

SPACES FOR WHICH THE STONE-WEIERSTRASS THEOREM HOLDS⁽¹⁾

BY

R. M. STEPHENSON, JR.

If X is a topological space, a subset A of $C(X)$, the set of bounded continuous real functions on X , is said to separate the points of X if for every pair x, y of distinct points of X there is a function f in A with $f(x) \neq f(y)$. A space X is called completely Hausdorff if $C(X)$ separates the points of X . The Stone-Weierstrass theorem states: If X is a compact Hausdorff space, and if A is a subalgebra of $C(X)$ which (i) separates the points of X and (ii) contains the constants, then A is uniformly dense in $C(X)$ ⁽²⁾. In the following, we shall say the Stone-Weierstrass theorem holds for a space X provided that X is completely Hausdorff, and that every subalgebra of $C(X)$ which satisfies (i) and (ii) is uniformly dense in $C(X)$.

An extension space of a topological space X is a pair (Y, h) , where Y is a topological space, h is a homeomorphism of X into Y , and $h(X)$ is dense in Y ; if h is the identity map, the reference to h is omitted, and Y itself is called an extension space of X ; (Y, h) is called proper if $h(X)$ is a proper subset of Y . We shall call a completely Hausdorff space X completely Hausdorff-complete if and only if X has no proper extension space (Y, h) such that Y is a completely Hausdorff space.

A filter on a space X is called completely regular provided that it has a base \mathcal{B} of open sets such that for each B in \mathcal{B} , there is a set $B' \subset B$ in \mathcal{B} and a function $f \in C(X)$ which maps X into $[0, 1]$, is 0 on B' , and is 1 on $X - B$. In [3] Banaschewski proved that the Stone-Weierstrass theorem holds for a completely Hausdorff space X if and only if every completely regular filter \mathcal{F} on X has the property that $\bigcap \{F \mid F \in \mathcal{F}\} \neq \emptyset$. Using this result, we shall prove the following:

THEOREM 1. *The Stone-Weierstrass theorem holds for a completely Hausdorff space X if and only if X is completely Hausdorff-complete.*

Proof. Suppose that X has a proper extension space (Y, h) such that Y is a completely Hausdorff space. Fix a point y in $Y - h(X)$, and let $Z = \{f \in C(h(X)) \mid f = g \mid h(X), \text{ where } g \text{ is in } C(Y), \text{ and } g(y) = 0\}$. For each $f \in Z$ and number $0 < t$, define $W(f, t) = \{z \mid -t < f(z) < t\}$, and let \mathcal{F} be the filter on $h(X)$ generated by the collection of all finite intersections of elements of $\{W(f, t) \mid f \in Z, 0 < t\}$. It is not difficult to see that \mathcal{F} is a completely regular filter on $h(X)$: take $\bigcap \{W(f_i, t_i) \mid i = 1, \dots, n\} \in \mathcal{F}$; for each integer i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, choose a number

Received by the editors October 17, 1966.

⁽¹⁾ This is part of a doctoral dissertation done under the supervision of Professor M. P. Berri.

⁽²⁾ For definitions not given here, see [8] or [13].

$0 < s_i < t_i$; since the real line is normal, for each i there exists a function $g_i \in C(h(X))$ such that $g_i(h(X)) \subset [0, 1]$, $g_i(W(f_i, s_i)) = 0$, and $g_i(h(X) - W(f_i, t_i)) = 1$; then $g \equiv \max \{g_i\} \in C(h(X))$, $\bigcap \{W(f_i, s_i)\} \subset \bigcap \{W(f_i, t_i)\}$, $g(\bigcap \{W(f_i, s_i)\}) = 0$, and $g(h(X) - \bigcap \{W(f_i, t_i)\}) = 1$. Thus $h^{-1}(\mathcal{F})$ is a completely regular filter on X , but since Y is completely Hausdorff, $\emptyset = \bigcap \{F \mid F \in \mathcal{F}\}$, so $\emptyset = \bigcap \{h^{-1}(F) \mid F \in \mathcal{F}\}$, which contradicts the assumption that the Stone-Weierstrass theorem holds for X .

Conversely, suppose that the Stone-Weierstrass theorem does not hold for X . Then there exists (Zorn's lemma) a maximal completely regular filter \mathcal{G} on X such that $\bigcap \{G \mid G \in \mathcal{G}\} = \emptyset$. Let Y be the set $X \cup \{\mathcal{G}\}$, topologized as follows: a set $O \subset Y$ is open if and only if (i) $O \cap X$ is open in X , and (ii) $\mathcal{G} \in O$ implies $0 \cap X \in \mathcal{G}$. Clearly Y is a proper extension space of X . We show that Y is completely Hausdorff. Let $x \in X$. As $\bigcap \{G \mid G \in \mathcal{G}\} = \emptyset$, there is a function $f \in C(X)$ such that $f: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $f(x) = 1$, and $f(G) = 0$, some $G \in \mathcal{G}$. Define $f': Y \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $f' = f$ on X , and $f'(\mathcal{G}) = 0$. Then $f' \in C(Y)$, and $f'(x) \neq f'(\mathcal{G})$. That $C(Y)$ separates the points of X is a consequence of the fact that each function in $C(X)$ has an extension in $C(Y)$.

