

# PARALLELISABILITY OF PRINCIPAL FIBRE BUNDLES

BY

SHIING-SHEN CHERN AND SZE-TSEN HU

**1. Introduction.** One of the natural problems concerning fibre bundles is to determine whether or not a given fibre bundle  $\mathfrak{F} = \{F, G; X, B; \psi, \phi_U\}$  is equivalent with the product bundle  $B \times F$ . It is proved in [1, §1]<sup>(1)</sup> that  $\mathfrak{F}$  is equivalent with  $B \times F$  if and only if its principal fibre bundle  $\mathfrak{F}^* = \tau\mathfrak{F}$  is parallelisable; therefore, the problem posed above leads to the parallelisability of a given principal fibre bundle. For the notions and the notations used in the present paper, one may refer to those given by Shiing-shen Chern and Yi-fone Sun in the first section of their recent paper [1].

Throughout the present paper, let  $\mathfrak{F} = \{G, G; X, B; \psi, \phi_U\}$  be a given principal fibre bundle in which the base space is a finite polyhedron. Let  $B$  be given a triangulation such that the closure of every simplex is contained in some coordinate neighborhood  $U$ .

For each integer  $n$  ( $0 \leq n \leq \dim B$ ), let  $B^n$  be the  $n$ -dimensional skeleton of  $B$ , that is, the set of simplexes of  $B$  with dimensions not exceeding  $n$ . A principal fibre bundle  $\mathfrak{F}$  is said to be  $n$ -parallelisable if there exists a mapping  $f: B^n \rightarrow X$  such that  $\psi f(b) = b$  for each point  $b$  of  $B^n$ . In this case,  $f$  is called an  $n$ -lifting of the principal fibre bundle  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Every principal fibre bundle  $\mathfrak{F}$  is obviously 0-parallelisable. Hence the problem posed above can be considered as solved if one has found a necessary and sufficient condition for an  $(n-1)$ -parallelisable principal fibre bundle  $\mathfrak{F}$  to be  $n$ -parallelisable ( $0 < n \leq \dim B$ ). The object of the present paper is to give such a condition in terms of some cohomology invariants for the case that the reference group  $G$  is pathwise connected.

**2. Orientability of fibre bundles.** A fibre bundle is said to be *orientable* if its principal fibre bundle is 1-parallelisable. For a pathwise connected reference group  $G$ , every fibre bundle is orientable. This is an immediate consequence of the following statement.

(2.1) *If the reference group  $G$  is pathwise connected, then every principal fibre bundle  $\mathfrak{F} = \{G, G; X, B; \psi, \phi_U\}$  is 1-parallelisable.*

**Proof.** Let  $f: B^0 \rightarrow X$  be an arbitrary 0-lifting of  $\mathfrak{F}$ , the existence of which is obvious. According to our hypothesis with respect to the triangulation of  $B$  made in §1, for a given 1-simplex  $\sigma$  of  $B$ , there exists a coordinate neighborhood  $U$  which contains the closure of  $\sigma$ . Let  $a$  and  $b$  be the two vertices of  $\sigma$ . Since  $f(a) \in \psi^{-1}(a)$  and  $f(b) \in \psi^{-1}(b)$ , we might define two points  $p, q \in G$  by taking

---

Presented to the Society, November 26, 1949; received by the editors December 10, 1948.

(1) The numbers in brackets denote the references in the bibliography at the end of the paper.

$$p = \phi_{U,a}^{-1}f(a), \quad q = \phi_{U,b}^{-1}f(b).$$

Since  $G$  is pathwise connected, there exists a mapping  $\theta: Cl \sigma \rightarrow G$  such that  $\theta(a) = p$  and  $\theta(b) = q$ . Extend the mapping  $f$  into the interior of  $\sigma$  by taking

$$f(x) = \phi_{U,x}\theta(x) \quad (x \in \sigma).$$

Since  $\psi\phi_{U,x}\theta(x) = x$  ( $x \in \sigma$ ), this gives us a 1-lifting  $f: B^1 \rightarrow X$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$  and (2.1) is proved.

**3. The cocycle  $w^n(f)$  of an  $(n-1)$ -lifting  $f: B^{n-1} \rightarrow X$ .** For the remainder of the paper, let  $n$  be an integer such that  $2 \leq n \leq \dim B$  and assume that the principal fibre bundle  $\mathfrak{F}$  be  $(n-1)$ -parallelisable. Now, let  $f: B^{n-1} \rightarrow X$  be an arbitrary  $(n-1)$ -lifting of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . We define a cochain  $w^n(f)$  of  $B$  with coefficients in the  $(n-1)$ th homotopy group  $\pi_{n-1}(G)$  of the reference group  $G$  as follows.

Let  $\sigma_i^n$  be an arbitrary  $n$ -simplex of  $B$ , then  $f$  is defined on the boundary sphere  $\partial\sigma_i^n$  of  $\sigma_i^n$ . According to our hypothesis concerning the triangulation of  $B$ , there exists a coordinate neighborhood  $U$  which contains the closure  $Cl \sigma_i^n$  of  $\sigma_i^n$ . Since  $f(b) \in \psi^{-1}(b)$  for each point  $b$  of  $\partial\sigma_i^n$ , we might define a mapping  $\theta: \partial\sigma_i^n \rightarrow G$  by taking

$$\theta(b) = \phi_{U,b}^{-1}f(b) \quad (b \in \partial\sigma_i^n).$$

Since  $G$  is  $(n-1)$ -simple [3, p. 69],  $\theta$  determines a unique element  $a_i \in \pi_{n-1}(G)$ .

(3.1) *The element  $a_i \in \pi_{n-1}(G)$  depends only on the  $(n-1)$ -lifting  $f: B^{n-1} \rightarrow X$  and the  $n$ -simplex  $\sigma_i^n \in B$ ; hence the correspondence  $\sigma_i^n \rightarrow a_i$  defines a cochain  $w^n(f)$  of  $B$  which depends only on  $f$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $V$  be another coordinate neighborhood which contains  $Cl \sigma_i^n$  and let  $\theta': \partial\sigma_i^n \rightarrow G$  be the mapping defined by

$$\theta'(b) = \phi_{V,b}^{-1}f(b) \quad (b \in \partial\sigma_i^n).$$

It follows from the Paste Condition [1, §1] for a principal fibre bundle that there exists an element  $g \in G$  such that

$$\theta'(b) = g \cdot \theta(b) \quad (b \in \partial\sigma_i^n).$$

Since  $G$  is pathwise connected, there exists a path  $s: I \rightarrow G$  joining from the identity  $e$  of  $G$  to the element  $g$ , that is,  $s(0) = e$  and  $s(1) = g$ . Define a homotopy  $\theta_t: \partial\sigma_i^n \rightarrow G$  ( $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ) by taking

$$\theta_t(b) = s(t) \cdot \theta(b) \quad (b \in \partial\sigma_i^n, 0 \leq t \leq 1).$$

Then  $\theta_0 = \theta$  and  $\theta_1 = \theta'$ . Since  $G$  is  $(n-1)$ -simple,  $\theta'$  determines the same element  $a_i \in \pi_{n-1}(G)$  as  $\theta$  does. This completes the proof of (3.1).

(3.2) *The cochain  $w^n(f)$  is a cocycle.*

**Proof.** Let  $\sigma^{n+1}$  be an arbitrary  $(n+1)$ -simplex of  $B$ . It need only be shown that

$$(\delta w^n(f))(\sigma^{n+1}) = 0,$$

where  $\delta w^n(f)$  denotes the coboundary of  $w^n(f)$ . According to our hypothesis regarding the triangulation of  $B$ , there exists a coordinate neighborhood  $U$  which contains the closure  $\text{Cl } \sigma^{n+1}$  of  $\sigma^{n+1}$ . Let

$$A^{n-1} = B^{n-1} \cap \text{Cl } \sigma^{n+1},$$

and define a mapping  $\xi: A^{n-1} \rightarrow G$  by taking

$$\xi(b) = \phi_{U,bf}^{-1}(b) \quad (b \in A^{n-1}).$$

According to S. Eilenberg [2, p. 237],  $\xi$  determines an  $n$ -cocycle  $c^n(\xi)$  of  $\text{Cl } \sigma^{n+1}$  with coefficients in  $\pi_{n-1}(G)$ . Clearly we have

$$c^n(\xi) = w^n(f) | \text{Cl } \sigma^{n+1};$$

and hence

$$(\delta w^n(f))(\sigma^{n+1}) = (\delta c^n(\xi))(\sigma^{n+1}) = 0,$$

that is,  $w^n(f)$  is a cocycle. q.e.d.

**4. The characteristic coset  $W^n(\mathfrak{F})$ .** According to (3.1) and (3.2), every  $(n-1)$ -lifting  $f: B^{n-1} \rightarrow X$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$  determines an  $n$ -cocycle  $w^n(f)$  of  $B$  and hence an element  $\omega^n(f)$  of the cohomology group  $H^n(B, \pi_{n-1}(G))$ , called an *n-dimensional obstruction element* of the  $(n-1)$ -parallelisable principal fibre bundle  $\mathfrak{F}$ . The object of the present section is to prove that the  $n$ -dimensional obstruction elements of  $\mathfrak{F}$  form a coset of the presentable subgroup  $P^n(B, \pi_{n-1}(G))$  in the cohomology group  $H^n(B, \pi_{n-1}(G))$  [4, §3].

(4.1) *Every pair of  $(n-1)$ -liftings  $f, g: B^{n-1} \rightarrow X$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$  determines a unique mapping  $\mu: B^{n-1} \rightarrow G$  denoted by  $\mu = f^{-1} \cdot g$ .*

**Proof.** The required mapping  $\mu: B^{n-1} \rightarrow G$  is defined as follows: For an arbitrary point  $b \in B^{n-1}$ , choose a coordinate neighborhood  $U$  containing  $b$  and define

$$\mu(b) = (\phi_{U,bf}^{-1})^{-1} \cdot (\phi_{U,bg}^{-1}(b)).$$

To justify this definition, let  $V$  be another coordinate neighborhood which contains  $b$ . By the aid of the Paste Condition [1, §1], that  $\phi_{V,b}^{-1} \phi_{U,b}$  is a left translation of  $G$  determined by some element  $\xi$  of  $G$ , one may easily verify that

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi_{V,bf}^{-1})^{-1} \cdot (\phi_{V,bg}^{-1}(b)) &= (\xi \cdot \phi_{U,bf}^{-1})^{-1} (\xi \cdot \phi_{U,bg}^{-1}(b)) \\ &= (\phi_{U,bf}^{-1})^{-1} \cdot \xi^{-1} \cdot \xi \cdot (\phi_{U,bg}^{-1}(b)) = \mu(b). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the transformation  $\mu$  is uniquely defined. The continuity of  $\mu$  follows from the fact that  $\mu$  is continuous in every coordinate neighborhood  $U$ . This completes the proof.

(4.2) *Given an  $(n-1)$ -lifting  $f: B^{n-1} \rightarrow X$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$  and a mapping  $\mu: B^{n-1} \rightarrow G$ ,*

there exists a unique  $(n-1)$ -lifting  $g: B^{n-1} \rightarrow X$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$  such that  $f^{-1} \cdot g = \mu$ .

**Proof.** The required  $(n-1)$ -lifting  $g: B^{n-1} \rightarrow X$  is defined as follows: For an arbitrary point  $b \in B^{n-1}$ , choose a coordinate neighborhood  $U$  which contains  $b$  and define

$$g(b) = \phi_{U,b}(\phi_{U,b}^{-1} f(b) \cdot \mu(b)).$$

To justify this definition, let  $V$  be another coordinate neighborhood which contains  $b$ ; then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{V,b}(\phi_{V,b}^{-1} f(b) \cdot \mu(b)) &= \phi_{U,b} \phi_{U,b}^{-1} \phi_{V,b}(\phi_{V,b}^{-1} f(b) \cdot \mu(b)) \\ &= \phi_{U,b}(\xi^{-1} \cdot (\xi \cdot \phi_{U,b}^{-1} f(b) \cdot \mu(b))) = g(b), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\xi \in G$  has the same meaning as in the proof of (4.1). Hence  $g(b)$  is uniquely defined. The continuity of  $g$  follows from the fact that  $g$  is continuous in every coordinate neighborhood  $U$ . Further, clearly we have

$$\psi g(b) = \psi \phi_{U,b}(\phi_{U,b}^{-1} f(b) \cdot \mu(b)) = b;$$

hence  $g$  is an  $(n-1)$ -lifting of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . That  $f^{-1} \cdot g = \mu$  is obvious. This completes the proof of (4.2).

(4.3) **THEOREM I.** *The totality of the  $n$ -dimensional obstruction elements of an  $(n-1)$ -parallelisable principal fibre bundle  $\mathfrak{F}$  forms a coset  $W^n(F)$  of the presentable subgroup  $P^n(B, \pi_{n-1}(G))$  in the cohomology group  $H^n(B, \pi_{n-1}(G))$ , that is,  $W^n(\mathfrak{F})$  is an element of the quotient group*

$$Q^n(B, \pi_{n-1}(G)) = H^n(B, \pi_{n-1}(G)) / P^n(B, \pi_{n-1}(G)).$$

**Proof.** Let  $W^n(\mathfrak{F})$  denote the totality of the  $n$ -dimensional obstruction elements of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . First, let  $f, g: B^{n-1} \rightarrow X$  be two arbitrary  $(n-1)$ -liftings of  $\mathfrak{F}$  and let  $\mu = f^{-1} \cdot g$ .  $\mu$  presents a presentable element [4, §3] of  $H^n(B, \pi_{n-1}(G))$  represented by the cocycle  $c^n(\mu)$ , introduced by S. Eilenberg [2, p. 237]. Let  $\sigma^n$  be an arbitrary  $n$ -simplex of  $B$ . According to our hypothesis concerning the triangulation of  $B$ , there exists a coordinate neighborhood  $U$  which contains the closure  $\text{Cl } \sigma^n$  of  $\sigma^n$ . Then, by the construction given in the proof of (4.1), we have

$$\mu(b) = (\phi_{U,b} f(b))^{-1} \cdot (\phi_{U,b} g(b)) \quad (b \in \partial \sigma^n).$$

Hence, it follows from a homotopy property of a topological group [3] that

$$c^n(\mu) \cdot \sigma^n = w^n(g) \cdot \sigma^n - w^n(f) \cdot \sigma^n.$$

Hence it follows that

$$w^n(g) = w^n(f) + c^n(\mu),$$

and it implies that the obstruction elements  $w^n(f)$  and  $w^n(g)$  are contained in

the same coset of  $P^n(B, \pi_{n-1}(G))$  in  $H^n(B, \pi_{n-1}(G))$ . This proves that  $W^n(\mathfrak{F})$  is contained in a single coset of the presentable subgroup  $P^n(B, \pi_{n-1}(G))$ .

Conversely, let  $f: B^{n-1} \rightarrow X$  be a given  $(n-1)$ -lifting of  $\mathfrak{F}$  and let  $\alpha$  be an arbitrary presentable element of  $H^n(B, \pi_{n-1}(G))$ . According to the definition of presentable elements [4, §3], there is a mapping  $\mu: B^{n-1} \rightarrow G$  such that the cocycle  $c^n(\mu)$  represents  $\alpha$ . Let  $g: B^{n-1} \rightarrow X$  be the  $(n-1)$ -lifting of  $\mathfrak{F}$  constructed in (4.2). Then it follows just as above that

$$w^n(g) = w^n(f) + c^n(\mu).$$

This implies that  $w^n(g) = w^n(f) + \alpha$ . Hence, every element of the coset  $w^n(f) + P^n(B, \pi_{n-1}(G))$  is an obstruction element of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . This completes the proof of Theorem I.

**5.  $n$ -Parallelisability theorems.** We are now in a position to prove the main result of this paper.

(5.1) **THEOREM II.** *An  $(n-1)$ -parallelisable principal fibre bundle  $\mathfrak{F}$  is  $n$ -parallelisable if and only if  $W^n(\mathfrak{F})$  is the presentable subgroup  $P^n(B, \pi_{n-1}(G))$  of the cohomology group  $H^n(B, \pi_{n-1}(G))$ .*

**Proof. Necessity.** Suppose  $\mathfrak{F}$  to be a  $n$ -parallelisable. Then there is an  $n$ -lifting  $f^*: B^n \rightarrow X$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Let  $f = f^*|_{B^{n-1}}$ , then  $f$  is an  $(n-1)$ -lifting with  $w^n(f) = 0$ . It follows from (4.3) that  $W^n(\mathfrak{F}) = P^n(B, \pi_{n-1}(G))$ .

**Sufficiency.** Suppose that  $W^n(\mathfrak{F}) = P^n(B, \pi_{n-1}(G))$ . Then there exists an  $(n-1)$ -lifting  $f: B^{n-1} \rightarrow X$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$  such that its obstruction element  $w^n(f) = 0$ , that is, the cocycle  $w^n(f)$  is a coboundary. According to S. Eilenberg [2, (11.6)], there exists a mapping  $\mu: B^{n-1} \rightarrow G$  such that  $c^n(\mu) = -w^n(f)$ . Let  $g: B^{n-1} \rightarrow X$  be the  $(n-1)$ -lifting given in (4.2); then we have

$$w^n(g) = w^n(f) + c^n(\mu) = w^n(f) - w^n(f) = 0.$$

Let  $\sigma_i^n$  be an arbitrary  $n$ -simplex of  $B$ . Choose a coordinate neighborhood  $U$  which contains  $\text{Cl } \sigma_i^n$ . Define a mapping  $\theta_i: \partial\sigma_i^n \rightarrow G$  by taking

$$\theta_i(b) = \phi_{U, b}^{-1}(g(b)) \quad (b \in \partial\sigma_i^n).$$

Since  $w^n(g) = 0$ ,  $\theta_i$  has an extension  $\theta_i^*: \text{Cl } \sigma_i^n \rightarrow G$ . Define a mapping  $h_i: \text{Cl } \sigma_i^n \rightarrow X$  by taking

$$h_i(b) = \phi_{U, b}(\theta_i^*(b)) \quad (b \in \text{Cl } \sigma_i^n).$$

Then  $h_i(b) = g(b)$  for each  $b \in \partial\sigma_i^n$ . Define a mapping  $g^*: B^n \rightarrow X$  by taking

$$g^*(b) = \begin{cases} g(b) & (b \in B^{n-1}), \\ h_i(b) & (b \in \sigma_i^n). \end{cases}$$

Clearly,  $g^*$  is an  $n$ -lifting of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . This completes the proof of Theorem II.

As an alternative form of Theorem II, we give the following statement.

(5.2) Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be an  $(n-1)$ -parallelisable principal fibre bundle and  $f: B^{n-1} \rightarrow X$  be a given  $(n-1)$ -lifting of  $\mathfrak{F}$ .  $\mathfrak{F}$  is  $n$ -parallelisable if and only if the cocycle  $w^n(f)$  be presentable.

The following theorem is an immediate consequence of Theorem II.

(5.3) THEOREM III. If  $G$  is pathwise connected and

$$H^n(B, \pi_{n-1}(G)) = P^n(B, \pi_{n-1}(G))$$

for each  $2 \leq n \leq \dim B$ , then every fibre bundle  $\mathfrak{F} = \{F, G; X, B; \psi, \phi_U\}$  is equivalent with the product bundle  $B \times F$ .

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. S. S. Chern and Y. F. Sun, *The imbedding theorem for fibre bundles*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 67 (1949) pp. 284–301.
2. S. Eilenberg, *Cohomology and continuous mappings*, Ann. of Math. vol. 41 (1940) pp. 231–251.
3. S. T. Hu, *Some homotopy properties of topological groups and homogeneous spaces*, Ann. of Math. vol. 49 (1948) pp. 67–74.
4. ———, *Extension and classification of the mappings of a finite complex into a topological group or an  $n$ -sphere*, Ann. of Math. vol. 50 (1949) pp. 158–173.

ACADEMIA SINICA,  
NANKING, CHINA.