

ON RADEMACHER'S EXTENSION OF THE GOLDBACH-VINOGRADOFF THEOREM

BY
RAYMOND AYOUB

1. **Introduction.** The Goldbach problem for odd numbers n seeks to prove that the equation

$$(1) \quad n = p_1 + p_2 + p_3,$$

where the p_i are prime numbers, is always solvable. Hardy and Littlewood, using the now classical "circle" method, proved that if $B(n)$ is the number of solutions of (1), then under certain assumptions on the zeros of Dirichlet L -functions,

$$B(n) = \mathfrak{S}'(n) \frac{n^2}{2(\log n)^3} + o\left(\frac{n^2}{(\log n)^3}\right)$$

where $\mathfrak{S}'(n)$, the so-called "singular series," was proved to be greater than 0 for all odd n .

Rademacher [1], using simplifications of his own as well as of Landau, proved under similar assumptions that if k be a positive integer, a_i ($i=1, 2, 3$) integers with $(a_i, k) = 1$, and $A(n)$ the number of solutions of (1) with the restriction that the primes p_i belong to the residue classes a_i modulo k , then⁽¹⁾

$$A(n) = \mathfrak{S}(n)I(n) + o\left(\frac{n^2}{(\log n)^3}\right)$$

where

$$I(n) = \iint \frac{dudv}{\log u \log v \log(n-u-v)},$$

and the range of integration is defined by the inequalities $u \geq 2$, $v \geq 2$, $u+v \leq n-2$. He further proved that $\mathfrak{S}(n) > 0$ provided certain necessary arithmetic restrictions on n hold. It may be shown that

$$I(n) = \frac{n^2}{2(\log n)^3} + o\left(\frac{n^2}{(\log n)^3}\right).$$

Received by the editors July 9, 1952.

⁽¹⁾ Rademacher considers the more general problem of the number of representations of n as a sum of s ($s \geq 3$) primes belonging to preassigned residue classes modulo k , and obtains a precise error term.

Vinogradoff [2], using the theorems of his own on estimates of trigonometric sums together with a theorem on the uniformity of distribution of primes in an arithmetic progression due to Siegel and Walfisz, proved the Hardy-Littlewood result without assumptions.

Following the work of Vinogradoff and Rademacher, we prove the result of Rademacher without recourse to Dirichlet L -functions and the assumptions on the location of their zeros.

2. Notations and preliminary results. Let n be an integer chosen sufficiently large, $\nu = \log n$, θ is a real number with $|\theta| < 1$, m is any constant > 3 . $f(x) \ll g(x)$ means $f(x) = O(g(x))$, and denote $e^{(2\pi i/q)x}$ by $e_q(x)$.

THEOREM 2.1 (SIEGEL-WALFISZ). *If $\pi(n, q, t)$ denotes the number of primes $\leq n$ in the progression $qx + t$, $(q, t) = 1$, and if $0 < q \leq \nu^{3m}$, then,*

$$(2) \quad \pi(n, q, t) = (1/\phi(q)) \int_2^n \frac{dx}{\log x} + O((\phi(q))^{-1} n \nu^{-15m-1}),$$

where the constant implied by the O depends only on m .

THEOREM 2.2. *Let $(h, q) = 1$, $d|q$, $(a, d) = 1$, and denote by (u) the set of integers satisfying the conditions $1 \leq u \leq q$, $u \equiv a \pmod{d}$, $(u, q) = 1$. If*

$$S(q) = \sum_{(u)} e_q(hu),$$

then,

$$(3) \quad S(q) = \begin{cases} \mu(q/d)e_a(bah) & \text{if } ((q/d), d) = 1 \text{ and } (q/d)b \equiv 1 \pmod{d}, \\ 0 & \text{if } ((q/d), d) > 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The proof follows Rademacher.

