HANKEL MULTIPLIER TRANSFORMATIONS AND WEIGHTED p-NORMS(1)

BY
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Introduction. Let $L^1(-\infty, \infty)$ denote the Banach space of all real valued measurable functions $f$ on $(-\infty, \infty)$ such that

$$\|f\|_1 = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)| \, dx < \infty.$$  

Functions equal almost everywhere are identified. It is well known that if multiplication is given by the convolution

$$[f * g](x) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x - u)g(u) \, du,$$

then $L^1$ is a Banach algebra. Writing

$$f^\wedge(y) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)e^{iux} \, dx$$

for the Fourier transform of $f(x)$, we have the familiar formula

$$[f * g]^\wedge(y) = f^\wedge(y)g^\wedge(y)$$

by Fubini's theorem.

Consider a transformation $T$ of functions on $(-\infty, \infty)$ to functions on $(-\infty, \infty)$ such that

$$T[f * g] = T[f] * g = f * T[g].$$

In order to characterize such a transformation we apply (3) and obtain

$$T[f]^\wedge \hat{g} = f^\wedge T[g]^\wedge.$$  

It follows that there is a function $\phi$ such that

$$T[f]^\wedge(y) = \phi(y)f^\wedge(y).$$

If, on the other hand, (5) holds, then the transforms of the members of

Presented to the Society, November 25, 1955 under the title Marcinkiewicz theorem for Hankel transforms and December 29, 1955 under the title Weighted p-norms and Fourier transforms; received by the editors April 17, 1959.

(1) From the doctoral thesis submitted to the faculty of Washington University, St. Louis, Missouri, January, 1958. Research supported in part by Office of Scientific Research, U. S. Air Force.

(2) The author wishes to thank Professor I. I. Hirschman, Jr., for suggesting the problem and for his guidance in its solution.

137
(4) are each equal to $\phi f^* g^*$. Since the Fourier transformation is one-one, (4) follows.

The inverse of the Fourier transformation is given by the formula

$$f(x) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^*(y)e^{-ixy}dy,$$

it being necessary in general to interpret this integral by means of a summability process. With this in mind we write (5) in the form

$$(6) \quad Tf(x) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(y)f^*(y)e^{-ixy}dy.$$  

The transformation $T$ is called the Fourier multiplier transformation given by $\phi$. Examples are furnished by the Riesz conjugate

$$f^*(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(u)(x - u)^{-1}du,$$

the partial Fourier integral

$$S_a[f(x)] = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{-a}^{a} f^*(y)e^{-ixy}dy,$$

the translate

$$T_a[f(x)] = f(x + a),$$

and the derivative

$$f'(x) = df/dx$$

of $f$. These are given under suitable conditions by the functions $i \text{sgn } y$, the characteristic function of the interval $[-a, a]$, $e^{-iay}$, and $-iy$ respectively.

A similar type of transformation may be defined using Fourier series instead of Fourier integrals. Suppose that $\{t_n\}$ is a given sequence of complex numbers and that a function $f$ defined on $[-\pi, \pi]$ has Fourier coefficients $a_n$. We write

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{inx}$$

and say that $Tf(x)$ is the Fourier series multiplier transformation given by $\{t_n\}$ if

$$Tf(x) \sim \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} t_n a_n e^{inx}.$$  

J. Marcinkiewicz has proved the following important result [1939]:
Theorem. If \( f \in L^p(-\pi, \pi), 1 < p < \infty, \) and if
\[
(a) \quad |t_n| \leq C, \quad -\infty < n < \infty,
\]
and
\[
(b) \quad \sum_{\pm 2^n+1} |t_k - t_{k-1}| \leq C, \quad 0 < n < \infty,
\]
then
\[
\|Tf\|_p \leq A(p)\|f\|.
\]

This was generalized by I. I. Hirschman, Jr. [1955a, pp. 29–51 and p. 60] who replaced \( L^p(-\pi, \pi) \) by the space \( L^{\alpha, p}(-\pi, \pi) \) of all \( f \) such that
\[
\|f\|_{\alpha, p} = \left[ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x)|^p x^{\alpha p} dx \right]^{1/p} < \infty.
\]

These theorems were proved on the basis of some rather involved results of J. W. Littlewood and R. E. A. C. Paley [1937] as well as A. Zygmund [1938; 1945]. In the special case \( p = 2 \), however, Hirschman obtained a proof which avoids these [1955a, pp. 51–60]. This proof is in addition applicable to certain orthogonal series other than Fourier series. Using this method Hirschman [1955b] obtained the result corresponding to Marcinkiewicz theorem for Legendre series multiplier transformations and weighted quadratic norms. In another paper Hirschman together with R. Askey [1959] extended this result to ultraspherical polynomials. Hirschman [1956b] has simplified this proof.

In the more difficult case \( p \neq 2 \) less is known. However important results have been obtained by H. Pollard [1947; 1948], and [1949] for ultraspherical polynomials and by Hirschman [1957] for Jacobi polynomials. G. M. Wing has considered the case of Fourier-Bessel series [1950] and of Hankel transforms [1951]. Since the latter paper is of special interest to us, we consider its contents in a little more detail.

If \( f \in L^1(0, \infty) \) and if \( \nu \geq -1/2 \), we write
\[
(7) \quad F_\nu(y) = \int_0^\infty f(x)J_\nu(xy)(xy)^{1/2} dx
\]
for the Hankel transform of \( f \) of order \( \nu \). If \( f \in L^p(0, \infty), 1 < p \leq 2 \) and \( 1/q = 1 - 1/p \), then \( F_\nu \) is given as the limit in the mean of order \( q \) of partial integrals of (7). Writing
\[
(8) \quad S_\alpha[f(x)] = \int_0^\alpha F_\nu(y)J_\nu(xy)(xy)^{1/2} dy
\]
for the partial Hankel integral of \( f \), Wing proved that
and that \( S_{\alpha}[f] \) converges in the mean of order \( p \) to \( f \). Our main result here, the analogue of Marcinkiewicz theorem for Hankel multiplier transformations and weighted \( p \)-norms, includes this result of Wing.

We find it convenient to modify the definition (7) of the Hankel transform. We set

\[ d\mu_\nu(x) = \left[ 2^{-1/2}(\nu + 1/2) \right]^{-1} x^{2\nu} dx \]

where \( \nu \geq 0 \). We write \( H^1_{\nu}(0, \infty) \) for the Banach space of all real valued measurable functions \( f \) such that

\[ ||f||_1 = \int_0^\infty |f(x)| d\mu_\nu(x) < \infty. \]

We next set

\[ V_\nu(x) = 2^{-1/2}(\nu + 1/2) J_{\nu-1/2}(x) x^{1/2-\nu} \]

and call

\[ f^\wedge(y) = \int_0^\infty f(x) V_\nu(xy) d\mu_\nu(x) \]

the (modified) Hankel transform. Using (7) and (11) we see that \( f^\wedge \) is merely \( y^{-\nu} \) times the ordinary Hankel transform of \( x^{\nu}f(x) \) of order \( \nu - 1/2 \).

We may introduce an operation of multiplication into \( H^1_{\nu} \) in such a way that a Banach algebra results. Let \( x, y, \) and \( z \) be non-negative real numbers and set \( \Delta(x, y, z) \) be the area of the triangle with sides \( x, y, \) and \( z \) if such a triangle exists and let it be zero if not. Then set

\[ D_\nu(x, y, z) = \frac{2^{3\nu-5/2} \Gamma(\nu + 1/2)^2 \Delta(x, y, z)^{2\nu-2}}{\Gamma(1/2) \Gamma(\nu)(xyz)^{2\nu-1}}. \]

As we shall show in Lemma 2A below

\[ \int_0^\infty V_\nu(xt) D_\nu(x, y, z) d\mu_\nu(x) = V_\nu(yt) V_\nu(zt). \]

The convolution of two functions \( f \) and \( g \) in \( H^1_{\nu} \) is defined by the formula

\[ [fg^\wedge](x) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty f(y) \overline{g}(z) D_\nu(x, y, z) d\mu_\nu(y) d\mu_\nu(z). \]

Using (14) and Fubini's theorem, the relation

\[ [fg^\wedge]^\wedge = f^\wedge g^\wedge \]

follows easily.
Since the transforms of \( f \ast (g \ast h) \) and \((f \ast g) \ast h \) are each equal to \( f \ast g \ast h \) and since the modified Hankel transform is one-one, it follows that convolution is an associative operation. That it is commutative is immediate from (15) and Fubini's theorem. We next note that \( V_r(0) = 1 \) and thus setting \( t = 0 \) in (14) we have

\[
\int_0^\infty D_r(x, y, z) dm_r(x) = 1
\]

for all \( y \) and \( z \). By Fubini's theorem we obtain

\[
\|f \ast g\|_1 \leq \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty |f(y)g(z)| \, D_r(x, y, z) \, dm_r(y) \, dm_r(z) \, dm_r(x)
\]

\[
= \int_0^\infty |f(y)| \, dm_r(y) \int_0^\infty |g(z)| \, dm_r(z) \int_0^\infty D_r(x, y, z) \, dm_r(x)
\]

\[
= \|f\|_1 \|g\|_1.
\]

We may now conclude that \( \mathcal{H}^2 \) is a commutative Banach algebra.

If we consider a transformation \( T \) of functions on \((0, \infty)\) into functions on \((0, \infty)\) such that

\[
T[f \ast g] = T[f] \ast T[g],
\]

it follows as in the case of Fourier Transforms that there is a function \( \phi \) such that

\[
T[f] = \phi(y) f^*(y)
\]

and conversely. We call \( T \) the Hankel multiplier transformation given by \( \phi \).

The construction above is based on results due to J. Delsarte [1938]. It may be of interest to summarize these here. We make no attempt at complete rigor.

Let \( L_x \) be a linear operator on a set \( A \) of functions. Suppose that \( L_x \) has a continuous spectrum \( S \) in the complex plane and that for each \( \alpha \in S \) the corresponding eigenfunction is \( j_\alpha \). Let these functions be normalized so that \( j_\alpha(0) = 1 \) for each \( \alpha \).

We next suppose that there is a sequence \( \{\phi_n\} \) of functions in \( A \) such that for each \( \alpha \) in \( S \) we have

\[
j_\alpha(x) = \sum_0^\infty \alpha^n \phi_n(x).
\]

Under these assumptions the generalized translation operator \( T_y \) is given by the formula

\[
T_y[f(x)] = \sum_0^\infty \phi_n(y) L^*_n[f].
\]
Delsarte observed that $T_v[f(x)]$ is characterized as the solution $F(x, y)$ of the equation

$$L_x[F(\cdot, y)] = L_y[F(x, \cdot)], \quad F(x, 0) = f(x).$$

He also noted that

$$T_v[j_\alpha(x)] = j_\alpha(x)j_\alpha(y).$$

For the first example let

$$L_x[f] = f'(x).$$

Then $S$ is the whole complex plane, $j_\alpha(x) = e^{\alpha x}$, and $\phi_n(x) = x^n/n!$. Moreover equation (21) now reads

$$F_x(x, y) = F_y(x, y), \quad F(x, 0) = f(x).$$

Taking Fourier transforms of both sides, we obtain

$$-i\xi F^\sim(t, y) = \hat{F}_y(t, y), \quad F^\sim(t, 0) = f^\sim(t).$$

This ordinary equation has the solution

$$F^\sim(t, y) = f^\sim(t)e^{-i\xi y}.$$

Taking the inverse Fourier transform, we conclude that

$$T_v[f(x)] = f(x + y).$$

Thus $T_v$ is the ordinary translation operator and formula (20) is Taylor's formula. Equation (22) is the familiar relation $e^{\alpha(x+y)} = e^{\alpha x}e^{\alpha y}$.

For the second example let

$$L_x[f] = f''(x) + \frac{2\nu}{x} f'(x).$$

By standard formulas from the theory of Bessel functions, Sneddon [1951, pp. 511–512], we see that

$$L_x[V_\nu(\alpha x)] = - \alpha^2 V_\nu(\alpha x).$$

It follows that

$$j_\alpha(x) = V_\nu(i\alpha^{1/2}x).$$

Moreover, equation (21) now reads

$$F_{xx}(x, y) + \frac{2\nu}{x} F_x(x, y) = F_{yy}(x, y) + \frac{2\nu}{y} F_y(x, y), \quad F(x, 0) = f(x).$$

Taking modified Hankel transforms, we have
- \frac{t^2 F^*(t, y)}{y} = F^*_{yy}(t, y) + \frac{2\nu}{y} F^*_y(t, y), \quad F^*(t, 0) = f^*(t)

by a known formula for the Hankel transform of \( L^\nu[F] \), Sneddon [1951, p. 61]. This modified Bessel equation has the solution

\[ F^*(t, y) = f^*(t) V_\nu(ty). \]

Taking transforms again, we conclude that

\[ F(x, y) = T_x[f(x)] \]

\[ = \int_0^\infty V_\nu(ty)V_\nu(tx)dm_\nu(t) \int_0^\infty f(z)V_\nu(zt)dm_\nu(z) \]

\[ = \int_0^\infty f(z) D_\nu(x, y, z)dm_\nu(z) \]

using (14) and inversion of the order of integration\(^3\). The formula (15) for the convolution now reads

\[ [f*g](x) = \int_0^\infty T_x[f(x)]g(y)dm_\nu(y). \]

This corresponds to formula (1) for the ordinary convolution.

We now see a rather detailed analogy between \( H^\nu \) and \( L^1 \). That this analogy goes even deeper is shown by the following easily established result:

**Theorem.** If \( \nu \geq 0 \), then \( H^\nu_\nu(0, \infty) \) is a regular commutative semi-simple Banach algebra and the space of closed maximal ideals is isomorphic to the set \([0, \infty]\) endowed with the usual topology.

The ultraspherical polynomials also give rise to Banach algebras. See I. I. Hirschman [1956b]. In this connection see also B. M. Levitan [1949] and S. Bochner [1954].

In Part I below we shall be concerned with Hankel multiplier transformations defined on the Banach space \( H^\nu_{\nu,2}(0, \infty) \) of all real valued measurable functions \( f \) such that

\[ \|f\|_{\nu,2} = \left[ \int_0^\infty f(x)^2 x^{2\nu}dm_\nu(x) \right]^{1/2} < \infty. \]

Using the direct method of Hirschman we prove the analogue of Marcinkiewicz theorem for Hankel multiplier transformations.

In Part II the same result is proved for weighted \( p \)-norms. The method entails proving first the corresponding result for Fourier multiplier trans-

\(^3\) Delsarte gives the solution without using transforms. His solution may be converted to ours by an easy change of variables.
formations. The following lemma, which may be of some interest in itself, is then employed to carry this result over to Hankel multiplier transformations:

**Lemma.** If \( \int_0^\infty s^{-1/p-a} |g(s)| ds < \infty \) and \( g \) has ordinary Hankel transforms \( G \) and \( G_a \) lying in \( L^a_p(0, \infty) \), \( 1 < p < \infty, -1/p < \alpha < 1-1/p, v, \mu > -1/2 \), then \( \|G_v\|_{\alpha,p}/\|G_a\|_{\alpha,p} \) lies between positive constants.

