

# A THEOREM IN HOMOLOGICAL ALGEBRA AND STABLE HOMOTOPY OF PROJECTIVE SPACES

BY  
ARUNAS LIULEVICIUS<sup>(1)</sup>

**Introduction.** The paper exhibits a general change of rings theorem in homological algebra and shows how it enables to systematize the computation of the stable homotopy of projective spaces.

Chapter I considers the following situation:  $R$  and  $S$  are rings with unit,  $h: R \rightarrow S$  is a ring homomorphism,  $M$  is a left  $S$ -module. If an  $S$ -free resolution of  $M$  and an  $R$ -free resolution of  $S$  are given, Theorem I.1. shows how to construct an  $R$ -free resolution of  $M$ .

Chapter II is devoted to computing the initial stable homotopy groups of projective spaces. Here the results of Chapter I are applied to the homomorphism  $\alpha: A \rightarrow A$  of the Steenrod algebra over  $Z_2$  (see I.3). The main tool in computing stable homotopy is the Adams spectral sequence [1]. Let  $RP^\infty$ ,  $CP^\infty$ ,  $HP^\infty$  be the real, complex, and quaternionic infinite-dimensional projective spaces, respectively. If  $X$  is a space, let  $\Pi_m^S(X)$  denote the  $m$ th stable homotopy group of  $X$  [1]. Part of the results of Chapter II can be presented as follows:

$m:$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$RP^\infty:$	$Z_2$	$Z_2$	$Z_8$	$Z_2$	0	$Z_2$	$Z_{16} \oplus Z_2$	$Z_2 \oplus Z_2 \oplus Z_2$
$CP^\infty:$	0	$Z$	0	$Z$	$Z_2$	$Z$	$Z_2$	$Z \oplus Z_2$
$HP^\infty:$	0	0	0	$Z$	$Z_2$	$Z_2$	0	$Z$

## CHAPTER I. HOMOLOGICAL ALGEBRA

1. **A change of rings theorem.** Let  $R$  and  $S$  be rings with unit,  $h: R \rightarrow S$  a homomorphism of rings; under  $h$ , any left  $S$ -module can be considered as a left  $R$ -module.

Let  $M$  be a left  $S$ -module. Let  $Y$  be an  $S$ -free resolution of  $M$ :  $Y = \sum_{q \geq 0} Y_q$ , with differential  $d'$  and augmentation  $\epsilon'$ . Let  $X_q$  be an  $R$ -free resolution of  $Y_q$ : differential  $d''$  and augmentation  $\epsilon_q$  onto  $Y_q$ .

Let  $C = \sum_{q \geq 0} X_q$ ,  $C_k = \sum_{q+r=k} X_{q,r}$ , and augmentation  $\epsilon = \epsilon'(\sum_q \epsilon_q)$ . If

Presented to the Society, April 14, 1962; received by the editors April 5, 1962 and, in revised form, June 12, 1962.

<sup>(1)</sup> This paper was written while the author held a National Science Foundation post-doctoral fellowship.

$f: C \rightarrow C$  is a homomorphism which lowers total degree, then  $f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k$ , where  $f_k: X_q \rightarrow X_{q-k}$ .

**THEOREM I.1.** *There exists a differential  $d: C \rightarrow C$  such that  $\{C, d, \varepsilon\}$  is an  $R$ -free resolution of  $M$ . The differential  $d$  can be chosen to have the properties:*

- (1)  $d_0$  is induced from  $d''$ ,
- (2)  $d'\varepsilon_{q+1} = \varepsilon_q d_1$ ,
- (3)  $\sum_{i=0}^k d_i d_{k-i} = 0$ ;

*conversely, any map with properties (1), (2), (3) is a differential which makes  $C$  acyclic.*

**REMARK.** Let  $G$  be a finite group,  $H$  a normal subgroup of  $G$ ,  $K$  a ring; let  $R = K[G]$ ,  $S = K[G/H]$ ,  $M = K$ . Theorem I.1 was proved by Wall [14] in this special case. The proof presented here is a straightforward translation to the general case.

**Proof of Theorem I.1.** Let us show that any  $d$  with properties (1), (2), (3) makes  $C$  acyclic. Filter  $C$  by  $F^p C = \sum_{q \leq p} X_q$ . The differential  $d$  preserves filtration, and the associated spectral sequence converges to  $H(C)$ . The differential in  $E^0$  is precisely  $d_0$ , hence  $E^1 = Y$ , with  $d^1$  corresponding to  $d'$  because of (2). Since  $Y$  is a resolution of  $M$ ,  $E^2 = E^\infty = M$ , hence  $C$  is acyclic.

To prove that  $d$  with properties (1), (2), (3) exists is easy. Since the  $X_k$  are  $R$ -free resolutions of  $Y_k$ , we can construct an  $R$ -map  $d_1: X_{q,r} \rightarrow X_{q-1,r}$  such that  $\varepsilon_{q-1} d_1 = d' \varepsilon_q$ . To construct the maps  $d_k$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , we use induction on the total degree  $q + r$  of  $X_{q,r}$ . We set  $d_k = 0$  if it lands in  $X_{q',r'}$  with  $q' < 0$ . Suppose  $d$  has been defined on  $X_{q',r'}$  with  $q' + r' < q + r$ , and  $d_0, \dots, d_k$  have been defined on  $X_{q,r}$ . Let  $f = -\sum_{i=1}^k d_i d_{k+1-i}$ . We claim there exists a map  $d_{k+1}$  such that  $d_0 d_{k+1} = f$ . To prove this it suffices to prove that  $d_0 f = 0$  and  $\varepsilon_{q-k-1} f = 0$ , but this is easy:

$$\begin{aligned} d_0 f &= -\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} d_0 d_i d_{k+1-i} = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \sum_{j=1}^i d_j d_{i-j} d_{k+1-i} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} d_j \sum_{i=j}^{k+1-j} d_{i-j} d_{k+1-i} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of Theorem I.1.

