

# THE INDEPENDENCE OF CERTAIN DISTRIBUTIVE LAWS IN BOOLEAN ALGEBRAS

BY  
DANA SCOTT

Let  $\alpha$  be a regular cardinal number. We shall prove the following:

**THEOREM.** *There is a complete Boolean algebra that is  $(\beta, \gamma)$ -distributive for every  $\beta < \alpha$  and every cardinal  $\gamma$ , but is not  $(\alpha, \alpha)$ -distributive<sup>(1)</sup>.*

The method of proof is to construct the desired algebra as the algebra of all regular open sets of a suitable topological space. To this end we note first

**LEMMA 1.** *There is a 0-dimensional Hausdorff space  $\mathfrak{X}$  such that*

- (i) *the class of open sets of  $\mathfrak{X}$  is closed under the formation of  $\beta$ -termed intersections for every  $\beta < \alpha$ ;*
- (ii) *the class of nowhere-dense sets of  $\mathfrak{X}$  is closed under the formation of  $\beta$ -termed unions for every  $\beta < \alpha$ ;*
- (iii) *there is an  $\alpha \times 2$ -termed sequence  $C$  of nonempty open-closed sets of  $\mathfrak{X}$  such that*
  - (iii<sub>1</sub>)  $C_{\xi 0} \cup C_{\xi 1} = \mathfrak{X}$  for  $\xi < \alpha$ ; and
  - (iii<sub>2</sub>)  $\bigcap_{\xi < \alpha} C_{\xi f(\xi)}$  *is nowhere-dense for*  $f \in 2^\alpha$ .

**Proof.** Let the set of points of the space  $\mathfrak{X}$  be the set of all subsets of  $\alpha$ . (Notice that  $\alpha$  is considered as an ordinal number, and that each ordinal  $\beta < \alpha$  is also a point of  $\mathfrak{X}$ .) If  $x$  and  $y$  are two subsets of  $\alpha$ , denote by  $[x, y]$  the interval of all sets  $z$  such that  $x \subseteq z \subseteq y$ . As a basis for the open sets of  $\mathfrak{X}$  take the collection of all intervals  $[x, y]$  such that  $x \cup (\alpha - y) \subseteq \beta$  for some  $\beta < \alpha$ . An empty interval is also included in the basis. Suppose that  $\beta < \alpha$  and  $\{[x_\xi, y_\xi] : \xi < \beta\}$  is a sequence of basic open sets where  $x_\xi \cup (\alpha - y_\xi) \subseteq \gamma_\xi < \alpha$  for  $\xi < \beta$ . We have

$$\bigcap_{\xi < \beta} [x_\xi, y_\xi] = \left[ \bigcup_{\xi < \beta} x_\xi, \bigcap_{\xi < \beta} y_\xi \right]$$

and

$$\bigcup_{\xi < \beta} x_\xi \cup \left( \alpha - \bigcap_{\xi < \beta} y_\xi \right) \subseteq \bigcup_{\xi < \beta} \gamma_\xi.$$

From the regularity of  $\alpha$  it follows that  $\bigcup_{\xi < \beta} \gamma_\xi < \alpha$ ; thus the intersection of the sequence of basic open sets is again a basic open set. (Notice that if the

---

Received by the editors April 4, 1956.

<sup>(1)</sup> For terminology see Smith-Tarski [3]. This theorem has also been proved in a weaker form in Smith [2].

sequence is a decreasing sequence of nonempty sets, then the intersection is nonempty.) An *open* set then is a union of basic open sets. It is obvious that there are no isolated points in the space  $\mathfrak{X}$  and that  $\mathfrak{X}$  is Hausdorff. Also clear is the proof that every basic open set is closed, showing that  $\mathfrak{X}$  is 0-dimensional. An easy computation using the set-theoretical distributive law and the fact just established about the intersections of basic open sets yields finally a proof of (i).