**Part I. Weighted quadratic norms**

1. **Preliminary results.** We begin by proving some general results about the spaces \( H_{\alpha}^{\sigma,2}(0, \infty) \) and \( L^{\alpha,2}(0, \infty) \). We note that \( f \) is in the former if and only if \( f(x)x^\sigma \) is in the latter. If \( \lim_{a \to \infty} \|f_a - f\|_{\alpha,2} = 0 \), we say that \( f_a \) converges to \( f \) in \( L^{\alpha,2} \) or \( H_{\alpha}^{\sigma,2} \) and write

   \[
   \text{l.i.m.}_{a \to \infty} f_a = f.
   \]

Writing \( H_{\sigma}^2 \) for \( H_{\alpha}^{\sigma,2} \), we note that if \( f \in H_{\sigma}^2 \), then \( x^\sigma f(x) \in L^2 \). The ordinary Hankel transform \( F_{\sigma-1/2} \) of order \( \nu - 1/2 \) of this latter function is given as a limit in the mean of order two of partial integrals

\[
F_{\nu-1/2}(y, a) = \int_0^a x^\nu f(x) J_{\nu-1/2}(xy)(xy)^{1/2} dx.
\]

Setting \( f^\wedge(y) = y^{-\nu} F_{\nu-1/2}(y) \), we see from formulas (9), (11), (12) and (23) of the introduction that \( f^\wedge \) is the limit in \( H_{\nu}^2 \) of partial integrals

\[
f^\wedge(y, a) = \int_0^a f(x) Y_{\nu}(xy) dm_v(x).
\]

It is now evident that each of the following results is an immediate consequence of the corresponding result for ordinary Hankel transforms in \( L^2 \), Titchmarsh [1922, p. 473]:

**Theorem 1A.** If \( f \in H_{\nu}^2(0, \infty), \nu \geq 0 \), then the (modified) Hankel transform \( f^\wedge \) of \( f \) of order \( \nu \) exists as a limit in \( H_{\nu}^2 \) of partial integrals (3) as \( a \to \infty \). Moreover, the following hold:

(a) *Parseval's theorem,*

\[
\|f^\wedge\|_2 = \|f\|_2,
\]

(b) *the inversion formula*

\[
[f^\wedge]^\wedge = f,
\]

(c) *the uniqueness theorem,*

\[
\text{if } f^\wedge(y) = 0 \text{ for all } y, \text{ then } f(x) = 0 \text{ a.e.,}
\]

and if \( g \) is also in \( H_{\nu}^2 \),
(d) Plancherel's theorem,

\[ \int_0^\infty f(x)g(x)dm_\nu(x) = \int_0^\infty f^*(y)g^*(y)dm_\nu(y). \]

In case \( \alpha \neq 2 \), such powerful results are not obtainable. However we prove the following:

**Theorem 1B.** If \( f \in H^\alpha_\nu(0, \infty) \), \( 0 \leq \alpha < 1/2 \), \( \nu \geq 0 \), and \( \beta = -\alpha \), then the (modified) Hankel transform \( f^*(y) \) exists as a limit in \( H^{\beta,2}_\nu(0, \infty) \) of partial integrals

\[ f^*(y) = \int_{a^{-1}}^{a} f(x)V_\nu(xy)dm_\nu(x) \]

as \( a \to \infty \). In addition we have

\[ \|f^*\|_{\beta,2} \leq A(\alpha, \nu)\|f\|_{\alpha,2}. \]

This is a consequence of a more general result.

**Theorem 1B'.** Suppose that \( k(x, y) \) is bounded for \( x, y \geq 0 \) and that a bounded transformation of \( L^2(0, \infty) \) into itself is given by

\[ f(x) \to F(y) = \lim_{a \to \infty} \int_0^a f(x)k(x, y)dx. \]

Then for every \( f \in L^\alpha\nu(0, \infty) \), \( 0 \leq \alpha < 1/2 \), a function \( F \) exists as a limit in \( L^{\beta,2}(0, \infty) \), \( \beta = -\alpha \), of partial integrals

\[ F^*(y) = \int_{a^{-1}}^{a} f(x)k(xy)dx \]

as \( a \to \infty \). In addition we have

\[ \|F\|_{\beta,2} \leq A(\alpha, k)\|f\|_{\alpha,2}. \]

It can be shown that this result is in turn a consequence of a theorem of R. E. A. C. Paley [1931]. However, it is just as easy to prove it directly. This latter proof, which we now give, is based on ideas of Paley [1931] and Hirschman [1956a]. We use \( A(\alpha, \cdots) \) to denote a constant depending on \( \alpha, \cdots \), and not necessarily having the same value at every occurrence.

**Proof.** The case \( \alpha = 0 \) is trivial. Since \( A(\alpha, k) \) is logarithmically convex as a function \( \alpha(\cdot) \), it is enough to prove the theorem for the range \( 1/4 < \alpha < 1/2 \).

We first establish two inequalities. Let

\[ K_n(y) = \int_{2^n}^{2^{n+1}} f(x)k(x, y)dx, \quad -\infty < n < \infty \]

and

\(^{(*)}\) See Hirschman [1956a].
By the boundedness of \( k \) and the Schwarz inequality we have

\[
\operatorname{l.u.b.} \left| K_n(y) \right| \leq A \int_{2^n}^{2^{n+1}} \left| f(x) \right| dx
\]

(8) \[
\leq A \left[ \int_{2^n}^{2^{n+1}} f(x)^2 x^{2\alpha} dx \right]^{1/2} \left[ \int_{2^n}^{2^{n+1}} \frac{1}{x^{2\alpha}} dx \right]^{1/2}
\]

\[
\leq A W_n 2^{n/2 - \alpha n}.
\]

Since we have a bounded transformation of \( L^2 \) into itself, it follows that

\[
\|K_n\|_2 \leq A \left[ \int_{2^n}^{2^{n+1}} f(x)^2 dx \right]^{1/2}
\]

(9) \[
\leq A \left[ \operatorname{l.u.b.} \left| K_n(y) \right| \operatorname{l.u.b.} \left| \mathcal{K}_{m} \right| \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{-2\alpha} dy \right]^{1/2}
\]

\[
= A W_n 2^{-\alpha n}.
\]

Now suppose that \( a > 0 \) and set

\[
I_{n,m} = \left( \int_0^a + \int_a^{\infty} \right) \left| K_n(y) K_m(y) \right| y^{-2\alpha} dy = I'_{n,m} + I''_{n,m}.
\]

Then, supposing for the moment that \( n \leq m \), we have by (8)

\[
I'_{n,m} \leq \operatorname{l.u.b.} \left| K_n(y) \right| \int_0^a y^{-2\alpha} dy
\]

\[
\leq A W_n W_m 2^{-an + m/2 - am + m/2} a^{1 - 2\alpha}
\]

and by (9) as well

\[
I''_{n,m} \leq \operatorname{l.u.b.} \left| K_n(y) \right| \left[ \int_0^a K_m(y) dy \right]^{1/2} \left[ \int_a^{\infty} y^{-4\alpha} dy \right]^{1/2}
\]

\[
\leq A W_n W_m 2^{-an + m/2 - am} a^{1/2 - 2\alpha}.
\]

Setting \( a = 2^{-m} \), we obtain

\[
I_{n,m} \leq A W_n W_m 2^{-\left(1/2 - \alpha\right) |m-n|}.
\]

Now let

\[
F_M(y) = \int_{2^{-M}}^{2^{M+1}} f(x) k(x, y) dx = \sum_{-M}^{M} K_n(y), \quad M = 1, 2, \ldots.
\]

Then, using the estimate
we obtain
\[ \left\| F_M \right\|_{\beta,2}^2 \leq \sum_{n,m=-M}^{M} I_{n,m} \]
\[ \leq A \sum_{n,m=-M}^{M} W_n W_m 2^{-(1/2-\alpha)|m-n|} \]
\[ \leq A \sum_{n=-M}^{M} W_n^2 \sum_{m=-M}^{M} 2^{-(1/2-\alpha)|m-n|} \]
\[ \leq A \sum_{n=-M}^{M} W_n^2 \leq A \left\| f \right\|_{\alpha,2}^2. \]

Since the last term is independent of \( M \), we are done.

The kernel \( J_{r-1/2}(xy)(xy)^{1/2} \) satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem IB'; that is, we have the result for the ordinary Hankel transform. By the relation of the modified Hankel transform to this, we have Theorem 1B.

By standard methods, A. Zygmund [1935, pp. 208–211], Theorem 1B' may be somewhat strengthened.

Theorem IC. If, under the hypotheses of Theorem 1B', \( F^* \) is a nonincreasing function equimeasurable with \( F \), then
\[ \left\| F^* \right\|_{\beta,2} \leq A(\alpha, k) \left\| f \right\|_{\alpha,2}. \]

As an easy consequence we also have the following:

Corollary ID. If \( f \in H^{'a,2}(0, \infty) \), \( 0 < \alpha < 1/2 \), \( \nu \geq 0 \), and if \( 0 < a < \infty \), then
\[ \int_0^a f^*(y)^2 (a - y)^{-2\alpha} dm_y(y) \leq A(\alpha, \nu) \left\| f \right\|_{\alpha,2}^2 \]
and
\[ \int_a^\infty f^*(y)^2 (y - a)^{-2\alpha} dm_y(y) \leq A(\alpha, \nu) \left\| f \right\|_{\alpha,2}^2. \]

2. The basic norm relation. In this section we derive the key result used in the proof of the main theorem. More explicitly, we find a function \( S_\alpha(x, y) \) such that for each \( f \) in \( H^{'a,2} \), \( 0 < \alpha < 1/2 \), the basic norm relation
\[ \left\| f \right\|_{\alpha,2}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \left[ f^*(y) - f^*(z) \right]^2 S_\alpha(x, y)dm_y(y)dm_z(z) \]
holds.

We recall formula (13) from the introduction and prove the following:
Lemma 2A. If $\nu > 0$ and $x, y, z, t \geq 0$, then

\[ \int_0^\infty V_s(zt)D_s(x, y, z)dm_s(z) = V_s(xt)V_s(zt). \]

Proof. By Watson [1944, p. 411]

\[ \int_0^\infty J_p(xt)J_p(yt)J_p(zt)t^{1-p}dt = \frac{2^{p-1}A(x, y, z)^{2p-1}}{\Gamma(p + 1/2)\Gamma(1/2)(xyz)^p} \]

when $p > -1/2$. Setting $p = \nu - 1/2$ and multiplying both sides by $2^{2\nu-1}(xyz)^{1/2-\nu}\Gamma(\nu+1/2)^2$, we have

\[ [V_s(xt)V_s(yt)]^\nu(z) = D_s(x, y, z). \]

An application of MacRobert's version of the Hankel inversion formula for analytic functions, I. N. Sneddon [1951, p. 53], completes the proof.

Suppose now that $\phi$ is a non-negative measurable function such that the integral

\[ s(x) = \int_0^\infty [1 - V_s(xt)]\phi(t)dt \]

is finite for all $x \geq 0$. Since $|V_s(xt)| \leq 1$, $s(x) \geq 0$. However, it does not follow that $\phi \in L^1$, because $V_s(0) = 1$. Nevertheless, when $\phi \in L^1$, $s(x)$ is bounded and $s(0) = 0$. In any case, $s(x) \to 0$ as $x \to 0$ by the dominated convergence theorem. We set

\[ S(y, z) = \int_0^\infty \phi(t)D_s(t, y, z)dt. \]

Since $D_s(t, y, z) \geq 0$, $S(y, z) \geq 0$.

Lemma 2B. If $\phi$, $s$, and $S$ are defined as above and if $f \in H^2$, $\nu > 0$, then

\[ \int_0^\infty f(x)s(x)dm_s(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty [f^\nu(y) - f^\nu(z)]^2S(y, z)dm_s(y)dm_s(z). \]

Proof. We first suppose that

(a) \[ p = \int_0^\infty \phi(t)dt < \infty \]

and

(b) \[ f \in H^1_s \cap H^2_s. \]
Then we obtain for the double integral $I$ on the right side above

$$I = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty f^-(y)^2 S(y, z) dm_r(y) dm_r(z)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty f^-(z)^2 S(y, z) dm_r(y) dm_r(z)$$

$$- \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty f^-(y) f^-(z) S(y, z) dm_r(y) dm_r(z)$$

$$= I_1 + I_2 + I_3.$$  

We shall show presently that the last three integrals are finite. We have

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty f^-(y)^2 dm_r(y) \int_0^\infty S(y, z) dm_r(z)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty f^-(y)^2 dm_r(y) \int_0^\infty \phi(z) du = \int_0^\infty \phi(u) D_r(u, y, z) du$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty f^-(y)^2 dm_r(y) \int_0^\infty \phi(u) du \int_0^\infty D_r(u, y, z) dm_r(z).$$

Setting $t=0$ in Lemma 2A we have

$$\int_0^\infty D_r(u, y, z) dm_r(z) = 1$$

for all $u$ and $y$. Thus $D_r \in H_1^r$ as a function of $z$. It follows that $S(y, z) \in H_1^r$ as a function of $z$. Moreover we now have

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty f^-(y)^2 dm_r(y) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty f(x)^2 dm_r(x)$$

by Parseval's theorem. Similarly we obtain

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty f(x)^2 dm_r(x).$$

Using the estimate $2|ab| \leq a^2 + b^2$, we see that $I_3$ converges absolutely in view of (5) and (6). Thus we may apply Fubini's theorem to $I_3$, obtaining

$$I_3 = -\int_0^\infty f^-(y) dm_r(y) \int_0^\infty f^-(z) S(y, z) dm_r(z)$$

$$= -\int_0^\infty f^-(y) dm_r(y) \int_0^\infty S(y, z) dm_r(z) \int_0^\infty f(x) V_r(sz) dm_r(x).$$

Since $f \in H_1^r$, $S \in H_1^r$ as a function of $z$, and $V_r$ is bounded, we have
\[ \int_{0}^{\infty} S(y, z) dm_{r}(z) \int_{0}^{\infty} f(x) V_{r}(zx) dm_{r}(x) \]

(8) 
\[ = \int_{0}^{\infty} f(x) dm_{r}(x) \int_{0}^{\infty} S(y, z) V_{r}(zx) dm_{r}(z) \]
\[ = \int_{0}^{\infty} f(x) dm_{r}(x) \int_{0}^{\infty} V_{r}(zx) dm_{r}(z) \int_{0}^{\infty} D_{r}(u, y, z) \phi(u) du. \]

Since \( \phi \in L^{1}, D_{r} \in H_{r}^{1} \) as a function of \( z \), and \( V_{r} \) is bounded, we have by Fubini’s theorem and Lemma 2A
\[ \int_{0}^{\infty} V_{r}(zx) dm_{r}(z) \int_{0}^{\infty} \phi(u) D_{r}(u, y, z) du \]
\[ = \int_{0}^{\infty} \phi(u) du \int_{0}^{\infty} D_{r}(u, y, z) V_{r}(zx) dm_{r}(z) \]
\[ = V_{r}(yx) \left[ \rho - s(x) \right]. \]

Inserting this in (8) and the result in (7), we see that
\[ I_{3} = \int_{0}^{\infty} f^{\wedge}(y) dm_{r}(y) \int_{0}^{\infty} f(x) \left[ s(x) - \rho \right] V_{r}(xy) dm_{r}(x) \]
\[ = \int_{0}^{\infty} f^{\wedge}(y) [f(x) [s(x) - \rho]]^{\wedge}(y) dm_{r}(y) \]
\[ = \int_{0}^{\infty} f(x)^{2} [s(x) - \rho] dm_{r}(x). \]

Combining (4), (5), (6), and (9), we have the theorem under the restrictions (a) and (b).

