

NORMALITY IN GROUP RINGS

V. A. BOVDI AND S. SICILIANO

Dedicated to Professor P. M. Gudivok on the occasion of his 70th birthday

ABSTRACT. Let KG be the group ring of a group G over a commutative ring K with unity. The rings KG are described for which $xx^\sigma = x^\sigma x$ for all $x = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g \in KG$, where $x \mapsto x^\sigma = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g f(g)\sigma(g)$ is an involution of KG ; here $f : G \rightarrow U(K)$ is a homomorphism and σ is an antiautomorphism of order two of G .

Let R be a ring with unity. We denote by $U(R)$ the group of units of R . A (bijective) map $\diamond : R \rightarrow R$ is called an *involution* if for all $a, b \in R$ we have $(a + b)^\diamond = a^\diamond + b^\diamond$, $(ab)^\diamond = b^\diamond \cdot a^\diamond$ and $a^{\diamond^2} = a$. Let KG be the group ring of a group G over a commutative ring K with unity, let σ be an antiautomorphism of order two of G , and let $f : G \rightarrow U(K)$ be a homomorphism from G onto $U(K)$. For an element $x = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g \in KG$, we define $x^\sigma = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g f(g)\sigma(g) \in KG$. Clearly, $x \mapsto x^\sigma$ is an involution of KG if and only if $g\sigma(g) \in \text{Ker } f = \{h \in G \mid f(h) = 1\}$ for all $g \in G$.

The ring KG is said to be σ -normal if

$$(1) \quad xx^\sigma = x^\sigma x$$

for each $x \in KG$. The properties of the classical involution $x \mapsto x^*$ (where $*$: $g \mapsto g^{-1}$ for $g \in G$) and the properties of normal group rings (i.e., $xx^* = x^*x$ for each $x \in KG$) have been used actively for the investigation of the group of units $U(KG)$ of the group ring KG (see [1, 2]). Moreover, they also have important applications in topology (see [7, 8]). Our aim is to describe the structure of the σ -normal group ring KG for an arbitrary order 2 antiautomorphism σ of the group G . Note that descriptions of the classical normal group rings and the twisted group rings were obtained in [1, 3] and [4, 5], respectively.

The notation used throughout the paper is essentially standard. C_n denotes the cyclic group of order n ; $\zeta(G)$ and $C_G(H)$ are the center of the group G and the centralizer of H in G , respectively; $(g, h) = g^{-1}h^{-1}gh = g^{-1}g^h$ ($g, h \in G$); $\gamma_i(G)$ is the i th term of the lower central series of G , i.e., $\gamma_1(G) = G$ and $\gamma_{i+1}(G) = (\gamma_i(G), G)$ for $i \geq 1$; $\Phi(G)$ denotes the Frattini subgroup of G . We say that $G = A \Upsilon B$ is a central product of its subgroups A and B if A and B commute elementwise and, taken together, they generate G , provided that $A \cap B$ is a subgroup of $\zeta(G)$.

A non-Abelian 2-generated nilpotent group $G = \langle a, b \rangle$ with an antiautomorphism σ of order 2 is called a σ -group if G' has order 2, $\sigma(a) = a(a, b)$, and $\sigma(b) = b(a, b)$.

Our main result reads as follows.

Theorem. *Let KG be the noncommutative group ring of a group G over a commutative ring K and $f : G \rightarrow U(K)$ a homomorphism. Assume that σ is an antiautomorphism of order two of G such that $x \mapsto x^\sigma$ is an involution of KG . Put $\mathfrak{X}(G) = \{g \in G \mid \sigma(g) = g\}$.*

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16S34.

Key words and phrases. Group ring, normality.

This research was supported by OTKA no. T 037202 and no. T 038059.

The group ring KG is σ -normal if and only if $f : G \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$, G , K , and σ satisfy one of the following conditions:

(i) G has an Abelian subgroup H of index 2 such that $G = \langle H, b \rangle$, $f(b) = -1$, $f(h) = 1$, $\sigma(b) = b$, and $\sigma(h) = b^{-1}hb = bhb^{-1}$ for all $h \in H$;