Let $g \in C(X)$. Since $[g(X)]^-$ is compact, there is a number t in the adherence of the filter base $g(\mathcal{G})$. Since \mathcal{G} is a maximal completely regular filter, the inverse image under g of each neighborhood of t is an element of \mathcal{G} , i.e., $g(\mathcal{G})$ converges to t . Thus the function g' defined by $g' = g$ on X , and $g'(\mathcal{G}) = \lim g(\mathcal{G})$ is an extension of g in $C(Y)$.

It would be interesting to know when the Stone-Weierstrass theorem holds for the product of a collection of topological spaces. As far as the author knows, the following problem is unsolved: If $\{X_a \mid a \in A\}$ is a collection of topological spaces such that the Stone-Weierstrass theorem holds for each X_a , $a \in A$, does the Stone-Weierstrass theorem hold for $\prod \{X_a \mid a \in A\}$? The next theorem gives a partial answer to this question.

THEOREM 2. *If X_1 is a compact Hausdorff space, and if X_2 is a space for which the Stone-Weierstrass theorem holds, then the Stone-Weierstrass theorem holds for $X_1 \times X_2$. If $\{X_a \mid a \in A\}$, is a collection of spaces with the property that the Stone-Weierstrass theorem holds for their product $X = \prod \{X_a \mid a \in A\}$, then the Stone-Weierstrass theorem holds for each X_a , $a \in A$.*

Proof. For the first part, assume X_1 and X_2 have the given properties. Let $x = (x_1, x_2)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2)$ be distinct points of $X_1 \times X_2$. Then $x_i \neq y_i$, $i = 1$, or $i = 2$, so there is a function f in $C(X_i)$ such that $f(x_i) \neq f(y_i)$. Hence $f \circ \text{pr}_i$ is in $C(X_1 \times X_2)$ and satisfies $f \circ \text{pr}_i(x) \neq f \circ \text{pr}_i(y)$. Suppose that \mathcal{C} is a completely regular filter on $X_1 \times X_2$. We shall show that $\bigcap \{C \mid C \in \mathcal{C}\} \neq \emptyset$. The filter generated by $\text{pr}_2(\mathcal{C})$ has a base consisting of open sets since pr_2 is an open mapping. Furthermore, it is completely regular, for take an open set $C \in \text{pr}_2(\mathcal{C})$, say $C = \text{pr}_2(B)$, where $B \in \mathcal{C}$. There exists a set $B' \subset B$ in \mathcal{C} and a function g in $C(X_1 \times X_2)$ which maps $X_1 \times X_2$ into $[0, 1]$, is 0 on B' , and is 1 on $(X_1 \times X_2) - B$. For each number $t \in (0, 1)$, let U_t be

the open set $\text{pr}_2(g^{-1}([0, t]))$. Then whenever $0 < s < t < 1$, we have $\text{pr}_2(B') \subset \bar{U}_s \subset U_t \subset \bar{U}_t \subset C$, for since X_1 is compact, pr_2 is a closed mapping. Define $h: X_2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $h(x) = 0$ if $x \in U_t$ for all $t \in (0, 1)$, and $h(x) = \sup \{t \mid x \notin U_t\}$ otherwise. If $0 < a < 1$, $h^{-1}([0, a]) = \bigcup \{U_t \mid t < a\}$ and $h^{-1}((a, 1]) = \bigcup \{X_2 - \bar{U}_t \mid t > a\}$ are open sets. Hence $h \in C(X_2)$, $h(\text{pr}_2(B')) = 0$, and $h(X_2 - C) = 1$. By hypothesis the Stone-Weierstrass theorem holds for X_2 ; thus there is a point $x \in \bigcap \{\text{pr}_2(C) \mid C \in \mathcal{C}\}$. Then $\mathcal{D} = \{C \cap (X_1 \times \{x\}) \mid C \in \mathcal{C}\}$ is a filter base on $X_1 \times X_2$. $\text{pr}_1(\mathcal{D})$, a filter base on a compact space, has an adherent point y . Therefore, for $C \in \mathcal{C}$ and an arbitrary open set $Y \subset X_1$, $y \in Y$ implies $C \cap (Y \times \{x\}) = C \cap (X_1 \times \{x\}) \cap (Y \times X_2) \neq \emptyset$, i.e., (y, x) is an adherent point of \mathcal{C} . Since the adherence of a completely regular filter is the same as the intersection of all the sets belonging to it, $(y, x) \in \bigcap \{C \mid C \in \mathcal{C}\}$.

For the second statement, we assume the Stone-Weierstrass theorem holds for $X = \prod \{X_a \mid a \in A\}$ and consider a factor space X_b .

Let x_b and y_b be distinct points of X_b . For each $a \in A - \{b\}$, fix $z_a \in X_a$, and define $x_a = y_a = z_a$. Set $x = (x_a \mid a \in A)$ and $y = (y_a \mid a \in A)$. $x \neq y$, so there is a function $f \in C(X)$ such that $f(x) \neq f(y)$. Define $Z_b = X_b$, $Z_a = \{z_a\}$ if $a \neq b$, and $Z = \prod \{Z_a \mid a \in A\}$. Let $i = \text{pr}_b|Z^{-1}$ and $f' = f \circ i$. Then $f' \in C(X_b)$, and $f'(x_b) \neq f'(y_b)$.

