The theory of the invariants of a linear differential equation is not new. Laguerre, Briowski, Halphen, Forsyth, Bouton, Fano and others have written important papers on the subject. But in almost all of these papers the point of view is essentially analytical. It is only in the brilliant contributions of Halphen that one finds the idea of founding a geometry of curves upon this theory. But even Halphen's papers do not give the groundwork for a thorough comprehension of the subject. This is to be found in the geometric interpretation of the semi-covariants which we shall discuss in this paper. With this as a basis, the whole theory becomes clear and transparent, and Halphen's results can easily be connected with it.

I have myself shown in recent years, how the general projective theory of ruled surfaces depends upon the theory of the invariants and covariants of a system of two linear differential equations of the second order. The present paper is governed by similar ideas, and to some extent depends upon this other theory. It is the main purpose of this paper to bring up the general projective theory of curves, based upon the theory of invariants, to the same level of perfection as the corresponding theory of ruled surfaces. Some of the theorems which we shall find, have of course been known for a long time. But even for most of these our proofs will be new.

§ 1. The invariants and covariants.

We shall confine our attention to the linear differential equation of the fourth order

\[ y^{(4)} + 4p_1 y^{(3)} + 6p_2 y'' + 4p_3 y' + p_4 y = 0, \]

where

\[ y' = \frac{dy}{dx}, \quad y'' = \frac{d^2 y}{dx^2}, \text{ etc.} \]

If we make the transformations

\[ y = \lambda(x) \tilde{y}, \quad \xi = \xi(x), \]
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where \( \lambda \) and \( \mu \) are arbitrary functions, we obtain another equation of the same form as (1). The functions of the coefficients of (1), which remain invariant under these transformations, are the invariants. If they involve also the functions \( y, y', y'', y''' \), they are called covariants.

To determine these invariant functions, it is best according to Lie's theory to make use of infinitesimal transformations. But this has been done in a number of papers by other authors and need not therefore be repeated. We shall, however, need the finite transformations for the later parts of this paper, and shall, therefore, write them down.

Consider first the simpler transformation

\[ y = \lambda(x) \tilde{y}, \]

of the dependent variable alone. We shall speak of the corresponding invariant functions as seminvariants and semi-covariants. Let

\[ \tilde{y}^{(3)} + 4\pi \tilde{y}^{(3)} + 6\pi_3 \tilde{y}'' + 4\pi_5 \tilde{y}' + \mu_4 \tilde{y} = 0 \]

be the transformed equation. Then

\[
\begin{align*}
\pi_1 &= \frac{\lambda' + p_1 \lambda}{\lambda}, \\
\pi_2 &= \frac{\lambda'' + 2p_1 \lambda' + p_2 \lambda}{\lambda}, \\
\pi_3 &= \frac{\lambda^{(3)} + 3p_1 \lambda'' + 3p_2 \lambda' + p_3 \lambda}{\lambda}, \\
\pi_4 &= \frac{\lambda^{(4)} + 4p_1 \lambda^{(3)} + 6p_2 \lambda'' + 4p_3 \lambda' + p_4 \lambda}{\lambda},
\end{align*}
\]

whence one may deduce the absolute seminvariants

\[
\begin{align*}
P_2 &= p_2 - p_1^2 - p_1^2, \\
P_3 &= p_3 - p_1^2 - 3p_1p_2 + 2p_1^3, \\
P_4 &= p_4 - 4p_1p_3 - 3p_2^2 + 12p_1^2p_2 - 6p_1^4 - p_1^{(3)},
\end{align*}
\]

and the relative semi-covariants, besides \( y \) which is obviously itself a semi-covariant,

\[
\begin{align*}
z &= y' + p_1 y, \\
\rho &= y'' + 2p_1 y' + p_2 y, \\
\sigma &= y^{(3)} + 3p_1 y'' + 3p_2 y' + p_3 y.
\end{align*}
\]

The absolute semi-covariants are \( z/y, \rho/y, \sigma/y \). All other semi-covariants and seminvariants are functions of these and of the derivatives of \( P_2, P_3, P_4 \).
From (4) we deduce the following equations, which we shall use later:

\begin{align*}
y' &= -p_1 y + z, \\
z' &= -P_2 y - p_1 z + \rho, \\
\rho' &= -(P_3 - P_2')y - 2P_2 z - p_1 \rho + \sigma, \\
\sigma' &= -(P_4 - P_3')y - 3(P_3 - P_2')z - 3P_2 \rho - p_1 \sigma,
\end{align*}

and also

\begin{align*}
y'' &= (2p_1^2 - p_2)y - 2p_1 z + \rho, \\
y''' &= (-p_3 + 6p_1 p_2 - 6p_1^2)y + (-3p_2 + 6p_1^2)z - 3p_1 \rho + \sigma, \\
y^{(4)} &= (-p_4 + 8p_1 p_3 - 36p_1^2 p_2 + 6p_2^2 + 24p_1^4)y \\
&
\quad + (-4p_3 + 24p_1 p_2 - 24p_1^3)z + (-6p_2 + 12p_1^2)\rho - 4p_1 \sigma.
\end{align*}

We now proceed to make a transformation of the independent variable \(\xi = \xi(x)\). We find, denoting the coefficients of the transformed equation by \(\bar{p}_k\),

\begin{align*}
\bar{p}_1 &= \frac{1}{\bar{\xi}^3} (p_1 + \frac{6}{7} \eta), \\
\bar{p}_2 &= \frac{1}{(\bar{\xi}^3)3} [p_2 + 2\eta p_1 + \frac{1}{6} (4 \mu + 9 \eta^2)], \\
\bar{p}_3 &= \frac{1}{(\bar{\xi}^3)^3} [p_3 + \frac{9}{2} \eta p_2 + (\mu + \frac{3}{2} \eta^2) p_1 + \frac{1}{2} (\mu' + 4 \eta \mu + 3 \eta^3)], \\
\bar{p}_4 &= \frac{1}{(\bar{\xi}^3)^4} p_4,
\end{align*}

where we have put

\(\eta = \frac{\xi''}{\xi^5}\), \quad \mu = \eta' - \frac{3}{2} \eta^2.

We find further

\begin{align*}
\bar{z} &= \frac{1}{\bar{\xi}^3} (z + \frac{3}{7} \eta y), \\
\bar{\rho} &= \frac{1}{(\bar{\xi}^3)^3} [\rho + 2\eta z + \frac{1}{6} (4 \mu + 9 \eta^2) y], \\
\bar{\sigma} &= \frac{1}{(\bar{\xi}^3)^3} [\sigma + \frac{9}{2} \eta \rho + (\mu + \frac{3}{2} \eta^2) z + \frac{1}{2} (\mu' + 4 \eta \mu + 3 \eta^3) y],
\end{align*}

cogredient with (7).
Making use of these equations, we find

\[ P_2 = \frac{1}{(\xi')^2} [P_2 - \frac{5}{4} \mu'], \]

\[ P_3 = \frac{1}{(\xi')^3} \left[ P_3 - 3\eta P_2 - \frac{5}{4} \mu' + \frac{1}{4} \eta \mu \right], \]

\[ P_4 = \frac{1}{(\xi')^4} \left[ P_4 - 6\eta P_3 - 4\eta' P_2 + 11\eta^2 P_2 + \frac{1}{4} \eta \mu' - \frac{1}{2} \eta^2 \mu - \frac{3}{2} \mu'' + \frac{1}{8} \eta \mu^2 \right], \]

whence

\[ P_2' = \frac{1}{(\xi')^3} [P_2' - 2\eta P_2 - \frac{5}{4} \mu' + \frac{5}{3} \eta \mu], \]

\[ P_3'' = \frac{1}{(\xi')^4} \left[ P_3'' - 5\eta P_2' - 2\mu P_2 + 5\eta^2 P_2 - \frac{5}{8} \mu'' + \frac{3}{8} \mu^2 - 2 \mu \eta \eta' + \frac{3}{8} \mu' \eta \right], \]

\[ P_3' = \frac{1}{(\xi')^4} \left[ P_3' - 3\eta (P_2' + P_3) - 3\mu P_2 + \frac{1}{4} \eta \mu P_2 - \frac{5}{4} \mu'' + \frac{2}{3} \mu' \eta - \frac{2}{5} \eta \mu^2 + \frac{1}{8} \mu \eta^2 \right]. \]

We find therefore the following invariants and covariants:

\[ \theta_3 = P_3 - \frac{3}{2} P_2', \quad \theta_4 = P_4 - 2P_3' + \frac{3}{2} P_2'' - \frac{3}{2} P_2', \]

\[ \theta_{3.1} = 6\theta_3 \theta_3' - 7\theta_3'' - 10\theta_3 P_2 \theta_3, \]

\[ C_2 = 10z^3 - 15yp - 12P_2 y^2, \]

\[ C_3 = 10z^3 - 3C_2 z - 9(5\sigma + 6P_2 z + P_3 y)y^2, \]

\[ C_4 = 2\theta_3 z + \theta_3 y, \]

where the index indicates the weight. In denoting one invariant of weight 8 by \( \theta_{3.1} \) we follow a notation due to Forsyth. An invariant may be regarded as a covariant of degree zero. With this understanding, it suffices to say that the effect of the complete transformation

\[ \bar{y} = \lambda(x)y, \quad \bar{\xi} = \xi(x), \]

upon a covariant of degree \( d \) and of weight \( w \), is to transform it into \( \bar{C} \), where

\[ \bar{C} = \frac{\lambda^d}{(\bar{\xi}')^w} C. \]

Lie's theory shows that all other invariants and covariants may be deduced from these by algebraic and differentiation processes.
§ 2. Canonical forms.

Equations (2) show that if we make the transformation

\[ y = e^{-\int p_1 dx} \phi, \]

the coefficients of the resulting equation for \( \phi \) will be

\[ \pi_1 = 0, \quad \pi_2 = P_2, \quad \pi_3 = P_3, \quad \pi_4 = P_4 + 3P_2^2. \]

We shall say that the equation has been put into the semi-canonical form.

From (10) we see that if \( \xi(x) \) be chosen so that

\[ (13) \eta' - \frac{1}{2} \eta^2 = \mu = \frac{\pi}{P_2}, \]

in the resulting equation \( P_2 \) will be zero. Since \( P_2 \) is a seminvariant, any transformation of the form \( \eta = \lambda \phi \) will not disturb the equation \( P_2 = 0 \), and we may again choose \( \lambda \) so as to make the coefficient of \( d^3 \eta/d\xi^3 \) vanish. It is therefore always possible to reduce the equation to the form

\[ \frac{d^3 \eta}{d\xi^3} + 4 \pi_3 \frac{d\eta}{d\xi} + \pi_4 \eta = 0, \]

which we shall call the Laguerre-Forsyth canonical form. This is equivalent to assuming \( p_1 = p_2 = 0 \) in the original equation.

If \( \theta_3 \neq 0 \), we may transform the independent variable so as to make \( \theta_3 = 1 \). In fact we have for an arbitrary transformation

\[ \bar{\theta}_3 = \frac{1}{(\xi')^3} \theta_3. \]

If, therefore, we put

\[ (14) \xi = \int \sqrt{\bar{\theta}_3} dx, \]

\( \bar{\theta}_3 \) will be equal to unity. We may again by a transformation of the form \( \eta = \lambda \phi \) make \( p_1 \) vanish. The canonical form which is characterized by the conditions

\[ p_1 = 0, \quad \theta_3 = 1, \]

we may properly denote as the Halphen canonical form.

In our geometrical discussions only the quantity

\[ \eta = \frac{\xi''}{\xi}, \]

not \( \xi \) itself will be of any importance. \( \lambda \) also is an unimportant factor which has no geometrical significance. Equation (13) shows, therefore, that the reduction to the Laguerre-Forsyth form can always be accomplished in \( \infty^1 \) essentially different ways. It is important to remark that (13) is an equation of the Riccati form, so that the cross-ratio of any four solutions is constant.
The Halphen form on the other hand can be obtained in just one way, if it exists at all, i.e., if $\theta_3 \neq 0$. If $\theta_3$ vanishes, $\theta_4$ may be reduced to unity unless it also is equal to zero. The case when both $\theta_3$ and $\theta_4$ vanish, is especially simple. The Laguerre-Forsyth form reduces to

$$\frac{d^4 y}{dx^4} = 0.$$  

If two equations of the form (1) can be transformed into each other by a transformation of the kind here considered, we shall call them equivalent. Clearly, for equivalent equations, the corresponding absolute invariants are equal.

If equation (1) is given, the invariants $\theta_3, \theta_4, \theta_{3,1}$, etc., are known functions of $x$. Conversely, equations (12) show that if $\theta_3, \theta_4, \theta_{3,1}$ are given as arbitrary functions of $x$, provided that $\theta_3 \neq 0$, $P_2, P_3$ and $P_4$ are determined uniquely. If $\theta_3 = 0$, then $\theta_{3,1} = 0$ also, and we must assign a further condition. The function

$$(15) \quad \theta_{4,1} = 8\theta_4\theta_3 - 9(\theta_4')^2 - \frac{80}{3} P_2 \theta_4$$

is also an invariant. If $\theta_3 = 0$, and $\theta_4, \theta_{4,1}$ are given, $P_2, P_3, P_4$ are determined uniquely. If both $\theta_3$ and $\theta_4$ vanish, all invariants are zero, and the equation may be reduced to the form

$$\frac{d^4 \eta}{dx^4} = 0.$$  

As we may always assume that $p_1 = 0$, we see that the differential equation (1) is essentially determined when its invariants are given as functions of $x$.

The Lagrange adjoint of (1) is

$$(16) \quad u^{(4)} - 4p_1 u^{(3)} + 6(p_2 - 2p_1') u'' - 4(p_3 - 3p_2' + 3p_1'') u'$$

$$+ (p_4 - 4p_3' + 6p_2'' - 4p_1^{(3)}) u = 0.$$  

If $y_1, \ldots, y_4$ constitute a fundamental system of (1), the minors of $x_1, \ldots, x_4$ in the determinant

$$\begin{vmatrix}
  x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 \\
  y_1 & y_2 & y_3 & y_4 \\
  y_1' & y_2' & y_3' & y_4' \\
  y_1'' & y_2'' & y_3'' & y_4''
\end{vmatrix}$$  

multiplied by a common factor, which does not interest us, form a fundamental system of (16).
If we denote the seminvariants of (16) by \( \Pi_2, \Pi_3, \Pi_4 \), we have

\[
\Pi_2 = P_2, \quad \Pi_3 = -P_3 + 3P'_2, \quad \Pi_4 = P_4 - 4P'_3 + 6P''_2,
\]

whence follows reciprocally

\[
P_2 = \Pi_2, \quad P_3 = -\Pi_3 + 3\Pi'_2, \quad P_4 = \Pi_4 - 4\Pi'_3 + 6\Pi''_2.
\]

The invariants of (16) differ from the invariants of (1) only in this that the sign of \( \theta_3 \) is changed.

§ 3. Geometrical interpretation.

If the functions \( y_1, \ldots, y_4 \) constitute a fundamental system of (1) we may interpret them as the homogeneous coordinates of a point \( P_\nu \) of a curve \( C_\nu \) in ordinary space. The coefficients \( p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 \) of (1) are invariants of the general projective group. The transformation \( y = \lambda y \) does not change the ratios \( y_1 : y_2 : y_3 : y_4 \), and therefore leaves the curve \( C_\nu \) invariant. The transformation \( \xi = \xi(x) \) merely changes the parameter in terms of which the coordinates are expressed. It is clear therefore that any system of equations invariant under these transformations expresses a projective property of the curve \( C_\nu \) in the vicinity of the point \( P \).

The Lagrange adjoint of (1) may be taken to represent the same curve in tangential coordinates, or else a reciprocal curve in point coordinates.

We may therefore state the results of § 2 as follows: If the invariants of a curve are given as functions of \( x \), the curve is determined except for projective transformations. If the invariants of two curves, except those of weight three, are respectively equal to each other, while the invariants of weight three differ only in sign, the two curves are dualistic to each other. Those curves are self-dual for which \( \theta_3 = 0 \).

Moreover these latter curves are the only curves which are self-dual in the restricted sense that a dualistic transformation exists which converts every point of the curve into the tangent plane of that point, and vice versa, while every tangent is converted into itself.

If we put \( y = y_k (k = 1, 2, 3, 4) \) into the expressions for \( z, \rho, \sigma \) we obtain three other points \( P_z, P_\rho, P_\sigma \), which describe curves \( C_z, C_\rho, C_\sigma \) as \( x \) varies, curves which are closely connected with \( C_\nu \). \( P_z \) is clearly a point on the tangent of \( C_\nu \) constructed at \( P_\nu \); \( P_\rho \) is in the plane osculating \( C_\nu \) at \( P_\nu \), while \( P_\sigma \) is outside of this plane. These four points are never coplanar except at those exceptional points of \( C_\nu \) whose osculating planes are stationary, i. e., have more than three consecutive points in common with the curve.

In order to study the curve \( C_\nu \) in the vicinity of \( P_\nu \), it will therefore be convenient to introduce the tetrahedron \( P_\nu P_z P_\rho P_\sigma \) as tetrahedron of reference, with the further convention that if any expressions of the form

\[
u_k = \alpha_k y_k + \alpha_k z_k + \alpha_k \rho_k + \alpha_k \sigma_k \quad (k = 1, 2, 3, 4)
\]
offer themselves the coordinates of the corresponding point $P_u$ shall be $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)$.

In writing $u_k$ the index $k$ may be suppressed, so that a single expression

$$\alpha_1 y + \alpha_2 z + \alpha_3 \rho + \alpha_4 \sigma$$

represents the point $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)$ in this special system of coordinates.

As the independent variable $x$ is changed, the tetrahedron of reference is changed in accordance with equations (9). $P_y$ of course remains the same; $P_z$ is changed into $P_{\xi}$, which may obviously be any point on the tangent: etc. Thus while an arbitrary transformation of the parameter $x$ does not affect the curve $C_y$ itself, it does very materially affect the semi-covariant curves $C_z$, $C_\rho$, and $C_\sigma$. It is clear however that two transformations $\xi = \xi(x)$, for which $\eta = \xi''/\xi'$ has the same value, are geometrically equivalent. We may also, without affecting the position of the points $P_z, P_\rho, P_\sigma$, assume that (1) is written in the semi-canonical form, so that $p_1 = 0$. For, in order to put (1) into the semi-canonical form, we need only multiply $y$ by a certain factors $\lambda$, which will then also appear multiplied into the semi-covariants $z, \rho$ and $\sigma$.

Let us then assume $p_1 = 0$. We shall have $z = y'$. If we differentiate (1) and eliminate $y$ between the resulting equation and (1), we shall find

$$\left( P_4 + 3P_2^2 \right) z^{(1)} - \left( P_4' + 6P_2^2P' \right) z^{(3)} + 6P_2 \left( P_4 + 3P_2^2 \right) z''$$

(18)

$$+ \left[ (6P_2^2 + 4P_3)(P_4 + 3P_2^2) - 6P_2(P_4' + 6P_2P') \right] z'$$

$$+ \left[ (4P_3^2 + P_4 + 3P_2^2)(P_4 + 3P_2^2) - 4P_3(P_4' + 6P_2P') \right] z = 0,$$

if $P_4 + 3P_2^2 \neq 0$. If $P_4 + 3P_2^2 = 0$ we find

$$z^{(3)} + 6P_2^2 z' + 4P_3 z = 0.$$

Equation (18) determines the curve $C_z$ in the same way as (1) determines $C_y$. But if $P_4 + 3P_2^2 = 0$, $z$ satisfies (19) showing that the curve $C_z$ is in this case a plane curve. Therefore, if the variable $\xi$ be so chosen as to make $P_4 + 3P_2^2 = 0$, the corresponding curve $C_z$ is a plane section of the developable surface whose cuspidal edge is $C_y$. In harmony with this, equations (10) show that the most general value of $\eta$, which satisfies the condition $P_4 + 3P_2^2 = 0$, contains three arbitrary constants, as it should since there are $\infty^3$ planes in space.

We shall need to consider the ruled surfaces generated by those edges of our tetrahedron which meet in $P_y$. Of these we know one immediately, namely the developable which has $C_y$ as its edge of regression, and of which $P_y P_z$ is a generator. The ruled surface generated by $P_y P_\rho$ clearly has $C_\rho$ as an asymptotic curve; for, the plane $P_y P_\rho P_z$ is both osculating plane of $C_y$ at $P_y$, and
tangent plane of the surface at \( P_y \). If we assume \( \rho_1 = 0 \), this ruled surface may be studied by means of the equations

\[
y'' + P_2 y - \rho = 0,
\]

\[
\rho'' + (4P_3 - 2P'_2)y' + (P_4 - P''_2 - 2P'_2) y + 5P_2 \rho = 0,
\]

in accordance with the general theory of ruled surfaces as developed in former papers of the author. To prove (20) we need only differentiate twice the expression for \( \rho \), express \( y^{(3)} \) and \( y^{(4)} \) in terms of \( y, z, \rho, \sigma \), and eliminate \( z \) and \( \sigma \).

The ruled surface generated by \( P_y P_\sigma \) is especially important. We have,

\[
\sigma = y^{(3)} + 3p_1 y^{(3)} + 3p_2 y' + p_3 y,
\]

whence

\[
\sigma' = y^{(4)} + 3p_1 y^{(3)} + (3p'_1 + 3p_2)y'' + (3p'_2 + p_3)y' + p'_3 y,
\]

\[
\sigma'' = y^{(5)} + 3p_1 y^{(4)} + 3(2p'_1 + p_2)y^{(3)} + (3p''_1 + 6p'_2 + p_3)y'' + (3p''_2 + 2p'_3)y' + p''_3 y.
\]

From (21) we find

\[
y^{(3)} = \sigma - 3p_1 y'' - 3p_2 y' - p_3 y,
\]

\[
y^{(4)} = \sigma' - 3p_1 \sigma - 3(p'_1 + p_2 - 3p''_1)y'' - (3p'_2 + p_3 - 9p_1 p_2)y' - (p'_3 - 3p_1 p_3)y.
\]

If we substitute these values in (1) we obtain the equation

\[
3(p_2 - p'_1 - p''_1)y'' + 3(p_3 - p'_2 - p_1 p_2)y' + \sigma' + (p_4 - p_3 p_3 - p'_3)y + p_1 \sigma = 0,
\]

where the coefficient of \( y'' \) is \( 3P_2 \).

Let us differentiate both members of this equation, and eliminate \( y'' \) and \( y^{(3)} \) by means of (23) and (24). We shall find

\[
3P_2 \sigma'' = (q_3 r_3 + 3P_2 q_4) y' + (3P_2 q_1 - q_3) \sigma' + (r_4 q_3 + 3P_2 q_5) y + (3P_2 q_2 - p_1 q_3) \sigma,
\]

where

\[
q_1 = -p_1, \quad q_2 = 2p'_1 - 3p_2 + 3p''_1, \quad q_3 = -3P_3 + 3p_1 P_2, \quad q_4 = -2p'_3 + 3p''_2 + 3p_1 p'_2 - 6p'_1 p_2 - p_4 + p_1 p_3 + 9p''_2 - 9p''_1 p_2, \quad q_5 = -(p''_1 - p''_2 - p_1 p'_3 - p''_1 p_3) + 3p_4(p_2 - p'_1 - p''_1), \quad r_3 = -3(p_3 - p'_2 - p_1 p_2), \quad r_4 = -(p_4 - p''_2 - p_1 p_3).
\]
Equations (24) and (25) define the ruled surface generated by $P_yP_\sigma$. If we assume $p_1 = 0$, we find

$$y'' + p_{11}y' + p_{12}\sigma' + q_{11}y + q_{12}\sigma = 0,$$

$$\sigma'' + p_{21}y' + p_{22}\sigma' + q_{21}y + q_{22}\sigma = 0,$$

where

$$p_{11} = \frac{P_3 - P_2'}{P_2}, \quad p_{12} = \frac{1}{3P_2}, \quad q_{11} = \frac{P_4 + 3P_2^2 - P_3'}{3P_2}, \quad q_{12} = 0,$$

$$p_{21} = \frac{1}{P_2} \left[-3P_1^2 + 3P_3P_2' + 2P_2P_3' - 3P_2P_1'' + P_2P_4 - 6P_3'\right],$$

$$p_{22} = -\frac{P_3}{P_2},$$

$$q_{21} = \frac{1}{P_2} \left[-3P_4' - 6P_2^2P_3 + P_3P_4' + P_2P_4' + 6P_2^2P_2' - P_2P_3''\right],$$

$$q_{22} = 3P_2.$$

If (1) is written in the Laguerre-Forsyth form, $P_2 = 0$. In that case the two equations (27) reduce to the single equation

$$P_3y' + \frac{1}{3}\sigma' + \frac{1}{3}(P_4 - P_3)y = 0,$$

which proves that in this case the surface generated by $P_yP_\sigma$ is developable. For in this case the tangents constructed respectively to $C_y$ at $P_y$ and to $C_\sigma$ at $P_\sigma$ are coplanar. Moreover, only if $P_2 = 0$ will the surface generated by $P_yP_\sigma$ be a developable.

Let

$$\tau = \lambda y + \mu \sigma$$

represent the point at which $P_yP_\sigma$ intersects the edge of regression of the developable. Then, since $P_yP_\sigma$ must be tangent to the edge of regression, we shall have $\tau' = \alpha y + \beta \sigma$, or

$$(\lambda' - \alpha)y + (\mu' - \beta)\sigma + \lambda y' + \mu \sigma' = 0.$$

But according to (29)

$$\sigma' = -(P_4 - P_3')y - 3P_3y',$$

so that

$$(\lambda' - \alpha)y + (\mu' - \beta)\sigma + \lambda y' - \mu \left[(P_4 - P_3')y + 3P_3y'\right] = 0,$$

where for $y'$ we could also write $z$. Such a relation between $P_y, P_\sigma$ would, however, make these three points collinear, and, therefore, $P_y, P_\sigma$ co-planar, unless all of the coefficients are zero. We have seen, however, that this
can happen only for such points \( P_v \) at which the osculating plane is stationary. We must have, therefore,

\[
\lambda' - \alpha - \mu (P_4 - P_3) = 0, \quad \mu' - \beta = 0, \quad \lambda - 3\mu P_3 = 0,
\]

whence

\[
\lambda = 3\mu P_3, \quad \beta = \mu', \quad \alpha = \lambda' - \mu (P_4 - P_3).
\]

We see, therefore, that

\[
(30) \quad \tau = 3P_3y + \sigma
\]

represents the edge of regression of the developable to which the ruled surface generated by \( P_v P_\sigma \) reduces when \( P_2 = 0 \).

If \( P_1 = 0 \) and \( P_2 = 0 \), equations (2) and (10) show that the most general transformations of the variables, which do not disturb these conditions, satisfy the equations

\[
\frac{\lambda'}{\lambda} + \frac{3}{\lambda} \frac{\xi''}{\xi'} = 0, \quad \mu = \eta' - \frac{1}{2}\eta^2 = 0,
\]

which give on integration

\[
\lambda = \frac{C}{(\xi')^1}, \quad \eta = -\frac{2c}{1 + cx}.
\]

If we transform \( \tau \) under this assumption, we find that it is converted into

\[
(30a) \quad \tau = \frac{1}{(\xi')^3} \left[ \sigma + \frac{3}{2} \eta \rho + \frac{3}{2} \eta^2 \zeta + (\frac{3}{2} \eta^3 + 3P_3)y \right],
\]

where \( \eta \) may have any numerical value.

Let us recapitulate. The ruled surfaces generated by \( P_v P_\sigma \) are infinite in number. Their general expression involves an arbitrary function \( \eta \). Among these surfaces there exists a single infinity of developables. If \( P_2 = 0 \), the surface generated by \( P_v P_\sigma \) is one of these, and the locus of \( P_\tau \) is its edge of regression, where

\[
(30) \quad \tau = 3P_3y + \sigma,
\]

\( P_\tau \) being the point where \( P_v P_\sigma \) intersects the edge of regression. If we construct all the \( \infty^1 \) lines \( P_v P_\tau \) through \( P_v \), which are generators of the above mentioned family of developables, and mark upon each of them the point \( P_\tau \) where it intersects the cuspidal edge of the developable to which it belongs, the locus of these points is a twisted cubic curve. The equations of this curve referred to a parameter \( \eta \) and to the fundamental tetrahedron \( P_v P_\tau P_p P_\sigma \) are

\[
(31) \quad x_1 = 3P_3 + \frac{3}{2} \eta^2, \quad x_2 = \frac{3}{2} \eta^2, \quad x_3 = \frac{3}{2} \eta, \quad x_4 = 1.
\]

We shall see later that this cubic has five consecutive points in common with the curve \( C_v \) at \( P_v \), i.e., that it has at this point with \( C_v \) a contact of the fourth
order. We shall speak of it as the **torsal cubic of** $P_v$, on account of its connection with the developables which we have just been considering.

Equations (31) give the parametric equations of the torsal cubic referred to a special tetrahedron of reference for which $P_2 = 0$. We shall need its equations in a more general form. These may be easily obtained. Consider the expression

$$\lambda = (3\theta_3 + \frac{3}{10}P_2' + \frac{6}{5}P_2 t + \frac{3}{4}t^2)y + (\frac{6}{5}P_2 + \frac{3}{4}t^2)z + \frac{3}{5}tp + \sigma$$

in which $t$ may for the moment be regarded as a parameter independent of $x$. Denote by $\lambda$ the corresponding expression formed from the quantities $P_2$, $P_3$, etc., $\bar{y}$, $\bar{z}$, $\bar{p}$, $\bar{\sigma}$ after the general transformation $\xi = \xi(x)$. We shall find that $(\xi')^3\lambda$ is equal to $\lambda$ after $t$ has been replaced by $t\xi' + \eta = t_1$. But of course this transformation may be chosen so as to make $P_2 = 0$, which would make $\lambda$ identical with $\bar{\lambda}$ except for the notation.

We see, therefore, that the expression $\lambda$, or the equations

$$\begin{align*}
  x_1 &= 3\theta_3 + \frac{3}{10}P_2' + \frac{6}{5}P_2 \eta + \frac{3}{4}t^2, \\
  x_2 &= \frac{6}{5}P_2 + \frac{3}{4}t^2, \quad x_3 = \frac{3}{5}t, \quad x_4 = 1,
\end{align*}$$

represent the torsal cubic referred to the fundamental tetrahedron $P_v P_2 P_3 P_4$ when this is chosen in as general a way as is compatible with its definition.

If in (32) $t$ is chosen as a function of $x$, as $x$ varies we obtain a curve on the surface formed by the totality of torsal cubics. If in particular $t$ satisfies as function of $x$ the differential equation

$$t' - \frac{1}{3}t^2 = \frac{5}{6}P_2,$$

we obtain the cuspidal edge of one of the developables.

§ 4. The osculating cubic, conic and linear complex.

A space cubic is determined by six of its points provided that no four of these points are coplanar. If, therefore, we take upon $C_v$, besides $P_v$, five other points, we shall in general obtain a perfectly definite space cubic determined by these six points. As these points approach coincidence with $P_v$, this cubic will in general approach a limit, which shall be called the **osculating cubic**. We proceed to find its equations.

Let $P_v$ correspond to the value of $x = a$, which we shall suppose is an ordinary point for our differential equation. Then $y$ may be developed by Taylor’s theorem into a series proceeding according to powers of $x - a$. By putting $x - a = x'$ the development will be in powers of $x'$. We may therefore assume in the first place that $a = 0$. Let us assume, further, that $p_1 = 0$ and $P_2 = 0$. Then we shall have from (5) and (6),
In accordance with the definition of our coordinates, we denote the coefficients of \( y, z, \rho, \sigma \) in this expansion carried as far as \( x^5 \), by \( y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4 \). We may of course multiply these quantities by a common factor since the coordinates are homogeneous. We shall multiply by 120 so as to clear of fractions. This gives

\[
\begin{align*}
y_1 &= 120 - 20P_3x^3 - 5P_4x^4 - P_5x^5 + \ldots, \\
y_2 &= 120x - 20P_3x^4 - (4P_4 + P_5)x^5 + \ldots, \\
y_3 &= 60x^2 - 4P_3x^5 + \ldots, \\
y_4 &= 20x^3 + \ldots.
\end{align*}
\]

We see at once that the following equations are exact up to terms no higher than the fifth order:

\[
3y_2y_4 - 2y_3^2 = 0, \quad 5(2y_1y_3 - y_2^2) - 6P_3y_3y_4 = 0.
\]

These equations must be satisfied by the coördinates of any point of the osculating cubic, since this must have contact of the fifth order with \( C \) at \( P \). They are therefore its equations, referred to this special tetrahedron of reference. In terms of a parameter \( t \) we may write

\[
\begin{align*}
x_1 &= 15 + 12P_3t^3, \\
x_2 &= 30t, \\
x_3 &= 30t^2, \\
x_4 &= 20t^3.
\end{align*}
\]

The equation

\[
3x_2x_4 - 2x_3^2 = 0
\]

is that of a cone whose vertex is \( P_\nu \) and which contains the osculating cubic. It may also be obtained by determining that cone of the second order with its vertex at \( P_\nu \) which has the closest possible contact with \( C_\nu \), viz. contact of the fifth order. We shall speak of it as the osculating cone. We notice at once that the torsal cubic also lies upon the osculating cone. This is shown by equations (31) which are referred to the same system of coördinates as that employed here. If we put \( \eta = 1/t \) in (31) and if we multiply by \( 20t^3 \), (31) becomes

\[
\begin{align*}
x_1 &= 15 + 60P_3\eta^3, \\
x_2 &= 30\eta, \\
x_3 &= 30\eta^2, \\
x_4 &= 20\eta^3,
\end{align*}
\]

which differs from (37) only in having \( 5P_3 \) in place of \( P_3 \).

By a method of reasoning precisely similar to that of the last paragraph, we find that the expression

\[
(12P_3 - 12P_2' + 24P_2\tau + 15\tau^2)y + 20(\frac{5}{6}P_2 + \frac{5}{3}\tau^2)z + 30\tau\rho + 20\sigma
\]
represents an arbitrary point of the osculating cubic when the tetrahedron of
reference is not restricted to the condition $P_2 = 0$. For this expression remains
invariant under the general transformation $\xi = \xi(x)$, and reduces to (37) for
$P_2 = 0$, if $\tau = 1/t$.

The equation of the plane, which osculates the osculating cubic at the point
whose parameter is $\tau$, turns out to be

$$u_1 x_1 + u_2 x_2 + u_3 x_3 + u_4 x_4 = 0,$$

where

$$u_1 = -20, \quad u_2 = 30\tau, \quad u_3 = 16P_2 - 30\tau^2,$$

$$u_4 = 12P_3 - 12P'_2 - 36P_2 \tau + 15\tau^3.$$ (39)

For every value of $\tau$ this intersects the osculating plane, $x_4 = 0$, in a straight
line

$$-20x_1 + 30\tau x_2 + (16P_2 - 30\tau^3)x_3 = 0.$$ (40)

The envelope of these lines will be obtained by eliminating $\tau$ between this equa-
tion and that obtained from it by partial differentiation with respect to $\tau$; the
latter equation is

$$30x_2 - 60\tau x_3 = 0.$$

We thus find

$$-40x_1 x_3 + 15x_2^2 + 32P_2 x_3^2 = 0,$$ (41)

the equation of the osculating conic, which may be defined as a part of the
intersection of the developable of the osculating cubic with the osculating plane.
The other part of this intersection is the tangent, which must be counted twice.

It is not without interest to verify that (37) represents the osculating cubic
by another method. We have from (35) as non-homogeneous coördinates of the
points of $C_v$ in the vicinity of $P_v$

$$\frac{y_2}{y_1} = x - \frac{1}{120}(P'_3 - 4P_4)x^5 + \cdots,$$

$$\frac{y_3}{y_1} = \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{120}P_3 x^3 + \cdots,$$

$$\frac{y_4}{y_1} = \frac{1}{4}x^3 + \cdots.$$ (41)

From (37) we find for the points of the osculating cubic

$$\frac{x_2}{x_1} = 2t - \frac{3}{8}P_3 t^4 + \cdots,$$

$$\frac{x_3}{x_1} = 2t^2 - \frac{3}{8}P_3 t^5 + \cdots,$$

$$\frac{x_4}{x_1} = \frac{3}{8}t^6 + \cdots.$$ (41)

If we put

$$t = \frac{1}{2}x[1 + \frac{1}{120}P_3 x^3 - \frac{1}{120}(P'_3 + P_4)x^5 + \cdots],$$

these two expansions coincide up to terms of the fifth order. For the torsal
cubic we have according to (31a),

$$\frac{x_2}{x_1} = 2t - 8P_3 t^4 + \cdots,$$

$$\frac{x_3}{x_1} = 2t^2 - 8P_3 t^5 + \cdots,$$

$$\frac{x_4}{x_1} = \frac{3}{8}t^6 + \cdots.$$
If we put into these equations
\[
t = \frac{1}{2} x (1 + ax + bx^2 + cx^3 + dx^4 + \cdots),
\]
we find that these expansions will agree with (41) up to terms of the fourth order for
\[
a = 0, \quad b = 0, \quad c = \frac{1}{2} P_3.
\]
but that it is impossible to make them agree with (41) any farther unless \( P_3 = 0 \). In general, therefore, the torsal cubic has with \( C_y \) a contact of the fourth order. Only if \( \theta_3 = 0 \) may the order of contact be higher. In that case the torsal and osculating cubics coincide.

We proceed to deduce the equation of the osculating linear complex, i.e., of that linear complex determined by five consecutive tangents of the curve. We assume again \( p_1 = 0 \) and \( P_2 = 0 \). Denote by \( Y \) and \( Z \) the expansions of \( y \) and \( z \) in the vicinity of \( P \). Then we have up to terms of the fourth order
\[
Y = y (1 - \frac{1}{6} P_3 x^3 - \frac{1}{2} P_4 x^4) + z (x - \frac{1}{6} P_3 x^3) + \frac{1}{2} \rho x^2 + \frac{1}{2} \rho x^3,
\]
\[
Z = y (\frac{1}{6} P_3 x^3 + \frac{1}{2} P_4 x^4 - \frac{1}{2} P_2 x^2) + z [1 - \frac{1}{2} P_3 x^3 - \frac{1}{2} (4 P_3' + P_4) x^4] + \rho (x - \frac{1}{6} P_3 x^3) + \sigma \frac{1}{2} x^2.
\]
If we denote the coefficients of \( y, z, \rho, \sigma \) in these two expressions by \( y_1, \ldots, y_4 \) and \( z_1, \ldots, z_4 \), respectively, the Plückerian line-coordinates of the tangent will be
\[
\omega_{ik} = y_i z_k - y_k z_i,
\]
whence
\[
\omega_{12} = 1 - \frac{1}{6} P_3 x^3 + \frac{1}{2} P_4 x^4 + \cdots, \quad \omega_{13} = x - \frac{1}{12} P_3 x^4 + \cdots, \quad \omega_{14} = \frac{1}{2} x^2 + \cdots,
\]
\[
\omega_{23} = \frac{1}{2} x^2 + \cdots, \quad \omega_{24} = \frac{1}{3} x^3 + \cdots, \quad \omega_{34} = \frac{1}{12} x^4 + \cdots.
\]
Therefore, the equation of the osculating linear complex, referred to the special tetrahedron of reference, is
\[
(42) \quad \omega_{14} - \omega_{23} = 0.
\]
We might have obtained this complex in another way. For, it is clear that the null-system of the osculating cubic will be the same as that determined by the osculating linear complex. We shall, instead, set up the null-system of the torsal cubic in its general form. We shall see that the linear complex determined by the torsal cubic coincides with the osculating linear complex.

We have the equations of the torsal cubic
\[
x_1 = 60 \theta_3 + 6 P_2' + 24 P_2 \eta + 15 \eta^3,
\]
\[
(43) \quad x_2 = 24 P_2 + 30 \eta^2, \quad x_3 = 30 \eta, \quad x_4 = 20.
\]
The coordinates of the plane, which osculates the torsal cubic at the point whose parameter is \( \eta \), are

\[
\begin{align*}
  u_1 &= -180, \\
  u_2 &= 270 \eta, \\
  u_3 &= 144 P_2 - 270 \eta^2, \\
  u_4 &= 540 \theta_3 + 54 P_2' - 324 P_2 \eta + 135 \eta^3.
\end{align*}
\]  

If we put in (48) \( \eta = \eta_k (k = 1, 2, 3) \) we obtain three points on the cubic. The coordinates of their plane must be proportional to

\[
\begin{align*}
  v_1 &= -180, \\
  v_2 &= 90 (\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3), \\
  v_3 &= 144 P_2 - 90 (\eta_2 \eta_3 + \eta_3 \eta_1 + \eta_1 \eta_2), \\
  v_4 &= 540 \theta_3 + 54 P_2' - 108 P_2 (\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3) + 135 \eta_1 \eta_2 \eta_3,
\end{align*}
\]  

for each of these expressions must be a symmetric function of \( \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3 \) of order not higher than the third, and for \( \eta_1 = \eta_2 = \eta_3 = \eta \) we must have \( v_k \) proportional to \( u_k \). Similarly, the point in which the three osculating planes at \( \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3 \) intersect, must have its coordinates proportional to

\[
\begin{align*}
  \omega x_1 &= 60 \theta_3 + 6 P_2' + 8 P_2 (\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3) + 15 \eta_1 \eta_2 \eta_3, \\
  \omega x_2 &= 24 P_2 + 10 (\eta_2 \eta_3 + \eta_3 \eta_1 + \eta_1 \eta_2), \\
  \omega x_3 &= 10 (\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3), \\
  \omega x_4 &= 20.
\end{align*}
\]  

If we eliminate \( \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3 \) between (45) and (46) and change slightly the factor of proportionality, we find

\[
\begin{align*}
  \omega v_1 &= -x_4, \\
  \omega v_3 &= -x_2 + 2 P_2 x_4, \\
  \omega v_2 &= +x_3, \\
  \omega v_4 &= +x_1 - 2 P_2 x_3,
\end{align*}
\]

or

\[
\begin{align*}
  \omega' x_1 &= +2 P_2 v_2 + v_4, \\
  \omega' x_2 &= -2 P_2 v_1 - v_3, \\
  \omega' x_3 &= +v_2, \\
  \omega' x_4 &= -v_1,
\end{align*}
\]

as the equations of the null-system defined by the torsal cubic.

A point \( y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4 \) lies in the plane corresponding to \( x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \) if

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{4} v_k y_k = 0.
\]

Therefore, the lines which pass through the point \( x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \) and lie in the plane corresponding to it in the null-system, satisfy the equation

\[
\omega_{14} - 2 P_2 \omega_{34} - \omega_{23} = 0.
\]

If the tetrahedron of reference be so chosen as to make \( P_2 = 0 \), this equation is identical with (42). Therefore (48) represents the osculating linear complex.
When the tetrahedron of reference is general. The osculating and torsal cubics are curves of this complex.

If \( P_2 \) is finite the complex (48) is not special. We see therefore that only those values of \( x \) for which \( P_2 = \infty \) can give points of the curve at which five consecutive tangents have a straight line intersector.

Let us proceed to deduce the equation of the osculating linear complex belonging to a point of \( C_y \) infinitesimally close to \( P_y \). If we change \( x \) by an infinitesimal amount \( \delta x \), we find for the coördinates of the vertices of the new tetrahedron of reference

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{y} &= y + y' \delta x = (1 - p_1 \delta x) y + z \delta x, \\
\bar{z} &= z + z' \delta x = -P_2 \delta x \cdot y + (1 - p_1 \delta x) z + \rho \delta x, \\
\bar{\rho} &= \rho + \rho' \delta x = -(P_3 - P'_2) \delta x \cdot y - 2P_2 \delta x \cdot z + (1 - p_1 \delta x) \rho + \sigma \delta x, \\
\bar{\sigma} &= \sigma + \sigma' \delta x = -(P_4 - P'_3) \delta x \cdot y - 3(P_3 - P'_2) \delta x \cdot z - 3P_2 \delta x \cdot \rho + (1 - p_1 \delta x) \sigma.
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore, if a point has the coördinates \( x_1, \ldots, x_4 \) in the new system of coördinates, and \( x_1, \ldots, x_4 \) in the old, we shall have

\[
\begin{align*}
x_1 &= (1 - p_1 \delta x) \bar{x}_1 - P_2 \delta x \cdot \bar{x}_2 - (P_3 - P'_2) \delta x \cdot \bar{x}_3 - (P_4 - P'_3) \delta x \cdot \bar{x}_4, \\
\ldots & \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore the infinitesimal changes in the coördinates in the sense new minus old, will be

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta x_1 &= [p_1 x_1 + P_2 x_2 + (P_3 - P'_2) x_3 + (P_4 - P'_3) x_4] \delta x, \\
\delta x_2 &= [-x_1 + p_1 x_2 + 2P_2 x_3 + 3(P_3 - P'_2) x_4] \delta x, \\
\delta x_3 &= (-x_2 + p_1 x_3 + 3P_2 x_4) \delta x, \\
\delta x_4 &= (-x_3 + p_1 x_4) \delta x.
\end{align*}
\]

Referred to the new tetrahedron of reference, the equation of the complex osculating \( C_y \) at the point corresponding to \( x + \delta x \) will be

\[
\bar{\omega}_{14} - 2P_2 \bar{\omega}_{34} - \bar{\omega}_{23} = 0,
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
P_3 &= P_2 + P'_2 \delta x, & \bar{\omega}_{ix} &= \bar{x}_i \bar{y}_k - \bar{x}_k \bar{y}_i, \\
\bar{x}_i &= x_i + \delta x_i, & \bar{y}_i &= y_i + \delta y_i,
\end{align*}
\]

if \( \bar{x}_i \) and \( \bar{y}_i \) denote the coördinates of two points on a line of the complex referred to the new tetrahedron of reference. Making the calculations we find

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{\omega}_{14} &= \omega_{14} + [-\omega_{13} + 2p_1 \omega_{14} - P_2 \omega_{42} + (P_3 - P'_2) \omega_{34}] \delta x, \\
\bar{\omega}_{34} &= \omega_{34} + (2p_1 \omega_{34} + \omega_{42}) \delta x, \\
\bar{\omega}_{23} &= \omega_{23} + [2p_1 \omega_{23} - 3P_2 \omega_{42} - \omega_{13} - 3(P_3 - P'_2) \omega_{34}] \delta x.
\end{align*}
\]
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If we substitute in (50), we find as the equation of the linear complex osculat-
ing $C_y$ at a point infinitesimally close to $P_y$,

$$(51) \quad (\omega_{14} - 2P_2\omega_{34} - \omega_{23})(1 + 2\rho_1 \delta x) + 4\theta_3 \omega_{34} \delta x = 0.$$  

This coincides with the linear complex osculating $C_y$ at $P_y$, if, and only if, $\theta_3 = 0$. Therefore, if the invariant $\theta_3$ vanishes identically, the tangents of the curve $C_y$ belong to a linear complex. If it does not vanish identically, those values of $x$ for which it does vanish correspond to points of the curve at which the osculating linear complex hyperosculates the curve.

This result, which has been known a long time, is here derived in a novel manner, which has above all the advantages of clearness. It may also be obtained by setting up the linear differential equation of the sixth order satisfied by the six line coordinates

$$\omega_{ik} = y_i y'_k - y_k y'_i,$$

of the tangent, and noting that this reduces to the fifth order if, and only if, $\theta_3 = 0$. This is the method of Halphen.

A former result may now be stated as follows: The osculating and torsal cubics of all points of a curve coincide, if, and only if, the curve belongs to a linear complex.

§ 5. Geometrical definition of the fundamental tetrahedron of reference.

We have seen that there exists for every point of the curve $C_y$ a tetrahedron whose vertices $P_y, P_z, P_\rho, P_\sigma$ are determined by the choice of the independent variables $x$. In order that we may be able to obtain a clear insight into the geometry of the curve, it is necessary that we may be able to define this tetra-
hedron by purely geometrical considerations. As a consequence of our preced-
ing results we are now able to do this.

We have already noticed that $P_z$ is a point on the tangent, and by a properly
chosen transformation $\xi = \xi(x)$ it may be transformed into any other point of the tangent. When the independent variable has been definitely chosen to be $x$, we obtain, therefore, a point $P_z$ on the tangent which is not, in general, dis-
tinguished by any geometrical property from any other point of the tangent. Its position may serve as a geometric image of the independent variable.

Consider the osculating conic

$$\quad x_4 = 0, \quad 40x_1x_3 - 32P_2x_3^2 - 15x_2^2 = 0.$$  

The polar of any point $(x'_1, x'_2, x'_3, 0)$ of the osculating plane with respect to it, is the straight line

$$x_4 = 0, \quad 20x'_3x_1 - 15x'_2x_2 + (20x'_1 - 32P_2x'_3)x_3 = 0.$$
Therefore, the polar of $P_z$, whose coordinates are $(0, 1, 0, 0)$, is the line $x_2 = 0, x_4 = 0$. In other words:

The line $P_y P_\rho$ is the polar of $P_z$ with respect to the osculating conic.

We shall speak of the curves $C_z, C_\rho, C_\sigma$ as the derivative curves of $C_y$ with respect to $x$, of the first, second and third kind respectively. The ruled surfaces which are obtained by joining the points of $C_y$ to the corresponding points of $C_z, C_\rho, C_\sigma$ shall be called derivative ruled surfaces of the first, second and third kind respectively. Then, the derivative ruled surface of the first kind is unique. It is simply the developable whose cuspidal edge is $C_y$. Let us consider the derivative ruled surface $S$ of the second kind generated by $P_y P_\rho$. The curve $C_y$ is, of course, an asymptotic curve upon it. This surface is characterized by the equations (20), where $p_1$ has been assumed equal to zero.

According to the general theory of ruled surfaces, the asymptotic tangents to $S$ at the points $P_y$ and $P_\rho$ are obtained by joining these points to $2z$ and $2\rho$ respectively. Therefore the asymptotic tangent to $S$ at any point $(\alpha_1, 0, \alpha_3, 0)$ of $P_y P_\rho$ joins this point to

$$2\alpha_3 y + (2\alpha_1 - 4\rho \alpha_3) x_4 + 2\alpha_3$$

Hence, the equation of the plane tangent to $S$ at $(\alpha_1, 0, \alpha_3, 0)$ is

$$-\alpha_3 x_2 + (\alpha_1 - 2\rho \alpha_3) x_4 = 0.$$

To the same point of $P_y P_\rho$ there corresponds a plane in the osculating linear complex. According to (47a) this is the plane

$$\alpha_3 x_2 + (\alpha_1 - 2\rho \alpha_3) x_4 = 0.$$

Therefore, if at any point of the generator of the derived ruled surface of the second kind we construct the tangent plane as well as the plane which corresponds to it in the osculating linear complex, these planes form an involution. The double planes of this involution are the osculating plane $(x_4 = 0)$, and a plane $(x_2 = 0)$ which contains $P_\sigma$, the point of the derivative curve of the third kind which corresponds to $P_y$.

The point which corresponds to this latter plane, is

$$\beta = 2\rho y + \rho.$$

According to (47a) we have further, corresponding to the point $P_z$ or $(0, 1, 0, 0)$, the plane $x_3 = 0$, which also contains $P_\sigma$. The line $P_y P_\sigma$ is now completely determined, as follows:

The generator of the derived ruled surface of the third kind is the intersection of the following two planes: 1st, the plane corresponding to \( P_z \) in the osculating linear complex; 2d, that plane which is tangent to the derived ruled surface of the second kind at the same point which corresponds to it in the osculating linear complex.

It still remains to determine the position of \( P_\rho \) and \( P_\sigma \) on the lines \( P_\rho P_\rho \) and \( P_\gamma P_\sigma \).

The osculating conic intersects \( P_\gamma P_\gamma \) in \( P_\gamma \) and in \( P_0 \) where
\[
\alpha = 4P_2 y + 5\rho.
\]
The cross-ratio of the four points \( P_\gamma, P_\rho, P_\sigma, P_\beta \), is
\[
(\alpha, y, \beta, \rho) = \frac{5}{2}.
\]

If, upon the generator of the derived ruled surface of the second kind, there be marked its intersections with the osculating conic, and the point \( P_\beta \) whose tangent plane coincides with the plane corresponding to it in the osculating linear complex, the point \( P_\rho \) is determined by the condition that the cross-ratio of these four points shall be equal to \( \frac{5}{2} \).

If \( P_2 = 0 \) this definition of \( P_\rho \) breaks down. In that case, however, \( P_\sigma \) and \( P_\beta \) coincide with \( P_\rho \). Therefore, if the derived ruled surface of the third kind is a developable, \( P_\rho \) is that point on the generator of the derived surface of the second kind where this generator intersects the osculating conic the second time. At this point the plane, tangent to the ruled surface, and the plane, corresponding to it in the osculating linear complex, coincide.

If we use the notations of the theory of ruled surfaces,* we find from (20),
\[
\begin{align*}
v_{12} &= 4, \\
v_{21} &= 8P_4' - 4P_4 + 8P_2, \\
v_{11} - v_{22} &= 16P_2, \\
(u_{11} - u_{22})^2 + 4u_{12}u_{21} &= -64(P_4 - 2P_4' - 6P_2^2).
\end{align*}
\]
But \( u_{11} - u_{22} = 0 \) is the condition that \( C_\gamma \) and \( C_\rho \) shall be harmonically divided by the branches of the flecnode curves of the ruled surface, while \( (u_{11} - u_{22})^2 + 4u_{12}u_{21} = 0 \) is the condition under which the two branches of the flecnode curve coincide.† Therefore, we obtain the following theorem.

If the derived ruled surface of the third kind is a developable, the intersections of the generator of the derived ruled surface of the second kind with the osculating conic give rise to two curves upon this surface harmonically conjugate with respect to the two branches of its flecnode curve.

If \( \theta_4 = 0 \), the second intersection of the generator of this surface with the osculating conic is a point of its flecnode curve. Moreover, the two branches of the flecnode curve must then coincide.

† Loc. cit., p. 444.
It is to be noted that we have here a geometrical interpretation for the invariant equation \( \theta_1 = 0 \). We shall find two other, quite different interpretations for this condition later on. By the method of Halphen still another meaning can be attached to this equation, not however so purely geometrical.

We may, if we wish, make use of the torsal cubic in our further constructions. For, it is now defined entirely by geometrical considerations. If, in fact, we trace upon the developable, whose edge of regression is \( C'_y \) an arbitrary curve \( C_z \), we now know how the corresponding ruled surfaces of the second and third kind may be constructed. They depend upon an arbitrary function of \( x \), as does the curve \( C_z \). Among the surfaces of the third kind there exists a single one-parameter family of developables. Upon that generator of each of these developables which passes through \( P_z \) we mark the point where it intersects the cuspidal edge of the developable to which it belongs. The locus of these points is the torsal cubic.

We notice incidentally that the reduction of equation (1) to the Laguerre-Forsyth canonical form is equivalent to the determination of one of the developables of the third kind. Since this reduction is made by solving an equation of the Riccati form we notice further the following theorem. The four curves on the developable of \( C'_y \) which correspond to any four of the developables of the third kind, intersect all of the tangents of \( C'_y \) in point-rays of the same cross-ratio.

Let us consider the developable surface of the torsal cubic, which is given by equations (44). We are going to find its intersection with the plane \( P_y P_z P_\sigma \), or \( x_3 = 0 \). The intersection of the plane \( u_1, \ldots, u_4 \), which osculates the cubic at the point whose parameter is \( \eta \), with the plane \( x_3 = 0 \) is the line

\[-180x_1 + 270\eta x_2 + (540\theta_3 + 54P'_2 - 324P_2\eta + 135\eta^3)x_4 = 0\]

of this plane. As \( \eta \) changes this line envelops a curve, the required intersection. Its equation will be found by eliminating \( \eta \) between the above equation and this other one

\[270x_2 + (-324P_2 + 405\eta')x_4 = 0\]

obtained from it by differentiation with respect to \( \eta \). This elimination may be easily performed. The result is

\[(54) \quad F' = 8(5x_2 - 6x_4)(5x_1 - 6x_4)^2 + 15x_4 \{ 10x_1 - (30\theta_3 + 3P'_2)x_4 \}^2 = 0.\]

This plane cubic together with the tangent \( P_y P_z \) gives the complete intersection of the plane \( P_y P_z P_\sigma \) with the developable of the torsal cubic. It has a cusp at \( P_z \), and the equation of its cusp tangent is

\[5x_1 - 6x_4 = 0,\]
as one may find by the general theory of plane curves. It intersects \( P_y P_\sigma \) in the point

\[ \kappa = 24y + 20\sigma. \]

The tangent to the plane cubic at \( P_y \) is

\[ 10x_2 + 3x_4 = 0. \]

It intersects the cubic again in the point

\[ (12 + 30\theta_3 + 3P'_2)y - 6z + 20\sigma. \]

If this point be joined to \( P_z \) by a straight line, the latter will intersect \( P_y P_\sigma \) in the point

\[ \lambda = (12 + 30\theta_3 + 3P'_2)y + 20\sigma. \]

The plane \( P_y P_z P_\sigma \) is tangent to the torsal cubic. It intersects it once more in the point corresponding to \( \eta = 0 \), viz., \((60\theta_3 + 6P'_2)y + 24P_2z + 20\sigma\). A line joining this point to \( P_z \) intersects \( P_y P_\sigma \) in

\[ \mu = (60\theta_3 + 6P'_2)y + 20\sigma. \]

Consider the four points \( P_\kappa, P_\lambda, P_\mu \) and \( P_y \). We have

\[ \lambda = \frac{\mu + \kappa}{2}, \quad (30\theta_3 + 3P'_2 - 12)y = \frac{\mu - \kappa}{2}; \]

so that \( P_\lambda \) is the harmonic conjugate of \( P_y \) with respect to \( P_\kappa \) and \( P_\mu \).

The osculating cubic differs from the torsal cubic only in having \( 2(P_3 - P'_2) \) in place of \( 10\theta_3 + P'_2 \). Consequently the plane cubic in which its developable intersects the plane \( P_y P_z P_\sigma \) is

\[ F = 8(5x_2 - 6x_4)(5x_1 - 6x_4)^2 + 15x_4\{10x_1 - 6(P_3 - P'_2)x_4\}^2 = 0. \]

If we denote by \( P_\kappa, P_\lambda, P_\mu \) the points constructed with respect to this curve in the same way as \( P_\kappa \), and \( P_\lambda \) and \( P_\mu \) were with respect to \( F = 0 \), we find

\[ \tilde{\kappa} = \kappa, \quad \tilde{\lambda} = (12 + 6P_3 - 6P'_2)y + 20\sigma, \quad \tilde{\mu} = (12P_3 - 12P'_2)y + 20\sigma, \]

the cusp and its tangent being common to the two curves, as well as the tangent at \( P_y \). I refrain from formulating explicitly the various theorems which may be obtained from these equations.

In order to obtain a simple construction for \( P_\sigma \), we shall consider finally the developable generated by the motion of the plane \( P_y P_\rho P_\sigma \). The equation of this plane is \( x_2 = 0 \). As \( x \) changes into \( x + \delta x \), \( y, z, \rho \) change into \( y + y'\delta x, \rho + \rho'\delta x, \sigma + \sigma'\delta x \) respectively, where \( y', \rho', \sigma' \) are given by equations (5). The equation of the plane of these points, referred to the tetrahedron \( P_y, P_z, P_\rho, P_\sigma \), is
\[
\begin{vmatrix}
  x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 \\
  1 - P_1 \delta x & \delta x & 0 & 0 \\
  - (P_3 - P_2') \delta x & -2P_2 \delta x & 1 - P_1 \delta x & \delta x \\
  - (P_2 - P_3') \delta x & -3(P_3 - P_2') \delta x & -3P_2 \delta x & 1 - P_1 \delta x
\end{vmatrix} = 0,
\]

which becomes, when developed,

\[
x_1 \delta x - x_2 (1 - 3P_1 \delta x) - 2x_3 P_2 \delta x - 3x_4 (P_3 - P_2') \delta x = 0.
\]

Therefore the equations of the generator of the developable, generated by the motion of the plane \(P \gamma P \rho P \sigma\), are

\[(60) \quad x_2 = 0, \quad x_1 - 2P_2 x_3 - 3(P_3 - P_2') x_4 = 0.\]

It intersects the generator \(P \gamma P \rho (x_2 = x_4 = 0)\) of the derived ruled surface of the second kind in that point

\[(52) \quad \beta = 2P_2 y + \rho\]

whose tangent plane coincides with the plane corresponding to it in the osculating linear complex. Its intersection with \(P \gamma P \sigma\), the generator of the derived ruled surface of the third kind, is

\[(61) \quad \gamma = 3(P_3 - P_2') y + \sigma.\]

The generator of the developable joins \(P_\beta\) to \(P_\gamma\). We wish to determine its edge of regression. If

\[
\delta = l \beta + m \gamma
\]

is the point where \(P_\beta P_\gamma\) meets the edge of regression, we must have

\[
\delta' = r \beta + s \gamma, \quad \text{or} \quad l \beta' + m \gamma' = \tilde{r} \beta + \tilde{s} \gamma.
\]

We proceed to determine the ratio of \(l\) to \(m\). We find

\[
\beta' = (3P_2' - 2P_1 P_2 - P_3) y - P_1 \rho + \sigma,
\]

\[
\gamma' = - [P_4 - 4P_3' + 3P_2' + 3P_1 (P_3 - P_2')] y - 3P_2 \rho - P_1 \sigma.
\]

We may eliminate \(\rho\) and \(\sigma\) by (52) and (61). This gives

\[
\beta' = - 4\theta_3 y - P_1 \beta + \gamma,
\]

\[
\gamma' = - (P_4 - 4P_3' + 3P_2'' - 6P_2') y - 3P_2 \beta - P_1 \gamma.
\]

We may therefore put

\[
l = P_4 - 4P_3' + 3P_2'' - 6P_2', \quad m = - 4\theta_3;
\]

so that

\[(62) \quad \delta = (P_4 - 4P_3' + 3P_2'' - 6P_2') \beta - 4\theta_3 \gamma
\]

gives the edge of regression. This gives the following theorem:
The developable, generated by the plane of the generators of the derived ruled surfaces of the second and third kind, has its edge of regression upon the derived ruled surface of the second kind, if, and only if, the curve \( C_y \) belongs to a linear complex.

We may write in place of (51)
\[
\gamma = 60(P_3 - P_2') y + 20\sigma.
\]

We have from (59)
\[
\bar{\mu} = 12(P_3 - P_2') y + 20\sigma.
\]

Therefore, the cross-ratio of the four points \( P_\gamma, P_y, P_\mu \) and \( P_\sigma \) is
\[
(\gamma, y, \bar{\mu}, \sigma) = 5.
\]

We have found finally a geometrical definition for \( P_\sigma \), which we may recapitulate as follows. The plane of the tangent and the generator of the third derived ruled surface intersects the osculating cubic in \( P_y \) counted twice and one other point. If the latter point be joined to \( P_\gamma \) by a straight line we obtain a certain point \( P_{\mu_1} \) as the intersection of this line with \( P_y P_\sigma \). The generator of the developable, generated by the plane of the generators of the derived ruled surfaces of the second and third kind, intersects \( P_y P_\sigma \) in another point \( P_\gamma \). \( P_\sigma \) may now be found as that point of \( P_y P_\sigma \) which makes the cross-ratio
\[
(P_\gamma, P_y, P_\mu_1, P_\sigma) = 5.
\]

We have shown how to construct the fundamental tetrahedron when \( P_\gamma \) is given. If \( P_\rho \) is given, \( P_\gamma \) can be found at once as the pole of \( P_y P_\rho \) with respect to the osculating conic. If \( P_\sigma \) is given we may find first its polar plane with respect to the osculating linear complex which is
\[
-x_1 + 2P_2 x_3 = 0,
\]
and therefore passes through \( P_\gamma \), but not through \( P_y \). \( P_\gamma \) can therefore be found at once at the intersection of this plane with the tangent to \( C_y \) at \( P_y \).

We see therefore, that any one of the three points \( P_\gamma, P_\rho, P_\sigma \) determines uniquely the others.

§ 6. Some further properties of the derived ruled surfaces of the second and third kind.

Let us suppose \( p_1 = p_2 = 0 \), so that the derived ruled surface of the third kind is a developable, and let us consider the derived ruled surface of the second kind which corresponds to it. We proceed to deduce the equation of its osculating linear complex.

Let \( Y \) and \( R \) denote the developments of \( y \) and \( \rho \) in the vicinity of the ordi-
nary point \( x = a \), and replace again \( x - a \) by \( x \) in the developments. Then we shall find

\[
Y = y_1 y + y_2 z + y_3 \rho + y_4 \sigma, \quad R = \rho_1 y + \rho_2 z + \rho_3 \rho + \rho_4 \sigma,
\]

where

\[
\rho_1 = -P_3 x - \frac{1}{6} P_4 x^2 - \frac{1}{6} P_4' x^3 + \frac{1}{3} (4P_3^2 - P_3') x^4 + \cdots,
\]

\[
\rho_2 = -2P_3 x^2 - \frac{1}{6} (4P_3' + P_4) x^3 - \frac{1}{12} (2P_3'' + P_4') x^4 + \cdots,
\]

\[
\rho_3 = 1 - \frac{3}{2} P_3 x^3 - \frac{1}{4} (8P_3' + P_4) x^4 + \cdots,
\]

\[
\rho_4 = x - \frac{1}{6} P_3 x^4 + \cdots,
\]

while \( y_1, \ldots, y_4 \) have been computed before. Denote by

\[
\omega_{ik} = y_i \rho_k - y_k \rho_i
\]

the Plückerian coordinates of the line joining the two points. We find

\[
\omega_{12} = -P_3 x^2 - \frac{1}{6} (2P_3' - P_4) x^3 - \frac{1}{12} (2P_3'' - P_4') x^4 + \cdots,
\]

\[
\omega_{13} = 1 - \frac{1}{3} P_3 x^3 - \frac{1}{6} (2P_3' - P_4) x^4 + \cdots,
\]

\[
\omega_{14} = x - \frac{1}{6} P_3 x^4 + \cdots,
\]

\[
\omega_{23} = x + \frac{1}{6} P_3 x^4 + \cdots,
\]

\[
\omega_{24} = x^2 + \cdots, \quad \omega_{34} = \frac{1}{3} x^3 + \cdots.
\]

Let

\[
a \omega_{12} + b \omega_{12} + c \omega_{14} + d \omega_{23} + e \omega_{24} + f \omega_{34} = 0
\]

be the equation of the osculating linear complex of the surface in question. Then, the coefficients of all powers of \( x \) up to and including \( x^4 \) must be zero, if we substitute the above developments of \( \omega_{ik} \) into the left member. This gives us the following equations:

\[
b = 0, \quad c + d = 0, \quad -P_3 a + e = 0,
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{6} (2P_3' - P_4) a - \frac{1}{3} P_3 b + \frac{1}{3} f = 0,
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{12} (2P_3'' - P_4') a - \frac{1}{6} (2P_3' - P_4) b - \frac{1}{3} P_3 c + \frac{1}{6} P_3 d = 0,
\]

whence the ratios of the coefficients may be easily deduced.

We find thus the equation of the linear complex osculating the derived ruled surface of the second kind which corresponds to a developable of the third kind; it is

\[
(64) - 4P_3 \omega_{12} - (P_4' - 2P_3')(\omega_{14} - \omega_{23}) - 4P_3^2 \omega_{24} + 4(P_4' - 2P_3') P_3 \omega_{34} = 0.
\]

It coincides with the osculating linear complex of \( C_y \) if and only if \( P_3 = 0 \), i.e., if \( C_y \) belongs to a linear complex. This result is also obvious for geometrical reasons.
The coördinates \( v_k \) of the plane, which corresponds to a point \( x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \) in the linear complex (64), are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega v_1 &= 4P_3x_2 + (P'_4 - 2P''_3)x_4, \\
\omega v_2 &= -4P_3x_1 - (P'_4 - 2P''_3)x_3 + 4P_3^2x_4, \\
\omega v_3 &= (P'_4 - 2P''_3)x_2 - 4P_3(P_4 - 2P'_3)x_4, \\
\omega v_4 &= -(P'_4 - 2P''_3)x_1 - 4P_3^2x_2 + 4P_3(P_4 - 2P'_3)x_3,
\end{align*}
\] (65)

where \( \omega \) is a proportionality factor.

Let us consider at the same time the osculating linear complex of \( C_y \). The lines common to the two complexes form a congruence whose directrices we propose to find. This we can do quite easily by writing down the equations which express that, for a point on one of the directrices, the two planes corresponding to it in the two complexes must coincide. The right members of (65) must, for such a point be equal to

\[-\omega x_4, \quad + \omega x_3, \quad - \omega x_2, \quad + \omega x_1\]

respectively, where \( \omega \) is a proportionality factor.

The four equations obtained in this way can be satisfied only if their determinant vanishes, which gives

\[
2P'_3 - P'_4 - \omega = \pm 4P_3 \sqrt{2P'_3 - P'_4},
\] (66)

whence the following equations for the two directrices

\[
\begin{align*}
\pm \sqrt{2P'_3 - P'_4}x_1 - P_3x_2 - (2P'_3 - P_4)x_3 &= 0, \\
-x_2 \pm \sqrt{2P'_3 - P'_4}x_4 &= 0, \\
-x_1 \pm \sqrt{2P'_3 - P'_4}x_3 + P_3x_4 &= 0,
\end{align*}
\] (67)

of which three equations only two are independent, and where we have assumed \( P_3 \neq 0 \). In fact, if \( P_3 \) were zero the two complexes would coincide and the congruence would be indeterminate.

Since we have assumed \( P_2 = 0 \), the quantity under the square root is \(-\theta_4\). We find a second interpretation for the condition \( \theta_4 = 0 \). If \( \theta_4 = 0 \), the congruence has coincident directrices. We may combine this with our former result to the following theorem.

Choose as derived ruled surface of the third kind one of the developables of the single family which exists. Consider the osculating linear complex of the corresponding ruled surface \( S \) of the second kind. Let the directrices of the congruence, which this complex has in common with the osculating linear complex of the fundamental curve \( C_y \), coincide. Then the two branches of the
flecnode curve of $S$ coincide and the generator of $S$ which passes through $P_y$ will intersect the osculating conic of $C_y$ in $P_y$, and a second point whose locus is the flecnode curve of the surface $S$.

I refrain from formulating the converse. The above conditions are fulfilled if and only if $\theta_4 = 0$.

Let us consider one of the directrices (67), for example that one which corresponds to the plus sign of the square root. Then we see that

\[
\alpha = P_3 \sqrt{2P_3 - P_1 y} + P_3 \rho,
\]

\[
\beta = \sqrt{2P_3 - P_4} (P_3 y + \sqrt{2P_3 - P_4} z + \sigma)
\]

are two points on the directrix. We have multiplied each expression by a factor so as to have $\alpha$ and $\beta$ of the same weight. If now we change the independent variable, but in such a way as not to disturb the condition $P_2 = 0$, we shall get in (64) a single infinity of complexes, and in (67) two families of lines, the directrices of the single infinity of congruences which thus result. We are going to study to some extent the two ruled surfaces thus generated.

Put

\[
k = \sqrt{2P_3 - P_4}.
\]

Making the transformations which preserve $P_2 = 0$, for which we must have

\[
\mu = \eta' - \frac{1}{2} \eta^2 = 0,
\]

we find that $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are transformed into $\alpha'$ and $\beta'$, where

\[
(\xi')^{\alpha'} = \alpha + 2\eta P_3 \tau + \frac{3}{2} \eta^2 P_3 y,
\]

\[
(\xi')^{\beta'} = \beta + \frac{k}{P_3} \eta \alpha + k(\frac{3}{2} \eta^2 \tau + \frac{3}{4} \eta^3 y).
\]

The point $m\alpha + n\beta$ will be an arbitrary point on the line joining $P_\alpha P_\beta$. We find therefore, the equations of our surface referred to two parameters $\eta$ and $m/n$,

\[
x_1 = \frac{3}{2} m P_3 \eta^2 + (m + n) P_3 k + n(\frac{3}{2} \eta k^2 + \frac{3}{4} \eta^3 k),
\]

\[
x_2 = 2m \eta P_3 + n(\frac{3}{4} k^3 + \frac{3}{8} \eta^2 k),
\]

\[
x_3 = m P_3 + \frac{3}{2} k n \eta,
\]

\[
x_4 = n k.
\]

The nature of this surface may be easily determined. We have, returning to the two curves $C_\alpha$ and $C_\beta$ upon it, for $C_\alpha$

\[
\alpha_1 = k + \frac{3}{2} \eta^2, \quad \alpha_2 = 2\eta, \quad \alpha_3 = 1, \quad \alpha_4 = 0,
\]

if we put $n = 0$, $m = 1/P_3$ in (70). If we put $m = 0$, $n = 1/k$ we find for $C_\beta$

\[
\beta_1 = P_3 + \frac{3}{2} k \eta + \frac{3}{4} \eta^3, \quad \beta_2 = k + \frac{3}{2} \eta^2, \quad \beta_3 = \frac{3}{2} \eta, \quad \beta_4 = 1.
\]
But \((\alpha_k, \beta_k)\) are simultaneous solutions of the equation
\[
\frac{d\beta}{d\eta} = \frac{3}{2} x,
\]
which proves that the ruled surface which we are considering is a developable whose edge of regression is the twisted cubic \(C_\beta\).

The curve \(C_a\) is a conic, the intersection of the developable of the cubic with the osculating plane of \(C_\gamma\). Its equations are
\[
x_4 = 0, \quad -3x_2^2 + 8x_1x_3 - 8kx_3^2 = 0.
\]

We notice that for \(\theta_4 = 0\) it coincides with the osculating conic, a further interpretation of this condition. In general, the two conics have a contact of third order at \(P_\gamma\).

If, in these equations, we change \(k\) into \(-k\) we obtain the developable, cubic and conic associated with the second directrix of our congruence. A considerable number of other configurations are suggested by the combinations of these various curves and surfaces. I will refrain, however, from any further study in this direction.

The curve \(C_y\) is an asymptotic curve upon every derived ruled surface of the second kind. Moreover, the most general derived ruled surface of the second kind depends upon one arbitrary function, as does also the most general ruled surface containing \(C_y\) as an asymptotic curve. It is easy to see that the derived ruled surface of the second kind may be made to coincide with any ruled surface upon which \(C_y\) is an asymptotic curve if the independent variable be properly chosen.

Upon the derived ruled surface of the third kind, \(C_y\) can never be an asymptotic curve. It may, however, be one branch of the flecnode curve. In fact, if we form the quantities \(u_{12}\) of the theory of ruled surfaces for system (27), we find
\[
u_{12} = -\frac{P_2'}{P_2}.
\]

But \(u_{12} = 0\) is the condition that \(C_y\) may be a branch of the flecnode curve on the surface generated by \(P_\gamma P_\alpha\). Suppose that the variable has been so chosen as to make \(P_2' = 0\). The most general transformation which is possible, leaving this relation invariant, must, according to (11) satisfy the condition
\[
-2\eta P_2 - \frac{5}{6}\mu' + \frac{5}{8}\eta \mu = 0,
\]

or
\[
-5\eta'' - 12\eta P_2 + 15\eta' - 5\eta^3 = 0,
\]
a differential equation of the second order for \(\eta\). Moreover two different solutions of this equation always give rise to two distinct ruled surfaces. For, let
η₁ and η₂ be two such solutions, and let σ₁, σ₂ be the corresponding values of σ. Then, according to (9),

\[ \sigma = \frac{1}{(ξ')^3} \left[ \sigma + \frac{3}{2} ηρ + (μ + \frac{3}{2} η^2)z + \frac{1}{4} (μ + 4ημ + 3η^2)y \right] \quad (κ = 1, 2), \]

But if the same ruled surface corresponds to η₁ and η₂, the three points ρ, σ₁ and σ₂ must be collinear. We must therefore be able to reduce

\[ (ξ')^3σ₁ - (ξ')^3σ₂ = \frac{3}{2} (η₁ - η₂)ρ + \cdots \]

to a multiple of ρ. But this is clearly only possible if η₂ = η₁.

We see, therefore, that there are ∞² derived ruled surfaces of the third kind upon which C_y is one branch of the flecnod curve.

If P₂ is not zero, our problem leads to the differential equation

\[ \frac{d}{dx} (η' - \frac{1}{2} η²) = \frac{6}{5} P₂' - \frac{1}{5} P₂η + 2η (η' - \frac{1}{2} η²), \]

which is of the second order and third degree.

I have shown in a former paper that the most general ruled surface which has C_y as one branch of its flecnod curve contains an arbitrary function in its general expression.* I have also shown that together with any such surface, its flecnod surface and each member of a single infinity of surfaces determined by these two, also contains C_y as one branch of its flecnod curve. One might imagine that there could be based upon these theorems a transformation theory of equation (72). This is not the case however. For, if one of the surfaces containing C_y as a branch of its flecnod curve is a derived ruled surface of the third kind, its flecnod surface is not, nor is any member of the family of ruled surfaces just mentioned.

Corresponding to the ∞² solutions of (72) or of

\[ μ' = \frac{6}{5} P₂' - \frac{1}{5} P₂η + 2ημ, \]

we find ∞² positions for P₂, viz.:

\[ 4(ξ')^3σ = (\frac{6}{5} P₂' - \frac{1}{5} P₂η + 6ημ + 3η³)y + (4μ + 6η²)z + 6ηρ + 4σ. \]

The locus of these points is a cubic surface

\[ 27P₂x³ - 36P₂x²x₃x₄ + 90x₂x₃x₄ - 90x₃x₄ - 40x³ = 0, \]

which contains P_y P_z, the tangent of C_y as a double line. It is therefore a ruled surface. It is in fact a Cayley's cubic scroll.

*General theory of curves on ruled surfaces, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 6 (1905), p. 78.
of the flecnodes curve, two others may be found by merely solving a quadratic equation.

In fact, suppose that a solution \( \eta \) of (72) be known. We may make a transformation of the independent variables, \( \xi = \xi(x) \) such that

\[
\frac{\xi'}{\xi^2} = \eta.
\]

In the resulting equation \( P'_2 = 0 \). If we again denote the independent variable by \( x \), (72) becomes

\[
\eta'' - \eta \eta' = -\frac{1}{6} P_2 \eta + 2 \eta (\eta' - \frac{1}{2} \eta^2),
\]

where \( P_2 \) is a constant, since \( P'_2 = 0 \). But we may satisfy this equation by putting \( \eta = \text{const.} \), which gives the equation

\[
\eta^2 + \frac{1}{6} P_2 \eta = 0,
\]

whence

\[
\eta = 0, \quad \pm \sqrt{-\frac{1}{6} P_2}.
\]

The root \( \eta = 0 \) gives the original solution. The other two are new.

§7. The principal tangent plane of two space curves. The covariants.

Transition to Halphen’s investigations.

Halphen has introduced a very important notion, which we shall now proceed to explain.

Let there be given two space curves having at a point \( P \) a contact of the \( n \)th order. If these curves be projected from any center \( Q \) upon a plane, the projections will also have, in general, a contact of the \( n \)th order at the point correspoding to \( P \). Halphen shows that there exists a plane passing through the common tangent of the two curves such that if the center of projection be taken anywhere within it, the contact of the projections will be of an order higher than \( n \). This plane he calls the principal tangent plane of the two curves. *

We shall follow Halphen in determining the principal tangent plane at \( P_y \) of the curve \( C_y \) and its osculating cubic. This will lead us to an especially simple form for the development of the equations of the curve, which is also due to Halphen and on the basis of which he draws his further conclusions. It will also enable us to substitute for our system of covariants \( C_2, C_3, C_4 \) another system whose geometrical significance will be apparent, and in terms of which \( C_2, C_3, C_4 \) may be expressed.

Assuming $P_2 = 0$, the equations of the osculating cubic, referred to the tetrahedron $P_1 P_2 P_3 P_4$, are
\[3x_2x_4 - 2x_3^2 = 0, \quad 2x_3(5x_1 - 3P_3x_4) - 5x_2^2 = 0.\]
Let us put
\[(74) \quad x_1 = x_2, \quad x_2 = \frac{2}{5}x_3, \quad x_3 = \frac{8}{5}x_4, \quad x_4 = 5x_1 - 3P_3x_4,\]
and
\[(75) \quad x = \frac{x_1}{x_4}, \quad y = \frac{x_2}{x_4}, \quad z = \frac{x_3}{x_4},\]
Then the equations of the cubic reduce to
\[(76) \quad y = x^2, \quad z = x^3.\]
The relation of the new tetrahedron of reference to the cubic is quite simple. The plane $x_3 = 0$ is the osculating plane at $P$; $x_2 = 0$ is some other plane through the tangent; this plane intersects the cubic in another point $Q$; the plane tangent to the cubic at $Q$ and passing through $P$ is $x_1 = 0$; the osculating plane at $Q$ is $x_4 = 0$.
Since $x_2 = 0$ may be chosen in an infinity of ways we see that the reduction of the equations of a space cubic to the form (76) may be accomplished in an infinity of ways.
For the curve $C_1$ we have
\[(77) \quad y_1 = 1 - \frac{P_3}{3!} x^3 - \frac{P_4}{4!} x^4 - \frac{P_5}{5!} x^5 - \frac{P_6}{6!} x^6 - \frac{P_3^3}{7!} P_1 P_2 P_3 P_4 + \cdots,\]
\[y_2 = x - \frac{P_3}{3!} x^4 - \frac{P_4}{5!} x^5 - \frac{2P_5}{6!} x^6 + \frac{4P_3 P_4}{7!} x^7 + \cdots,\]
\[y_3 = \frac{1}{2} x^2 - \frac{4P_3}{5!} x^5 - \frac{1}{6!} (P_4 + 8P_3) x^6 - \frac{1}{7!} (3P_4 + 12P_3) x^7 + \cdots,\]
\[y_4 = \frac{1}{6} x^3 - \frac{4}{6!} P_3 x^6 - \frac{1}{7!} (P_4 + 12P_3) x^7 + \cdots.\]
If we refer it to the same tetrahedron to which we have just referred the osculating cubic, and if we put
\[\xi = \frac{\bar{y}_1}{\bar{y}_4}, \quad \eta = \frac{\bar{y}_2}{\bar{y}_4}, \quad \zeta = \frac{\bar{y}_3}{\bar{y}_4},\]
we shall find
\[ \eta = \xi^2 + \frac{125}{36} (8P'_3 - 5P'_4) \xi^6 + \frac{625}{7,36} (8P''_3 - 5P'_4) \xi^7 + \cdots, \]
(78)
\[ \zeta = \xi^3 - \frac{25}{3} P_3 \xi^6 + \frac{125}{7,12} (36P'_3 - 25P'_4) \xi^7 + \cdots. \]

If we put
\[ \xi = \varepsilon X, \quad \eta = \varepsilon^2 Y, \quad \zeta = \varepsilon^3 Z, \quad \varepsilon^3 = -\frac{3}{25P_3}, \]
assuming, therefore, that \( P_3 \) is not zero, this becomes
\[ Y = X^2 + \lambda_6 X^6 + \lambda_7 X^7 + \cdots, \]
(80)
\[ Z = X^3 + \mu_6 X^6 + \mu_7 X^7 + \cdots, \]
where
\[ \lambda_6 = \frac{1}{3} (8P'_3 - 5P'_4) \varepsilon^4, \quad \lambda_7 = \frac{625}{7,36} (8P''_3 - 5P'_4) \varepsilon^7, \]
(81)
\[ \mu_6 = 1, \quad \mu_7 = \frac{125}{7,12} (36P'_3 - 25P'_4) \varepsilon^4. \]

But we can make a further change of coördinates without disturbing the form (80) of the development. For the plane \( x_2 = 0 \) may be taken to be any plane through the tangent. It may be taken in such a way as to make \( \lambda_6 \) vanish, as we shall presently see. The plane thus obtained will obviously be the principal tangent plane of the curve \( C_y \) and its osculating cubic.

Instead of working out the transformation geometrically we shall put with Halphen
\[ \bar{X} = \frac{\xi_1}{\omega_1}, \quad \bar{Y} = \frac{\eta_1}{\omega_1}, \quad \bar{Z} = \frac{Z_1}{\omega_1}, \]
(82a)
where
\[ \omega_1 = 1 + 3pX + 3p^2 Y + p^3 Z, \quad \eta_1 = Y + pZ, \]
(82b)
\[ \xi_1 = X + 2p Y + p^2 Z, \]
the quantity \( p \) being arbitrary. We shall find with him
\[ \bar{Y} = \bar{X}^2 + \Lambda_6 \bar{X}^6 + \Lambda_7 \bar{X}^7 + \cdots, \]
(83)
\[ \bar{Z} = \bar{X}^3 + \mathcal{M}_6 \bar{X}^6 + \mathcal{M}_7 \bar{X}^7 + \cdots, \]
as the result of applying this transformation to any system of equations of the form (80). The coefficients of (83) are
\[ \mathcal{M}_6 = \mu_6, \quad \mathcal{M}_7 = \mu_7 + 3p \mu_6, \]
(84)
\[ \Lambda_6 = \lambda_6 + p \mu_6, \quad \Lambda_7 = \lambda_7 + p \mu_7 + p (p \mu_7 - \lambda_6). \]
For
\[ p = -\frac{\lambda_6}{\mu_6}, \]
this reduces to the canonical form required, in which we may also assume \( \mu = 1 \) if the curve does not belong to a linear complex.

If we combine the various transformations which we have made successively we get the following result: If we introduce non-homogeneous coordinates by putting

\[
X = \frac{\bar{y}_1}{y_4}, \quad Y = \frac{\bar{y}_2}{y_4}, \quad Z = \frac{\bar{y}_3}{y_4},
\]

where

\[
\bar{y}_1 = 25e^2y_2 + 20pe^3y_3 + 6p^2y_4,
\]

\[
\bar{y}_2 = 10ey_3 + 6py_4,
\]

\[
\bar{y}_3 = 6y_4,
\]

\[
\bar{y}_4 = 125e^3y_1 + 75pe^3y_2 + 30p^2 ey_3 + (6p^3 - 75P_3e^3)y_4,
\]

and where

\[
\epsilon^3 = -\frac{3}{25P_3}, \quad p = -\frac{125}{36} (8P_3 - 5P_4)e^4,
\]

the development of the equations of the curve \( C_y \) may be written in the canonical form

\[
Y = X^2 + \Lambda_7X^7 + \cdots,
\]

\[
Z = X^3 + X^6 + M_7X^7 + \cdots,
\]

where \( \Lambda_7, M_7, \cdots \) are given by \( (84) \) together with \( (81) \). This transformation is valid if \( C_y \) does not belong to a linear complex.

The coefficients of \( (86) \) are absolute invariants. We find, in fact,

\[
\Lambda_7 = \frac{625e^5}{7.12 \cdot 36\theta_3} (25\theta_4^2 + 20\theta_8 - 4\theta_{3,1}), \quad M_7 = \frac{625e^4}{42} \theta_4,
\]

where

\[
\theta_8 = 4\theta_4 \theta_3' - 3\theta_3 \theta_4'.
\]

From \( (86) \) and \( (76) \) it is clear that the plane \( Y = 0 \) or \( \bar{y}_2 = 0 \) is the principal plane of the curve \( C_y \) and its osculating cubic. In the original system of coordinates its equation will, therefore, be

\[
4P_3x_3 + (8P_3 - 5P_4)x_4 = 0.
\]

\( C_y \) belongs to a linear complex, it coincides with the osculating plane.

The point which corresponds to \( (89) \) in the osculating linear complex is

\[
(5P_4 - 8P_3')y + 4P_3z,
\]

or in invariant form

\[
(\theta_3' + \frac{5}{2} \theta_4)y + 2\theta_3z,
\]
an expression whose covariance may be verified directly. We shall call this
point the principal point of the tangent. We thus obtain a curve on the
developable of \( C_y \) which may be called its principal curve.

If we make a transformation such that

\[
3\eta = \theta_3' + \frac{3}{2}\theta_4',
\]

the point \( P_z \) describes the principal curve on the developable of \( C_y \). The
points \( P_\rho \) and \( P_\sigma \) also describe perfectly definite curves, whose expressions may
be obtained from (9) by substituting for \( \eta \) the expression (92). Any covariant
may be expressed in terms of \( y \) and the three which we have just determined,
which may, therefore, serve to replace the covariants \( C_2, C_3 \) and \( C_4 \).

If \( C_y \) belongs to a linear complex these four curves all coincide, so that a
different set of fundamental covariants must then be selected.

In this exceptional case our fundamental tetrahedron \( P_y P_z P_\rho P_\sigma \) gives rise
to a most remarkable configuration. If we put again \( P_2 = 0 \), we have in this
case also \( P_3 = 0 \). \( P_y P_\sigma \) generates one of the developables of the third kind
of which \( C_\sigma \) is the cuspidal edge, while \( P_y P_z \) of course generates the develop-
able of which \( C_y \) is the cuspidal edge. The surface generated by \( P_y P_\rho \) is not
developable. Its equations become

\[
\rho'' + P_i y = 0, \quad y'' - \rho = 0.
\]

It belongs to the same linear complex as \( C_y \), and \( C_y \) and \( C_\rho \) are the two
branches of its complex curve which is at the same time an asymptotic curve.
\( P_z P_\rho \) generates a developable, since \( z' = \rho \), of which \( C_z \) is the cuspidal edge.
\( P_y P_\sigma \) generates a developable, since \( \rho' = \sigma \), of which \( C_\sigma \) is the cuspidal edge.
Finally \( P_z P_\sigma \) generates a ruled surface whose equations are

\[
\sigma'' - \frac{P_i'}{P_i} \sigma' + P_i z = 0, \quad z'' - \sigma = 0,
\]

upon which \( C_z \) and \( C_\sigma \) are asymptotic lines.

We find, therefore, the following theorem.

If the curve belongs to a linear complex, we may, in an infinity of ways,
choose the fundamental tetrahedron so that four of its edges give rise to
developables whose cuspidal edges are described by the four vertices. The
other two edges of the tetrahedron will then give rise to ruled surfaces upon
each of which the vertices of the tetrahedron trace a pair of asymptotic curves.
The latter coincide with the two branches of the complex curve for the derived
surface of the second kind.

It does not seem convenient to develop the geometrical theory of the covari-
ants for this case at the present moment, as it will appear naturally in connec-
tion with some further investigations which I have not yet completed, and which I hope to present on some future occasion.

Those results which Halphen has deduced for the general case, and which have not been mentioned in this paper might now be directly transcribed from his memoir. For we have connected our own theory with his by obtaining the canonical form (86) for the development of the equations of the curve. In the case \( \theta_3 = 0 \), a different canonical form must be used, which has also been indicated by Halphen, but not extensively employed. The discussion of this we shall leave for a later occasion together with the investigations mentioned above.
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