Let $T(q) = \sum e_q(v)$, summed over those v such that $1 \leq v \leq q$, $v \equiv a \pmod{d}$; then

$$(4) \quad T(q) = \sum_{c|q} \sum_w e_q\left(h \frac{q}{c} w\right) = \sum_{c|q} U(c),$$

where, for given c , w in the inner sum ranges over those integers satisfying $(c, w) = 1$, $1 \leq w \leq q$, $wq/c \equiv a \pmod{d}$. Moreover, if $q_1|q$ and $T(q_1) = \sum_t e_{q_1}(ht)$, where t ranges over the set $1 \leq t \leq q$, $t(q/q_1) \equiv a \pmod{d}$, then

$$(5) \quad T(q) = \sum_{c|q_1} U(c).$$

From (4) and (5), however, we get

$$(6) \quad \sum_{q_1|q} \mu(q/q_1)T(q_1) = \sum_{q_1|q} U(q_1) \sum_{q_2|q/q_1} \mu(q/q_1q_2) = U(q) = S(q).$$

If $((q/q_1), d) = 1$, then $T(q_1) = 0$; moreover $T(q_1) = e_{q_1}(hd)T(q_1)$. Conse-

quently $T(q_1) = 0$ for $q_1 \nmid hd$. On the other hand for $q_1 \mid hd$, $((q/q_1), d) = 1$, determine b in such a way that $bq/q_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$. Then

$$T(q_1) = \sum_{1 \leq v \leq q_1/d} e_{q_1}(h(vd + ba)) = (q_1/d)e_{q_1}(bah).$$

Since $q_1 \mid d$, $T(q_1) = 0$ for $q_1 < d$, and the theorem is proved.

Suppose now that

$$(7) \quad S(x) = S_i(x) = \sum_{(p)} e(xp),$$

where (p) denotes the set of primes satisfying the conditions $p \leq n$, $p \equiv a \pmod{k}$ with $a = a_1, a_2, a_3$, and $e_1(x) = e(x)$, then

$$(8) \quad A(n) = \int_0^1 S_1(x)S_2(x)S_3(x)e(-nx)dx = \int_0^1 f(x)dx \quad (\text{say}).$$

As usual, we divide the unit interval into Farey "arcs." Let $h/q = r$ be a rational point of the unit interval with $(h, q) = 1$ and $1 \leq q \leq \nu^{3m}$. The major "arc" B_r , belonging to r is the set of points x in $(0, 1)$ with

$$|x - r| \leq n^{-1}\nu^{3m} = \tau^{-1}.$$

It is proved that no two major arcs intersect, and if E denotes the set of points not belonging to any B_r , then x in E has the form

$$x = h/q + \theta/q\tau \quad \text{with} \quad \nu^{3m} < q \leq \tau.$$

Since $f(x)$ has period 1, (8) can be written as

$$(9) \quad A(n) = \sum_r \int_{B_r} f(x)dx + \int_E f(x)dx.$$

3. Estimate on the major arcs.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $d = (k, q)$; then if x belongs to B_r ,*

$$(10) \quad S(x) = \frac{1}{\phi(k)} \frac{\mu(q/d)}{\phi(q/d)} e_d(hab) \int_2^n \frac{e(xz)}{\log x} dx + O(n\nu^{-6m-1}).$$

Proof. With Vinogradoff, we divide $S(x)$ into $O(\nu^{3m})$ sums of the form

$$(11) \quad S_u(x) = \sum_{u < p \leq v} e((h/q + z)p),$$

the range of summation being further restricted by the condition $p \equiv a \pmod{k}$, $0 < v - u \leq n\nu^{3m}$, and since x is a point of B_r , it has the form $x = h/q + z$, with $|z| \leq \tau^{-1}$. We write (11) in the form

$$S_u(x) = \sum_j \sum_{u < p \leq v} e((h/q + z)p),$$

where p in the inner sum is further restricted by $p \equiv a \pmod{k}$ and $p \equiv j \pmod{q}$. We deduce by the Chinese remainder theorem

$$S_u(x) = \sum_{j \equiv a \pmod{d}} \sum_{u < p \leq v} e((h/q + z)p),$$

where in the inner sum $p \equiv s \pmod{kq/d}$. Denote the inner sum by $S_u^j(x)$. Since $p \equiv j \pmod{q}$, we get $e((h/q + z)p) = e(hj/q + uz) + O(|z|nv^{-9m})$. On the other hand,

$$S_u^j(x) = \{e(hj/q)e(uz) + O(|z|nv^{-9m})\} \sum_p 1,$$

with p satisfying the conditions of the above inner sum. Using Theorem 2.1, we deduce

$$S_u^j(x) = (\phi(kq/d))^{-1}e(hj/q)e(uz)I_1 + O((\phi(kq/d))^{-1}(nv^{-1-15m} + I_1|z|\nu^{-9m})),$$

where

$$I_1 = \int_u^v \frac{dx}{\log x}.$$

Since $|z||x-u| \leq |z|nv^{-9m}$, we get

$$I_1e(uz) = \int_u^v \frac{e(xz)}{\log x} dx + O(I_1|z|nv^{-9m}).$$

Consequently,

$$S_u^j(x) = (\phi(kq/d))^{-1} \int_u^v \frac{e(xz)}{\log x} dx + O((\phi(kq/d))^{-1}(nv^{-1-15m} + I_1|z|\nu^{-9m})).$$