Let \mathcal{F} be a completely regular filter on X_b , and consider the filter generated by $\text{pr}_b^{-1}(\mathcal{F})$. Take $G = \text{pr}_b^{-1}(F)$, F a set in \mathcal{F} . There is a function $g \in C(X_b)$ and an open set $F' \subset F$ in \mathcal{F} such that $g(F') = 0$, $g(X_b - F) = 1$, and $g(X_b) \subset [0, 1]$. The open set $G' = \text{pr}_b^{-1}(F') \subset G$ is in $\text{pr}_b^{-1}(\mathcal{F})$, and the function $g \circ \text{pr}_b \in C(X)$ satisfies $g \circ \text{pr}_b(G') = 0$, $g \circ \text{pr}_b(X - G) = 1$, and $g \circ \text{pr}_b(X) \subset [0, 1]$. Hence the filter generated by $\text{pr}_b^{-1}(\mathcal{F})$ is completely regular and has an adherent point x . $\text{pr}_b(x) \in \bigcap \{F \mid F \in \mathcal{F}\}$.

By similar reasoning one can prove:

THEOREM 3. *Let X be a space for which the Stone-Weierstrass theorem holds, and suppose that R is an equivalence relation on X . Then the Stone-Weierstrass theorem holds for the quotient space X/R if and only if X/R is completely Hausdorff.*

Although the Stone-Weierstrass theorem does not hold for every completely Hausdorff space, it can be shown that every completely Hausdorff space has an extension space for which it does hold.

Let X be a completely Hausdorff space, and let \mathcal{M} be the set of all maximal completely regular filters on X which have empty adherences. We shall denote by X' the topological space whose points are the elements of $X \cup \mathcal{M}$ and whose open sets are generated by $\{V^* \mid V \text{ is open in } X\}$, where $V^* = V \cup \{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{M} \mid V \in \mathcal{F}\}$. We shall call X' the *completely Hausdorff-completion* of X . In general, if T is an extension space of a topological space S , the *trace filters* of T are the filters $\mathcal{T}(t)$, $t \in T - S$, where $\mathcal{T}(t)$ is the filter on S generated by the traces $U \cap S$ of the neighborhoods $U \subset T$ of t . In case S is completely Hausdorff and $T = S'$, $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}$ if $\mathcal{F} \in S' - S$, i.e., the trace filters of S' are the maximal completely regular filters \mathcal{F} on S such that $\bigcap \{G \mid G \in \mathcal{F}\} = \emptyset$.

If X and Z are topological spaces, we shall denote by $C(X, Z)$ the set of all continuous mappings of X into Z .

Suppose that Y is a completely Hausdorff space which is completely regular. Then Y' is the Stone-Čech compactification of Y (see [1]). It is well known that Y' has the following properties: if Z is a compact Hausdorff space, then each function in $C(Y, Z)$ has a unique extension in $C(Y', Z)$; Y' is locally connected if and only if Y is locally connected and pseudocompact [11]; Y' is connected if and only if Y is connected; $C(Y)$ and $C(Y')$ are isomorphic, and if R is the set of all real numbers, $C(Y')$ and $C(Y, R)$ are isomorphic only if Y is pseudocompact. The next theorem shows that almost all of these properties hold for Y' if Y is completely Hausdorff, but not necessarily completely regular.

THEOREM 4. *Let X be a completely Hausdorff space. The completely Hausdorff-completion X' of X has the following properties.*

(i) *If Z is a compact Hausdorff space, then each function in $C(X, Z)$ has a unique extension in $C(X', Z)$.*

(ii) *The Stone-Weierstrass theorem holds for X' .*

(iii) *X' is locally connected if and only if X is locally connected and each trace filter has a base consisting of connected open sets.*

(iv) *X' is not locally connected unless X is locally connected and pseudocompact.*

(v) *X' is connected if and only if X is connected.*

(vi) *$C(X')$ and $C(X)$ are isomorphic, and if R is the set of all real numbers, $C(X')$ and $C(X, R)$ are isomorphic only if X is pseudocompact.*

(vii) *If (Y, h) is an extension space of X such that Y is completely Hausdorff-complete, each element of $C(h(X))$ has an extension in $C(Y)$, and each trace filter of Y is a completely regular filter on $h(X)$, then there is a one-to-one function $g \in C(Y, X')$ for which $g(Y) = X'$ and $g \circ h$ is the identity on X .*

