

# ON THE REDUCIBILITY OF LINEAR GROUPS\*

BY

LEONARD EUGENE DICKSON

The object of this note is a two-fold generalization of LOEWY'S theorem proved in these Transactions, vol. 4, pp. 171-177. His theorem may be conveniently stated as follows: If  $R$  is the domain of all real numbers and  $C$  the domain of all complex numbers, any group of linear homogeneous transformations with coefficients in  $R$  which is irreducible in  $R$ , but reducible in  $C$ , can be transformed linearly into a decomposable group  $\begin{pmatrix} G & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{G} \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $G$  and  $\bar{G}$  are two groups irreducible in  $C$ , with coefficients not all in  $R$ , such that the coefficients in every transformation of  $\bar{G}$  are the conjugate imaginaries of the corresponding coefficients for  $G$ .

In seeking a generalization, we note that the domain  $C$  may be considered as derived from  $R$  by the adjunction of a root  $i$  of the quadratic equation  $x^2 + 1 = 0$  belonging to and irreducible in  $R$ . For the generalization,  $R$  is replaced by a general domain  $F$  (or field not having a modulus) and  $R(i)$  is replaced by the domain  $F(\rho_0)$  given by the extension of  $F$  by the adjunction of a root  $\rho_0$  of an equation  $f(x) = 0$  of degree  $r$  belonging to and irreducible in  $F$ . The generalization will therefore be two-fold. Let the roots of  $f(x) = 0$  be  $\rho_0, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_{r-1}$ . If  $G_{11}$  is a group of transformations with coefficients  $C_{ij}(\rho_0)$  in the domain  $F(\rho_0)$ , let  $G_{11}^{(s)}$  denote the group of transformations with the coefficients  $C_{ij}(\rho_s)$ ; in particular,  $G_{11}^{(0)} = G_{11}$ . The coefficients of  $G_{11}, G_{11}', \dots, G_{11}^{(r-1)}$  are thus conjugate with respect to  $F$ . The generalized theorem is as follows:

*Let  $G$  be a group of linear homogeneous transformations with coefficients in a domain  $F$ , such that  $G$  is irreducible in  $F$  but is reducible in the domain  $F(\rho_0)$  given by the extension of  $F$  by the adjunction of a root  $\rho_0$  of an equation belonging to and irreducible in  $F$  and having as its roots  $\rho_0, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_{r-1}$ . Then  $G$  can be transformed linearly into a decomposable group\**

---

\* Presented to the Society at the Boston summer meeting, August 31-September 1, 1903. Received for publication April 27, 1903.

† When the irreducible equation is a normal equation, the groups  $G_{11}^{(s)}$  ( $s = 0, 1, \dots, r - 1$ ) are all irreducible in the same (normal) domain. LOEWY'S case furnishes an example.

$$\begin{matrix}
 G_{11} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\
 0 & G'_{11} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & G''_{11} & \dots & 0 \\
 \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & G_{11}^{(r-1)}
 \end{matrix}$$

where  $G_{11}^{(s)}$  is a group irreducible in  $F(\rho_s)$  with coefficients not all in  $F$ , and  $G_{11}, G'_{11}, \dots, G_{11}^{(r-1)}$  are conjugate with respect to  $F$ .

The proof starts as in LOEWY, §1. The first variation \* occurs at the bottom of p. 173; we now take  $r$ -fold decomposable matrices

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} G & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & G & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & G \end{bmatrix}, \quad Q = \begin{bmatrix} P & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & P' & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & P^{(r-1)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Corresponding changes are to be made in the first two statements on p. 174. Thus, the diagonal groups in (6) are to be replaced by

$$G_{11}, G_{22}, G'_{11}, G'_{22}, G''_{11}, G''_{22}, \dots, G_{11}^{(r-1)}, G_{22}^{(r-1)}.$$

In place of the transformation † (7), we have

$$(7') \quad y_{jk} = \sum_{i=1}^n C_{ki}^{(j)} y_{ji}^* \quad (k=1, \dots, n; j=0, \dots, r-1),$$

where  $C_{ki}^{(j)}$  is a rational function of  $\rho_j$  with coefficients in  $F$ , and

$$(7'') \quad C_{ki}^{(j)} = 0 \quad (k=1, \dots, m; i=m+1, \dots, n; j=0, \dots, r-1).$$

Introduce two pairs each of  $rn$  new variables defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
 (8') \quad y_{sk} &= Y_{0k} + \rho_s Y_{1k} + \rho_s^2 Y_{2k} + \dots + \rho_s^{r-1} Y_{r-1k} \\
 (8'') \quad y_{sk}^* &= Y_{0k}^* + \rho_s Y_{1k}^* + \rho_s^2 Y_{2k}^* + \dots + \rho_s^{r-1} Y_{r-1k}^*
 \end{aligned} \quad \left( \begin{matrix} s=0, \dots, r-1 \\ k=1, \dots, n \end{matrix} \right).$$

This may be done since the determinant

$$\Delta \equiv \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \rho_0 & \rho_0^2 & \dots & \rho_0^{n-1} \\ 1 & \rho_1 & \rho_1^2 & \dots & \rho_1^{n-1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & \rho_{r-1} & \rho_{r-1}^2 & \dots & \rho_{r-1}^{n-1} \end{vmatrix} = \Pi (\rho_i - \rho_j) \neq 0.$$

\* The statement on p. 173, lines 7-8, is apparently not used later; a proof follows readily from the main theorem under consideration.

† LOEWY's notation is unwieldy even in his simple case. I write  $y_{0k}, y_{1k}$  for his  $y_k, z_k$ . The transformed variables are marked \* instead of being primed.

Solving (8') for fixed  $k$ , while  $s = 0, \dots, r - 1$ , we get

$$(e) \quad \Delta Y_{tk} = \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} (-1)^s D_{ts} y_{sk} \quad (t=0, 1, \dots, r-1),$$

where

$$D_{ts} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho_0 & \dots & \rho_0^{t-1} & \rho_0^{t+1} & \dots & \rho_0^{r-1} \\ 1 & \rho_1 & \dots & \rho_1^{t-1} & \rho_1^{t+1} & \dots & \rho_1^{r-1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & \rho_{s-1} & \dots & \rho_{s-1}^{t-1} & \rho_{s-1}^{t+1} & \dots & \rho_{s-1}^{r-1} \\ 1 & \rho_{s+1} & \dots & \rho_{s+1}^{t-1} & \rho_{s+1}^{t+1} & \dots & \rho_{s+1}^{r-1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & \rho_{r-1} & \dots & \rho_{r-1}^{t-1} & \rho_{r-1}^{t+1} & \dots & \rho_{r-1}^{r-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Substituting for  $y_{sk}$  in (e) its value from (7') and then eliminating  $y_{si}^*$  by (8'), we obtain

$$(9) \quad Y_{tk} = \sum_{\substack{i=1, \dots, n \\ t=0, \dots, r-1}} \alpha_{ii}^{tk} Y_{ii}^* \quad (k=1, \dots, n; t=0, \dots, r-1),$$

where

$$\alpha_{ii}^{tk} \equiv \frac{(-1)^t}{\Delta} \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} (-1)^s D_{ts} C_{ki}^{(s)} \rho_s^t.$$

The coefficients of transformation (9) belong to the domain  $F'$ . It suffices to show that each  $\alpha_{ii}^{tk}$  is unaltered by the interchange of  $\rho_0$  with  $\rho_j$  ( $j$  being any one of the series  $1, 2, \dots, r - 1$ ), since it is then a symmetric function of  $\rho_0, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_{r-1}$  with coefficients in  $F'$ . To show that, for example, it is unaltered by the interchange of  $\rho_0$  with  $\rho_1$ , we note that under this interchange,  $D_{t0}$  and  $D_{t1}$  are interchanged,  $D_{ts}$  ( $s > 1$ ) is changed into  $-D_{ts}$  while  $C_{ki}^{(0)} \equiv C_{ki}(\rho_0)$  and  $C_{ki}^{(1)} \equiv C_{ki}(\rho_1)$  are interchanged, and  $C_{ki}^{(s)}$  ( $s > 1$ ) is unaltered. Hence the factor of  $\alpha$  given by the sum is changed in sign; likewise the factor  $1/\Delta$ .

Moreover, from (7<sub>a</sub>) follows at once

$$\alpha_{ii}^{tk} = 0 \quad (i = m + 1, \dots, n; k = 1, \dots, m; t, l = 0, 1, \dots, r - 1).$$

The group of transformations (9) is therefore of LOEWY'S form (10),  $\bar{H}_{11}$  being always a matrix of  $rm$  rows and  $rm$  columns. The proof is then readily completed as in LOEWY'S case (bottom of p. 175 and 176).

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO,  
April 27, 1903.