We next suppose that \( f \) is any function in \( H_{r}^{1} \) and remove restriction (b). By (a), \( s(x) \) is bounded and thus
\[ \int_{0}^{\infty} f(x)^{2} s(x) dm_{r}(x) < \infty. \]

We set \( f_{a}(x) \) equal to \( f(x) \) if \( x \in [a^{-1}, a] \) and equal to zero otherwise. Then \( f_{a} \rightarrow f^{\wedge} \) in \( H_{r}^{2} \). Thus there is an increasing sequence \( \{ a_{n} \} \) such that \( a_{n} \rightarrow \infty \) and \( f_{a_{n}}^{\wedge}(y) \rightarrow f^{\wedge}(y) \) pointwise as \( n \rightarrow \infty \). Since \( f_{a_{n}} \in H_{r}^{1} \cap H_{r}^{2} \), we have
\[ \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{a_{n}}(x)^{2} s(x) dm_{r}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[ f_{a_{n}}(y) - f_{a_{n}}^{\wedge}(z) \right]^{2} S(y, z) dm_{r}(y) dm_{r}(z). \]

We now have, by Fatou’s lemma,
(11) \[ \int_0^\infty f(x)^2 s(x) \, dm_\alpha(x) \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty [f^\alpha(y) - f^\alpha(z)]^2 S(y, z) \, dm_\alpha(y) \, dm_\alpha(z). \]

In order to prove the reverse inequality we write
\[ f^\alpha(y) - f^\alpha(z) = [(f^\alpha(y) - f^\alpha(z)) - (f^\alpha(z) - f^\alpha(z))] + [f^\alpha(y) - f^\alpha(z)]. \]

Using the estimate
\[ (a - b)^2 \leq (1 + e^a)a^2 + (1 + e^{-a})b^2, \]
we see that
\[ (f^\alpha(y) - f^\alpha(z))^2 \leq (1 + e^a)[(f^\alpha(y) - f^\alpha(z)) - (f^\alpha(z) - f^\alpha(z))] + (1 + e^{-a})[f^\alpha(y) - f^\alpha(z)]^2. \]

Therefore we have
\[ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty [f^\alpha(y) - f^\alpha(z)]^2 S(y, z) \, dm_\alpha(y) \, dm_\alpha(z) \]
\[ \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty [(f^\alpha(y) - f^\alpha(z)) - (f^\alpha(z) - f^\alpha(z))]^2 S(y, z) \, dm_\alpha(y) \, dm_\alpha(z) \]
\[ - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty [(f^\alpha(y) - f^\alpha(z)) - (f^\alpha(z) - f^\alpha(z))]^2 \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty [(f^\alpha(y) - f^\alpha(z)) - (f^\alpha(z) - f^\alpha(z))]^2 S(y, z) \, dm_\alpha(y) \, dm_\alpha(z). \]

Since \( f_\alpha \in H^1 \cap L^2 \),
\[ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty [(f^\alpha(y) - f^\alpha(z))]^2 S(y, z) \, dm_\alpha(y) \, dm_\alpha(z) = \int_0^\infty f^\alpha(x)^2 s(x) \, dm_\alpha(x). \]

By (11) we also have
\[ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty [(f^\alpha(y) - f^\alpha(z)) - (f^\alpha(z) - f^\alpha(z))]^2 S(y, z) \, dm_\alpha(y) \, dm_\alpha(z) \]
\[ \leq \int_0^\infty [f_\alpha(x) - f(x)]^2 s(x) \, dm_\alpha(x). \]

Combining the last three expressions and letting \( a \to \infty \), we obtain
\[ \frac{1}{2} (1 + e^{-a}) \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty [f^\alpha(y) - f^\alpha(z)]^2 S(y, z) \, dm_\alpha(y) \, dm_\alpha(z) \geq \int_0^\infty f(x)^2 s(x) \, dm_\alpha(x). \]

Next letting \( \alpha \to \infty \), we conclude that
\[ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty [f^\alpha(y) - f^\alpha(z)]^2 S(y, z) \, dm_\alpha(y) \, dm_\alpha(z) \geq \int_0^\infty f(x)^2 s(x) \, dm_\alpha(x). \]
The removal of the restriction (a) is all that remains. Suppose that \( \int_0^\infty \phi(t) dt = \infty \). It follows that there is a nondecreasing sequence of non-negative functions \( \phi_n \) in \( L^1 \) such that \( \phi_n(t) \to \phi(t) \) a.e. With evident notations

\[
\int_0^\infty f(x)^2 s_n(x) dm_*(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty [f^\wedge(y) - f^\wedge(z)]^2 S_n(x, y) dm_*(y) dm_*(z).
\]

By (2) and (3) we see that \( \{s_n\} \) and \( \{S_n\} \) are nondecreasing which converge to \( s \) and \( S \) respectively. Thus we may obtain the desired result by letting \( n \to \infty \) in (13).

**Theorem 2C.** If \( f \in H^{\alpha,2}_\nu, 0 < \alpha < 1/2, \nu > 0 \), then

\[
\|f\|_{2, \alpha}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty [f^\wedge(y) - f^\wedge(z)]^2 S_\alpha(y, z) dm_*(y) dm_*(z)
\]

where

\[
S_\alpha(y, z) = \int_0^\infty t^{-1-2\alpha} D_\alpha(t, y, z) dt / \int_0^\infty t^{-1-2\alpha} [1 - V_\alpha(t)] dt.
\]

**Proof.** Let \( c = \int_0^\infty t^{-1-2\alpha} [1 - V_\alpha(t)] dt \). Since \( 1 - V_\alpha(t) = O(t^2) \) as \( t \to 0 \), \( c \) is finite. It is also positive. Setting \( \phi(t) = c^{-1} t^{-1-2\alpha} \) in Lemma 2B, we see that

\[
s(x) = c^{-1} \int_0^\infty t^{-1-2\alpha} [1 - V_\alpha(xt)] dt
\]

\[
= c^{-1} \int_0^\infty x^{2\alpha} u^{-1-2\alpha} [1 - V_\alpha(u)] du = x^{2\alpha}.
\]

The theorem is now proved for all \( f \in H^{\alpha,2}_\nu \cap H^{\alpha,2}_\rho \).

Suppose next that \( f \) is any function in \( H^{\alpha,2}_\nu \) and let \( f_0 \) be defined as in the proof of Lemma 2B. Since \( f_0 \in H^{\alpha,2}_\nu \cap H^{\alpha,2}_\rho \), we have

\[
\|f_0\|_{2, \alpha}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty [f_0^\wedge(y) - f_0^\wedge(z)]^2 S_\alpha(y, z) dm_*(y) dm_*(z).
\]

Because \( f_0 \to f \) in \( H^{\alpha,2}_\nu \), \( f_0^\wedge \to f^\wedge \) in \( H^{-\alpha,2}_\nu \) by Theorem 1B. It follows that there is a nondecreasing sequence \( \{a_n\} \) such that \( f_0^\wedge \to f^\wedge \) pointwise a.e. The steps of the last half of the proof of Lemma 2B may now be repeated to complete the proof of this theorem.

**Corollary 2D.** If \( f \) and \( g \) are in \( H^{\alpha,2}_\nu \), \( 0 < \alpha < 1/2, \nu > 0 \), then

\[
\int_0^\infty f(x) g(x) x^{2\alpha} dm_*(x)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty [f^\wedge(y) - f^\wedge(z)][g^\wedge(y) - g^\wedge(z)] S_\alpha(y, z) dm_*(y) dm_*(z).
\]
3. The main theorem. We say that a transformation $T$ of $H^{s,2}_r$ into itself is the Hankel multiplier transformation given by a function $\phi$ if

\[ T[f]^\wedge (y) = \phi(y)f^\wedge (y) \quad \text{a.e.} \]

for every $f$ in the dense subspace $H^2_\cap H^{s,2}_r$. In this section we find a condition on $\phi$ sufficient to assure that $T$ is a bounded transformation.

We note that if $\phi$ is bounded and $f \in H^2_\cap H^{s,2}_r$, then $\phi f^\wedge \in H^2_\cap H^{s,2}_r$. If we set $Tf = [\phi f^\wedge]^\wedge$, we have (1) by the inversion formula. If in addition we prove that $T$ is bounded on $H^2_\cap H^{s,2}_r$, then, by a well known theorem, $T$ has a unique extension to a bounded linear transformation on $H^{s,2}_r$. See Theorem 3E below. We first prove some lemmas.

**Lemma 3A.** If $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ and $\nu > 0$, then we have

\[ S_\alpha(y, z) \leq A(\alpha, \nu) |y - z|^{-1-2\alpha}(y + z)^{-2\nu} \]

for all $y$ and $z \geq 0$.

**Proof.** By Theorem 3C we have by the definition of $D$,

\[ S_\alpha(y, z) = A(\nu)(yz)^{1-2\alpha} \int_{|y-z|}^{y+z} t^{-2\alpha-2\nu} \Delta(y, z, t)^{2\nu-2} dt. \]

By Hero's formula

\[ 4\Delta(y, z, t) = [(y + z + t)(y + z - t)(y - z + t)(z - y + t)]^{1/2} \]

and therefore we may write $S_\alpha(y, z)$ in the form

\[ A(\nu)(yz)^{1-2\nu} \int_{|y-z|}^{y+z} [(y + z + t)(y + z - t)(y - z + t)(z - y + t)]^{-1} t^{-2\alpha-2\nu} dt. \]

We next consider two cases according as $y > z$ or $z > y$. By symmetry we need consider only one of these. Of course the case $y = z$ is trivial. Let us suppose then that $y > z$.

If in addition $z < y < 3z/2$, we make the change of variable $t = y + z - 2s$ and write

\begin{align*}
S_\alpha(y, z) &= A(yz)^{1-2\nu} \int_0^{z/2} [(y + z - s)(y - s)(z - s)s]^{-1}(y + z - 2s)^{-2\alpha-2\nu} ds \\
&\quad + A(yz)^{1-2\nu} \int_{z/2}^{2z-y} [(y + z - s)(y - s)(z - s)s]^{-1}(y + z - 2s)^{-2\alpha-2\nu} ds \\
&\quad + A(yz)^{1-2\nu} \int_{2z-y}^{z} [(y + z - s)(y - s)(z - s)s]^{-1}(y + z - 2s)^{-2\alpha-2\nu} ds \\
&= A[I_1 + I_2 + I_3].
\end{align*}
Let us write \( w \cong v \) if \( w/v \) is bounded between positive constants. Considering the integrand in \( I_1 \), where \( 0 \leq s \leq z/2 \), we have

(a) \( (y + z - 2s) \cong y \),
(b) \( (y + z - s) \cong y \)
(c) \( (z - s) \cong y \), and
(d) \( (y - s) \cong y \).

It follows that

\[
I_1 \leq Ay^{-r-2a-2}z^{1-r} \int_0^{z/2} s^{r-1} ds = Ay^{-r-2a-2}z^{1-r} \\
\leq A(y - z)^{-1-2a}z^{-2r} \leq A(y - z)^{-1-2a}(y + z)^{-2r}
\]

since \( y - z \leq y, y \cong z \), and \( y > (1/2)(y + z) \).

Considering the integrand of \( I_2 \), where \( z/2 \leq s \leq 2z - y \), we have

(a) \( (z - s) \cong (y - s) \),
(b) \( (y + z - 2s) \cong (y - s) \),
(c) \( (y + z - s) \cong y \), and
(d) \( s \cong y \).

It follows that

\[
I_2 \leq Ay^{-1}z^{1-2r} \int_{z/2}^{2z-y} (y - s)^{-2-2a} ds = Ay^{-1}z^{1-2r}(y - z)^{-1-2a} \\
\leq A(y - z)^{-1-2a}z^{-2r} \leq A(y - z)^{-1-2a}(y + z)^{-2r}.
\]

Considering the integrand of \( I_3 \), where \( 2z - y \leq s \leq z \), we see that

(a) \( (y - s) \cong (y - z) \),
(b) \( (y + z - 2s) \cong (y - z) \),
(c) \( (y + z - s) \cong y \), and
(d) \( s \cong y \).

Therefore we obtain

\[
I_3 \leq Ay^{-1}z^{1-2r}(y - z)^{-1-2a-r} \int_{2z-y}^z (z - s)^{r-1} ds \\
\leq A(y - z)^{-1-2a}z^{-2r} \leq A(y - z)^{-1-2a}(y + z)^{-2r}.
\]

Combining these results, we have the inequality under the assumption that \( z < y < 3z/2 \).

We next suppose that \( y \geq 3z/2 \). We then obtain

(a) \( (y + z - 2s) \cong y \),
(b) \( (y + z - s) \cong y \), and
(c) \( (y - s) \cong y \).

Using these relations together with the inequality \( y \geq 3(y + z)/5 \), we conclude that
Lemma 3B. If \( f \in H^\alpha_{+2} \), \( 0 < \alpha < 1/2 \), \( \nu > 0 \), and
\[
S_{a,b}[f(x)] = \int_a^b f^*(y) V_\nu(xy) d\mu_\nu(y), \quad 0 \leq a < b < \infty,
\]
then
\[
\|S_{a,b}[f]\|_{a,2} \leq A(\alpha, \nu)\|f\|_{a,2}.
\]

Proof. Let \( J = [a, b] \) and \( J' \) be the complement of \( J \) in \([0, \infty)\). By Theorem 2C we have
\[
\|S_{a,b}[f]\|_{a,2}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_J \int_J \left[ f^*(y) - f^*(z) \right]^2 S_\alpha(y, z) d\mu_\nu(y) d\mu_\nu(z)
+ \frac{1}{2} \int_J \int_J f^*(y)^2 S_\alpha(y, z) d\mu_\nu(y) d\mu_\nu(z)
+ \frac{1}{2} \int_J \int_J f^*(z)^2 S_\alpha(y, z) d\mu_\nu(y) d\mu_\nu(z)
= I_1 + I_2 + I_3.
\]
Using Theorem 2C again we obtain
\[
I_1 \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \left[ f^*(y) - f^*(z) \right]^2 S_\alpha(y, z) d\mu_\nu(y) d\mu_\nu(z) = \|f\|_{a,2}^2.
\]
By Lemma 3A and Corollary 1D we also obtain
\[
I_3 \leq A \int_J f^*(z)^2 d\mu_\nu(z) \int_J |y - z|^{-1 - 2\alpha} dy
= A \int_J f^*(z)^2 (b - z)^{-2\alpha} d\mu_\nu(z) + A \int_J f^*(z)^2 (z - a)^{-2\alpha} d\mu_\nu(z)
\leq A \|f\|_{a,2}^2.
\]
Using Fubini's theorem and symmetry \( I_2 = I_3 \). This completes the proof.

For \( n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots \), let us set \( b_n = 3 \cdot 2^n - 2, r_n = 2^{n-1}, \sigma_n = [b_n - r_n, b_n + r_n], \)
\( \rho_n(y) = 1 - (y - b_n)^2 r_n^{-2} \), and
$$E_n(x) = \int_{\sigma_n} \rho_n(y)f^\wedge(y)V_r(xy)dm_r(y).$$

**Lemma 3C.** If $f \in H_\nu^{\alpha,2}$, $0 < \alpha < 1/2$, $\nu > 0$, then 

$$\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} ||E_n||_{a,2}^2 \leq A(\alpha, \nu)||f||_{a,2}^2.$$

**Proof.** By Theorem 2C we have

$$||E_n||_{a,2}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\sigma_n} \int_{\sigma_n} [\rho_n(y)f^\wedge(y) - \rho_n(z)f^\wedge(z)]^2 S_\sigma(y, z)dm_r(y)dm_r(z)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\sigma_n} \int_{\sigma_n} \rho_n(y)^2f^\wedge(y)^2 S_\sigma(y, z)dm_r(y)dm_r(z)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\sigma_n} \int_{\sigma_n} \rho_n(z)^2f^\wedge(z)^2 S_\sigma(y, z)dm_r(y)dm_r(z)$$

$$= I_{1,n} + I_{2,n} + I_{3,n}.$$

By Lemma 3A we obtain

$$\int_{\sigma_n} S_\sigma(y, z)dm_r(y) \leq A \int_{\sigma_n} |y - z|^{-1-2\alpha}dy$$

$$= A(z - b_n + r_n)^{-2\alpha} + A(r_n + b_n - z)^{-2\alpha}.$$

For any $n$ and any $z \in \sigma_n$ the following are easy to establish:

$$\sigma_n(z)^2 \leq 4(r_n - b_n + z)^2r_n^{-2}, \quad \sigma_n(z)^2 \leq 4(r_n + b_n - z)^2r_n^{-2},$$

$$(r_n + b_n - z)^{2-2\alpha} \leq Az^{2-2\alpha}, \quad (r_n - b_n + z)^{2-2\alpha} \leq Az^{2-2\alpha},$$

and

$$r_n^{-2} \leq Az^{-2}.$$

Therefore we have

$$\int_{\sigma_n} \rho_n(z)^2S_\sigma(y, z)dm_r(y) \leq Az^{-2\alpha}.$$

Noting that no point is in more than three of the sets $\sigma_n$ and using Theorem 1B, we obtain

$$\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} I_{1,n} \leq A \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\sigma_n} f^\wedge(z)^2z^{-2\alpha}dm_r(z)$$

$$\leq A \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^\wedge(z)^2z^{-2\alpha}dm_r(z) \leq A||f||_{a,2}^2.$$
A similar inequality may be obtained for the sum of $I_{2,n}$.
We next consider $I_{1,n}$. Since $\rho_n(y) \leq 1$ when $y \in \sigma_n$, we see that

$$I_{1,n} \leq \int_{\sigma_n} \int_{\sigma_n} \left[ f^*(y) - f^*(z) \right]^2 S_\alpha(y, z) dm_\alpha(y) dm_\alpha(z)$$

$$+ \int_{\sigma_n} \int_{\sigma_n} f^*(z) \left[ \rho_n(y) - \rho_n(z) \right]^2 S_\alpha(y, z) dm_\alpha(y) dm_\alpha(z)$$

$$= I_{1,n} + I_{1,n}''.$$

Using Theorem 2C, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{1,n}'' \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[ f^*(y) - f^*(z) \right]^2 S_\alpha(y, z) dm_\alpha(y) dm_\alpha(z)$$

$$= 2\|f\|_{a,2}^2.$$ 

By the definition of $\sigma_n$, we see that for $y$ and $z$ in $\sigma_n$

$$[\rho_n(y) - \rho_n(z)]^2 = (y - z)^2(y + z - 2b_n)^2 r_n^{-4}$$

$$\leq A(y - z)^2 r_n^{-2}.$$ 

Using the inequalities (3) we obtain for the inner integral of $I_{1,n}''$

$$\int_{\sigma_n} [\rho_n(y) - \rho_n(z)]^2 S_\alpha(y, z) dm_\alpha(y)$$

$$\leq A r_n^{-2} \int_{\sigma_n} |y - z|^{1-2\alpha} dy$$

$$\leq A r_n^{-2} (b_n + r_n - z)^{2-2\alpha} + A r_n^{-2} (r_n - b_n + z)^{2-2\alpha}$$

$$\leq A z^{-2\alpha}.$$ 

We may now conclude by Theorem 1B that

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} I_{1,n}' \leq A \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{\sigma_n} f^*(z)^2 z^{-2\alpha} dm_\alpha(z) \leq A \|f\|_{a,2}^2.$$ 