Proof. (i) Let $f \in C(X, Z)$. By almost the same argument as one given in the proof of Theorem 1, one can show that $f(\mathcal{G})$ is a convergent filter base if \mathcal{G} is a maximal completely regular filter on X . Define f' by $f'(x) = f(x)$ if $x \in X$, and $f'(\mathcal{H}) = \lim f(\mathcal{H})$ if $\mathcal{H} \in X' - X$. Take $\mathcal{F} \in X' - X$, and choose open sets O and P such that $f'(\mathcal{F}) \in O \subset \bar{O} \subset P$. As $f'(\mathcal{F}) = \lim f(\mathcal{F})$, there is a set $V \in \mathcal{F}$ open in X such that $f(V) \subset O$. Necessarily the open neighborhood V^* of \mathcal{F} has the property that $f'(V^*) \subset P$, for suppose there is a filter $\mathcal{G} \in V^*$ such that $\lim f(\mathcal{G}) \notin \bar{O}$: there exists an open set $f'(\mathcal{G}) \in W$, with $O \cap W = \emptyset$; $f^{-1}(W) \in \mathcal{G}$ since $f(\mathcal{G})$ converges to $f'(\mathcal{G})$; also $V \in \mathcal{G}$ since $\mathcal{G} \in V^*$; but then $\emptyset = V \cap f^{-1}(W) \in \mathcal{G}$, which is impossible. Therefore, f' is continuous at \mathcal{F} . The proof that f' is continuous at an arbitrary point of X is similar. Thus $f' \in C(X', Z)$. Clearly $f'|_X = f$. Since X is dense in X' , and the space Z is Hausdorff, f' is unique.

(ii) Since X is completely Hausdorff, and since by (i) each function in $C(X)$ has an extension in $C(X')$, $C(X')$ separates the points of X . If $x \in X$, and if $\mathcal{F} \in X' - X$, then because $\bigcap \{F \mid F \in \mathcal{F}\} = \emptyset$, we can choose a function $f \in C(X)$ such that

$f(x)=1$, and $f(F)=0$, some $F \in \mathcal{F}$; the extension f' of f in $C(X')$ has the property that $f'(x)=1 \neq 0=f'(\mathcal{F})$. $C(X')$ also separates the points of $X' - X$, for suppose that $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H} \in X' - X$, $\mathcal{G} \neq \mathcal{H}$: as \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} are distinct maximal completely regular filters on X , there exist sets $G \in \mathcal{G}$ and $H \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $G \cap H = \emptyset$; furthermore, G and H can be chosen so that there is a function $g \in C(X)$ such that $g(G)=0$, and $g(H)=1$; then the extension g' of g in $C(X')$ satisfies $g'(\mathcal{G})=0 \neq 1=g'(\mathcal{H})$. Hence X' is completely Hausdorff.

Let \mathcal{F} be a completely regular filter on X' . We wish to show that $\bigcap \{F \mid F \in \mathcal{F}\} \neq \emptyset$. If there is a point $x \in X$ such that $x \in \bigcap \{F \mid F \in \mathcal{F}\}$, we are done. Suppose that $\bigcap \{F \cap X \mid F \in \mathcal{F}\} = \emptyset$, and let \mathcal{G} be the filter on X generated by $\{F \cap X \mid F \in \mathcal{F}\}$. Then \mathcal{G} is completely regular, so there is a maximal completely regular filter \mathcal{H} on X such that $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{H}$, and $\bigcap \{H \mid H \in \mathcal{H}\} = \emptyset$. Then $\mathcal{H} \in X' - X$, and since $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{H}$, $F \cap X \cap H \neq \emptyset$, for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $H \in \mathcal{H}$. Therefore, $F \cap H^* \neq \emptyset$, for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $H \in \mathcal{H}$. This implies \mathcal{H} is in the adherence of \mathcal{F} , for $\{V^* \mid V \text{ is open in } X\}$ is closed under the taking of finite intersections and so actually is a base for the topology of X' . As \mathcal{F} is completely regular, $\emptyset \neq \bigcap \{F \mid F \in \mathcal{F}\}$.

(iii) A filter \mathcal{C} on a topological space E is called *open* provided that it has a base consisting of open sets. An open filter \mathcal{C} is called *connected* provided that whenever $O \cup P \in \mathcal{C}$, O and P disjoint open sets, either $O \in \mathcal{C}$ or $P \in \mathcal{C}$. In [4] it is shown that a maximal completely regular filter on a space E is connected. The principal result of [2] is: Let F be an extension space of E each of whose trace filters is connected. Then F is locally connected if and only if E is locally connected and each trace filter has a base consisting of connected open sets.

(iv) By (iii) X' is not locally connected unless X is locally connected. Suppose that X is not pseudocompact, and let Y be the completely regular Hausdorff space which has the same points as X , and whose topology is determined by $C(X)$, or, equivalently, $C(X, R)$, where R is the set of all real numbers. Then $C(X, R) = C(Y, R)$, so Y is not pseudocompact.

In [10] Glicksberg proved that if Z is a completely regular space, then the following are equivalent:

- (a) Z is pseudocompact.
- (b) For every sequence $\{V_n\}$ of nonempty open sets with disjoint closures, $\{\overline{V}_n\}$ has a cluster point, that is, a point x such that for every m and neighborhood V of x there exists an $n \geq m$ for which $V \cap \overline{V}_n \neq \emptyset$.

In [11] it was noted that (b) is equivalent to the condition:

- (c) For every sequence $\{V_n\}$ of nonempty open sets with disjoint closures, $\bigcup \{\overline{V}_n\}$ is not closed if $\{V_n\}$ is infinite. Therefore, since the space Y is completely regular, but not pseudocompact, it fails to satisfy (c).

