

**ON THE STRUCTURE OF THE SET OF PERIODS FOR PERIODIC
SOLUTIONS OF SOME LINEAR INTEGRO-DIFFERENTIAL
EQUATIONS ON THE MULTIDIMENSIONAL SPHERE**

DANG KHANH HOI

ABSTRACT. The problem of periodic solutions for the family of linear differential equations

$$(L - \lambda)u \equiv \left(\frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - a\Delta - \lambda\right)u(x, t) = \nu G(u - f)$$

is considered on the multidimensional sphere $x \in S^n$ under the periodicity condition $u|_{t=0} = u|_{t=b}$. Here a and λ are given reals, ν is a fixed complex number, $G u(x, t)$ is a linear integral operator, and Δ is the Laplace operator on S^n . It is shown that the set of parameters (ν, b) for which the above problem admits a unique solution is a measurable set of full measure in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}^+$.

§1

In [4, 5] it was discovered that, for some partial differential equations, the set of periods for which a periodic solution is unique may have an unexpectedly complicated structure. In this paper, we study this issue for a class of linear equations on the multidimensional sphere.

We consider the problem of periodic solutions for the nonlocal Schrödinger type equation

$$(1) \quad \left(\frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - a\Delta - \lambda\right)u(x, t) = \nu G(u - f)$$

with the t -periodicity condition

$$(2) \quad u|_{t=0} = u|_{t=b}.$$

Here $u(x, t)$ is a complex function on $S^n \times [0, b]$, where S^n is the multidimensional sphere, $n \geq 2$; $a \neq 0, \lambda$, and ν are given complex numbers; $f(x, t)$ is a given function.

The change of variables $t = b\tau$ reduces our problem to a problem with a fixed period, but with a new equation in which the coefficient of the τ -derivative is equal to $\frac{1}{b}$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{b \partial \tau} - a\Delta - \lambda\right)u(x, b\tau) = \nu G(u(x, b\tau) - f(x, b\tau)).$$

§2

Thus, problem (1), (2) turns into a problem on periodic solutions of the equation

$$(3) \quad (L - \lambda)u \equiv \left(\frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{b \partial t} - a\Delta - \lambda\right)u(x, t) = \nu G(u - f)$$

with the fixed periodicity condition

$$(4) \quad u|_{t=0} = u|_{t=1}.$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35K20.

Key words and phrases. Schrödinger-type equation, periodicity condition.

Here $Gu(x, t) = \int_{S^n} g(x, y)u(y, t)dy$ (dy is the Lebesgue–Hausdorff measure on the sphere S^n) is an integral operator on the space $L_2(S^n \times [0, 1])$ with smooth kernel $g(x, y)$ defined on $S^n \times S^n$. The differential operation $\frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{b \partial t} - a\Delta$ is assumed to be defined on the functions $u(x, t) \in C^\infty(S^n \times [0, 1])$ such that $u|_{t=0} = u|_{t=1}$. Let L denote the closure of this operation $\frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{b \partial t} - a\Delta$ in $\mathcal{H} = L_2(S^n \times [0, 1])$. So, an element $u \in \mathcal{H}$ belongs to the domain $\mathcal{D}(L)$ of $L = \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{b \partial t} - a\Delta$ if and only if there is a sequence $\{u_j\} \subset C^\infty(S^n \times [0, 1])$, $u_j|_{t=0} = u_j|_{t=1}$, such that $\lim u_j = u$, $\lim Lu_j = Lu$ in \mathcal{H} .

It is well known that the eigenvalues of the Laplace operator Δ on the sphere S^n are of the form $-k(k + n - 1)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k \geq 0$, and that Δ admits the corresponding orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions $w_k(x) \in C^\infty(S^n)$ (see, e.g., [3]).

