ERRATA TO VOLUME 120


p. 348, line 1 from the bottom:
For “$\mathcal{F}$ is a complete lattice in $\mathcal{B}_0 - \{\square\}$”
read “$\mathcal{F}$ is a complete lattice which is meet invariant in $\mathcal{B}_0$”.

p. 349, line 3: Delete “$\square \neq$”
The operation “$\bigcap$” in (4.2*) is the intersection in $\mathcal{B}_0$.

p. 351, line 1: For “$\exists$” read “$\exists$”.

p. 353, line 7 from the bottom: Insert “$\prod$” immediately before “$\subseteq$”.

p. 353, line 2 from the bottom: For “$\Pi \rho$” read “$\rho \Pi$”.

p. 353, §6: All $\mathcal{B}$’s in §6 should be assumed to be $\mathcal{B}_0$.

p. 354, line 14: $\Xi$ should be assumed to be finite.

p. 356, line 3: Insert “congruence” before “relation”.

p. 356, line 12 from the bottom: For “$f_\xi = g_\xi$” read “$f_n = g_n$” and assume $\Delta$ is finite.

p. 357, line 12: Assume $\Xi$ is finite.

p. 357, line 13 from the bottom: For “$G$” read “$G/\rho$”.

Remark. Corollary 6.1 can be applied to an implication

$$f_\xi \rho g_\xi \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \Xi \Rightarrow hpk$$

where $\Xi$ is finite. Therefore the validity of the application of Corollary 6.1 to the case where $\Xi$ is infinite is left unknown. However, Corollary 6.1 is still applicable for the example (6.14) without discussing “join-conservativeness.”

The author thanks Professor G. B. Preston for his pointing out the errata.