Altering slightly Banaschewski's proof in [2] (that the Stone-Ćech compactification of a completely regular Hausdorff space Z is not locally connected unless Z satisfies (c)), we shall show that X' cannot be locally connected. A corollary to Banaschewski's method of proof is: If Z is a completely regular space which does

not satisfy (c), then there is a sequence of nonempty open sets $O(i, k) \subset Z$ ($i=1, 2, \dots; k=1, 2, \dots$) with the following properties: for all $i, j, k, t, i \neq j$ implies $O(i, k) \cap O(j, t) = \emptyset$; for all $i, j, k, j \geq k$ implies $O(i, j) \subset O(i, k)$; the filter \mathcal{C} generated by the sets $\bigcup \{O(s, i) \mid s \geq i\}, i=1, 2, \dots$ is a completely regular filter on Z with empty adherence.

As Y is completely regular and does not satisfy (c), we may choose a filter \mathcal{C} on Y and sets $O(i, k) \subset Y$ as above. Since X and Y have the same points, and since the topology of Y is weaker than the topology of X , \mathcal{C} is a completely regular filter on X . $\bigcap \{C \mid C \in \mathcal{C}\} = \emptyset$, so there is a trace filter \mathcal{F} of X' such that $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{F}$. By an argument identical to one given in [2], it can be shown that \mathcal{F} does not have a base consisting of connected open subsets of X : if F is a connected subset of X such that $F \subset \bigcup \{O(s, i) \mid s \geq i\}$, some i , then necessarily $F \subset O(s, i)$, some $s \geq i$, so

$$F \cap [\bigcup \{O(t, s+1) \mid t \geq s+1\}] = \emptyset,$$

from which it follows that $F \notin \mathcal{F}$. By (iii) X' cannot be locally connected.

(v) is a consequence of (i) and the fact that X' is an extension space of X .

The known proof (see [9]) that (vi) holds for a completely regular Hausdorff space X also shows that (vi) holds for a completely Hausdorff space X which is not necessarily completely regular.

(vii) If $y \in Y-h(X)$, we shall denote by $\mathcal{V}(y)$ and $\mathcal{W}(y)$ the following filters: $\mathcal{V}(y)$ is the filter on Y generated by $\{U \mid U \text{ is open in } Y, y \in U, \text{ and for some } f \in C(Y), f(y)=0, f(Y-U)=1, \text{ and } f(Y) \subset [0, 1]\}$; $\mathcal{W}(y)$ is the filter on $h(X)$ generated by $\{U \cap h(X) \mid U \in \mathcal{V}(y)\}$. If V is open in $h(X)$, \tilde{V} is defined to be $V \cup \{y \in Y-h(X) \mid V \in \mathcal{W}(y)\}$. We shall show that the following hold: (a) for each $y \in Y-h(X)$, $\mathcal{V}(y)$ is a maximal completely regular filter on Y ; (b) for each $y \in Y-h(X)$, $\mathcal{W}(y)$ is a maximal completely regular filter on $h(X)$; (c) the function e defined by $e(y) = h^{-1}(\mathcal{W}(y))$ is a one-to-one mapping of $Y-h(X)$ onto the set of all trace filters of X' ; (d) if V is open in $h(X)$, then \tilde{V} is open in Y ; (e) if V is open in X , then for each $y \in [h(V)] \sim -h(V)$, $e(y) \in V^*$; (f) the function g defined by $g(h(x)) = x$ if $x \in X$, and $g(y) = e(y)$ if $y \in Y-h(X)$ is a one-to-one continuous mapping of Y onto X' .

(a) Let $y \in Y-h(X)$, and suppose that \mathcal{F} is a completely regular filter on Y such that $\mathcal{V}(y) \subset \mathcal{F}$. Since Y is completely Hausdorff-complete, $\bigcap \{F \mid F \in \mathcal{F}\} \neq \emptyset$. Since Y is completely Hausdorff, $\{y\} = \bigcap \{V \mid V \in \mathcal{V}(y)\}$. As $\bigcap \{F \mid F \in \mathcal{F}\} \subset \bigcap \{V \mid V \in \mathcal{V}(y)\}$, $y \in \bigcap \{F \mid F \in \mathcal{F}\}$, so $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{V}(y)$.

(b) Let $y \in Y-h(X)$, and suppose that \mathcal{G} is a completely regular filter on $h(X)$ such that $\mathcal{W}(y) \subset \mathcal{G}$. Take $G \in \mathcal{G}$, and choose a set $G' \subset G$ in \mathcal{G} and a function $f \in C(h(X))$ such that $f(h(X)) \subset [0, 1], f(G')=0$, and $f(h(X)-G)=1$. Let $g \in C(Y)$, $g|_{h(X)} = f$. Since $\mathcal{W}(y) \subset \mathcal{G}$, $G' \cap U \neq \emptyset$ for all $U \in \mathcal{V}(y)$, so if $0 < t$, $g^{-1}([0, t]) \cap U \neq \emptyset$ for all $U \in \mathcal{V}(y)$. As $\mathcal{V}(y)$ is a maximal completely regular filter on Y , $g^{-1}([0, t]) \in \mathcal{V}(y)$ for each $t > 0$. Therefore, $G \in \mathcal{W}(y)$, for $G \supset f^{-1}([0, \frac{1}{2}]) = h(X) \cap g^{-1}([0, \frac{1}{2}]) \in \mathcal{W}(y)$, so $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{W}(y)$.

