

# SUMMABILITY OF FOURIER SERIES IN $L^p(d\mu)$

BY  
MARVIN ROSENBLUM<sup>(1)</sup>

**1. Introduction.** Let  $\mu$  be a non-negative finite Borel measure on the unit circle  $C$  such that  $\mu(C) > 0$ . For each  $p$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , let  $L^p(d\mu)$  be the Banach space of  $\mu$ -measurable complex-valued functions  $f(e^{i\phi})$  such that

$$\|f\|_p = \left[ \int |f(e^{i\phi})|^p d\mu(\phi) \right]^{1/p}$$

$< \infty$ .  $\sigma$  shall be normalized Lebesgue measure on  $C$ .  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_0$  are the classes of trigonometric polynomials of the form  $\sum_n c_n e^{in\phi}$  and  $\sum_{n \geq 0} c_n e^{in\phi}$  respectively.  $P_r(e^{i\phi})$  shall be the Poisson kernel and  $*$  the symbol of Fourier convolution. Thus if  $f(e^{i\phi}) = \sum c_n e^{in\phi} \in \mathcal{P}$ , then  $(P_r * f)(e^{i\phi}) = \sum c_n r^{|n|} e^{in\phi} \in \mathcal{P}$ .  $\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots$  shall be fixed positive numbers and  $K_1, K_2, \dots$  absolute constants. We omit writing subscripts or use the same subscript in different contexts when we believe that no confusion can arise.

Our main concern shall be the following problems:

*Problems A, B.* Characterize the classes  $\mathcal{Q}_p$  and  $\mathcal{B}_p$  of measures  $\mu$  such that

$$(1.1) \quad \sup \{ \|P_r * f\|_p : \delta < r < 1 \} \leq K \|f\|_p$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{P}_0$  and  $\mathcal{P}$  respectively.

We shall also be concerned with variations of problem B, where Abel summability is replaced by Fejér and several other types of summability. These problems follow a line of investigations in harmonic analysis with non-translation-invariant measures that dates back to Hardy and Littlewood [8]. Subsequent work was done by Babenko [1], Hirschman [10], Gapoškin [6], Edwards [4], Chen [3] and Helson and Szegő [9]. Our work follows up certain consequences of Helson and Szegő's results. These authors classify the class  $\mathcal{D}_2$  of measures  $\mu$  for which

$$(1.2) \quad \sup_n \|D_n * f\|_2 \leq \sum K \|f\|_2$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{P}$ .  $D_n$  is the Dirichlet kernel. They prove that  $\mu \in \mathcal{D}_2$  if and only if

(1.3) (i)  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous,  $d\mu = w d\sigma$ , and

(1.3) (ii)  $w = \exp(u + \tilde{v})$ , where  $u$  and  $v$  are  $\sigma$ -essentially bounded real functions such that  $\sigma$ -ess sup  $|v| < \pi/2$ .  $v \rightarrow \tilde{v}$  is the Fourier conjugation operator.

From (1.3) one can deduce that if  $f \in L^2(d\mu)$ ,  $\mu \in \mathcal{D}_2$ , then the Fourier coefficients of  $f$  are well-defined and the Fourier series of  $f$  converges in  $L^2(d\mu)$  norm to  $f$ . Given this, it seems reasonable to ask when the Fourier series of any  $f \in L^p(d\mu)$

---

Received by the editors October 9, 1961.

(1) This research was supported by a National Science Foundation grant.

is Abel summable to  $f$  in  $L^p(d\mu)$  norm. This, in turn, leads us to problem B. Suppose  $\mu \in \mathcal{B}_p$ . Then the densely defined linear functionals  $l_n(f) = \int f(e^{i\phi})e^{-in\phi} d\sigma(\phi)$ ,  $n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$  can be shown to be bounded on  $\mathcal{P}$  and thus have unique continuous extensions to all of  $L^p(d\mu)$ . Thus the Fourier coefficients of any  $f \in L^p(d\mu)$  are well-defined. Similarly the densely defined operators  $f \rightarrow P_r * f$ ,  $r$  fixed,  $\delta < r < 1$ , are bounded on  $\mathcal{P}$  and thus one can speak meaningfully of the Abel means of the Fourier series of any  $f \in L^p(d\mu)$ . Finally (still under the assumption that  $\mu \in \mathcal{B}_p$ ) one can deduce from (1.1) that these Abel means converge in  $L^p(d\mu)$  norm to  $f$ .