**2. Hopf algebras.** Let  $E, F$  be graded, connected, associative Hopf algebras over field a  $K$  [12]. Suppose that  $F$  is a Hopf subalgebra of  $E$ . Then, according to Theorem 2.5 of [12],  $E$  is free as a right (or left)  $F$ -module. Therefore we have

**PROPOSITION I.2.**  *$E \otimes_F$  is an exact functor of left  $F$ -modules into left  $E$ -modules.*

We shall say that  $F$  is normal in  $E$  if  $\overline{FE} = E\overline{F}$ , where  $\overline{F}$  denotes the augmentation ideal of  $F$ . Let  $B = E // F = E/E\overline{F}$ .

**PROPOSITION I.3.** *If  $W$  is an  $F$ -free resolution of  $K$ , then  $E \otimes_F W$  is an  $E$ -free resolution of  $B$ .*

**Proof.** Proposition I.2 and  $E \otimes_F K = B$ .

**REMARK.** Let  $R = E$ ,  $S = B$ , and  $h: R \rightarrow S$  the projection map. Let  $M$  be a  $B$ -module,  $Y = B \otimes \tilde{Y}$  a  $B$ -free resolution of  $M$ ,  $U = F \otimes \tilde{U}$  an  $F$ -free resolution of  $K$ . Then, according to the proposition above, we can take for  $X_q$  in Theorem I.1. the complex  $E \otimes \tilde{Y}_q \otimes \tilde{U}$  with the differential induced from  $U$  (see [10]).

**3. The Steenrod algebra.** Let  $A$  be the Steenrod algebra [11] over  $Z_2$ . The graded dual  $A^*$  is a polynomial algebra and the squaring map in  $A^*$  is a Hopf algebra map  $\alpha^*$ . Let  $\alpha: A \rightarrow A$  be the dual of  $\alpha^*$ ;  $\alpha$  is defined by  $\alpha(Sq^{2^r+1}) = Sq^{2^r}$ .

If  $I$  is a finitely nonzero sequence of non-negative integers, then we let  $Sq^I$  denote the Milnor basis element corresponding to  $I$ . Let  $\Delta_i$  be the sequence consisting of 1 in the  $i$ th place and zeros elsewhere. Define the elements

$$Q_i = Sq^{\Delta_i}, \quad R_i = Sq^{2\Delta_i}.$$

Let  $C$  be the subalgebra of  $A$  generated by 1 and  $Q_k, k = 0, 1, \dots$ ;  $B$  the subalgebra of  $A$  generated by 1,  $Q_0$ , and  $R_k, k = 0, 1, \dots$ .

**PROPOSITION I.4.**  *$B$  and  $C$  are normal Hopf subalgebras of  $A$ , and*

$$\text{Kernel } \alpha = A\bar{C},$$

$$\text{Kernel } \alpha \circ \alpha = A\bar{B}.$$

**Proof.** Immediate consequence of Lemma 2.4.2 of [2].

**REMARKS.** 1. The preceding proposition states that we may consider  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha \circ \alpha$  as the projection maps  $A \rightarrow A//C, A \rightarrow A//B$ , respectively.

2. The map  $\alpha$  halves the grading. Let  $\tilde{A}$  denote  $A$  with the grading of every element multiplied by two. Then  $\alpha: A \rightarrow \tilde{A}$  preserves grading. The reader is asked to make such adjustments in the following pages.

It will be necessary to know the groups  $\text{Ext}_C^{s,t}(Z_2, Z_2), \text{Ext}_B^{s,t}(Z_2, Z_2)$ . The first is easily determined, for  $C$  is a Grassman algebra:

$$\text{Ext}_C^{*,*}(Z_2, Z_2) = Z_2[q_0, \dots, q_k, \dots],$$

where the polynomial generator  $q_k \in \text{Ext}^{1, 2^{k+1}-1}$ .

We compute  $\text{Ext}_B^{s,t}(Z_2, Z_2)$  using Theorem I.1. We shall use the standard minimal resolution of  $Z_2$  over  $C$ . Generators will be in one-to-one correspondence with finitely nonzero sequences of integers  $I$  (the free  $C$ -generator corresponding to  $I$  will be denoted by  $[I]$ ). Let  $I = (i_0, i_1, \dots, i_k, \dots)$ , then degree  $[I]$

$= \sum_k i_k$ ,  $\text{grade } [I] = \sum_k i_k(2^{k+1} - 1)$ . The differential in the minimal resolution is defined by

$$\bar{d}[I] = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} Q_r[I - \Delta_r],$$

where we set  $[I - \Delta_r] = 0$  if  $i_r = 0$ .