**Lemma 3D.** If $2^{n-1} \leq z_n \leq 2^n$, $-\infty < n < \infty$, and $f(x) \in H^{\alpha,2}_\nu$, $0 < \alpha < 1/2$, $\nu > 0$, then

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{z^{n-1}_{2^n}}^{2^n} f^*(z)^2 |z_n - z|^{-2\alpha} dm_\alpha(z) \leq A(\alpha, \nu) \|f\|_{a,2}^2.$$ 

**Proof.** We note that if $2^{n-1} \leq z \leq 2^n$, then $\rho_n(z) \geq 3/4$. Thus we have
\[ \int_{2^{n-1}}^{2^n} f^*(z)^2 \left| z_n - z \right|^{-2\alpha} dm(z) \leq A \int_{2^{n-1}}^{2^n} \rho_n(z)^2 \left| z_n - z \right|^{-2\alpha} dm(z) \]

\[ = A \int_0^\infty E_n(z)^2 \left| z_n - z \right|^{-2\alpha} dm(z) \]

\[ \leq A \left\| E_n \right\|_{a, 2}^2 \]

by Corollary 1D. Application of Lemma 3C completes the proof.

**Theorem 3E.** If \( f(x) \in H^{a, 2}_\nu(0, \infty), \) \( -1/2 < \alpha < 1/2, \nu > 0, \) and if \( \phi(y) \) has the properties

(a) \( |\phi(y)| \leq C, \quad 0 \leq y \leq \infty, \)

and

(b) \( \int_{2^{n-1}}^{2^n} |d\phi(y)| \leq C, \quad -\infty < n < \infty, \)

then the Hankel multiplier transformation \( T \) associated with \( \phi(y) \) exists on \( H^{a, 2}_\nu(0, \infty) \) and

\[ \| Tf \|_{a, 2} \leq A(\alpha, \nu)C\| f \|_{a, 2}. \]

**Proof.** The result is trivial for \( \alpha = 0. \) Next suppose that \( 0 < \alpha < 1/2 \) and that \( f \in H^{a, 2}_\nu \cap H^2. \) Let \( \Delta_n(x) \) be the Hankel multiplier transform of \( f \) associated with a function equal to \( \phi(y) \) on \( [2^{n-1}, 2^n] \) and zero elsewhere for \( -\infty < n < \infty. \) Also let \( F_N(x) \) be the sum of \( \Delta_n(x) \) from \( -N \) to \( N, \) \( N = 1, 2, \cdots. \) We first show that independently of \( N \)

(a) \[ \| F_N \|_{a, 2} \leq AC\| f \|_{a, 2}. \]

To simplify writing we omit the subscripts \( \alpha, 2, \) and \( \nu. \) Since

\[ \| F_N \|^2 = \sum_{-N}^{+N} \int_0^\infty \Delta_n(x)^2 x^{2\alpha} dm(x) + \sum_{n, m=-N; n \neq m}^{+N} \int_0^\infty \Delta_n(x) \Delta_m(x) x^{2\alpha} dm(x), \]

the inequality (a) is implied by the inequalities

(b) \[ \sum_{-N}^{+N} \| \Delta_n \|^2 \leq AC^2\| f \|^2, \]

and

(c) \[ \sum_{n, m=-N; n \neq m}^{N} \left| \int_0^\infty \Delta_n(x) \Delta_m(x) x^{2\alpha} dm(x) \right| \leq AC^2\| f \|^2. \]

To prove (b) we suppose \( z \in \sigma_n \) and set
\[ S_z^{(n)}(x) = S_{2-N-1,2^n}[E_n(x)] = \int_{b_n-r_n}^z \rho_n(y) f^\sim(y) V(xy) dm(y). \]

By Lemma 3B we have
\[ \|S_z^{(n)}\| \leq A\|E_n\|. \]

Setting \( \phi_n(z) = \frac{\phi(z)}{\rho_n(z)} \) and integrating by parts we obtain
\[ \Delta_n(x) = \int_{2^n-1}^{2^n} \phi(z) f^\sim(z) V(xz) dm(z) = \int_{2^n-1}^{2^n} \phi_n(z) d_2 S_z^{(n)}(x) \]
\[ = S_z^{(n)}(x) \phi_n(2^n) - S_z^{(n)}(x) \phi_n(2^{n-1}) - \int_{2^n-1}^{2^n} S_z^{(n)}(x) d\phi_n(z). \]

Since for all \( n \), \( |\phi_n(z)| \leq AC \) and \( \int_{2^n-1}^{2^n} |d\phi_n(z)| \leq AC \), we see that by Minkowski's inequalities for sums and for integrals, Hardy, Littlewood, and Polya [1952, p. 148], and by Lemma 3B
\[ \|\Delta_n(x)\| \leq C\|S_z^{(n)}(x)\| + C\|S_z^{(n)}(x)\| + \int_{2^n-1}^{2^n} \|S_z^{(n)}(x)\| |d\phi_n(z)| \]
\[ \leq AC\|E_n(x)\|. \]

Using Lemma 3C, we now obtain
\[ \sum_{-N}^{N} \|\Delta_n\|^2 \leq AC^2 \sum_{-N}^{N} \|E_n\| \leq AC^2\|f\|, \]
proving (b).

We next consider (c). Let
\[ I_{m,n} = \int_0^\infty \Delta_n(x) \Delta_m(x) x^{2\alpha} dm(x) \]
for each pair of distinct integers. Setting \( J_n = [2^{n-1}, 2^n] \), we have by Corollary 2D
\[ I_{m,n} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{J_n} \int_{J_m} \phi(y) f^\sim(y) \phi(z) f^\sim(z) S(y, z) dm(y) dm(z) \]
\[ - \frac{1}{2} \int_{J_n} \int_{J_m} \phi(y) f^\sim(y) \phi(z) f^\sim(z) S(y, z) dm(y) dm(z) \]
\[ = I'_{m,n} + I''_{m,n}. \]

We have also used the fact that \( J_n \cap J_m \) is of measure zero. This equality will in fact be valid only after we have shown that the integrals involved are finite.
Using the estimate \( |ab| \leq (1/2)a^2 + (1/2)b^2 \), we obtain
\[
| I'_{m,n} | \leq AC^2 \int_{J_m} \int_{J_n} f^\wedge(y)^2 S(y, z) dm(y) dm(z) \\
+ AC^2 \int_{J_m} \int_{J_n} f^\wedge(z)^2 S(y, z) dm(y) dm(z) \\
= I^*_m,n + I^{**}_{m,n}.
\]

By Lemmas 3A and 3D we see that
\[
\sum_{n, m = -N: n \neq m}^N | I^{**}_{m,n} | \leq AC^2 \sum_{m = -N}^N \int_{J_m} f^\wedge(z)^2 \left[ \sum_{n = -N: n \neq m}^N \int_{J_n} S(y, z) dm(y) \right] dm(z) \\
\leq AC^2 \sum_{m = -N}^N \int_{J_m} f^\wedge(z)^2 (z - 2^{m-1})^{-2\alpha} dm(z) \\
+ AC^2 \sum_{m = -N}^N \int_{J_m} f^\wedge(z)^2 (2^m - z)^{-2\alpha} dm(z) \\
\leq AC^2 ||f||.
\]

Similarly
\[
\sum_{n, m = -N: n \neq m}^N | I^*_{m,n} | \leq AC^2 ||f||
\]
and therefore we have
\[
\sum_{n, m = -N}^N | I'_{m,n} | \leq AC^2 ||f||.
\]

By symmetry we also obtain
\[
\sum_{n, m = -N}^N | I''_{m,n} | \leq AC^2 ||f||.
\]

The inequality (c) now follows from (6), (7), and (8). Thus we also have (a). Let \( T_f \) denote the limit in \( H^{\alpha,2}_r \) of \( F_N \) as \( N \to \infty \). We then have
\[
|| T_f || \leq AC ||f||
\]
and
\[
[T_f]_r^\wedge(y) = \phi(y) f^\wedge(y)
\]
for every \( f \in H^{\alpha,2}_r \cap H^2_r, \ 0 < \alpha < 1/2 \).

Next suppose that \(-1/2 < \alpha < 0, f \in H^{\alpha,2}_r \cap H^2_r, \) and \( g \in H^{-\alpha,2}_r \cap H^2_r \). Using Plancherel's theorem, the Schwarz inequality, and what we have just proved, we have
\begin{align*}
&\int_0^\infty [Tf(x)]g(x)\,dm(x) = \int_0^\infty \phi(y)f^*(y)g^*(y)\,dm(y) \\
&\quad = \int_0^\infty f(x)[Tg(x)]\,dm(x) \\
&\quad \leq \|f\|_{\alpha,2}\|Tg\|_{-\alpha,2} \leq AC\|f\|_{\alpha,2}\|g\|_{-\alpha,2}.
\end{align*}

Supposing in addition that \(\|g\|_{\alpha,2} \leq 1\), we obtain by a well known formula
\[
\|Tf\|_{\alpha,2} = \text{l.u.b.} \int_0^\infty [Tf(x)]g(x)\,dm(x) \leq AC\|f\|_{\alpha,2}.
\]

We now have (9) and (10) for all \(f\) in \(H^\alpha_{p,2} \cap H^2_p\). Since this is a dense sub-space of \(H^\alpha_{p,2}\), \(T\) has a unique extension to \(H^\alpha_{p,2}\) such that (9) holds.

**Part II. Weighted \(p\)-Norms**

In this part we extend the main result, Theorem 3E, of Part I to the case of weighted \(p\)-norms. We let \(L^\alpha_{p}(a, b)\) denote the space of all complex valued functions \(f\) measurable on \((a, b)\) and such that the weighted \(p\)-norm
\[
\|f\|_{\alpha,p} = \left[ \int_a^b |f(x)|^p |x|^{\alpha p}dx \right]^{1/p} < \infty.
\]

We show in Theorem 8D, that if \(f \in L^\alpha_{p}(0, \infty)\) and if \(\phi\) satisfies the conditions given in Theorem 3E, then the ordinary Hankel multiplier transformation \(T\), of order \(\nu \geq -1/2\) is defined on \(L^\alpha_{p}\) and \(\|Tf\|_{\alpha,p} \leq A(\alpha, p, \nu)\|f\|_{\alpha,p}\) for all \(p > 1\) and \(-1/p < \alpha < 1 - 1/p\).

The methods of Part I, depending on the condition \(p = 2\) are not available to us here. Instead we first prove the corresponding result for Fourier multiplier transformations on \(L^\alpha_{p}(-\infty, \infty)\). The methods used are essentially those of the proof of the corresponding result for Fourier series multiplier transformations on \(L^\alpha_{p}(-\pi, \pi)\), Marcinkiewicz [1939, p. 78], Hirschman [1955a, p. 29]. An essential step in the proof is a decomposition of the Fourier integral, derived in §7. The last section contains the main theorems on Fourier and Hankel multiplier transformations. §§4, 5, and 6 are devoted to preliminaries.

**4. Conjugates and partial integrals.** We begin with a consideration of a famous result of M. Riesz and derive several consequences from it. Suppose that \(f \in L^1 \cap L^2 \cap L^\alpha_{p}\) under the usual restrictions on \(\alpha\) and \(p\). Consider the transformation which sends \(f\) into

\[
f^*(x) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} i \text{sgn} t f^*(t)e^{-ixt}dt,
\]

where \(f^*\) is the Fourier transform of \(f\). Since \(i \text{sgn} t\) is bounded and \(f \in L^2\), \(f^*\) is clearly defined, at least as a limit in \(L^2\) of partial integrals.
Moreover it is well known, Titchmarsh [1957, p. 120], that this expression may be written in the form

\[ f^{-}(x) = \pi^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(u)(x - u)^{-1}du \]

the integral being the Cauchy mean value at \( u = x \). This function is known as the conjugate of \( f \); its negative is called the Hilbert transform, Titchmarsh [1937, p. 120].

We shall make use of the following generalization, due to Hardy and Littlewood [1936, p. 370], of a result first proved in the case \( \alpha = 0 \) by M. Riesz [1923; 1927]:

**Theorem 4A.** (Hardy, Littlewood, Riesz). If \( f \in L^{a,p}(-\infty, \infty) \), \( 1 < p < \infty, -1/p < \alpha < 1 - 1/p \), then \( f^{-} \) as given by (2) exists and

\[ \|f^{-}\|_{a,p} \leq A(\alpha, p)\|f\|_{a,p}. \]

We next define

\[ S_{a,b}[f(x)] = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{a}^{b} f^{-}(t)e^{-ixt}dt \]

for \( -\infty < a < b < \infty \) and \( f \in L^{1}\cap L^{a,p} \) and prove

**Lemma 4B.** If \( f \in L^{a,p}(-\infty, \infty) \), \( 1 < p < \infty, -1/p < \alpha < 1 - 1/p \), then \( S_{a,b} \) has an extension to \( L^{a,p} \) so that

\[ \|S_{a,b}[f]\|_{a,p} \leq A(\alpha, p)\|f\|_{a,p}. \]

**Proof.** Suppose at first that \( f \in L^{1}\cap L^{a,p} \). Using Fubini’s theorem and (2), we obtain

\[
S_{a,b}[f(x)] = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{a}^{b} e^{-ixt}dt \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(u)e^{itu}du
\]

\[ = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(u)du \int_{a}^{b} e^{itu}dt \]

\[ = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(u)[e^{i\alpha(u-x)} - e^{ika(u-x)}](u - x)^{-1}du \]

\[ = (2\pi)^{-1}e^{-ibx} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ibu}f(u)(u - x)^{-1}du \]

\[ - (2\pi)^{-1}e^{-iax} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{iau}f(u)(u - x)^{-1}du \]

\[ = (i/2)[e^{-ibx}(e^{ibx}f(x))^{-} - (e^{-iax}f(x))^{-}]. \]
By Minkowski's inequality and Theorem 4A we have
\[
\|S_{a,b}[f]\| \leq 2^{-1} \| (e^{it\phi(x)})_\phi \| + 2^{-1} \| (e^{it\phi(x)})_\phi \|
\leq A(\alpha, \rho)\|f\|.
\]

Since $L^1 \cap L^{a,p}$ is dense in $L^{a,p}$, the bounded linear transformation $S_{a,b}$ has a unique bounded linear extension to $L^{a,p}$.

**Lemma 4C.** Under the hypotheses of Lemma 4B,
\[
l.i.m. \alpha_p S_{a,b}[f] = f.
\]

In the case $\alpha = 0$, $1 < \rho \leq 2$, the proof is well known, Titchmarsh [1937, p. 149]. With trivial modification the same proof works for the present lemma as well.

The next result is a simplified version of the main theorem on Fourier multiplier transformations. See Stečkin [1950, p. 240].

**Theorem 4D.** If $f \in L^{a,p}(-\infty, \infty)$, $1 < \rho < \infty$, $-1/\rho < \alpha < 1 - 1/\rho$, and if $\phi$ has the properties
\[
(6) \quad |\phi(t)| \leq C, \quad -\infty < t < \infty,
\]
and
\[
(7) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |d\phi(t)| \leq C,
\]
then the Fourier multiplier transformation $T$ associated with $\phi$ is defined on $L^{a,p}$ and
\[
\|Tf\|_{a,p} \leq A(\alpha, \rho)C\|f\|_{a,p}.
\]

**Proof.** Suppose that $f \in L^2 \cap L^{a,p}$ and set
\[
F_a(x) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(t)f^\wedge(t)e^{-i\omega t}dt.
\]
Using (3) and integration by parts, we obtain
\[
F_a(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(t)d_a S_{-a,\alpha}[f(x)]
= \phi(a) S_{-a,\alpha}[f(x)] - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S_{-a,\alpha}[f(x)]d\phi(t).
\]
By Minkowski's inequalities for sums and for integrals we have, with the aid of Lemma 4B,
By similar arguments we have for $0 < a' < a$

$$\|F_a - F_{a'}\| \leq C\|S_{-a,a}[f] - S_{-a',a}[f]\| + A\phi(a) - \phi(a') \|f\| + A\int_{a' < |t| < a} |d\phi(t)| |f|.$$ 

Using (6), (7), and Lemma 4C, we see that $F_a$ is Cauchy in $L^{a,p}$. Letting $Tf$ denote its limit in $L^{a,p}$ as $a \to \infty$, we obtain

$$\|Tf\| \leq AC\|f\|$$

for all $f \in L^2 \cap L^{a,p}$. That $T$ is a Fourier multiplier transformation is evident. The extension to $L^{a,p}$ is standard.

Before proving our next theorem we establish some lemmas. These are all analogous to certain results of A. Zygmund [1938, p. 182] for Fourier series. We first quote a lemma due to Zygmund [1938, p. 176].

**Lemma 4E (Zygmund).** If $r_n(t)$ is the $n$th Rademacher function and $h(t) = \sum_1^N a_n r_n(t)$ and if $1 < p < \infty$, then

$$\left( \sum_1^N |a_n|^2 \right)^{p/2} \leq \left( \int_0^1 |h(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p}.$$

**Lemma 4F.** If $f_n \in L^{a,p}(-\infty, \infty)$, $n = 1, \ldots, N$, $1 < p < \infty$, $-1/p < \alpha < 1$ $-1/p$, then $(\sum_1^N |f_n|^2)^{1/2} \in L^{a,p}$ and

$$\left( \sum_1^N |f_n|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq A(\alpha, p) \left( \sum_1^N |f_n|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

The proof is the same as that of the corresponding result for Fourier series on $L^p(-\pi, \pi)$ in Zygmund [1938, p. 176].

**Lemma 4G.** Under the hypotheses of Lemma 4F,

$$\left( \sum_1^N |S_{0,\alpha t_n}[f_n]|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq A(\alpha, p) \left( \sum_1^N |f_n|^2 \right)^{1/2}\|_{a,p}$$

for any sequence $t_1, \ldots, t_n$ of positive reals.

**Proof.** By equation (5) we have

(9) By this notation we mean that the quotient of the expressions involved is bounded between positive constants.
\[ S_{0,t_n}[f(x)] = \frac{i}{2} \left[ e^{-i t_n} (e^{i t_n} f_n(x)) - f(x) \right]. \]

The result is immediate using the inequality \((a+b)^2 \leq 2a^2 + 2b^2\), Minkowski's inequality and Lemma 4F.

**Theorem 4H.** If \(1 < p < \infty\), \(-1/p < \alpha < 1 - 1/p\), \(f_n \in L^{\alpha,p}(-\infty, \infty)\) and \(\alpha_n\) is nondecreasing on an interval \([a_n, b_n]\) for \(n = 1, \ldots, N\), then

\[
\left\| \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{a_n}^{b_n} |S_{0,t}[f_n]|^2 d\alpha_n(t) \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{\alpha,p} \leq A(\alpha, p) \left\| \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N} |f_n|^2 \int_{a_n}^{b_n} d\alpha_n(t) \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{\alpha,p}.
\]

**Proof.** There is no loss of generality in supposing that \(f_n \in L^{1} \cap L^{\alpha,p}\). Let each interval \([a_n, b_n]\) be partitioned into \(m\) subintervals of length \((b_n - a_n)/m\) by points \(t_{n,1}, \ldots, t_{n,m-1}\). Let \(t_{n,m} = b_n\) and set

\[
\Delta_{n,j} = \alpha_n(t_{n,j}) - \alpha_n(t_{n,j-1})
\]

for \(j = 1, 2, \ldots, m\). We then have

\[
\lim_{m \to \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{m} |S_{0,t_{n,j}}[f_n]|^2 \Delta_{n,j} = \int_{a_n}^{b_n} |S_{0,t}[f_n]|^2 d\alpha_n(t).
\]

By Lemma 4G we see that

\[
\left\| \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{m} |S_{0,t_{n,j}}[f_n]|^2 \Delta_{n,j} \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{\alpha,p} \leq A(\alpha, p) \left\| \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N} |f_n|^2 \int_{a_n}^{b_n} d\alpha_n(t) \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{\alpha,p}.
\]

Using Fatou's lemma we obtain in view of (10)

\[
\left\| \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{a_n}^{b_n} |S_{0,t}[f_n]|^2 d\alpha_n(t) \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{\alpha,p} \leq \lim \inf_{m \to \infty} \left\| \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{m} |S_{0,t_{n,j}}[f_n]|^2 \Delta_{n,j} \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{\alpha,p} \leq A(\alpha, p) \left\| \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N} |f_n|^2 \int_{a_n}^{b_n} d\alpha_n(t) \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{\alpha,p}.
\]

5. **The function** \(f(x, y)\). Suppose that \(y > 0\). If we set \(\phi(t) = e^{-uy} \) in Theorem 4D, then the associated multiplier transformation \(T\) is defined on \(L^{\alpha,p}\). If \(f \in L^{\alpha,p}\), we let

\[
f(x, y) = T[f(x)].
\]

This section is devoted to the study of this function.

**Lemma 5A.** If \(f \in L^{\alpha,p}(-\infty, \infty), 1 < p < \infty, -1/p < \alpha < 1 - 1/p, \) and \(y > 0\) then
\[ f(x, y) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(u)y[(u - x)^2 + y^2]^{-1}du \]

and

\[ f^\sim(x, y) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(u)(u - x)[(u - x)^2 + y^2]^{-1}du. \]

These formulae are established by routine calculations. Note that the Poisson kernel and its harmonic conjugate appear in (2) and (3) respectively. We shall denote these by \( P(u, y) \) and \( P^\sim(u, y) \). If we set \( z = x + iy \) and

\[ F(z) = f(x, y) + if^\sim(x, y), \]

then it is easy to prove the following:

**Corollary 5B.** Under the hypotheses of Lemma 5A, \( F(z) \) is analytic for \( I(z) > 0 \).

**Lemma 5C.** If, under the hypotheses of Lemma 5A, we set

\[ f^*(x) = \text{l.u.b.} \left| f(x, y) \right|, \]

then \( \left\| f^*(x) \right\|_{\alpha, p} \leq A(\alpha, p) \left\| f(x) \right\|_{\alpha, p} \).

**Proof.** We may suppose that \( f(x) \geq 0 \) for all \( x \). We set

\[ h(x) = \text{l.u.b.} \frac{1}{u} \int_{-\infty}^{u} f(x + t)dt. \]

Integrating by parts and using the estimates

\[ \left| \int_{0}^{u} f(x + t)dt \right| \leq \left\| f(x) \right\|_{\alpha, p} u^{1/p - \alpha} \]

and \( P(u, y) = O(u^{-2}) \) as \( |u| \to \infty \) we have

\[ |f(x, y)| = \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x + u)P(u, y)du \right| \]

\[ = \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} uP_u(u, y) \left[ u^{-1} \int_{0}^{u} f(x + t)dt \right] du \right| \]

\[ \leq h(x) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |uP_u(u, y)| du = Ah(x). \]

It follows that \( f^*(x) \leq Ah(x) \) and thus we see that

\(^{(t)}\) This result is stated without proof in Waterman [1956, p. 170]. Since there appears to be no proof in the literature, we give one communicated to the author by I. I. Hirschman, Jr.
(5) \[ ||f^*||_{a,p} \leq A||h||_{a,p}. \]

We next show that

(6) \[ ||h||_{a,p} \leq A||f||_{a,p}. \]

Suppose that \( x > 0 \) and set

\[ h_1(x) = \text{l.u.b.} \frac{1}{u} \int_0^u f(x + t)dt. \]

Let \( h_2(x) \) and \( h_3(x) \) be least upper bounds of the same expression over \((-x, 0)\) and \((-\infty, -x)\) respectively.

Considering \( h_1 \) first, we set

\[ F_1(x) = \text{l.u.b.} \frac{1}{u > 0} \int_0^u f(x + t)dt \quad \text{and} \quad G_1(x) = \text{l.u.b.} \frac{1}{0 < u < 2^n} \int_0^u f(x + t)dt \]

when \( x \in [2^{n-1}, 2^n] \), \( n \) an integer. Setting \( u = X - x \), we obtain

\[ G_1(x) = \text{l.u.b.} \frac{1}{0 < X - x < 2^n} \int_0^{X - x} f(x + t)dt \leq \text{l.u.b.} \frac{1}{2^{n-1} < X < 2^n + 1} \int_x^X f(v)dv. \]

Using a theorem of Hardy and Littlewood, Zygmund [1935, p. 244], we have

\[ \int_{2^n-1}^{2^n} G_1(x)pdx \leq \int_{2^n-1}^{2^{n+1}} \left[ \text{l.u.b.} \frac{1}{2^{n-1} < X < 2^n + 1} \frac{1}{X - x} \int_X^x f(v)dv \right]^p dx \]

\[ \leq A(p) \int_{2^n-1}^{2^{n+1}} f(x)^pdx. \]

Since \( x^p \approx 2^{anp} \) when \( x \in [2^{n-1}, 2^{n+1}] \), we see that

\[ \int_{2^n-1}^{2^n} G_1(x)pdx \approx A(\alpha, p) \int_{2^n-1}^{2^{n+1}} f(x)^p dx. \]

Summing over all integers, we have

(7) \[ \int_0^\infty G_1(x)pdx \approx A(\alpha, p) \int_0^\infty f(x)^p dx. \]

In considering \( F_1 \) we set

\[ I_n = \int_{2^n-1}^{2^n} f(x)dx \quad \text{and} \quad c_n^p = \int_{2^n-1}^{2^n} f(x)^p dx. \]

Letting \( q \) be the conjugate index of \( p \) we see that by Hölder's inequality

(8) \[ I_n \leq \left[ \int_{2^n-1}^{2^n} f(x)^p dx \right]^{1/p} \left[ \int_{2^n-1}^{2^n} x^{-ap} dx \right]^{1/q} \leq A c_n^{2^{-an+n/q}}. \]
Since

\[ F_1(x) \leq \text{l.u.b. } 2^{-N} \sum_{k=n}^{N+1} I_k \leq 2 \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} 2^{-k} I_k, \]

we obtain using (8)

\[ F_1(x) \leq A \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} 2^{-k+1/k} c_k = A \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} 2^{k} c_k \]

where \( r = -1 - \alpha + 1/q = -1/p - \alpha < 0. \) It follows that

\[ \int_{2^{n-1}}^{2^n} F_1(x) p x^{\alpha + n} dx \leq A \cdot 2^{\alpha p + n} \left( \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} 2^{k} c_k \right)^p = A \left( \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} 2^{r(k-n)} c_k \right)^p. \]

Summing over all \( n, \) we see that

\[ \int_{0}^{\infty} F_1(x) p x^{\alpha + p} dx \leq A \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} 2^{r(k-n)} c_k \right)^p = A \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ b_n \ast c_n \right]^p \]

where \( b_n = 2^{-r n} \) if \( n \leq 0 \) and is zero otherwise. By an inequality of W. H. Young, (see Hardy, Littlewood, and Polya [1952, p. 198]), we obtain

\[ \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ b_n \ast c_n \right]^p \leq \left[ \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} b_n \right]^p \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n^p = A(\alpha, p) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n^p . \]

We now have

\[ (9) \quad \int_{0}^{\infty} F_1(x) p x^{\alpha + p} dx \leq A(\alpha, p) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n = A(\alpha, p) \int_{0}^{\infty} f(x) p x^{\alpha + p} dx. \]

Combining (7) and (9) we see that

\[ (10) \quad \int_{0}^{\infty} h_1(x) x^{\alpha + p} dx \leq A(\alpha, p) \int_{0}^{\infty} f(x) x^{\alpha + p} dx. \]

By nearly the same arguments we may show that

\[ (11) \quad \int_{0}^{\infty} h_2(x) x^{\alpha + p} dx \leq A(\alpha, p) \int_{0}^{\infty} f(x) x^{\alpha + p} dx. \]

Finally we consider \( h_3 \) and obtain

\[ h_3(x) \leq \frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} f(u) du + \frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} f(-u) du + \text{l.u.b. } \frac{1}{u} \int_{0}^{u} f(-x - t) dt. \]

By a theorem of Hardy, (see Zygmund [1935, p. 72]), we have

\[ \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} f(u) du \right]^p x^{\alpha + p} dx \leq A(\alpha, p) \int_{0}^{\infty} f(x) x^{\alpha + p} dx \]
and
\[ \int_0^\infty \left[ \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x f(-u)du \right]^p x^{\alpha p} dx \leq A(\alpha, p) \int_0^\infty f(-x)^p x^{\alpha p} dx. \]

The argument leading up to (10) shows that
\[ \int_0^\infty \left[ \text{l.u.b.} \frac{1}{u} \int_0^u f(-x - t) dt \right]^p x^{\alpha p} dx \leq A(\alpha, p) \int_0^\infty f(-x)^p x^{\alpha p} dx. \]

Combining these we obtain
\[ (12) \quad \int_0^\infty h_2(x)^p x^{\alpha p} dx \leq A(\alpha, p) \int_{-\infty}^\infty f(x)^p |x|^{\alpha p} dx. \]
From (10), (11), and (12) we see that
\[ \int_0^\infty h(x)^p x^{\alpha p} dx \leq A(\alpha, p) \int_{-\infty}^\infty f(x)^p |x|^{\alpha p} dx. \]
Changing x to −x we obtain a similar inequality on (−∞, 0) and thus (6) is proved.

**Corollary 5D.** Under the hypotheses of Lemma 5A,
\[ \lim_{y \to 0} f(x, y) = f(x) \quad a.e. \]
and
\[ \lim_{y \to 0}^{l.i.m.} f(x, y) = f(x). \]

The first equality is a consequence of the theorem on Cauchy's singular integral, Titchmarsh [1937, p. 30]. The second is immediate using Lemma 5C and Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem. Similar results for \( f^\sim(x, y) \) follow from these. We are now ready to prove the main result of this section.

