$$

$$g_9 + a_6(p_2f_3 - p_1f_5) = 0 \tag{B.15}$$

$$g_{42} + a_6(p_1f_5 - p_2f_3) = 0 \tag{B.16}$$

$$2g_{14} + p_1(g_5 - g_{38}) = -p_1a_4 \tag{B.17}$$

$$2g_3 + p_2g_{16} - p_1g_{27} = 0 \tag{B.18}$$

$$2g_{36} + p_1g_{27} - p_2g_{16} = 0 \tag{B.19}$$

$$g_2 + g_{5x} + f_5g_{16} - f_3g_{27} = 0 \tag{B.20}$$

$$g_{35} + g_{38x} + f_3g_{27} - f_5g_{16} = 0 \tag{B.21}$$

$$g_7 + g_{9x} + f_5g_{20} - f_3g_{31} = 0 \tag{B.22}$$

$$f_{1t} - g_{1x} + f_3 g_{23} - f_5 g_{12} = 0 \quad (\text{B.23})$$

$$f_{7t} - g_{34x} + f_5 g_{12} - f_3 g_{23} = 0 \quad (\text{B.24})$$

$$g_5 + g_{7x} + f_5 g_{18} - f_3 g_{29} = 0 \quad (\text{B.25})$$

$$g_{38} + g_{40x} + f_3 g_{29} - f_5 g_{18} = 0 \quad (\text{B.26})$$

$$g_{40} + g_{42x} + f_3 g_{31} - f_5 g_{20} = 0 \quad (\text{B.27})$$

$$p_1 \left(g_{25} + \frac{1}{3} f_5 a_3 \right) - p_2 \left(g_{14} + \frac{1}{3} f_3 a_3 \right) = 0 \quad (\text{B.28})$$

$$(p_2 a_6)_x - g_{31} + p_2 a_6 (f_1 - f_7) = 0 \quad (\text{B.29})$$

$$g_{35x} + p_1 g_{23} + f_3 g_{24} - p_2 g_{12} - f_5 g_{13} = 0 \quad (\text{B.30})$$

$$g_{36x} + p_1 g_{24} + f_3 g_{25} - p_2 g_{13} - f_5 g_{14} = 0 \quad (\text{B.31})$$

$$g_{2x} + p_2 g_{12} + f_5 g_{13} - p_1 g_{23} - f_3 g_{24} = 0 \quad (\text{B.32})$$

$$g_{3x} + p_2 g_{13} + f_5 g_{14} - p_1 g_{24} - f_3 g_{25} = 0 \quad (\text{B.33})$$

$$-2g_{6x} + p_2 g_{18} + f_5 g_{19} - p_1 g_{29} - f_3 g_{30} = 0 \quad (\text{B.34})$$

$$-2g_{6x} + p_1 g_{29} + f_3 g_{30} - p_2 g_{18} - f_5 g_{19} = 0 \quad (\text{B.35})$$

$$g_{28x} + g_{28} (f_1 - f_7) = 0 \quad (\text{B.36})$$

$$g_{17x} - g_{17} (f_1 - f_7) = 0 \quad (\text{B.37})$$

$$g_{20} - (p_1 a_6)_x + p_1 a_6 (f_1 - f_7) = 0 \quad (\text{B.38})$$

$$\frac{1}{3} (p_2 a_3)_x + \frac{1}{3} p_2 a_3 (f_1 - f_7) + p_2 (g_3 - g_{36}) = 0 \quad (\text{B.39})$$

$$f_{5t} - g_{23x} + g_{23} (f_7 - f_1) + f_5 (g_1 - g_{34}) = 0 \quad (\text{B.40})$$

$$g_{19x} + g_{19} (f_7 - f_1) + p_1 (g_7 - g_{40}) = 0 \quad (\text{B.41})$$

$$g_{30x} + g_{30} (f_1 - f_7) + p_2 (g_{40} - g_7) = 0 \quad (\text{B.42})$$

$$g_{14x} + g_{14} (f_7 - f_1) + p_1 (g_2 - g_{35}) + f_3 (g_3 - g_{36}) = 0 \quad (\text{B.43})$$

