

## ANALYTICAL PROBLEMS OF THE ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF MARKOV FUNCTIONALS. II

UDC 519.21

S. V. DEGTYAR'

ABSTRACT. The asymptotic behavior of Markov functionals of a homogeneous ergodic Markov process is studied in this paper.

This paper is a continuation of [1]. We number the displayed formula continuously after the last number in [1].

Let us turn to the proof of the theorem. First we show that

$$(48) \quad h_\varepsilon^i(x, t \cdot s) \xrightarrow[\substack{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ t \rightarrow \infty \\ \varepsilon t \rightarrow u}]{\delta^{il}} e^{c_{ii}us} \langle \pi, \varphi \rangle.$$

We recall that  $\langle \pi, \varphi \rangle = \int_E \pi(dy) \varphi(y)$  and the convergence is uniform with respect to  $x \in D$  and  $t \in [\sigma, T]$  for all  $T > \sigma > 0$ .

To prove the latter relation we use a result similar to the Markov renewal theorem. Let a family of nonnegative semihomogeneous kernels  $G_\varepsilon(x, dy \times dt)$  depending on a small parameter  $\varepsilon > 0$  converge as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  to a nonnegative stochastic kernel  $G(x, dy \times dt)$  in the sense that

$$(49) \quad \sup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \left\| \int_0^\infty G_\varepsilon(\cdot, A \times dt) \varphi(t) - \int_0^\infty G(\cdot, A \times dt) \varphi(t) \right\| \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0$$

for all continuous bounded functions  $\varphi(t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , where

$$\|f\| = \inf\{c: |f(x)| \leq ch(x)\} \quad \pi\text{-almost everywhere.}$$

Denote by  $G_\varepsilon(x, dy)$  and  $G(x, dy)$  the bases of the kernels  $G_\varepsilon(x, dy \times dt)$  and  $G(x, dy \times dt)$ , respectively. Let  $U_\varepsilon(x, dy \times dt)$  denote the potential of the kernel  $G_\varepsilon(x, dy \times dt)$ .

We assume that the kernel  $G(x, A)$  does not depend on  $x$ , that is,

$$(50) \quad G(x, A) = \pi(A) \quad \text{and} \quad h(x) = 1,$$

where  $\pi(A)$  is a probability distribution on  $(E, \mathcal{B})$ .

We denote by  $\lambda_\varepsilon$  the spectral radius of the kernel  $G_\varepsilon(x, A) = G_\varepsilon(x, A \times [0, \infty))$  and by  $\pi_\varepsilon$  the eigenprobability measure of the kernel  $G_\varepsilon(x, A)$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_\varepsilon$ . Put  $\gamma_\varepsilon = (1 - \lambda_\varepsilon)/m$ .

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 60K15; Secondary 60J25.

*Key words and phrases.* Markov functionals, homogeneous ergodic process.

**Theorem 1.** *Assume that conditions (49) and (50) hold. We also assume that*

a) *the kernel  $G(x, dy \times dt)$  is nonlattice and*

$$(51) \quad \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \sup_{x \in E} \int_0^\infty G_\varepsilon(x, E \times dt) t < \infty,$$

$$(52) \quad \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \int_E \int_T^\infty \pi(dx) G_\varepsilon(x, E \times dt) t \xrightarrow{T \rightarrow \infty} 0;$$

b) *a family of  $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}_+$ -measurable functions  $g_\varepsilon(x, t)$  is such that  $g_\varepsilon(x, t) \geq 0$  and*

$$(53) \quad \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \sup_{x \in E} \int_0^\infty g_\varepsilon(x, t) dt < \infty,$$

$$(54) \quad \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \sup_{x \in E} \sup_{t \geq 0} U_\varepsilon * g_\varepsilon(x, t) < \infty,$$

$$(55) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \sup_{x \in E} \sum_{k=N}^\infty \sup_{k \leq t \leq k+1} g_\varepsilon(x, t) = 0,$$

$$(56) \quad \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \delta \int_E \pi(dx) \sum_{k=0}^\infty \left\{ \sup_{k\delta \leq t \leq k\delta + \delta} g_\varepsilon(x, t) - \inf_{k\delta \leq t \leq k\delta + \delta} g_\varepsilon(x, t) \right\} \xrightarrow{\delta \rightarrow 0} 0;$$

c) *there exists a  $\mathcal{B}_+$ -measurable function  $g(t)$  such that*

$$(57) \quad \int_0^\infty \left| g(t) - \int_E \pi(dx) g_\varepsilon(x, t) \right| dt \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0;$$

d) *there exists a number  $\delta > 0$  such that*

$$(58) \quad \inf_{\varepsilon > 0} \inf_{x \in E} G_\varepsilon(x, E \times [\delta, \infty)) \geq \delta,$$

$$(59) \quad \int_E \pi_\varepsilon(dx) G_\varepsilon(x, A \times [\delta, \infty)) \geq \delta \pi_\varepsilon(A).$$