Problem A leads to a generalization of Hardy spaces. Let  $H^p(d\mu)$  be the class of functions  $f(z)$ ,  $z = re^{i\phi}$ , holomorphic in  $0 \leq r < 1$  and such that

$$(1.4) \quad \|f\|_p = \sup \{ [\int |f(re^{i\phi})|^p d\mu(\phi)]^{1/p} : 0 \leq r < 1 \}$$

is finite. Let  $L^p_0(d\mu)$  be the closure of  $\mathcal{P}_0$  in  $L^p(d\mu)$ . A classical theorem [11, p. 284] states that  $H^p(d\sigma)$  is vector space isomorphic and isometric to  $L^p_0(d\sigma)$  under the operator  $T: f(z) \rightarrow f(e^{i\phi})$ . Our generalization is as follows: If  $\mu \in \mathcal{Q}_p$  then the operator  $T$  is a vector space isomorphism mapping  $H^p(d\mu)$  onto  $L^p_0(d\mu)$  such that  $T$  and  $T^{-1}$  are bounded. If  $T$  is an isometry then  $\mu$  is a multiple of Lebesgue measure.

2. **Solution of problem B.** Let  $d\mu(\phi) = w(e^{i\phi})d\sigma(\phi) + d\mu_s(\phi)$  be the Lebesgue decomposition of  $\mu$ . We shall first show that if  $\mu \in \mathcal{Q}_p$ , then  $\mu_s = 0$  and  $\log w \in L^1(d\sigma)$ . These properties are incidentally shared by any  $\mu \in \mathcal{Q}_2$ .

- LEMMA 1. (i)  $\mathcal{Q}_p = \mathcal{Q}_2$  for all  $p$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .  
 (ii) If  $\mu \in \mathcal{Q}_p$ , then  $\log w \in L^1(d\sigma)$ .

**Proof.** (i) is an easy consequence of the Blaschke factorization of any  $f \in \mathcal{P}_0$ .

We shall prove (ii) by contradicting the assumption that  $\log w \notin L^1(d\sigma)$ , while assuming that  $\mu \in \mathcal{Q}_2$ . By [7, p. 50],  $\{e^{in\phi}\}_0^\infty$  is total in  $L^2(d\mu)$ , so for each positive integer  $n$  there is a sequence  $\{h_{n,j}\}_{j=0}^\infty \subset \mathcal{P}_0$  such that  $(*) \|h_{n,j}(e^{i\phi}) - e^{-in\phi}\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ . Now fix  $r$ ,  $\delta < r < 1$ . From (\*) and (1.1) it follows that there exists  $k_n \in L^2(d\mu)$  such that  $\|h_{n,j}(re^{i\phi}) - k_n(e^{i\phi})\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . But

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|r^n e^{i\phi n} h_{n,j}(re^{i\phi}) - 1\| \leq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} K \|e^{i\phi n} h_{n,j}(e^{i\phi}) - 1\| = 0,$$

so  $k_n(e^{i\phi}) = r^{-n} e^{-i\phi n}$  in  $L^2(d\mu)$  norm. Thus

$$r^{-n} \|1\| = \|k_n\| = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|h_{n,j}(re^{i\phi})\| \leq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} K \|h_{n,j}\| = K \|1\|.$$

Take  $n \rightarrow \infty$  to obtain a contradiction of  $\|1\| > 0$ . Thus the proof of (ii) is complete.

LEMMA 2. Suppose  $\mu \in \mathcal{Q}_p$ . Then  $d\mu(\phi) = |g(e^{i\phi})| d\sigma(\phi)$ , where  $g \in H^1(d\sigma)$  is an outer function.

**Proof.** Any non-negative function  $w$  such that  $w$  and  $\log w \in L^1(d\sigma)$  has a representation of the form  $w(e^{i\phi}) = |g(e^{i\phi})|$ , where  $g$  is as above (see [2]). Hence we have only to prove that  $\mu_s = 0$ . Let  $E$  be  $\sigma$ -null set such that the mass of  $\mu_s$  is concentrated on  $E$ . Now, by [11, p. 276], there exists a bounded outer function  $b$  such that  $b(0) > 0$  and  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 1} b(se^{i\phi}) = 0$  for all  $\phi \in E$ . By Lemma 1 we may assume  $\mu \in \mathcal{Q}_2$ . Let  $0 < r, s < 1$ . Then for all  $f \in \mathcal{P}_0$

$$\|f(re^{i\phi})b(sre^{i\phi})\|_2^2 \leq K^2 \|f(e^{i\phi})b(se^{i\phi})\|_2^2.$$

Take  $s$  to 1 and obtain

$$\|f(re^{i\phi})b(re^{i\phi})\|_2^2 \leq K^2 \|f \cdot b\|_2^2 = K^2 \int |f \cdot h|^2 d\sigma,$$

where  $h = b \cdot g^{1/2}$  is an outer function. Next let  $z = te^{i\psi}$  be any complex number with  $|z| < 1$ . Then, since  $h$  is outer, there exists  $\{f_n\} \subset \mathcal{P}_0$  with  $f_n(e^{i\phi})h(e^{i\phi}) \rightarrow (1 - te^{i(\phi-\psi)})^{-1}g^{1/2}(e^{i\phi})$  in  $L^2(d\sigma)$  norm. Thus

$$\int |1 - tre^{i(\phi-\psi)}|^{-2} d\mu(\phi) \leq K^2 \int |1 - te^{i(\phi-\psi)}|^{-2} w(e^{i\phi}) d\sigma(\phi).$$

Let  $r \rightarrow 1$ , so

$$\int P_t(e^{i(\phi-\psi)}) d\mu(\phi) \leq K^2 \int P_t(e^{i(\phi-\psi)}) w(e^{i\phi}) d\sigma(\phi)$$

for all  $t, 0 \leq t < 1$  and all real  $\psi$ . Thus  $P_{t*}(K^2 w d\sigma - d\mu)$  is a positive harmonic function in  $|z| < 1$  and consequently  $K^2 w d\sigma - d\mu$  is a positive measure. Thus  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous. Our solution of problem A is contained in

**THEOREM 1.**  $\mu \in \mathcal{Q}_p$  if and only if

(i)  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous,  $d\mu = w d\sigma$ , where  $w = |g|$ ,  $g \in H^1(d\sigma)$  is an outer function and

(2.1) (ii)  $\int P_r(e^{i(\phi-\psi)}) |g(e^{i\phi})/g(re^{i\phi})| d\sigma(\phi) \leq K_2$  for all  $r, 0 \leq r < 1$  and real  $\psi$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $\mu \in \mathcal{Q}_p$ . Then Lemmas 1 and 2 prove that (i) is true. We shall show that necessarily (ii) holds. First of all we note that for each  $r, \delta < r < 1$ , and real  $\psi$ ,  $(1 - re^{i(\phi-\psi)})^{-1}g^{-1/2}(e^{i\phi})$  is in the closed linear span of  $\{e^{in\phi}\}_0^\infty$  in  $L^2(d\mu)$ . This is a simple consequence of the fact that  $\{e^{in\phi}g^{1/2}(e^{i\phi})\}_0^\infty$  is total in  $H^2(d\sigma)$ . Hence by (1.1)

$$\begin{aligned} &\int |(1 - r^2 e^{i(\phi-\psi)})^{-1} g^{-1/2}(re^{i\phi})|^2 w(e^{i\phi}) d\sigma(\phi) \\ &\leq K \int |1 - re^{i(\phi-\psi)}|^{-2} d\sigma(\phi) \\ &= K(1 - r^2)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

From this and the elementary inequality  $4(1 - r^2)|1 - re^{i\phi}|^{-2} \geq Pr(e^{i\phi})$  we deduce that (ii) holds for  $\delta < r < 1$ . Then it clearly holds for all  $r, 0 \leq r < 1$ .

Conversely suppose that (i) and (ii) hold. As indicated in Lemma 1 it is sufficient to derive (1.1) for those  $f \in \mathcal{P}_0$  that are the boundary functions of functions zerofree and holomorphic in  $|z| < 1$ , and thus we may restrict our proof to the case  $p = 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & \int |f(re^{i\phi})| w(e^{i\phi}) d\sigma(\phi) \\ &= \int |f(re^{i\phi})g(re^{i\phi})| |g(e^{i\phi})/g(re^{i\phi})| d\sigma(\phi) \\ &\leq \int \left[ \int |f(e^{i\psi})g(e^{i\psi})| P_r(e^{i(\phi-\psi)}) d\sigma(\psi) \right] |g(e^{i\phi})/g(re^{i\phi})| d\sigma(\phi), \end{aligned}$$

which by the Fubini theorem and (ii) is

$$\leq K_2 \int |f(e^{i\psi})| w(e^{i\psi}) d\sigma(\psi).$$

Thus (1.1) is true for  $0 \leq r < 1$ , and the proof is complete.

**3. Approximate identities.** We shall set about stating some problems equivalent to problem B.

**DEFINITION 1.** By an *approx id* (approximate identity)  $\{k_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}$  we mean a sequence or generalized sequence of non-negative functions  $k_\lambda$  such that

- (i)  $\int k_\lambda(e^{i\phi}) d\sigma(\phi) = 1$  for all  $\lambda \in A$ , and
- (ii)  $\lim_\lambda k_\lambda * f = f$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{P}$ .

**DEFINITION 2.** Let  $k = \{k_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathcal{A}}$  and  $k' = \{k'_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathcal{B}}$  be approx ids.  $k$  is *weaker* than  $k'$  (with respect to  $L^p(d\mu)$ ) if whenever

$$\sup_\lambda \|k_\lambda * f\|_p \leq K_1 \|f\|_p \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{P},$$

then there exists  $K_2$  such that

$$\sup_\lambda \|k'_\lambda * f\|_p \leq K_2 \|f\|_p \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{P}.$$

If  $k$  is weaker than  $k'$  and  $k'$  is weaker than  $k$ , then  $k$  and  $k'$  are said to be *equisummable* (with respect to  $L^p(d\mu)$ ).