$$g_{25x} + g_{25} (f_1 - f_7) + p_2 (g_{35} - g_2) + f_5 (g_{36} - g_3) = 0 \quad (\text{B.44})$$

$$p_{1t} - g_{13x} + g_{13} (f_1 - f_7) + p_1 (g_{34} - g_1) + f_3 (g_{35} - g_2) = p_1 a_7 \quad (\text{B.45})$$

$$p_{2t} - g_{24x} + g_{24} (f_7 - f_1) + p_2 (g_1 - g_{34}) + f_5 (g_2 - g_{35}) = p_2 a_7 \quad (\text{B.46})$$

$$g_{24} + g_{27x} + g_{27} (f_1 - f_7) + f_5 (g_{38} - g_5) = -p_2 a_8 \quad (\text{B.47})$$

$$f_{3t} - g_{12x} + g_{12} (f_1 - f_7) + f_3 (g_{34} - g_1) = 0 \quad (\text{B.48})$$

$$g_{29} + g_{31x} + g_{31} (f_1 - f_7) + f_5 (g_{42} - g_9) = -p_2 a_5 \quad (\text{B.49})$$

$$\frac{1}{3} (p_1 a_3)_x + \frac{1}{3} p_1 a_3 (f_7 - f_1) + p_1 (g_{36} - g_3) = 0 \quad (\text{B.50})$$

$$g_{18} + g_{20x} + g_{20} (f_7 - f_1) + f_3 (g_9 - g_{42}) = -p_1 a_5 \quad (\text{B.51})$$

$$g_{13} + g_{16x} + g_{16} (f_7 - f_1) + f_3 (g_5 - g_{38}) = -p_1 a_8 \quad (\text{B.52})$$

$$g_{16} + g_{18x} + g_{18} (f_7 - f_1) + f_3 (g_7 - g_{40}) = 0 \quad (\text{B.53})$$

$$g_{27} + g_{29x} + g_{29} (f_1 - f_7) + f_5 (g_{40} - g_7) = 0. \quad (\text{B.54})$$

B.0.1. *Deriving a relation between the a_i .* In this section we reduce the previous system down to equations which depend solely on the a_i 's. We find that

$$g_{42} = g_9 = g_8 = g_{41} = g_{39} = g_6 = g_{40} = g_{38} = g_7 = g_5 = g_{35} = g_2 = g_{36} = g_3 = 0, f_7 = f_1, f_5 = f_3, g_{23} = g_{12}, g_{34} = g_1, g_{30} = -g_{19} = p_1 a_1, g_{25} = g_{14} = -\frac{1}{2} p_1 a_4, g_{31} = -g_{20} = -(p_1 a_6)_x, g_{16} = -g_{27} = -g_{18x}, p_2 = p_1$$

$$\begin{aligned} g_{18} &= -g_{29} = -p_1 a_5 - (p_1 a_6)_{xx} \\ g_{28} &= -g_{17} = -\frac{1}{2}(H_2(t) - H_1(t)) \\ g_{24} &= -g_{13} = -(p_1 a_5)_{xx} - (p_1 a_6)_{xxxx} - p_1 a_8 \\ p_1 &= \frac{H_1(t)}{a_1} \\ a_{2-4} &= H_{2-4}(t) a_1 \end{aligned}$$

which leads to the PDE

$$\left(\frac{H_1}{a_1}\right)_t + \left(\frac{H_1 a_5}{a_1}\right)_{xxx} + \left(\frac{H_1 a_6}{a_1}\right)_{xxxxx} + \left(\frac{H_1 a_8}{a_1}\right)_x = \frac{H_1 a_7}{a_1} \tag{B.55}$$

for which one clear solution (for $H_1 \neq 0$) is

$$a_7 = \frac{a_1}{H_1} \left(\left(\frac{H_1}{a_1}\right)_t + \left(\frac{H_1 a_5}{a_1}\right)_{xxx} + \left(\frac{H_1 a_6}{a_1}\right)_{xxxxx} + \left(\frac{H_1 a_8}{a_1}\right)_x \right) \tag{B.56}$$

where a_1, a_5, a_6, a_8 and H_{1-4} are arbitrary functions in their respective variables.