(ii) $G = H \vee \mathfrak{C}$ is a central product of a σ -group $H = \langle a, b \rangle$ and an Abelian group \mathfrak{C} such that $G' = \langle c \mid c^2 = 1 \rangle$ and $H \subset \text{Ker}(f)$. Moreover, either $\sigma(d) = d$ for all $d \in \mathfrak{C}$, $\mathfrak{C} \subset \text{Ker}(f)$, $\mathfrak{R}(G) = \zeta(G)$, and

$$G/\mathfrak{R}(G) = \langle a\zeta(G), b\zeta(G) \rangle \cong C_2 \times C_2,$$

or $\mathfrak{R}(G)$ is of index 2 in $\zeta(G)$ and

$$G/\mathfrak{R}(G) = \langle g\mathfrak{R}(G), h\mathfrak{R}(G), d\mathfrak{R}(G) \rangle \cong C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2,$$

where $d \in \mathfrak{C}$, $\sigma(d) = dc$, and $f(d) = -1$;

(iii) $\text{char}(K) = 2$, $G = SY\mathfrak{C}$ is a central product of $S = \prod_{i=1}^n H_i$ and an Abelian group \mathfrak{C} such that $H_i = \langle a_i, b_i \rangle$ is a σ -group and $G = \text{Ker}(f)$. Moreover, $G' = \langle c \mid c^2 = 1 \rangle$, $n \geq 2$, where n is not necessarily a finite number, $\sigma(a_i) = a_i c$, $\sigma(b_i) = b_i c$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots$, and $\exp(G/\mathfrak{R}(G)) = 2$.

Furthermore, if n is finite, then either $\sigma(d) = d$ for all $d \in \mathfrak{C}$ and

$$G/\mathfrak{R}(G) = \bigtimes_{i=1}^n \langle a_i \zeta(G), b_i \zeta(G) \rangle \cong \bigtimes_{i=1}^{2n} C_2,$$

or $\mathfrak{R}(G)$ is of index 2 in $\zeta(G)$ and

$$G/\mathfrak{R}(G) = \bigtimes_{i=1}^n \langle a_i \mathfrak{R}(G), b_i \mathfrak{R}(G) \rangle \times \langle d \mathfrak{R}(G) \rangle \cong \bigtimes_{i=1}^{2n+1} C_2,$$

where $d \in \mathfrak{C}$ and $\sigma(d) = dc$.

Note that, in parts (i) and (ii) of the theorem, the group \mathfrak{C} may be equal to 1.

To make the statements less cumbersome, in what follows we shall often talk of σ -normal group rings KG without specifying the homomorphism $f : G \rightarrow U(K)$ and the antiautomorphism σ of order two of G . In order to prove the main theorem, we need some preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 1. *Let $U(R)$ be the group of units of the ring R , and let $x \mapsto x^\diamond$ be an involution of R . Suppose that $xx^\diamond = x^\diamond x$ for all $x \in R$. If $a \in U(R)$, then $a^\diamond = ta$, $at = ta$, and $t^\diamond = t^{-1}$, where $t \in U(R)$.*

Proof. Clearly $a^\diamond = at$ for some $t \in U(R)$, and $a^\diamond a = aa^\diamond$ implies that $ata = a^2 t$ and $at = ta$. Now $a = a^{\diamond\diamond} = (at)^\diamond = t^\diamond at = t^\diamond ta$, whence $t^\diamond = t^{-1}$. \square

Lemma 2. *Let K be a commutative ring, let $H = \langle a, b \rangle$ be a non-Abelian 2-generated subgroup of a group G , and let $f : G \rightarrow U(K)$ be a homomorphism. If the group ring KG is σ -normal, then $f : H \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ and one of the following conditions is fulfilled:*

(i) $f(a) = 1$, $f(b) = -1$, $\sigma(a) = (a, b)a$, $\sigma(b) = b$, $(b^2, a) = 1$, $(ab)^2 = (ba)^2$, $((a, b), a) = 1$, and $((a, b), b) = (a, b)^{-2}$;

(ii) $f(a) = -1$, $f(b) = 1$, $\sigma(a) = a$, $\sigma(b) = (a, b)b$, $(b, a^2) = 1$, $(ab)^2 = (ba)^2$, $((a, b), b) = 1$, and $((a, b), a) = (a, b)^{-2}$;

(iii) $f(a) = f(b) = -1$, $\sigma(a) = a$, $\sigma(b) = b$, $(a^2, b) = (a, b^2) = 1$, $(ab)^2 = (ba)^2$, and $((a, b), ab) = 1$;

(iv) $f(a) = f(b) = 1$, $\sigma(a) = (a, b)a$, $\sigma(b) = (a, b)b$, and $\langle a, b \rangle$ is nilpotent of class 2 and such that $\gamma_2(\langle a, b \rangle)$ is of order 2.