Then

$$\lim_{\substack{\gamma_\varepsilon t \rightarrow c \\ \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ t \rightarrow \infty}} U_\varepsilon * g_\varepsilon(x, t) = e^{-c} \frac{1}{m} \int_0^\infty g(s) ds$$

uniformly with respect to  $x \in E$ , where

$$m = \int_E \int_0^\infty \pi(dx) G(x, E \times dt) t < \infty.$$

Conditions (12)–(15) imply that the kernels  $Q_\varepsilon^i$  satisfy all the assumptions of Theorem 2. Now we show that the functions  $g_\varepsilon^i(x, t)$  satisfy conditions (55)–(57). Without loss of generality, we assume that  $0 \leq \varphi(x) \leq 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{k \leq t \leq k+1} g_\varepsilon^i(x, t) &= \sup_{k \leq t \leq k+1} \mathbb{P}_{x,i} \{ \varphi(X(t)), \xi_\varepsilon(t) = l, t \leq \tau \} \leq \mathbb{P}_x \{ k < \tau \} \\ &\leq \int_{k-1}^k \mathbb{P}_x \{ \tau > t \} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in D} \sum_{k=N+1}^\infty \sup_{k \leq t \leq k+1} g_\varepsilon^i(x, t) &= \sup_{x \in D} \sum_{k=N+1}^\infty \int_{k-1}^k \mathbb{P}_x \{ \tau > t \} dt = \sup_{x \in D} \int_N^\infty \mathbb{P}_x \{ \tau > t \} dt \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in D} [\mathbb{P}_x \{ \tau > N \} + \mathbb{P}_x \{ \tau, \tau > N \}]. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (14), the latter expression approaches zero as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , and this proves the uniform convergence of series (55) with respect to  $n \geq 1$  and  $x \in D$ .

Now we check condition (56). It follows from (16) that the process  $\{\varphi(X(t)), \xi_\varepsilon(t)\}$  is stochastically continuous uniformly in  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $t \in [0, T]$  for an arbitrary initial distribution of the process  $X(t)$ . In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{a \leq s \leq t \leq b} \mathbb{P}_{x,i} |\varphi(X(t)) - \varphi(X(s))| &\xrightarrow[b-a \rightarrow 0]{0 \leq a \leq b \leq T} 0, \\ \sup_{a \leq s \leq t \leq b} \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \mathbb{P}_{x,i} \{\xi_\varepsilon(t) = l, \xi_\varepsilon(s) \neq l\} &\xrightarrow[b-a \rightarrow 0]{0 \leq a \leq b \leq T} 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $T > 0$ ,  $i, l \in I$ , and  $x \in E$ .

Next we apply the inequality

$$(60) \quad \begin{aligned} &\sup_{a \leq t \leq b} g_\varepsilon^i(x, t) - \inf_{a \leq t \leq b} g_\varepsilon^i(x, t) \\ &\leq \sup_{a \leq s \leq t \leq b} \mathbb{P}_{x,i} |\varphi(X(t)) - \varphi(X(s))| + \sup_{a \leq s \leq t \leq b} \mathbb{P}_{x,i} \{\xi_\varepsilon(t) = l, \xi_\varepsilon(s) \neq l\} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}_{x,i} \{a \leq \tau \leq b\}. \end{aligned}$$

The further reasoning is the same as that used in the proof of Theorem 3, Chapter 2, Section 2 in [2] and this proves condition (56). Since

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P}_{x,i} \{\xi_\varepsilon(t) \neq i\} = 0,$$

relation (57) follows from (13) for all  $x \in E$ ,  $i \in I$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , and for the function

$$g(t) = \delta^{il} \mathbb{P}_{\pi_D} [\varphi(X(t)), t < \tau].$$

Note that

$$\frac{1}{m} \int_0^\infty g(t) dt = \delta^{il} \langle \pi, \varphi \rangle,$$

whence (48) follows.

Consider a sequence of functions  $p_k^i(t)$ ,  $k \geq 0$ , defined by the following recurrence relation:

$$\begin{aligned} p_0^i(t) &= \delta^{il} e^{c_{ii}t}, \\ p_{k+1}^i(t) &= \int_0^t e^{c_{ii}s} ds \sum_{j \neq i} c_{ij} p_k^j(t-s). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the sum of the series

$$\sum_{k=0}^\infty p_k^i(t) = p^{il}(t)$$

is equal to the entry  $(i, l)$  of the matrix  $\exp\{tC\}$ , where

$$C = \|c_{ij}\|_{i,j=1}^\infty.$$

We prove by induction in  $k \geq 0$  that

$$(61) \quad \lim_{\substack{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ t \rightarrow \infty \\ \varepsilon t \rightarrow u}} h_{\varepsilon,k}^i(x, t) = p_k^i(u) \langle \pi, \varphi \rangle$$

for all  $u \geq 0$  and  $i \in I$  uniformly in  $x \in D$ . Recall that the functions  $h_{\varepsilon,k}^i(x, t)$  are defined in (19).

Equality (61) coincides with (48) for  $k = 0$ . We show that (48) holds for  $k = 1$  uniformly in  $0 \leq t \leq T$  and  $x \in D$  for all  $T > 0$ . We have

$$(62) \quad h_{\varepsilon,1}^i(x,t) = \sum_{j \neq i} \int_D \int_0^1 R_{\varepsilon}^{ij}(x, dy \times t ds) h_{\varepsilon}^j(y, t(1-s)).$$

Using (48) we get

$$h_{\varepsilon}^j(y, t(1-s)) = \langle \pi, \varphi \rangle p_0^j(u(1-s)) + r_{\varepsilon}^j(y(1-s)),$$

where

$$(63) \quad \sup_{y \in D} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T-\sigma} |r_{\varepsilon}^j(y, 1-s)| \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

The term corresponding to an index  $j$  on the right hand side of (62) can be represented as follows:

$$(64) \quad \int_0^1 R_{\varepsilon}^{ij}(x, D \times t ds) p_0^j(u(1-s)) \langle \pi, \varphi \rangle + \int_D \int_0^{1-\sigma} R_{\varepsilon}^{ij}(x, dy \times t ds) r_{\varepsilon}^j(y, 1-s) \\ + \int_D \int_{1-\sigma}^1 R_{\varepsilon}^{ij}(x, dy \times t ds) r_{\varepsilon}^j(y, 1-s).$$

According to Lemma 2, the first term of (64) converges as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  to  $\langle \pi, \varphi \rangle p_1^j(u)$  uniformly in  $x \in D$  and  $0 \leq u \leq T$ . We apply Lemma 2 to the second term and deduce from (63) that it converges to zero uniformly in  $x \in D$  and  $0 \leq u \leq T$ . If  $\sigma > 0$  is sufficiently small, then the third term also is small uniformly in  $x \in D$  and  $0 \leq u \leq T$ .

The proof of the induction step from  $k$  to  $k+1$  for  $k \geq 1$  is the same as above, and thus we omit it.

It follows from (20), (21), (61), (17), and (48) that

$$\lim_{\substack{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ t \rightarrow \infty \\ \varepsilon t \rightarrow u}} f_{\varepsilon}^i(x, t) = p^i(u) \langle \pi, \varphi \rangle$$

for all  $x \in E$  and  $u > 0$ . Thus the theorem is proved if (18) holds.