Appendix C. Intermediate results for fifth-order equation. The intermediate results mentioned at the appropriate places in Section 3 are given here, with the derivation and the use of each detailed there. These intermediate results are:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{X}_{1,t} + \mathbb{X}_{2,t}u - \mathbb{X}_2(a_3u^2u_x + a_4uu_x + a_7u + a_8u_x) - (a_6\mathbb{X}_6)_xu_x^2 + a_1\mathbb{X}_4u_x^2 \\
 & - (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xxxx}u_x - \frac{1}{2}(a_1\mathbb{X}_2)_xu_x^2 + \frac{1}{2}([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x])_xu_x^2 + \frac{1}{2}(a_2\mathbb{X}_2)_xu_x^2 \\
 & + (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_{xxx}u_x + ([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x])_{xx}u_x + ([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x])_{xx}uu_x - (a_6\mathbb{X}_5)_{xx}u_x \\
 & + (a_1\mathbb{X}_4)_xuu_x - \frac{1}{2}(a_6\mathbb{X}_6)_xu_x^2 - ([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x])_xuu_x - (a_5\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}u_x - (a_1\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}uu_x \\
 & + (a_5\mathbb{X}_4)_xu_x + ([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx})_xu_x + ([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx})_xuu_x - ([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x])_xu_x \\
 & - ([\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}])_xu_x - ([\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]])_xuu_x - ([\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]])_xuu_x \\
 & - ([\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}])_xu_x^2 + (a_6\mathbb{X}_{10})_xu_x^2u_x - \mathbb{K}_x^3 + ([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x])_xu_x^2 + a_6\mathbb{X}_9u_x^2 \\
 & - [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x]u_x^2 + [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}]u_x^2 + a_6\mathbb{X}_8u_x^2 + (a_6\mathbb{X}_9)_xuu_x + (a_6\mathbb{X}_8)_xuu_x \\
 & - [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]u_x^2 - [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]u_x^2 - (a_1\mathbb{X}_2)_xu_x^2 - (a_6\mathbb{X}_6)_{xx}uu_x \\
 & - 2[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]uu_x^2 + 2a_6\mathbb{X}_{10}uu_x^2 - \mathbb{K}_x^3u_x + [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_5)_x]u_x - \frac{1}{2}a_2\mathbb{X}_4u_x^2 \\
 & + [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xxx}]u_x + \frac{1}{2}a_1\mathbb{X}_4u_x^2 - \frac{1}{2}[\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]u_x^2 + [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_6)_x]uu_x \\
 & - [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_{xx}]u_x - [\mathbb{X}_1, ([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x])_x]u_x - [\mathbb{X}_1, ([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x])_x]uu_x \\
 & - a_1\mathbb{X}_5uu_x + \frac{1}{2}a_6\mathbb{X}_9u_x^2 + [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x]]uu_x + [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_5\mathbb{X}_2)_x]u_x + (a_6\mathbb{X}_7)_xu_x \\
 & - a_5\mathbb{X}_5u_x - [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}]]u_x - [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}]]uu_x + [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x]]u_x \\
 & + [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]]u_x + [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]]uu_x + [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]]uu_x \\
 & - a_6[\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_7]u_x - a_6[\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_9]uu_x - a_6[\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_8]uu_x + [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x]]uu_x \\
 & + [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]]u_x^2u_x - a_6[\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_{10}]u_x^2u_x + [\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{K}^3] + [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_1\mathbb{X}_2)_x]uu_x \\
 & + [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xxx}]uu_x - \frac{1}{2}[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]uu_x^2 + [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_6)_x]u_x^2u_x + [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_5)_x]uu_x \\
 & - [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_{xx}]uu_x - [\mathbb{X}_2, ([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x])_x]uu_x - [\mathbb{X}_2, ([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x])_x]u_x^2u_x \\
 & - a_1\mathbb{X}_6u_x^2u_x + \frac{1}{2}a_6\mathbb{X}_{10}uu_x^2 + [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x]]u_x^2u_x + [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_5\mathbb{X}_2)_x]uu_x \\