Proof. Let KG be a σ -normal ring. For any noncommutative $a, b \in G$ we can put $\sigma(a) = at$ and $\sigma(b) = bs$, where $s, t \in G$. By Lemma 1, $at = ta$, $bs = sb$, $\sigma(t) = t^{-1}$, and $\sigma(s) = s^{-1}$. Set $x = a + b \in KG$. Clearly, $x^\sigma = f(a)\sigma(a) + f(b)\sigma(b)$, and by (1) we have

$$(2) \quad f(b)a\sigma(b) + f(a)b\sigma(a) = f(a)\sigma(a)b + f(b)\sigma(b)a.$$

If $a\sigma(b) = b\sigma(a) = \sigma(a)b = \sigma(b)a$, then we get $s = t$ and $ab = ba$, a contradiction. Observe that if three of the elements $\{a\sigma(b), b\sigma(a), \sigma(a)b, \sigma(b)a\}$ coincide, then $s = t$ and $ab = ba$, a contradiction. We consider the following cases.

1. $a\sigma(b) = b\sigma(a)$. By (2), it follows that

$$(3) \quad f(a) + f(b) = 0, \quad a\sigma(b) = b\sigma(a), \quad \sigma(a)b = \sigma(b)a.$$

2. $a\sigma(b) = \sigma(a)b$. This yields $asb = atb$, so that $s = t \in \zeta(H)$, and (2) ensures

$$(4) \quad f(a) = f(b), \quad \sigma(a) = at, \quad \sigma(b) = bt, \quad t \in \zeta(H).$$

3. $a\sigma(b) = \sigma(b)a$. Since $b\sigma(b) = \sigma(b)b$, we get $\sigma(b) \in \zeta(H)$, a contradiction.

Now put $x = a(1 + b)$. Then $x^\sigma = (1 + f(b)\sigma(b))f(a)\sigma(a)$ and, by (1),

$$(5) \quad f(ab)a\sigma(ab) + f(a)ab\sigma(a) = f(a)\sigma(a)ab + f(ab)\sigma(ab)a.$$

We shall treat the following cases separately.

1. $a\sigma(ab) = ab\sigma(a)$. Formula (5) implies that

$$(6) \quad f(b) = -1, \quad \sigma(b) = b, \quad (\sigma(a)a) \cdot b = b \cdot (\sigma(a)a).$$

2. $a\sigma(ab) = \sigma(a)ab$ and $ab\sigma(a) = \sigma(ab)a$. By (1) we have $ab = \sigma(b)a$ and $\sigma(b) = aba^{-1}$. Since $a\sigma(ab) = \sigma(a)ab$, we get $aba^{-1}at = atb$ and $(b, t) = 1$. Recall that $\sigma(b) = bs = sb$. So, by (5),

$$(7) \quad f(b) = 1, \quad \sigma(b) = aba^{-1}, \quad t \in \zeta(H), \quad s = (a^{-1}, b^{-1}) = (b, a^{-1}).$$

3. $a\sigma(b)\sigma(a) = \sigma(b)\sigma(a)a$. Then $a\sigma(b) = \sigma(b)a$ and $\sigma(b) \in \zeta(H)$, a contradiction.

Assume that (3) and (6) are true. Then $f(b) = -1$, $f(a) = 1$, $\sigma(b) = b$, $\sigma(a) = b^{-1}ab = bab^{-1}$, whence $(b^2, a) = 1$. Since $\sigma(a) = a(a^{-1}b^{-1}ab) = (bab^{-1}a^{-1})a$, we get $a^{-1}b^{-1}ab = bab^{-1}a^{-1}$ and $(ab)^2 = (ba)^2$. Obviously,

$$b^{-1}(a, b)b = b^{-1}(bab^{-1}a^{-1})b = ab^{-1}a^{-1}b = aba^{-1}b^{-1} = (a, b)^{-1},$$

so that $((a, b), b) = (a, b)^{-2}$, and statement (i) of our lemma follows.