(c) Let $y \in Y - h(X)$. By (b) $\mathcal{W}(y)$ is a maximal completely regular filter on $h(X)$. Since Y is completely Hausdorff, $\bigcap \{W \mid W \in \mathcal{W}(y)\} = \emptyset$. As h^{-1} is a homeomorphism of $h(X)$ onto X , $h^{-1}(\mathcal{W}(y))$ is a maximal completely regular filter on X , and $\emptyset = \bigcap \{W \mid W \in h^{-1}(\mathcal{W}(y))\}$. Hence $e(y)$ is a trace filter of X' .

Take $y, z \in Y - h(X)$, $y \neq z$. Since Y is completely Hausdorff, we may choose a function $f \in C(Y)$ such that $f(y) \neq f(z)$. For each $t > 0$, let $O(t) = \{s \in Y \mid f(y) - t < f(s) < f(y) + t\}$. Then $O(t) \in \mathcal{V}(y)$, each $t > 0$. Take $u > 0$ so that $z \notin O(u)$, and choose a number $0 < v < u$ and a function $g \in C(Y)$ such that $g(Y) \subset [0, 1]$, $g(O(v)) = 0$, and $g(Y - O(u)) = 1$. Define j by $j(s) = 1 - g(s)$ if $s \in Y$. Then $j \in C(Y)$, $j(Y) \subset [0, 1]$, $j(z) = 0$, $j(Y - (Y - \bar{O}(v))) = 1$, and $z \in Y - \bar{O}(v)$, so $Y - \bar{O}(v) \in \mathcal{V}(z)$. $O(v) \cap h(X) \in \mathcal{W}(y)$, $h(X) - \bar{O}(v) \in \mathcal{W}(z)$, and $O(v) \cap h(X) \cap (h(X) - \bar{O}(v)) = \emptyset$, so $\mathcal{W}(y) \neq \mathcal{W}(z)$. As h^{-1} is one-to-one, $e(y) = h^{-1}(\mathcal{W}(y)) \neq h^{-1}(\mathcal{W}(z)) = e(z)$.

Let \mathcal{F} be a trace filter of X' . We wish to show that there is a point $y \in Y - h(X)$ for which $e(y) = \mathcal{F}$. Since h is a homeomorphism, $h(\mathcal{F})$ is a maximal completely regular filter on $h(X)$, and $\emptyset = \bigcap \{F \mid F \in h(\mathcal{F})\}$. Let $Z = \{f \in C(h(X)) \mid f(h(X)) \subset [0, 1], \text{ and for some } G, G' \in h(\mathcal{F}), G' \subset G, f(G') = 0, \text{ and } f(h(X) - G) = 1\}$. For each $f \in Z$, denote by f' the extension of f in $C(Y)$, and let $Z' = \{f' \mid f \in Z\}$. For each $f' \in Z'$ and $t > 0$, let $V(f', t) = f'^{-1}([0, t])$, and let \mathcal{G} be the filter on Y generated by the set of all finite intersections of elements of $\{V(f', t) \mid f' \in Z' \text{ and } t > 0\}$. By an argument similar to one given in the proof of Theorem 1, \mathcal{G} can be shown to be a completely regular filter on Y . Let \mathcal{H} be a maximal completely regular filter on Y such that $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{H}$. Since Y is completely Hausdorff-complete, $\bigcap \{H \mid H \in \mathcal{H}\} \neq \emptyset$. If $G \in h(\mathcal{F})$, $G \supset f^{-1}([0, \frac{1}{2})) = h(X) \cap V(f', \frac{1}{2})$, some $f \in Z$, so $h(X) \cap \bigcap \{H \mid H \in \mathcal{H}\} \subset \bigcap \{G \mid G \in \mathcal{G}\} \cap h(X) \subset \bigcap \{G \mid G \in h(\mathcal{F})\} = \emptyset$. Thus there is a point $y \in (Y - h(X)) \cap \bigcap \{H \mid H \in \mathcal{H}\}$. The maximality of \mathcal{H} implies that $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{V}(y)$. Since $f^{-1}([0, \frac{1}{2})) = h(X) \cap V(f', \frac{1}{2})$ and $V(f', \frac{1}{2}) \in \mathcal{V}(y)$ if $f \in Z$, $f^{-1}([0, \frac{1}{2})) \in \mathcal{W}(y)$, each $f \in Z$. Furthermore, if $G \in h(\mathcal{F})$, $G \supset f^{-1}([0, \frac{1}{2}))$, some $f \in Z$, so $G \in \mathcal{W}(y)$. Hence $h(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathcal{W}(y)$, and since $h(\mathcal{F})$ is a maximal completely regular filter on $h(X)$, it follows that $h(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{W}(y)$. The point y then has the property that $e(y) = h^{-1}(\mathcal{W}(y)) = h^{-1}(h(\mathcal{F})) = \mathcal{F}$.

(d) If $y \in Y - h(X)$, $\mathcal{W}(y)$ and the trace filter $\mathcal{T}(y)$ of Y are identical: by definition $\mathcal{W}(y) \subset \mathcal{T}(y)$; by hypothesis $\mathcal{T}(y)$ is a completely regular filter on $h(X)$; (b) then implies that $\mathcal{W}(y) = \mathcal{T}(y)$.

In general, if S is a topological space, if T is an extension space of S , and if V is open in S , then $V \cup \{t \in T - S \mid V \in \mathcal{T}(t)\}$ is open in T [2].