According to Proposition I.4,  $\text{Ker } \alpha | B = B\bar{C}$ , and  $C$  is normal in  $B$ . For the module  $X_{i,j}$  in Theorem I.1 we take the free  $B$ -module on generators  $[I] \otimes [J]$ , where  $\text{degree } [I] = i$ ,  $\text{degree } [J] = j$ , and  $\text{grade } ([I] \otimes [J]) = 2 \text{ grade } [I] + \text{grade } [J]$ . The augmentation  $\varepsilon_i$  is defined by  $\varepsilon_i([I] \otimes [J]) = 0$  if  $\text{degree } [J] > 0$ ,  $\varepsilon_i([I] \otimes [J]) = [I]$  if  $\text{degree } [J] = 0$ . Both  $d_0$  and  $d'$  are defined by the formula for  $\bar{d}$  above. An easy induction on the degree of  $[J]$  shows that we can define the maps  $d_k$  for  $k \geq 1$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} d_1[I] \otimes [J] &= \sum_k R_k[I - \Delta_k] \otimes [J] + \sum_k (j_{k+1} + 1)[I - \Delta_k] \otimes [J - \Delta_0 + \Delta_{k+1}], \\ d_2[I] \otimes [J] &= \sum_k (j_{i+1} + 1)Q_0[I - \Delta_0 - \Delta_i] \otimes [J + \Delta_{i+1}], \\ d_3[I] \otimes [J] &= \sum_{k < i} (j_{k+1} + 1)(j_{i+1} + 1)[I - \Delta_0 - \Delta_k - \Delta_i] \otimes [J + \Delta_{k+1} + \Delta_{i+1}] \\ &\quad + \sum_i \binom{j_{i+1} + 2}{2}[I - \Delta_0 - 2\Delta_i] \otimes [J + 2\Delta_{i+1}], \end{aligned}$$

$d_n = 0$  for  $n \geq 4$ .

Since we will only use the groups  $\text{Ext}_B^{s,t}(Z_2, Z_2)$  for  $t - s < 13$ , it is sufficient to consider the generators  $[I] \otimes [J]$  in the resolution for which  $i_k = 0$  for  $k \geq 2$ ,  $j_r = 0$  for  $r \geq 3$ . Thus for  $t - s < 13$   $\text{Ext}_B^{s,t}(Z_2, Z_2)$  is additively the homology of the bi-graded algebra  $Z_2[x_0, x_1, y_0, y_1, y_2]$ , where  $\text{grade}(x_i) = 2^{i+2} - 2$ ,  $\text{grade}(y_j) = 2^{j+1} - 1$ ,  $\text{degree}(x_i) = \text{degree}(y_j) = 1$ , under the differential  $\delta_1 + \delta_2$ , where  $\delta_1$  is a derivation and

$$\delta_1(x_i) = 0, \quad \delta_1(y_0) = 0, \quad \delta_1(y_j) = y_0 x_{j-1};$$

$\delta_2$  is a map of  $Z_2[x_0, x_1, y_0]$ -modules with

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_2(x_i) &= 0, \quad \delta_2(y_0) = 0, \\ \delta_2(y_1^{m_1} y_2^{m_2}) &= m_1 m_2 x_0^2 x_1 y_1^{m_1-1} y_2^{m_2-1} + \binom{m_1}{2} x_0^3 y_1^{m_1-2} y_2^{m_2} \\ &\quad + \binom{m_2}{2} x_0 x_1^2 y_1^{m_1} y_2^{m_2-2}, \end{aligned}$$

and  $\delta_1 \delta_2 + \delta_2 \delta_1 = 0$ . We list some obvious cycles under  $\delta_1 + \delta_2$  in the following table, and give classes in  $\text{Ext}_{B_1}$  which they determine. ( $B_1$  is the subalgebra of  $B$  generated by  $Q_0, R_0, R_1$ , and  $\text{Ext}_{B_1}^{s,t}(Z_2, Z_2) \cong \text{Ext}_B^{s,t}(Z_2, Z_2)$  for  $t - s < 13$ )

TABLE

Cycle	Degree	Grade	Class
$y_0$	1	1	$g_0$
$x_0$	1	2	$k_0$
$x_1$	1	6	$k_1$
$x_0y_2 + x_1y_1$	2	9	$\gamma$
$y_0y_1^2 + x_0^2y_1$	3	7	$\tau_0$
$y_0y_2^2 + x_0x_1y_2$	3	15	$\tau_1$
$y_1^4$	4	12	$\omega_1$
$y_2^4$	4	28	$\omega_2$
$y_0y_1^2y_2^2 + x_0^2y_1y_2^2$ $+ x_0x_1y_1^2y_2$	5	21	$\tau_{01}$

PROPOSITION I.5.  $\text{Ext}_{B_1}^{s,i}(Z_2, Z_2)$  is generated as an algebra by the classes

$$g_0, k_0, k_1, \gamma, \tau_0, \tau_1, \tau_{01}, \omega_1, \omega_2.$$

Furthermore, it is a free  $Z_2[\omega_1, \omega_2]$ -module with the following monomials as generators:

$$g_0^n, g_0^n \tau_0, g_0^n \tau_1, g_0^n \tau_{01}, n \geq 0,$$

$$k_0^i k_1^j, 0 \leq i \leq 2, 0 \leq j \text{ (if } i > 0, \text{ then } j \leq 1),$$

$$k_0^i k_1^j \gamma, k_1^j \gamma^2, k_1^j \gamma^3.$$

**Proof.** Find the homology under  $\delta_1$ , decompose the homology into a tensor product of standard complexes under  $\delta_2$ , and use the Künneth theorem over the ring  $Z_2[x_0]$ .

**REMARK.** Once  $\text{Ext}_{B_1}(Z_2, Z_2)$  is known, it is very easy to construct a minimal resolution for  $Z_2$  over  $B_1$ . The task is left to the reader.

**4. Operations of Ext and the Adams spectral sequence.** Let  $A$  be the Steenrod algebra over  $Z_p$ ,  $L$  a left  $A$ -module. There is a natural map

$$\mu: \text{Ext}_A^{q,u}(L, Z_p) \otimes \text{Ext}_A^{r,v}(Z_p, Z_p) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^{q+r, u+v}(L, Z_p)$$

which makes  $\text{Ext}_A(L, Z_p)$  into a right  $\text{Ext}_A(Z_p, Z_p)$ -module. For the definition of  $\mu$  see, for example, [2]. We write  $\alpha * \beta$  for  $\mu(\alpha \otimes \beta)$ .

For the Adams spectral sequence see [1].

**THEOREM I.6 (ADAMS).** *The spectral sequence for the sphere  $S^0$  operates on the spectral sequence for any arbitrary space  $X$ . In particular, if*

$$h \in \text{Ext}_A^{s,t}(Z_p, Z_p), \quad a \in \text{Ext}_A^{u,v}(H^*(X), Z_p),$$

and  $d_j(h) = 0, j = 2, \dots, r, d_k(a) = 0, k = 2, \dots, r - 1$ , then

$$d_r(\{a * h\}) = \{d_r a\} * h.$$

**Proof.** The proof of Theorem 2.2 of [1]; see also Théorème IIB, Exposé 19 of [6].

CHAPTER II. STABLE HOMOTOPY OF PROJECTIVE SPACES

1. **The prime  $p = 2$ .** Let  $RP^\infty, CP^\infty, HP^\infty$  be the real, complex, and quaternionic infinite-dimensional projective spaces, respectively. It is well known that

(1) 
$$H^*(RP^\infty; Z_2) = Z_2[x],$$

(2) 
$$H^*(CP^\infty; Z_2) = Z_2[y],$$

(3) 
$$H^*(HP^\infty; Z_2) = Z_2[u],$$

where  $x, y, u$  are the nonzero 1, 2, 4-dimensional classes, respectively. Let  $L, M, N$  be the elements of positive degree in (1), (2), (3), in the order given. Let  $\alpha: A \rightarrow A$  be the dual of the squaring map (see Proposition I.3).

**PROPOSITION II.1.** *There are  $Z_2$ -isomorphisms  $f: M \rightarrow L, g: N \rightarrow M$  such that the following diagram is commutative:*

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes N & \longrightarrow & N \\ \alpha \otimes g \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ A \otimes M & \longrightarrow & M \\ \alpha \otimes f \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ A \otimes L & \longrightarrow & L, \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows indicate the action of  $A$ .

**Proof.** According to [11], if  $\theta \in A$ , then

(5) 
$$\theta x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle \xi_n, \theta \rangle x^{2^n}.$$

Let  $h: RP^\infty \rightarrow CP^\infty$  be a map such that  $h^*(y) = x^2$ ;  $h^*$  is a monomorphism. Thus from (5) and  $h^*$

$$\theta y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle \xi_n^2, \theta \rangle y^{2^n}.$$

Let  $f: M \rightarrow L$  be the algebra map given by  $f(y) = x$ . Then  $f(\theta y) = \alpha(\theta)f(y)$ , for  $\langle \xi_n^2, \theta \rangle = \langle \alpha^*(\xi_n), \theta \rangle = \langle \xi_n, \alpha(\theta) \rangle$ . With this choice for  $f$ , the bottom rectangle of (4) is commutative. The proof is completed by defining  $g(u) = y$  and considering a map  $k: CP^\infty \rightarrow HP^\infty$  such that  $k^*(u) = y^2$ .

REMARK. Proposition II.1 is used by S. P. Novikov in his investigation of Thom spectra (dissertation – unpublished).

According to the proposition  $M$  and  $N$  are isomorphic to  $L$  as  $A$ -modules through the homomorphisms  $\alpha, \alpha \circ \alpha$ , respectively. We are all set to apply the change of rings Theorem I.1. since we know the cohomology of the subalgebras  $C$  and  $B$  (at least in low dimensions, see Proposition I.3).

Before we introduce the results, let us define some elements in

$$\text{Ext}_A(Z_2, Z_2): g_0 \in \text{Ext}^{1,1}, \quad h_i \in \text{Ext}^{1,2^{i+1}}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots$$

(the element  $g_0$  corresponds to the element  $h_0$  of [2]; our  $h_i$  corresponds to  $h_{i+1}$  of [2]).

PROPOSITION II.2. *As an  $\text{Ext}_A(Z_2, Z_2) \in$  module,  $\text{Ext}_A^{s,t}(L, Z_2)$  has the following elements as generators for  $t - s \leq 10$  (if  $s \leq 2$ ) and  $t - s \leq 9$  (if  $s > 2$ ):*

$$e_{0,1}, e_{0,3}, e_{0,7}, e_{2,10}, e_{4,13}$$

where  $e_{s,t}$  denotes a nontrivial class in  $\text{Ext}_A^{s,t}(L, Z_2)$ . A  $Z_2$ -basis in these dimensions is given by the following set of classes:

$$\begin{aligned} &e_{0,1}, \quad e_{0,1} * h_0, \quad e_{0,1} * h_1, \quad e_{0,1} * h_2, \quad e_{0,1} * h_0 h_2, \\ &e_{0,1} * h_1^2, \quad e_{0,3}, \quad e_{0,3} * g_0, \quad e_{0,3} * g_0^2, \quad e_{0,3} * h_1, \\ &e_{0,3} * h_2, \quad e_{0,3} * g_0 h_2, \quad e_{0,7} * g_0^k, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \\ &e_{0,7} * h_0, \quad e_{0,7} * h_0^2, \quad e_{2,10}, \quad e_{2,10} * h_0, \quad e_{4,13}. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** Explicit minimal resolution, using the methods of [8].

REMARKS. Compare Proposition II.2 with the results of Adams vanishing Theorem [4]. Also  $e_{4,13} = P e_{0,1}$  (see Theorem 5 of [4]).

PROPOSITION II.3.  *$\text{Ext}_A^{s,t}(M, Z_2)$  has the following  $Z_2$ -basis for  $t - s \leq 11$ :*

$$\begin{aligned} &e_{0,2} * g_0^n, \quad e_{0,6} * g_0^n, \quad e_{1,5} * g_0^n, \quad e_{2,12} * g_0^n, \quad e_{3,11} * g_0^n, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, \\ &e_{0,2} * h_1, \quad e_{0,2} * g_0 h_1, \quad e_{0,2} * h_2 g_0^k, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \\ &e_{0,6} * h_0, \quad e_{0,6} * h_0^2, \quad e_{2,13} * g_0^k, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \quad e_{3,14}. \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION II.4.  *$\text{Ext}_A^{s,t}(N, Z_2)$  for  $t - s \leq 13$  has the following  $Z_2$ -basis:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 &e_{0,4} * g_0^n, \quad e_{0,12} * g_0^n, \quad e_{3,11} * g_0^n, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \\
 &e_{0,4} * h_0, \quad e_{0,4} * h_0^2, \quad e_{1,10}, \\
 &e_{1,10} * h_0, \quad e_{1,10} * h_0^2, \quad e_{1,12} * g_0^k, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \\
 &e_{1,12} * h_0, \quad e_{2,13}, \quad e_{2,13} * h_0, \quad e_{2,13} * h_0^2, \quad e_{5,18}, \quad e_{0,12} * h_0.
 \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION II.3 and II.4 are proved by using the constructions of Theorem I.1. In the proof of Proposition II.3 we take an  $A$ -minimal resolution  $Y$  of  $L$  and take the tensor product of  $Y$  with a minimal resolution of  $Z_2$  over  $C$ . In the proof of Proposition II.4 the tensor product of  $Y$  with a minimal resolution of  $Z_2$  over  $B$  is examined. In both cases, for the range of  $s$  and  $t$  given, only the map  $d_1$  need be examined.

We give a sample computation. The minimal resolution of  $L$  over  $A$  for  $t - s \leq 5$  can be taken as follows:

$$0 \leftarrow L \xleftarrow{\varepsilon} C_0 \xleftarrow{d} C_1 \xleftarrow{d} C_2 \xleftarrow{d} 0 \leftarrow 0 \dots,$$

where  $C_0$  is free on  $c_{0,1}, c_{0,3}$ ,  $C_1$  is free on  $c_{1,3}, c_{1,4}, c_{1,5}$ ,  $C_2$  is free on  $c_{2,5}$ ; the maps  $\varepsilon, d$  are defined to be

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varepsilon(c_{0,1}) &= x, \quad \varepsilon(c_{0,3}) = x^3, \\
 d(c_{1,3}) &= a_1 c_{0,1}, \\
 d(c_{1,4}) &= Q_0 c_{0,3} + Q_1 c_{0,1}, \\
 d(c_{1,5}) &= a_2 c_{0,1}, \\
 d(c_{2,5}) &= Q_0 c_{1,4} + a_1 c_{1,3},
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $a_i = Sq^{2^i}$ ,  $Q_{i+1} = [a_{i+1}, Q_i]$ .

Take generators  $[I]$  of a minimal resolution  $W$  of  $Z_2$  over  $C$  in one-to-one correspondence with finitely nonzero sequence  $I$  of non-negative integers. We denote by  $\Delta_i$  the sequence consisting of 1 in the  $i$ th place and zeroes elsewhere; we let  $I - J$  be the sequence of term-by-term differences (we set  $[I - J] = 0$  if at least one entry is negative). The differential  $d''$  in  $W$  is defined by

$$d''[I] = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} Q_i [I - \Delta_i].$$

Let us show as an example that we can define  $d_1$  on  $c_{1,5} \otimes [n\Delta_0]$  as

$$\begin{aligned}
 &a_3 c_{0,1} \otimes [n\Delta_0] + a_1 a_2 c_{0,1} \otimes [(n-1)\Delta_0 + \Delta_1] + a_1 c_{0,1} \otimes [(n-1)\Delta_0 + \Delta_2] \\
 (6) \quad &+ a_2 c_{0,1} \otimes [(n-2)\Delta_0 + 2\Delta_1] + c_{0,1} \otimes [(n-2)\Delta_0 + \Delta_1 + \Delta_2] \\
 &+ a_1 c_{0,1} \otimes [(n-3)\Delta_0 + 3\Delta_1] + c_{0,1} \otimes [(n-4)\Delta_0 + 4\Delta_1].
 \end{aligned}$$