If (3) and (7) are fulfilled, then $f(b) = 1$, $f(a) = -1$, $\sigma(a) = a$, $\sigma(b) = a^{-1}ba$, and $(a^2, b) = 1$. Since $\sigma(b) = b(b^{-1}a^{-1}ba) = (aba^{-1}b^{-1})b$, we obtain $s = b^{-1}a^{-1}ba = aba^{-1}b^{-1}$ and $(ab)^2 = (ba)^2$. Therefore, $\sigma(a) = a$, $\sigma(b) = a^{-1}ba$, $(a^2, b) = 1$, and we arrive at statement (ii).

Assume (4) and (6). Then $f(a) = f(b) = -1$, $\sigma(a) = a$, $\sigma(b) = b$, and $(a^2, b) = 1$. Moreover, $f(ab) = 1$ and $\sigma(ab) = ba = a^{-1}(ab)a$. We put $x = b(1 + a)$. Clearly, $x^\sigma = (a - 1)b$, and (1) implies $b^2a + b^2a^2 = ab^2a + ab^2$, whence $(b^2, a) = 1$. Thus, statement (iii) of our lemma is fulfilled.

Finally, if (4) and (7) are true, then $f(a) = f(b) = 1$ and $(b, a^{-1}) \in \zeta(H)$. Using the identity $(\alpha\beta, \gamma) = (\alpha, \gamma)(\alpha, \gamma, \beta)(\beta, \gamma)$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in G$, we see that $1 = (a^{-1}a, b) = (a^{-1}, b)(a, b)$, whence $s = (b, a^{-1}) = (a, b) \in \zeta(H)$ and $\sigma(a) = (a, b)a$, $\sigma(b) = (a, b)b$. Since $a = \sigma^2(a)$ and $(a, b) \in \zeta(H)$, we have $(a, b)^2 = 1$, which yields statement (iv). The proof is complete. \square

Lemma 3. *Let KG be a σ -normal group ring of a non-Abelian group G . Then $H = \langle w \in G \mid \sigma(w) \neq w \rangle$ is a normal subgroup in G . If H is Abelian, then G satisfies statement (i) of the theorem.*

Proof. Set $W = \{w \in G \mid \sigma(w) \neq w\}$. Let $g \notin W$ be such that $g^2 \notin \zeta(G)$. Then $(g^2, h) \neq 1$ and $(g, h) \neq 1$, respectively, for some $h \in G$.

We consider the following cases.

1. $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$. Since $\sigma(g^2) = g^2$, we can use Lemma 2 for the group $\langle g^2, h \rangle$ to show that $-1 = f(g^2) = (\pm 1)^2 = 1$, a contradiction.

2. $\text{char}(K) = 2$. Using Lemma 2 for $\langle g, h \rangle$, we get $(g^2, h) = 1$, again a contradiction.

Thus, $g^2 \in \zeta(G)$ for any $g \notin W$. Now, if $w \in W$, $g \in G \setminus W$, and $g^{-1}wg \notin W$, then $\sigma(g^{-1}wg) = g^{-1}wg$ and

$$g^{-1}wg = \sigma(g^{-1}wg) = g\sigma(w)g^{-2}g = g^{-1}\sigma(w)g$$

so that $\sigma(w) = w$, a contradiction. Therefore, $g^{-1}wg \in W$ and the subgroup $H = \langle W \rangle$ is normal in G .

Suppose that $H = \langle W \rangle$ is Abelian. If $a \in W$ and $c \in C_G(W) \setminus H$, then $ca \notin H$. Therefore, $ca = \sigma(ca) = \sigma(a)c$, whence $\sigma(a) = a$, a contradiction. This shows that $C_G(W) = H$ and for each $b \notin H$ there exists $w \in W$ such that $(b, w) \neq 1$.

We claim that if $b_1, b_2 \in G \setminus H$, then $b_1b_2 \in H$. The following cases will be treated separately:

1. $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$ and $b_1b_2 \in G \setminus H$. For each b_i we choose $w_i \in W$ such that $(b_i, w_i) \neq 1$. By (i) or (ii) of Lemma 2, in $\langle w_i, b_i \rangle$ we have $f(b_i) = -1$, so that $f(b_1b_2) = 1$ and there exists $w \in W$ for which $(b_1b_2, w) \neq 1$. Since $\sigma(b_1b_2) = b_1b_2$, by (i) or (ii) of Lemma 2 we get $f(b_1b_2) = -1$, a contradiction.