The approx ids we shall consider are the

- (i) *Abel*  $\{P_r; \delta < r < 1\}$ ;
- (ii) *generalized Abel*  $\{P_{\alpha,r}; \delta < r < 1\}$ , where

$$(3.1) \quad P_{\alpha,r}(e^{i\phi}) = k_{\alpha,r}(1-r)^{2\alpha-1} |1-re^{i\phi}|^{-2\alpha};$$

$\alpha > 1/2$  and  $k_{\alpha,r}$  is chosen so  $\int P_{\alpha,r} d\sigma = 1$ ;

(iii) *moving average*  $\{Q_h; 0 < h < \delta \leq \pi\}$ , where  $Q_h(e^{i\phi}) = \pi/h$  if  $|\phi| \leq h$  and 0 if  $\pi \geq |\phi| > h$ ; and

- (iv) *Fejér*  $\{F_n; n = N, N+1, N+2, \dots\}$ ,

where

$$F_n(e^{i\phi}) = \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{\sin^2 [1/2(n+1)\phi]}{\sin^2(\phi/2)}, \quad \text{and}$$

$N$  is a fixed non-negative integer.

We shall list some pertinent properties of the less familiar  $P_{\alpha,r}$  later. Now it will be expedient to introduce the approx id  $\{Q_{h_j}\}$ , where  $h_j = \pi(j+1)^{-1}$  with  $j$  ranging over all sufficiently large positive integers.

LEMMA 3. *The first four approx ids listed above are all weaker than  $\{Q_{h_j}\}$ .*

**Proof.** This is a sequence of the inequalities

$$(3.3) \quad P_{\alpha,r} \geq K_3 Q_{1-r} \text{ for fixed } \alpha,$$

and  $F_j \geq K_4 Q_{h_j}$ . This second inequality is easily deduced from the elementary inequalities  $|\sin \psi| \leq |\psi|$  and  $\sin \phi \geq 2/\pi \phi$  for  $\phi \in [0, \pi/2]$ . (3.3) will be proved later.

LEMMA 4. *Suppose  $h$  is a fixed number in  $(0, \pi]$ , and  $\|Q_h * f\|_p \leq K_1 \|f\|_p$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{P}$ . Then*

- (i)  $\|1 * f\|_p \leq K_2 \|f\|_p$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{P}$  and
- (ii) *the linear functionals*

$$l_n(f) = \int f(e^{i\phi}) e^{-in\phi} d\sigma(\phi), \quad f \in \mathcal{P}, \text{ are } L^p(d\mu) \text{ continuous.}$$

**Proof.** Let  $A$  be the operator on  $\mathcal{P} \subset L^p(du)$  that maps any  $f$  into  $Q_h * f$ . For some sufficiently large  $n$  there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $A^n f \geq \varepsilon \int f d\sigma$  for all non-negative  $f \in \mathcal{P}$ . Thus under the assumptions of the lemma

$$\varepsilon \int |f| d\sigma \left( \int du \right)^{1/p} \leq \|A^n f\|_p \leq K_1^n \|f\|_p,$$

so (i) is true. (ii) is an immediate consequence of (i).

LEMMA 5.  $\{Q_{h_j} : j \geq M\}$  is a weaker approx id than  $\{Q_h\}_{0 < h \leq \pi}$ .

**Proof.** Assume  $\sup_j \|Q_{h_j} * f\|_p \leq K \|f\|_p$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{P}$ . First suppose that  $0 < h \leq h_M$ , so there exists an integer  $n \geq M$  such that  $h_{n+1} < h \leq h_n$ . Clearly  $h_n \leq 2h$ , so  $Q_h \leq Q_{h_{n+1}} + 2Q_{h_n}$  and  $\|f * Q_h\| \leq 3K \|f\|$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{P}$ .

If  $\pi \geq h > h_M$ , then Lemma 4(i) guarantees that

$$\|f * Q_h\| \leq K_2 \|f\| \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{P}.$$

LEMMA 6. *Suppose  $k(e^{i\phi})$  is an even  $\sigma$ -absolutely continuous function and put  $k_1(e^{i\phi}) = (d/d\phi)k(e^{i\phi})$ . Suppose further that*

- (i)  $|k(e^{i\pi})| \leq K_1, \int |\phi k_1(e^{i\phi})| d\sigma(\phi) \leq K_2$ , and
- (ii)  $\sup \{\|Q_h * f\|_p : 0 < h \leq \pi\} \leq K_3 \|f\|_p$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{P}$ . Then

$$\|k * f\|_p \leq (K_1 K_3 + 2K_2 K_3) \|f\|_p \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{P}.$$

**Proof.** Assume (i), (ii) and let  $0 < \psi \leq \pi$ . Then

$$k(e^{i\psi}) - k(e^{i\pi}) = -2\pi \int_{\psi}^{\pi} k_1(e^{i\phi}) d\sigma(\phi) = -2 \int_0^{\pi} Q_{\phi}(e^{i\psi}) \phi k_1(e^{i\phi}) d\sigma(\phi),$$

so

$$\|(k - k(e^{i\pi})) * f\|_p \leq 2 \int_0^{\pi} \|Q_{\phi} * f\|_p |\phi k_1(e^{i\phi})| d\sigma(\phi) \leq 2K_3K_2 \|f\|_p.$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned} \|k * f\|_p &\leq \|(k - k(e^{i\pi})) * f\|_p + |k(e^{i\pi})| \|1 * f\|_p \\ &\leq (2K_2K_3 + K_1K_3) \|f\|_p \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{P}. \end{aligned}$$

**THEOREM 2.** *The Abel, generalized Abel, Fejér and moving average approximate identities are equisummable with respect to  $L^p(d\mu)$ .*

**Proof.** In view of Lemmas 3 and 5 we have only to show that  $\{Q_h\}_{0 \leq h < \pi}$  is weaker than the generalized Abel and Fejér approx ids. The argument in [11, p. 155] shows  $P_{\alpha,r}$  satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 6, as does a dominant of the Fejér kernel.

**4. Generalized Abel approximate identities.** We list here some properties of the functions  $P_{\alpha,r}$  defined in (3.1). From [5, p. 81] we have

$$F(\alpha, \alpha, 1, r^2) = \int |1 - re^{i\phi}|^{-2\alpha} d\sigma(\phi), \quad \alpha > \frac{1}{2},$$

where  $F$  is the hypergeometric function. Since

$$(4.1) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} (1 - r)^{2\alpha - 1} F(\alpha, \alpha, 1, r^2) = \frac{\Gamma(2\alpha - 1)}{(\Gamma(\alpha))^2}$$

[12, p. 299] it follows that for fixed  $\alpha$ ,  $\infty > \alpha > 1/2$ ,  $\{k_{\alpha,r} : 0 \leq r < 1\}$  is bounded away from 0 and  $\infty$ .

Now we prove (3.3). If  $|\phi| \leq 1 - r \leq 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\alpha,r}(e^{i\phi}) &= k_{\alpha,r}(1 - r)^{2\alpha - 1} [(1 - r)^2 + 4r \sin^2 \phi / 2]^{-\alpha} \\ &\geq k_{\alpha,r}(1 - r)^{2\alpha - 1} [(1 - r)^2 + \phi^2]^{-\alpha} \\ &\geq K Q_{1-r}(e^{i\phi}). \end{aligned}$$

The inequalities

$$(4.2) \quad K |1 - re^{i\phi}|^{-1} \geq |1 - r^2 e^{i\phi}|^{-1}$$

and

$$(4.3) \quad K |1 - r^3 e^{i\phi}|^{-1} \geq |1 - r^2 e^{i\phi}|^{-1} \geq K_2 |1 - re^{i\phi}|^{-1}$$

are also easily demonstrated.

Of course  $P_r = P_{1,r}$ . A paraphrase of the proof of (2.1) of Theorem 1 shows that the condition

$$(4.4) \quad \int P_{\alpha,r}(e^{i(\phi - \psi)}) |g(e^{i\phi}) / g(re^{i\phi})| d\sigma(\phi) \leq K$$

is necessary for  $\mu \in \mathcal{Q}_2$  if  $\alpha$  (fixed) is  $> 1/2$ . We shall use (4.4) later.

5. **Solution of problem B.** We introduce the notation  $f_h$  for  $Q_h * f$ , so  $f_h(e^{i\phi}) = (\pi/h) \int_{-h}^h w(e^{i(\phi+\psi)}) d\sigma(\psi)$ . We first treat problem B for the easy case  $p = 1$ .

LEMMA 7.  $\mu \in \mathcal{B}_1$  if and only if

(i)  $d\mu = w d\sigma$  and

(5.1) (ii)  $w_h \leq K w$  a.e. for each  $h, 0 < h \leq \pi$  In fact, for fixed  $h, 0 < h \leq \pi$   
 $\sup \{ \|Q_h * f\|_1 : \|f\|_1 = 1, f \in \mathcal{P} \} = \sigma\text{-ess sup } (w_h/w)$ .

**Proof.**  $\mathcal{B}_1 \subset \mathcal{Q}_1$  so (i) is certainly necessary. In fact  $\log w \in L^1(d\sigma)$  so  $w$  vanishes on no set of positive measure. The following statements are equivalent to the statement  $\mu \in \mathcal{B}_1$ :

(a)  $L_1(f) = \int f w_h d\sigma$  is a bounded linear functional on  $L^1(d\mu)$ ;

(b)  $L_2(f) = \int f (w_h/w) d\sigma$  is a bounded linear functional on  $L^1(d\sigma)$ ;

(c)  $\|L_2\| = \sigma\text{-ess sup } (w_h/w)$ .

This set of equivalences proves the lemma.

For any  $p > 1$  we define  $q$  by  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$ . By considering the adjoint operator of  $A : f \rightarrow Q_h * f$  we will prove the following duality result.

THEOREM 3. Let  $p > 1$ .  $\mu \in \mathcal{B}_p$  if and only if

(i)  $d\mu = w d\sigma$ , with

(ii)  $w^{1-q} \in L^1(d\sigma)$ , and

(iii)  $\sup \{ \int |Q_h * f|^q w^{1-q} d\sigma : 0 < h \leq \pi \} \leq K \int |f|^q w^{1-q} d\sigma$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{P}$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $\mu \in \mathcal{B}_p$ . Then  $\mu \in \mathcal{Q}_p$ , so (i) holds and  $\log w \in L^1(d\sigma)$ . We see from Lemma 4 that  $l : f \rightarrow \int f d\mu$  is an element of the adjoint space  $L^{p*}(d\mu)$  of  $L^p(d\mu)$ . Thus there exists  $h \in L^q(d\mu)$  with  $\int f d\mu = \int f h w d\sigma$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{P}$ . Necessarily  $h w = 1$ , so  $\infty > \int |h|^q w d\sigma = \int w^{1-q} d\sigma$ , which proves (ii). To show that (iii) holds we consider the adjoint operator  $A^*$  of  $A$ . Since  $\|A^*\| = \|A\|$  we have

$$\int |w^{-1} [Q_h * (hw)]|^q w d\sigma \leq \|A\|^q \int |h|^q w d\sigma$$

for all  $h \in \mathcal{P}$ . Put  $f = hw$  to obtain (iii).

Conversely suppose (i), (ii), (iii) hold. Then one can interchange the roles of  $p$  and  $q$  to derive (1.1).

It should be noted that the moving average approx identity in (iii) may be replaced by any of the approx ids of Theorem 2 without affecting the validity of the proof.

Our solution of problem B is stated in

THEOREM 4.  $\mu \in \mathcal{B}_p$  if and only if

(i)  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous,  $d\mu = w d\sigma$ ,

(ii)  $w^{1-q} \in L^1(d\sigma)$  if  $p > 1$ , and

(iii)

(5.2)  $Q_h * (w_h/w)^{q-1} \leq K$  for all  $h, 0 < h \leq \pi$ , if  $p > 1$ , or (5.1) holds if  $p = 1$ .

**Proof.** Lemma 7 takes care of the case when  $p = 1$ , so assume that  $p > 1$ . Then (i), (ii) follow from Theorem 3. We shall defer the rather involved proof of the necessity of (iii) until later.

Conversely, suppose (i), (ii), (iii) hold and let  $f \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  $0 < h \leq \pi$ . Then

$$\int |Q_h * f|^q w^{1-q} d\sigma = \int |Q_h * (fw^{-1/p}w^{1/p})|^q w^{1-q} d\sigma,$$

which by the Hölder inequality is  $\leq \int [Q_h * (|f|^q \cdot w^{1-q})] \cdot [w_h/w]^{q-1} d\sigma$ . By the Fubini theorem and (iii) this is  $\leq K \int |f|^q w^{1-q} d\sigma$ . Thus Theorem 3 guarantees that  $\mu \in \mathcal{B}_p$ .

We note in passing that due to the duality Theorem 3 we can replace (iii) by the condition

$$(iii') \quad Q_h * [(w^{1-q})_h/w^{1-q}]^{p-1} \leq K.$$

Our task now is to prove that (iii) is a necessary condition for  $\mu \in \mathcal{B}_p$ . We thus assume that  $\mu \in \mathcal{B}_p$ ,  $p > 1$ , so  $d\mu = |g| d\sigma$  as in Theorem 1.

LEMMA 8. If  $0 \leq r < 1$ ,

$$\int P_r(e^{i(\phi-\psi)})(1-r^2e^{i(\xi-\psi)})^{-1}g^{-1/p}(e^{i\psi})d\sigma(\psi) = J_1(e^{i\phi}) + J_2(e^{i\phi}),$$

where

$$J_1(e^{i\phi}) = (1-re^{i(\xi-\phi)})^{-1}g^{-1/p}(re^{i\phi})$$

and

$$J_2(e^{i\phi}) = -r(1-r^2)e^{i(\xi-\phi)}(1-re^{i(\xi-\phi)})^{-1}(1-r^3e^{i(\xi-\phi)})^{-1}g^{-1/p}(r^2e^{i\xi}).$$

**Proof.** Use the partial fraction expansion of  $P_r$ . If  $z = re^{i\phi}$ ,  $z^* = re^{-i\phi}$ ,  $\zeta = r^2e^{i\xi}$ , then

$$P_r(e^{i(\phi-\psi)})(1-\zeta e^{-i\psi})^{-1} = [(1-z e^{-i\psi})^{-1} - (1-\zeta e^{-i\psi})^{-1}]e^{i\psi} \cdot (z-\zeta)^{-1} + z^*e^{i\psi}(1-z^*e^{i\psi})^{-1}(1-\zeta e^{-i\psi})^{-1}.$$

Since (1.1) holds, necessarily

$$(1-r^2)^{p-1} \int |J_1 + J_2|^p w d\sigma \leq K_1(1-r^2)^{p-1} \int |1-r^2e^{i(\xi-\psi)}|^{-p} d\sigma(\psi),$$

which is  $\leq K_2$  by (4.1). In addition, (4.4) guarantees that  $(1-r^2)^{p-1} \int |J_1|^p w d\sigma \leq K_3$ , so by the Minkowski inequality  $(1-r^2)^{p-1} \int |J_2|^p w d\sigma \leq K_4$ . But this implies that

$$r^p(1-r^2)^{2p-1} \int |1-re^{i(\xi-\phi)}(1-r^3e^{i(\xi-\phi)})^{-1}|^{-p} w(\phi) d\sigma(\phi) \leq K_4 |g(r^2e^{i\xi})|.$$

By invoking (4.2), (4.3) and replacing  $r^2$  by  $r$  we obtain

LEMMA 9. Suppose  $\mu \in \mathcal{B}_p$ ,  $p > 1$ . Then  $d\mu = w d\sigma$  and

$$(5.3) \quad \int P_{r,r}(e^{i(\xi-\phi)})w(\phi)d\sigma(\phi) \leq K_5 |g(re^{i\xi})|$$

for all  $r, \frac{1}{2} \leq r < 1$ .



It is an open question whether Lemma 9 is valid if “ $P_{p,r}$ ” is replaced by “ $P_r$ ”. Our preoccupation with the generalized Abel approx ids is, of course, in anticipation of inequality (5.3). With (5.3) we can wrap up the proof of Theorem 4. For, if  $r \geq \frac{1}{2}$

$$(*) \quad P_{p,r} * [(P_{p,r} * w)/w]^{q-1} \leq K_5 P_{p,r} * |(P_r * g)/w|^{q-1}$$

$$(**) \quad = K_5 P_{p,r} * |g^{1-q}/(P_r * g^{1-q})|.$$

By Theorem 3 we know that

$$\sup_r \int |P_r * f|^q w^{1-q} d\sigma \leq K \int |f|^q w^{1-q} d\sigma$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{P}$ , thus this relation is true for all  $f \in \mathcal{P}_0$ . Thus from (4.4) with  $g$  replaced by  $g^{1-q}$  we deduce that (\*\*) is  $\leq K_6$ . An application of (3.3) to (\*) derives (5.2) for all  $h$  with  $|h| \leq 1/2$ . The inequality (5.2) is obviously true for  $h (\leq \pi)$  bounded away from 0, so the proof of Theorem 4 is complete.

**6. Examples and concluding remarks.** It is an easy matter to show that  $\mathcal{D}_p \subset \mathcal{B}_p$ . Let  $f \in \mathcal{P}$ . Then

$$P_r * f = (1 - r) \sum_0^\infty (D_n * f) r^n,$$

so, if  $\mu \in \mathcal{D}_p$

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_r * f\| &\leq (1 - r) \sum_0^\infty \|D_n * f\| r^n \\ &\leq K(1 - r) \sum_0^\infty \|f\| r^n = K \|f\|, \end{aligned}$$

and thus  $\mu \in \mathcal{B}_p$ .

Babenko [1] has shown that the measures  $w_\alpha(e^{i\phi}) d\sigma(\phi) = |\phi|^\alpha d\sigma$ ,  $-\pi < \phi \leq \pi$ ,  $-1 < \alpha < p - 1 > 0$  are in  $\mathcal{D}_p$ . Thus they are also in  $\mathcal{B}_p$ .

The following theorem indicates how certain types of local conditions on  $w$  are sufficient to guarantee that  $\mu \in \mathcal{B}_p$ :

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $w \in L^1(d\sigma)$  and suppose that for each point  $\phi_0 \in C$  there exists some measure  $v(e^{i\phi}) d\sigma(\phi) \in \mathcal{B}_p$  and constants  $\delta_1, \delta_2$  such that*

$$0 < \delta_1 v(e^{i\phi}) \leq w(e^{i\phi}) \leq \delta_2 v(e^{i\phi})$$

for all  $\phi$  in a neighborhood of  $\phi_0$ . Then  $w d\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_p$ .

**Proof.** This follows from Theorem 4 and the Borel-Lebesgue theorem. It is clear that if (5.2) holds for all sufficiently small  $h > 0$ , and since  $w^{1-q} \in L^1(d\sigma)$ , necessarily (5.2) holds for all  $h$ ,  $0 < h \leq \pi$ .

When applying Theorem 5 the functions  $w_\alpha$  of above or any positive constant function are eligible  $v$ 's.