 & - a_5\mathbb{X}_6uu_x - [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}]]uu_x - [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}]]u_x^2u_x \\
 & + [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]]uu_x + [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]]u_x^2u_x + [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_1\mathbb{X}_2)_x]u_x^2u_x \\
 & + [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]]u_x^2u_x - a_6[\mathbb{X}_2, \mathbb{X}_7]uu_x - a_6[\mathbb{X}_2, \mathbb{X}_9]u_x^2u_x - a_6[\mathbb{X}_2, \mathbb{X}_8]u_x^2u_x \\
 & + [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]]u_x^3u_x - a_6[\mathbb{X}_2, \mathbb{X}_{10}]u_x^3u_x + [\mathbb{X}_2, \mathbb{K}^3]u = 0, \tag{C.1}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\mathbb{X}_2(a_3u^2 + a_4u + a_8) - (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xxxx} - \mathbb{K}_u^3 + [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_5)_x] \\
& + (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_{xxx} + ([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x])_{xx} + ([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x])_{xx}u - (a_6\mathbb{X}_5)_{xx} \\
& + (a_1\mathbb{X}_4)_xu - ([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x])_xu - (a_5\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx} - (a_1\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}u - a_1\mathbb{X}_6u^2 \\
& + (a_5\mathbb{X}_4)_x + ([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx})_x + ([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx})_x]u - ([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x])_x \\
& - ([\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]])_x - ([\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]])_xu - ([\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]])_xu \\
& + (a_6\mathbb{X}_9)_xu + (a_6\mathbb{X}_8)_xu - (a_6\mathbb{X}_6)_{xx}u + [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xxx}] + [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_6)_x]u \\
& - [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_{xx}] - [\mathbb{X}_1, ([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x])_x] - [\mathbb{X}_1, ([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x])_x]u \\
& - a_1\mathbb{X}_5u + [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x]]u + [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_5\mathbb{X}_2)_x] + (a_6\mathbb{X}_7)_x + [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x]]u^2 \\
& - a_5\mathbb{X}_5 - [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}]] - [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}]]u + [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x]] \\
& + [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]] + [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]]u + [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]]u \\
& - a_6[\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_7] - a_6[\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_9]u - a_6[\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_8]u + [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x]]u \\
& + [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xxx}]u + [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_6)_x]u^2 + [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_5)_x]u + [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_1\mathbb{X}_2)_x]u \\
& - [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_{xx}]u - [\mathbb{X}_2, ([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x])_x]u - [\mathbb{X}_2, ([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x])_x]u^2 \\
& - a_5\mathbb{X}_6u - [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}]]u - [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}]]u^2 + [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_5\mathbb{X}_2)_x]u \\
& + [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]]u + [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]]u^2 + [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_1\mathbb{X}_2)_x]u^2 \\
& + [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]]u^2 - a_6[\mathbb{X}_2, \mathbb{X}_7]u - a_6[\mathbb{X}_2, \mathbb{X}_9]u^2 - a_6[\mathbb{X}_2, \mathbb{X}_8]u^2 = 0, \quad (\text{C.2})
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{K}^3 = & -\frac{1}{6}(2a_3\mathbb{X}_2u^3 + 3a_4\mathbb{X}_2u^2 + 6a_8\mathbb{X}_2u + 6(a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xxx}u - 6[\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_5)_x]u \\
 & + 3a_6[\mathbb{X}_2, \mathbb{X}_7]u^2 - 6(a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_{xxx}u - 6([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]_{xx}u - 3([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]_{xx}u^2 \\
 & + 6(a_6\mathbb{X}_5)_{xx}u - 3(a_1\mathbb{X}_4)_xu^2 + 3([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x]_xu^2 + 6(a_5\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}u + 3(a_1\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}u^2 \\
 & + 2a_1\mathbb{X}_6u^3 - 6(a_5\mathbb{X}_4)_xu - 6([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}]_xu - 3([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}]_xu^2 \\
 & + 6([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x]_xu + 6([\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]])_xu + 3([\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]])_xu^2 \\
 & + 3([\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]])_xu^2 - 3(a_6\mathbb{X}_9)_xu^2 - 3(a_6\mathbb{X}_8)_xu^2 + 3(a_6\mathbb{X}_6)_{xx}u^2 \\
 & - 6[\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xxx}]u - 3[\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_6)_x]u^2 + 6[\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_{xx}]u \\
 & + 6[\mathbb{X}_1, ([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]_x)]u + 3[\mathbb{X}_1, ([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]_x)]u^2 + 3a_1\mathbb{X}_5u^2 \\
 & - 3[\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x]]u^2 - 6[\mathbb{X}_1, (a_5\mathbb{X}_2)_x]u - 6(a_6\mathbb{X}_7)_{xx}u - 2[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x]]u^3 \\
 & + 6a_5\mathbb{X}_5u + 6[\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}]]u + 3[\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}]]u^2 \\
 & - 6[\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x]]u - 6[\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]]u - 3[\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]]u^2 \\
 & - 3[\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]]u^2 + 6a_6[\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_7]u + 3a_6[\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_9]u^2 + 3a_6[\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_8]u^2 \\
 & - 3[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_x]]u^2 - 3[\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xxx}]u^2 - 2[\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_6)_x]u^3 \\
 & - 3[\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_5)_x]u^2 - 3[\mathbb{X}_1, (a_1\mathbb{X}_2)_x]u^2 + 3[\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_4)_{xx}]u^2 \\
 & + 3[\mathbb{X}_2, ([\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]_x)]u^2 + 2[\mathbb{X}_2, ([\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]_x)]u^3 + 3a_5\mathbb{X}_6u^2 \\
 & + 3[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}]]u^2 + 2[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_{xx}]]u^3 - 3[\mathbb{X}_2, (a_5\mathbb{X}_2)_x]u^2 \\
 & - 3[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]]u^2 - 2[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, [\mathbb{X}_2, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]]u^3 - 2[\mathbb{X}_2, (a_1\mathbb{X}_2)_x]u^3 \\
 & - 2[\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_2, [\mathbb{X}_1, (a_6\mathbb{X}_2)_x]]]u^3 + 2a_6[\mathbb{X}_2, \mathbb{X}_9]u^3 + 2a_6[\mathbb{X}_2, \mathbb{X}_8]u^3 - 6\mathbb{X}_0(x, t).
 \end{aligned} \tag{C.3}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] R. H. J. Grimshaw and S. R. Pudjaprasetya, *Generation of secondary solitary waves in the variable-coefficient Korteweg-de Vries equation*, Stud. Appl. Math. **112** (2004), no. 3, 271–279, DOI 10.1111/j.0022-2526.2004.01521.x. MR2040742 (2005a:35243)
- [2] G. A. El, R. H. J. Grimshaw, and A. M. Kamchatnov, *Evolution of solitary waves and undular bores in shallow-water flows over a gradual slope with bottom friction*, J. Fluid Mech. **585** (2007), 213–244, DOI 10.1017/S0022112007006817. MR2346735 (2008i:76020)
- [3] G. Das Sharma and M. Sarma, *Evolution of ion-acoustic solitary wave in an inhomogeneous discharge plasma*, Phys. Plasmas **7** (2000), 3964.
- [4] Engui Fan, *Auto-Bäcklund transformation and similarity reductions for general variable coefficient KdV equations*, Phys. Lett. A **294** (2002), no. 1, 26–30, DOI 10.1016/S0375-9601(02)00033-6. MR1888825
- [5] R. Grimshaw, *Slowly varying solitary waves. I. Korteweg-de Vries equation*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A **368** (1979), no. 1734, 359–375, DOI 10.1098/rspa.1979.0135. MR551468 (80m:76023a)
- [6] Nalini Joshi, *Painlevé property of general variable-coefficient versions of the Korteweg-de Vries and nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Phys. Lett. A **125** (1987), no. 9, 456–460, DOI 10.1016/0375-9601(87)90184-8. MR917430 (89a:35185)
- [7] Chun-Yi Zhang, Yi-Tian Gao, Xiang-Hua Meng, Juan Li, Tao Xu, Guang-Mei Wei, and Hong-Wu Zhu, *Integrable properties of a variable-coefficient Korteweg-de Vries model from Bose-Einstein condensates and fluid dynamics*, J. Phys. A **39** (2006), no. 46, 14353–14362, DOI 10.1088/0305-4470/39/46/008. MR2276219 (2007m:82060)