**Theorem 5E.** Suppose that for \( n = 1, 2, \ldots, N \)
(a) \( f_n \in L^{a-p}(-\infty, \infty), 1 < p < \infty, -1/p < \alpha < 1 - 1/p \),
(b) that \( \alpha_n(t) \) is nondecreasing for \( t \) in a finite interval \([a_n, b_n]\) and that
(c) \( L_n \) is a subinterval of \((0, y_n)\) of length \( L_n \).
Then we have
\[ \left\| \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \int_{a_n}^{b_n} S_0, t[f_n(x, y_n)]^2 d\alpha_n(t) \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{a, p} \]
\[ \leq A(\alpha, p) \left\| \left( \sum_{n=1}^N L_n^{-1} \int_{L_n} f_n(x, y)^2 dy \int_{a_n}^{b_n} d\alpha_n(t) \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{a, p}. \]

**Proof.** We may assume that \( f_n \in L^2 \cap L^{a-p} \). Supposing that \( t_n \) are positive
reals and that $y'_n \in [0, y_n]$, we set

$$\phi_n(u) = \begin{cases} -e^{(y'_n-y_n)u} & \text{if } 0 \leq u < t_n, \\ 0 & \text{if } u = t_n. \end{cases}$$

Integrating by parts we obtain

$$S_{0, t_n}[f_n(x, y_n)] = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_0^{t_n} f_n^*(u) e^{-iu-v_n u} du$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_0^{t_n} e^{(y'_n-y_n)u} f_n^*(u) e^{-iu-v_n u} du$$

$$= \int_0^{t_n} e^{(y'_n-y_n)u} S_{0, t_n}[f_n(x, y'_n)] - \int_0^{t_n} S_{0, u}[f_n(x, y'_n)] e^{(y'_n-y_n)u} du$$

$$= \int_0^{t_n} S_{0, u}[f_n(x, y'_n)] d\phi_n(u).$$

Since for every $n$

$$\int_0^{t_n} |d\phi_n(u)| \leq 1,$$

we obtain by Schwarz inequality

$$|S_{0, t_n}[f_n(x, y'_n)]|^2 \leq \int_0^{t_n} |S_{0, u}[f_n(x, y'_n)]|^2 |d\phi_n(u)|.$$

By Theorem 4H we conclude that

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \left| S_{0, t_n}[f(x, y)] \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|$$

(13)

$$\leq \left\| \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \int_0^{t_n} \left| S_{0, u}[f_n(x, y'_n)] \right|^2 |d\phi_n(u)| \right)^{1/2} \right\|$$

$$\leq A(\alpha, \beta) \left\| \left( \sum_{n=1}^N |f_n(x, y'_n)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|.$$

Let $m$ be a positive integer and let $L_n$ be partitioned into $m$ intervals $[y_{n,j-1}, y_{n,j}]$, $j=1, 2, \cdots, m$, of equal length for each $n$. Using (13) we see that

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \left| S_{0, t_n}[f_n(x, y_n)] \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\| \leq A \left\| \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m |f_n(x, y'_n)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|. $$
On the left we have the norm of the expression
\[
\left( \sum_{n=1}^{N} |S_{0,t_n}[f_{n}(x, y_n)]|^2 \right)^{1/2}
\]
while on the right we have the norm of an expression which converges to
\[
\left( \sum_{n=1}^{N} L^{-1}_n \int_{L_n} |f_n(x, y)|^2 \, dy \right)^{1/2}
\]
as \(m \to \infty\). Since the latter expression is dominated by
\[
\left( \sum_{n=1}^{N} f_n^*(x)^2 \right)^{1/2}
\]
which is in \(L^{a,p}\) by Lemmas 5C and 4F, we obtain by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem
\[
\left\| \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N} |S_{0,t_n}[f_{n}(x, y_n)]|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\| \leq A \left\| \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N} L^{-1}_n \int_{L_n} |f_n(x, y)|^2 \, dy \right)^{1/2} \right\|.
\]
The proof may now be completed as in the proof of Theorem 4H.

6. The \(H^{a,p}\) classes. We say that a function \(w(z)\), analytic for \(I(z) > 0\), is in \(H^{a,p}\) if
\[
(1) \quad \lim_{y \to \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |w(x + yi)|^p \, dx < \infty.
\]
We now study these classes and their relationship to \(L^{a,p}\). We write \(H^p\) for \(H^{0,p}\).

**Lemma 6A.** If \(w(z) \in H^{a,p}\), \(1 < p < \infty\), \(-1/p < \alpha < 1 - 1/p\), then there is a function \(w(x) \in L^{a,p}(-\infty, \infty)\) such that
\[
(2) \quad \lim_{y \to 0^+} w(x + yi) = w(x) \text{ a.e.}
\]
and
\[
(3) \quad \lim_{y \to 0^+} w(x + yi) = w(x).
\]

**Proof.** In the case \(\alpha = 0\) this result is well known, Titchmarsh [1937, p. 139]. Since \(z^{\alpha}w(z) \in H^p\), there is a function \(x^{\alpha}w(x) \in L^p\) such that
\[
(4) \quad \lim_{y \to 0^+} (x + yi)^{\alpha}w(x + yi) = x^{\alpha}w(x) \text{ a.e.}
\]
and
\[
(5) \quad \lim_{y \to 0^+} (x + yi)^{\alpha}w(x + yi) = x^{\alpha}w(x).
\]
The latter expression may also be written in the form

\[
(5') \quad \lim_{y \to 0^+} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |(x + yi)^\alpha w(x + yi) - x^\alpha w(x)|^p dx = 0.
\]

The relation (2) follows at once from (4). Instead of (5) or (5') however, we wish to prove (3), that is to show that

\[
(6) \quad \lim_{y \to 0^+} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x^\alpha w(x + yi) - x^\alpha w(x)|^p dx = 0.
\]

We may write

\[
x^\alpha w(x + yi) - x^\alpha w(x) = \left[ x^\alpha w(x + yi) - (x + yi)^\alpha w(x + yi) \right] + \left[ (x + yi)^\alpha w(x + yi) - x^\alpha w(x) \right].
\]

Thus to prove (6) it is sufficient in view of (5') to show that

\[
(7) \quad \lim_{y \to 0^+} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |w(x + yi)|^p |x^\alpha - (x + yi)^\alpha|^p dx = 0.
\]

In case \(\alpha > 0\) we write the left side of (7) in the form

\[
(8) \quad \lim_{y \to 0^+} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |(x + yi)^\alpha w(x + yi)|^p |x^\alpha(x + yi)^{-\alpha} - 1|^p dx.
\]

Note that the last term in the integrand is bounded and converges to zero. Setting

\[
w_\alpha(x) = \text{l.u.b.} \sup_{y>0} |(x + yi)^\alpha w(x + yi)|
\]

we see that the first term in the integrand of (8) is dominated by \(w_\alpha(x)^p \in L^1\) by Lemma 5C. Thus (7) holds by the dominated convergence theorem.

The case \(\alpha < 0\) is proved in almost the same way.

**Lemma 6B.** If \(f(x) \in L^{a,p}(-\infty, \infty), 1 < p < \infty, -1/p < \alpha < 1 - 1/p,\) then \(F(z) = f(x, y) + i\overline{f}(x, y) \in H^{a,p}\) and in fact

\[
(9) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |F(x + yi)|^p |x + yi|^a dx \leq A(\alpha, p)\|f(x)\|_{a,p}.
\]

**Proof.** In view of Corollary 5B, it is enough to prove (9). If \(\alpha \leq 0,\) then \(|x + yi|^{\alpha p} \leq |x|^{\alpha p}\) and the result is immediate from Minkowski's inequality and Theorem 4D.

If \(\alpha > 0,\) we set
\[ A(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| F(x + yi) \right|^p \left| x + yi \right|^\alpha dx \]
\[ = \left[ \int_{|x|>1} + \int_{-1}^{1} \right] \left| F(x + yi) \right|^p \left| x + yi \right|^\alpha dx = I_1 + I_2. \]

Considering the first integral, we have
\[ I_1 \leq \text{l.u.b.} \int_{|x|>1} \left( x + yi \right)^\alpha \left| F(x + yi) \right|^p \left| x \right|^\alpha dx \]
\[ \leq A(\alpha, p)(1 + y^2)^{\alpha p/2} \left\| f(x) \right\| = O(y^\alpha) \quad \text{as} \quad y \to \infty. \]

In considering the second integral we note that by Lemma 5A and Hölder's inequality,
\[ \left| F(x + yi) \right| \leq \left\| F(x + u) \right\|_{\alpha, p} \left\| P(u, y) \right\|_{-\alpha, q} \]
\[ \leq A(\alpha, p)y^{\alpha-1/p-\alpha} \left\| f \right\|_{\alpha, p} \]
and therefore we have
\[ I_2 \leq \text{l.u.b.} \int_{|x|<1} \left| x + yi \right|^\alpha \left| F(x + yi) \right|^p dx \]
\[ \leq A(\alpha, p)(1 + y^2)^{\alpha p/2} y^{1-\alpha} \left\| f \right\|_{\alpha, p} \]
\[ = O(y^{-1}) \quad \text{as} \quad y \to \infty. \]

It follows that \( \log A(y) = o(y) \) as \( y \to \infty \). By the three lines theorem we see that if \( 0 < y_1 < y_2 < y_3 < \infty \), then
\[ \log A(y_3) = \frac{y_3 - y_2}{y_3 - y_1} \log A(y_1) + \frac{y_2 - y_1}{y_3 - y_1} \log A(y_2). \]

Letting \( y_3 \to \infty \), we obtain \( \log A(y_2) \leq \log A(y_1) \); that is
\[ A(y_2) \leq A(y_1) \]
and \( A(y) \) is a nonincreasing function for \( y > 0 \).

Now let \( F_a(z) = z^a F(z) \). As a function of \( x \), \( F_a(z) \subseteq L^p \) for each \( y \) and in fact
\[ F_a(x + yi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_a(u) P(u - x, y) du. \]

Therefore by Corollary 5D we have
\[ \lim_{y \to 0^+} F_a(x + yi) = F_a(x). \]

Since \( A(y) = \left\| F_a(x + yi) \right\|_p \), we may write the above relation in the form
\lim_{y \to 0^+} A(y) = A(0).

Thus we conclude that for all \( y \geq 0 \)

\[ A(y) \leq A(0) = \| F(x) \|_{\alpha, p} \leq A(\alpha, p) \| f(x) \|_{\alpha, p}. \]

We next quote a result due to D. Waterman [1956, p. 173]. The corresponding result for series was first proved by Littlewood and Paley [1937].

Theorem 6C (Waterman). If \( w(z) \in H^p, 1 < p < \infty \), and

\[ g(x \mid w) = \left[ \int_0^\infty | w'(x + yi) |^2 y dy \right]^{1/2}, \]

then

\[ \| g(x \mid w) \|_{p} \leq A(\rho) \| w(x) \|_{p}. \]

We now prove a theorem analogous to a theorem of Hirschman [1955a, p. 36] for series. The proof uses methods due to Zygmund [1945, p. 439].

Theorem 6D. If \( w(z) \in H^p, 1 < p < \infty \), and

\[ h(x \mid w) = \left[ \int_0^\infty | w(x + yi)/(x + yi) |^2 y dy \right]^{1/2}, \]

then \( \| h(x \mid w) \|_{p} \leq A(\rho) \| w(x) \|_{p}. \)

Proof. The proof will be given in three parts.

Part 1. The theorem is true for \( p = 2 \). Let \( u(x) = \Re \{ w(x) \} \) and

\[ G(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \geq 0, \\ -2 \int_{-t}^0 u^\wedge(s) ds & \text{if } t < 0. \end{cases} \]

We first show that \( e^{it} G(t) \) is the Fourier transform of \( (iz)^{-1} w(z) \). Writing \( w^\wedge(t) \) for the Fourier transform of \( w(x) \), we see that since \( w(x) = u(x) + iu^\wedge(x) \), Titchmarsh [1937, p. 139],

\[ w^\wedge(t) = u^\wedge(t) + [i \sgn t] u^\wedge(t)i = [1 - \sgn t] u^\wedge(t). \]

Thus \( w^\wedge(t) \) vanishes for \( t > 0 \) and we may write

(10) \[ w(z) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^0 2u^\wedge(t) e^{-ist} dt. \]

Moreover, by Schwarz' inequality and Parseval's theorem, we have for \( t < 0 \)

(11) \[ | G(t) | \leq \left[ \int_0^t ds \right]^{1/2} \left[ \int_0^- 4 | u^\wedge(s) |^2 ds \right]^{1/2} \leq 2 | t |^{1/2} \| w(x) \|_2. \]
Integrating by parts and using (10) and (11), we obtain for the inverse Fourier transform of \( e^{\imath t}G(t) \)

\[
(2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\imath t}G(t)e^{-\imath zt}dt
\]

\[
= (2\pi)^{-1/2}(-iz)^{-1}e^{-izt}G(t) \bigg|_{t=-\infty}^{0} + (2\pi)^{-1/2}(iz)^{-1}\int_{-\infty}^{0} u^{-1}(t)e^{-izt}dt
\]

\[
= (iz)^{-1}w(z).
\]

Using Parseval's theorem and a theorem of Hardy (see Zygmund [1935, p. 72]), we have

\[
||h(x|w)||^2_2 = \int_{0}^{\infty} ydy \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |w(z)|^2dx = \int_{0}^{\infty} ydy \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2\imath yt}|G(t)|^2dt
\]

\[
= \int_{-\infty}^{0} |G(t)|^2dt \int_{0}^{\infty} yt^2e^{2\imath y}dy = \frac{1}{4} \int_{-\infty}^{0} |G(t)|^2dt
\]

\[
\leq A \int_{-\infty}^{0} u^{-1}(t)|t|^2dt = A||w^{-1}(t)||^2_2 = A||w(x)||^2_2.
\]

**Part 2.** If the theorem is true for index \( p \) and \( 1 < r < p \), then it is true for index \( r \). We may suppose that if \( w(z) \in H^r \) then it has no zeros for \( \Re(z) > 0 \). See Waterman [1956, p. 179]. Setting \( v(z) = w(z)^{r/p} \), we see that \( v(z) \in H^p \).

We now obtain

\[
h(x|w)^2 = \int_{0}^{\infty} |v(x + yi)|^{2p/r} |x + yi|^{-2}dy \leq v^*(x)^{2p/r-2}h(x|v)^2.
\]

It follows that

\[
h(x|w)^r \leq v^*(x)^{p-r}h(x|v)^r.
\]

Using Hölder's inequality, we conclude that

\[
||h(x|w)||^r_r \leq \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x|v)^pdx \right]^{r/p} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v^*(x)^pdx \right]^{-r/p-1}
\]

\[
\leq A(r)||v(x)||^p_p = A(r)||w(x)||^r_r.
\]

In particular we now have the theorem for all \( p \leq 2 \).

**Part 3.** The theorem is true for all \( p \geq 4 \) and thus for all \( p \). We set \( 1/q = 1 - 2/p \) and observe that

\[
||h(x|w)||^2_p = \text{l.u.b.} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x|w)^2f(x)dx,
\]

where \( f \) ranges over all non-negative real valued functions in \( L^q \) such that \( ||f||_q \leq 1 \).
We set $F(z) = f(x, y) + if'(x, y)$. Since $|w(z)/z|^2$ is subharmonic, we have

$$|w(x + 2yi)/(x + 2yi)| \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |w(u + yi)/(u + yi)|^2 P(u - x, y) du. \quad (13)$$

Using Fubini's theorem

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x) \cdot w^2 f(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y dy \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |w(z)/z|^2 f(x) dx$$

$$= 4 \int_{0}^{\infty} y dy \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |w(x + 2yi)/(x + 2yi)|^2 f(x) dx.$$

Considering the inner integral we have using (13) and the inequality $f(x, y) \leq |F(x + yi)|$,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |w(x + 2yi)/(x + 2yi)|^2 f(x) dx$$

$$\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |w(u + yi)/(u + yi)|^2 P(u - x, y) du$$

$$\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |w(u + yi)/(u + yi)|^2 f(u, y) du$$

$$\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |w(x + yi)/(x + yi)|^2 |F(x + yi)| dx.$$

Using this together with Schwarz' inequality and Hölder's inequality, we see that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x) \cdot w^2 f(x) dx \leq 4 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w^*(x) dx \int_{0}^{\infty} |w(z)/z| \cdot |F(z)/z| y dy$$

$$\leq 4 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w^*(x) h(x) | w | F dx$$

$$\leq 4 \|w^*(x)\|_p \|h(x)\|_q h(x \cdot F) \|_q$$

$$\leq A(p) \|w(x)\|_p \|h(x)\|_p.$$

We have also used the fact that $q \leq 2$ and $F(z) \in H^q$.

It follows that

$$\|h(x \cdot w)\|^2_p \leq A(p) \|h(x \cdot w)\|^2_p \|w(x)\|^p_p.$$

If $h(x \cdot w) \in L^p$, we are done. Otherwise set $w_\delta(z) = e^{i\delta z}$, $\delta > 0$. Clearly $w_\delta(z) \in H^p$ and $\|w_\delta(z)\|_p \leq A(p) \|w(z)\|_p$. We show that $h(x \cdot w_\delta) \in L^p$. Once this is proved the proof may be completed by letting $\delta \to 0$ and using Fatou's lemma.
There is no loss of generality in supposing that \( w(z - i\epsilon) \in H^p \) for \( \epsilon > 0 \). See Waterman [1956, p. 174]. Therefore by Minkowski’s inequality for integrals and the Poisson expansion

\[
w(x + yi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(x + u - i\epsilon)P(u, y + \epsilon) du, \quad y \geq 0,
\]

we obtain

\[
\|w(x + yi)\|_p \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|w(x + u - i\epsilon)\|_p |P(u, y + \epsilon)| du
\]

\[
= \|w(x - i\epsilon)\|_p \|P(u, y + \epsilon)\|_1 \leq \|w(x - i\epsilon)\|_p.
\]

If \( f(x) \geq 0 \) and \( \|f\|_q \leq 1 \), we now have

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x) w_k f(x) dx = \int_{0}^{\infty} ye^{-2\beta y} dy \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |w(z)|^2 f(x) dx
\]

\[
\leq A \|w(x - i\epsilon)\|_p^2 \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-2\beta y} dy \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^2 |P(x, y)|^2 dx
\]

\[
\leq A \|w(x - i\epsilon)\|_p^2 \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-2\beta y} \|f(x)\|_q^2 |P(x, y)|^2 dy
\]

\[
\leq A \|w(x - i\epsilon)\|_p^2 \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-2\beta y} y^{p/2 - 1} dy
\]

\[
\leq A \|w(x - i\epsilon)\|_p^2 < \infty.
\]

It follows that \( h(x) w_k \in L^p \).

**Theorem 6E.** If \( w(z) \in H^{\alpha, p} \), \( 1 < p < \infty \), \( -1/p < \alpha < 1 - 1/p \), then

\[
\left\| \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} |w'(x + yi)|^2 |x + yi|^{2\alpha} dy \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \leq (\alpha, p) \|w(x)\|_{\alpha, p}.
\]

**Proof.** We set \( v(z) = z^\alpha w(z) \in H^p \). Since \( w'(z) = z^{-\alpha} v'(z) - \alpha z^{-\alpha - 1} v(z) \), we have

\[
|w'(z)|^2 \leq 2 |v'(z)|^2 |z|^{-2\alpha} + 2 |\alpha| |v(z)|^2 |z|^{-2-2\alpha}.
\]

By Theorems 6C and 6D we conclude that

\[
\left\| \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} |w'(x + yi)|^2 |x + yi|^{2\alpha} dy \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p
\]

\[
\leq A(\alpha) \left[ \left\| \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} |v'(x + yi)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p + \left\| \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} |v(z)/z|^{2\alpha} dy \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \right]
\]

\[
\leq A(\alpha, p) \|v(x)\|_p = A(\alpha, p) \|w(x)\|_{\alpha, p}.
\]
7. The decomposition theorem. Let us write \( \Phi_n^-(t) \) and \( \Phi_n^+(t) \) for the characteristic functions of the intervals \([2^n-1, 2^n]\) and \([-2^n, -2^{n-1}]\) respectively for each integer \( n \). Let \( \Delta_n^+ \) and \( \Delta_n^- \) be the corresponding Fourier multiplier transformations on \( L^{a,p}(-\infty, \infty) \). In this section we prove that if \( f(x) \in L^{a,p} \), then under the usual restrictions on \( a \) and \( p \) we have

\[
\left\| \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \Delta_n^+[f(x)] \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{a,p} \leq \|f(x)\|_{a,p};
\]

that is, the quotient of these norms is bounded between positive constants depending only on \( a \) and \( p \). This is called the decomposition theorem. In this connection see Littlewood and Paley [1937] and Hirschman [1955a].

Lemma 7A. If \( f \in L^{a,p} \), \( 1 < p < \infty \), \(-1/p < \alpha < 1 - 1/p \), then

\[
\left\| \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \Delta_n^+[f(x)] \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{a,p} \leq \Lambda(a, p)\|f\|_{a,p}.
\]

Proof. There is no loss of generality in supposing that \( f \in L^2 \cap L^{a,p} \) and that \( \hat{f}(t) = 0 \) for \( t < 0 \). Then \( \Delta_n^- [f(x)] = 0 \) for all \( x \) and \( n \). We write \( \Delta_n \) for \( \Delta_n^+ [f] \).

Differentiating partially with respect to \( x \), we obtain

\[
(S_0, t[f(x,y)])_x = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_0^t f^*(u)e^{-yu-ixu}du
\]

\[
= (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_0^t f^*(u)(-iu)e^{-yu-ixu}du
\]

\[
= S_0, t[f_x(x,y)].
\]

Integrating by parts, we see that

\[
\Delta_n[f(x)] = i(2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{2^n-1}^{2^n} (e^{v}t^i) S_{0,t}[f_x(x,y)]
\]

\[
= 2^{-n}S_{0,2^n}[f_x(x,y)]i - 2^{-n-1}e^{2^n}S_{0,2^n}[f_x(x,y)]i
\]

\[
- i \int_{2^n-1}^{2^n} S_{0,t}[f_x(x,y)]dte^{vt}.
\]

Setting \( y = y_n = 2^{-n} \) for each \( n \) and

\[
g_n(t) = \begin{cases} 
-t^{-1}e^{yt} & \text{if } 2^{n-1} < t < 2^n, \\
0 & \text{if } t = 2^{n-1} \text{ or } 2^n,
\end{cases}
\]

we have

\[
\Delta_n[f(x)] = i \int_{2^n-1}^{2^n} S_{0,t}[f_x(x,y_n)]dg_n(t).
\]
Since
\[ \int_{2^{n-1}}^{2^n} |dg_n(t)| = 2y_n, \]
it follows from Schwarz' inequality that
\[ |\Delta_n[f(x)]|^2 \leq 2y_n \int_{2^{n-1}}^{2^n} |S_{0,t}[f_z(x, y_n)]|^2 |dg_n(t)|. \]

We now let \( L_n \) denote the interval \([y_{n+1}, y_n]\) as well as its length. If we set \( F(z) = f(x, y) + if'(x, y) \), then \(|f_z(x, y)| \leq |F'(z)|\). Since \( \alpha \geq 0 \), \(|x|^\alpha \leq |x + yi|^\alpha\) and therefore using Theorems 5E, 6E, and 4D, we conclude that
\[
\left\| \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Delta_n[f(x)]|^2 \right\|_{a,p}^{1/2} \leq \left\| \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} 2y_n \int_{2^{n-1}}^{2^n} |S_{0,t}[f_z(x, y_n)]|^2 |dg_n(t)| \right\|_{a,p}^{1/2} \leq A \left\| \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} y_{n+1} \int_{L_n} |f_z(x, y)|^2 dy \int_{2^{n-1}}^{2^n} |dg_n(t)| \right\|_{a,p}^{1/2} \leq A \left\| \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{L_n} |F'(x + yi)|^2 dy \right\|_{a,p}^{1/2} \leq A \left\| \int_0^{\infty} |F'(x + yi)|^2 ydy \right\|_{a,p}^{1/2} \leq A \left\| \int_0^{\infty} |F'(x + yi)|^2 x + yi |^2 ydy \right\|_p \leq A \left\| f(x) \right\|_{a,p} \leq A \left\| f(x) \right\|_{a,p}. \]

**Lemma 7B.** If \( f \in L^{a,p} \), where \( p \) and \( a \) are non-negative even integers \( p \geq 2, a < 1 - 1/p \), then
\[
\left( \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Delta_k^+[f]|^2 + |\Delta_k^-[f]|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq (2a)^{1/2} |f|_{a,p} \leq A(a, p) \left( \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Delta_k^+[f]|^2 + |\Delta_k^-[f]|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \]

**Proof.** We follow the methods of Littlewood and Paley [1937, p. 84] and Hirschman [1955a, p. 46] and consider the typical case \( p = 6, \alpha = 4 \). There is no loss of generality in supposing that \( f \in L^2 \cap L^{a,p} \) and that \( f^\wedge(t) = 0 \) for \( t < 0 \). We write \( \Delta_n \) for \( \Delta_n^+ \). We set
\[ F_N(x) = \sum_{-N}^{N} \Delta_n(x) \quad \text{and} \quad G_N(x)^2 = \sum_{-N}^{N} |\Delta_n(x)|^2 \]

for \( N = 1, 2, \cdots \). We split each of these sums into \( h \) parts as follows: for \( i = 0, 1, \cdots, h-1 \) set

\[ F_{N,i}(x) = \sum_n \Delta_n(x) \quad \text{and} \quad G_{N,i}(x)^2 = \sum_n |\Delta_n(x)|^2 \]

where \( n \) ranges over all integers congruent to \( i \) modulo \( h \) between \( -N \) and \( N \). Then there are constants \( A_1, A_2, A_3, \) and \( A_4 \) such that

\[ |F_{N,i}| = A_1 \sum |\Delta_k|^2 \Delta_m \Delta_{m'} \Delta_n \Delta_{n'} + A_2 \sum |\Delta_k|^2 |\Delta_m|^2 |\Delta_n|^2 |\Delta_{n'}|^2 \]
\[ + \sum^* |\Delta_k \Delta_{k'} \Delta_m \Delta_{m'} \Delta_n \Delta_{n'}|, \]

where in each summation all subscripts range through values congruent to \( i \) mod \( h \) and where * denotes a summation in which no one of \( k, m, n \) equal any of \( k', m', n' \).

For \( j = 1, 2, \cdots \), we set \( E_j = \{ v : j^{-2} \leq |v| \leq j^{-1} \} \) and

\[ w_j(x) = \frac{1}{2} j \int_{E_j} |1 - e^{ixv}|^4 |v|^{-4} dv. \]

It is easy to see that \( w_j(x) \) is less than \( |x|^4 \) and approaches it as \( j \to \infty \). Using the inequality

\[ \sum |\Delta_k|^4 \leq (\sum |\Delta_k|^2)^2, \]

we have

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |F_{N,i}(x)|^6 w_j(x) dx \]
\[ \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \sum |\Delta_k(x)|^2 \Delta_m(x) \Delta_{m'}(x) \Delta_n(x) \Delta_{n'}(x) \right| w_j(x) dx \]
\[ + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \sum |\Delta_k(x)|^2 |\Delta_m(x)|^2 \Delta_n(x) \Delta_{n'}(x) \right| w_j(x) dx \]
\[ + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \sum |\Delta_k(x)|^2 |\Delta_m(x)|^2 |\Delta_n(x)|^2 w_j(x) dx \right| \]
\[ + \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum^* |\Delta_k \Delta_{k'} \Delta_m \Delta_{m'} \Delta_n \Delta_{n'}| w_j(x) dx \right|. \]

Let \( J^* \) denote the last integral and consider a single term of the sum. By Fubini’s theorem we have
\[
\frac{j}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Delta_k \Delta_{m} \Delta_{m'} \Delta_n \Delta_{n'} w_{j} \, dx
\]
\[
= \frac{j}{2} \int_{E_j} |v|^{-4} \, dv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Delta_k \Delta_{m} \Delta_{m'} \Delta_n \Delta_{n'} \, 1 - e^{ivx} \, dx.
\]

The inner integral on the right is a combination of terms of the form

\[
K_r(v) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Delta_k \Delta_{m} \Delta_{m'} \Delta_n \Delta_{n'} e^{ivx} \, dx
\]

where \( r = -2, -1, 0, 1, 2 \). Writing \( \Delta^\wedge \) for the Fourier transform of \( \Delta \), we obtain the convolution

\[
K_r(v) = \left[ \Delta^\wedge_k \ast \Delta^\wedge_m \ast \Delta^\wedge_{m'} \ast \Delta^\wedge_n \ast \Delta^\wedge_{n'} \right](rv).
\]

Since \( \Delta_n^\wedge(t) = 0 \) for all \( t \) outside \( [2^{n-1}, 2^n] \), we have for large enough \( h \) and small enough \( v \) (that is for large enough \( j \)) \( K_r(v) = 0 \) for all \( v \in E_j \). Thus \( J^* \) vanishes for large enough \( h \) and \( j \).

Under these conditions we obtain, using Schwarz' inequality,

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |F_{N,i}|^6 w_j \, dx \leq A \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G^{2}_{N,i} |F_{N,i}|^4 |x|^4 \, dx \right.
\]
\[
+ \left. \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G^{4}_{N,i} |F_{N,i}|^2 |x|^4 \, dx + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G^{6}_{N,i} |x|^4 \, dx \right]
\]
\[
\leq A \left[ ||F_{N,i}||^4 ||G_{N,i}||^2 + ||F_{N,i}||^2 ||G_{N,i}||^4 + ||G_{N,i}||^6 \right].
\]

Letting \( j \to \infty \) and using Fatou's lemma, we have the same inequality as just above with \( ||F_{N,i}||^6 \) on the right. Since \( F_{N,i}(x) \) is a multiplier transform to which Theorem 4D applies, it follows that \( ||F_{N,i}|| \) is finite. Therefore we have \( ||F_{N,i}|| \leq ||G_{N,i}|| \). Combining \( h \) such inequalities, we see that

\[
||F_N|| \leq A(\rho)||G_N|| \leq A(\rho)||\sum |\Delta_n|^2||^{1/2}.
\]

Letting \( N \to \infty \), the proof is completed.

Suppose that \( \epsilon_n^+ \) and \( \epsilon_n^- \) are sequences each of whose terms is 1 or \(-1\). Set \( \epsilon = (\epsilon_n^+, \epsilon_n^-) \) and

\[
\phi_\epsilon(t) = \begin{cases} 
\epsilon_n^+ & \text{if } t \in [2^{n-1}, 2^n], \\
\epsilon_n^- & \text{if } t \in [-2^n, -2^{n-1}].
\end{cases}
\]

We write \( T_\epsilon \) for the corresponding multiplier transformation and note that for every \( f \in L^{\alpha,p} \) we have

\[
T_\epsilon[f(x)] = \sum_{n=\infty}^{\infty} \epsilon_n^+ \Delta_n^+ [f(x)] + \epsilon_n^- \Delta_n^- [f(x)].
\]
We also write \( \|T\|_{\alpha,p} \) for the norm of a bounded linear transformation \( T \) of \( L^{\alpha,p} \) into itself.

**Lemma 7C.** Suppose that \( 1 < p < \infty \), and \( -1/p < \alpha < 1 - 1/p \). Then the following are equivalent:

(i) there is a constant \( A \) such that \( \|T_\epsilon\|_{\alpha,p} \leq A \) for all \( \epsilon \);

(ii) \( \|f\|_{\alpha,p} \leq \left( \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \Delta_+^n[f] \right|^2 + \left| \Delta_-^n[f] \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \|a,p\| \) for all \( f \in L^{\alpha,p} \).

The proof for Fourier series, Littlewood and Paley [1937, p. 86], needs only trivial changes to be applied here.

**Lemma 7D.** If \( 1 < p < \infty \), \( -1/p < \alpha_1, \alpha_2 < 1 - 1/p \), \( \|T\|_{\alpha_1,p} < \infty \), \( j = 1, 2 \), and \( \gamma = (1 - \theta)\alpha_1 + \theta\alpha_2 \) for \( 0 \leq \theta \leq 1 \), then
\[
\|T\|_{\gamma,p} \leq \|T\|_{\alpha_1,p}^\theta \|T\|_{\alpha_2,p}^{1-\theta}.
\]

This result, a demonstration of which is given by Hirschman [1956], is essentially contained in the Riesz-Thorin convexity theorem.