Let  $\emptyset$  be the collection of all nonempty basic open sets. Let  $\beta < \alpha$  and  $N$  be a  $\beta$ -termed sequence of nowhere-dense sets. To show that  $N^* = \bigcup_{\xi < \beta} N_\xi$  is nowhere dense, it suffices to show that for every  $Y \in \emptyset$  there is a  $Z \in \emptyset$  such that  $Z \subseteq Y$  and  $Z \cap N^* = \emptyset$ . By the axiom of choice let  $\mathfrak{C}$  be a function that chooses a set from every nonempty family of subsets of our space. Let  $Y \in \emptyset$  and define by recursion a  $\beta$ -termed sequence  $G$  such that for  $\xi < \beta$

$$G_\xi = \mathfrak{C} \left\{ Z : Z \in \emptyset \text{ and } Z \subseteq Y \cap \bigcap_{\eta < \xi} G_\eta \text{ and } Z \cap N_\xi = \emptyset \right\}.$$

We proceed by induction to show that this sequence is well-defined. Thus suppose that  $G_\eta$  is well-defined for all  $\eta < \xi$  where  $\xi < \beta$ . It is clear that the sequence is decreasing up to this point and hence  $Y \cap \bigcap_{\eta < \xi} G_\eta \in \emptyset$ . The fact that  $N_\xi$  is nowhere-dense implies that there is a  $Z \in \emptyset$  such that  $Z \subseteq Y \cap \bigcap_{\eta < \xi} G_\eta$  and  $Z \cap N_\xi = \emptyset$ . It follows at once that  $G_\xi$  is well-defined. It is obvious now that the whole sequence  $G$  is decreasing, and hence  $G^* = \bigcap_{\xi < \beta} G_\xi \in \emptyset$  and  $G^* \subseteq Y$  and  $G^* \cap N^* = \emptyset$ . This argument shows that  $N^*$  is nowhere-dense and establishes property (ii).

To prove (iii) we have only to let

$$C_{\xi 0} = [\{\xi\}, \alpha]$$

and

$$C_{\xi 1} = [0, \alpha - \{\xi\}] \qquad \text{for } \xi < \alpha.$$

Since these sets are basic open sets they are also closed. Formula (iii<sub>1</sub>) is obvious and (iii<sub>2</sub>) is a consequence of the simple fact that

$$\bigcap_{\xi < \alpha} C_{\xi f(\xi)} = \{f^{-1}(0)\} \qquad \text{for } f \in 2^\alpha.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 1.

If  $\alpha = \omega$  our space is nothing more than the Cantor Discontinuum. For larger  $\alpha$  the space is compact only in the sense that every open cover can be reduced to one of power less than  $\alpha$ . The proof of (ii) above could easily be modified to show that no nonempty open set is an  $\alpha$ -termed union of nowhere-dense sets—the analogue of the Baire Category Theorem. A rather different construction of the space has been given by Sikorski in [1] (see especially p. 129 where the space is called  $\mathfrak{D}_\mu$  where  $\alpha = \omega_\mu$ .) Our construction here

would seem neater since there is no need of any non-Archimedean metric; however, the particular form of the space  $\mathfrak{X}$  is of no importance for the present purpose.

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be the algebra of all regular open sets of the space  $\mathfrak{X}$ . That  $\mathfrak{R}$  is a complete Boolean algebra is well-known<sup>(2)</sup>. The Boolean operations of  $\mathfrak{R}$  will be denoted by the usual symbols  $+$ ,  $\cdot$ ,  $\sum$ ,  $\prod$ . The unit element of  $\mathfrak{R}$  is  $\mathfrak{X}$  itself, while the zero element is just the empty set  $O$ . The next lemma, which we state without proof, relates the Boolean operations in  $\mathfrak{R}$  to the set-theoretical operations in  $\mathfrak{X}$ . We use the symbols in  $X$  and  $\text{cl } X$  to denote the interior and closure of the set  $X$ .

LEMMA 2. *If  $\beta$  is any ordinal and  $X$  is a  $\beta$ -termed sequence of regular open sets (i.e. elements of  $\mathfrak{R}$ ), then*

- (i)  $\sum_{\xi < \beta} X_\xi = \text{in cl } \bigcup_{\xi < \beta} X_\xi$ ;
- (ii)  $\prod_{\xi < \beta} X_\xi = \text{in cl } \bigcap_{\xi < \beta} X_\xi$ ;
- (iii)  $\sum_{\xi < \beta} X_\xi - \bigcup_{\xi < \beta} X_\xi$  is nowhere-dense;
- (iv)  $\bigcap_{\xi < \beta} X_\xi - \prod_{\xi < \beta} X_\xi$  is nowhere-dense.

LEMMA 3.  *$\mathfrak{R}$  is  $(\beta, \gamma)$ -distributive for every  $\beta < \alpha$  and every  $\gamma$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\beta < \alpha$  and let  $\gamma$  be any ordinal. Given a  $\beta \times \gamma$ -termed sequence  $X$  of regular open sets and an open set  $A$  satisfying the formula

$$(1) \quad \sum_{\eta < \gamma} X_{\xi\eta} = A \neq O \quad \text{for each } \xi < \beta,$$

then we must show that there is a function  $f \in \gamma^\beta$  such that<sup>(3)</sup>

$$(2) \quad \prod_{\xi < \beta} X_{\xi f(\xi)} \neq O.$$

Thus, by way of contradiction, assume that for all functions  $f \in \gamma^\beta$

$$(3) \quad \prod_{\xi < \beta} X_{\xi f(\xi)} = O.$$

By virtue of Lemma 2 (iv), formula (3) implies

$$(4) \quad \bigcap_{\xi < \beta} X_{\xi f(\xi)} \text{ is nowhere-dense.}$$

Since  $\beta < \alpha$  and each set  $X_{\xi\eta}$  is open, we have by Lemma 1 (i)

$$(5) \quad \bigcap_{\xi < \beta} X_{\xi f(\xi)} \text{ is open.}$$

Formulas (4) and (5) yield at once

<sup>(2)</sup> See for example Tarski [4]. A subset of a topological space is called a *regular open set* if it is equal to the interior of its closure.

<sup>(3)</sup> For the equivalence of this form of the distributive law to other forms see Smith-Tarski [3, Theorem 2.2].

$$(6) \quad \bigcap_{\xi < \beta} X_{\xi f(\xi)} = 0 \quad \text{for every } f \in \gamma^\beta.$$

Hence we can derive from (6) the formula

$$(7) \quad \bigcup_{f \in \gamma^\beta} \bigcap_{\xi < \beta} X_{\xi f(\xi)} = 0.$$

In view of the general set-theoretical distributive law, (7) implies

$$(8) \quad \bigcap_{\xi < \beta} \bigcup_{\eta < \gamma} X_{\xi \eta} = 0.$$

From formula (8) we derive

$$(9) \quad A = A - \bigcap_{\xi < \beta} \bigcup_{\eta < \gamma} X_{\xi \eta} = \bigcup_{\xi < \beta} \left( A - \bigcup_{\eta < \gamma} X_{\xi \eta} \right).$$

Now by Lemma 2 (iii) and formula (1) we have for each  $\xi < \beta$

$$(10) \quad A - \bigcup_{\eta < \gamma} X_{\xi \eta} \text{ is nowhere-dense.}$$

By virtue of Lemma 1 (ii), formulas (9) and (10) imply that the set  $A$  is nowhere-dense, which contradicts the assumption that  $A$  is a nonempty open set. The proof of Lemma 3 is thus complete.

LEMMA 4.  $\mathfrak{R}$  is not  $(\alpha, \alpha)$ -distributive.

**Proof.** Clearly the terms of the sequence  $C$  of Lemma 1 (iii) are regular open sets. In terms of the Boolean operations of  $\mathfrak{R}$  conditions (iii<sub>1</sub>) and (iii<sub>2</sub>) may be written as

$$(iii'_1) \quad C_{\xi 0} + C_{\xi 1} = \mathfrak{X} \quad \text{for } \xi < \alpha;$$

$$(iii'_2) \quad \prod_{\xi < \alpha} C_{\xi f(\xi)} = 0 \quad \text{for } f \in 2^\alpha.$$

Whence we see that the sequence  $C$  itself offers a counterexample to the  $(\alpha, 2)$ -distributive law.

Our theorem is now a direct consequence of Lemmas 3 and 4.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. R. Sikorski, *Remarks on some topological spaces of high power*, Fund. Math. vol. 37 (1950) pp. 123-136.
2. E. C. Smith, *A distributivity condition for Boolean algebras*, Ann. of Math. vol. 64 (1956) pp. 551-561.
3. E. C. Smith and A. Tarski, *Higher degrees of distributivity and completeness in Boolean algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 84 (1957) pp. 230-257.
4. A. Tarski, *Les fondements de la géométrie des corps*, Księga pamiątkowa Pierwszego Polskiego Zjazdu Matematycznego Lwów vol. 9 (1927) pp. 29-33.

PRINCETON UNIVERSITY,  
PRINCETON, N. J.