Summing over j , we get,

$$(12) \quad S_u(x) = (\phi(kq/d))^{-1} \int_u^v \frac{e(xz)}{\log x} dx \sum_j e(hj/q) + O((\phi(kq/d))^{-1}(nv^{-1-15m} + I_1|z|\nu^{-9m})) \sum_j 1.$$

Here j ranges over the set $1 \leq j \leq q, (j, q) = 1, j \equiv a \pmod{d}$. If $((q/d), d) = 1$, and b is determined such that $(q/d)b \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$, we get, by Theorem 2.3,

$$S_u(x) = (\phi(kq/d))^{-1}\mu(q/d)e(hba/d) \int_u^v \frac{e(xz)}{\log x} dx + O((\phi(q/d)(\phi(kq/d))^{-1})(nv^{-1-15m} + I_1|z|\nu^{-9m})),$$

while if $((q/d), d) > 1$,

$$S_u(x) = O((\phi(q/d)(\phi(kq/d))^{-1})(n\nu^{-1-15m} + I_1 |z| n\nu^{-9m})).$$

Continuing with (12), we observe that $1 = ((q/d), d) = ((q/d), (q, k)) = ((q/d), k)$. Hence

$$(13) \quad S_u(x) = (\phi(k))^{-1}(\phi(q/d))^{-1}\mu(q/d)e(hab/d) \int_u^v \frac{e(xz)}{\log x} dx + O(n\nu^{-1-15m} + I_1 |z| n\nu^{-9m}).$$

Summing over all intervals, we get

$$S(x) = (\phi(k))^{-1}\phi(q/d)^{-1}\mu(q/d)e(hab/d) \int_2^n \frac{e(xz)}{\log x} dx + O(n\nu^{-15m-1}\nu^{9m} + |z| n\nu^{-9m} \int_2^n \frac{dx}{\log x}).$$

Since

$$|z| n\nu^{-9m} \int_2^n \frac{dx}{\log x} = O(n\nu^{-6m-1}),$$

the result follows.

THEOREM 3.2.

$$(14) \quad \int_{Br} f(x)dx = \frac{1}{\phi(k)^3} \frac{\mu(q/d)}{\phi(q/d)^3} e((hb(a_1 + a_2 + a_3)/d) - nh/q) \cdot \int_{-r^{-1}}^{r^{-1}} (I_2(z))^3 e(-nz) dz + O\left(\frac{1}{\phi(q/d)^2} n^2\nu^{-6m-3}\right),$$

where

$$(15) \quad I_2(z) = \int_2^n \frac{e(xz)}{\log x} dx.$$

Proof. An easy calculation shows that $I_2(z) = O(\zeta)$ where

$$\zeta = \begin{cases} n\nu^{-1} & \text{if } |z| \leq n^{-1}, \\ |z|^{-1\nu^{-1}} & \text{if } n^{-1} < z \leq n\nu^{-3m}. \end{cases}$$

We have

$$(\phi(k))^{-1}(\phi(q/d))^{-1}\mu(q/d)e(hab/d)I_2(z) = O((\phi(q/d))^{-1}I_2(z)),$$

and since $\phi(q/d)n\nu^{-6m-1}(I_2(z))^{-1} = O(1)$, we deduce

$$(16) \quad S_1(x)S_2(x)S_3(x) = (\phi(k))^{-3}(\phi(q/d))^{-3}\mu(q/d)e(hb(a_1 + a_2 + a_3)/d) \cdot (I_2(z))^3 + O(\phi(q/d)^2 n\nu^{-6m-1}(I_2(z))^2).$$

Therefore

$$\int_{B_r} f(x)dx = \int_{-\tau^{-1}}^{\tau^{-1}} S_1(x)S_2(x)S_3(x)e^{-(h/q+z)n}dz$$

$$= (\phi(k)^{-3}(\phi(q/d))^{-3}\mu(q/d)e(hb(a_1 + a_2 + a_3)/d)I + I_3(z),$$

where

$$I = \int_{-\tau^{-1}}^{\tau^{-1}} (I_2(z))^3 e^{-nz} dz,$$

$$I_3(z) = O\left((\phi(q/d))^{-2} n \nu^{-6m-1} \int_0^{n^{-1}\nu^{3m}} \zeta^2 dz \right)$$

$$= O\left((\phi(q/d))^{-2} n \nu^{-6m-1} \int_0^{n^{-1}} n^2 \nu^{-2} dz + \int_{n^{-1}}^{n^{-1}\nu^{3m}} n^{-2} z^{-2} dz \right)$$

$$= O((\phi(q/d)^{-2} n^2 \nu^{-6m-3})).$$

COROLLARY.

$$(17) \quad \sum_r \int_{B_r} f(x)dx = \frac{1}{\phi(k)^3} \sum_q \frac{\mu(q/d)}{\phi(q/d)^3} \sum_h e(bh(a_1 + a_2 + a_3)/d - hn/q)I$$

$$+ O(n^2 \nu^{-3m-3}).$$

Here the inner sum ranges over the set $i \leq h \leq q$, $(h, q) = 1$, and the outer sum over the set $(d, (q/d)) = 1, q \leq \nu^{3m}$.

4. Estimate on the minor arc.

THEOREM 4.1. Let (u) and (v) be two increasing sequences of positive integers and w a positive integer. Let $1 < N' < N_1, n_1 = \log N_1, 1 < U_0 < U_1 \leq N_1, 1 < \tau < N_1, x = h/q + \theta/q\tau, \delta = (w, q), q = \delta q_1, w = \delta w_1$, and

$$T = \sum_u \sum_v e(xwuv),$$

where u runs through the elements of the sequence (u) satisfying the inequalities $U_0 < u \leq U_1$ and, for given u, v ranges over those elements of the sequence (v) satisfying the inequalities $N'/u < v \leq N_1/u$; then

$$(18) \quad T = O(N_1(n_1/U_0 + U_1/N_1 + q_1 n_1^3/N_1 + n_1^2/q_1 + w_1 n_1^2/\tau)^{1/2}).$$

Proof. The proof may be found in Vinogradoff [2].

Denote by H the product of all primes $\leq n^{1/2}$, and by (d) the sequence of integers satisfying the condition $d|H, d \leq n$. Using a reasoning similar to that used in the proof of Theorem 2.2, we derive the following expression for $S(x)$

$$(19) \quad S(x) = \sum_{(d)} \mu(d)S_d + O(n^{1/2}),$$

where

$$S_d = \sum_u e(xdu).$$

Here u ranges over the sequence satisfying the conditions $du \leq n$, $du \equiv a \pmod{k}$. We have

$$\sum_{(d)} \mu(d)S_d = \sum_{(d_0)} S_d - \sum_{(d_1)} S_d = S_0 - S_1 \quad (\text{say}),$$

where (d_0) is the sequence of elements of (d) having an even number of divisors and (d_1) those elements of (d) having an odd number of divisors. We estimate S_0 ; S_1 can be estimated in exactly the same way. Write $\lambda = \nu^{2(m+1)}$, and divide S_0 into three sums,

$$(20) \quad S_0 = \sum_{d \leq \lambda} S_d + \sum_{\lambda < d \leq n\lambda^{-1}} S_d + \sum_{n\lambda^{-1} \leq d \leq n} S_d = T_1 + T_2 + T_3.$$

It is understood of course that the index d ranges over the set (d_0) satisfying the given inequalities.

To estimate T_1 , we observe that if $d' = d/(k, d)$ and a' is a solution of the congruence $dx \equiv a \pmod{k}$ and $n_2 = n(k, d)/kd$, then

$$S_d = \sum_{u \leq n_2} e(xd'(ku + a')).$$

Consequently, $|S_d| \leq q$; it follows that

$$(21) \quad T_1 \ll n\nu^{-m+2}.$$

To estimate T_2 , we apply Theorem 4.1. We have

$$T = \sum_d \sum_u e(xdu)$$

with the prescribed ranges of summation. We have here $N_1 = n$, $U_0 = \lambda$, $U_1 = n\lambda^{-1}$, $w = 1$. Theorem 4.1 yields

$$(22) \quad T_2 \ll n(\nu^{-2m-1})^{1/2} \ll n\nu^{-m+2}.$$

We turn now to the estimate of T_3 . We have

$$T_3 = \sum_d \sum_u e(xdu),$$

summed over the prescribed ranges for d and u . Interchange the order summation, then

$$T_3 = \sum_{u \leq \lambda} \sum_{n\lambda^{-1} < d \leq n/u} e(xdu) = \sum_u T(u)$$

with the inner sum further restricted by the condition $du \equiv a \pmod{k}$. We divide the sequence (d) into two sequences (d') and (d'') where (d') is the set of (d) having all prime divisors $\leq \nu^{3m}$ and (d'') those elements of (d) having at least one prime divisor $> \nu^{3m}$. (d_0) is then divided into two corresponding sets (d'_0) and (d''_0) . We get $T(u) = T'(u) + T''(u)$ where the right-hand summands correspond to the sets (d'_0) and (d''_0) respectively. We estimate now the number of terms D of the set (d') which satisfy the conditions $d \leq n/u$ and $1 \leq u \leq \lambda$. To this end suppose that an element d of (d') have j prime divisors. Then $(\nu^{3m})^j \geq n\lambda^{-1}$, and hence if n be chosen sufficiently large $j > \nu/6m \log \nu$. If then $\tau(d)$ be the number of divisors of d , we get

$$\tau(d) = 2^j > 2^{\nu/6m \log \nu} > n^{1/9m \log \nu},$$

and since

$$\sum_{1 \leq v \leq n_1} \tau(v) \ll n_1(\nu + 1),$$

where $n_1 = n/u$, we conclude that

$$Dn^{1/9m \log \nu} \ll n_1(\nu + 1) \ll n_1 n^{1/9m \log \nu} n^{-1/9m \log \nu} \nu^m \nu^{-m}(\nu + 1).$$

Therefore $D \ll n_1 \nu^{-m}$. Consequently we deduce that

$$T(u) = T''(u) + O(\nu^{-m} n u^{-1}).$$

For the sum $T''(u)$ we have evidently $j < \nu$, hence

$$T''(u) = \sum_j T_j(u)$$

where $T_j(u)$ is summed over those d belonging to (d''_0) satisfying the inequalities $n\lambda^{-1} < d \leq n_1$, and having exactly j prime divisors $> \nu^{3m}$. In order to estimate the sum $T_j(u)$ we consider with Vinogradoff the more general sum

$$T'_j(u) = \sum_v \sum_w e(xuvw)$$

where v ranges over all primes $> \nu^{3m}$ belonging to (d) and, for given v , w ranges over those numbers satisfying the inequalities $n\lambda^{-1}/v < w \leq n_1/v$, the congruence $uvw \equiv a \pmod{k}$ and containing exactly $j-1$ prime divisors $> \nu^{3m}$ and belonging to (d_1) . Every term $e(xdu)$ of the sum $T_j(u)$ is found in the sum $T'_j(u)$ and indeed is found exactly j times. In addition, however, $T'_j(u)$ contains terms of the form $e(xp^2w_1)$ with $n\lambda^{-1}/p^2 < w_1 \leq n_1/p^2$, where $p > \nu^{3m}$, and w_1 runs over elements of (d_0) containing $j-2$ prime divisors $> \nu^{3m}$. These terms evidently occur without duplication. For given p , the number of $w_1 p^2$ satisfying $n\lambda^{-1}/p^2 < w_1 \leq n_1/p^2$ is $\leq n_1/p^2$, consequently

$$T'_j(u) = jT_j(u) + O\left(\sum_{\nu^{3m} < p \leq n^{1/2}} n_1/p^2\right) = jT_j(u) + O(\nu^{-3m} n u^{-1}).$$

We now apply Theorem 4.1 to the sum $T'_j(u)$. We take $U_0 = \nu^{3m}$, $U_1 = n^{1/2}$, $N' = n\lambda^{-1}$, and conclude

$$T(u) \ll n/u(u\nu^{-3m+2})^{1/2} \ll n\nu^{-3m/2+1}u^{-1/2}.$$

Therefore, $T_j(u) \ll j^{-1}nu^{-1/2}\nu^{-3m/2+1}$, from which we deduce that

$$T''(u) \ll nu^{-1/2}\nu^{-3m/2+1} \log \nu,$$

and hence that

$$T(u) \ll nu^{-1/2}\nu^{-3m/2+1} \log \nu + nu^{-1}\nu^{-m}.$$

Summing over u , we deduce that

$$(23) \quad T_3 \ll n\nu^{-m+1} \log \nu + n\nu^{-m} \log \nu \ll n\nu^{-m+2}.$$

Using (19), (20), (21), (22), and (23), we conclude the following:

THEOREM 4.2. *Let m be any constant > 3 ,*

$$x = h/q + \theta/q\tau, \quad (h, q) = 1, \quad \nu^{3m} < q \leq \tau, \quad \tau = n\nu^{-3m};$$

then

$$(24) \quad \sum_{(p)} e(xp) = O(n\nu^{-m+2}).$$

5. The asymptotic formula and proof of the theorem.

THEOREM 5.1. *If $m > 9/4$, then*

$$(25) \quad I = \int_{-\tau^{-1}}^{\tau^{-1}} (I_2(z))^3 e(-nz) dz = \frac{n^2}{2\nu^3} + O\left(\frac{n^2}{\nu^{7/2}} \log \nu\right).$$

Proof. Vinogradoff [2].

Using this result, we deduce readily that

$$\sum_q (\phi(q/d))^{-3} \mu(q/d) \sum_h e(bh(a_1 + a_2 + a_3)/d - hn/q) I = O(n^2\nu^{-4}),$$

where the inner sum ranges over the set of h such that $1 \leq h \leq q$, $(h, q) = 1$, and the outer sum over those q satisfying $((q/d), d) = 1$, $q > \nu^{3m}$. This result, together with (17), permits us to conclude that

$$(26) \quad \sum_r \int_{B_r} f(x) dx = \mathfrak{S}(n) \frac{n}{2\nu^3} + O(n^2\nu^{-7/2} \log \nu),$$

where $\mathfrak{S}(n)$, the singular series, is given by

$$(27) \quad \mathfrak{S}(n) = \frac{1}{\phi(k)^3} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(a/d)}{\phi(q/d)^3} \sum_{1 \leq h \leq q} e(hb(a_1 + a_2 + a_3)/d - hn/q),$$

where as above q is restricted by $((q/d), d) = 1$ and h by $(h, q) = 1$. On the

other hand, using Theorem 4.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_E f(x)dx &\ll \int_E |S_1(x)S_2(x)S_3(x)| dx \\
 (28) \qquad &\ll nv^{-m+2} \int_0^1 |S_2(x)S_3(x)| dx \\
 &\ll nv^{-m+2} \left(\int_0^1 |S_2(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^1 |S_3(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\
 &\ll nv^{-m+2}nv^{-1} \ll n^2v^{-m+1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

From (9), (26), and (28), we conclude

$$(29) \qquad A(n) = \mathfrak{S}(n) \frac{n^2}{2(\log n)^3} + O\left(\frac{n^2}{(\log n)^{7/2}} \log \log n\right).$$

On the other hand Rademacher has shown that if n is odd and $n \equiv a_1 + a_2 + a_3 \pmod{k}$, then

$$\mathfrak{S}(n) = \frac{C}{k^2} \prod_{p|k} \frac{p^3}{(p-1)^3 + 1} \prod_{p|n, p \nmid k} \frac{(p-1)((p-1)^2 - 1)}{(p-1)^3 + 1} \prod_{p>2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{(p-1)^3}\right),$$

where throughout $p > 2$, $C = 2$ for odd k , and $C = 8$ for even k . If n fails to satisfy the above conditions, then $\mathfrak{S}(n) = 0$.

We formulate the:

MAIN THEOREM. *Let k be a positive integer, a_1, a_2, a_3 be residue classes modulo k with $(a_i, k) = 1$. If n is a sufficiently large odd integer satisfying the congruence $n \equiv a_1 + a_2 + a_3 \pmod{k}$, then n can be represented as a sum of three primes belonging respectively to the residue classes a_1, a_2, a_3 , modulo k . The asymptotic formula for the number of representations is given by (29).*

6. Concluding remarks. The method of Linnik-Tchudakoff will provide another proof of this result. The corresponding question for the simultaneous Goldbach-Waring problem may be posed and solved.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. H. A. Rademacher, *Ueber eine Erweiterung des Goldbachschen Problems*, Math. Zeit. vol. 25 (1926).
2. I. Vinogradoff, *Some theorems concerning the theory of primes*, Matematcheskii Sbornik vol. 2 (44) (1937).

HARVARD UNIVERSITY,
CAMBRIDGE, MASS.