We shall need relations in addition to those exhibited in Chapter I.3 :

$$Q_0 a_3 = a_3 Q_0 + a_1 a_2 Q_1 + a_1 Q_2,$$

$$Q_0 a_2 = a_2 Q_0 + a_1 Q_1.$$

The proof that (6) is admissible by induction on  $n$ . Since  $\alpha(a_3) = a_2$ , (6) is fine for  $n = 0$ . Suppose (6) is acceptable for  $n > 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 d_0(c_{1,5} \otimes [(n+1)\Delta_0]) &= d_1 Q_0 c_{1,5} \otimes [n\Delta_0] \\ &= Q_0 a_3 c_{0,1} \otimes [n\Delta_0] \\ &\quad + Q_0 a_1 a_2 c_{0,1} \otimes [(n-1)\Delta_0 + \Delta_1] \\ &\quad + Q_0 a_1 c_{0,1} \otimes [(n-1)\Delta_0 + \Delta_2] \\ &\quad + Q_0 a_2 c_{0,1} \otimes [(n-2)\Delta_0 + 2\Delta_1] \\ &\quad + Q_0 c_{0,1} \otimes [(n-2)\Delta_0 + \Delta_1 + \Delta_2] \\ &\quad + Q_0 a_1 c_{0,1} \otimes [(n-3)\Delta_0 + 3\Delta_1] \\ &\quad + Q_0 c_{0,1} \otimes [(n-4)\Delta_0 + 4\Delta_1] \\ &= (a_3 Q_0 + a_1 a_2 Q_1 + a_1 Q_2) c_{0,1} \otimes [n\Delta_0] \\ &\quad + (a_1 a_2 Q_0 + a_2 Q_1 + Q_2) c_{0,1} \otimes [(n-1)\Delta_0 + \Delta_1] \\ &\quad + (a_1 Q_0 + Q_1) c_{0,1} \otimes [(n-1)\Delta_0 + \Delta_2] \\ &\quad + (a_2 Q_0 + a_1 Q_1) c_{0,1} \otimes [(n-2)\Delta_0 + 2\Delta_1] \\ &\quad + Q_0 c_{0,1} \otimes [(n-2)\Delta_0 + \Delta_1 + \Delta_2] \\ &\quad + (a_1 Q_0 + Q_1) c_{0,1} \otimes [(n-3)\Delta_0 + 3\Delta_1] \\ &\quad + Q_0 c_{0,1} \otimes [(n-4)\Delta_0 + 4\Delta_1], \end{aligned}$$

which is precisely  $d_0$  of (6) for  $n + 1$ , which completes the inductive step.

Let  $\Pi_m^S(X; p)$  be the  $m$ th stable homotopy group of  $X$  [1] modulo the subgroup of elements having finite order prime to  $p$ .  $\Pi_m^S(X; p)$  may be computed up to extensions by the Adams spectral sequence for the prime  $p$ ; the extension can often be determined if we remark that  $*g_0$  corresponds to multiplication by  $p$  in  $\Pi_*^S$ .

**PROPOSITION II.5.** *In the Adams spectral sequence ( $p=2$ ) for  $RP^\infty$  all differentials vanish in total degrees  $\leq 10$ .*

**Proof.** Since in the Adams spectral sequence for the sphere  $d_r(g_0) = d_r(h_0) = d_r(h_1) = d_r(h_2) = 0$  for all  $r$  [4] according to Theorem I.6. it suffices to prove

that all differentials vanish on  $e_{0,1}, e_{0,3}, e_{0,7}, e_{2,10}, e_{4,13}$ , but this is easy for the differentials land on groups which are zero according to Proposition II.2.

Since  $RP^\infty = K(Z_2, 1)$  we do not have to consider the spectral sequences for  $p$  odd: they are all zero. Since  $*g_0$  corresponds to multiplication by 2 we have:

**THEOREM II.6.** *The stable homotopy groups  $\Pi_k^S(RP^\infty)$  are as follows for  $k \leq 9$ :*

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 k: \Pi_k^S: & \\
 0 & 0 \\
 1 & Z_2 \\
 2 & Z_2 \\
 3 & Z_8 \\
 4 & Z_2 \\
 5 & 0 \\
 6 & Z_2 \\
 7 & Z_{16} \oplus Z_2 \\
 8 & Z_2 \oplus Z_2 \oplus Z_2 \\
 9 & Z_2 \oplus Z_2 \oplus Z_2 \oplus Z_2.
 \end{array}$$

We precede the next theorem by a proposition about stable secondary cohomology operations.

**PROPOSITION II.7 (ADAMS).** *There exists a stable secondary cohomology operation  $\Psi$  of degree 4 such that if  $y \in H^2(CP^\infty; Z_2)$  then  $\Psi(y)$  is defined and*

$$\Psi(y) = y^3 \text{ modulo zero.}$$

**Proof.** This is Theorem 4.4.1 of [2].

**THEOREM II.8.** *In the Adams spectral sequence for  $CP^\infty$  ( $p = 2$ ) the only nontrivial differential in total degrees  $\leq 9$  is*

$$d_2(e_{0,6}) = e_{0,2} * g_0 h_1.$$

Furthermore, the groups  $\Pi_m^S(CP^\infty; Z_2)$  are as follows for  $m \leq 8$ :

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 m: & 0 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 4 \quad 5 \quad 6 \quad 7 \quad 8 \\
 \Pi_m^S: & 0 \quad 0 \quad Z \quad 0 \quad Z \quad Z_2 \quad Z \quad Z_2 \quad Z \oplus Z_2.
 \end{array}$$

**Proof.** Suppose  $a * g_0^j = 0$  for some  $j$ . Then if  $d_r(a) = b$ ,  $b * g_0^j = 0$  in  $E_r$ ,

according to Theorem I.6. This settles all differentials in total degrees  $\leq 9$ , except  $d_2(e_{0,6})$ . According to Proposition II.7,  $e_{0,6}$  cannot be a  $d_r$ -cycle for all  $r$ , since it is not in the image of the mod 2 Hurewicz homomorphism. This implies that  $r = 2$ , for  $d_r$ ,  $r > 2$  is automatically zero on  $e_{0,6}$ .

**THEOREM II.9.** *In the Adams spectral sequence for  $HP^\infty$  ( $p = 2$ ) all differentials vanish in total degrees  $\leq 11$ . Furthermore, the groups  $\Pi_m^S(HP^\infty; 2)$  for  $m \leq 10$  are as follows:*

$m:$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
$\Pi_m^S:$	0	0	0	0	$Z$	$Z_2$	$Z_2$	0	$Z$	$Z_2$	$Z_2$ .

**Proof.** Proposition II.4 and argument as for Theorem II.8.

2. **The primes  $p > 2$ .** In order to complete our study of the initial stable homotopy of projective spaces, we must examine the Adams spectral sequences for  $CP^\infty$   $HP^\infty$ , for primes  $p > 2$ .

The following two propositions are proved by constructing minimal resolutions for low total degrees. The task is straightforward and is left to the reader.

Let  $M = \tilde{H}^*(CP^\infty; Z_p)$  the augmented cohomology of  $CP^\infty$ ,  $p$  an odd prime,  $A$  the Steenrod algebra over  $Z_p$ .

**PROPOSITION II.10.** *A  $Z_p$ -basis ( $p > 2$ ) for  $\text{Ext}_A^{s,t}(M, Z_p)$  for  $t - s \leq 6p - 4$  is furnished by classes*

$$e_{0,2j} * g_0^n, \quad e_{1,2k+2p-1} * g_0^n, \quad e_{2,2r+4p-2} * g_0^n,$$

$$e_{1,4p-2}, \quad e_{1,4p-2} * g_0,$$

where  $j = 1, \dots, p-1, 2p-1$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, p-1$ ,  $r = 2, \dots, p-1$  ( $p > 3$  for  $r$ ),  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ ; if  $p = 3$ , we have in addition

$$e_{0,2} * h_1, \quad e_{1,4p-2} * h_0, \quad e_{0,2} * h_1 g_0, \quad e_{0,2} * h_1 g_0^2.$$

Let  $N = \tilde{H}^*(HP^\infty; Z_p)$ .

**PROPOSITION II.11.** *Let  $p > 2$ . Then  $\text{Ext}_A^{s,t}(N, Z_p)$  for  $t - s \leq 6p - 2$  has the following elements as a  $Z_p$ -basis:*

$$e_{0,4k} * g_0^n, \quad e_{1,4j+2p-1} * g_0^n, \quad e_{2,4j+4p-2} * g_0^n,$$

$$e_{0,4} * h_0, \quad e_{0,4} * h_0 g_0, \quad e_{0,4} * h_0 g_0^2,$$

where  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, \frac{1}{2}(p-1)$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}(3p-1)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, \frac{1}{2}(p-1)$ .

We are now ready to examine the Adams spectral sequence for  $CP^\infty$ ,  $HP^\infty$  for an odd prime  $p$ .

**PROPOSITION II.12.** *There exists a stable secondary cohomology operation  $\Lambda$  of*

degree  $4p - 4$ , defined on cohomology classes  $x$  such that  $Q_0x = 0$ ,  $Q_1x = 0$ ,  $P^2x = 0$ , such that

$$\Lambda(y) = by^{2p-1} \text{ modulo zero,}$$

where  $b \neq 0$  and  $y \in H^2(CP^\infty; Z_p)$ .

**PROPOSITION II.13.** *There exists a stable secondary cohomology operation  $\Gamma$  of degree  $6p - 6$  such that*

- (i)  $\Gamma$  is defined on  $y \in H^2(CP^\infty; Z_p)$   $u \in H^4(HP^\infty; Z_p)$
- (ii)  $\Gamma(y) = cy^{3p-2}$ , modulo zero, where  $c \neq 0$  in  $Z_p$ ,
- (iii)  $\Gamma(u) = 2cu^{(3p-1)/2}$ , modulo zero.

PROPOSITIONS II.11 and II.12 are proved as in [9] using [2].

**PROPOSITION II.14.** (i) *The only nontrivial differential in the Adams spectral sequence for  $CP^\infty$  and  $p \geq 5$  for total degree  $\leq 6p - 4$  is given by*

$$d_2(e_{0,4p-2}) = be_{1,4p-2} * g_0,$$

where  $b \neq 0$  in  $Z_p$ .

- (ii) *Statement (i) is valid for  $p = 3$  in total degrees  $\leq 13$ .*

**Proof.** Consider the case  $p \geq 5$ . According to Proposition II.10 all nonzero elements of  $\text{Ext}_w(M, Z_p)$  have even total degree—except  $e_{1,4p-2}$  and  $e_{1,4p-2} * g_0$ . The only elements in total degree  $4p - 4$  are the basis elements  $e_{1,2p-2+2p-1} * g_0^n$ . Theorem I.6. shows that all differentials vanish on  $e_{1,4p-2}$  for  $e_{1,4p-2} * g_0^2 = 0$ . In order to prove (i) it remains to show that the stable mod  $p$  Hurewicz homomorphism is zero in dimension  $4p - 2$ . This is taken care of by Proposition II.12.

**THEOREM II.15.** (i) *If  $p \geq 5$  the groups  $\Pi_k^S(CP^\infty; p)$  for  $k \leq 6p - 4$  are as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_k^S(CP^\infty; p) &= Z && \text{if } k = 2i, 1 \leq i \leq 3p - 2, \\ \Pi_k^S(CP^\infty; p) &= 0 && \text{if } k = 2i + 1, i \neq 2p - 2 \\ \Pi_k^S(CP^\infty; p) &= Z_p && \text{if } k = 4p - 3; \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *the groups  $\Pi_k^S(CP^\infty; 3)$  for  $k \leq 12$  are as follows:*

$k:$	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
$\Pi_k^S:$	Z	0	Z	0	Z	0	Z	Z <sub>3</sub>	Z	0	Z ⊕ Z <sub>3</sub> .

**Proof.** Propositions II.10, II.14.

**PROPOSITION II.16.** *In the Adams spectral sequence for  $HP^\infty$  and  $p \geq 3$  the only nontrivial differential for total degrees  $\leq 6p - 2$  is*

$$d_2(e_{0,6p-2}) = be_{0,4} * h_0g_0,$$

where  $b \neq 0$  in  $Z_p$ .

**Proof.** According to Proposition II.11 the only elements of odd total degree  $\leq 6p - 2$  are the classes  $e_{0,4} * h_0 g_0^r$ ,  $r = 0, 1, 2$ . All differentials on  $e_{0,4}$  vanish, thus we only need to evaluate  $d_2$  and  $d_3$  on  $e_{0,6p-2}$ . Proposition II.13 implies that one of these two differentials is nonzero on  $e_{0,6p-2}$ . We use a folk theorem, which can be proved using the approach of [8] to the Adams spectral sequence: suppose a stable secondary cohomology operation corresponding to an element  $u \in E_2^{2,*}$ , has a minimal  $A$ -generator as image; suppose this generator determines the class  $v \in E_2^{0,*}$  then  $d_2(v) = u$ . The proof is completed by remarking that the operation  $\Gamma$  of Proposition II.13 corresponds to  $e_{0,4} * h_0 g_0$ .

**THEOREM II.17.** *If  $p \geq 3$ , the groups  $\Pi_m^S(HP^\infty; p)$  for  $m \leq 6p - 2$  are as follows*

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{4k}^S(HP^\infty; p) &= \mathbb{Z} & 0 < 4k \leq 6p - 2, \\ \Pi_{2j-1}^S(HP^\infty; p) &= 0 & 2j - 1 \leq 6p - 2, \quad j \neq 3p - 1, \\ \Pi_{6p-3}^S(HP^\infty; p) &= \mathbb{Z}_p. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** Proposition II. 16.

#### REFERENCES

1. J. F. Adams, *On the structure and applications of the Steenrod algebra*, Comment. Math. Helv. **32** (1958), 180-214.
2. ———, *On the non-existence of elements of Hopf invariant one*, Ann. of Math. (2) **72** (1960), 20-104.
3. ———, *A finiteness theorem in homological algebra*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **57** (1961), 31-36.
4. ———, *Stable homotopy theory*, (lecture notes), Berkeley, 1961.
5. A. Borel et J.-P. Serre, *Groupes de Lie et puissances reduites de Steenrod*, Amer. J. Math. **75** (1953), 409-448.
6. Séminaire Henri Cartan, 11ème année: 1958/59: *Invariant de Hopf et opérations cohomologiques secondaires*, Paris, 1959.
7. S. Eilenberg, *Homological dimension and syzygies*, Ann. of Math. (2) **64** (1956), 328-336.
8. H. Gershenson, *Relationships between the Adams spectral sequence and Toda's calculations of the stable homotopy of spheres*, Math. Z. **81** (1963), 229-259.
9. A. Liulevicius, *The factorization of cyclic reduced powers by secondary cohomology operations*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No. 42 (1962), 113 pp.
10. ———, *Homotopy of Thom spectra*, Amer. J. Math. (to appear).
11. J. Milnor, *The Steenrod algebra and its dual*, Ann. of Math. (2) **67** (1958), 150-171.
12. J. Milnor and J. C. Moore, *On the structure of Hopf algebras* (to appear).
13. C. T. C. Wall, *Generators and relations for the Steenrod algebra*, Ann. of Math. (2) **72**, (1960), 429-444.
14. ———, *Resolutions for extensions of groups*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **57** (1961) 251-255.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO,  
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS  
THE INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY,  
PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY