

# ON BURNSIDE'S PROBLEM

BY

R. C. LYNDON

**1. Introduction.** Let  $B$  be the group on  $q$  generators defined by setting the  $p$ th power of every element, for some prime  $p$ , equal to the identity<sup>(1)</sup>. A method, based on the free differential calculus of R. H. Fox, will be applied to study the quotients  $Q_n = B_n/B_{n+1}$  of the lower central series of  $B$ , for  $n \leq p+2$ <sup>(2)</sup>. Our main results were obtained earlier by Philip Hall, using a different method<sup>(3)</sup>.

To state these results, let  $\psi(n)$  be the rank of the free abelian quotient  $F_n/F_{n+1}$ , where  $F$  is the free group on  $q$  generators. (Witt [11] has shown that  $\psi(n) = n^{-1} \sum_{d|n} \mu(n/d)q^d$ .) Then  $Q_n$  will be the direct product of a certain number  $\kappa(n)$  of cyclic groups of order  $p$ , where  $\kappa(n) \leq \psi(n)$ . We show that:

$$(I) \quad \kappa(n) = \psi(n) \quad \text{for } n < p;$$

$$(II) \quad \kappa(p) = \psi(p) - \binom{p+q-1}{p} + q;$$

$$(III) \quad \kappa(p+1) = \psi(p+1) - \binom{q}{2} \binom{p+q-2}{p-1} \quad \text{for } p > 2;$$

$$(IV) \quad \kappa(p+2) = \psi(p+2) - 3p + 1 \quad \text{for } p > 3 \text{ and } q = 2.$$

**2. The Magnus series and Fox derivatives.** In this section we summarize, without proof, those known results that will be needed later.

Magnus has defined an isomorphic representation of a free group by power series. Let  $F$  be the free group on generators  $x_1, \dots, x_q$ . Let  $\Omega$  be the ring of all formal power series, with integer coefficients, in  $q$  noncommuting indeterminates denoted by  $\Delta x_1, \dots, \Delta x_q$ . The Magnus representation  $w \rightarrow 1 + \Delta w$

Presented to the Society, April 25, 1953; received by the editors May 18, 1953.

<sup>(1)</sup> For a general discussion of Burnside's problem, see Baer [1]. In addition to the papers mentioned in [1] we note a more recent paper of Magnus [10] that is in part parallel to the present investigation, and a paper of J. A. Green [5] in which he anticipates certain ideas of the present paper and establishes a remarkable theorem that supersedes similar results of ours.

<sup>(2)</sup> For the Fox calculus, see Fox [4]; for its application to the lower central quotients, see Chen-Fox-Lyndon [3]. The results cited in §2 are to be found in these papers and in the fundamental papers [9; 10] of Magnus and [11] of Witt. See also Hall [6]. *Added in proof:* These results are extended in a sequel to the present paper, to appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.

<sup>(3)</sup> Hall, 1949, unpublished. Results I, II, III (at least for  $q=2$ ), IV below. I am grateful for the opportunity to check my results against his (and to correct an error in my preliminary computation of  $\kappa(p+2)$ ).

may be characterized as the unique multiplicative extension,  $F$  into  $\Omega$ , of the correspondence  $x_k \rightarrow 1 + \Delta x_k$ .

We write  $w \rightarrow 1 + \Delta w = 1 + \omega_1 + \omega_2 + \dots$  where  $\omega_n$  is the sum of all terms of total degree  $n$  in the  $\Delta x_k$ . It is known that  $\omega_1 = \omega_2 = \dots = \omega_{n-1} = 0$  if and only if  $w$  lies in the lower central group  $F_n$ . In this case  $\omega_n$  is a Lie element in the  $\Delta x_k$ , of degree  $n$ , and it is known that the correspondence  $w \rightarrow \omega_n$  defines an isomorphism of the abelian quotient  $F_n/F_{n+1}$  onto the module of all Lie elements of degree  $n$  contained in  $\Omega$ . If  $p\zeta$  is a Lie element, where  $p$  is an integer, then  $\zeta$  is a Lie element.

The coefficients in the Magnus series are given by the Fox calculus. Let  $\Gamma$  be the group ring of  $F$ , with integer coefficients. For each generator  $x_k$  define  $\partial/\partial x_k$  from  $F$  into  $\Gamma$  by the conditions

$$\frac{\partial x_j}{\partial x_k} = \delta_{jk}, \quad \frac{\partial(uv)}{\partial x_k} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} + u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_k}.$$

By extending  $\partial/\partial x_k$  linearly to a derivation from  $\Gamma$  into  $\Gamma$ , one defines the iterated derivatives  $\partial^n/\partial x_{c_1} \dots \partial x_{c_n}$ . The coefficient sum  $D_{c_1 \dots c_n}(w)$  of  $\partial^n w/\partial x_{c_1} \dots \partial x_{c_n}$  is then the coefficient of  $\Delta x_{c_1} \dots \Delta x_{c_n}$  in  $\Delta w$ :

$$\Delta w = \sum D_c(w) \cdot \Delta x_{c_1} \dots \Delta x_{c_n},$$

summed over all nonempty finite sequences  $c = c_1 \dots c_n$  of integers  $c_k = 1, 2, \dots, q$ .

Let  $C_n$  be the set of all sequences  $c$  of length  $n$ , and define  $S_n$  to be the subset of those "standard"  $c$  that have the property of preceding lexicographically all of their own proper terminal segments  $c_k c_{k+1} \dots c_n, 1 < k \leq n$ . The operators  $D_c$  for  $c$  in  $C_n$  define homomorphisms of  $F_n/F_{n+1}$  into the additive group  $Z$  of integers, and the  $D_c$  for  $c$  in  $S_n$  form a basis for the group of all homomorphisms of  $F_n/F_{n+1}$  into  $Z$ . The operators  $D_c$  are homogeneous in the sense that  $D_c(w) = 0$  for  $w$  in  $F_n$  unless for each  $k$  the degree of  $w$  (as a commutator form) in  $x_k$  is equal to the number of occurrences of the symbol  $k$  in the sequence  $c$ .

The operators  $D_c$ , applied to the general element of  $F$ , are not independent, but are subject to certain "shuffle relations." Define a shuffle of two sequences  $a$  and  $b$  to be a pair of order-preserving one-to-one mappings embedding them as subsequences in a new sequence  $c$ ; we require that  $c$  be precisely the union of the two subsequences, but not that they be disjoint. In these terms one has, for all  $w$  in  $F$ , the relations

$$D_a(w) \cdot D_b(w) = \sum D_c(w),$$

summed over all shuffles of  $a$  and  $b$ . All relations involving only a finite number of the operators  $D_c$  are consequences of these. In particular, by means of these relations it is possible to express the general operator  $D_c$  as a polynomial with rational coefficients in the  $D_e$  for  $e$  in  $S_n$ .

**3. Preliminary constructions.**  $B = F/R$ , where  $F$  is free on  $q$  generators, and  $R$  is generated by all  $p$ th powers of elements from  $F$ . Then  $Q_n = B_n/B_{n+1}$  is a quotient group of  $F_n/F_{n+1}$ . Let  $V_n$  be the quotient of  $F_n/F_{n+1}$  by the  $p$ th powers of its own elements. Since  $F_n/F_{n+1}$  is free abelian of rank  $\psi(n)$ ,  $V_n$  may be taken, in additive notation, as a vector space of dimension  $\psi(n)$  over the field of integers modulo  $p$ . Since  $Q_n$  is abelian of exponent  $p$ , it may be identified with a quotient space of  $V_n$ :

$$Q_n = V_n/M_n.$$

The dimension of  $Q_n$  is  $\kappa(n) = \psi(n) - \mu(n)$ , where  $\mu(n)$  is the dimension of  $M_n$ .

Given a set of elements  $r$  whose cosets span  $F_n \cap R/F_{n+1} \cap R$ , and a set of elements  $c$  of  $C_n$  that includes the set  $S_n$ , the matrix  $\mathcal{M}_n = [D_c(r)]$ , with elements taken modulo  $p$ , is a relation matrix for  $Q_n = V_n/M_n$ . Hence  $\mu(n)$  is the rank of  $\mathcal{M}_n$ .

We are thus led to consider the Magnus series  $1 + \Delta w$  for  $w = \prod u_i^{a_i}$  in  $R$ , and the behavior of its coefficients reduced modulo  $p$ . From the equation

$$1 + \Delta(u_1 \cdots u_m) = (1 + \Delta u_1) \cdots (1 + \Delta u_m),$$

for elements  $u_1, \dots, u_m$  in  $F$ , one has the "Leibniz rule"

PROPOSITION 3.1.

$$D_c(u_1 \cdots u_m) = \sum D_{c^k}(u_1) \cdots D_{c^m}(u_m),$$

summation over all "partitions" of the sequence  $c = c_1 \cdots c_n$  into  $m$  segments  $c^k: c = c^1 \cdots c^m$ . In this context only we admit the possibility of empty sequences  $c^k$ , with the understanding that  $D_{c^k}(u_k) = 1$ .

Let the terms in (3.1) be grouped according to the number  $r$  of non-empty segments in the corresponding partition of  $c$ . Setting all  $u_k = u$  and collecting identical terms then gives

PROPOSITION 3.2. If  $c = c_1 \cdots c_n$  is of length  $n$ , then

$$D_c(u^m) = \sum_{1 \leq r \leq m, n} \binom{m}{r} \sum D_{c^1}(u) \cdots D_{c^r}(u),$$

with summation now confined to partitions of  $c$  into nonempty parts:  $c = c^1 \cdots c^r$ .

PROPOSITION 3.3. If  $c$  is of length  $n$ , and  $p$  is a prime, then

$$(3.31) \quad D_c(u^p) \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad \text{for } n < p;$$

$$(3.32) \quad D_c(u^p) \equiv \sum_{\sigma=c^1 \cdots c^p} \prod_{1 \leq k \leq p} D_{c^k}(u) \pmod{p} \quad \text{for } n \geq p.$$

COROLLARIES 3.4. For  $c$  of length  $n$  and  $p$  prime:

$$(3.41) \quad \text{If } u \text{ is in } F_m \text{ and } pm > n, \text{ then}$$

$$D_c(u^p) \equiv 0.$$

(3.42) If  $u \equiv v \pmod{F_{n-p+2}}$ , then

$$D_c(u^p) \equiv D_c(v^p).$$

(3.43) If  $n < 2p$ , then

$$D_c(u^p v^p) \equiv D_c(u^p) + D_c(v^p).$$

To prove (3.41), note that if  $pm > n$  then every partition of  $c$  into  $p$  (non-empty) parts must contain some part  $c^k$  of length less than  $m$ ; hence every term in (3.32) contains a factor  $D_{c^k}(u) = 0$ . To prove (3.42), note that in every partition of  $c$  into  $p$  (nonempty) parts, all parts must be of length less than  $n - p + 2$ ; hence each  $D_{c^k}(u) = D_{c^k}(v)$ . To prove (3.43), apply (3.1) to  $D_c(u^p v^p)$  with  $m = 2$ , and observe that by (3.31) every term containing a factor for  $c^k$  nonempty and of length less than  $p$  must vanish; hence only those terms corresponding to  $c = c^1 c^2$  with one part empty and the other equal to  $c$  remain.

If, in  $\Delta w = \omega_1 + \omega_2 + \dots$ , all  $\omega_k = 0$  for  $k < n$ , then  $w$  lies in  $F_n$ . What does it signify if all  $\omega_k \equiv 0$  for  $k < n$ ?

PROPOSITION 3.5. For  $w$  in  $F_h$ , and  $h \leq k$ , suppose that

$$\Delta w \equiv \omega_k + \omega_{k+1} + \dots;$$

then, provided that  $2 \leq h \leq k < 2p$ , there exists  $w' = wr$  in  $F_k$ , where  $r$  is in  $R$ , such that

$$\Delta w' = \omega'_k + \omega'_{k+1} + \dots,$$

with  $\omega'_k \equiv \omega_k, \dots, \omega'_{2p-1} \equiv \omega_{2p-1}$ .

The case  $h = k$  is trivial, while the general case follows by iteration of the case  $k = h + 1$ . Since  $w$  is in  $F_h$ ,  $\omega_h$  is a Lie element; and  $\omega_h \equiv 0$  implies that  $\omega_h = -p\zeta$  where  $\zeta$  is again a Lie element of degree  $h$ . Then  $\zeta$  is the leading term of  $\Delta z$  for some  $z$  in  $F_h$ . Taking  $r = z^p$ ,  $w' = wr$  is in  $F_{h+1}$ , with  $\omega'_h = 0$ . And since, by (3.41),  $D_c(r) \equiv 0$  for  $c$  of length  $n < 2p$ ,  $\Delta r \equiv \rho_{2p} + \rho_{2p+1} + \dots$  and  $\omega'_n \equiv \omega_n$  for  $n < 2p$ .

(REMARK: The same argument can be applied in the general situation  $a \leq h \leq k \leq ap$ .)

A special application of the above is to the case of  $w = (uv)^p u^{-p} v^{-p}$ , for  $u$  in  $F$  and  $v$  in  $F_h$ ,  $h \leq p$ . Clearly  $w$  lies in  $F_{h+1} \subset F_2$ . By (3.43),  $D_c(w) \equiv D_c((uv)^p) - D_c(u^p) - D_c(v^p)$  for  $n < 2p$ , hence for  $n < h + p$ . By (3.42), since  $uv \equiv u, v \equiv 1 \pmod{F_h}$ ,  $D_c((uv)^p) \equiv D_c(u^p)$  and  $D_c(v^p) \equiv 0$  for  $h \geq n - p + 2$ , hence for  $n < h + p - 1$ . Therefore  $D_c(w) \equiv 0$  for  $n < h + p - 1$ , and  $\Delta w \equiv \omega_{h+p-1} + \omega_{h+p} + \dots$ . Applying now (3.5) and noting that  $w$  in  $R$  implies  $w' = wr$  is in  $R$ , one has

**PROPOSITION 3.6.** *Let  $w = (uv)^p u^{-pv} v^{-p}$  where  $u$  is in  $F$  and  $v$  in  $F_h$ ,  $h \leq p$ . Then  $\Delta w \equiv \omega_{h+p-1} + \omega_{h+p} + \dots$  and there exists  $w'$  in  $R$  such that  $\Delta w' = \omega'_{h+p-1} + \omega'_{h+p} + \dots$  where  $\omega'_{h+p-1} \equiv \omega_{h+p-1}$ .*

**4. The quotient  $Q_n$  for  $n < p$ .** The dimension  $\mu(n)$  of  $M_n$  is the rank of the matrix  $\mathcal{M}_n = [D_c(r)]$  with columns indexed by  $c$  in  $C_n$ , rows by  $r$  in  $F_n \cap R$ , and elements taken modulo  $p$ . Define  $\mathcal{N}_n = [D_c(r)]$  in the same way, but with rows for all  $r = u^p$  in  $R$ . Every  $r$  in  $R$  can be written as  $r = \prod u_i^{p\lambda_i}$ , whence by (3.43), provided  $n < 2p$ ,  $D_c(r) \equiv \sum \lambda_i D_c(u_i^p)$ . It follows that the rows of  $\mathcal{M}_n$  are certain linear combinations of the rows of  $\mathcal{N}_n$ .

For  $n < p$ , all  $D_c(u_i^p) \equiv 0$  by (3.31), whence  $\mathcal{N}_n$ , and so  $\mathcal{M}_n$ , is a 0-matrix. Thus

**THEOREM I.**  $\mu(n) = 0$  for  $n < p$ .

**5. The quotient  $Q_p$ .** If  $c$  is of length  $p$ , it follows by (3.42) that  $D_c(u^p)$ , modulo  $p$ , depends upon  $u$  only modulo  $F_2$ , hence only upon the  $D_k(u) = \alpha_k$  modulo  $p$ , for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, q$ . Therefore we may write  $[u] = [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q]$  for the row of  $\mathcal{N}_p$  with elements  $D_c(u^p)$ .

**LEMMA 5.1.** *The linear combination  $L = \sum \lambda_t [u(t)] = \sum \lambda_t [\alpha(t)_1, \dots, \alpha(t)_q]$  belongs to the row space of  $\mathcal{M}_p$  if and only if*

$$(5.1) \quad \sum \lambda_t \alpha(t)_k \equiv 0 \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, q.$$

To prove this, first remark that  $L$  belongs to (the row space of)  $\mathcal{M}_p$  if and only if there exists some  $r = \prod u(t)^{p\lambda_t}$  (order of factors immaterial) in  $R \cap F_p$  for which  $[u(t)] = [\alpha(t)_1, \dots, \alpha(t)_q]$ . If such  $r$  exists, a fortiori

$$r \equiv \prod_t \prod_k x_k^{\alpha(t)_k p \lambda_t} \equiv \left[ \prod_k x_k^{\sum \lambda_t \alpha(t)_k} \right]^p \equiv 1 \pmod{F_2},$$

and, since  $F/F_2$  is torsion-free,  $\sum \lambda_t \alpha(t)_k = 0$  for all  $k$ . For the converse, any given solution of (5.1) modulo  $p$  corresponds to a solution of the equations  $\sum \lambda_t \alpha(t)_k = 0$  in rational integers. Set  $u(t) = \prod x_k^{\alpha(t)_k}$  and  $w = \prod u(t)^{p\lambda_t}$ . Then the  $D_c(w)$  for  $c$  in  $C_p$  yield the entries in the row  $L$ . But  $w$  is in  $R \cap F_2$ , whence, by (3.43) and (3.41),  $\Delta w \equiv \omega_p + \omega_{p+1} + \dots$ . By (3.5) there exists  $w'$  in  $R \cap F_p$  with  $\Delta w' = \omega'_p + \omega'_{p+1} + \dots$  where  $\omega'_p \equiv \omega_p$ . Thus  $D_c(w') \equiv D_c(w)$ , and  $L$  is the row of  $\mathcal{M}_p$  indexed by  $w'$  in  $R \cap F_p$ .

Next consider the columns of  $\mathcal{N}_p$ . For  $c = c_1 \dots c_p$  of length  $p$ , (3.32) yields  $D_c(u^p) \equiv D_{c_1}(u) \dots D_{c_p}(u) = \alpha_1^{h_1} \dots \alpha_q^{h_q}$  where  $h_1, \dots, h_q$  are the frequencies of the symbols  $1, \dots, q$  in the sequence  $c$ . Write  $\phi_c(u) = \alpha_1^{h_1} \dots \alpha_q^{h_q}$ , and, for  $L = \sum \lambda_t [u(t)]$ , write  $\phi_c(L) = \sum \lambda_t \phi_c(u(t))$ . The column space of  $\mathcal{N}_p$ , hence of  $\mathcal{M}_p$ , is thus spanned by columns given by the  $\phi_c$  for all distinct  $(h) = (h_1, \dots, h_q)$  belonging to some  $c$  in  $S_p$ . Now  $S_p$  contains none of the  $q$  sequences consisting of  $p$  repetitions of the same symbol; while for any other solution of the conditions  $\sum h_k = p$ ,  $0 \leq h_k \leq p$ , the sequence  $c$

obtained by arranging the prescribed number of symbols  $1, \dots, q$  in non-descending order belongs to  $S_p$ . The number of distinct  $\phi_e$  is therefore

$$\binom{p + q - 1}{p} - q.$$

That the  $\phi_e$ , clearly independent over  $\mathcal{N}_p$ , are independent over  $\mathcal{M}_p$  follows from homogeneity considerations (§6). Or, directly, if any combination  $\sum \nu_e \phi_e$  vanished on all the rows

$$[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k + 1, \dots, \alpha_q] - [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, \dots, \alpha_q] - [0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0]$$

of  $\mathcal{M}_p$ , it would have to be independent of  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q$ , whence all the  $\nu_e \equiv 0$ .

**THEOREM II.**

$$\mu(p) = \binom{p + q - 1}{p} - q.$$

REMARK. For  $p=2$ , this gives  $\kappa(2) = \psi(2) - \mu(2) = 0$ , hence  $Q_2 = 1$ ; in fact,  $B_2 = 1^{(4)}$ . Since it follows that, for  $p=2$ ,  $Q_n = 1$  for all  $n \geq 2$ , we may henceforth assume that  $p > 2$ .

**6. Homogeneity of  $M_n$ .** The elements of  $V_n$ , regarded as commutator forms in  $F_n/F_{n+1}$  reduced modulo  $p$  (or as Lie elements), have well-defined degrees in each of the generators  $x_1, \dots, x_q$ . For each solution  $(h) = (h_1, \dots, h_q)$  of  $\sum h_k = n, 0 \leq h_k < n$ , define  $V(h)$  to be the subspace of all elements that are homogeneous of degree  $h_k$  in  $x_k$  for each  $k = 1, \dots, q$ . Clearly  $V$  is the direct sum of the  $V(h)$ .

Define  $M(h) = M_n \cap V(h)$ .

LEMMA 6.1. For  $n = p$ , for  $n = p + 1$ , and for  $p = 2$  and  $n = p + 2$ ,  $M_n$  is the direct sum of its subspaces  $M(h)$ .

The case  $n = p$  is in fact implicit in the proof of Theorem II, but also falls out of a more general argument. If  $L(x_1, \dots, x_q)$  is a homogeneous form in  $V(h)$ , then "linear" substitution gives  $L(x_1^{e_1}, \dots, x_q^{e_q}) \equiv e_1^{h_1} \dots e_q^{h_q} \cdot L(x_1, \dots, x_q)$ . Since  $R$  is a characteristic ("word") subgroup of  $F$ , the subspace  $M_n$  is closed in  $V_n$  under substitution. It follows by standard reasoning that  $M_n$  has a basis of forms with the property that one of them will contain terms in different  $V(h)$  and  $V(h')$  only if  $e_1^{h_1} \dots e_q^{h_q} \equiv e_1^{h'_1} \dots e_q^{h'_q} \pmod{p}$  for all  $e_1, \dots, e_q$ . This requires that  $h_k = 0$  if and only if  $h'_k = 0$ , and that, for each  $k, h_k \equiv h'_k \pmod{p-1}$ .

If  $n = p$ , there exist no distinct  $(h)$  and  $(h')$  so related, whence  $M_n$  has a basis of elements lying in the various  $M(h)$ , and therefore is a direct sum.

For  $n = p + 1$ , the pairs of  $(h)$  and  $(h')$  of this sort are all of the type

(4) Elementary; see Burnside [2].

$(h) = (1, p, 0, \dots, 0)$ ,  $(h') = (p, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ . For  $n = p + 2$ , provided  $q = 2$ , they are of type  $(h) = (1, p + 1)$  and  $(h') = (p, 2)$ . Now, for  $(h) = (1, n - 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ ,  $S(h)$  contains only  $c = 122 \dots 2$ , and  $V(h)$  is of dimension 1, with basis element  $\xi_n = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_2)$  ( $n - 1$  symbols  $x_2$ ). The proof of Theorem II shows that, for  $n = p$ ,  $M(h)$  has dimension 1, hence  $M(h) = V(h)$ , and  $\xi_p$  lies in  $R \cap F_{p+1}$ . Since  $\xi_{n+1} = (\xi_n, x_2)$ , it follows inductively that  $\xi_n$  lies in  $R \cap F_{n+1}$  for all  $n \geq p$ , that  $M(h)$  has dimension 1, hence that  $M(h) = V(h)$ . In particular, this gives  $M(1, p, 0, \dots, 0) = V(1, p, 0, \dots, 0)$  and  $M(1, p + 1) = V(1, p + 1)$ , whence  $M_n \cap (V(h) + V(h')) = M(h) + M(h')$ , direct sum, in the two cases under consideration.

For each  $(h)$ , let  $C(h)$  consist of all sequences  $c$  in  $C$  that contain exactly  $h_k$  symbols  $k$ , for  $k = 1, \dots, q$ ; and define  $S(h) = S \cap C(h)$ . Let  $\mathcal{N}(h)$ ,  $\mathcal{M}(h)$  be the submatrices of  $\mathcal{N}_n$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_n$  consisting of those columns indexed by  $c$  in  $C(h)$ , and let  $\mu(h)$  be the rank of  $\mathcal{M}(h)$ . From the homogeneity of the operators  $D_c$ , as applied to  $F_n/F_{n+1}$ , one deduces

LEMMA 6.2. *For  $n = p$ , for  $n = p + 1$ , and for  $q = 2$  and  $n = p + 2$ , one has  $\mu(n) = \sum \mu(h)$ .*

7. **The quotient  $Q_{p+1}$ .** If  $c$  is of length  $p + 1$ , it follows by (3.42) that  $D_c(u^p)$ , modulo  $p$ , depends upon  $u$  only modulo  $F_3$ , and hence only upon the numbers, taken modulo  $p$ ,  $D_k(u) = \alpha_k$  and  $D_{ij}(u) = \gamma_{ij}$  for  $1 \leq i < j \leq q$ . Therefore we write  $[u] = [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \gamma_{12}, \dots, \gamma_{q-1,q}]$  for the row of  $\mathcal{N}_{p+1}$  whose entries are  $D_c(u^p)$ .

LEMMA 7.1. *The linear combination  $L = \sum \lambda_i u(t)$  belongs to the row space of  $\mathcal{M}_{p+1}$  if and only if  $\eta(L) \equiv 0$  for every form  $\eta(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q)$  homogeneous of total degree  $p$  in the  $\alpha_k$ .*

If  $L$  corresponds to some  $r = \prod u(t)^{p\lambda_i}$  in  $R \cap F_{p+1}$ , then, since  $r$  is in  $R \cap F_p$ , all  $\sum \lambda_i \alpha(t)_k \equiv 0$  by (5.1). Since, in fact,  $r$  is in  $F_{p+1}$ , all  $D_c(r) = 0$  for  $c$  in  $C_p$ , whence  $D_c(r) \equiv \sum \lambda_i \alpha(t)_1^{h_1} \dots \alpha(t)_q^{h_q} \equiv 0$  for all solutions of  $\sum h_k = p$ ,  $0 \leq h_k < p$ . In the excluded cases, where some  $h_k = p$ , with the remaining  $h_i = 0$ , one has  $\sum \lambda_i \alpha(t)_k^p \equiv \sum \lambda_i \alpha(t)_k \equiv 0$ . Hence  $\eta(L) \equiv 0$  for all  $\eta$ .

For the converse, given an  $L$  such that  $\eta(L) \equiv 0$  for all  $\eta$ , proceeding in the same manner as for Lemma 5.1 we can use the given  $\lambda_i$  and  $\alpha(t)_k$  to construct an element  $r = \prod u(t)^{p\lambda_i}$  in  $R \cap F_{p+1}$  giving rise to a row  $L'$  in  $\mathcal{M}_{p+1}$  with the same numbers  $\alpha(t)_k$  as  $L$ . Since this construction provides no control over the  $\gamma_{ij}$ , to prove that  $L$  belongs to  $\mathcal{M}_{p+1}$  we must show that  $\mathcal{M}_{p+1}$  contains all rows of the form

$$K = [\alpha_k; \gamma_{ij}] - [\alpha_k; \gamma'_{ij}].$$

For this, let  $\gamma'_{ij} = \gamma_{ij} + \gamma''_{ij}$  and choose  $u$  and  $v$  such that  $[u] = [\alpha_k; \gamma_{ij}]$  and  $[v] = [0; \gamma''_{ij}]$ : more precisely,  $v = \prod_{i < j} (x_i, x_j)^{\gamma''_{ij}}$ . Then  $u$  is in  $F$  and  $v$

in  $F_2$ , whence, taking  $w = (uv)^p u^{-p} v^{-p}$ , by (3.6) with  $h=2$  there exists  $w'$  in  $R \cap F_{p+1}$  such that  $D_c(w') \equiv D_c(w)$  for all  $c$  in  $C_{p+1}$ . Thus  $w'$  gives rise to a row  $[uv] - [u] - [v]$  in  $\mathcal{M}_{p+1}$ . Since  $v$  is in  $F_2$ ,  $D_c(v^p) \equiv 0$  for all  $c$  in  $C_{p+1}$ , by (3.41), and  $[v]=0$ . Therefore  $[uv] - [u] = [\alpha_k; \gamma'_{ij}] - [\alpha_k; \gamma_{ij}]$  and  $K$  belongs to  $\mathcal{M}_{p+1}$ , as required.

Next we shall examine the columns of  $\mathcal{N}(h)$  and  $\mathcal{M}(h)$ , for fixed  $(h)$ . For  $c = c_1 \cdots c_{p+1}$ , (3.32) gives

$$D_c(u^p) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^p D_{c_1}(u) \cdots D_{c_{k-1}}(u) D_{c_k c_{k+1}}(u) D_{c_{k+2}}(u) \cdots D_{c_{p+1}}(u) \\ \equiv A \sum D_{c_k c_{k+1}}(u) / \alpha_{c_k} \alpha_{c_{k+1}}$$

where  $A = \alpha_1^{h_1} \cdots \alpha_q^{h_q}$ . For  $i < j$ , we defined  $\gamma_{ij} = D_{ij}(u)$ . The shuffle relations  $D_i \cdot D_j = D_{ij} + D_{ji}$  ( $i \neq j$ ) and  $D_i \cdot D_i = D_{ii} + D_i + D_{ii}$  give

$$D_{ji} = \alpha_i \alpha_j - \gamma_{ij}, \quad D_{ii} = \alpha_i^2 / 2 - \alpha_i / 2.$$

For greater symmetry, define, for  $i < j$ ,

$$\theta_{ij} = \frac{\gamma_{ij}}{\alpha_i \alpha_j} - \frac{1}{2}, \quad \theta_{ji} = -\theta_{ij}, \quad \theta_{ii} = 0.$$

Then, for  $i < j$ ,

$$D_{ij}(u) = \gamma_{ij} = \alpha_i \alpha_j \theta_{ij} + \alpha_i \alpha_j / 2, \\ D_{ji}(u) = \alpha_i \alpha_j - \gamma_{ij} = -\alpha_i \alpha_j \theta_{ij} + \alpha_i \alpha_j / 2 = \alpha_j \alpha_i \theta_{ji} + \alpha_j \alpha_i / 2, \\ D_{ii}(u) = \alpha_i^2 / 2 - \alpha_i / 2 = \alpha_i \alpha_i \theta_{ii} + \alpha_i \alpha_i / 2 - \alpha_i / 2.$$

In this notation,

$$D_c(u^p) \equiv A \sum_{1 \leq k \leq p} \left( \theta_{c_k c_{k+1}} + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \eta(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q)$$

where  $\eta$  is a form of total degree  $p$  in the  $\alpha_k$ , and by (7.1) may be neglected in investigating the columns of  $\mathcal{M}_{p+1}$ . If, for  $1 \leq i, j \leq q$ , we let  $h_{ij}$  be the number of consecutive pairs  $c_k c_{k+1} = ij$  in the sequence  $c$ , the entries in the column indexed with  $c$  are given by

$$\phi_c(\alpha_k; \gamma_{ij}) \equiv A \sum_{i,j} h_{ij} \theta_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} p A \\ \equiv A \sum h_{ij} \theta_{ij}.$$

To find a basis for these columns, first observe that if  $h_i \neq 0$ ,  $h_j \neq 0$ , then  $C(h)$  will contain, for some  $k, c_2, \dots, c_{p-1}$ , sequences  $c = k c_2 \cdots c_{p-1} i j$  and  $c' = j k c_2 \cdots c_{p-1} i$ . Comparing the  $h_{it}$  and  $h'_{st}$  gives

$$\psi_{ijk} = \phi_c - \phi_{c'} \equiv A(\theta_{ij} - \theta_{jk}).$$

Using  $\theta_{jk} = -\theta_{kj}$ , and choosing  $k'$  from the  $c_2, \dots, c_{p-1}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{ij} &= \psi_{ijk} + \psi_{ijk'} - \psi_{kjk'} \\ &\equiv A(\theta_{ij} - \theta_{jk} + \theta_{ij} - \theta_{jk'} - \theta_{kj} + \theta_{jk'}) \\ &\equiv 2A\theta_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

From this it follows that the columns given by the  $\psi_{ij}$ , for  $i < j$ , span the column space of  $\mathcal{N}(h)$  and so that of  $\mathcal{M}(h)$ . We shall show that the  $\psi_{ij}$  give independent columns of  $\mathcal{M}(h)$ . For  $s < t$ , choose  $u_{st}$  with all  $\alpha_k = 1$ , and with all  $\gamma_{ij} = 0$  except  $\gamma_{st} = 1$ . Choose  $u_0$  with all  $\alpha_k = 1$  and all  $\gamma_{ij} = 0$ . Evidently  $L_{st} = [u_{st}] - [u_0]$  belongs to the row space of  $\mathcal{M}_{p+1}$ , by Lemma 7.1. But  $\psi_{st}(L_{st}) = +1$ , while all other  $\psi_{ij}(L_{st}) = -1$ .

It follows that the rank of  $\mathcal{M}_{p+1}$ ,  $\mu(p+1) = \sum \mu(h)$ , is the sum, over all  $(h)$ , of the number of pairs  $i < j$  for which  $h_i \neq 0, h_j \neq 0$ . Evidently, this is the sum over all  $i < j$ , of the number of  $(h)$  with  $h_i \neq 0, h_j \neq 0$ , which is evidently

$$\binom{q}{2} \binom{p+q-2}{p-1}.$$

**THEOREM III.**

$$\mu(p+1) = \binom{q}{2} \binom{p+q-2}{p-1}$$

for  $p > 2$ .

REMARK. For  $p = 3$ , this gives  $\kappa(4) = \psi(4) - \mu(4) = 0$ , hence  $Q_4 = 1$ ; in fact,  $B_4 = 1^{(5)}$ . Since it follows that, for  $p = 3$ , all  $Q_n = 1, n \geq 4$ , we henceforth assume  $p > 3$ .

8. **The quotient  $Q_{p+2}$  for  $q = 2$ .** It is assumed henceforth that  $B$  is defined by two generators  $x_1, x_2$ , and that  $p \geq 5$ . To avoid subscripts, we introduce the alternate notation  $x = x_1, y = x_2, \alpha = \alpha_1 = D_1(u), \beta = \alpha_2 = D_2(u), \gamma = \gamma_{12} = D_{12}(u)$ . If  $c$  is of length  $p+2$ , it follows by (3.42) that  $D_c(u^p)$  modulo  $p$  depends upon  $u$  only through the numbers  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  and  $\sigma = D_{112}(u), \tau = D_{122}(u)$ . We write  $[u] = [\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \sigma, \tau]$  for the row of  $\mathcal{N}_{p+2}$  given by the  $D_c(u^p)$ .

LEMMA 8.1. *The combination  $L = \sum \lambda_i [u_i]$  belongs to the row space of  $\mathcal{M}_{p+2}$  if and only if*

$$(8.1) \quad \eta(L) \equiv 0 \text{ for all forms } \eta(\alpha, \beta) \text{ of total degree } p,$$

$$(8.2) \quad \sum \lambda_i \alpha_i^h \beta_i^k \equiv 2 \sum \lambda_i \alpha_i^{h-1} \beta_i^{k-1} \gamma_i$$

for all  $1 \leq h \leq p, k = p+1-h$ .

<sup>(5)</sup> See Burnside [2], Levi-van der Waerden [7].

Observing that, for  $q=2$ , the columns of  $\mathcal{M}_{p+1}$  are all given by polynomials

$$\psi_{12} = 2A\theta_{12} = 2\alpha^h\beta^k \left( \frac{\gamma}{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \right),$$

the proof runs exactly parallel to that of Lemma 7.1.

Next we shall examine the columns of  $\mathcal{N}(h)$  and  $\mathcal{M}(h)$ , for a fixed  $(h) = (h, k)$ ,  $0 < h < p+2$ ,  $k = p+2-h$ . For the right member of (3.32), the partitions of  $c = c_1 \cdots c_{p+2}$  into  $p$  parts are clearly of two kinds:

- (i) one segment  $c_i c_{i+1} c_{i+2}$ , the rest  $c_j$ ;
- (ii) two segments  $c_i c_{i+1}$  and  $c_j c_{j+1}$ , the rest  $c_r$ . According as the  $c_i, c_j$ , etc., are 1 or 2, we classify these partitions in the obvious fashion into types

$$111, \dots, 222, 11/11, \dots, 22/22.$$

Define the integers (111),  $\dots$ , (22/22) to be the number of partitions of  $c$  falling into each of these types. Then, by (3.32),

$$D_c(u^p) \equiv A \sum (ijk) D_{ijk}(u) / \alpha_i \alpha_j \alpha_k + A \sum (ij/rs) D_{ij}(u) D_{rs}(u) / \alpha_i \alpha_j \alpha_r \alpha_s$$

with summation over all distinct partition types.

By means of the shuffle relations, the  $D_{ijk}(u)$  and  $D_{ij}(u)D_{rs}(u)$  are all expressible as polynomials in the  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \sigma, \tau$ . For example, from the shuffle relation  $D_{12} \cdot D_1 = D_{121} + D_{112} + D_{12} + D_{112}$  we find that

$$(8.3) \quad \frac{D_{121}(u)}{\alpha^2\beta} = -2 \frac{\sigma}{\alpha^2\beta} + 1 \frac{\gamma}{\alpha\beta} - 1 \frac{\gamma}{\alpha^2\beta}.$$

Without entering into further details at this point, it follows that the  $D_c(u^p)$  will all be given by polynomials, with certain coefficients  $K_\sigma, \dots, H'_\beta$  depending on  $c$ , of the general form

$$A \left\{ K_\sigma \frac{\sigma}{\alpha^2\beta} + K_\tau \frac{\tau}{\alpha\beta^2} + K_{\gamma\gamma} \frac{\gamma^2}{\alpha^2\beta^2} + K_\gamma \frac{\gamma}{\alpha\beta} + K_1 + H_\alpha \frac{\gamma}{\alpha^2\beta} + H_\beta \frac{\gamma}{\alpha\beta^2} + H'_\alpha \frac{1}{\alpha} + H'_\beta \frac{1}{\beta} \right\} + \eta(\alpha, \beta),$$

where  $\eta$  is a form of total degree  $p$  and may be ignored. Further, if  $L = \sum \lambda_i [u_i]$  belongs to  $\mathcal{M}_{p+2}$ , then by (8.1), since  $(h-1) + k = p+1$ , we have

$$\sum \lambda_i (H_\alpha \alpha_i^{h-2} \beta_i^{k-1} \gamma_i + H'_\alpha \alpha_i^{h-1} \beta_i^k) \equiv \sum \lambda_i (H_\alpha + 2H'_\alpha) \alpha_i^{h-2} \beta_i^{k-1} \gamma_i,$$

and it follows that, for the purpose of investigating  $\mathcal{M}_{p+2}$ , we may describe  $D_c(u^p)$  by the polynomial

$$(8.4) \quad \phi_c = A \left\{ K_\sigma \frac{\sigma}{\alpha^2\beta} + K_\tau \frac{\tau}{\alpha\beta^2} + K_{\gamma\gamma} \frac{\gamma^2}{\alpha^2\beta^2} + K_\gamma \frac{\gamma}{\alpha\beta} + K_1 + K_\alpha \frac{\gamma}{\alpha^2\beta} + K_\beta \frac{\gamma}{\alpha\beta^2} \right\},$$

where  $K_\alpha = H_\alpha + 2H'_\alpha$  and  $K_\beta = H_\beta + 2H'_\beta$ .

Although we shall have later to prove only a small part of this fact, it may be noted that routine calculation shows that the monomials  $A\sigma/\alpha^2\beta, \dots, A\gamma/\alpha\beta^2$  define linearly independent functions over the row space of  $\mathcal{M}_{p+2}$ .

**9. Continuation.** We next examine how the coefficients  $K$  in (8.4) depend upon the numbers (111),  $\dots$ , (22/22). From equation (8.3), for example, it appears that each partition of  $c$  of the type 121 contributes  $-2$  to  $K_\sigma$ ,  $+1$  to  $K_\gamma$ ,  $-1$  to  $H_\alpha$  (and thus to  $K_\alpha$ ), and nothing to the remaining coefficients. We tabulate the result of analogous computations for the other types of partitions in Table 1.

TABLE 1

|         | $K_\sigma$ | $K_\tau$ | $K_{\gamma\gamma}$ | $K_\gamma$ | $K_1$ | $H_\alpha$ | $H'_\alpha$ | $H_\beta$ | $H'_\beta$ | $K_\alpha$ | $K_\beta$ |
|---------|------------|----------|--------------------|------------|-------|------------|-------------|-----------|------------|------------|-----------|
| (111)   |            |          |                    |            | 1/6   |            | -1/2        |           |            | -1         |           |
| (222)   |            |          |                    |            | 1/6   |            |             |           | -1/2       |            | -1        |
| (112)   | 1          |          |                    |            |       |            |             |           |            |            |           |
| (121)   | -2         |          |                    | 1          |       | -1         |             |           |            | -1         |           |
| (211)   | 1          |          |                    | -1         | 1/2   | 1          | -1/2        |           |            |            |           |
| (122)   |            | 1        |                    |            |       |            |             |           |            |            |           |
| (212)   |            | -2       |                    | 1          |       |            |             | -1        |            |            | -1        |
| (221)   |            | 1        |                    | -1         | 1/2   |            |             | 1         | -1/2       |            |           |
| (11/11) |            |          |                    |            | 1/4   |            | -1/2        |           |            | -1         |           |
| (11/22) |            |          |                    |            | 1/4   |            | -1/4        |           | -1/4       | -1/2       | -1/2      |
| (22/22) |            |          |                    |            | 1/4   |            |             |           | -1/2       |            | -1        |
| (11/12) |            |          |                    | 1/2        |       | -1/2       |             |           |            | -1/2       |           |
| (11/21) |            |          |                    | -1/2       | 1/2   | 1/2        | -1/2        |           |            | -1/2       |           |
| (22/12) |            |          |                    | 1/2        |       |            |             | -1/2      |            |            | -1/2      |
| (22/21) |            |          |                    | -1/2       | 1/2   |            |             | 1/2       | -1/2       |            | -1/2      |
| (12/12) |            |          | 1                  |            |       |            |             |           |            |            |           |
| (12/21) |            |          | -1                 | 1          |       |            |             |           |            |            |           |
| (21/21) |            |          | 1                  | -2         | 1     |            |             |           |            |            |           |
|         | $K_\sigma$ | $K_\tau$ | $K_{\gamma\gamma}$ | $K_\gamma$ | $K_1$ | $H_\alpha$ | $H'_\alpha$ | $H_\beta$ | $H'_\beta$ | $K_\alpha$ | $K_\beta$ |

The question now arises of what values of the partition numbers (111),  $\dots$ , (22/22) correspond to elements  $c$  in  $S(h)$ . Since these numbers are not independent, we first express them in terms of independent parameters. Every sequence  $c$  in  $S(h)$  contains  $h$  symbols 1 and  $p+2-h$  symbols 2; moreover,  $c$  must begin with a 1 and end with a 2. We define

$d=0$  or  $1$  according as  $c$  begins with  $11$  or with  $12$ ,  
 $e=0$  or  $1$  according as  $c$  ends with  $22$  or with  $12$ ,  
 $a$  = the number of couples  $c_i c_{i+1} = 12$  in  $c$ .

Then all the partition numbers for  $c$  are expressible in terms of  $d, e, a, b = (112)$ , and  $f = (122)$ . The specific equations are listed in Table 2. We illustrate the method by evaluating  $(11/21)$ . First,  $(11/21) = (11)(21) - (211)$ , the number of pairs of segments  $11$  and  $21$ , minus the number that overlap. Since every  $1$  begins a pair,  $h = (11) + (12)$ , and  $(11) = h - a$ . Since  $c$  begins with a  $1$  and ends with a  $2$ ,  $(12) = (21) + 1$ , and  $(21) = a - 1$ . Finally,  $(11)$  is equal to the number of triples  $111$  or  $112$ , and also is equal to the number of triples  $111$  or  $211$ , plus  $1$  if  $d=0$ ; hence  $(111) + (112) = (111) + (211) + (1-d)$ , and  $(211) = (112) + d - 1 = b + d - 1$ . Combining these gives  $(11/21) = (h-a)(a-1) - (b+d-1)$ .

TABLE 2. (All entries modulo  $p$ .)

|  |  |
|--|--|
| $(111) = h - a - b$                                      |  |
| $(222) = 2 - h - a - f$                                  |  |
| $(112) = b$  | $(122) = f$                              |
| $(121) = a - f - e$                                      | $(212) = a - b - d$                      |
| $(211) = b - d - 1$                                      | $(221) = f + e - 1$                      |
| $(11/11) = \frac{1}{2}[(h-a)^2 - (h-a)] - (h-a-b)$       |  |
| $(22/22) = \frac{1}{2}[(2-h-a)^2 - (2-h-a)] - (2-h-a-f)$ |  |
| $(11/22) = (h-a)(2-h-a)$                                 |  |
| $(11/12) = (h-a)a - b$                                   | $(11/21) = (h-a)(a-1) - (b+d-1)$         |
| $(22/12) = (2-h-a)a - f$                                 | $(22/12) = (2-h-a)(a-1) - (f+e-1)$       |
| $(12/12) = \frac{1}{2}(a^2 - a)$                         | $(21/21) = \frac{1}{2}[(a-1)^2 - (a-1)]$ |
| $(12/21) = a(a-1) - (a-f-e) - (a-b-d)$                   |  |

The results listed in Tables 1 and 2 can now be combined to express the coefficients  $K$  in terms of the parameters  $h, d, e, a, b, c$ . Straightforward computation gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_\sigma &= 2g + 2e + d - 1, \\
 K_\tau &= 2g + e + 2d - 1, \\
 K_{\gamma\gamma} &= -g - e - d + 1, \\
 K_\gamma &= -g - e/2 - d/2, \\
 K_1 &= g/12 + 1/12, \\
 K_\alpha &= K_\sigma/2, \quad K_\beta = K_\tau/2,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{9.1}$$

where  $g = -a + b + f$ .

We are now in a position to determine what polynomials  $\phi_c$  correspond to columns in the matrix  $\mathcal{M}_{p+2}$ . For this purpose we may restrict attention to  $c$  in  $S(h)$ . The cases  $(h) = (1, p+1)$  and  $(h) = (p+1, 1)$ , where  $\mu(h) = 1$ , may be dismissed. Since  $7 \leq p+2 = h+k$ , odd, by symmetry we may suppose that  $h > k \geq 2$  and that  $h \geq 4$ . Then  $c$  must begin with  $11$ , and we may henceforth suppose that  $d=0$ ,

First, let  $h > 4$ , and  $k > 2$ . Then  $S(h)$  contains the three sequences listed below, with  $g$  and  $e$  as shown:

$$\begin{array}{l}
 c = 11 \dots 122 \dots 22 \\
 c' = 11 \dots 122 \dots 212 \\
 c'' = 11 \dots 122 \dots 2112
 \end{array}
 \begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{cc|cc}
 a & b & f & g & e \\
 \hline
 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1
 \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

If the corresponding polynomials are  $\phi, \phi', \phi''$ , evidently  $\phi_1 = \phi'' - \phi - \phi'$  has coefficients corresponding to setting  $g = e = d = 0$  in (9.1);  $\phi_2 = \phi - \phi_1$  to retaining only the coefficient of  $g$  in (9.1); and  $\phi_3 = \phi' - \phi_1$  to retaining that of  $e$ . Explicitly, the first three coefficients of these polynomials are

$$(9.2) \quad \begin{array}{l}
 K_\sigma \\
 K_\tau \\
 K_{\gamma\gamma}
 \end{array}
 \begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \phi_1 & \phi_2 & \phi_3 \\
 \hline
 -1 & +2 & +2 \\
 -1 & +2 & +1 \\
 +1 & -1 & -1
 \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

If  $h = 4, k \geq 3$ , and a similar argument applies with  $c''$  replaced by

$$c'' = 1122 \dots 21212 \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
 \begin{array}{ccc|cc}
 3 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
 3 & 1 & 0 & -2 & 1
 \end{array}
 \end{array} \right. \begin{array}{l}
 \text{(for } k > 3) \\
 \text{(for } k = 3)
 \end{array}$$

If  $k = 2$ , then  $h \geq 5$ , and one uses

$$\begin{array}{l}
 c = 11 \dots 122 \\
 c' = 11 \dots 1212 \\
 c'' = 11 \dots 12112
 \end{array}
 \begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{ccc|cc}
 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\
 2 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\
 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1
 \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

In all cases, the same  $\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3$  define columns spanning  $\mathcal{M}(h)$ , and it remains to show that these columns are independent.

Define three rows  $L = \sum \lambda_i [u_i] = \sum \lambda_i [\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i, \sigma_i, \tau_i]$  of  $\mathcal{N}_{p+2}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_1 &= [1, 1, 0, 1, 0] - [1, 1, 0, 0, 0], \\
 L_2 &= [1, 1, 0, 0, 1] - [1, 1, 0, 0, 0], \\
 L_3 &= [1, 1, 2, 0, 0] + [1, 1, 0, 0, 0] - 2[1, 1, 1, 0, 0].
 \end{aligned}$$

It is easily seen, in accordance with Lemma 8.1, that these lie in the row space of  $\mathcal{M}_{p+2}$ . Applying  $\phi_c$ , as given by (8.4), to  $L_1$ , one sees that all terms not containing  $\sigma$  cancel, hence that  $\phi_c(L_1) \sim K_\sigma$ . Similarly,  $\phi_c(L_2) \sim K_\tau$ . To evaluate  $\phi_c(L_3)$ , define  $\Omega_\nu = [1, 1, \nu, 0, 0] - \nu[1, 1, 1, 0, 0]$ ; then  $\phi_c(\Omega_\nu)$  contains only terms in  $\gamma$ :

$$\phi_c(\Omega_\nu) \sim \nu K_\gamma + \nu^2 K_{\gamma\gamma} + \nu H_\alpha + \nu H_\beta.$$

Since  $L_3 = \Omega_2 - 2\Omega_1$ , in  $\phi_c(L_3)$  those terms that are linear in  $\nu$  cancel out, leaving

$$\phi_c(L_3) \sim 2^2 \cdot K_{\gamma\gamma} - 2 \cdot 1^2 \cdot K_{\gamma\gamma} = 2K_{\gamma\gamma}.$$

Applying  $\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3$  to  $L_1, L_2, L_3$  yields essentially the matrix (9.2) as a sub-matrix of  $\mathcal{M}(h)$ ; and since this matrix is clearly nonsingular,  $\mu(h) = 3$ .

Combining this result, for  $h = 2, \dots, p$ , with the values  $\mu(1, p+1) = \mu(p+1, 1) = 1$  gives  $\mu(p+1) = 3(p-1) + 2 = 3p - 1$ .

THEOREM IV.  $\mu(p+2) = 3p - 1$  for  $p > 3$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. R. Baer, *The higher commutator subgroups of a group*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 50 (1944) pp. 143-160.
2. W. Burnside, *On an unsettled question in the theory of discontinuous groups*, Quart. J. Math. vol. 33 (1902) pp. 230-238.
3. K. T. Chen, R. H. Fox, and R. C. Lyndon, *On the quotient groups of the lower central series* (to appear).
4. R. H. Fox, *Free differential calculus I, II*, Ann. of Math. vol. 56 (1953) pp. 547-560; vol. 59 (1954) pp. 196-210.
5. J. A. Green, *On groups with odd prime-power exponent*, J. London Math. Soc. vol. 27 (1952) pp. 476-485.
6. P. Hall, *A contribution to the theory of groups of prime-power order*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) vol. 36 (1934) pp. 29-95.
7. F. Levi and B. L. van der Waerden, *Über eine besondere Klasse von Gruppen*, Abh. Math. Sem. Hansischen Univ. vol. 9 (1932) pp. 154-158.
8. W. Magnus, *Beziehungen zwischen Gruppen und Idealen in einem speziellen Ring*, Math. Ann. vol. 111 (1935) pp. 259-280.
9. ———, *Über beziehungen zwischen höheren Kommutatoren*, J. Reine Angew. Math. vol. 177 (1937) pp. 105-115.
10. ———, *A connection between the Baker-Hausdorff formula and a problem of Burnside*, Ann. of Math. vol. 52 (1950) pp. 111-126.
11. E. Witt, *Treue Darstellung Liescher Ringe*, J. Reine Angew. Math. vol. 177 (1937) pp. 152-160.

PRINCETON UNIVERSITY,  
PRINCETON, N. J.