It should be noted that if  $f \in L^2(d\mu)$  where  $\mu \in \mathcal{B}_p$ , then

$$\int |f| d\sigma = \int |f| w^{1/p} w^{-1/p} d\sigma \leq \|f\|_p \cdot \left( \int w^{1-q} d\sigma \right)^{1/q} < \infty,$$

so  $f \in L^1(d\sigma)$ . Thus the Fourier coefficients and Abel means which are obtained by completion as described in the introduction coincide with the Fourier coefficients and Abel means for  $L^1(d\sigma)$  functions. The story is different for  $\mu \in \mathcal{Q}_p$ . Any  $f \in H^p(d\mu)$ ,  $\mu \in \mathcal{Q}_p$ , is holomorphic in  $|z| < 1$ , in fact  $f \cdot g^{1/p} \in H^p(d\sigma)$ . Thus  $f$  is of Nevanlinna class [11, p. 271]. Thus the Fourier coefficients and Abel means are those of Fourier power series. Furthermore  $f$  need not be in  $L^1(d\sigma)$ .

**THEOREM 6.** *The functions  $w_\alpha(e^{i\phi}) = |\phi|^\alpha$ ,  $-\pi < \phi \leq \pi$ ,  $\alpha > -1$  are such that  $w_\alpha d\sigma \in \mathcal{Q}_p$ .*

**Proof.** If  $-1 < \alpha < n - 1 > 0$ ,  $w_\alpha \in \mathcal{B}_n \subset \mathcal{Q}_n = \mathcal{Q}_p$ .

Local conditions similar to those of Theorem 5 can be imposed on  $w$  that are sufficient to guarantee that  $\mu \in \mathcal{Q}_p$ .

As observed in the introduction  $T$  is bounded and has a bounded inverse. This is so because  $\| |f| \|_p$  and  $\|f\|_p$  can be viewed as being equivalent norms for  $L^p_0(d\mu)$ . We next show that if  $T$  is an isometry then necessarily  $\mu$  is a multiple of Lebesgue measure. This is a consequence of the following

**THEOREM 7.** *Let  $p > 0$ . Suppose that for some  $r$ ,  $0 \leq r < 1$*

$$(6.1) \quad \|P_r * f\|_p \leq \|f\|_p$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{P}_0$ . Then  $\mu$  is a multiple of Lebesgue measure.

**Proof.** Normalize  $\mu$  so  $\int d\mu = 1$ . Assume (6.1) holds for some  $p > 0$ . Then it holds for all  $p > 0$  and upon letting  $p \rightarrow 0$  we obtain

$$\exp \int \log |f(re^{i\phi})| d\mu(\phi) \leq \exp \int \log |f| d\mu(\phi)$$

so

$$\int (\log |f|) \cdot (P_r * d\mu) d\sigma \leq \int \log |f| d\mu(\phi)$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{P}_0$ . By putting  $f(z) = \exp \pm (1 + se^{-i\alpha}z)/(1 - se^{-i\alpha}z)$ ,  $0 \leq s < 1$  we see that

$$P_s * (P_r * d\mu) = P_s * d\mu,$$

so

$$P_{rs} * d\mu = P_s * d\mu,$$

and by comparing Fourier expansions we see that  $\mu = \sigma$ .

In closing we suggest that the following problems merit further study:

- (i) Find representation theorems for the elements of  $\mathcal{Q}_p$  and  $\mathcal{B}_p$ ;

- (ii) Solve problems A and B for wider classes of approximate identities;
- (iii) Characterize the measures  $\mu$  for which  $f \rightarrow \sup_r |P_r * f|$  is a bounded operation.
- (iv) Extend the results to several variables and more general groups.

## REFERENCES

1. K. I. Babenko, *On conjugate functions*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) **62** (1948), 157–160. (Russian)
2. A. Beurling, *On two problems concerning linear transformations in Hilbert space*, Acta Math. **81** (1949), 239–255.
3. Y. Chen, *Theorems of asymptotic approximation*, Math. Ann. **140** (1960), 360–407.
4. R. E. Edwards, *The stability of weighted Lebesgue spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **93** (1959), 369–394.
5. Erdélyi et al., *Higher transcendental functions*, Vol. I, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953.
6. V. F. Gapoškin, *A generalization of a theorem of M. Riesz on conjugate functions*, Mat. Sb. **46** (88) (1958), 359–372. (Russian)
7. V. Grenander and G. Szegő, *Toeplitz forms and their applications*, Univ. of Calif. Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles, 1958.
8. G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, *Some more theorems concerning Fourier series and Fourier power series*, Duke Math. J. **2** (1936), 354–382.
9. H. Helson and G. Szegő, *A problem in prediction theory*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (IV) **51** (1960), 107–138.
10. I. I. Hirschman, *The decomposition of Walsh and Fourier series*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No. 15 (1955).
11. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series*. I, Cambridge, 1959.
12. E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, *A course of modern analysis*, Cambridge, 1952.

UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA,  
CHARLOTTESVILLE, VIRGINIA