

## NOTE ON THE FUNCTIONS OF THE FORM

$$f(x) \equiv \phi(x) + a_1 x^{n-1} + a_2 x^{n-2} + \cdots + a_n$$

WHICH IN A GIVEN INTERVAL DIFFER

THE LEAST POSSIBLE FROM ZERO\*

BY

H. F. BLICHFELDT

TSCHEBYCHEFF has considered the following problem:  $f(x)$  is a given function of  $x$  and of  $n$  arbitrary constants  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ , and is, together with its partial derivatives with respect to  $x, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ , continuous in the interval  $a \leq x \leq b$ ; to determine the constants  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  so that the greatest value of  $[f(x)]^2$ , in the same interval, shall differ as little as possible from zero. †

His solution is as follows:

For any given set of values of the constants  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ , let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\mu$  be all the different values of  $x$  for which  $[f(x)]^2$ , in the interval  $a \leq x \leq b$ , reaches its greatest value  $L^2$ . Then must  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\mu$  evidently satisfy the two equations

$$(I) \quad [f(x)]^2 - L^2 = 0, \quad (x - a)(x - b)f'(x) = 0.$$

If it is now possible to satisfy the  $\mu$  equations

$$(II) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial f(x_i)}{\partial a_k} N_k = f(x_i) = \pm L \quad (i=1, 2, \dots, \mu),$$

by finite, determinate values of the  $n$  quantities  $N_1, \dots, N_n$ , then it will be possible to give to the constants  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  such small increments, proportional to  $N_1, \dots, N_n$ , that the greatest absolute value of  $f(x)$ , for  $a \leq x \leq b$ , becomes less than  $L$ , which is taken positive.

---

\* Presented to the Society (Chicago) April 14, 1900, under a slightly different title. Received for publication November 21, 1900.

† *Sur les questions de minima qui se rattachent à la représentation approximative des fonctions*, Pétersbourg Mémoires, series 6, vol. 7. The above statement of TSCHEBYCHEFF'S results is taken from J. BERTRAND, *Calcul Différentiel*, p. 512.

Consequently, the set of constants  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ , for which the greatest absolute value of  $f(x)$  in the given interval is the least possible, must be such that the  $\mu$  equations (II) are inconsistent for finite values of the constants  $N_1, \dots, N_n$ .

This requires, in many cases, that  $\mu > n$ , only. In particular, if

$$f(x) \equiv x^n + a_1x^{n-1} + a_2x^{n-2} + \dots + a_n,$$

and the interval is  $-h \leq x \leq +h$ , the necessary and sufficient condition imposed upon  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  is that the equations (I),

$$[f(x)]^2 - L^2 = 0, \quad (x^2 - h^2)f'(x) = 0,$$

possess  $n + 1$  different, common solutions. These solutions are therefore  $-h$ ,  $+h$ , and all the  $n - 1$  roots of  $f'(x) = 0$ , which last are obviously double roots of  $[f(x)]^2 - L^2 = 0$ . Hence, as  $f'(x) = nx^{n-1} + \text{etc.}$ , we must have identically

$$[f(x)]^2 - L^2 = x^{2n} + \text{etc.} = (x^2 - h^2) \left( \frac{f'(x)}{n} \right)^2,$$

and therefore

$$\frac{f'(x)}{\sqrt{L^2 - [f(x)]^2}} = \frac{n}{\sqrt{h^2 - x^2}},$$

from which we get by integration,

$$f(x) = \frac{h^n}{2^{n-1}} \cos n \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{x}{h} \right) = \frac{1}{2^n} \{ [x + \sqrt{x^2 - h^2}]^n + [x - \sqrt{x^2 - h^2}]^n \},$$

the values of  $L$  and the constant of integration being readily determined. The result just given is in accord with that of BERTRAND obtained (loc. cit., pp. 514-518) by lengthy considerations involving the theory of continued fractions.\*

In general, several sets of constants  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  can be found to satisfy TSCHEBYCHEFF'S conditions. If the function  $f(x)$  involves the constants in the following manner:

$$f(x) \equiv \phi(x) + a_1x^{n-1} + a_2x^{n-2} + \dots + a_{n-1}x + a_n,$$

the labor of selecting the required set is much simplified by the following considerations.

Let us by the "maxima" of  $[f(x)]^2$  in the interval  $a \leq x \leq b$  understand those only which are equal to  $L^2$ , the greatest value of  $[f(x)]^2$  in the given interval. If we classify these maxima as *positive* or *negative* according as the corresponding value of  $f(x)$  is  $+L$  or  $-L$ , and plot the curve  $y = f(x)$ , we

\* In Liouville's Journal, ser. 2, vol. 19 (1874), pp. 319-347, TSCHEBYCHEFF has solved this problem with the additional condition imposed upon  $f(x)$ , that it either never decreases or never increases in the given interval.

shall find at least  $n$  alternations of the two kinds of maxima in the given interval.\*

The curve  $y' = \beta_1 x^{n-1} + \beta_2 x^{n-2} + \dots + \beta_n$  is continuous and can be made to cross the axis of  $X$  at  $n - 1$  given points. If the curve  $y = f(x) \equiv \phi(x) + \alpha_1 x^{n-1} + \alpha_2 x^{n-2} + \dots + \alpha_n$ , whose maxima are assumed to have their least possible absolute value, had less than  $n$  alternations of the two kinds of maxima, we could construct a curve  $y' = \beta_1 x^{n-1} + \beta_2 x^{n-2} + \dots + \beta_n$  which would have positive ordinates whenever  $y = f(x)$  possessed negative maxima, and vice versa, at the same time making the largest of its ordinates in the given interval as small as we please. The maxima of the curve

$$y = f(x) + y' \equiv \phi(x) + (\alpha_1 + \beta_1)x^{n-1} + (\alpha_2 + \beta_2)x^{n-2} + \dots + (\alpha_n + \beta_n)$$

would thus be less in absolute value than those of  $y = f(x)$ , contrary to hypothesis.

For instance, the nearest approximation to  $\sin x$  of the form  $a_1 x + a_2$  in the interval  $0 \leq x \leq h \leq \pi/2$  must be such that the curve  $y = \sin x - a_1 x - a_2$  shall have two positive maxima including one negative, or vice versa; there being just three maxima in the given interval, namely, for  $x = 0, \cos^{-1} a_1, h$ . These maxima, being given by

$$-a_2, \quad +\sqrt{1-a_1^2} - a_1 \cos^{-1} a_1 - a_2, \quad \sin h - a_1 h - a_2,$$

must therefore satisfy the relations:

$$\begin{aligned} (-a_2) + (+\sqrt{1-a_1^2} - a_1 \cos^{-1} a_1 - a_2) &= 0, \\ -(-a_2) + (\sin h - a_1 h - a_2) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$a_1 = \frac{1}{h} \sin h, \quad a_2 = +\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{\sin h}{h}\right)^2} - \frac{\sin h}{2h} \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{\sin h}{h}\right).$$

The approximation is, therefore,

$$\frac{\sin h}{h} x + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{\sin h}{h}\right)^2} - \frac{\sin h}{2h} \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{\sin h}{h}\right).$$

STANFORD UNIVERSITY, CAL.

---

\* The writer has not access to the original memoirs of TSCHEBYCHEFF, in which this property may have been indicated.