Lemma 1. *The functions $e_{km}(x, t) = e^{i2\pi mt}w_k(x)$, $k, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k \geq 0$, are eigenfunctions of the operator L in the space $\mathcal{H} = L_2(S^n \times [0, 1])$ that correspond to the eigenvalues*

$$(5) \quad \lambda_{km} = \frac{2m\pi}{b} + ak(k + n - 1) = \frac{2m\pi}{b} + \lambda_k.$$

These functions form an orthonormal basis in \mathcal{H} . The domain of L is given by the formula

$$\mathcal{D}(L) = \left\{ u = \sum u_{km}e_{km} \mid \sum |\lambda_{km}u_{km}|^2 < \infty, \sum |u_{km}|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

The spectrum $\sigma(L)$ is the closure of the set $\{\lambda_{km}\}$.

Lemma 2.

$$\|G\|^2 \leq M_0^2 = \int_{S^n} \int_{S^n} |g(x, y)|^2 dx dy.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |Gu(x, t)|^2 &= \left| \int_{S^n} g(x, y)u(y, t) dy \right|^2 \leq \int_{S^n} |g(x, y)|^2 dy \int_{S^n} |u(y, t)|^2 dy, \\ \|Gu(x, t)\|^2 &= \int_0^1 \int_{S^n} |Gu(x, t)|^2 dx dt \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \int_{S^n} \left(\int_{S^n} |g(x, y)|^2 dy \int_{S^n} |u(y, t)|^2 dy \right) dx dt, \\ \|Gu(x, t)\|^2 &\leq \int_{S^n} \int_{S^n} |g(x, y)|^2 dx dy \int_{S^n} \int_0^1 |u(y, t)|^2 dy dt = M_0^2 \|u\|^2, \\ \|G\| &\leq M_0. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved. □

We note that the Laplace operator is formally selfadjoint relative to the scalar product $(u, v) = \int_{S^n} u(x)\overline{v(x)}dx$ on the space $C^\infty(S^n)$. The product $\Delta_x \circ G = \Delta_x G$ coincides with the integral operator with the kernel $\Delta_x g(x, y)$. We put $M = \max\{\|\Delta_x G\|, \|G\|\}$.

Lemma 3. *Let $v = Gu = \sum v_{km}e_{km}$; then*

$$|v_{km}|^2 \leq \frac{4M^2}{(k(k + n - 1) + 1)^2} \|u\|^2.$$

Also, for $k \neq 0$ we have

$$(6) \quad |v_{km}|^2 \leq \frac{4|\alpha_{km}|^2}{(k(k + n - 1) + 1)^2},$$

where $\alpha_{km} = \langle \Delta_x Gu, e_{km} \rangle$, and $\sum |\alpha_{km}|^2 \leq M^2 \|u\|^2$.

Proof. The Parseval identity $\sum |v_{km}|^2 = \|Gu\|^2$ yields

$$|v_{0m}|^2 \leq \|G\|^2 \|u\|^2 \leq 4M^2 \|u\|^2.$$

Since the Laplace operator is selfadjoint, for $k \neq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{km} &= \langle \Delta_x Gu, e_{km} \rangle = \langle Gu, \Delta_x e_{km}(x, t) \rangle = \langle Gu, -k(k+n-1)e_{km}(x, t) \rangle, \\ \alpha_{km} &= -k(k+n-1) \langle Gu, e_{km}(x, t) \rangle = -k(k+n-1)v_{km}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$|v_{km}|^2 = \frac{|\alpha_{km}|^2}{(k(k+n-1))^2} \leq \frac{4|\alpha_{km}|^2}{(k(k+n-1)+1)^2}.$$

