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Abstract. The paper is concerned with a linear system of Fredholm–Volterra singular integral equations arising in the study of a linearized initial-boundary value problem of magnetohydrodynamics for a fluid surrounded by an infinite vacuum region. It is proved that this system is solvable in the class of continuous functions satisfying the Hölder condition with respect to the spatial variables, which yields a classical solution of the problem in question.

§1. Statement of the problem and main result

Let Ω1 be a bounded simply connected domain with boundary S of class $C^{1+\alpha}$, $\alpha \in (0,1)$, and let $\Omega_2 = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega_1$. In $\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$, we consider the following initial-boundary value problem for the vector field $H(x,t)$, $x \in \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$:

$$
\begin{cases}
\mu_1 H_t(x,t) + \alpha^{-1} \text{curl curl } H(x,t) = 0, \\
\text{div } H(x,t) = 0, & x \in \Omega_1, \ t \in (0,T), \\
\text{curl } H(x,t) = 0, & x \in \Omega_2, \\
H_t^{(1)} - H_t^{(2)} = a(x,t), & \mu_1 H^{(1)} \cdot n - \mu_2 H^{(2)} \cdot n = b(x,t), & x \in S, \\
H(x,0) = 0, & |x| \to \infty, \\
H(x,0) = 0, & x \in \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2.
\end{cases}
$$

Here $\mu_1$, $\mu_2$, $\alpha$ are positive constants, $n$ is the exterior unit normal to $S$ with respect to $\Omega_1$, $H^{(i)} = H|_{x \in \Omega_i}$, $H_t^{(i)} = H^{(i)} - n(H^{(i)} \cdot n)$ is the tangential component of $H^{(i)}$, $i = 1, 2$, $a, b$ are given functions on $S \times (0,T) = S_T$, $a \cdot n = 0$. For simplicity, we set $\alpha = \mu_1^{-1}$, so that the first equation in (1.1) becomes

$$H_t + \text{curl curl } H = 0.$$

We assume that $a(x,0) = 0$, $b(x,0) = 0$.

Problems like (1.1) arise in the analysis of the problems of magnetohydrodynamics where the magnetic and electric fields should be found not only in the domain $\Omega_1$ filled with fluid but also in the surrounding vacuum region $\Omega_2$ (see [1, 2, 3]). In the present paper we obtain the classical solution of (1.1) by reducing this problem to a system of singular integral equations on $S$ of mixed type. In the case where $\Omega_2 = \emptyset$, such a
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reduction was made by Sh. Sahaev in [4], where a system of Volterra integral equations without singular integrals was obtained.

Since \( \text{curl} \, \mathbf{H} = 0 \) and \( \text{div} \, \mathbf{H} = 0 \) in \( \Omega_2 \), \( \mathbf{H}^{(2)} \) should be equal to the gradient of a harmonic function \( \varphi \), and (1.1) can be viewed as a problem for \( \mathbf{H}^{(1)} \equiv \mathbf{H} \) and \( \varphi \):

\[
\begin{cases}
H_t(x,t) + \text{curl} \text{curl} \, H(x,t) = 0, \\
\text{div} \, H(x,t) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega_1, \quad t \in (0,T), \\
\nabla^2 \varphi(x,t) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega_2, \\
n(x) \times H - n(x) \times \nabla \varphi(x,t) = n(x) \times a(x,t), \\
\mu_1 H \cdot n - \mu_2 \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial n} = b(x,t), \quad x \in S, \quad \varphi \rightarrow 0, \quad |x| \rightarrow \infty, \\
H(x,0) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega_1.
\end{cases}
\tag{1.2}
\]

We seek \( \mathbf{H} \) and \( \varphi \) in the form of potentials

\[
\mathbf{H}(x,t) = \text{curl} \int_0^t d\tau \int_S \Gamma(x-y,t-\tau) \cdot \mathbf{\lambda}(y,\tau) \, dS_y
\]

\[
= \int_0^t d\tau \int_S \nabla \Gamma(x-y) \times \mathbf{\lambda}(y,\tau) \, dS_y, \quad x \in \overline{\Omega}_1,
\]

\[
\varphi(x,t) = \int_S E(x-y)m(y,t) \, dS_y, \quad x \in \overline{\Omega}_2,
\]

where \( \Gamma \) and \( E \) are fundamental solutions of the heat and Laplace equations, respectively:

\[
\Gamma(x,t) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{3/2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}, \quad E(x) = -\frac{1}{4\pi|x|}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad t > 0.
\]

Since

\[
n(x) \times (\nabla_x \Gamma(x-y,t-\tau) \times \mathbf{\lambda}(y,\tau))
\]

\[
= \nabla_x \Gamma(x-y,t-\tau) (n(x) \cdot \mathbf{\lambda}(y,\tau)) - \frac{\partial \Gamma(x-y,t-\tau)}{\partial n_x} \mathbf{\lambda}(y,\tau),
\]

\[
n(x) \cdot (\nabla_x \Gamma(x-y,t-\tau) \times \mathbf{\lambda}(y,\tau)) = \mathbf{\lambda}(y,\tau) \cdot (n(x) \times \nabla_x \Gamma(x-y,t-\tau)),
\]

the boundary conditions imply

\[
\mathfrak{S}m + \frac{1}{2} \lambda - \mathfrak{R} \mathbf{\lambda} = -n \times a,
\tag{1.4}
\]

\[
\mu_1 \mathfrak{S} \mathbf{\lambda} - \mu_2 \left( \mathfrak{M}m - \frac{1}{2} m \right) = b,
\]

where

\[
\mathfrak{S}m = \int_S (n(x) \times \nabla E(x-y))m(y,t) \, dS,
\]

\[
\mathfrak{M}m = \int_S \frac{\partial E(x-y)}{\partial n} m(y,t) \, dS,
\tag{1.5}
\]

\[
\mathfrak{S} \mathbf{\lambda} = \int_0^t d\tau \int_S (n(x) \times \nabla \Gamma(x-y,t-\tau)) \cdot \mathbf{\lambda}(y,\tau) \, dS,
\]

\[
\mathfrak{R} \mathbf{\lambda} = \int_0^t d\tau \int_S \mathbf{K}(x,y,t-\tau) \cdot \mathbf{\lambda}(y,\tau) \, dS,
\]

with the matrix \( \mathbf{K} \) given by

\[
\mathbf{K}(x,y,t-\tau) = \nabla_x \Gamma(x-y,t-\tau) \otimes (n(x) - n(y)) - I \frac{\partial \Gamma(x-y,t-\tau)}{\partial n},
\tag{1.6}
\]
provided
\[(1.7) \quad \lambda(y, t) \cdot n(y) = 0.\]

System (1.4) is a system of singular integral equations of mixed type on \(S\). The singular operators \(\mathcal{S}\) and \(\mathcal{G}\) can be defined by
\[
\mathcal{S}m(x,t) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{S} n(x) \times \nabla E(x-y)m(y,t) \, dS,
\]
\[
\mathcal{G}\lambda(x,t) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{0}^{t} d\tau \int_{S} (n(x) \times \nabla \Theta(x-y,t-\tau)) \cdot \lambda(y,t) \, dS,
\]
where \(S^\epsilon = \{y \in S : |x-y| > \epsilon\}\), and since the single layer harmonic and heat potentials are continuous on \(S\), we have
\[
\lim_{z \to x \in S} (\mathcal{G}m)(z,t) = (\mathcal{G}m)(x,t), \quad \lim_{z \to x \in S} (\mathcal{G}\lambda)(z,t) = (\mathcal{G}\lambda)(x,t).
\]

As was mentioned above, in the paper [4] a problem similar to (1.1) in the domain \(\Omega_1\) (so that \(\Omega_2 = \emptyset\)) was reduced to a system of Volterra integral equations on \(S\):
\[(1.8) \quad 2k\lambda - \lambda = \omega, \quad \omega \cdot n = 0,
\]
and condition (1.7) is justified.

We seek a solution of (1.4) in the function space \(C^{\alpha',0}(S_T)\) with the norm
\[
\|u\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(S_T)} = \sup_{t < T} \|u(\cdot,t)\|_{C^\alpha(S)},
\]
where \(C^{\alpha'}(S)\) is the space of Hölder continuous functions with the exponent \(\alpha' \in (0, \alpha)\) and \(S_T = S \times (0,T)\). The main result of the paper is as follows.

**Theorem 1.** Let \(S \subset \mathcal{C}^{1+\alpha}, \alpha' \in (0, \alpha)\). For arbitrary \(b \in C^{\alpha',0}(S_T), \ a \in C^{\alpha',0}(S_T)\), such that \(a \cdot n = 0\), system (1.4) is uniquely solvable in \(C^{\alpha',0}(S_T)\), and
\[(1.9) \quad \|\lambda\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(S_T)} + \|m\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(S_T)} \leq c(T)(\|a\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(S_T)} + \|b\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(S_T)}).
\]

The vector \(\lambda\) satisfies
\[(1.10) \quad \lambda(x,t) \cdot n(x) = 0.
\]

If \(a(x,0) = 0\) and \(b(x,0) = 0\), then (1.3) is a classical solution of problem (1.2). It is unique, because in the case where \(a = 0\) and \(b = 0\) the functions \(H\) and \(\varphi\) vanish, see [2].

Methods for analyzing systems of singular integral equations on manifolds are provided by the theory of pseudo differential operators (see, e.g., the monograph [5]). To obtain our rather particular result, we use more elementary tools. Along with (1.4), we consider a simpler system, specifically,
\[(1.11) \quad \mathcal{S}m_0 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_0 = -n \times a, \quad \mu_1 \mathcal{G}\lambda_0 + \frac{\mu_2}{2} m_0 = b,
\]
which is easily reduced to one equation
\[(1.12) \quad \mathcal{A}m_0 \equiv -2\mu_1 \mathcal{G}\mathcal{S}m_0 + \frac{\mu_2}{2} m_0 = b + 2\mu_1 \mathcal{G}(n \times a) \equiv g.
\]

The following important auxiliary result concerns the solvability of system (1.11).

**Theorem 2.** Let \(S \subset \mathcal{C}^{1+\alpha}, \alpha' \in (0, \alpha)\). For arbitrary \(b \in C^{\alpha',0}(S_T)\) and \(a \in C^{\alpha',0}(S_T)\) such that \(a \cdot n = 0\), system (1.11) is uniquely solvable in \(C^{\alpha',0}(S_T)\), and
\[(1.13) \quad \|\lambda_0\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(S_T)} + \|m_0\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(S_T)} \leq c(T)(\|a\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(S_T)} + \|b\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(S_T)}).
\]

The vector \(\lambda_0\) satisfies
\[(1.14) \quad \lambda_0(x,t) \cdot n(x) = 0.
\]
In §2, we deduce Theorem 1 from Theorem 2, which is proved in §3. The proof is carried out with the construction of the regularizer of the operator $\mathcal{A}$, like it is done in the theory of elliptic and parabolic boundary-value problems. The regularizer is defined on the basis of solution of equation (1.12) in the case where $S = \mathbb{R}^2 = \{x_3 = 0\}$. In this case, the operators $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{G}$ are replaced with

\[
\mathcal{G}_0 \lambda_0 = \int_0^t d\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (e_3 \times \nabla\Gamma(x' - y', 0, t - \tau)) \lambda_0(y', \tau) dy',
\]

\[
\mathcal{G}_0 m_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (e_3 \times \nabla E(x' - y', 0)) m_0(y', \tau) dy', \quad x' = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2.
\]

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of the solvability of equation (1.12) on $\mathbb{R}^2$ and of the continuity of the operator $\mathcal{A}$ in $C^{\alpha',0}(S_T)$. Making the Fourier–Laplace transformation with respect to $x'$, $t$, defined by

\[ Fu \equiv \tilde{u}(\xi, s) = \int_0^\infty dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-st-ix\cdot x'} u(x', t) dx', \quad \text{Re } s \geq 0, \]

we convert equation (1.12) on $\mathbb{R}^2$ into

\[
\left( \frac{\mu_1}{2} \frac{|\xi|}{r} + \frac{\mu_2}{2} \right) \tilde{m}_0(\xi, s) = \tilde{g}(\xi, s), \quad r = \sqrt{s + |\xi|^2},
\]

or

\[
\frac{\mu_2}{2} m_0(x', t) + \int_0^t d\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K(x' - y', t - \tau) m_0(y', \tau) dy' = g(x', t),
\]

with the kernel $K$ defined by $\tilde{K}(\xi, s) = \frac{\mu_1 |\xi|}{s}$. Hence,

\[
\tilde{m}_0 = \frac{2r\tilde{g}}{\mu_1 |\xi| + \mu_2 r} = \frac{2\tilde{g}}{\mu_2} - \frac{2\tilde{g} \mu_1}{\mu_2} \frac{|\xi|}{\mu_1 |\xi| + \mu_2 r},
\]

i.e.,

\[
m_0(x', t) = \frac{2}{\mu_2} g(x', t) - \frac{2 \mu_1}{\mu_2} \int_0^t d\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} L(x' - y', t - \tau) g(y', \tau) dy',
\]

where $\tilde{L} = \frac{|\xi|}{\mu_1 |\xi| + \mu_2 r}$. As was shown in [6] Proposition 2.1,

\[
|D_{x'}^j L(x', t)| \leq c \frac{1}{\sqrt{t(|x'|^2 + t)^{3/2}}},
\]

\[
|D_{x'}^j K(x', t)| \leq c \frac{1}{\sqrt{t(|x'|^2 + t)^{3/2}}};
\]

and, moreover,

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} L(x', t) dx' = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K(x', t) dx' = 0.
\]

**Proposition 1.** The function

\[ u(x', t) = \int_0^t d\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} L(x' - y', t - \tau) g(y', \tau) dy' \]

satisfies the inequalities

\[
\|u\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq c \|g\|_{C^{\alpha'}(\mathbb{R}^2)},
\]

\[
\sup_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u(x', t)| \leq c \left( \int_0^t \|g\|_{C^{\alpha'}(\mathbb{R}^2)} d\tau \right)^{1-\alpha'/2}.
\]
with $c$ independent of $T$, where $0 < t \leq T$, $\alpha' \in (0, 1)$, $\mathbb{R}_T = \mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, T)$, and
\[
\|u\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(\mathbb{R}_T)} = \sup_{x', y' \in \mathbb{R}^2} \sup_{t < T} \frac{|u(x', t) - u(y', t)|}{|x' - y'|^{\alpha'}}.
\]

The function
\[
v(x't) = \int_0^t d\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K(x' - y', t - \tau)m_0(y', \tau) dy'
\]
satisfies the same estimates.

The proof of the first inequality in (1.20) was given in [6, Proposition 2.2], and the second estimate is obtained as follows: by (1.19), we have
\[
u(x', t) = \int_0^t d\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} L(x' - y', t - \tau)(g(y', \tau) - g(x', \tau)) dy',
\]
and, by (1.18),
\[
|u(x', t)| \leq c \int_0^t \|g\|_{C^{\alpha'}(\mathbb{R}^2)} d\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|x' - y'|^{\alpha'} dy'}{(t - \tau)^{1 - \alpha'/2}}.
\]

Hence, the operator $\mathfrak{A}_0 = -2\mu_1 \mathfrak{G}\mathcal{S} + \frac{\mu_2}{2} I$ maps $C^{\alpha', 0}(\mathbb{R}_T)$ onto itself, is continuous, and has a continuous inverse.

In conclusion, we show that $\mathfrak{A} = -2\mu_1 \mathfrak{G}\mathcal{S} + \frac{\mu_2}{2} I$ is a continuous operator in $C^{\alpha', 0}(S_T)$.

**Proposition 2.** If $f \in C^{\alpha'}(S)$, $\alpha' \in (0, \alpha)$, then
\[
(1.21) \quad \|\mathfrak{G}f\|_{C^{\alpha'}(S)} \leq c\|f\|_{C^{\alpha'}(S)}.
\]

If $h \in C^{\alpha', 0}(S_T)$, then
\[
(1.22) \quad \|\mathfrak{G}h\|_{C^{\alpha', 0}(S_T)} \leq c\|h\|_{C^{\alpha', 0}(S_T)}.
\]

**Proof.** Inequality (1.21) is known from the classical theory of potentials [7]. The estimate of $\sup_{S_T} |\mathfrak{G}h|$ is easily deduced from the formula
\[
\mathfrak{G}h(x, t) = \int_0^t d\tau \int_S ((n(x) - n(y)) \times \nabla \Gamma(x - y, t - \tau)) \cdot h(y, \tau) dS + \int_0^t d\tau \int_S (n(y) \times \nabla \Gamma(x - y, t - \tau)) \cdot (h(y, \tau) - h(x, \tau)) dS
\]
(we have taken into account that $\int_S n(y) \times \nabla \Gamma(x - y, t - \tau) dS = 0)$:
\[
|\mathfrak{G}h(x, t)| \leq c \int_0^t \|h(\cdot, \tau)\|_{C^{\alpha'}(S)} d\tau \int_S \frac{dS}{(|x - y|^2 + (t - \tau))^2 - \alpha'/2} \leq c \int_0^t \|h(\cdot, \tau)\|_{C^{\alpha'}(S)} \frac{d\tau}{(t - \tau)^{1 - \alpha'/2}} \leq ct^{\alpha'/2} \sup_{\tau < t} \|h(\cdot, \tau)\|_{C^{\alpha'}(S)}.
\]

Next, we estimate $|u(x, t) - u(z, t)|$, $u = \mathfrak{G}h$, $x, z \in S$. It suffices to assume that $x$ and $z$ are close to each other: $|x - z| \equiv r \leq d/2$, where $d$ is the radius of the Lyapunov
sphere. Let \( \sigma_r = \{ y \in S : |x-y| \leq 2r \} \), \( F(x,y) = n(x) \times \nabla \Gamma(x-y,t) \). We have

\[
u(x,t) - u(z,t) = \int_0^t d\tau \int_{\sigma_r} F(x,y,t-\tau) \cdot (h(y,\tau) - h(x,\tau)) \, dS \]
\[
- \int_0^t d\tau \int_{\sigma_r} F(z,y,t-\tau) \cdot (h(y,\tau) - h(z,\tau)) \, dS
\]
\[
+ \int_0^t d\tau \int_{\sigma_r} (F(x,y,t-\tau) - F(z,y,t-\tau)) \cdot (h(y,\tau) - h(z,\tau)) \, dS
\]
\[
+ \int_0^t d\tau \int_{S \setminus \sigma_r} (F(x,y,t-\tau) - F(z,y,t-\tau)) \cdot (h(y,\tau) - h(z,\tau)) \, dS \equiv \sum_{i=1}^5 U_i,
\]
(1.25)

\[
|U_1| \leq \|h\|_{C^{0,0}(S_t)} \int_0^t d\tau \int_{\sigma_r} |x-y| \alpha |F(x,y,t-\tau)| \, dS \leq cr^\alpha \|h\|_{C^{0,0}(S_t)}.
\]
(1.26)

In the same way we obtain

\[
|U_2| \leq cr^\alpha \|h\|_{C^{0,0}(S_t)}.
\]
(1.27)

To estimate \( U_3 \), we consider

\[
\int_{\sigma_r} F(x,y,t-\tau) \, dS = \int_{\sigma_r} (n(x) - n(y)) \times \nabla \Gamma(x-y,t-\tau) \, dS
\]
\[
+ \int_{S \setminus \sigma_r} n(y) \times \nabla \Gamma(x-y,t-\tau) \, dS = I_1 + I_2.
\]

Observe that

\[
|I_1| \leq c \int_{\sigma_r} \frac{dS}{(|x-y|^2 + t-\tau)^{2+\alpha/2}},
\]

and, in view of the Stokes formula,

\[
\int_{S \setminus \sigma_r} (n_i(y) \frac{\partial \Gamma(x-y,t-\tau)}{\partial y_j} - n_j(y) \frac{\partial \Gamma(x-y,t-\tau)}{\partial y_i}) \, dS = \int_{\partial\sigma_r} \Gamma(x-y,t-\tau) \, dy_k,
\]

where \((i,j,k)\) is a cyclic permutation of \((1,2,3)\) and the last integral is taken over the contour \(\partial\sigma_r\) that bounds \(\sigma_r\). Hence,

\[
|I_2| \leq c \int_{\partial\sigma_r} \frac{dt}{(r^2 + t-\tau)^{3+\alpha/2}},
\]

and

\[
|U_3| \leq cr^\alpha \|h\|_{C^{0,0}(S_t)} \int_0^t (|I_1| + |I_2|) \, d\tau \leq cr^\alpha \|h\|_{C^{0,0}(S_t)}.
\]
(1.28)

We also have

\[
|U_4| \leq c\|h\|_{C^{0,0}(S_t)} \left( r^\alpha \int_0^t \frac{dS}{|x-y|^2 + t-\tau)^{2+\alpha/2}} \right)
\]
\[
+ r \int_0^t \frac{dS}{|x-y|^2 + t-\tau)^{5+2\alpha/2}} \leq cr^\alpha \|h\|_{C^{0,0}(S_t)},
\]
(1.29)
Moreover, since

\[(1.30)\]

Moreover, since

\[
\begin{align*}
U_5 &= \int_0^t h(z, \tau) \, d\tau \cdot \int_S ((n(x) - n(y)) \times \nabla \Gamma(x - y, t - \tau)
- (n(z) - n(y)) \times \nabla \Gamma(z - y, t - \tau)) \, dS \\
&= \int_0^t h(z, \tau) \, d\tau \cdot \int_{\sigma_r} ((n(x) - n(y)) \times \nabla \Gamma(x - y, t - \tau)
- (n(z) - n(y)) \times \nabla \Gamma(z - y, t - \tau)) \, dS \\
&\quad + \int_0^t h(z, \tau) \, d\tau \int_{S \setminus \sigma_r} ((n(z) - n(y)) \times (\nabla \Gamma(x - y, t - \tau) - \nabla \Gamma(z - y, t - \tau)) \, dS \\
&\quad + \int_0^t h(z, \tau) \, d\tau \cdot (n(x) - n(z)) \times \int_{S \setminus \sigma_r} \nabla \Gamma(x - y, t - \tau) \, dS = W_1 + W_2 + W_3.
\end{align*}
\]

The first two integrals \(W_1\) and \(W_2\) on the right hand side are estimated by

\[(1.30)\]

cr^{\alpha'} \sup_{S_t} |h(y, \tau)|.

Moreover, since

\[
\int_{S \setminus \sigma_r} \nabla y \Gamma(x - y, t - \tau) \, dS = \int_{S \setminus \sigma_r} n(y) \frac{\partial \Gamma(x - y, t - \tau)}{\partial n_y} \, dS - \int_{S \setminus \sigma_r} ((n(y) - n(x)) \times (n(y) \times \nabla \Gamma(x - y, t - \tau))
+ n(x) \times (n(y) \times \nabla \Gamma(x - y, t - \tau))) \, dS
\]

and

\[
\int_0^t d\tau \left| \int_{S \setminus \sigma_r} n(y) \times \nabla \Gamma(x - y, t - \tau) \, dS \right| \leq c \int_0^t \int_{\partial \sigma_r} \frac{dl}{(r^2 + t - \tau)^{3/2}} \leq c,
\]

we conclude that the integral \(W_3\) is also controlled by \(cr^{\alpha'} \sup_{S_t} |h(y, \tau)|\). This completes the proof of Proposition 2. \(\square\)

By (1.21) and (1.22),

\[(1.31)\]
\[\|\mathfrak{A} h\|_{C^{\alpha,0}(S_T)} \leq c \|h\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(S_T)}.\]

We complete Proposition 2 with the following result.

**Proposition 3.** If \(h \in C^{\alpha'',0}(S_T)\) and \(0 < \alpha' < \alpha'' \leq \alpha\), then

\[(1.32)\]
\[\|\mathfrak{B} h\|_{C^{\alpha,0}(S_t)} \leq c \int_0^t \|h\|_{C^{\alpha''}(S)} \frac{d\tau}{(t - \tau)^{1 - \epsilon''/2}}, \quad \epsilon'' = \alpha'' - \alpha',\]

\[(1.33)\]
\[\|\mathfrak{B} \lambda\|_{C^{\alpha,0}(S_t)} \leq c \int_0^t \sup_{S_r} |\lambda(y, \tau)| \frac{d\tau}{(t - \tau)^{1 - \epsilon''/2}}.\]
\section*{Proof} The estimate for \( \sup_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{G} h| \) follows from (1.24). The difference \((\mathcal{G} h)(x, t) - (\mathcal{G} h)(y, t)\) is estimated by using (1.25). Instead of (1.26)–(1.31), we have
\begin{align*}
|U_1| &\leq c \int_0^t \|h\|_{C^{\alpha''}(S)} d\tau \int_{\sigma_{r}} |x-y|^{\alpha''} |F| dS \leq c r^{\alpha'} \int_0^t \|h\|_{C^{\alpha''}(S)} \frac{d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{1-\alpha''/2}}, \\
|U_2| &\leq c r^{\alpha'} \int_0^t \|h\|_{C^{\alpha''}(S)} \frac{d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{1-\epsilon''/2}}, \\
|U_3| &\leq c r^{\alpha'} \int_0^t \|h\|_{C^{\alpha''}(S)} (|I_1| + |I_2|) d\tau \leq c r^{\alpha'} \int_0^t \|h\|_{C^{\alpha''}(S)} \frac{d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{1-\alpha''/2}}, \\
|U_4| &\leq \int_0^t \|h\|_{C^{\alpha''}(S)} \left(r^{\alpha} \int_0^t \frac{d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{1-\alpha''/2}} \right) \\
&\quad + r \int_0^t \frac{d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{1-\epsilon''/2}}, \\
|U_5| &\leq c r^{\alpha'} \int_0^t \sup_S |h(\cdot, \tau)| \frac{d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{1-\epsilon''/2}}.
\end{align*}
These inequalities prove (1.32).

Estimate (1.33) is proved by the same arguments, but since \( K \) is weakly singular, we should employ the formula
\begin{align*}
(\mathcal{R}\lambda)(x, t) - (\mathcal{R}\lambda)(z, t) \\
= \int_0^t d\tau \int_{\sigma_{r}} (K(x, y, t-\tau)\lambda(y, \tau) - K(z, y, t-\tau)\lambda(y, \tau)) dS
\end{align*}
instead of (1.25). \hfill \Box

\section*{§2. Proof of Theorem 1}

In this section, we deduce Theorem 1 from Theorem 2. Suppose we have solved equations (1.11) and obtained estimate (1.13). Subtracting (1.11) from (1.4), we obtain
\begin{equation}
\mathcal{G} (m - m_0) + \frac{1}{2} (\lambda - \lambda_0) = \mathcal{R}(\lambda - \lambda_0) + \mathcal{F} \lambda_0, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
\mu_1 \mathcal{G}(\lambda - \lambda_0) + \frac{\mu_2}{2} (m - m_0) = \mu_2 \mathcal{V}(m - m_0) + \mu_2 \mathcal{V} m_0. \tag{2.2}
\end{equation}

Since \( \mathcal{R} \) is the Volterra operator with a weak singularity, the inverse operator \((I - 2\mathcal{R})^{-1} = I + \mathcal{R}\) exists, where the resolvent \( \mathcal{R} \) is also weakly singular, whence
\begin{equation}
\lambda - \lambda_0 = (I + \mathcal{R})(-2\mathcal{G} (m - m_0) + 2\mathcal{F} \lambda_0), \tag{2.3}
\end{equation}
and the second equation in (2.1) takes the form
\begin{equation}
- 2\mu_1 \mathcal{G} (m - m_0) + \frac{\mu_2}{2} (m - m_0) \\
= \mu_2 \mathcal{V}(m - m_0) + \mu_2 \mathcal{V} m_0 + 2\mu_1 \mathcal{G} \mathcal{R}(m - m_0) - 2\mu_1 \mathcal{G}(I + \mathcal{R}) \lambda_0. \tag{2.4}
\end{equation}

For technical reasons, it is convenient to introduce a new unknown function
\begin{equation}
q = \mu_1 \mathcal{G}(m - m_0) - 2\mu_1 \mathcal{G}(I + \mathcal{R}) \lambda_0 + \mathcal{F} \lambda_0 + \mathcal{R}(\lambda - \lambda_0). \tag{2.4}
\end{equation}
This equation is uniquely solvable with respect to $m - m_0$ for arbitrary $q \in C^{\alpha'}(S)$ with
\[\alpha' \in (0, \alpha], \text{ or } q \in C(S), \text{ and} \]
\[\begin{align*}
  c_1 \|q\|_{C^{\alpha'}(S)} &\leq \|m - m_0\|_{C^{\alpha'}(S)} \leq c_2 \|q\|_{C^{\alpha'}(S)}, \\
  c_3 \sup_S |q(x)| &\leq \sup_S |m - m_0| \leq c_4 \sup_S |q(x)|
\end{align*}
\]
(see [7]). We have
\[m - m_0 = (I + R_0)q,\]
where $R_0$ is a weakly singular Fredholm operator (like $\mathcal{H}$). Hence, (2.3) reduces to
\[\begin{align*}
  -2\mu_1 \mathcal{H}q + \frac{\mu_2}{2}q = 2\mu_1 \mathcal{H}(R \mathcal{S}(m - m_0) + \mathcal{S}R_0q) + \mu_2 \mathfrak{m}m_0 - 2\mu_1 \mathcal{H}(I + R)\mathfrak{R}\lambda_0,
\end{align*}\]
where $m - m_0$ is related to $q$ by (2.4). The operators $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}_0$ are smoothing, i.e.,
\[\begin{align*}
  \|\mathcal{R}_0 f\|_{C^{\alpha'}(S)} &\leq c \sup_S |f(y)|, \quad \|\mathcal{R} h\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(S_i)} \leq c \int_0^t \sup_{S_t} |h(y, \tau)| \frac{d\tau}{(t - \tau)^{1-\epsilon'/2}};
\end{align*}\]
(2.7) where $\alpha' < \alpha$, $\epsilon' = \alpha - \alpha'$. This estimate of $\mathcal{R}_0 f$ is well known from the theory of potentials, and the second inequality in (2.7) follows from the representation of $\mathcal{R}$ in the form of the series
\[\mathcal{R} h = 2\mathcal{R} h + 4\mathcal{R}^2 h + \ldots,\]
similar to (2.11). Hence,
\[\begin{align*}
  \|\mathcal{H} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{S}(m - m_0)\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(S_i)} &\leq c \int_0^t \|\mathcal{R} \mathcal{S}(m - m_0)\|_{C^{\alpha'}(S)} \frac{d\tau}{(t - \tau)^{1-\epsilon'/2}} \\
  &\leq c \int_0^t \sup_{S_t} \|\mathcal{S}(m - m_0)\| \frac{d\tau}{(t - \tau)^{1-\epsilon'/2}} \\
  &\leq c \int_0^t \|q\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(S_t)} \frac{d\tau}{(t - \tau)^{1-\epsilon'/2}}.
\end{align*}\]
(2.8)
where $\alpha' < \alpha'' < \alpha$ and
\[\begin{align*}
  \|\mathcal{H} \mathcal{R}_0 q\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(S_i)} &\leq c \int_0^t \|\mathcal{R}_0 q\|_{C^{\alpha'}(S)} \frac{d\tau}{(t - \tau)^{1-\epsilon'/2}} \\
  &\leq c \int_0^t \|\mathcal{R}_0 q\|_{C^{\alpha'}(S)} \frac{d\tau}{(t - \tau)^{1-\epsilon'/2}} \\
  &\leq c \int_0^t \sup_{S} |q| \frac{d\tau}{(t - \tau)^{1-\epsilon'/2}}.
\end{align*}\]
(2.9)
Now, we pass to the proof of the solvability of equation (2.6) in the space $C^{\alpha',0}(S_T)$. By Theorem 2, we can write (2.6) as
\[\begin{align*}
q = \mathcal{D} q + q_0,
\end{align*}\]
(2.10)
where
\[\begin{align*}
\mathcal{D} q = \left( I - \frac{4\mu_1}{\mu_2} \mathcal{H} \mathcal{S} \right)^{-1} \frac{4\mu_1}{\mu_2} \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R} \mathcal{S}(m - m_0) + \mathcal{S} \mathcal{R}_0 q), \\
q_0 = \left( I - \frac{4\mu_1}{\mu_2} \mathcal{H} \mathcal{S} \right)^{-1} \left( 2\mathfrak{m}m_0 - \frac{4\mu_1}{\mu_2} \mathcal{S}(I + \mathcal{R})\mathfrak{R}\lambda_0 \right).
\end{align*}\]
Proposition 2 and inequalities (2.8), (2.9), (1.13) show that
\[\begin{align*}
\|q_0\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(S_i)} &\leq c \left( \|m_0\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(S_i)} + \|\lambda_0\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(S_i)} \right) \leq c \left( \|a\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(S_i)} + \|b\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(S_T)} \right), \\
\|\mathcal{D} q\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(S_i)} &\leq c \int_0^t \|q\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(S_t)} \frac{d\tau}{(t - \tau)^{1-\epsilon/2}}.
\end{align*}\]
As in the classical theory of the Volterra integral equations (see [7]), we seek a solution of (2.10) in the form of the series

\begin{equation}
q = q_0 + \mathcal{D}q_0 + \mathcal{D}^2q_0 + \ldots
\end{equation}

It suffices to prove the convergence of this series in the norm of \(C^{\alpha',0}(S_t)\). We have

\[
\|\mathcal{D}^2q_0\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(S_t)} \leq C \int_0^t \|\mathcal{D}q_0\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(S_\tau)} \frac{d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{1-\epsilon/2}} \leq C^2 \int_0^t \int_0^{\tau_1} \|q_0\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(S_{\tau_2})} \frac{d\tau_2}{(t-\tau_1)^{1-\epsilon/2}} \leq C^2 \|q_0\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(S_t)} B(1, \epsilon/2) \int_0^t \frac{\tau_1^{\epsilon/2} d\tau_1}{(t-\tau_1)^{1-\epsilon/2}} = C^2 B(1, \epsilon/2) B(1+\epsilon/2, \epsilon/2) t^{2\epsilon/2} \|q_0\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(S_t)} = C^2 t^{2\epsilon/2} \frac{\Gamma(\epsilon/2)}{\Gamma(1+2\epsilon/2)} \|q_0\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(S_t)}
\]

and, in general,

\[
\|\mathcal{D}^j q_0\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(S_t)} \leq C^j t^{j\epsilon/2} \frac{\Gamma(j/2)}{\Gamma(1+j\epsilon/2)} \|q_0\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(S_t)},
\]

where \(B(p, q) = \frac{\Gamma(p)\Gamma(q)}{\Gamma(p+q)}\) and \(\Gamma(p)\) is the Euler gamma-function (see [8]).

Since the series \(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} C^j t^{j\epsilon/2} \frac{\Gamma(j/2)}{\Gamma(1+j\epsilon/2)}\) is convergent, so is the series (2.11), and we obtain

\begin{equation}
\|q\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(S_T)} \leq c(T)\|q_0\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(S_T)}.
\end{equation}

It is easily verified that the solvability of (2.10) implies the solvability of (2.6) and (1.11); moreover, we have

\[
\|q_0\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(S_T)} \leq c(\|a\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(S_T)} + \|b\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(S_T)}).
\]

Theorem 1 is proved, now we need to prove Theorem 2.

\section*{3. Proof of Theorem 2}

We prove the solvability of (1.12) by constructing a continuous operator \(\mathcal{R}\) in \(C^{\alpha',0}(S_T)\) (the regularizer) such that

\begin{equation}
\mathcal{R}g = (I + \mathcal{I})g, \quad g \in C^{\alpha',0}(S_T)
\end{equation}

where \(\mathcal{I}\) is also a continuous operator and \(I + \mathcal{I}\) is invertible. Then \(m_0 = \mathcal{R}(I + \mathcal{I})^{-1}g\) is a solution of (1.12).

Let \(\{\varphi_k(x)\}, k = 1, \ldots, M\), be a sufficiently “fine” smooth partition of unity on \(S\) (i.e., \(1 = \sum_k \varphi_k(x)\)) subordinate to the covering of \(S\) with the sets \(U_k(\delta) = \{y \in S : |x_k - y| \leq \delta \ll 1\}\) such that, for an arbitrarily small \(\delta\), at most \(M_1\) sets \(U_k(\delta)\) can have nonempty intersection at every point \(x \in S\). Moreover, let \(\varphi_k(x), \chi_k(x), \) and \(\omega_k(x)\) be functions with the following properties:

\[
\psi_k(x)\varphi_k(x) = \varphi_k(x), \quad \chi_k(x)\psi_k(x) = \psi_k(x), \quad \omega_k(x)\chi_k(x) = \chi_k(x),
\]

where \(0 \leq \varphi_k, \psi_k, \chi_k, \omega_k \leq 1\),

\[
\text{supp } \psi_k, \text{ supp } \chi_k, \text{ supp } \omega_k \subset U_k(2\delta), \quad \text{supp } \varphi_k \subset U_k(\delta),
\]

\[
|\nabla \varphi_k| + |\nabla \psi_k| + |\nabla \chi_k| + |\nabla \omega_k| \leq c\delta^{-1}.
\]
ON THE PROOF OF THE SOLVABILITY OF A LINEAR PROBLEM

We assume that in a neighborhood of \( x_k \) the surface \( S \) is given by the equation

\[
y_3^{(k)} = \Phi_k(y^{(k)}), \quad y^{(k)} = (y_1^{(k)}, y_2^{(k)}),
\]

where the \( \{y_j^{(k)}\} \) are local Cartesian coordinates at the point \( x_k \), the \( y_3^{(k)} \)-axis being directed along the interior normal \(-\mathbf{n}(x_k)\) to \( S \). The function \( \Phi_k \) is defined in a disk \( D = \{|y^{(k)}| \leq d\} \) with \( d > 4\delta \) (these numbers are independent of \( k \)) and belongs to \( C^{1+\alpha}(D) \). The norms of \( \Phi_k \) are bounded uniformly in \( k \), moreover,

\[
\begin{align*}
|\Phi_k(y^{(k)})| &\leq c|y^{(k)}|^{1+\alpha}, \\
|\nabla \Phi_k(y^{(k)})| &\leq c|y^{(k)}|^\alpha, \quad y^{(k)} \in D.
\end{align*}
\]

The coordinates \( \{y_j^{(k)}\} \) are related to \( \{x\} \) by

\[
y^{(k)} = C_k(x - x_k)
\]

with an orthogonal matrix \( C_k \). This transformation establishes one-to-one correspondence between the set \( U_k = \{y_3^{(k)} = \Phi_k(y^{(k)}) = y^{(k)} \in D\} \) and a certain neighborhood \( U_k \equiv U_k(d) \subset S \) of \( x_k \). We often write \( \{y\} \) instead of \( \{y^{(k)}\} \).

Let \( \mathfrak{A}_k \) be the operator \( \mathfrak{A}_0 = -2\mu_1 \mathfrak{G}_0 \mathfrak{S}_0 + \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1} I \) computed on the tangential plane \( T_k \) to \( S \) at the point \( x_k \). The operator \( \mathfrak{R}_k = \mathfrak{R}_k^{-1} \) is given by (1.17) (in the coordinates \( \{y'\} \)):

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{R}_k g_k &= \frac{2}{\mu_2} g_k(y', t) - \frac{2\mu_1}{\mu_2} \int_0^t d\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} L(y' - \eta', t - \tau) g_k(\eta', \tau) \, d\eta' \\
&= \frac{2}{\mu_2} g_k(y', t) - \frac{2\mu_1}{\mu_2} \mathfrak{L}_k g_k,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( g_k(y', t) \) is the function \( \varphi_k g \) written in the coordinates \( y' \). We set

\[
\mathfrak{R} g = \sum_{k=1}^M \psi_k \mathfrak{R}_k \varphi_k g.
\]

Then

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{R} g &= \sum_k \mathfrak{A} \psi_k \mathfrak{R}_k g_k = \sum_k (\mathfrak{A} \chi_k - \chi_k \mathfrak{A}) \psi_k \mathfrak{R}_k g_k + \sum_k \chi_k (\mathfrak{A} - \mathfrak{A}_k) \psi_k \mathfrak{R}_k g_k \\
&\quad + \sum_k \chi_k \mathfrak{A}_k(\psi_k \mathfrak{R}_k - \mathfrak{R}_k \psi_k) g_k + g, \quad g_k = g \varphi_k,
\end{align*}
\]

whence

\[
\mathfrak{T} g = \sum_k (\mathfrak{A} \chi_k - \chi_k \mathfrak{A}) \psi_k \mathfrak{R}_k g_k + \sum_k \chi_k (\mathfrak{A} - \mathfrak{A}_k) \psi_k \mathfrak{R}_k g_k \\
\quad + \sum_k \chi_k \mathfrak{A}_k(\psi_k \mathfrak{R}_k - \mathfrak{R}_k \psi_k) g_k \\
\equiv \sum_k (\mathfrak{T}^{(1)} g + \mathfrak{T}^{(2)} g + \mathfrak{T}^{(3)} g) = \sum_{k=1}^M \mathfrak{T}_k g_k.
\]

The proof of the invertibility of \( I + \mathfrak{T} \) can be outlined as follows. We introduce a new norm in \( C^{\alpha',0}(S_T) \):

\[
\|u\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(S_T)} = \max_m \|\varphi_m u\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(U_m,T)},
\]
where \( U_{m,T} = U_m(d) \times (0,T) \). It will be shown that
\[
\sup_{S_T} |\xi_k g_k| \leq c(\delta) \int_0^t \|g_k\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(U_{m,\tau})} \frac{d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{1-\epsilon/2}},
\]
(3.8)
\[
\|\xi_k g_k\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(U_{m,\tau})} \leq c_0 \delta \|g_k\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(U_{m,\tau})} + c(\delta) \int_0^t \|g_k\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(U_{m,\tau})} \frac{d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{1-\epsilon/2}}
\]
with \( 0 < \epsilon < 1 \) and with a small \( \delta > 0 \) (in what follows we do not distinguish exact values of the parameter \( \epsilon \) from estimates (1.32), (1.33), etc. and take as \( \epsilon \) the minimal value of these parameters). The constant \( c_0 \) is independent of \( \delta \). Since only a fixed number \( M_1 \) of the sets \( U_k \) can have nonempty intersection at every point, we have
\[
\|\varphi_m \xi g\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(U_{m,\tau})} \leq \|\xi g\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(U_{m,\tau})} \sup_{U_{m,\tau}} \|\xi g(x,\tau)\| \leq c \max_k \left( \|\xi_k g_k\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(U_{m,\tau})} + c(\delta) \sup_{U_{m,\tau}} |\xi_k g_k(x,t)| \right)
\]
\[
\leq \max_k \left( c_0 \delta \|g_k\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(U_{m,\tau})} + c(\delta) \int_0^t \|g_k\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(U_{m,\tau})} \frac{d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{1-\epsilon/2}} \right).
\]
It follows that
\[
\|\xi g\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(S_\tau)} \leq c_1 \delta \|g\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(S_\tau)} + c(\delta) \int_0^t \|g\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(S_\tau)} \frac{d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{1-\epsilon/2}}.
\]
(3.9)
If \( c_1 \delta < 1 \), then (3.9) implies the invertibility of \( I + \xi \). Indeed, let us solve the equation \( (I + \xi)g = h \) by iteration:
\[
g_{m+1} = h - \xi g_m, \quad g_0 = 0.
\]
The differences \( g_{m+1} = g_{m+1} - g_m \) satisfy
\[
g_{m+1} = -\xi g_m, \quad g_1 = h.
\]
By (3.9),
\[
x_{m+1}(t) \leq c_1 \delta x_m(t) + c(\delta) \int_0^t x_m(\tau) \frac{d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{1-\epsilon/2}},
\]
where \( x_m(t) = \|g_m\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(S_\tau)} \). Hence, \( X_q(t) = \sum_{m=1}^q x_m(t) \) satisfies
\[
X_{q+1}(t) \leq X_1(t) + c_1 \delta X_q(t) + c(\delta) \int_0^t X_q(\tau) \frac{d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{1-\epsilon/2}}.
\]
It follows that
\[
X_{q+1}(t) \leq \frac{x_1(1)}{1-c_1 \delta} + \frac{c(\delta)}{1-c_1 \delta} \int_0^t X_q(\tau) \frac{d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{1-\epsilon/2}}.
\]
Arguing as in the proof of (2.12), we obtain a uniform (with respect to \( q \)) estimate for \( X_q(t) \):
\[
X_q(t) \leq c(T) x_1(t) \leq c(T) \|h\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(S_\tau)}
\]
which implies the convergence of \( g_m \) to the solution of \( (I + \xi)g = h \). and the estimate
\[
(I + \xi)^{-1} \leq c(T).
\]
Returning to formulas (3.5), (3.6), we consider the expressions \( \xi_k g_k, j = 1,3 \). To prove inequalities (3.8) for these expressions, we need some auxiliary propositions.

**Proposition 4.** If \( \psi \in C^\beta(S) \) with \( \beta \in (\alpha',1) \), then
\[
\|((\xi \psi - \psi \xi) h\|_{C^{\alpha'}(S)} \leq c \sup_S |h(y)|
\]
with a constant proportional to the norm of \( \psi \).
Proof. The function $u = (\mathcal{G}\psi - \psi\mathcal{G})h$ is given by

$$u(x) = \int_S \mathcal{E}(x,y)h(y) \, dS$$

with $\mathcal{E}(x,y) = n(x) \times \nabla E(x-y)(\psi(x) - \psi(y))$. We have

$$|u(x)| \leq c \sup_S |h(x)||\psi||_{C^\alpha(S)} \int_S \frac{dS}{|x-y|^{2-\alpha}} \leq c \sup_S |h(x)|,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.11)

$$u(x) - u(z) = \int_{\sigma_r} \mathcal{E}(x,y)h(y) \, dS - \int_{\sigma_r} \mathcal{E}(z,y)h(y) \, dS$$

$$+ \int_{S \setminus \sigma_r} (\mathcal{E}(x,y) - \mathcal{E}(z,y))h(y) \, dS \equiv I_1 + I_2 + I_3, \quad x, z \in S,$$

where $r = |x - z|$ and $\sigma_r = \{y \in S : |y - x| \leq 2r\}$. Clearly,

$$|I_1| + |I_2| \leq c \sup_S |h(y)||\psi||_{C^\alpha(S)} r^\alpha,$$

and moreover, since

$$\mathcal{E}(x,y) - \mathcal{E}(z,y) = (n(x) - n(z)) \times \nabla E(x-y)(\psi(x) - \psi(y)) + (\psi(x) - \psi(z))n(z)$$

$$\times \nabla E(x-y) + n(z) \times (\nabla E(x-y) - \nabla E(z-y))(\psi(z) - \psi(y)),$$

we have

$$|I_3| \leq c \left(r^\alpha \sup_S |h(y)||\psi||_{C^\alpha(S)} + r^\beta \sup_S |h(y)| \int_{S \setminus \sigma_r} \frac{dS}{|x-y|^{2-\beta}} \right) \leq c r^\alpha \sup_S |h(y)||\psi||_{C^\alpha(S)}.$$

Collecting the estimates, we obtain (3.10). \hfill \square

Proposition 5. If $\psi \in C^\beta(S)$ with $\beta \in (\alpha', 1)$, then

$$\|\mathcal{G}(\psi - \psi\mathcal{G})h\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(S_t)} \leq c \int_0^t \sup_{S_{t-r}} |h(y, \tau)| \frac{d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{1-\epsilon/2}}$$

with $\epsilon > 0$ and with the constant $c$ proportional to the norm of $\psi$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Proposition 4. We have

$$u(x,t) \equiv (\mathcal{G}\psi - \psi\mathcal{G})h = \int_0^t d\tau \int_S G(x, y, t-\tau) \cdot h(y, \tau) \, dS,$$

where $G(x, y, t-\tau) = n(x) \times \nabla \Gamma(x-y, t-\tau)(\psi(x) - \psi(y))$. Hence,

$$|u(x,t)| \leq c \sup_S |h(y, \tau)| \int_0^t \frac{d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{1-\beta/2}} \int_S \frac{dS}{(|x-y|^2 + t-\tau)^{2-\beta/2}}$$

$$\leq c \int_0^t \sup_S |h(y, \tau)| \frac{d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{1-\beta/2}},$$

$$=(x,t) - u(z,t)$$

$$= \int_0^t d\tau \int_{\sigma_r} G(x, y, t-\tau) \cdot h(y, \tau) \, dS - \int_0^t d\tau \int_{\sigma_r} G(z, y, t-\tau) \cdot h(y, \tau) \, dS$$

$$+ \int_0^t d\tau \int_{S \setminus \sigma_r} (G(x, y, t-\tau) - G(z, y, t-\tau)) \cdot h(y, \tau) \, dS \equiv J_1 + J_2 + J_3,$$
By (1.32), (3.10), (3.12), and (3.14), we have

\[ |J_1| + |J_2| \leq c \int_0^t \sup_S |\mathbf{h}(y, \tau)| \frac{d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{1-\beta/2}}, \]

and

\[
G(x, y, t - \tau) - G(z, y, t - \tau) = (\mathbf{n}(x) - \mathbf{n}(z)) \times \nabla \Gamma(x - y, t - \tau)(\psi(x) - \psi(y)) + (\psi(x) - \psi(z))\mathbf{n}(z) \times \nabla \Gamma(x - y, t - \tau) + \mathbf{n}(z) \times (\nabla \Gamma(x - y, t - \tau) - \nabla \Gamma(z - y, t - \tau))(\psi(z) - \psi(y)),
\]

which implies

\[
\left| \int_0^t d\tau \int_{S \setminus \Sigma_r} (G(x, y, t - \tau) - G(z, y, t - \tau)) \cdot \mathbf{h}(y, \tau) dS \right| \leq c \left( r^\alpha \int_0^t \sup_S |\mathbf{h}(y, \tau)| \frac{d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{1-\beta/2}} + r^\beta \int_0^t \sup_S |\mathbf{h}(y, \tau)| d\tau \int_{S \setminus \Sigma_r} \frac{dS}{|x-y|^2 + t-\tau \sigma^2} \right) \leq c r^\alpha \int_0^t \sup_S |\mathbf{h}(y, \tau)| \frac{d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{1-\gamma/2}}.
\]

Collecting the estimates, we arrive at (3.12). A similar estimate is true for

\[
(\mathbb{R}_k \psi - \psi \mathbb{R}_k) j = \int_0^t d\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} L(x' - y', t - \tau)(\psi(x') - \psi(y')) j(y', \tau) dy' \equiv v(x', t),
\]

where \( L \) is a kernel satisfying (1.18).

\[ \square \]

**Proposition 6.** If \( \psi \in C^{\beta,0}(\mathbb{R}^2) \) and \( \alpha' \in (0, \beta) \), then

\[ \|v\|_{C^{\alpha',0}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq c \int_0^t \sup_{\mathbb{R}^2} |j(y', \tau)| \frac{d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{1-\gamma/2}}, \quad \epsilon > 0. \]

The proof is the same as in the preceding proposition.

We proceed by estimating the expressions \( \mathbb{T}_k^{(1)} g_k = (\mathcal{A}_{\chi_k} - \chi_k \mathcal{A}) \psi_k \mathbb{R}_k g_k \) and \( \mathbb{T}_k^{(3)} g_k = \psi_k \mathcal{A}_k (\psi_k \mathbb{R}_k - \mathbb{R}_k \psi_k) g_k \). We make use of the formula

\[ \mathcal{A}_{\chi_k} - \chi_k \mathcal{A} = -2\mu_1 (\mathcal{G}_{\chi_k} - \chi_k \mathcal{G}) \mathcal{G} - 2\mu_1 \mathcal{G} (\mathcal{G}_{\chi_k} - \chi_k \mathcal{G}). \]

By (1.32), (3.10), (3.12), and (3.14), we have

\[
\| (\mathcal{G}_{\chi_k} - \chi_k \mathcal{G}) \psi_k \mathbb{R}_k g_k \|_{C^{\alpha',0}(S_t)} \leq c \int_0^t \sup_{S_t} |\psi_k \mathbb{R}_k g_k| \frac{d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{1-\gamma/2}} \leq c \int_0^t \sup_{U_{k,\tau}} |\psi_k \mathbb{R}_k g_k| \frac{d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{1-\gamma/2}},
\]

(3.16)

\[
\| (\mathcal{G}_{\chi_k} - \chi_k \mathcal{G}) \psi_k \mathbb{R}_k g_k \|_{C^{\alpha',0}(S_t)} \leq c \int_0^t \sup_{S_{k,\tau}} |\psi_k \mathbb{R}_k g_k| \frac{d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{1-\gamma/2}} \leq c \int_0^t |g_k| \frac{d\tau}{(t-\tau)^{1-\gamma/2}}.
\]

License or copyright restrictions may apply to redistribution; see https://www.ams.org/journal-terms-of-use
Hence,

\begin{equation}
(3.17) \quad \| \mathcal{T}_k^{(1)} g_k \|_{C^{\alpha_e} \left( U_{k, r} \right)} + \| \mathcal{T}_k^{(3)} g_k \|_{C^{\alpha_e} \left( U_{k, r} \right)} \leq c \int_0^t \| g_k \|_{C^{\alpha_e} \left( U_{k, r} \right)} \frac{d\tau}{(t - \tau)^{1 - \epsilon/2}}.
\end{equation}

Finally, we turn our attention to $T^{(2)} g$. We show that the expressions $\chi_k (A - A_k) \psi_k$ can be made meaningful, although the operators $A$ and $A_k$ are defined in different spaces. We work in the coordinates $\{ y^{(k)} \}$. Since

$$A = -2 \mu_1 G + \frac{\mu_2}{2} I, \quad A_k = -2 \mu_1 G_k + \frac{\mu_2}{2} I,$$

where $G_k$ and $G_k$ are the operators $G_0$, $G_0$ defined on the plane $T_k$, we have

\begin{equation}
(3.18) \quad \chi_k (A - A_k) \psi_k = -2 \mu_1 \chi_k (G G_0 - G_0 G_k) \psi_k = -2 \mu_1 \chi_k (G G_k - G_k G_0) \psi_k + 2 \mu_1 \chi_k (G G_0 - G_k G_0) \psi_k.
\end{equation}

We represent $G$ and $G$ in the form $G = G' + G''$, $G = G' + G''$, where

$$G'' m = \int_S (n(x) - n(y)) \times \nabla E(x - y) m(y, t) dS,$$

$$G' m = \int_S n(y) \times \nabla E(x - y) m(y, t) dS,$$

$$G'' \lambda = \int_0^t d\tau \int_S (n(x) - n(y)) \times \nabla \nabla (x - y - \tau) \cdot \lambda(y, t) dS,$$

$$G' \lambda = \int_0^t d\tau \int_S n(y) \times \nabla \nabla (x - y - \tau) \cdot \lambda(y, t) dS.$$

Next, we extend $\Phi_k (y^{(k)})$ from the disk $D_{2\delta} = \{|y'| \leq 2\delta\}$ into $\mathbb{R}^2$ in such a way that the extended function $\Phi_k$ vanishes for $|y'| \geq 4\delta$ and satisfies (3.3). We denote by $S_k^+$ the surface given by

$$y^{(k)}_+ = \Phi_k (y^{(k)}), \quad y^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Finally, we set

$$G_k^- m = \int_{S_k^+} n(y) \times \nabla E(x - y) m(y, t) dS,$$

$$G_k^- \lambda = \int_0^t d\tau \int_{S_k^+} n(y) \times \nabla \nabla (x - y - \tau) \cdot \lambda(y, t) dS.$$

By $n^+$ we mean the normal to $S_k^+$ (it is equal to $e_3$ for $|y'| \geq 4\delta$).

Since supp $\chi_k$, supp $\psi_k$, supp $\omega_k \subset U_k (2\delta)$, we have

$$\chi_k G^\alpha \omega_k = \chi_k G_k^\alpha \omega_k, \quad \omega_k G^\alpha \psi_k = \omega_k G_k^\alpha \psi_k,$$

so that

$$\chi_k (G \omega_k G - G^\alpha \omega_k G_k) \psi_k$$

$$= \chi_k (G'' \omega_k G + G' \omega_k G'' + G'' \omega_k G') \psi_k + \chi_k (G'' \omega_k G_k - G_k \omega_k G_k) \psi_k$$

$$= \chi_k (G'' \omega_k G_k - G_k \omega_k G_k) + (G_k G \omega_k G_k + G_k (G_k \omega_k G_k)) \psi_k$$

$$+ \chi_k ((G_k \omega_k G_k - G_k \omega_k G_k) (G_k \omega_k G_k) + (G_k \omega_k G_k - G_k \omega_k G_k) G_k + G_k (G_k \omega_k G_k)) \psi_k$$

and

\begin{equation}
(3.19) \quad \mathcal{T}^{(2)} g = \mathcal{T}^{(4)} g + \mathcal{T}^{(5)} g.
\end{equation}
where

\begin{equation}
(3.20) \quad \mathcal{T}^{(4)} g = -2\mu_1 \sum_{k=1}^{M} \chi_k \left( (\mathcal{G}_k^* - \mathcal{G}_k) (\mathcal{G}_k^* - \mathcal{G}_k) + (\mathcal{G}_k^* - \mathcal{G}_k) \mathcal{G}_k + \mathcal{G}_k (\mathcal{G}_k^* - \mathcal{G}_k) \right) \psi_k \mathcal{R}_k g_k
\end{equation}

is the principal part of \( \mathcal{T}^{(2)} g \), and

\begin{align*}
\mathcal{T}^{(5)} g &= -2\mu_1 \sum_{k=1}^{M} \chi_k (\mathcal{G}_k' \omega_k \mathcal{G} + \mathcal{G}' \omega_k \mathcal{G}') \psi_k \mathcal{R}_k g_k \\
&\quad - 2\mu_1 \sum_{k=1}^{M} \chi_k \left( (\mathcal{G}_k^* - \mathcal{G}_k) \omega_k - \omega_k (\mathcal{G}_k^* - \mathcal{G}_k) \mathcal{G}_k^* + \mathcal{G}_k (\mathcal{G}_k^* - \mathcal{G}_k) - (\mathcal{G}_k - \mathcal{G}_k) \omega_k \right) \\
&\quad \quad + (\mathcal{G}_k \omega_k - \omega_k \mathcal{G}_k) \mathcal{G}_k - (\mathcal{G}_k - \omega_k \mathcal{G}_k) \mathcal{G}_k \psi_k \mathcal{R}_k g_k
\end{align*}

is the sum of the remaining terms in \( \mathcal{T}^{(2)} g \), such that

\begin{equation}
(3.21) \quad \| \mathcal{T}^{(5)} g \|_{C^0(S_t)} \leq c \int_0^t \| g \|_{C^0(S_{\tau})} \frac{d\tau}{(t - \tau)^{1 - \epsilon/2}}, \quad \epsilon \in (0, 1),
\end{equation}

in view of Propositions 4, 5, and 6.

We consider \( \mathcal{T}^{(4)} g \). In the coordinate system \( \{ y^{(k)} \} \), we have

\begin{equation}
(3.22) \quad (\mathcal{G}_k^* - \mathcal{G}_k) f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\mathbf{n}(\eta') \times \nabla E(y - \eta) - e_3 \cdot \nabla E(y' - \eta', 0)) f(\eta', t) \, d\eta',
\end{equation}

where

\[ \mathbf{n}(\eta') = n^*(\eta') \sqrt{1 + |\nabla' \Phi^*_k(\eta')|^2} = (-\Phi^*_k, \eta_1, -\Phi^*_k, \eta_2, 1) \]

and

\[ y = (y' - \eta', \Phi^*_k(y') - \Phi^*_k(\eta')). \]

The kernels of the potentials (3.22) are

\begin{align*}
\mathbf{E}^*(y', \eta') &= \mathbf{n}(\eta') \times \nabla E(y - \eta) - e_3 \times \nabla E(y' - \eta', 0) \\
&= \frac{1}{4\pi} \left( -\Phi^*_{k, \eta_2} \frac{\Phi^*_k(y') - \Phi^*_k(\eta')}{|y - \eta|^3} - \frac{y_2 - \eta_2}{|y - \eta|^3} y_1 - \eta_1 \right) \\
&\quad + \Phi^*_{k, \eta_1} \frac{\Phi^*_k(y') - \Phi^*_k(\eta')}{|y - \eta|^3} y_1 - \eta_1 + \Phi^*_{k, \eta_1} \frac{y_2 - \eta_2}{|y - \eta'|^3} - \Phi^*_{k, \eta_2} \frac{y_2 - \eta_2}{|y - \eta'|^3} + \Phi^*_{k, \eta_2} \frac{y_1 - \eta_1}{|y - \eta'|^3},
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
\mathbf{G}^*(y', \eta', t - \tau) &= \mathbf{n}(\eta') \times \nabla \Gamma(y - \eta, t - \tau) - e_3 \times \nabla \Gamma(y' - \eta', 0, t - \tau) \\
&= \frac{1}{4\pi(t - \tau)^{3/2}} \left( \left( \Phi^*_{k, \eta_2} \frac{\Phi^*_k(y') - \Phi^*_k(\eta')}{2(t - \tau)} + \frac{y_2 - \eta_2}{2(t - \tau)} e^{-\frac{|y - \eta|^2}{4(t - \tau)}} \right) \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{y_2 - \eta_2}{2(t - \tau)} e^{-\frac{|y - \eta'|^2}{4(t - \tau)}} - \frac{y_1 - \eta_1}{2(t - \tau)} - \Phi^*_{k, \eta_1} \frac{\Phi^*_k(y') - \Phi^*_k(\eta')}{2(t - \tau)} e^{-\frac{|y - \eta|^2}{4(t - \tau)}} \\
&\quad + \frac{y_1 - \eta_1}{2(t - \tau)} e^{-\frac{|y - \eta'|^2}{4(t - \tau)}} + \left( \Phi^*_{k, \eta_1} \frac{y_2 - \eta_2}{2(t - \tau)} - \Phi^*_{k, \eta_2} \frac{y_1 - \eta_1}{2(t - \tau)} e^{-\frac{|y - \eta|^2}{4(t - \tau)}} \right).
\end{align*}
Since
\[
\frac{1}{|y - \eta|^2} - \frac{1}{|y' - \eta'|^2} = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{ds} \left( \frac{(|y' - \eta'|^2 + s(\phi_k(y') - \phi_k(\eta'))^2}{2} \right) ds
\]
\[
= \frac{3}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{(\phi_k(y') - \phi_k(\eta'))^2}{4(t - \tau)} ds,
\]
\[
e^{-\frac{|y-\eta|^2}{4(t-\tau)}} - e^{-\frac{|y'-\eta'|^2}{4(t-\tau)}}
\]
the kernels $E^*$ and $G^*$ satisfy
\[
|E^*(y', \eta')| \leq c\delta|y' - \eta'|^{-2},
\]
\[
|E^*(y', \eta') - E^*(\zeta', \eta')| \leq c\delta|y' - \zeta'| |y' - \eta'|^{-3}, \quad \text{if } 2|y' - \zeta'| \leq |y' - \eta'|,
\]
\[
(G^*(y', \eta', t - \tau) - G^*(\zeta', \eta', t - \tau))
\]
\[
\leq c|y' - \zeta'|((|y' - \eta'|^{-2} + (t - \tau))^{-5/2}) \quad \text{whenever } 2|y' - \zeta'| \leq |y' - \eta'|.
\]

In addition, from the Stokes formula it follows that
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} E^*(y', \eta')\,d\eta' = 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G^*(y', \eta', t - \tau)\,d\eta' = 0.
\]

We also notice that $\text{supp}_\eta E^*, \text{supp}_\eta G^* \subset \mathcal{U}_k(4\delta)$.

**Proposition 7.** The potentials (3.22) satisfy the inequalities
\[
\|((\mathcal{I}_k - \mathcal{G}_k))f\|_{C^{\alpha'}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq c\delta\|f\|_{C^{\alpha'}(\mathbb{R}^2)},
\]
\[
\|((\mathcal{I}_k - \mathcal{G}_k))f\| \leq c\delta\|f\|_{C^{\alpha'}(\mathbb{R}^2)},
\]
\[
\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, T)} |((\mathcal{I}_k - \mathcal{G}_k))f| \leq c\delta \int_0^T \|f(\cdot, \tau)\|_{C^{\alpha'}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \frac{d\tau}{(t - \tau)^{1 - \alpha'/2}},
\]
where $\alpha' \in (0, \alpha)$.

**Proof.** Let $u(y') = (\mathcal{I}_k - \mathcal{G}_k)f$. We have
\[
u(y') = \int_{\mathcal{U}_k(4\delta)} E^*(y', \eta')(f(\eta') - f(y'))\,d\eta' = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} E^*(y', \eta')(f(\eta') - f(y'))\,d\eta',
\]
which implies that
\[
|u(y')| \leq c\delta\|f\|_{C^{\alpha'}(\mathbb{R}^2)},
\]
\[
u(y') - u(z')
\]
\[
= \int_{\sigma_r} E^*(y', \eta')(f(\eta') - f(y'))\,d\eta' - \int_{\sigma_r} E^*(z', \eta')(f(\eta') - f(z'))\,d\eta'
\]
\[
+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \sigma_r} (E^*(y', \eta') - E^*(z', \eta'))(f(\eta') - f(z'))\,d\eta' + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \sigma_r} (f(z') - f(y')) E^*(y', \eta')\,d\eta',
\]
where $r = 2|y' - z'|$, $\sigma_r = \{\eta' \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |y' - \eta'| \leq 2r\}$. Using (3.25) and the inequality
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \sigma_r} E^*(y', \eta')\,d\eta' \leq c\delta,
\]
which is a consequence of the Stokes formula
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \sigma_r} \mathbf{E}^*(y', \eta') \, d\eta' = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} E(y - \eta) \, d\lambda_3 - \int_{\partial \sigma_r} E(y' - \eta') \, d\lambda_3,
\]
\(\ell = \{\eta' \in \partial \sigma_r, \eta_3 = \Phi^*_r(\eta')\}\), we obtain
\[
|u(y') - u(\eta')| \leq c\delta r^{\alpha'} \|f\|_{C_{\alpha'}(\mathbb{R}^2)}.
\]
This completes the proof of (3.27).

Inequalities (3.28) are proved by similar arguments. If \(v(y', t) = (\mathcal{G}_k^* - \mathcal{G}_k)f\), then
\[
v(y', t) = \int_0^t \|f\|_{C_{\alpha'}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \, d\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{G}^*(y', \eta' - t - \tau) \cdot (f(\eta', \tau) - f(y', \tau)) \, d\eta',
\]
\[
|v(y', t)| \leq c\delta \|f\|_{C_{\alpha'}(\mathbb{R}^2)},
\]
\[
v(y', t) - v(z', t) = \int_0^t \|f\|_{C_{\alpha'}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \, d\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{G}^*(y', \eta' - t - \tau) \cdot (f(\eta', \tau) - f(y', \tau)) \, d\eta'
\]
\[
- \int_0^t \|f\|_{C_{\alpha'}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \, d\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{G}^*(z', \eta' - t - \tau) \cdot (f(\eta', \tau) - f(z', \tau)) \, d\eta'
\]
\[
+ \int_0^t \|f\|_{C_{\alpha'}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \, d\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\mathcal{G}^*(y', \eta' - t - \tau) - \mathcal{G}^*(z', \eta' - t - \tau)) \cdot (f(\eta', \tau) - f(z', \tau)) \, d\eta',
\]
\[
|v(y', t) - v(\eta', t)| \leq c\delta r^{\alpha'} \|f\|_{C_{\alpha'}(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \quad t \leq T.
\]
Finally, we get
\[
|v(y', t)| \leq c\delta \int_0^t \|f\|_{C_{\alpha'}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \, d\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|y' - \eta'|^{\alpha'} \, d\eta'}{|y' - \eta|^2 + (t - \tau)^2} \leq c\delta \int_0^t \|f\|_{C_{\alpha'}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \, d\tau (t - \tau)^{1-\alpha'/2}.
\]
The proposition is proved. \(\square\)

To complete the proof of Theorem 2, we must estimate \(\|\nabla Z^{(4)}g\|_{C_{\alpha'}(\mathbb{R}^2)}\). We have
\[
\|\nabla_m \nabla Z^{(4)}g\|_{C_{\alpha'}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq c \max_k \left( \|\nabla Z^{(4)}g_k\|_{C_{\alpha'}(\mathbb{R}^2)} + c\delta^{\alpha'} \sup_{U_{k,t}} \|\nabla Z^{(4)}g_k\|_{C_{\alpha'}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \right),
\]
where
\[
\nabla Z^{(4)}g_k = ((\mathcal{G}^*_k - \mathcal{G}_k)(\mathcal{G}^*_k - \mathcal{G}_k) + (\mathcal{G}^*_k - \mathcal{G}_k)\mathcal{G}_k + \mathcal{G}_k(\mathcal{G}^*_k - \mathcal{G}_k)) \psi_k \mathcal{R}_k g_k.
\]
By Proposition 7,
\[
\|\nabla Z^{(4)}g_k\|_{C_{\alpha'}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq c\delta \|\psi_k \mathcal{R}_k g_k\|_{C_{\alpha'}(\mathbb{R}^2)}
\]
\[
\leq c\delta \left( \|g_k\|_{C_{\alpha'}(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \sup_{U_{k,t}} |\mathcal{L} k g_k| \right),
\]
where \(\mathcal{L}_k\) is the integral operator with the kernel \(L\) on \(T_k\) (see (3.4)). By (1.19),
\[
\mathcal{L}_k g_k = \int_0^t \, d\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} L(ax' - y', t - \tau) (g_k(y', \tau) - g_k(x', \tau)) \, dy'.
\]
Hence, the last term in (3.30) is controlled by
\[
c\delta^{1-\alpha'} \int_0^t \|g_k\|_{C_{\alpha'}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \, d\tau (t - \tau)^{1-\alpha'/2},
\]
and \(\nabla Z^{(4)}g\) satisfies (3.9). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.
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