# ON FERMAT'S LAST THEOREM (THIRTEENTH PAPER)

#### BY

# TARO MORISHIMA

1. Introduction. In the present paper we shall investigate Case I of Fermat's last theorem.

Kummer<sup>(1)</sup> showed that if *l* is an odd prime and  $x^{l}+y^{l}+z^{l}=0$  is satisfied in rational integers prime to each other and to *l*, then

$$B_n\left[\frac{d^{l-2n}\log(x+e^v y)}{dv^{l-2n}}\right]_{v=0} \equiv 0 \pmod{l},$$

where  $B_1 = 1/6$ ,  $B_2 = 1/30$ , and so on, are the numbers of Bernoulli, and  $n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots, (l-3)/2$ . Mirimanoff<sup>(2)</sup> proved that these criteria may be replaced by

$$B_n f_{l-2n}(t) \equiv 0 \pmod{l}, \qquad n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots, (l-3)/2,$$

where

$$-t = x/y, y/x, x/z, z/x, y/z, z/y,$$

and

$$f_n(t) = \sum_{r=0}^{l-1} r^{n-1} t^r.$$

He also derived the criteria

$$f_{l-n}(t)f_n(t) \equiv 0 \pmod{l},$$
  
$$f_{l-1}(t) \equiv 0 \pmod{l}, \qquad n = 2, 3, \cdots, (l-1)/2.$$

The writer(3) extended the above results and proved the following theorems:

THEOREM A(3). If l is an odd prime and

(1) 
$$\alpha^l + \beta^l + \gamma^l = 0$$

is satisfied in integers  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  belonging to the cyclotomic field  $k(\zeta)$  prime to  $1-\zeta$ , where  $\zeta$  is a primitive lth root of unity,  $\zeta = e^{2\pi/il}$ , then we have

(2) D. Mirimanoff, Journal für die Mathematik vol. 128 (1905) pp. 45-68.

(3) T. Morishima, Jap. J. Math. vol. 11 (1935) pp. 241-252, Theorem 3.

Received by the editors April 27, 1950.

<sup>(&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>) E. Kummer, Abhandlungen der Königlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin (1857).

(2) 
$$b_n f_{l-n}(t) \equiv 0 \pmod{l},$$

for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots, l-2$  and

(3) 
$$-t \equiv a/b, b/a, a/c, c/a, b/c, c/b \pmod{l},$$

where  $b_0 = 1$ ,  $b_1 = -1/2$ ,  $b_{2n} = (-1)^{n-1}B_n$ ,  $b_{2n+1} = 0$ , and a, b, c are rational integers and

$$\alpha \equiv a, \beta \equiv b, \gamma \equiv c \pmod{1-\zeta}.$$

THEOREM B(4). If (1) is satisfied in integers in  $k(\zeta)$  prime to  $1-\zeta$ , then we have

$$f_{l-n}(t)f_n(t) \equiv 0 \pmod{l}$$

for  $n = 1, 2, \dots, l-1$ , the other symbols being defined as in Theorem A.

Theorem B is equivalent to Theorem A, that is, Theorem B follows from (2) and conversely<sup>(5)</sup>.

In the following and 5 we shall find results which are obtained from the above theorems.

2. Extension of Vandiver's theorem(<sup>6</sup>). Let l be an odd prime and let  $\alpha = \alpha(\zeta)$  be an integer or fraction in the cyclotomic field  $k(\zeta)$  prime to  $1-\zeta, \zeta$  being a primitive *l*th root of unity. For brevity set

$$\left[\log \alpha\right]^{(n)} = \left[\frac{d^n \log \alpha(e^v)}{dv^n}\right]_{v=0}, \quad \left[\alpha\right]^{(n)} = \left[\frac{d^n \alpha(e^v)}{dv^n}\right]_{v=0}$$

Set also  $\beta/\alpha = \delta$ , where  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  are integers in  $k(\zeta)$  prime to  $1-\zeta$ , then

(4) 
$$[\log (\alpha + \zeta^{*}\beta)]^{(m)} = [\log \alpha]^{(m)} + [\log (1 + \zeta^{*}\delta)]^{(m)}$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} \log (1 + \zeta^{s} \delta) \end{bmatrix}^{(m)} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{(\zeta^{s} \delta)'}{1 + \zeta^{s} \delta} \end{bmatrix}^{(m-1)}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} (\zeta^{s} \delta)' \sum_{r=0}^{l-1} (-1)^{r} (\zeta^{s} \delta)^{r} \end{bmatrix}^{(m-1)} - \begin{bmatrix} (\zeta^{s} \delta)' \frac{(\zeta^{s} \delta)^{l}}{1 + \zeta^{s} \delta} \end{bmatrix}^{(m-1)}$$
$$\equiv \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{r=0}^{l-1} (-1)^{r} \frac{1}{r+1} ((\zeta^{s} \delta)^{r+1})' \end{bmatrix}^{(m-1)} - \begin{bmatrix} \frac{(\zeta^{s} \delta)'}{1 + \zeta^{s} \delta} \end{bmatrix}^{(m-1)} \delta_{0}^{l}$$
$$\equiv \sum_{r=0}^{l-1} (-1)^{r} \frac{1}{r+1} [(\zeta^{s} \delta)^{r+1}]^{(m)} - [\log (1 + \zeta^{s} \delta)]^{(m)} \delta_{0}^{l}$$

(mod l),

68

[January

<sup>(4)</sup> T. Morishima, loc. cit. p. 252. For k=1, Theorem 7 reduces to Theorem B.

<sup>(5)</sup> D. Mirimanoff, loc. cit.

<sup>(6)</sup> H. S. Vandiver, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. vol. 11 (1925) pp. 292-298.

where  $s = 1, 2, \cdots, l-1; 2 \le m \le l-2;$ 

$$((\zeta^*\delta)^{r+1})' = \frac{d(e^{*v}\delta(e^v))^{r+1}}{dv} \qquad (r = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, l-1),$$
  
$$\delta_0 = [\delta(e^v)]_{v=0} = \delta(1),$$

and  $\alpha + \beta$  is prime to  $1 - \zeta$ . Hence

$$[\log (1+\zeta^{s}\delta)]^{(m)} \equiv \frac{1}{1+\delta_{0}} \sum_{r=0}^{l-1} (-1)^{r} \frac{1}{r+1} [(\zeta^{s}\delta)^{r+1}]^{(m)}$$

$$(5) \qquad \equiv \frac{1}{1+\delta_{0}} \sum_{r=0}^{l-1} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{r+1} \sum_{n=0}^{m} C_{m,n} [\zeta^{(r+1)s}]^{(m-n)} [\delta^{r+1}]^{(n)}$$

$$\equiv \sum_{n=0}^{m} a_{m,n} s^{m-n} \pmod{l},$$

where

$$a_{m,n} = \frac{1}{1+\delta_0} C_{m,n} \sum_{r=0}^{l-1} (-1)^r (r+1)^{m-n-1} [\delta^{r+1}]^{(n)} \qquad (n=1, 2, \cdots, m)$$

and

(6)  
$$a_{m,0} = \frac{-1}{1+\delta_0} \sum_{r=1}^{l} r^{m-1} (-\delta_0)^r \\ \equiv \frac{-1}{1+\delta_0} f_m (-\delta_0) \pmod{l}.$$

Now, using (4) and (5), we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \log \left\{ (\alpha + \zeta^{\mathfrak{s}}\beta)(\alpha + \zeta\beta)^{l-1} \right\} \end{bmatrix}^{(m)} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \log (\alpha + \zeta^{\mathfrak{s}}\beta) \end{bmatrix}^{(m)} - \begin{bmatrix} \log (\alpha + \zeta\beta) \end{bmatrix}^{(m)} \\ \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \log (1 + \zeta^{\mathfrak{s}}\delta) \end{bmatrix}^{(m)} - \begin{bmatrix} \log (1 + \zeta\delta) \end{bmatrix}^{(m)} \\ \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{m} (s^{m-n} - 1)a_{m,n} \pmod{l},$$

whence, if

(7) 
$$\left[\log\left\{(\alpha+\zeta^{*}\beta)(\alpha+\zeta\beta)^{l-1}\right\}\right]^{(m)} \equiv 0 \pmod{l}$$

for  $s = 2, 3, \cdots, l-1$ , then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{m-1} (s^{m-n} - 1)a_{m,n} \equiv 0 \pmod{l} \qquad (s = 2, 3, \cdots, l-1),$$

where  $2 \leq m \leq l-2$ . Hence we obtain

(8) 
$$a_{m,n} \equiv 0 \pmod{l}$$

1952]

for  $n=0, 1, \cdots, m-1$ , since the determinant

$$\begin{vmatrix} 2-1 & 2^2-1 & \cdots & 2^m-1 \\ 3-1 & 3^2-1 & \cdots & 3^m-1 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ (m+1)-1 & (m+1)^2-1 \cdots & (m+1)^m-1 \end{vmatrix} \neq 0 \pmod{l}.$$

From (6), (7), and (8) we have the following lemma:

LEMMA 1. If l is an odd prime and, for  $s = 2, \dots, l-1$ , (7) is possible in integers  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  in  $k(\zeta)$  prime to  $1-\zeta$ , then we have

$$f_m(-\delta_0)\equiv 0 \pmod{l},$$

where

$$\delta_0 = \frac{\beta(1)}{\alpha(1)},$$
  

$$\alpha \equiv \alpha(1), \qquad \beta \equiv \beta(1) \pmod{1-\zeta},$$
  

$$1+\delta_0 \equiv \frac{\alpha+\beta}{\alpha} \neq 0 \pmod{1-\zeta},$$

and  $\alpha(1), \beta(1)$  are rational integers.

We now consider the relation

$$\alpha^l + \beta^l + \gamma^l = 0,$$

where l is an odd prime and  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  are integers in  $k(\zeta)$  prime to  $1-\zeta$ . From this relation we obtain

$$\prod_{s=0}^{l-1} (\alpha + \zeta^s \beta) = -\gamma^l,$$

which gives

$$(\alpha + \zeta^{s}\beta) = \mathfrak{ba}_{s}^{l} \qquad (s = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, l-1),$$

where b is the greatest common ideal divisor of  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $a_0$ ,  $a_1$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $a_{l-1}$  are ideals in  $k(\zeta)$ . Hence we have

(9) 
$$(\alpha + \zeta^{s}\beta)(\alpha + \zeta\beta)^{l-1} = b^{l}a^{l}a^{l(l-1)}a_{s}a_{1} \qquad (s = 1, 2, \cdots, l-1).$$

We now employ the law of reciprocity<sup>(7)</sup> between two integers  $\omega_s^{l-1}$ ,  $\theta_r^{l-1}$  in  $k(\zeta)$ , where

(7) H. Hasse, Jber. Deutschen Math. Verein. vol. 6 (1930) p. 110.

(10) 
$$\omega_{\varepsilon} = (\alpha + \zeta^{\epsilon}\beta)(\alpha + \zeta\beta)^{l-1}, \\ \theta_{r} = \theta(\zeta^{r}),$$

and the principal ideal  $(\theta_r)$  is the *l*th power of an ideal in  $k(\zeta)$  which is prime to  $\omega_s$  and  $1-\zeta$ . Then we may write, using (9),

$$1 = \left(\frac{\omega_s}{\theta_r}\right) \left(\frac{\theta_r}{\omega_s}\right)^{l-1} = \zeta^L,$$

where

$$L = \sum_{n=2}^{l-2} (-1)^{n} [\log \omega_{s}^{l-1}]^{(n)} [\log \{\theta(\zeta^{r})\}^{l-1}]^{(l-n)}.$$

Hence we have

$$L \equiv \sum_{n=2}^{l-2} (-1)^n r^{l-n} [\log \omega_s]^{(n)} [\log \theta(\zeta)]^{(l-n)} \equiv 0 \pmod{l}$$

for  $r = 1, 2, \dots, l-3, s = 1, 2, \dots, l-1$ , whence (11)  $[\log \omega_s]^{(n)} [\log \theta(\zeta)]^{(l-n)} \equiv 0 \pmod{l}$  $(n = 2, 3, \dots, l-2; s = 1, 2, \dots, l$ 

$$(n = 2, 3, \cdots, l - 2; s = 1, 2, \cdots, l - 2)$$

since the determinant  $|r^{l-n}|$  is prime to l. Now, if

$$\left[\log \theta(\zeta)\right]^{(l-n)} \equiv 0 \pmod{l},$$

then we take

(12) 
$$f_n(t) \left[ \log \theta(\zeta) \right]^{(l-n)} \equiv 0 \pmod{l}$$

instead of

$$[\log \omega_s]^{(n)} [\log \theta(\zeta)]^{(l-n)} \equiv 0 \pmod{l},$$

where

$$t = -b/a,$$
  
 $\alpha \equiv a, \qquad \beta \equiv b \pmod{1-\zeta}$ 

and a, b are rational integers. If

$$\left[\log \theta(\zeta)\right]^{(l-n)} \neq 0 \pmod{l},$$

then we obtain from (11)

$$\left[\log \omega_s\right]^{(n)} \equiv 0 \pmod{l} \qquad (s = 1, 2, \cdots, l-1)$$

which gives, using Lemma 1 and (10),

 $f_n(t) \equiv 0 \pmod{l},$ 

1),

whence

(13) 
$$f_n(t) [\log \theta(\zeta)]^{(l-n)} \equiv 0 \pmod{l},$$

where

$$t = -b/a,$$
  
 $\alpha \equiv a, \qquad \beta \equiv b \pmod{1-\zeta}$ 

and a, b are rational integers.

In the same way (12) and (13) are satisfied by

$$-t = a/b, a/c, c/a, b/c, c/b,$$

where

$$\alpha \equiv a, \quad \beta \equiv b, \quad \gamma \equiv c \pmod{1-\zeta}$$

and a, b, c are rational integers. From the relation

 $\alpha^{l} + \beta^{l} + \gamma^{l} = 0$ 

we also obtain

$$a^{i}+b^{i}+c^{i}\equiv 0 \pmod{(1-\zeta)^{i}},$$

whence

$$a^{l} + b^{l} + c^{l} \equiv 0 \pmod{l^{2}},$$
$$a + b + c \equiv 0 \pmod{l}.$$

Hence

$$(a+b)^{l} \equiv -c^{l} \equiv a^{l} + b^{l} \pmod{l^{2}}$$

which gives

$$(1-t)^{l} \equiv 1 - t^{l} \pmod{l^{2}},$$

where -t = a/b, b/a. From this relation we have easily

(14) 
$$\sum_{r=1}^{l-1} r^{l-2} t^r \equiv 0 \pmod{l}.$$

In the same way (14) is satisfied by -t=a/c, c/a, b/c, c/b. Hence from (12), (13), and (14) we have

THEOREM 1. If l is an odd prime and

$$\alpha^{l} + \beta^{l} + \gamma^{l} = 0$$

is satisfied in integers  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  in the cyclotomic field  $k(\zeta)$  prime to  $1-\zeta$  and  $\theta(\zeta^r)$  is an integer which is the lth power of an ideal in  $k(\zeta)$  prime to  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ , and  $1-\zeta$ , where  $r=1, 2, \cdots, l-3$ , then we have

$$f_n(l) \left[ \log \theta(\zeta) \right]^{(l-n)} \equiv 0 \pmod{l} \qquad (n = 2, 3, \cdots, l-1)$$

for -t=a/b, b/a, a/c, c/a, b/c, c/b, where

$$f_n(t) = \sum_{r=0}^{l-1} r^{n-1} t^r,$$
$$\left[\log \theta(\zeta)\right]^{(m)} = \left[\frac{d^m \log \theta(e^v)}{dv^m}\right]_{v=0},$$
$$\alpha \equiv a, \qquad \beta \equiv b, \qquad \gamma \equiv c \pmod{1-\zeta}$$

and a, b, c are rational integers.

The above demonstration of Theorem 1 is analogous to that of Theorem A, and also, using the above method, we can obtain Theorem A by taking the unit

$$\left(\frac{(1-\zeta^r)(1-\zeta^{-r})}{(1-\zeta)(1-\zeta^{-1})}\right)^{1/2}$$

instead of  $\theta(\zeta)$ , where r is a primitive root of l. In particular, if  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  are rational integers x, y, z respectively, Theorem 1 gives Vandiver's theorem(8).

3. Irregular ideal classes in the cyclotomic field. Let l be an odd prime and let the number of ideal classes in the cyclotomic field  $k(\zeta)$  be  $h = l^r q$  with (l, q) = 1.

Consider the group of classes of all the ideals in the field of the form  $\mathfrak{a}^q$  where  $\mathfrak{a}$  is an ideal in  $k(\zeta)$ . This gives a group of order  $l^r$  and is called the irregular class group of  $k(\zeta)$ .

Pollaczek(<sup>9</sup>) gave the following results:

LEMMA 2(9). There exists in  $k(\zeta)$  a system of fundamental units  $\eta_i$  which have the property

$$\eta_i^{s-r^{2i}} = \xi_i^l, \qquad i = 1, 2, \cdots, (l-3)/2,$$

where  $\xi_i$  is a unit in  $k(\zeta)$  and s stands for the substitution  $(\zeta; \zeta')$ , r being a primitive root of l.

LEMMA 3(10). In  $k(\zeta)$  we may select a basis, which we shall call a normal basis, for the irregular class group

$$C_1, C_2, \cdots, C_l$$

such that

<sup>(8)</sup> H. S. Vandiver, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. vol. 11 (1925) pp. 292-298.

<sup>(9)</sup> F. Pollaczek, Math. Zeit. vol. 21 (1924).

<sup>(10)</sup> F. Pollaczek, loc. cit.; T. Morishima, Jap. J. Math. vol. 10 (1933) p. 105.

$$C_i^{s-c_i} = 1, \qquad i = 1, 2, \cdots, t,$$

where the c's are positive rational integers, s being the substitution  $(\zeta; \zeta^r)$ .

We now designate by

the C's mentioned in Lemma 3 such that the corresponding c's are quadratic residues, modulo l, and by

$$(16) N_1, N_2, \cdots$$

the C's mentioned in Lemma 3 in which the c's are quadratic nonresidues. We also designate by

$$\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2, \cdots$$

the ideals of classes N in (16) such that

$$\mathfrak{p}_i^{l^{m_i}} = (\rho_i), \qquad \rho_i^{s-c_i} = \omega_i^{l^{m_i}}, \qquad i = 1, 2, \cdots,$$

where  $c_i = r^{(2i+1)l^{m_i-1}}$ ,  $l^{m_i}$  is the order of  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  and  $\rho_i$ ,  $\omega_i$  are integers in  $k(\zeta)$ , and by

$$q_1, q_2, \cdot \cdot \cdot$$

the ideals of classes Q in (15) such that

$$\widetilde{\rho}_i^{l^{n_i}} = (\widetilde{\rho}_i), \qquad \widetilde{\rho}_i^{s-\overline{\delta}_i} = \widetilde{\omega}_i^{l^{n_i}}, \qquad i = 1, 2, \cdots,$$

where  $\tilde{c}_i = r^{(l-1-2i)l^{n_{i-1}}}$ ,  $l^{n_i}$  is the order of  $q_i$ , and  $\tilde{\rho}_i$ ,  $\tilde{\omega}_i$  are integers in  $k(\zeta)$ . The integer  $\rho_i$  satisfying the above conditions we shall call the integer defined by the ideal  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ .

With this notation we have the following lemma.

LEMMA  $4^{(11)}$ . If among the elements of a normal basis of the irregular class group of  $k(\zeta)$  there exists for a certain quadratic non-residue j exactly  $z_j$  classes

$$(17) N_{u_1}, N_{u_2}, \cdots$$

such that

$$N_{u_i}^{s-bu_i} = 1$$

and  $b_{u_i} \equiv j \pmod{l}$ , then there are in the same class group  $z_j$  or  $z_j - 1$  basis classes

$$Q_{v_1}, Q_{v_2}, \cdots$$

where

$$Q_{v_i}^{s-av_i} = 1$$

[January

74

<sup>(11)</sup> F. Pollaczek, loc. cit.; T. Morishima, Jap. J. Math. vol. 10 (1933); T. Morishima, Jap. J. Math. vol. 11 (1935) p. 238.

and  $a_{v_i} \equiv r/j \pmod{l}$ , r being a primitive root of l. In particular, if the second case holds, among the integers  $\rho_i$  defined by the ideals  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  of the classes N in (17) there exists one and only one integer which is not primary and conversely; and also in this case the unit  $\eta_i$ , where  $i = (1/2) \operatorname{ind}(r/j)$ , is a singular primary unit having the property stated in Lemma 2.

Now by a result in a previous paper of the writer's we have the following lemma.

LEMMA  $5(1^2)$ . If l is an odd prime and (1) is satisfied in integers in  $k(\zeta)$  prime to  $1-\zeta$ , then it is impossible that for all values

$$-t = a/b, b/a, a/c, c/a, b/c, c/b,$$
$$f_n(t) \equiv 0 \pmod{l},$$

where n = 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, the other symbols being defined as in Theorem 1.

From Lemma 1 and Lemma 5 we obtain the following lemma.

LEMMA 6. If l is an odd prime and (1) is possible in integers in  $k(\zeta)$ prime to  $1-\zeta$ , then, for at least one of  $m=2, 3, \cdots, l-1$ , at least one of  $\left[\log\left\{(\alpha+\zeta^{m}\beta)(\alpha+\zeta\beta)^{l-1}\right\}\right]^{(n)}$ ,  $\left[\log\left\{(\beta+\zeta^{m}\gamma)(\beta+\zeta\gamma)^{l-1}\right\}\right]^{(n)}$ ,  $\left[\log\left\{(\gamma+\zeta^{m}\alpha)\right\}^{(n)}, \left[\log\left\{(\alpha+\zeta^{m}\beta)(\alpha+\zeta\beta)^{l-1}\right\}\right]^{(n)}$ , is not divisible by l, where n=3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13.

Now for n = 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13 if in  $k(\zeta)$  none of ideal classes N in (16) is such that

$$N_n^{s-c_n} = 1, \qquad c_n \equiv r^n \pmod{l},$$

or if all integers  $\rho_i$  defined by the ideals  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  of the classes N in (16) are primary, then we have

$$\left\{(\alpha+\zeta^{m}\beta)(\alpha+\zeta\beta)^{l-1}\right\}^{qf(s)}=\theta\omega^{l},$$

where q is the factor of the class number h of  $k(\zeta)$  such that  $h = l^r q$ , (l, q) = 1,  $\theta$  is a primary number or 1,  $\omega$  is an integer in  $k(\zeta)$  and f(s) is the symbolic power

(18) 
$$(s-r)(s-r^2)(s-r^3)\cdots(s-r^{l-2})/(s-r^n),$$

s standing for the substitution  $(\zeta;\zeta')$ , r being a primitive root of l. From this we obtain

$$f(\mathbf{r}^n) \left[ \log \left\{ (\alpha + \zeta^m \beta) (\alpha + \zeta \beta)^{l-1} \right\} \right]^{(n)} \equiv 0 \pmod{l},$$

whence, using (18), we have

$$\left[\log\left\{(\alpha+\zeta^{m}\beta)(\alpha+\zeta\beta)^{l-1}\right\}\right]^{(n)}\equiv 0 \pmod{l}$$

which is contrary to Lemma 6.

(12) T. Morishima, Jap. J. Math. vol. 11 (1935) p. 246.

From this result and Lemma 4 we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. If l is an odd prime and

$$\alpha^l + \beta^l + \gamma^l = 0$$

is satisfied in integers  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  in  $k(\zeta)$  prime to  $1-\zeta$ , then for each n=3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13 there exists at least one class  $N_n$  in  $k(\zeta)$  such that

(19) 
$$N_n^{s-c_n} = 1, \qquad c_n \equiv r^n \pmod{l},$$

and in each case n = 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13 one and only one of the integers  $\rho_n$  defined by the ideals  $\mathfrak{p}_n$  of the classes  $N_n$  in (19) is not primary and the unit  $\eta_i$  is primary, where i = (l-n)/2 and  $\eta_i$  is the unit having the property stated in Lemma 4, the other symbols being defined as above.

Now if (1) is satisfied in integers in  $k(\zeta)$  prime to  $1-\zeta$  and for all of  $n=l-2, l-4, \dots, l-2[(l-1)/4]$ 

$$f_n(t) \equiv 0 \pmod{l},$$

where [(l-1)/4] is the greatest integer in (l-1)/4, the other symbols being defined as in Theorem A, then we have

$$f_{l-2n}(t)f_{2n+1}(t) \equiv 0 \pmod{l}$$

for  $n = 1, 2, \dots, (l-3)/2$ . We also have easily

$$f_{l}(t) = \sum_{r=0}^{l-1} r^{l-1} t^{r} = \sum_{r=1}^{l-1} t^{r} \equiv 0 \pmod{l}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{(l-3)/2} f_{l-2n}(t) f_{2n+1}(t) + 2f_l(t) \sum_{r=1}^{l-1} t^r \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{(l-1)/2} \sum_{s=1}^{l-1} \sum_{r=1}^{l-1} r^{l-2n-1} s^{2n} t^r t^s \equiv 0 \pmod{l},$$

whence

$$\sum_{r,s} \frac{r^{l+1} - s^{l+1}}{r^2 - s^2} t^r t^s + \frac{l+1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{l-1} r^{l-1} t^{2r} + \frac{l+1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{l-1} r^{l-1} t^l \equiv 0 \pmod{l},$$

where  $\sum_{r,s}$  indicates summation over all the values  $r=1, 2, \cdots, l-1$ ,  $s=1, 2, \cdots, l-1$  except the values which satisfy

$$r^2 \equiv s^2 \pmod{l}.$$

From this relation we obtain

$$\sum_{r=1}^{l-1} \sum_{s=1}^{l-1} t^r t^s + \frac{l-1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{l-1} t^{2r} + \frac{l-1}{2} (l-1)t \equiv 0 \pmod{l};$$

if  $t \equiv -1 \pmod{l}$ , we can take  $t \equiv 2 \pmod{l}$  instead of  $t \equiv -1 \pmod{l}$  since  $a+b+c\equiv 0 \pmod{l}$ , whence for l>3

$$t \equiv 0 \pmod{l},$$

which is contrary to the assumption. Hence we have the following:

THEOREM 3. If l>3 is prime and (1) is satisfied in integers in  $k(\zeta)$  prime to  $1-\zeta$ , then for at least one of  $n=l-2, l-4, \cdots, l-2[(l-1)/4]$ 

 $f_n(t) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{l},$ 

where the symbols are defined as in Theorem A and  $t \not\equiv -1 \pmod{l}$ .

From Lemma 1 and Theorem 3 we obtain

(20) 
$$\left[\log\left\{(\alpha+\zeta^{m}\beta)(\alpha+\zeta\beta)^{l-1}\right\}\right]^{(n)} \neq 0 \pmod{l}$$

for at least one of  $n = l-2, l-4, \dots, l-2[(l-1)/4]$ , where *m* is one of 2, 3,  $\dots, l-1$ .

Hence by a demonstration which is analogous to that of Theorem 2 we have, using (20), the following theorem.

THEOREM 4. If l > 3 is a prime and (1) is possible in integers in  $k(\zeta)$  prime to  $1-\zeta$ , then for at least one of  $n=l-2, l-4, \cdots, l-2[(l-1)/4]$  there exists a class  $N_n$  in  $k(\zeta)$  such that

$$N_n^{s-c_n} = 1, \qquad c_n \equiv r^n \pmod{l}$$

and the integer  $\rho_n$  defined by the ideal  $\mathfrak{p}_n$  of  $N_n$  is not primary, and the unit  $\eta_{(1-n)/2}$  is primary, where the symbols are defined as in Theorem 2.

Now by Theorem 2 and Theorem 4 for at least seven values of n the integer  $\rho_n$  defined by the ideal  $\mathfrak{p}_n$  of the class  $N_n$  in (16) is not primary, since we may assume<sup>(13)</sup> that l-2[(l-1)/4]>13, that is, l>23. Hence, if among the elements of a normal basis of the irregular class group of  $k(\zeta)$  there exist  $e_1$  classes N defined as in (16) and  $e_2$  classes Q defined as in (15), then by Lemma 4

$$e_1-e_2 \geq 7,$$

whence we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 5. Let the elements of a normal basis of the irregular class group of the cyclotomic field  $k(\zeta)$  be

$$N_1, N_2, \cdots, N_{e_1}, Q_1, Q_2, \cdots, Q_{e_2},$$

where the N's are defined as in (16) and the Q's are defined as in (15). If  $e_1 - e_2$ 

(13) T. Morishima, Jap. J. Math. vol. 11 (1935) p. 246, Theorem 4.

1952]

<7, then

$$\alpha^{l} + \beta^{l} + \gamma^{l} = 0$$

is impossible in integers  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  in  $k(\zeta)$  prime to  $1-\zeta$ , l being an odd prime.

4. Bernoulli numbers. Assume that the B's are the Bernoulli numbers  $(B_1=1/6, B_2=1/30, \text{ etc.})$ , and l is an odd prime and none of the first half in the set  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{(l-3)/2}$  is divisible by l, that is,

$$(21) B_1 \not\equiv 0, B_2 \not\equiv 0, \cdots, B_s \not\equiv 0 \pmod{l},$$

where s = [(l-1)/4]. If (1) is satisfied in integers belonging to the cyclotomic field  $k(\zeta)$  prime to  $1-\zeta$ , then we obtain from (2) and (21)

$$f_{l-2}(t) \equiv 0, f_{l-4}(t) \equiv 0, \cdots, f_{l-2s}(t) \equiv 0 \pmod{l},$$

where s = [(l-1)/4]. This is contrary to Theorem 3. Hence we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 6. If l is an odd prime and none of the first half in the set of the Bernoulli numbers  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{(l-3)/2}$  is divisible by l, that is,

 $B_1 \neq 0, B_2 \neq 0, \cdots, B_s \neq 0 \pmod{l}$ 

where s = [(l-1)/4], then

$$\alpha^l + \beta^l + \gamma^l = 0$$

is never satisfied in integers  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  belonging to the cyclotomic field  $k(\zeta)$  prime to  $1-\zeta$ , where  $\zeta$  is a primitive lth root of unity.

From this theorem we easily obtain the following:

THEOREM 6'. If l is an odd prime and the equation

 $\alpha^l + \beta^l + \gamma^l = 0$ 

is satisfied by integers in  $k(\zeta)$  prime to  $1-\zeta$ , then at least one of the Bernoulli numbers in the set

$$B_1, B_2, \cdots, B_s$$

is divisible by l, where s is (l-1)/4 or (l-3)/4 according as  $l \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  or  $l \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , the other symbols being defined as in Theorem 6.

Now by a result in a previous paper of the writer's we have the following lemma.

LEMMA 7(14). If the equation (1) is solvable for  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  integers in the cyclotomic field  $k(\zeta)$  prime to  $1-\zeta$ , then

[January

78

<sup>(14)</sup> T. Morishima, Jap. J. Math. vol. 11 (1935) p. 246.

$$B_{(l-2n-1)/2} \equiv 0 \pmod{l}$$

for n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, where the symbols are defined as in Theorem 6.

Hence from Theorem 6' and Lemma 7 we obtain, since we may assume  $(^{14})$  that l>23, the following theorem.

THEOREM 7. If the equation (1) is solvable for  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  integers in the cyclotomic field  $k(\zeta)$  prime to  $1-\zeta$ , then at least seven of the Bernoulli numbers in the set

$$B_1, B_2, \cdots, B_{(l-3)/2}$$

are divisible by l.

5. The first factor of the cyclotomic class number. Let h be the class number of the cyclotomic field  $k(\zeta)$  defined by a primitive *l*th root of unity, *l* being an odd prime.

It is known that  $h = h_1 h_2$  where  $h_1$  is called the first factor of the class number and  $h_2$  is called the second factor of the class number and the latter is equal to the class number of the real subfield  $k(\zeta + \zeta^{-1})$  of  $k(\zeta)$  of degree (l-1)/2.

In a previous paper<sup>(15)</sup> the writer proved that if the equation  $\alpha^l + \beta^l + \gamma^l = 0$ is satisfied in integers  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  belonging to the real subfield  $k(\zeta + \zeta^{-1})$  of  $k(\zeta)$ prime to  $1-\zeta$ , where l is an odd prime, then the first factor  $h_1$  of the class number of  $k(\zeta)$  is divisible by  $l^{12}$ . In the present section we shall extend this result and prove that if (1) is possible in integers in the field  $k(\zeta + \zeta^{-1})$  prime to  $1-\zeta$ , then

$$h_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{l^{13}}.$$

Now from a result in a previous paper of the writer's we obtain the following theorem.

THEOREM C(<sup>16</sup>). If l is an odd prime and the equation (1) is satisfied in integers  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  belonging to the field  $k(\zeta + \zeta^{-1})$  prime to  $1 - \zeta$ , then

$$E_m = \eta_m^l$$

$$(m = (l-3)/2, (l-5)/2, (l-7)/2, (l-9)/2, (l-11)/2, (l-13)/2)$$

and

$$B_i \equiv 0 \pmod{l^2},$$
  
$$i = \frac{(l-2n)l^r + 1}{2}, \qquad \tau \ge 1; n = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7,$$

where

1952]

<sup>(15)</sup> T. Morishima, Jap. J. Math. vol. 11 (1935) p. 251, Theorem 6.

<sup>(16)</sup> T. Morishima, Jap. J. Math. vol. 11 (1935) p. 251, Theorem 5.

$$E_m = \epsilon^{f(\epsilon)} \text{ (symbolic power),}$$
  

$$\epsilon = \left(\frac{(1-\zeta^r)(1-\zeta^{-r})}{(1-\zeta)(1-\zeta^{-1})}\right)^{1/2}, \quad \bullet$$
  

$$f(s) = \sum_{i=0}^{(l-3)/2} r^{l-2im-1}s^i,$$

r is a primitive root of l and s is the substitution ( $\zeta$ : $\zeta$ <sup>r</sup>).

By Vandiver's result(17) we also have

(22) 
$$\frac{n^r - 1}{l} \sum_{a=1}^{l-1} a^r = \sum_{a=1}^{l-1} \sum_{s=1}^r a^r C_{r,s} \left(\frac{d_a}{a}\right)^s l^{s-1},$$

where

$$d_a \equiv -a/l \pmod{n},$$
  
$$0 \leq d_a < n, (n, l) = 1,$$

whence for  $r = (l - 2m)l^{c} + 1$ , c > 0,

$$\frac{n^r-1}{l}\sum_{a=1}^{l-1}a^r \equiv r\sum_{a=1}^{l-1}d_a a^{r-1} \pmod{l^2}.$$

On the other hand it is known that

(23) 
$$\frac{1}{l} \sum_{a=1}^{l-1} a^r \equiv b_r \pmod{l^2},$$

where  $b_1 = -1/2$ ,  $b_{2r} = (-1)^{r-1}B_r$  (Bernoulli numbers),  $b_{2r+1} = 0$ , and l > 3. Hence

$$\frac{n^r-1}{r} b_r \equiv \sum_{a=1}^{l-1} d_a a^{r-1} \pmod{l^2}.$$

For c = 1 and 13, this yields

(24) 
$$\frac{n^{(l-2m)l+1}-1}{(l-2m)l+1}b_{(l-2m)l+1} \equiv \sum_{a=1}^{l-1} d_a a^{(l-2m)l} \pmod{l^2},$$
$$\frac{n^{(l-2m)l^{13}+1}-1}{(l-2m)l^{13}+1}b_{(l-2m)l^{12}+1} \equiv \sum_{a=1}^{l-1} d_a a^{(l-2m)l^{12}} \pmod{l^2},$$

whence

$$\frac{n^{(l-2m)l+1}-1}{(l-2m)l+1} b_{(l-2m)l+1} \equiv \frac{n^{(l-2m)l^{12}+1}-1}{(l-2m)l^{13}+1} b_{(l-2m)l^{13}+1} \pmod{l^2}.$$

(17) H. S. Vandiver, Ann. of Math. (2) vol. 18, p. 112, (7a).

80

From this relation and Theorem C we have for m = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7

(25) 
$$b_{(l-2m)l^{12}+1} \equiv 0 \pmod{l^2}.$$

We also have from (22) and (23)

$$\frac{n^{l-2m+1}-1}{l-2m+1} b_{l-2m+1} \equiv \sum_{a=1}^{l-1} d_a a^{l-2m} \pmod{l}.$$

From this relation and (24) we obtain

$$\frac{n^{l-2m+1}-1}{l-2m+1} b_{l-2m+1} \equiv \frac{n^{(l-2m)l^{13}+1}-1}{(l-2m)l^{13}+1} b_{(l-2m)l^{13}+1} \pmod{l},$$

which gives, using Theorem 6',

(26) 
$$b_{(l-2m)l^{13}+1} \equiv 0 \pmod{l},$$

where  $2 \le l-2m+1 \le 2[(l-1)/4]$ , [(l-1)/4] being the greatest integer in (l-1)/4.

From Vandiver's result<sup>(18)</sup> concerning the first factor  $h_1$  of the class number of  $k(\zeta)$  we also have

(27) 
$$h_1 \equiv \frac{l \prod_{s} b_{sl^{13}+1}}{2^{(l-3)/2}} \pmod{l^{13}},$$

where  $s = 1, 3, \dots, l-2$ .

Hence we obtain from (25), (26), and (27)

 $h_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{l^{13}},$ 

whence we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 8. If l is an odd prime and

$$\alpha^l + \beta^l + \gamma^l = 0$$

is possible in integers  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  in the real subfield  $k(\zeta + \zeta^{-1})$  of  $k(\zeta)$  prime to  $1 - \zeta$ , then the first factor of the class number of  $k(\zeta)$  is divisible by  $l^{13}$ .

TOKYO COLLEGE OF SCIENCE,

Tokyo, Japan.

(18) H. S. Vandiver, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 25 (1918) p. 460, (8).