By the Parseval identity, we have $\sum |\alpha_{km}|^2 = \|\Delta_x Gu\|^2 \leq M^2 \|u\|^2$, whence

$$|v_{km}|^2 \leq \frac{4M^2 \|u\|^2}{(k(k+n-1)+1)^2}.$$

The lemma is proved. □

We assume that a and λ are real numbers. Then, by Lemma 1, the spectrum $\sigma(L)$ lies on the real axis. Most typical and interesting is the case where the number $ab/(2\pi)$ is irrational. The H. Weyl theorem (see, e.g., [1]) says that, in this case, the set of the numbers λ_{km} is everywhere dense on \mathbb{R} and $\sigma(L) = \mathbb{R}$. Now, suppose that $\lambda \neq \lambda_{km}$ for all $k, m \in \mathbb{Z}, k \geq 0$. Then the inverse operator $(L - \lambda)^{-1}$ is well defined, but unbounded. The expression for this inverse operator involves small denominators:

$$(7) \quad (L - \lambda)^{-1}v(x, t) = \sum \frac{v_{km}}{\lambda_{km} - \lambda} e_{km},$$

where the v_{km} are the Fourier coefficients of the series

$$v(x, t) = \sum_{k, m \in \mathbb{Z}, k \geq 0} v_{km} e_{km}.$$

For positive numbers σ and C , let $A_\sigma(C)$ denote the set of all positive b such that

$$(8) \quad |\lambda_{km} - \lambda| \geq \frac{C}{(k+1)^{1+\sigma}}$$

for all $m, k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \geq 0$.

This definition shows that the sets $A_\sigma(C)$ extend as C reduces and as σ grows. Therefore, in what follows, to prove that such a set or its part is nonempty, we require that C be sufficiently small and σ sufficiently large. Let A_σ denote the union of the sets $A_\sigma(C)$ over all $C > 0$.

If inequality (8) is fulfilled for some b and all m, k , then it is fulfilled for $m = 0$; this provides a condition necessary for the nonemptiness of $A_\sigma(C)$:

$$(9) \quad C \leq (k+1)^{1+\sigma} |ak(k+n-1) - \lambda|, \quad \forall k \geq 0.$$

We put $d = \min_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \geq 0} (k+1)^{1+\sigma} |ak(k+n-1) - \lambda| > 0$.

Theorem 1. *The sets $A_\sigma(C)$ and A_σ are Borel. The set A_σ has full measure, i.e., its complement to the half-line \mathbb{R}^+ is of zero measure.*

Proof. Obviously, the sets $A_\sigma(C)$ are closed in \mathbb{R}^+ . The set $A_\sigma = \bigcup_{r=1}^\infty A_\sigma(1/r)$ is Borel, being a countable union of closed sets. We show that A_σ has full measure in \mathbb{R}^+ . Suppose $b, l > 0$ and $C \leq \frac{d}{2}$; we consider the complement $(0, l) \setminus A_\sigma(C)$. This set consists of all positive numbers b for which there exist m and k such that

$$(10) \quad |\lambda_{km} - \lambda| < \frac{C}{(k+1)^{1+\sigma}}.$$

Solving this inequality for b , we see that, for m, k fixed, the numbers b form an interval $I_{k,m} = (m\alpha_k, m\beta_k)$, where $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$,

$$\alpha_k = \frac{2\pi}{|ak(k+n-1) - \lambda| + \frac{C}{(k+1)^{1+\sigma}}},$$

$$\beta_k = \frac{2\pi}{|ak(k+n-1) - \lambda| - \frac{C}{(k+1)^{1+\sigma}}}.$$

The length of $I_{k,m}$ is $m\delta_k$, with

$$\delta_k = \frac{4\pi C(k+1)^{-1-\sigma}}{|ak(k+n-1) - \lambda|^2 - C^2(k+1)^{-2-2\sigma}}.$$

Since $C \leq \frac{l}{2}$ by assumption, we have

$$(11) \quad \delta_k \leq \frac{16\pi C}{3(k+1)^{1+\sigma}|ak(k+n-1) - \lambda|^2}.$$

For k fixed and m varying, there are only finitely many intervals $I_{k,m}$ that intersect the given segment $(0, l)$.

Such intervals arise for the values of $m = 1, 2, \dots$ satisfying $m\alpha_k < l$, i.e.,

$$0 < m < \frac{l}{2\pi}(|ak(k+n-1) - \lambda| + C(k+1)^{-1-\sigma}).$$

Since $C(k+1)^{-1-\sigma} \leq \frac{1}{2}|ak(k+n-1) - \lambda|$, we can write simpler restrictions on m :

$$(12) \quad 0 < m < \frac{l}{2\pi} \frac{3}{2}|ak(k+n-1) - \lambda| < \frac{l}{\pi}|ak(k+n-1) - \lambda|.$$

The measure of the intervals indicated (for k fixed) is dominated by $\delta_k \tilde{S}_k$, where $\tilde{S}_k = \tilde{S}_k(l)$ is the sum of all integers m satisfying (12). Summing the arithmetic progression, we obtain

$$(13) \quad \tilde{S}_k \leq \frac{l}{2\pi^2}|ak(k+n-1) - \lambda|\{l|ak(k+n-1) - \lambda| + \pi\}.$$

Passing to the union of the intervals in question over k and m and using (11), we see that

$$\mu((0, l) \setminus A_\sigma(C)) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \delta_k \tilde{S}_k \leq CS(l),$$

where

$$S = S(l) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{8l\{l|ak(k+n-1) - \lambda| + \pi\}}{3\pi(k+1)^{1+\sigma}|ak(k+n-1) - \lambda|}.$$

Observe that the quantity

$$\frac{l|ak(k+n-1) - \lambda| + \pi}{\pi|ak(k+n-1) - \lambda|}$$

is dominated by a constant D ; therefore,

$$S(l) \leq \frac{8}{3}lD \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+1)^{1+\sigma}} < \infty.$$

We have

$$\mu((0, l) \setminus A_\sigma) \leq \mu((0, l) \setminus A_\sigma(C)) \leq CS(l)$$

for all $C > 0$. It follows that $\mu((0, l) \setminus A_\sigma) = 0$ for all $l > 0$. Thus, $\mu((0, \infty) \setminus A_\sigma) = 0$ and A_σ has full measure. The theorem is proved. \square

Theorem 2. *Suppose $g(x, y)$ is a function defined on $S^n \times S^n$ and such that the function $\Delta_x g(x, y)$ is continuous on $S^n \times S^n$. Let $0 < \sigma < 1$, and let $b \in A_\sigma(C)$. Then the inverse operator $(L - \lambda)^{-1}$ is well defined, and the operator $(L - \lambda)^{-1} \circ G$ is compact.*

Proof. Since $b \in A_\sigma(C)$, we have $\lambda_{km} \neq \lambda$ for all $k, m \in \mathbb{Z}, k \geq 0$, so that $(L - \lambda)^{-1}$ is well defined and looks like the expression in (7). Observe that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(k+1)^{2+2\sigma}}{(k(k+n-1)+1)^2} = 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, given $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find an integer $k_0 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{(k + 1)^{2+2\sigma}}{(k(k + n - 1) + 1)^2} < \frac{(\varepsilon C)^2}{(2M)^2}$$

for all $k > k_0$.

We write

$$(L - \lambda)^{-1}v(x, t) = Q_{k_0 1}v + Q_{k_0 2}v, \quad v = Gu,$$

where

$$Q_{k_0 1}v = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq k_0} \frac{v_{km}}{\lambda_{km} - \lambda} e_{km}, \quad Q_{k_0 2}v = \sum_{k > k_0} \frac{v_{km}}{\lambda_{km} - \lambda} e_{km}.$$

For the operator $Q_{k_0 1}$ we have

$$\|Q_{k_0 1}v\|^2 = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq k_0} \frac{|v_{km}|^2}{|\lambda_{km} - \lambda|^2}.$$

Observe that if $0 \leq k \leq k_0$, then

$$\lim_{|m| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\frac{2m\pi}{b} + ak(k+n-1) - \lambda|^2} = 0$$

as $|m| \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, the quantity $\frac{1}{|\frac{2m\pi}{b} + ak(k+n-1) - \lambda|^2}$ is dominated by a constant $C(k_0)$. Then

$$\|Q_{k_0 1}v\|^2 \leq \sum |v_{km}|^2 C(k_0) \leq C(k_0)\|v\|^2,$$

which means that $Q_{k_0 1}$ is a bounded operator.