(e) If V is open in X , then for each $y \in [h(V)]^\sim - h(V)$, $h(V) \in \mathcal{W}(y)$, so $V = h^{-1}(h(V)) \in h^{-1}(\mathcal{W}(y)) = e(y)$, and hence $e(y) \in V^*$.

(f) Since h^{-1} is a one-to-one mapping of $h(X)$ onto X , (c) implies that g is a one-to-one mapping of Y onto X' . Let $y \in Y - h(X)$, and suppose that W is open in X' , with $g(y) \in W$. Then there is a set V open in X such that $g(y) \in V^* \subset W$. By (d) $[h(V)]^\sim$ is open in Y , and $y \in [h(V)]^\sim$, for $g(y) \in V^*$ implies $V \in g(y)$ so that $h(V) \in \mathcal{W}(y)$. As a consequence of (e) and the definition of g , $g([h(V)]^\sim) \subset V^* \subset W$.

Thus g is continuous at y . The proof that g is continuous at each point of $h(X)$ is similar.

We conclude the proof of Theorem 4 with the remark that proofs of (i) and (ii) different from those given here can be obtained which depend on the properties of the Stone-Čech compactification Y' of the completely regular Hausdorff space Y whose points are those of X and whose topology is determined by $C(X)$.

The author does not know if the converse of (iv) in Theorem 4 holds, but, as the following example shows, *if X is a locally connected, pseudocompact, completely Hausdorff space, and if Y is a completely Hausdorff-complete extension space of X such that each function in $C(X)$ has an extension in $C(Y)$, then Y is not necessarily locally connected.*

EXAMPLE 5. The example given here is a slight modification of an unpublished one due to L. B. Treybig of a countably compact space (Y, \mathcal{T}) , where Y is "the long interval", and \mathcal{T} is a topology which is stronger than the usual order topology put on Y .

Description of the space. Let Ω be the first ordinal with an uncountable number of predecessors, let Ω' be the set of all ordinals less than Ω , and for each $x \in \Omega'$, let $I(x)$ be $\{x\} \times$ an open interval in the real line. Set $X = \Omega' \cup \bigcup \{I(x) \mid x \in \Omega'\}$, and for $x, y \in X$, define $x < y$ if (1) $x, y \in \Omega'$, and $x < y$ in Ω' , or (2) $x \in \Omega', y \in I(s)$, and $x \leq s$ in Ω' , or (3) $x \in I(r), y \in \Omega'$, and $r < y$ in Ω' , or (4) $x \in I(r), y \in I(s)$, and $r < s$ in Ω' , or (5) $x, y \in I(s)$, and $x < y$ in $I(s)$. Let \mathcal{O} be the order topology on X . Let $Y = X \cup \{\Omega\}$, define $x < \Omega$ if $x \in X$, and let \mathcal{R} be the order topology on Y . If $x \in X$, $O(x)$ will denote $\{y \in Y \mid x < y\}$. \mathcal{T} will denote the topology on Y which is generated by $\{B \mid B \in \mathcal{R}, \text{ or for some } x \in X, B = O(x) - \Omega'\}$.

The spaces (X, \mathcal{O}) and (Y, \mathcal{T}) have the following properties.

(i) (X, \mathcal{O}) is a countably compact (hence pseudocompact), locally connected, completely regular Hausdorff space.

(ii) (Y, \mathcal{T}) is an extension space of (X, \mathcal{O}) .

(iii) The Stone-Weierstrass theorem holds for (Y, \mathcal{T}) .

(iv) Every continuous real valued function on (X, \mathcal{O}) has an extension in $C((Y, \mathcal{T}))$.

(v) (Y, \mathcal{T}) is not locally connected.

Proof. (i) is well known [13]. (ii) holds, for Ω' is closed in (X, \mathcal{O}) , and $X \cap (O(x) - \Omega') \neq \emptyset$, each $x \in X$. As a consequence of the fact that each function in $C(\Omega')$ is eventually constant [9], (Y, \mathcal{R}) is the Stone-Čech compactification of (X, \mathcal{O}) , so since $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{T}$, and (X, \mathcal{O}) is pseudocompact, (iv) follows. (v) is obvious. We prove (iii).

As (Y, \mathcal{R}) is compact Hausdorff, and $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{T}$, (Y, \mathcal{T}) is completely Hausdorff. To show that every completely regular filter on (Y, \mathcal{T}) has nonempty adherence, it suffices to show that every open filter on (Y, \mathcal{T}) has nonempty adherence.

Suppose that \mathcal{F} is an open filter on (Y, \mathcal{T}) such that $\Omega \notin \bigcap \{\bar{F} \mid F \in \mathcal{F}\}$. Then there is a set $F \in \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{T}$ with the property that for some $x \in X$, $F \cap (O(x) - \Omega') = \emptyset$. Therefore, $F \cap [(O(x) - \Omega')]^- = \emptyset$. Clearly, each point of Ω' is a limit point

of $O(x) - \Omega'$, so $\Omega' \subset [O(x) - \Omega']^-$. Hence $F \cap O(x) \subset F \cap [(O(x) - \Omega')]^- = \emptyset$. As $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$, and $F \cap G \in \mathcal{F}$, each $G \in \mathcal{F}$, $G \cap (Y - O(x)) \neq \emptyset$, each $G \in \mathcal{F}$. Since $Y - O(x)$ is compact, it follows that $\bigcap \{\bar{G} \cap (Y - O(x)) \mid G \in \mathcal{F}\} \neq \emptyset$.