2. $\text{char}(K) = 2$ and $b_1b_2 \in G \setminus H$. Obviously, $b_1b_2 = \sigma(b_1b_2) = b_2b_1$, whence $(b_1, b_2) = 1$. Now, there is $w \in W$ with $(w, b_1) \neq 1$, and by Lemma 2 we get $\sigma(w) = b_1^{-1}wb_1 = b_1wb_1^{-1}$. Furthermore, $b_1b_2w \in G \setminus H$ and

$$b_1b_2w = \sigma(b_1b_2w) = \sigma(w)b_1b_2 = b_1wb_2,$$

implying $(b_2, w) = 1$. Now $(b_1, b_2w) = (b_1, w) \neq 1$ and $b_2w \in G \setminus H$; applying Lemma 2 in $\langle b_1, b_2w \rangle$, we obtain $b_2w = \sigma(b_2w) = \sigma(w)b_2$ and $\sigma(w) = w$, a contradiction.

We have proved that $b_1b_2 \in H$ for every $b_1, b_2 \in G \setminus H$. Hence, $G = \langle H, b \mid b \notin H, b^2 \in H \rangle$, $f(b) = -1$, and $f(h) = 1$ for all $h \in H$.

Finally, let $w \in W$ be such that $(b, w) = 1$. Since $b \notin H = C_G(W)$, there exists $w_1 \in W$ with $w_1 \neq b^{-1}w_1b = \sigma(w_1)$. Clearly, we have $(ww_1, b) \neq 1$; using Lemma 2 for $\langle ww_1, b \rangle$, we obtain

$$\sigma(w)\sigma(w_1) = \sigma(w_1w) = b^{-1}w_1wb = \sigma(w_1)w,$$

whence $\sigma(w) = w$, a contradiction. Thus, $b^{-1}hb = \sigma(h)$ for all $h \in H$. \square

Lemma 4. *Let KG be a σ -normal group ring, let $W = \{w \in G \mid \sigma(w) \neq w\}$, and let $a, b \in W$ be such that $(a, b) \neq 1$. Put $\mathfrak{R} = \{g \in G \mid \sigma(g) = g\}$ and $\mathfrak{C} = C_G(\langle a, b \rangle)$. Then $\langle a, b \rangle$ is a σ -group, $\Phi(\langle a, b \rangle) = \zeta(\langle a, b \rangle) = \{g \in \langle a, b \rangle \mid \sigma(g) = g\}$, and*

$$\sigma(g) = \begin{cases} g & \text{if } g \in \zeta(\langle a, b \rangle), \\ g(a, b) & \text{if } g \notin \zeta(\langle a, b \rangle). \end{cases}$$

Moreover, $G = \langle a, b \rangle \rtimes \mathfrak{C}$, and either $\sigma(c) = (a, b)c$, or $\sigma(c) = c$, where $c \in \mathfrak{C}$. Also, the following is true:

- (i) *if \mathfrak{C} is Abelian, then G satisfies statement (ii) of the theorem;*
- (ii) *if \mathfrak{C} is not Abelian, then $\text{char}(K) = 2$.*

Proof. Let $a, b \in W$ satisfy $(a, b) \neq 1$. By Lemma 2, $f(a) = f(b) = 1$, $\langle a, b \rangle$ is nilpotent of class 2 and such that $|\gamma_2(\langle a, b \rangle)| = 2$, and $\sigma(a) = b^{-1}ab$, $\sigma(b) = a^{-1}ba$. Thus $\langle a, b \rangle$ is a σ -group. Any element $g \in \langle a, b \rangle$ can be written as $g = a^i b^j (a, b)^k$, where $i, j, k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since $\sigma(g) = g$, we conclude that i and j are even. Now by [6, Theorems 10.4.1 and 10.4.3] we obtain

$$\Phi(\langle a, b \rangle) = \zeta(\langle a, b \rangle) = \{g \in \langle a, b \rangle \mid \sigma(g) = g\}.$$

Suppose $c \in W$ and $(a, c) \neq 1$. Again by Lemma 2, $\langle a, c \rangle$ is nilpotent of class 2 and $\sigma(a) = c^{-1}ac = b^{-1}ab$, so that $(a, b) = (a, c)$. Now, let $c, d \in W$ be such that $(c, d) \neq 1$ and $\langle c, d \rangle \in \mathfrak{C}$. Obviously, $(ac, b) = (a, b) \neq 1$ and $(ac, d) = (c, d) \neq 1$. By Lemma 2, $\sigma(ac) = b^{-1}(ac)b = d^{-1}(ac)d$ and $(a, b) = (c, d)$, which shows that H' has order two and is central in G .

Let $g \in G \setminus \mathfrak{C} \cdot \langle a, b \rangle$. If $(a, g) \neq 1$, then, using Lemma 2 for $\langle a, g \rangle$, we get $\sigma(a) = g^{-1}ag = b^{-1}ab$ and $(a, g) = (a, b)$. Similarly, if $(b, g) \neq 1$, then $(b, g) = (a, b)$.

The following cases are possible:

1. $(g, a) = 1$ and $(g, b) \neq 1$. Then we have $(ga, b) = (ga, a) = 1$, which implies that $g = (ga) \cdot a^{-1} \in \mathfrak{C} \cdot \langle a, b \rangle$.
2. $(g, a) \neq 1$ and $(g, b) = 1$. Then we have $(gb, a) = (gb, b) = 1$, which implies that $g = (gb) \cdot b^{-1} \in \mathfrak{C} \cdot \langle a, b \rangle$.
3. $(g, a) \neq 1$ and $(g, b) \neq 1$. Then we have $(gab, b) = (gab, a) = 1$, which implies that $g = (gab) \cdot (ab)^{-1} \in \mathfrak{C} \cdot \langle a, b \rangle$.

Since each of these cases leads to a contradiction, we have $G = \mathfrak{C} \mathcal{Y} \langle a, b \rangle$.

Let $d \in \mathfrak{C} \setminus H$. Since $\sigma(ad) = ad$, we get $ad = \sigma(ad) = \sigma(a)d$, whence $\sigma(a) = a$, a contradiction. Since $G = \mathfrak{C} \cdot \langle a, b \rangle$, it follows that $G = H = \langle W \rangle$. If $d \in \zeta(G) \cap W$, then $\sigma(ad) = ad$ and $(ad, b) = (a, b) \neq 1$; using Lemma 2 for $\langle ad, b \rangle$, we obtain

$$-1 = f(ad) = f(a)f(d) = f(d).$$

Now, we let $\zeta(G) \cap W = \emptyset$ and put $x = ac + b$, where $c \in \mathfrak{C}$. Then there exists $d \in G$ such that $(c, d) \neq 1$, and Lemma 2 implies that $f(g) = 1$ for all $g \in G$. Thus, $x^\sigma = (a\sigma(c) + b)(a, b)$, and by (1) we have $(\sigma(c) - c)(1 - (a, b)) = 0$. It follows that either $\sigma(c) = c$, or $\text{char}(K) = 2$ and $\sigma(c) = (a, b)c$. Therefore, if \mathfrak{C} is Abelian, we obtain statement (ii) of the theorem.

Finally, assume that $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$. Suppose there exist $c, d \in \mathfrak{C}$ such that $(c, d) \neq 1$. If $\sigma(c) = c$, then $f(c) = 1$ by what has already been proved, but, by Lemma 2 in $\langle c, d \rangle$, we have $f(c) = -1$, a contradiction. Therefore, $c \in W$ and similarly $d \in W$. We put $x = ac + d$. Clearly, $x^\sigma = ac + d(a, b)$ and $(a, b) = 1$ by (1), a contradiction. Thus, if \mathfrak{C} is not Abelian, then $\text{char}(K) = 2$, and the proof is complete. \square

Now we are in a position to prove our main theorem.

Proof of the “if” part of the theorem. Set $W = \{w \in G \mid \sigma(w) \neq w\}$ and $H = \langle W \rangle$. If H is Abelian, then, by Lemma 3, statement (i) of the theorem is valid for G .

Suppose that H is non-Abelian and that $a, b \in W$ satisfy $(a, b) \neq 1$. By Lemma 4, $G = \langle a, b \rangle \mathcal{Y} \mathfrak{C} = \langle W \rangle$, where $\mathfrak{C} = C_G(\langle a, b \rangle)$. If \mathfrak{C} is Abelian, then statement (ii) of our theorem is valid for G by Lemma 4.

Let $c, d \in C_G(\langle a, b \rangle)$ be such that $(c, d) \neq 1$ (i.e., \mathfrak{C} is non-Abelian). By Lemma 4, we have $\text{char}(K) = 2$. If $c, d \in W$, then, by Lemma 4,

$$G = C_G(\langle a, b \rangle) \cdot \langle a, b \rangle = C_G(\langle c, d \rangle) \cdot \langle c, d \rangle.$$

Obviously, $C_G(\langle a, b \rangle) \cap \langle a, b \rangle \subseteq \zeta(G)$. Therefore, G contains the subgroup $H_2 = \langle a, b \rangle \mathcal{Y} \langle c, d \rangle$, which cannot be a direct product because G' has order 2.

Since $G' \subseteq \mathfrak{R}(G)$, we see that $G/\mathfrak{R}(G)$ is an elementary Abelian 2-group. Let $\tau : G \rightarrow G/\mathfrak{R}(G) = \times_{i \geq 1} \langle a_i \mid a_i^2 = 1 \rangle$ be such that $\tau^{-1}(a_1) = a$ and $\tau^{-1}(a_2) = b$. We put $\bar{a}_i = \tau^{-1}(a_i)$ for all $i \geq 3$ and $\mathfrak{B} = \{a_i \mid i \geq 3\}$.

Suppose that for some $s \geq 3$ we have $(\bar{a}_s, \bar{a}_i) = 1$ for all $i \geq 3$. Such an element is unique, because if $\bar{a}_t \neq \bar{a}_s$ commutes with all \bar{a}_s , then $\sigma(\bar{a}_t \bar{a}_s) = \bar{a}_s \bar{a}_t$, whence $a_s a_t = 1$, a contradiction. Put $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{B} \setminus a_s$, $b_0 = a_s$, $b_1 = a_1$, and $b_2 = a_2$. Note that if such an element a_s does not exist, then we put $b_0 = 1$.

Choose $a_i \in \mathfrak{B}$. There is $a_j \in \mathfrak{B}$ such that $(\bar{a}_i, \bar{a}_j) \neq 1$, and we consider the following cases.

1. $\bar{a}_i, \bar{a}_j \in W$. Put $b_3 = a_i$, $b_4 = a_j$ and $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{B} \setminus \{a_i, a_j\}$.
2. $\bar{a}_i \in W$ and $\bar{a}_j \notin W$. Clearly, $\langle \bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_2 \rangle \curlyvee \langle \bar{a}_i, \bar{a}_j \rangle \cong \langle \bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_i, \bar{a}_2 \rangle \curlyvee \langle \bar{a}_i, \bar{a}_2 \bar{a}_j \rangle$ and $\bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_i, \bar{a}_2, \bar{a}_i, \bar{a}_2 \bar{a}_j \in W$. Put $b_1 = \tau(\bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_i)$, $b_2 = a_2$, $b_3 = a_i$, $b_4 = \tau(\bar{a}_2 \bar{a}_j)$, and $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{B} \cup \{a_1, a_2\} \setminus \{b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4\}$.
3. $\bar{a}_i, \bar{a}_j \notin W$. Obviously, we have $\bar{a}_i \bar{a}_j \in W$, so that this case reduces to the preceding one.

Furthermore, if $C_G(\langle \bar{b}_1, \bar{b}_2 \rangle \curlyvee \langle \bar{b}_3, \bar{b}_4 \rangle)$ contains a noncommuting pair of elements, then this pair can be chosen in W . By continuing this process, we can conclude that G contains a subgroup $\mathfrak{M} = A_1 \curlyvee A_2 \curlyvee \dots$ that is a central product, where each $A_i = \langle g_i, h_i \rangle$ is a σ -group and $C_G(\mathfrak{M})$ is Abelian. Applying Lemma 4, we arrive at statement (iii) of the theorem, and the proof is complete. \square

Proof of the “only if” part of the theorem. (i) We can write any $x \in KG$ as $x = x_1 + x_2 b$, where $x_i \in KH$. Clearly, $x^\sigma = x_1^\sigma + f(b)\sigma(b)x_2^\sigma = x_1^\sigma - x_2 b$ and

$$x x^\sigma = x_1 x_1^\sigma - x_2 x_2^\sigma b^2 = x_1^\sigma x_1 - x_2^\sigma x_2 b^2 = x^\sigma x,$$

so that KG is a σ -normal ring.