Consider the operator $Q_{k_0 2} \circ G$. By 3 and Lemma (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q_{k_0 2}v\|^2 &= \|Q_{k_0 2} \circ Gu\|^2 = \sum_{k > k_0} \frac{|v_{km}|^2}{|\lambda_{km} - \lambda|^2} \\ &\leq \sum_{k > k_0} \frac{4\alpha_{km}^2}{(k(k+n-1)+1)^2} \left(\frac{1}{C}\right)^2 (k+1)^{2+2\sigma} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{C}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\varepsilon C}{2M}\right)^2 \sum_{k > k_0} 4|\alpha_{km}|^2 \leq \varepsilon^2 \|u\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $\|Q_{k_0 2} \circ G\| \leq \varepsilon$.

Since G is compact and $Q_{k_0 1}$ is bounded, $Q_{k_0 1} \circ G$ is compact. Next, we have

$$\|(L - \lambda)^{-1} \circ G - Q_{k_0 1} \circ G\| = \|Q_{k_0 2} \circ G\| < \varepsilon.$$

Thus, the operator $(L - \lambda)^{-1} \circ G$ is the limit of a sequence of compact operators. Therefore, it is compact itself. The theorem is proved. \square

We denote $K = K_b = (L - \lambda)^{-1} \circ G$.

Theorem 3. *Suppose $b \in A_\sigma(C)$. Then problem (1), (2) admits a unique periodic solution with period b for all $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$ except, possibly, an at most countable discrete set of values of ν .*

Proof. Equation (1) reduces to

$$\left((L - \lambda)^{-1} \circ G - \frac{1}{\nu} \right) u = (L - \lambda)^{-1} \circ G(f).$$

We write $(L - \lambda)^{-1} \circ G - \frac{1}{\nu} = K - \frac{1}{\nu}$.

Since K is a compact operator, its spectrum $\sigma(K)$ is at most countable, and the limit point of $\sigma(K)$ (if any) can only be zero. Therefore, the set $S = \{\nu \neq 0 \mid \frac{1}{\nu} \in \sigma(K)\}$ is at most countable and discrete, and if $\nu \neq 0$ and $\nu \notin S$, then the operator $(K - \frac{1}{\nu})$ is invertible, i.e., equation (1) is uniquely solvable. The theorem is proved. \square

We pass to the question of the solvability of problem (1), (2) for fixed ν . We need to study the structure of the set $E \subset \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}^+$ that consists of all pairs (ν, b) with $\nu \neq 0$ and $\frac{1}{\nu} \notin \sigma(K_b)$, where $K_b = (L - \lambda)^{-1} \circ G$.

Theorem 4. *E is a measurable set of full measure in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}^+$.*

For the proof, we need several auxiliary statements.

Lemma 4. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an integer k_0 such that $\|K_b - \widetilde{K}_b\| < \varepsilon$ for all $b \in A_\sigma(\frac{1}{r})$, $0 < \sigma < 1$, where $r = 1, 2, \dots$,*

$$K_b u = (L_b - \lambda)^{-1} v = \sum \frac{v_{km}}{\lambda_{km}(b) - \lambda} e_{km}, \quad \widetilde{K}_b u = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq k_0} \frac{v_{km}}{\lambda_{km}(b) - \lambda} e_{km}.$$

Proof. Observe that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an integer k_0 such that

$$\frac{(k+1)^{2+2\sigma}}{(k(k+n-1)+1)^2} \leq \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2rM} \right)^2$$

for all $k \geq k_0$, $0 < \sigma < 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (K_b - \widetilde{K}_b)u &= K_{k_0 b} u = \sum_{k > k_0} \frac{v_{km}}{\lambda_{km}(b) - \lambda} e_{km}, \\ \|(K_b - \widetilde{K}_b)u\|^2 &= \|K_{k_0 b} u\|^2 = \sum_{k > k_0} \left| \frac{v_{km}}{\lambda_{km}(b) - \lambda} \right|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{k > k_0} \frac{4r^2 \alpha_{km}^2 (k+1)^{2+2\sigma}}{(k(k+n-1)+1)^2} \\ &\leq r^2 \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2rM} \right)^2 4 \sum_{k > k_0} |\alpha_{km}|^2 \\ &\leq r^2 \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2rM} \right)^2 4M^2 \|u\|^2 = \varepsilon^2 \|u\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\|K_b - \widetilde{K}_b\| = \|K_{k_0 b}\| < \varepsilon$, as required. \square

Lemma 5. *The operator-valued function $b \mapsto K_b$ is continuous for $b \in A_\sigma(\frac{1}{r})$.*

Proof. Suppose $b, b + \Delta b \in A_\sigma(\frac{1}{r})$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. By Lemma 4, for some k_0 (independent of b and $b + \Delta b$), we have $\|K_b - \widetilde{K}_b\| = \|K_{k_0 b}\| < \varepsilon$ and $\|K_{b+\Delta b} - \widetilde{K}_{b+\Delta b}\| = \|K_{k_0(b+\Delta b)}\| < \varepsilon$. Next,

$$K_{b+\Delta b} - K_b = (\widetilde{K}_{b+\Delta b} + K_{k_0(b+\Delta b)}) - (\widetilde{K}_b + K_{k_0 b}),$$

whence we obtain

$$\|K_{b+\Delta b} - K_b\| \leq \|\widetilde{K}_{b+\Delta b} - \widetilde{K}_b\| + \|K_{k_0(b+\Delta b)}\| + \|K_{k_0 b}\|.$$

Consider the operators $\widetilde{K_{b+\Delta b}}$ and $\widetilde{K_b}$. We have

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} (\widetilde{K_{b+\Delta b}} - \widetilde{K_b})u &= \sum_{0 \leq k \leq k_0} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{km}(b+\Delta b) - \lambda} - \frac{1}{\lambda_{km}(b) - \lambda} \right) v_{km} e_{km}, \\ \|\widetilde{K_b}u - \widetilde{K_{b+\Delta b}}u\|^2 &= \frac{|\Delta b|^2}{|b(b+\Delta b)|^2} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq k_0} \frac{|v_{km}|^2}{|\lambda_{km}(b+\Delta b) - \lambda|^2} \frac{4m^2\pi^2}{|\lambda_{km}(b) - \lambda|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

If $b + \Delta b \in A_\sigma(\frac{1}{r})$, $0 \leq k \leq k_0$, and $0 < \sigma < 1$, then

$$\frac{|v_{km}|^2}{|\lambda_{km}(b+\Delta b) - \lambda|^2} \leq |v_{km}|^2 r^2 (k+1)^{2+2\sigma} \leq r^2 (k_0+1)^4 |v_{km}|^2.$$

The relation $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4m^2\pi^2}{|\lambda_{km}(b) - \lambda|^2} = b^2$ and the condition $0 \leq k \leq k_0$ imply that the quantity

$$\frac{4m^2\pi^2}{|\lambda_{km}(b) - \lambda|^2} = \frac{4m^2\pi^2}{|\frac{2m\pi}{b} + ak(k+n-1) - \lambda|^2}$$

is dominated by a constant $C(k_0)$ depending on k_0 . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{|\Delta b|^2}{|b(b+\Delta b)|^2} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq k_0} \frac{|v_{km}|^2}{|\lambda_{km}(b+\Delta b) - \lambda|^2} \frac{4m^2\pi^2}{|\lambda_{km}(b) - \lambda|^2} \\ &\leq \frac{|\Delta b|^2}{|b(b+\Delta b)|^2} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq k_0} r^2 (k_0+1)^4 C(k_0) |v_{km}|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{|\Delta b|^2}{|b(b+\Delta b)|^2} r^2 (k_0+1)^4 C(k_0) \sum_{0 \leq k \leq k_0} |v_{km}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sum_{0 \leq k \leq k_0} |v_{km}|^2 \leq \|v\|^2 \leq M^2 \|u\|^2,$$

we arrive at the estimate

$$\|\widetilde{K_{b+\Delta b}} - \widetilde{K_b}\|^2 \leq \frac{|\Delta b|^2}{|b(b+\Delta b)|^2} M^2 r^2 (k_0+1)^4 C(k_0).$$

We choose Δb so as to satisfy the condition

$$\frac{|\Delta b|^2}{|b(b+\Delta b)|^2} M^2 r^2 (k_0+1)^4 C(k_0) < \varepsilon.$$

Then $\|K_{b+\Delta b} - K_b\| < 3\varepsilon$. This shows that the operator-valued function $b \mapsto K_b$ is continuous on $A_\sigma(\frac{1}{r})$. The lemma is proved. \square

Lemma 6. *The spectrum $\sigma(K)$ of the compact operator K depends continuously on K in the space $\text{Comp}(\mathcal{H})$ of compact operators on \mathcal{H} , in the sense that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all compact (and even bounded) operators B with $\|B - K\| < \delta$ we have*

$$(15) \quad \sigma(B) \subset \sigma(K) + V_\varepsilon(0), \quad \sigma(K) \subset \sigma(B) + V_\varepsilon(0).$$

Here $V_\varepsilon(0) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| < \varepsilon\}$ is the ε -neighborhood of the point 0 in \mathbb{C} .

Proof. Let K be a compact operator; we fix $\varepsilon > 0$. The structure of the spectrum of a compact operator shows that there exists $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon/2$ such that $\varepsilon_1 \neq |\lambda|$ for all $\lambda \in \sigma(K)$. Let $S = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\}$ be the set of all spectrum points λ with $|\lambda| > \varepsilon_1$, and let $V = \bigcup_{\lambda \in S \cup \{0\}} V_{\varepsilon_1}(\lambda)$. Then V is a neighborhood of $\sigma(K)$, and $V \subset \sigma(K) + V_\varepsilon(0)$. By the well-known property of spectra (see, e.g., [2, Theorem 10.20]), there exists $\delta > 0$

such that $\sigma(B) \subset V$ for any bounded operator B with $\|B - K\| < \delta$. Moreover (see, e.g., [2, p. 293, Exercise 20]), the number $\delta > 0$ can be chosen so that $\sigma(B) \cap V_{\varepsilon_1}(\lambda) \neq \emptyset$ for all $\lambda \in S \cup \{0\}$. Then for all bounded operators B with $\|B - K\| < \delta$ the required inclusions $\sigma(K) \subset \sigma(B) + V_{2\varepsilon_1}(0) \subset \sigma(B) + V_\varepsilon(0)$ and $\sigma(B) \subset V \subset \sigma(K) + V_\varepsilon(0)$ are fulfilled. The lemma is proved. \square

It is easy to deduce the following statement from Lemma 6.

Proposition 1. *The function $\rho(\lambda, K) = \text{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(K))$ is continuous on $\mathbb{C} \times \text{Comp}(\mathcal{H})$.*

Proof. Suppose $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $K \in \text{Comp}(\mathcal{H})$, and $\varepsilon > 0$. By Lemma 6, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any operator H lying in the δ -neighborhood of K , $\|H - K\| < \delta$, the inclusions (15) are fulfilled; these inclusions directly imply the estimate $|\rho(\lambda, K) - \rho(\lambda, H)| < \varepsilon$. Then for all $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\mu - \lambda| < \varepsilon$ and all H with $\|H - K\| < \delta$ we have

$$|\rho(\mu, K) - \rho(\lambda, H)| \leq |\rho(\mu, K) - \rho(\lambda, K)| + |\rho(\lambda, K) - \rho(\lambda, H)| < |\mu - \lambda| + \varepsilon < 2\varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, the function $\rho(\lambda, K)$ is continuous. The proposition is proved. \square

Combining Proposition 5 and Lemma 5, we obtain the following fact.

Corollary 1. *The function $\rho(\lambda, b) = \text{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(K_b))$ is continuous on $(\lambda, b) \in \mathbb{C} \times A_\sigma(\frac{1}{r})$.*

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 4.

Proof of Theorem 4. By Corollary 1, the function $\rho(1/\nu, b)$ is continuous with respect to the variables $(\nu, b) \in (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}) \times A_\sigma(\frac{1}{r})$. Consequently, the set

$$B_r = \left\{ (\nu, b) \mid \rho(1/\nu, b) \neq 0, b \in A_\sigma\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) \right\}$$

is measurable, and so is the set $B = \bigcup_r B_r$. Clearly, $B \subset E$ and $E = B \cup B_0$, where $B_0 = E \setminus B$. Obviously, B_0 lies in the set $\mathbb{C} \times (\mathbb{R}^+ \setminus A_\sigma)$ of zero measure (recall that, by Theorem 1, A_σ has full measure in \mathbb{R}^+). Since the Lebesgue measure is complete, B_0 is measurable. Thus, the set E is measurable, being the union of two measurable sets. Next, by Theorem 3, for $b \in A_\sigma$ the section $E^b = \{\nu \in \mathbb{C} \mid (\nu, b) \in E\}$ has full measure, because its complement $\{1/\nu \mid \nu \in \sigma(K_b)\}$ is at most countable. Therefore, the set E is of full plane Lebesgue measure. The theorem is proved. \square

The following important statement is a consequence of Theorem 4.

Corollary 2. *For a.e. $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$, problem (1), (2) has a unique periodic solution with almost every period $b \in \mathbb{R}^+$.*

Proof. Since the set E is measurable and has full measure, for a.e. $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$ the section $E_\nu = \{b \in \mathbb{R}^+ \mid (\nu, b) \in E\} = \{b \in \mathbb{R}^+ \mid 1/\nu \notin \sigma(K_b)\}$ has full measure, and for such b 's, problem (1), (2) has a unique periodic solution with period b . The corollary is proved. \square

I am sincerely grateful to E. Yu. Panov for his attention to my work.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. P. Kornfel'd, Ya. G. Sinaĭ, and S. V. Fomin, *Ergodic theory*, "Nauka", Moscow, 1980; English transl., Grundlehren Math. Wiss., vol. 245, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982. MR610981 (83a:28017); MR0832433 (87f:28019)
- [2] W. Rudin, *Functional analysis*, 2nd ed., McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, 1991. MR1157815 (92k:46001)
- [3] M. A. Shubin, *Pseudodifferential operators and spectral theory*, "Nauka", Moscow, 1978; English transl., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987. MR509034 (80h:47057); MR0883081 (88c:47105)

- [4] Dang Khanh Hoi, *Periodic solutions for some nonlinear evolution systems of natural differential equations*, Differential Equations and Related Problems (Moscow, 2004): Thesis, p. 48 (Russian)
- [5] ———, *On periodic solutions for some nonlinear evolution natural differential equations on multi-dimensional torus*, Vestnik Novgorod. Gos. Univ. Ser. Tekhn. Nauki No. 28 (2004), 77–79. (Russian)

DIVISION OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS, NOVGOROD STATE UNIVERSITY, BOL'SHAYA ST.-PETERBURGSKAYA ULITSA 41, 173003, VELIKIĬ NOVGOROD, RUSSIA

E-mail address: `dangkhanhhoi@yahoo.com`

Received 1/DEC/2005

Translated by A. PLOTKIN