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#### Abstract

Given a prime $p$, a permutation group is constructed that contains at least $p^{p-2}$ nonconjugated regular elementary Abelian subgroups of order $p^{3}$. This gives the first example of a permutation group with exponentially many nonconjugated regular subgroups.


## §1. Introduction

A transitive permutation group is said to be regular if the one-point stabilizer of it is trivial. Regular subgroups of permutation groups arise in many natural contexts, for example, in group factorizations [4], Schur rings [6, Cayley graphs [1], etc. In the present paper, given a group $H$ and a permutation group $\Gamma$, we are interested in the number

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{H}(\Gamma):=|\operatorname{Orb}(\Gamma, \operatorname{Reg}(\Gamma, H))| \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

of orbits in the action of $\Gamma$ by conjugation on the set $\operatorname{Reg}(\Gamma, H)$ of all its regular subgroups isomorphic to $H$. Using terminology and arguments of 1 , one can see that if $\Gamma$ is the automorphism group of an object of a concrete category $\mathcal{C}$, then $b_{H}(\Gamma)$ equals the number of pairwise nonequivalent representations of this object as a Cayley object over $H$ in $\mathcal{C}$. As $\mathcal{C}$ one can take, for example, the category of finite graphs or other combinatorial structures.

Let $H$ be a cyclic group. Then, obviously, $b_{H}(\Gamma)$ is bounded from above by number $c(\Gamma)$ of the conjugacy classes of full cycles contained in $\Gamma$. It was proved in [5] that the latter number does not exceed $n=|H|, 1$ Thus, in this case $b_{H}(\Gamma) \leq n$.

The simplest noncyclic case appears when $H$ is an elementary Abelian group $E_{p^{2}}$. Here, $b_{H}(\Gamma) \leq b_{H}(P)$ by the Sylow theorem, where $P$ is a Sylow $p$-subgroup of the group $\Gamma$. To estimate $b_{H}(P)$, without loss of generality we may assume that $P$ is a transitive $p$-group of degree $p^{2}$ the action of which on some imprimitivity system induces a regular (cyclic) group of order $p$, i.e., $P$ belongs to the class defined in the same way as the class $\mathcal{E}_{p}$ in Theorem 1.1 below with $p^{3}$ replaced by $p^{2}$. With the help of the technique developed in $\S_{2}$ we can describe the set $\operatorname{Reg}(\Gamma, H)$ (cf. Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 2.4). Then applying [2, Theorem 6.1], one can prove that $b_{H}(P) \leq p$. Thus, in this case we also have $b_{H}(\Gamma) \leq n$.

[^0]In the above two cases, the number $b_{H}(\Gamma)$ does not exceed $n$ for all $\Gamma$. The main result of the present paper (Theorem (1.1) shows that in the general case, neither this bound, nor even substantially weaker bounds are valid.

Theorem 1.1. Let $H=E_{p^{3}}$, where $p$ is a prime. Denote by $\mathcal{E}_{p}$ the class of all transitive p-groups of degree $p^{3}$ the action of which on some imprimitivity system induces a regular group isomorphic to $E_{p^{2}}$. Then there exists a group $\Gamma \in \mathcal{E}_{p}$ such that $b_{H}(\Gamma) \geq p^{p-2}$.

From Theorem 1.1, it follows that there is no function $f$ for which the inequality $b_{H}(\Gamma) \leq n^{f(r)}$ holds true for all Abelian groups $H$ of rank at most $r$ and all permutation groups $\Gamma$ of degree $n$. It would be interesting to find an invariant $t=t(\Gamma)$ such that

$$
b_{H}(\Gamma) \leq n^{f(r, t)}
$$

for a function $f$ of $r$ and $t$; for instance, one can try to take $t=t(\Gamma)$ to be the minimal positive integer $t^{\prime}$ for which the group $\Gamma$ is $t^{\prime}$-closed as a permutation group in the sense of $[7]^{2}$.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is given in $\$ 3$ It is based on a representation of the groups belonging to the class $\mathcal{E}_{p}$ with the help of two-variable polynomials over the field $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. The details are presented in $\mathbb{Y}_{2}$. It is of interest to note that the stabilizer of the imprimitivity system in every group $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{E}_{p}$ is, up to language, a Generalized Reed-Muller code 3].
Notation. As usual, $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ and $\operatorname{Sym}(V)$ denote the field of order $p$ and the symmetric group on the set $V$. An elementary Abelian $p$-group of order $p^{n}$ is denoted by $E_{p^{n}}$.

## §2. Permutation groups and polynomials

Let $p$ be a prime. Denote by $R_{p}$ the factor ring of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{F}_{p}[X, Y]$ modulo the ideal generated by the polynomials $X^{p}-1$ and $Y^{p}-1$. The images of the variables $X$ and $Y$ are denoted by $x$ and $y$, respectively. Denote by $V$ the disjoint union of the one-dimensional subspaces

$$
V_{i, j}=\left\{\alpha x^{i} y^{j}: \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{p}\right\}, \quad i, j=0, \ldots, p-1,
$$

of the ring $R_{p}$ viewed as a linear space over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$.
Every element $f=\sum_{i, j} \alpha_{i, j} x^{i} y^{j}$ of $R_{p}$ yields a permutation

$$
\sigma_{f}: \alpha x^{i} y^{j} \mapsto\left(\alpha+\alpha_{i, j}\right) x^{i} y^{j}
$$

of the set $V$. This produces a permutation group on $V$ with $p^{2}$ orbits $V_{i, j}$ that is isomorphic to the additive group of the ring $R_{p}$. For a subgroup $I$ of the latter group, the corresponding subgroup of $\operatorname{Sym}(V)$ is denoted by $\Delta(I)$. Also, we define two commuting permutations

$$
\tau_{x}: \alpha x^{i} y^{j} \mapsto \alpha x^{i+1} y^{j}, \quad \tau_{y}: \alpha x^{i} y^{j} \mapsto \alpha x^{i} y^{j+1} .
$$

Clearly, each of them commutes with the permutation $s=\sigma_{f_{0}}$, where $f_{0}=\sum_{i, j} x^{i} y^{j}$. The following statement is straightforward.

Lemma 2.1. In the above notation, we have
(1) $\tau_{x}^{-1} \sigma_{f} \tau_{x}=\sigma_{f x}$ and $\tau_{y}^{-1} \sigma_{f} \tau_{y}=\sigma_{f y}$ for all $f \in R_{p}$,
(2) $G_{0}:=\left\langle s, \tau_{x}, \tau_{y}\right\rangle$ is a regular group on $V$ isomorphic to $E_{p^{3}}$.

Set $\Gamma(I)$ to be the group generated by $\Delta(I)$ and $\tau_{x}, \tau_{y}$. If $I$ is an ideal of $R_{p}$, then, by statement (1) of Lemma 2.1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(I) \unlhd \Gamma(I) \quad \text { and } \quad \Gamma(I) / \Delta(I) \cong E_{p^{2}} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $I$ is not an ideal, then $\Gamma(I)=\Gamma\left(I^{\prime}\right)$, where $I^{\prime}$ is the ideal of $R_{p}$ generated by $I$.

[^1]Theorem 2.2. Let $p$ be a prime. Then
(1) for every ideal $I \neq 0$ of the ring $R_{p}$, the group $\Gamma(I)$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{E}_{p}$,
(2) every group $\Gamma \in \mathcal{E}_{p}$ with $b_{H}(\Gamma)>0$ is permutation isomorphic to the group $\Gamma(I)$ for some ideal $I$ of $R_{p}$.

Proof. To prove statement (1), let $I \neq 0$ be an ideal of $R_{p}$. Then at least one of the sets $V_{i, j}$ is an orbit of the group $\Delta(I)$. Since $\tau_{x}$ and $\tau_{y}$ commute, the group $\left\langle\tau_{x}, \tau_{y}\right\rangle$ acts regularly on the set $S=\left\{V_{i, j}: i, j=0, \ldots, p-1\right\}$. This implies that the group $\Gamma(I)$ is transitive and $S$ is an imprimitivity system of it. The action of $\Gamma(I)$ on this system induces a regular group isomorphic to $E_{p^{2}}$ that is generated by the images of $\tau_{x}$ and $\tau_{y}$ with respect to this action. Thus, $\Gamma(I) \in \mathcal{E}_{p}$.

Let $\Gamma \in \mathcal{E}_{p}$. Then $\Gamma$ is a transitive $p$-group of degree $p^{3}$, the action of which on some imprimitivity system $S^{\prime}$ induces a regular group isomorphic to $E_{p^{2}}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\Gamma \leq \operatorname{Sym}(V)$ with $V$ as above. Furthermore, since $b_{H}(\Gamma)>0$, the group $\Gamma$ contains a regular subgroup $G^{\prime}$ isomorphic to $H=E_{p^{3}}$. Choose an element $s^{\prime} \in G^{\prime}$ such that $\operatorname{Orb}\left(\left\langle s^{\prime}\right\rangle, V\right)=S^{\prime}$. Then there exists a group isomorphism

$$
\varphi: G^{\prime} \rightarrow G_{0}
$$

taking $s^{\prime}$ to $s$ (see statement (2) of Lemma 2.1). Since $\varphi$ is induced by a permutation of $V$, we may assume that $S^{\prime}=S$ and $G_{0} \in \operatorname{Reg}\left(\Gamma, E_{p^{3}}\right)$. Note that the permutation $s$ belongs to the stabilizer $\Delta$ of the blocks $V_{i, j}$ in $\Gamma$. Therefore, $\operatorname{Orb}(\Delta, V)=S$. Since the restriction of $\Delta$ to $V_{i, j}$ is a $p$-group of degree $p$ that contains the restriction of $s$ to $V_{i, j}$ for all $i, j$, this implies that

$$
\Delta \leq \Delta\left(R_{p}\right)
$$

It follows that $\Delta=\Delta(I)$ for a subgroup $I$ of $R_{p}$. Taking into account that $\Delta$ is normalized by $\tau_{x}$ and $\tau_{y}$, we conclude that $I$ is an ideal of $R_{p}$ by statement (1) of Lemma 2.1.

Any maximal element in the class $\mathcal{E}_{p}$ is permutation isomorphic to the (imprimitive) wreath product of regular groups isomorphic to $E_{p}$ and $E_{p^{2}}$. One of these maximal elements equals the group $\Gamma_{p}:=\Gamma\left(R_{p}\right)$; set also $\Delta_{p}=\Delta\left(R_{p}\right)$. We need two auxiliary lemmas.

Lemma 2.3. Let $g, h \in R_{p}$. Then the order of the permutation $t_{g, x}=\sigma_{g} \tau_{x}$ (respectively, $t_{h, y}=\sigma_{h} \tau_{y}$ ) equals $p$ if and only if $g \in a R_{p}$ (respectively, $h \in b R_{p}$ ), where $a=x-1$ and $b=y-1$.
Proof. Let $g=\sum_{i, j} \alpha_{i, j} x^{i} y^{j}$, and let $v=\alpha x^{i} v^{j}$ be a point of $V$. Then by the definition of $t_{g, x}$, we have

$$
v^{t_{g, x}}=\left(\alpha+\alpha_{i, j}\right) x^{i+1} y^{j}
$$

This implies that the order of $t_{g, x}$ equals $p$ if and only if the following condition is satisfied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \alpha_{i, j}=0, \quad j=0, \ldots, p-1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that this is always true whenever $g \in a R_{p}$. Conversely, suppose that relations (3) are fulfilled for some $g \in R_{p}$. Then

$$
\alpha_{0, j}=\alpha_{1, j}^{\prime}-\alpha_{0, j}^{\prime}, \ldots, \alpha_{p-1, j}=\alpha_{0, j}^{\prime}-\alpha_{p-1, j}^{\prime}
$$

where $\alpha_{i, j}^{\prime}=\sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \alpha_{k, j}$ for all $i, j$. It follows that $g=a g^{\prime}$ with $g^{\prime}=\sum_{i, j} \alpha_{i, j}^{\prime} x^{i} y^{j}$. This completes the proof of the first statement. The second statement (on the order of $t_{h, y}$ ) is proved similarly.

Lemma 2.4. A permutation group $G$ belongs to the set $\operatorname{Reg}\left(\Gamma_{p}, E_{p^{3}}\right)$ if and only if there exist elements $g \in a R_{p}$ and $h \in b R_{p}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\left\langle s, t_{g, x}, t_{h, y}\right\rangle \quad \text { and } \quad a h=b g . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. To prove the "only if" part, suppose that $G \in \operatorname{Reg}\left(\Gamma_{p}, E_{p^{3}}\right)$. Then $G$ is a selfcentralizing subgroup of $\operatorname{Sym}(V)$. On the other hand, the centralizer of $G$ in $\operatorname{Sym}(V)$ contains the central element $s$ of the group $\Gamma_{p}$. Thus, $s \in G$. The other two generators of $G$ can obviously be chosen so that their images with respect to the epimorphism $\Gamma_{p} \rightarrow \Gamma_{p} / \Delta_{p}$ coincide with $x$ and $y$. By Lemma 2.3, this implies that there exist $g \in a R_{p}$ and $h \in b R_{p}$ for which the first identity in (4) holds true. Next, since the group $G$ is Abelian, the definition of $t_{g, x}$ and $t_{h, y}$ implies that

$$
\sigma_{g} \tau_{x} \sigma_{h} \tau_{y}=t_{g, x} t_{h, y}=t_{h, y} t_{g, x}=\sigma_{h} \tau_{y} \sigma_{g} \tau_{x}
$$

Each of the permutations on the left- and right-hand sides takes the point $\alpha x^{i} y^{j} \in V_{i, j}$ to a certain point $\alpha^{\prime} x^{i+1} y^{j+1} \in V_{i+1, j+1}$. Calculating the images of the former point with respect to them, we obtain

$$
\alpha+g_{i, j}+h_{i+1, j}=\alpha^{\prime}=\alpha+h_{i, j}+g_{i, j+1}
$$

or equivalently, $h_{i+1, j}-h_{i, j}=g_{i, j+1}-g_{i, j}$ for all $i, j$. Therefore, $a h=x h-h=y g-g=b g$, as required.

Conversely, let $G$ be the group defined by relations (4). Then the above argument shows that the permutations $s, t_{g, x}$, and $t_{h, y}$ pairwise commute. Therefore, the group $G$ is Abelian. Moreover, the definition of $s$ and Lemma 2.3 imply that $G$ is elementary Abelian and transitive. Thus, $G \in \operatorname{Reg}\left(\Gamma_{p}, E_{p^{3}}\right)$, as required.

## §3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

By statement (1) of Theorem [2.2, we may restrict ourselves to looking for a group $\Gamma$ of the form $\Gamma(I)$, where $I$ is an ideal of the ring $R_{p}$.

For every integer $k \geq 0$, set

$$
I_{k}=\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{F}_{p}}\left\{a^{i} b^{j}: i+j \geq k\right\}
$$

where the elements $a$ and $b$ are as in Lemma 2.4. Clearly, $I_{k}$ is an ideal of $R_{p}$, and $I_{k+1} \subseteq I_{k}$ for all $k$, and also $I_{k}=0$ for $k>2(p-1)$. Below, the kernels of the mappings $I_{k} \rightarrow a I_{k}$ and $I_{k} \rightarrow b I_{k}$ induced by the multiplication by $a$ and $b$ are denoted by $A_{k}$ and $B_{k}$, respectively.

Lemma 3.1. Suppose that $p \leq k \leq 2(p-1)$. Then
(1) $\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{k}\right)=\binom{2 p-k}{2}$,
(2) $a I_{k}=b I_{k}=I_{k+1}^{2}$,
(3) $\operatorname{dim}\left(A_{k}\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(B_{k}\right)=2 p-k-1$.

Proof. The leading monomials of the polynomials

$$
(x-1)^{i}(y-1)^{j}, \quad 0 \leq i, j \leq p-1
$$

are obviously linearly independent. Therefore, the polynomials $a^{i} b^{j}$ with $i+j \geq k$ form a linear basis of the ideal $I_{k}$. This immediately proves statement (1). To prove statement (2), we note that, obviously, $a I_{k} \subseteq I_{k+1}$. Conversely, let $c \in I_{k+1}$. Since $k \geq p$, we have $c=a b u$ for some $u \in I_{k-1}$, which proves the reverse inclusion. The rest of statement (2) is proved similarly. Finally, statement (3) follows, because the linear space $A_{k}$ (respectively, $B_{k}$ ) is spanned by the monomials $a^{p-1} b^{i}$ (respectively, $a^{i} b^{p-1}$ ) with $k-p+1 \leq i \leq p-1$.

In what follows, for a subgroup $G$ of a group $\Gamma$ we denote by $G^{\Gamma}$ the set of all $\Gamma$-conjugates of $G$.

Lemma 3.2. Let $\Gamma_{k, p}=\Gamma\left(I_{k}\right)$, where $k$ is as in Lemma 3.1. Then
(1) $\left|\Gamma_{k, p}\right|=p^{2+\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{k}\right)}$,
(2) $\left|\operatorname{Reg}\left(\Gamma_{k, p}, E_{p^{3}}\right)\right|=p^{\operatorname{dim}\left(A_{k}\right)+\operatorname{dim}\left(B_{k}\right)+\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{k+1}\right)-2}$,
(3) $p^{\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{k}\right)-4} \leq\left|G^{\Gamma_{k, p}}\right| \leq p^{\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{k}\right)-1}$ for all $G \in \operatorname{Reg}\left(\Gamma_{k, p}, E_{p^{3}}\right)$.

Proof. Obviously, $\left|\Delta\left(I_{k}\right)\right|=p^{\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{k}\right)}$. Therefore, statement (1) follows from the righthand side of formula (2). Next, from Lemma [2.4] it follows that

$$
\operatorname{Reg}\left(\Gamma_{k, p}, E_{p^{3}}\right)=\left\{G_{g, h}:(g, h) \in M\right\},
$$

where $G_{g, h}=\left\langle s, t_{g, x}, t_{h, y}\right\rangle$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\left\{(g, h) \in\left(I_{k} \cap a R_{p}\right) \times\left(I_{k} \cap b R_{p}\right): a h=b g\right\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, $I_{k} \cap a R_{p}=I_{k} \cap b R_{p}=I_{k}$, because $k \geq p$. So by statement (2) of Lemma 3.1, the element $a h=b g$ runs over the ideal $I_{k+1}$, whenever $(g, h)$ runs over the set $M$. By the definition of $A_{k}$ and $B_{k}$, this implies that

$$
|M|=p^{\operatorname{dim}\left(A_{k}\right)+\operatorname{dim}\left(B_{k}\right)+\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{k+1}\right)} .
$$

Thus, to complete the proof of statement (2), it suffices to verify that $G_{g, h}=G_{g^{\prime}, h^{\prime}}$ if and only if $t_{g, x}=s^{i} t_{g^{\prime}, x}$ and $t_{h, y}=s^{j} t_{h^{\prime}, y}$ for some $0 \leq i, j \leq p-1$. However, this is true, because $G_{g, h}=G_{g^{\prime}, h^{\prime}}$ if and only if $\varphi\left(G_{g, h}\right)=\varphi\left(G_{g^{\prime}, h^{\prime}}\right)$, where $\varphi$ is the quotient epimorphism of $\Gamma_{k, p}$ modulo the group $\langle s\rangle$.

To prove statement (3), we note that, by statement (1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G^{\Gamma}\right|=\frac{|\Gamma|}{|N|}=\frac{p^{2+\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{k}\right)}}{|C| \cdot|N / C|}, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma=\Gamma_{k, p}$, and $N$ and $C$ are, respectively, the normalizer and centralizer of $G$ in $\Gamma$. Since $G$ is a regular elementary Abelian group and the quotient $N / C$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of a Sylow $p$-subgroup $P$ of the group $\operatorname{Aut}(G) \cong G L(3, p)$ (here we use the fact that $\Gamma$ is a $p$-group), we conclude that

$$
|C|=|G|=p^{3} \quad \text { and } \quad 1 \leq|N / C| \leq|P| .
$$

However, $|P|=p^{3}$. Thus, statement (3) follows from formula (6).
To complete the proof of Theorem 1.1, we note that $\operatorname{Reg}\left(\Gamma_{k}, E_{p^{3}}\right)$ is the disjoint union of distinct sets $G^{\Gamma_{k}}$, where $\Gamma_{k}=\Gamma_{k, p}$ as in Lemma 3.2 and $G \in \operatorname{Reg}\left(\Gamma_{k}, E_{p^{3}}\right)$. Therefore, setting $m_{k}$ and $M_{k}$ to be, respectively, the minimum and maximum of the numbers $\left|G^{\Gamma_{k}}\right|$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|\operatorname{Reg}\left(\Gamma_{k}, E_{p^{3}}\right)\right|}{m_{k}} \geq b_{H}\left(\Gamma_{k}\right) \geq \frac{\left|\operatorname{Reg}\left(\Gamma_{k}, E_{p^{3}}\right)\right|}{M_{k}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, by statement (3) of Lemma 3.2 $m_{k} \geq p^{\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{k}\right)-4}$ and $M_{k} \leq p^{\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{k}\right)-1}$. By statement (2) of Lemma 3.2, this implies that

$$
\frac{\left|\operatorname{Reg}\left(\Gamma_{k}, E_{p^{3}}\right)\right|}{m_{k}} \leq p^{d+2} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\left|\operatorname{Reg}\left(\Gamma_{k}, E_{p^{3}}\right)\right|}{M_{k}} \geq p^{d-1}
$$

where $d=\operatorname{dim}\left(A_{k}\right)+\operatorname{dim}\left(B_{k}\right)+\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{k+1}\right)-\operatorname{dim} I_{k}$. Moreover, by statements (1) and (3) of Lemma 3.1] we have $d=2 p-k-1$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{2 p-k+1} \geq b_{H}\left(\Gamma_{k}\right) \geq p^{2 p-k-2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This lower bound for $b_{H}\left(\Gamma_{k}\right)$ with $k=p-1$ proves Theorem 1.1.
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