**Lemma 7E.** If \( 1 < p < \infty \), \( -1/p < \alpha < 1 - 1/p \), \( 1/q = 1 - 1/p \), and \( T \) is a multiplier transformation on \( L^{\alpha,p} \) such that \( \|T\|_{\alpha,p} < \infty \), then \( \|T\|_{-\alpha,q} < \infty \).

**Proof.** It is sufficient to prove this on \( L^2 \cap L^{\alpha,p} \). We may also suppose that \( f \) and \( \phi \) are real. Suppose \( g \in L^2 \cap L^{\alpha,p} \) and \( g \) is real valued. Using Plancherel's theorem, we obtain
\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [T[f(x)]g(x)] dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(l)f^*(l)g^*(l) dl = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)T[g(x)] dx.
\]
If, in addition, \( \|g\|_{\alpha,p} \leq 1 \), we have by Hölder's inequality
\[
\|T[f]\|_{-\alpha,q} = \text{l.u.b.} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)T[g(x)] dx \leq \|f\|_{-\alpha,q} \|Tg\|_{\alpha,p} \leq \|T\|_{\alpha,p} \|f\|_{-\alpha,q}.
\]
Therefore \( \|T\|_{-\alpha,q} \leq \|T\|_{\alpha,p} < \infty \). By symmetry we even have equality.

**Theorem 7F.** (Decomposition theorem). If \( f \in L^{\alpha,p}(-\infty, \infty) \), for \( 1 < p < \infty \), \( -1/p < \alpha < 1 - 1/p \), then
\[
\|f\|_{\alpha,p} \cong \left( \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \Delta_+^n[f] \right|^2 + \left| \Delta_-^n[f] \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \|a,p\|.
\]

**Proof.** Let \( p' = 2m \) be an even integer greater than \( p \). Let \( \alpha_1 = 0 \) and \( \alpha_2 = 1 - 1/m \). By Lemmas 7A and 7B we obtain for \( j = 1, 2 \),
\[
\|f\|_{\alpha_j,p'} \cong \left( \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \Delta_+^n[f] \right|^2 + \left| \Delta_-^n[f] \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \|a_j,p'\|.
\]
By Lemma 7C there is a constant \( A \) such that for all \( \epsilon \), \( \|T_\epsilon\|_{\alpha_j,p'} \leq A \). Thus by Lemma 7D we have for all \( \alpha' \) such that \( 0 \leq \alpha' \leq 1 - 1/m \)
By Lemma 7E we obtain for $1/q' = 1 - 1/p'$

$$\|T\|_{\alpha',p'} \leq A.$$

There is a number $\theta'$, $0 \leq \theta' \leq 1$, such that

$$1/p = \theta'/p + (1 - \theta'/q'.$$

Numbers $\alpha'$ and $\beta'$ can now be found so that

$$-1 + 1/m \leq \beta' \leq 0 \leq \alpha' \leq 1 - 1/m$$

and for the given $\alpha$

$$\alpha = \theta'\alpha' + (1 - \theta')\beta'$$

when $m$ is sufficiently large. Since for every sequence $\epsilon$

$$\|T\|_{\alpha',p'} \leq A \quad \text{and} \quad \|T\|_{\beta',p'} \leq A,$$

we obtain by the Riesz interpolation theorem

$$\|T\|_{\alpha,p} \leq A$$

for every $\epsilon$. Another application of 9C completes the proof.

8. The main theorem. We conclude by proving the theorem on the boundedness of the Fourier multiplier transformation and by extending this result to Hankel multiplier transformations. We say that $\phi(t)$, $-\infty < t < \infty$, is in $M(C)$ if

1. $|\phi(t)| \leq C$, \hspace{1cm} $-\infty < t < \infty$,
2. $\int_{2^{n-1}\pi}^{2^n\pi} |d\phi(t)| \leq C$, \hspace{1cm} $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$,

and

3. $\int_{-2^{n-1}\pi}^{-2^n\pi} |d\phi(t)| \leq C$, \hspace{1cm} $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$.

If $\phi(t)$ is defined for $0 \leq t < \infty$, we require only (2) and (1) on this range.

**Theorem 8A.** If $f \in L^{a,p}(-\infty, \infty)$, $1 < p < \infty$, $-1/p < \alpha < 1 - 1/p$, and $\phi \in M(C)$, then the associated Fourier multiplier transformation $T$ is defined on $L^{a,p}$ and

$$\|T[f]\|_{\alpha,p} \leq A(\alpha, p)C\|f\|_{\alpha,p}.$$

**Proof.** We may suppose that $f \in L^2 \cap L^{a,p}$ and that $f^+(t) = 0$ for $t < 0$. We write $\Delta_n(x)$ for $\Delta_n^+[f(x)]$. Let
D_n(x) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{2^{n-1}}^{2^n} \phi(t)f^\wedge(t)e^{-i2\pi t}dt

and

F_N(x) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{2^{-N+1}}^{2^N} \phi(t)f^\wedge(t)e^{-i2\pi t}dt = \sum_{-N}^{N} D_n(x).

It is enough to prove that

\( ||F_N||_{\alpha,p} \leq AC||f||_{\alpha,p}. \)

By the decomposition theorem

\( ||F_N||_{\alpha,p} \leq A \left( \sum_{-N}^{N} |D_n|^2 \right)^{1/2} \)

For \( t \in [2^{n-1}, 2^n] \) we consider

\( S_{0,t}[\Delta_n(x)] = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_0^t \Delta_n^\wedge(u)e^{-i2\pi u}du = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{2^{n-1}}^{2^n} f^\wedge(t)e^{-i2\pi u}du. \)

Integrating by parts, we obtain

\[
D_n(x) = \int_{2^{n-1}}^{2^n} \phi(t)d_s S_{0,t}[\Delta_n(x)] = \phi(2^n)S_{0,2^n}[\Delta_n(x)] - \int_{2^{n-1}}^{2^n} S_{0,t}[\Delta_n(x)]d\phi(t)
\]

\[
= \int_{2^{n-1}}^{2^n} S_{0,t}[\Delta_n(x)]d\phi_n(t)
\]

where \( \phi_n(t) = -\phi(t) \) when \( 2^{n-1} \leq t < 2^n \) and \( \phi_n(2^n) = 0. \)

By Schwarz' inequality, we see that

\( |D_n(x)|^2 \leq 2C \int_{2^{n-1}}^{2^n} |S_{0,t}[\Delta_n(x)]|^2 |d\phi_n(t)| \)

since by (2) we have

\( \int_{2^{n-1}}^{2^n} |d\phi_n(t)| \leq 2C \)

for all \( n. \) By (6), (7), Theorem 4H, (8) and the decomposition theorem we obtain

\( ||F_N||_{\alpha,p} \leq AC^{1/2} \left( \sum_{-N}^{N} \int_{2^{n-1}}^{2^n} |S_{0,t}[\Delta_n[f]]|^2 |d\phi_n(t)| \right)^{1/2} \)

\( \leq AC \left( \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Delta_n[f]|^2 \right)^{1/2} \)

completing the proof.
We now extend this result to Hankel multiplier transformations. We find it more natural here to use the usual definition for the Hankel transform rather than that used in the first three sections. As before it is sufficient to define the transformation on a dense subspace. In particular if \( f \in L^{a,p}(0, \infty) \cap L^2(0, \infty) \) and \( \nu \geq -1/2 \), we set

\[
F_\nu(t) = \int_0^\infty f(x)J_\nu(xt)(xt)^{1/2}dx,
\]

the integral being a limit in the mean of order 2 of partial integrals. Note that \( F_{-1/2} \) and \( F_{1/2} \) are simply the cosine and sine transforms of \( f \). Therefore if we extend \( f \) to be an even or an odd function on \((-\infty, \infty)\) and apply Theorem 8A, we obtain the following:

**Corollary 8B.** If \( f \in L^{a,p}(0, \infty) \), \( 1 < p < \infty \), \(-1/p < \alpha < 1-1/p\), and \( \phi \in M(C) \), then the associated Hankel multiplier transformations \( T_\nu \) of orders \( \nu = -1/2 \) and \(+1/2\) exist and

\[
\|T_\nu[f]\|_{a,p} \leq A(\alpha, p, \nu)C\|f\|_{a,p}.
\]

**Lemma 8C.** If \( \nu, \mu > -1/2 \), and \( g(s) \) is a function on \((0, \infty)\) with Hankel transforms \( G_\nu(x) \) and \( G_\mu(x) \) lying in \( L^{a,p}(0, \infty) \) and such that

\[
\int_0^\infty s^{-1/p-a} |g(s)| ds < \infty,
\]

then

\[
\|G_\nu(x)\|_{a,p} \leq \|G_\mu(x)\|_{a,p}.
\]

**Proof.** We are given

\[
G_\nu(x) = \int_0^\infty g(s)J_\nu(xs)(xs)^{1/2}ds
\]

and a similar expression for \( G_\mu(x) \). Setting \( x = e^v \) and \( s = e^{-u} \), we obtain

\[
G_\nu(e^v) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty g(e^{-u})e^{-u}J_\nu(e^{v-u})e^{(v-u)/2}du.
\]

Setting \( r = \alpha + 1/p \) and multiplying both sides by \( e^{rv} \), we obtain

\[
e^{rv}G_\nu(e^v) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty g(e^{-u})e^{-u(1-r)}J_\nu(e^{v-u})e^{(r+1/2)(v-u)}du.
\]

On the right we have the convolution of two functions \( h(y) = g(e^v)e^{-(1-r)v} \) and \( j_\nu(y) = J_\nu(e^v)e^{(r+1/2)v} \). We set \( E_\nu(y) = e^{rv}G_\nu(e^v) \) and let the caret denote the Fourier transform. We show that
Note that although \( h \in L^1(-\infty, \infty) \) by (12), \( j \) need not and thus (15) is not immediate. Considering the partial integral of \( E_\nu \) we obtain

\[
(2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_\nu(y) e^{i\nu y} dy = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\nu y} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(u) j_\nu(y-u) du
\]

\[
= (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(u) du \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} j_\nu(y-u) e^{i\nu y} dy
\]

\[
= (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(u) du \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} j_\nu(y-u) e^{i\nu u} du
\]

\[
= (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(u) e^{i\nu u} I_\alpha(u, t) du
\]

where

\[
I_\alpha(u, t) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} j_\nu(y) e^{i\nu y} dy.
\]

By the Weber-Schafheitlin formula, Watson [1944, pp. 391–392] \( j_\nu(t) \rightarrow \lim_{\nu \to \infty} I_\alpha(u, t) \) exists and has the value

\[
\frac{2^{-1/2} + i\nu/2}{(2\pi)^{1/2} \Gamma(\nu/2 + r/2 + 1/4 + it/2)}
\]

Since \( 0 < r < 1 \), the real part in the arguments of each of the gamma functions is positive and bounded away from zero. Thus \( j_\nu(t) \) is bounded. Moreover \( I_\alpha(u, t) \) converges boundedly to \( j_\nu(t) \). Therefore we have (15) by dominated convergence.

A similar expression for \( \mu \) holds. Upon dividing the latter into the former, we have

\[
E_\nu(t) / E_\mu(t) = j_\nu(t) / j_\mu(t) = \phi_{\nu, \mu}(t) = \phi(t)
\]

where by (17)

\[
\phi(t) = \frac{\Gamma(\nu/2 + r/2 + 1/4 + it/2)}{\Gamma(\mu/2 + r/2 + 1/4 + it/2)}
\]

It follows that \( E_\nu(t) = \phi(t) E_\mu(t) \). We wish to apply Theorem 4D and begin by observing that \( \phi \) is bounded near zero. Using (18) and a formula from Erdélyi [1953, vol. 1, p. 47] we obtain

\[
\phi(t) = \left(\frac{it}{2}\right)^{(r-\nu)/2} [1 + O(t^{-1})] \left[ -\frac{it}{2} \right]^{(a-r)/2} [1 + O(t^{-1})]
\]

\[
= e^{i\pi (r-\nu)} \text{sgn } t^{1/2} [1 + O(t^{-1})] \quad \text{as } |t| \to \infty.
\]

It follows that \( \phi \) is bounded everywhere.
We next show that

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |d\phi(t)| < \infty. \]  

It is easily seen that \( \phi \) has finite variation on any finite interval. It is not hard to justify term by term differentiation in (19) and thus obtain \( \phi'(t) = O(t^{-2}) \) as \( |t| \to \infty \). It follows that

\[ \int_{|t|>a} |d\phi(t)| \leq \int_{|t|>a} t^{-2} dt < \infty. \]

We now have (20) and thus the postulates of Theorem 4D.

We now conclude that

\[ \|E_\nu(y)\|_p \leq A \|E_\mu(y)\|_p. \]

Making the change in variable \( e^\nu = x \), we have

\[ \|E_\nu(y)\|_p = \|e^\nu G_\nu(e^\nu)\|_p = \|G_\nu(x)\|_{a,p}. \]

The same holds with \( \mu \) instead of \( \nu \). We conclude from (22) that

\[ \|G_\nu(x)\|_{a,p} \leq A \|G_\mu(x)\|_{a,p}. \]

Since this holds also with \( \nu \) and \( \mu \) interchanged, we are done.

**Theorem 8D. (The main theorem).** If \( f \in L^{a,p}(0, \infty) \) for \( 1 < p < \infty \) and \(-1/p < \alpha < 1 - 1/p \) and if \( \phi \in M(C) \) and if \( \nu \geq -1/2 \), then the Hankel multiplier transformation \( T_\nu \) associated with \( \phi \) exists and

\[ \|T_\nu[f]\|_{a,p} \leq A(\alpha, \nu, p) C \|f\|_{a,p}. \]

**Proof.** Suppose that \( f \) is a step function which vanishes outside a compact subinterval of \((0, \infty)\). Since the set of all such functions is dense in \( L^{a,p} \), this involves no loss of generality. Let \( g(s) \) be the Hankel transform of \( f(x) \) of order \( \nu \) and \( G_{1/2}(x) \) be the Hankel transform of \( g(s) \) of order \( 1/2 \). Since \( f \in L^1 \) and is of bounded variation, the Hankel transform of order \( \nu \) of \( g(s) \) is equal to \( f(x) \) almost everywhere.

Now \( f(x) \) is a linear combination of characteristic functions of finite intervals. Let \( f_*(x) \) be such a function for \([a, b]\). Then its Hankel transform is

\[ g_*(s) = \int_a^b J_\nu(xs)(xs)^{1/2} dx. \]

Since \( J_\nu(z^{1/2}) = A_1 \sin z + A_2 \cos z + O(z^{-1}) \) as \( z \to \infty \), it follows that \( g_*(s) = O(s^{-1}) \) as \( s \to \infty \). Clearly \( g_*(s) = O(1) \) as \( s \to 0 \). It follows that

\[ \int_0^\infty |g_*(s)| s^{-1/p-a} ds \leq A \left[ \int_0^1 s^{-1/p-a} ds + \int_1^\infty s^{-1/p-a-1} ds \right] < \infty, \]
since $0 < 1/p + \alpha < 1$. Therefore we have

$$\int_0^\infty |g(s)|s^{-1/p-\alpha}ds < \infty.$$ 

We now apply Lemma 8C to obtain

$$\|f(x)\|_{\alpha,p} \leq \|G_{1/2}(x)\|_{\alpha,p}. \tag{26}$$

Next let $T_{1/2}[G_{1/2}(x)]$ and $T_\nu[f(x)]$ denote the Hankel transforms of orders $1/2$ and $\nu$ respectively of $\phi(s)g(s)$. Since $\phi$ is bounded, $\phi g$ satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 8C and we have

$$\|T_{1/2}[G_{1/2}]\|_{\alpha,p} \leq \|T_\nu[f]\|_{\alpha,p}. \tag{27}$$

By Corollary 8B we also have

$$\|T_{1/2}[G_{1/2}]\|_{\alpha,p} \leq A(\alpha, \rho)C\|G_{1/2}\|_{\alpha,p}. \tag{28}$$

Combining the last three, we obtain (25).
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