To complete the proof of the theorem we need to check condition (18). It is easy to see that the functions

$$\tilde{f}_{\varepsilon}^i(x, t) = e^{-\varepsilon t} f_{\varepsilon}^i(x, t)$$

satisfy the system of equations similar to (16); namely,

$$(65) \quad \tilde{f}_{\varepsilon}^i(x, t) = \tilde{g}_{\varepsilon}^i(x, t) + \tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon}^i * \tilde{f}_{\varepsilon}^i(x, t) + \sum_{j \neq i} \tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon}^{ij} * \tilde{f}_{\varepsilon}^j(x, t),$$

where

$$\tilde{g}_{\varepsilon}^i(x, t) = e^{-\varepsilon t} g_{\varepsilon}^i(x, t), \\ \tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon}^i(x, dy \times dt) = e^{-\varepsilon t} Q_{\varepsilon}^i(x, dy \times dt), \\ \tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon}^{ij}(x, dy \times dt) = e^{-\varepsilon t} Q_{\varepsilon}^{ij}(x, dy \times dt).$$

Following the method used in the first part of the paper to derive (17) from (16) we obtain from representation (64) that

$$\tilde{f}_{\varepsilon}^i(x, t) = \tilde{H}_{\varepsilon}^i * g_{\varepsilon}^i(x, t) + \sum_{j \neq i} \tilde{R}_{\varepsilon}^{ij} * \tilde{f}_{\varepsilon}^j(x, t),$$

where  $\tilde{H}_{\varepsilon}^i$  is the potential of the kernel  $Q_{\varepsilon}^i$ ,

$$\tilde{R}_{\varepsilon}^{ij} = \tilde{H}_{\varepsilon}^i * \tilde{Q}_{\varepsilon}^{ij}.$$

Now we check that the kernels  $\tilde{R}_\varepsilon^{ij}(x, dy \times dt)$  satisfy condition (18). Recalling the notation for  $\hat{R}_{\varepsilon, \alpha}^{ij}(x, A)$  we obtain

$$\tilde{R}_\varepsilon^{ij}(x, A \times [0, \infty)) = \tilde{R}_{\varepsilon, 1}^{ij}(x, A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}.$$

This together with (41) and Lemma 1 yields

$$\sup_{x \in D} \sum_{j \neq i} \tilde{R}_\varepsilon^{ij}(x, D \times [0, \infty)) \leq \frac{-c_{ii}}{1 - c_{ii}}$$

for all sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Putting

$$r = \sup_i \frac{-uc_{ii}}{1 - uc_{ii}}$$

we prove condition (18) with  $\tilde{R}_\varepsilon^{ij}$  instead of  $R_\varepsilon^{ij}$ .

Assuming that conditions (17)–(19) and (47) hold, we get

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon m} [\mathbb{P}_{\pi_D, i} \{e^{-\varepsilon\tau}, \xi_\varepsilon(\tau) = i\} - 1] = c_{ii} - 1.$$

Applying the part of Theorem 1 already proved to the function  $\tilde{f}_\varepsilon^i(x, t)$ , we find that

$$(66) \quad \lim_{\substack{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ t \rightarrow \infty \\ \varepsilon t \rightarrow u}} \tilde{f}_\varepsilon^i(x, t) = p^{il}(u) \langle \pi, \varphi \rangle$$

if relations (17)–(19) and (47) hold, where

$$\|p^{il}(u)\|_{i, l=1}^\infty = \exp\{u(C - I)\} = e^{-u} \exp\{uC\}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\tilde{f}_\varepsilon^i(x, t) = e^{-\varepsilon t} f_\varepsilon^i(x, t).$$

Comparing this result with equality (66) we complete the proof of the theorem in the general case.

The proof of Theorem 4 follows the same lines; thus we omit it. In the proof one should apply the following result.

**Theorem 2.** *Assume that conditions (49)–(51) hold and*

- a) *the step of the kernel  $G(x, dy \times dt)$  is equal to one and*

$$G_\varepsilon(x, E \times [0, \infty)) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} G_\varepsilon(x, E \times \{k\})$$

*for all  $x \in E$  and  $n \geq 1$ ;*

- b)  *$\mathcal{B}$ -measurable functions  $g_\varepsilon(x, k)$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , are such that*

$$\sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \sup_{x \in E} \sum_{k \geq N} g_\varepsilon(x, k) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 0;$$

- c) *there exists a sequence  $g_k$  such that*

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} \left| g_k - \int_E \pi(dy) g_\varepsilon(x, k) \right| \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

*Then*

$$\lim_{\substack{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ k \rightarrow \infty \\ \gamma_\varepsilon k \rightarrow c}} U_\varepsilon * g_\varepsilon(x, k) = e^{-c} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k \geq 0} g_k.$$

**Theorem 3.** *Assume conditions (1), (2), (11), and (17)–(19). Let the parameter  $t$  vary in the set  $\{0, 1, \dots\}$ .*

*Then*

$$\left\{ \mathbb{P}_{x,i}[\varphi(X(t)), \xi_\varepsilon(t) = j] - p_{ij}(u) \int_E \pi(dy) \varphi(y) \right\} \xrightarrow[\substack{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ t \rightarrow \infty \\ \varepsilon t \rightarrow u}]{0}$$

*for all  $u \geq 0$ ,  $i, j \in I$ ,  $x \in E$ , and all  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable bounded functions  $\varphi(y)$ , where  $p_{ij}(u)$  is the entry  $(i, j)$  of the matrix  $e^{uC}$ ,  $C = \|c_{ij}\|_{i,j=1}^\infty$ .*

### 3. CONCLUDING REMARKS

*Remark 1.* Condition (16) holds if

$$\sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \sup_{x \in E} \mathbb{P}_{x,i} \{ \xi_\varepsilon(t) \neq i \} \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow 0]{} 0$$

for all  $i \in I$ .

*Remark 2.* We assume condition (16) in order to apply the theorem to the functions  $g_\varepsilon^i(x, t)$ . Let  $\Psi(y, j)$  be a bounded function of two arguments  $y \in E$  and  $j \in I$  that is continuous in  $y \in E$  for all  $i \in I$ . Then the functions

$$g_\varepsilon^i(x, t) = \mathbb{P}_{x,i} \{ \Psi(X(t), \xi_\varepsilon(t)), t < \tau \} = \mathbb{P}_{x,i} \{ \Psi(X(t), \xi_\varepsilon(t)), t < (\tau \wedge \zeta_\varepsilon) \}$$

satisfy the assumptions of the theorem if the integer-valued stochastic process

$$\Psi(X(t), \xi_\varepsilon(t)), \quad t \geq 0,$$

is stochastically continuous uniformly in  $\varepsilon > 0$ , that is, if

$$(67) \quad \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \mathbb{P}_{x,i} | \Psi(X(t), \xi_\varepsilon(t)) - \Psi(X(s), \xi_\varepsilon(s)) | \xrightarrow[s \rightarrow t]{} 0$$

for all  $x \in E$ ,  $i \in I$ , and  $t \geq 0$ .

This remark implies the following result.

**Corollary 1.** *If conditions (2) and (67) hold, then*

$$\lim_{\substack{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ t \rightarrow \infty \\ \varepsilon t \rightarrow u}} \left\{ \mathbb{P}_{x,i} \Psi(X(t), \xi_\varepsilon(t)) - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p_{ij}(u) \int_E \Psi(y, j) \pi(dy) \right\} = 0$$

*for all  $x \in E$ ,  $i \in I$ , and  $u \geq 0$ .*

Setting  $\Psi(y, j) \equiv 1$  we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 2.** *If condition (2) holds, then*

$$\lim_{\substack{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ t \rightarrow \infty \\ \varepsilon t \rightarrow u}} \mathbb{P}_{x,i} \{ \varepsilon \zeta_\varepsilon \geq u \} - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p_{ij}(u) = 0$$

*for all  $x \in E$ ,  $i \in I$ , and  $u > 0$ .*

### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. S. V. Degtyar', *Analytical problems of the asymptotic behavior of Markov functionals. I*, Teor. Imovir. Matem. Statist. **77** (2007), 28–35; English transl. in Theory Probab. Math. Statist. **77** (2008), 31–38. MR2432770
2. V. M. Shurenkov, *Ergodic Theorems and Related Problems*, Nauka, Moscow, 1989; English transl., VSP International Science Publishers, Leiden, 1998. MR1690361 (2000i:60002)

3. N. I. Portenko, A. S. Skorokhod, and V. M. Shurenkov, *Markov Processes*, Progress in Science and Technology. Current Problems in Mathematics. Fundamental Directions, vol. 46, Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Akad. Nauk SSSR, Moscow, 1989. (Russian) MR1039619 (91j:60113b)
4. T. Kato, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–New York, 1966. MR0203473 (34:3324)

DEPARTMENT OF HIGHER MATHEMATICS, VADYM HETMAN KYIV NATIONAL ECONOMIC UNIVERSITY,  
PEREMOGY AVENUE 54/1, KYIV 03057, UKRAINE

*E-mail address:* `sdegtyar@zra.kiev.ua`

Received 6/MAY/2005

Translated by O. I. KLESOV