- [8] Engui Fan, *The integrability of nonisospectral and variable-coefficient KdV equation with binary Bell polynomials*, Phys. Lett. A **375** (2011), no. 3, 493–497. MR2748872
- [9] Xiaorui Hu and Yong Chen, *A direct procedure on the integrability of nonisospectral and variable-coefficient MKdV equation*, J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. **19** (2012), no. 1, 1250002, 11, DOI 10.1142/S1402925112500027. MR2905319
- [10] S. F. Tian and H. Q. Zhang, *On the integrability of a generalized variable-coefficient forced Korteweg-de Vries equation in fluids*, Stud. Appl. Math., doi 10.1111/sapm.12026, 35 pages (2014).
- [11] S. K. Suslov, *On integrability of nonautonomous nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, arXiv: 1012.3661v3 [math-ph].
- [12] Z. Y. Sun, Y. T. Gao, Y. Liu and X. Yu, *Soliton management for a variable-coefficient modified Korteweg-de Vries equation*, Phys. Rev **E84** (2011) 026606.
- [13] J. He and Y. Li, *Designable integrability of the variable coefficient nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Stud. Appl. Math. **126** (2011), no. 1, 1–15, DOI 10.1111/j.1467-9590.2010.00495.x. MR2724563 (2012e:35241)
- [14] U. Al Khawaja, *A comparative analysis of Painlevé, Lax pair, and similarity transformation methods in obtaining the integrability conditions of nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, J. Math. Phys. **51** (2010), no. 5, 053506, 11, DOI 10.1063/1.3397534. MR2666984 (2011b:37138)
- [15] H. D. Wahlquist and F. B. Estabrook, *Prolongation structures of nonlinear evolution equations, I and II*, J. Math. Phys. **16**, **17** (1975, 1976), no. 7, 1–7, 1293–1297. MR0358111 (50:10576), MR0406237 (53:10028)
- [16] R. Dodd and A. Fordy, *The prolongation structures of quasipolynomial flows*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A **385** (1983), no. 1789, 389–429. MR0692205
- [17] Roger K. Dodd and Allan P. Fordy, *Prolongation structures of complex quasipolynomial evolution equations*, J. Phys. A **17** (1984), no. 16, 3249–3266. MR772153 (86e:58085)
- [18] D. J. Kaup, *The Estabrook-Wahlquist method with examples of application*, Phys. D **1** (1980), no. 4, 391–411, DOI 10.1016/0167-2789(80)90020-2. MR601579 (82c:58061)
- [19] M. J. Ablowitz and Z. Musslimani, *Integrable nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Physical Review Letters **110** (2013), 064105 (4 pages).
- [20] Mark J. Ablowitz and Harvey Segur, *Solitons and the inverse scattering transform*, SIAM Studies in Applied Mathematics, vol. 4, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, Pa., 1981. MR642018 (84a:35251)
- [21] P. G. Drazin and R. S. Johnson, *Solitons: an introduction*, Cambridge Texts in Applied Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989. MR985322 (90j:35166)
- [22] M. Russo and S. Roy Choudhury, *Building generalized Lax integrable KdV and MKdV equations with spatiotemporally varying coefficients*, J. of Phys. Conf. Series 2014: 482(1): 012038, doi 10.1088/1742-6596/482/1/012038.
- [23] X. Yu, Y.-T. Gao, Z.-Y. Sun, and Y. Liu, *N-soliton solutions, Baelund transformation and Lax pair for a generalized variable-coefficient fifth-order Korteweg-de Vries equation*, Phys. Scr. **81** (2010), 045402
- [24] Xuelin Yong, Hui Wang, and Yuanyuan Zhang, *Symmetry, integrability and exact solutions of a variable-coefficient Korteweg-de Vries (vcKdV) equation*, Int. J. Nonlinear Sci. **14** (2012), no. 3, 329–335. MR3017240