(ii) Any $x \in KH$ can be written as $x = x_0 + x_1 g + x_2 h + x_3 gh$, where $x_i \in K\langle g^2, h^2, c \rangle$ and $c = (g, h)$. Clearly, $x^\sigma = x_0 + (x_1 g + x_2 h + x_3 gh)c$ and $x x^\sigma = x^\sigma x$, so that KH is σ -normal. Suppose that $\sigma(d) = dc$, with $c = (a, b)$. Any $x \in KG$ can be written as $x = (w_0 + u_1) + (w_2 + u_3)d$, where $u_1 = \alpha_1 a + \alpha_2 b + \alpha_3 ab$, $u_3 = \beta_1 a + \beta_2 b + \beta_3 ab$, and $\alpha_i, \beta_i, w_0, w_2 \in K\mathfrak{R}$. Then $x^\sigma = (w_0 + u_1 c) - (w_2 + u_3 c)dc$ and $x x^\sigma - x^\sigma x = (u_3 u_1 - u_1 u_3)(1 + c)d$. Since $ab - ba = ba(c - 1)$ and $c^2 = 1$, it follows that $x x^\sigma - x^\sigma x = 0$. Thus, KG is σ -normal. In the case where $\sigma(d) = d$, the proof is similar.

(iii) Put $G_n = A_1 \curlyvee \dots \curlyvee A_n$, where $A_i = \langle a_i, b_i \mid c = (a_i, b_i) \rangle$ is a σ -subgroup. We use induction on n . Any $x \in KG_n$ can be written as $x = x_0 + x_1 a_n + x_2 b_n + x_3 a_n b_n$, where $x_i \in K\langle G_{n-1}, a_n^2, b_n^2 \rangle$. Obviously, $x^\sigma = x_0^\sigma + (x_1^\sigma a_n + x_2^\sigma b_n + x_3^\sigma a_n b_n)c$. Since KG_{n-1} is σ -normal, we get $x_i x_i^\sigma = x_i^\sigma x_i$ and $x_i^\sigma(1 + c) = x_i(1 + c)$. The formula

$$(x_i + x_j)(x_i + x_j)^\sigma = (x_i + x_j)^\sigma(x_i + x_j)$$

shows that

$$x_i x_j^\sigma + x_j x_i^\sigma = x_i^\sigma x_j + x_j^\sigma x_i.$$

Proceeding as in the preceding case, we conclude that

$$x x^\sigma = x^\sigma x,$$

and the proof is complete. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] S. D. Berman, *On the equations $x^m = 1$ in an integral group ring*, Ukrain. Mat. Zh. **7** (1955), no. 3, 253–261. (Russian) MR0077521 (17:1048g)
- [2] A. A. Bovdi, *Unitarity of the multiplicative group of an integral group ring*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **119** (161) (1982), no. 3, 387–400; English transl. in Math. USSR-Sb. **47** (1984). MR0678835 (84a:16019)
- [3] A. A. Bovdi, P. M. Gudivok, and M. S. Semirov, *Normal group rings*, Ukrain. Mat. Zh. **37** (1985), no. 1, 3–8; English transl., Ukrainian Math. J. **37** (1985), no. 1, 1–5. MR0780906 (86h:16013)
- [4] A. A. Bovdi, *Normal twisted group rings*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk Ukrain. SSR Ser. A **1990**, no. 7, 6–8. (Russian) MR1088083 (91i:20004)

- [5] ———, *Structure of normal twisted group rings*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **51** (1997), 279–293. MR1485224 (98j:16016)
- [6] M. Hall, *The theory of groups*, Chelsea Publ. Co., New York, 1976. MR0414669 (54:2765)
- [7] M.-A. Knus, A. Merkurjev, M. Rost, and J.-P. Tignol, *The book of involutions*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 44, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998. MR1632779 (2000a:16031)
- [8] S. P. Novikov, *Algebraic construction and properties of Hermitian analogs of K -theory over rings with involution from the viewpoint of Hamiltonian formalism. Applications to differential topology and the theory of characteristic classes*. I, II, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **34** (1970), 253–288; *ibid.* **34** (1970), 475–500; English transl., Math. USSR–Izv. **4** (1970), 257–292; *ibid.* **4** (1970), 479–505. MR0292913 (45:1994)

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF DEBRECEN, P.O. BOX 12, H-4010 DEBRECEN, HUNGARY
E-mail address: vbovdi@math.klte.hu

Current address: Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, College of Nyíregyháza, Sóstói út 31/b, H-4410 Nyíregyháza, Hungary

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA “E. DE GIORGI”, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI LECCE, VIA PROVINCIALE LECCE-ARNESANO, 73100-LECCE, ITALY

E-mail address: salvatore.siciliano@unile.it

Received 31/AUG/2006
Originally published in English