In [8] it is noted that a Hausdorff space is absolutely closed if and only if every open filter on it has nonempty adherence. As a consequence of the proof of (iii) and the fact that (Y, \mathcal{F}) is a one-point extension space of a countably compact space, one obtains the

COROLLARY 6. *An absolutely closed, countably compact, completely Hausdorff space is not necessarily minimal Hausdorff.*

As noted in [3], there does not exist a noncompact, completely regular Hausdorff space for which the Stone-Weierstrass theorem holds. It can be shown, however, that *there exists a noncompact regular space* (as used in this paper, the condition of regularity includes T_1 separation) *for which the Stone-Weierstrass theorem holds.*

An open filter is called *regular* if it has a base consisting of closed sets. A regular space is *regular closed* provided that it is closed in every regular space in which it can be embedded.

In [12] Herrlich proved that a regular space is regular closed if and only if each regular filter on it has nonempty adherence. He also showed that there is a regular space (a subspace of the minimal regular noncompact space constructed in [7]), which we shall denote by (S, \mathcal{W}) , with the property that (S, \mathcal{W}) is regular closed, but not minimal regular. In particular, he showed that there exists a topology $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{W}$, $\mathcal{V} \neq \mathcal{W}$, such that (S, \mathcal{V}) is a compact Hausdorff space.

As a consequence of the fact that every completely regular filter is a regular filter, every completely regular filter on (S, \mathcal{W}) has nonempty adherence. In addition, (S, \mathcal{W}) is completely Hausdorff, for (S, \mathcal{V}) is completely Hausdorff, and $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{W}$. (S, \mathcal{W}) is thus a *noncompact regular closed space for which the Stone-Weierstrass theorem holds.*

Two questions which one might consider are the following:

(i) If the Stone-Weierstrass theorem holds for a regular space R , is R necessarily regular closed?

(ii) Does there exist a regular space R such that the Stone-Weierstrass theorem holds for R , but R is not second category?

As Example 8 will show, not every space for which the Stone-Weierstrass theorem holds is second category. If, however, the answer to (i) is yes, then as a consequence of Theorem 7, the answer to (ii) must be no.

THEOREM 7. *Every regular closed space is second category.*

Proof. A *regular filter base* is a filter base consisting of open sets which is equivalent to a filter base consisting of closed sets. In [7] it is shown that on a minimal regular space (α) every regular filter base which has a unique adherent point is convergent, and (β) every regular filter base has an adherent point. The proof given

in [5] that a minimal regular space is second category depends only on the fact that (β) holds on a minimal regular space. Clearly, (β) holds on a topological space X if and only if every regular filter on X has an adherent point, and, as noted above, Herrlich has proved that every regular filter on a regular closed space has an adherent point.

EXAMPLE 8. Let $X=[0, 1]$, let \mathcal{V} be the usual topology on X , let Q be the set of all rational numbers in X , and define \mathcal{W} to be the weakest topology on X such that $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{W}$ and $Q \in \mathcal{W}$.

As noted in [6], (X, \mathcal{W}) is an absolutely closed space which is not minimal Hausdorff. In addition, $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{W}$, so (X, \mathcal{W}) is completely Hausdorff, and the Stone-Weierstrass theorem holds for (X, \mathcal{W}) .

For each $q \in Q$, the set $F(q)=\{q\} \cup (X-Q)$ is a closed, nowhere dense set in (X, \mathcal{W}) , and $X=\bigcup \{F(q) \mid q \in Q\}$, so (X, \mathcal{W}) is not second category.

REFERENCES

1. B. Banaschewski, *Extensions of topological spaces*, Canad. Math. Bull. 7 (1964), 1-22.
2. ———, *Local connectedness of extension spaces*, Canad. J. Math. 8 (1956), 395-398.
3. ———, *On the Weierstrass-Stone approximation theorem*, Fund. Math. 44 (1957), 249-252.
4. ———, *Überlagerungen von Erweiterungsräumen*, Arch. Math. 7 (1956), 107-115.
5. M. P. Berri, *Categories of certain minimal topological spaces*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 4 (1964), 78-82.
6. ———, *Minimal topological spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 108 (1963), 97-105.
7. M. P. Berri and R. H. Sorgenfrey, *Minimal regular spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 14 (1963), 454-458.
8. N. Bourbaki, *Topologie générale*, Actualités Sci. Ind. No. 1142, Hermann, Paris, 1961.
9. L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1960.
10. I. Glicksberg, *The representation of functionals by integrals*, Duke Math. J. 19 (1952), 253-261.
11. M. Henriksen and J. R. Isbell, *Local connectedness in the Stone-Čech compactification*, Illinois J. Math. 1 (1957), 574-582.
12. H. Herrlich, *T_v -Abgeschlossenheit und T_v -Minimalität*, Math. Z. 88 (1965), 285-294.
13. J. G. Hocking and G. S. Young, *Topology*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1961.

TULANE UNIVERSITY,
NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA