INEQUALITIES SATISFIED BY ENTIRE FUNCTIONS AND THEIR DERIVATIVES

BY

BOO SANG LEE AND S. M. SHAH[1]

Abstract. For a class of entire functions with simple and positive zeros, it is shown that the maximum of the moduli of the first two Taylor coefficients at any point z, dominate all the remaining Taylor coefficients, provided $|z|$ is sufficiently large. Further, there is a subclass for which this result holds at every point z.

1. **Introduction.** In this paper we shall be concerned with inequalities satisfied by the derivatives of entire functions with simple zeros. Let $\{a_n\}_1^\infty$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that

(1.1) $$a_{n+1}/a_n \equiv \alpha_n \geqq \gamma > 1,$$

and let

(1.2) $$P(z) = \prod_1^\infty \left(1 - \frac{z}{a_n}\right).$$

We prove

THEOREM 1. *Suppose $P(z)$ is an entire function given by (1.2) where the zeros a_n satisfy (1.1). Then for a given number $M > 0$, there exists a number $R = R(M)$ such that for every z, $|z| > R$,*

(1.3) $$\max\{|P(z)|, |P'(z)|\} > M|P^{(j)}(z)|/j!, \qquad j = 2, 3, \ldots.$$

THEOREM 2. *Let $P(z)$ be given by (1.2). If*

(1.4) $$\alpha_n \geqq 3, \qquad n = 2, 3, \ldots, \qquad a_2 - a_1 \geqq 8,$$

then for every z

(1.5) $$\max\{|P(z)|, |P'(z)|\} > |P^{(j)}(z)|/j!, \qquad j = 2, 3, \ldots.$$

THEOREM 3. *Let $\{\psi_n\}_1^\infty$ be a sequence of positive numbers. Then there exists an entire function $Q(z)$ such that for every z*

(1.6) $$\max\{|Q(z)|, |Q'(z)|\} > \psi_{j-1}|Q^{(j)}(z)|, \qquad j = 2, 3, \ldots.$$

THEOREM 4. *Let $P(z)$ be given by* (1.2) *where* $a_{n+1}/a_n \geq n+1$, $(n \geq 1)$, $a_2 - a_1 \geq 11$ *and* $a_1 > 0$. *Then for every* z

$$(1.7) \qquad \max \{|P(z)|, |P'(z)|\} > \phi_{j-1}|P^{(j)}(z)|, \qquad j = 2, 3, \ldots$$

where $\phi_n \geq 1$, $n \geq 1$ *and* $\phi_n \to \infty$ *as* $n \to \infty$.

2. **Preliminary results.** In this section we suppose that (1.1) holds and obtain bounds for zeros $\{b_n\}_1^\infty$ of $P'(z)$. By Laguerre's theorem [1, p. 23], [7, p. 266] we know that the b_n's are all real and $a_1 < b_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n < b_n < a_{n+1} < \cdots$. Let

$$h(t) = \sum_1^\infty \frac{1}{t^j - 1}, \qquad t > 1.$$

Then $h(t)$ is decreasing in $(1, \infty)$ and $h(2.8) < 0.778$.

LEMMA 1. *Let q be the smallest integer such that* $q \geq h(\gamma) + \gamma/(\gamma - 1)$. *Then*

$$(2.1) \qquad b_n < (na_{n+1} + a_n)/(n+1), \qquad n = 1, 2, \ldots,$$

$$(2.2) \qquad \{1 - 1/(n - h(\gamma))\}a_{n+1} < b_n, \qquad n = q+1, q+2, \ldots,$$

and

$$(2.3) \qquad b_{n+1}/b_n \equiv \beta_n \geq \gamma' > 1, \qquad n = 1, 2, \ldots.$$

Proof. (i) Let $x = (na_{n+1} + a_n)/(n+1)$. Then

$$\frac{P'(x)}{P(x)} = \sum_1^\infty \frac{1}{x - a_j} < \frac{n}{x - a_n} + \frac{1}{x - a_{n+1}} = 0,$$

and (2.1) is proved.

(ii) Let $x = \{1 - 1/(n - h(\gamma))\}a_{n+1}$, $n \geq q+1$. By our choice of q, $a_n < x < a_{n+1}$ and

$$\sum_{n+2}^\infty \frac{1}{x - a_j} > -\frac{1}{a_{n+1}} \sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{1}{\gamma^j - 1} = \frac{-h(\gamma)}{a_{n+1}}.$$

Hence

$$\frac{P'(x)}{P(x)} > \frac{n - 1 - h(\gamma)}{a_{n+1}} + \frac{1}{x - a_n} + \frac{1}{x - a_{n+1}} > 0,$$

and (2.2) is proved.

(iii) From (2.1) and (2.2) we have, for $n \geq q+1$,

$$\frac{b_{n+1}}{b_n} \geq \frac{n - h(\gamma)}{n + 1 - h(\gamma)} \frac{(n+1)a_{n+2}}{na_{n+1} + a_n} > \gamma \frac{n - h(\gamma)}{n + 1 - h(\gamma)}.$$

Let $\gamma = 1 + c$. Then $c > 0$. Let $c' = c/(2\gamma) < 1$. Let t be an integer such that

$$t > \max \{q+1, 1/c' - 1 + h(\gamma)\}.$$

Then, for all $n \geq t$,

$$\frac{b_{n+1}}{b_n} > \gamma \frac{n - h(\gamma)}{n + 1 - h(\gamma)} > (1 - c')\gamma > 1.$$

Let $\gamma' = \min \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_{t-1}, (1-c')\gamma\}$. Then $\beta_n \geq \gamma' > 1$ for $n \geq 1$.

LEMMA 2. *Let $\rho > 0$ and let*

$$U_n(\rho) = \bigcup_{j=n}^{\infty} \{z \mid |z - a_j| \leqq \rho\}, \qquad V_n(\rho) = \bigcup_{j=n}^{\infty} \{z \mid |z - b_j| \leqq \rho\}.$$

Then there exists an integer M_0 such that $U_{M_0}(\rho) \cap V_{M_0}(\rho) = \varnothing$.

Proof. Let $M_1 \geqq 2$ be an integer such that

$$M_1 + 1 \geqq \frac{1}{\log \gamma}, \qquad \frac{\gamma^{M_1+1}}{M_1+1} > \frac{2\rho\gamma^3}{(\gamma-1)a_2}.$$

Since γ^x / x is an increasing function of x on $[1/\log \gamma, \infty)$ we have, for $n \geqq M_1$,

$$\frac{a_{n+1} - a_n}{n+1} \geqq \frac{\gamma^{n-2}(\gamma-1)a_2}{n+1} > 2\rho.$$

Let $M_2 \geqq q + 2$ be such that for $n \geqq M_2$

$$\gamma \frac{1 - 1/(n - h(\gamma)) - 1/(n+1)}{1 - 1/(n+1)} > 1.$$

Then for all $n \geqq M_0 = \max(M_1, M_2)$

$$b_n - a_n > \left\{1 - \frac{1}{n - h(\gamma)}\right\} a_{n+1} - a_n > \frac{a_{n+1} - a_n}{n+1} > 2\rho,$$

$$a_{n+1} - b_n > \frac{a_{n+1} - a_n}{n+1} > 2\rho$$

and the lemma is proved.

LEMMA 3. *Let $M \geqq 1$. Then for any number $\rho > 2M$ there exists an integer $N_0 > 0$ such that for every $z \notin U_{N_0}(\rho)$ and $|z| > a_{N_0}$*

$$(2.4) \qquad\qquad |P(z)| > M|P^{(j)}(z)|/j!, \qquad j = 1, 2, \ldots.$$

Proof. Let

$$(2.5) \qquad\qquad G(z) = \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z - a_j}, \qquad \sigma = \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|z - a_j|}, \quad z \notin U_1(\rho).$$

Then

$$(2.6) \qquad\qquad P'(z)/P(z) = G(z),$$

and

$$(2.7) \qquad\qquad \frac{P^{(k+1)}(z)}{(k+1)!} = \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{G^{(j)}(z)}{j!} \frac{P^{(k-j)}(z)}{(k-j)!}.$$

Hence

$$(2.8) \qquad \begin{aligned} \frac{|P^{(k+1)}(z)|}{(k+1)!} &\leqq \frac{1}{k+1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{k} \frac{|G^{(i)}(z)|}{i!}\right) \max_{0 \leqq i \leqq k} \left\{\frac{|P^{(i)}(z)|}{i!}\right\} \\ &\leqq \sigma \max_{0 \leqq i \leqq k} \left\{\frac{|P^{(i)}(z)|}{i!}\right\}, \qquad k = 0, 1, \ldots. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate σ. Write $z = x + iy$ and let $a_n < x \leq a_{n+1}$, $n \geq 1$. Since $z \notin U_1(\rho)$ we have

$$(2.9) \qquad \sigma \leq \frac{n-1}{a_n - a_{n-1}} + \frac{2}{\rho} + \frac{h(\gamma)}{a_{n+1}}.$$

Here $a_0 = 0$. Write $c_1 = (\rho - 2M)/2$, $c_2 = c_1/M(2M + c_1)$. Choose $N = N(c_1)$ such that for $n \geq N$

$$n/(a_n - a_{n-1}) + h(\gamma)/a_n < c_2.$$

Then

$$\sigma < c_2 + 2/\rho < 1/M,$$

and so for every z with $z \notin U_N(\rho)$ and $x > a_N$, we have from (2.8)

$$(2.10) \qquad \max_{0 \leq i \leq k} \left\{ \frac{|P^{(i)}(z)|}{i!} \right\} > M \frac{|P^{(k+1)}(z)|}{(k+1)!}, \qquad k = 0, 1, \ldots.$$

Let $S = \{z \mid x \leq 0\} \cup \{z \mid 0 < x \leq a_N, |y| \geq a_N\}$. Then, for $z \in S$,

$$\sigma < \frac{N}{a_N} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\gamma^j - 1)a_N} < \frac{h(\gamma) + N}{a_N} < c_2 < \frac{1}{M}.$$

Finally choose integer N_0 such that $a_{N_0} \geq \sqrt{2}\, a_N$. Then for every $z \notin U_{N_0}(\rho)$ and $|z| > a_{N_0}$, (2.10) holds and this implies (2.4).

3. **Proof of Theorem 1.** We have $P'(z) = P'(0) \prod_1^{\infty} (1 - z/b_n)$ where, by (2.3), $\beta_n \equiv b_{n+1}/b_n \geq \gamma' > 1$. Hence we can apply the argument used to prove (2.4) to $P'(z)$, and obtain that there exists N_1 such that for $z \notin V_{N_1}(\rho)$ and $|z| > b_{N_1}$

$$|P'(z)| > M \frac{|P^{(k+1)}(z)|}{k!} > M \frac{|P^{(k+1)}(z)|}{(k+1)!}, \qquad k = 1, 2, \ldots.$$

By Lemma 2, we can choose $N_2 \geq \max (N_0, N_1)$ such that $U_{N_2}(\rho) \cap V_{N_2}(\rho) = \varnothing$. Write $R = b_{N_2}$. Then for every z, $|z| > R$, (1.3) holds and the theorem is proved.

4. **Proof of Theorem 2.** We first prove two lemmas wherein, and also in the proof of Theorem 2, we follow the notation of §2.

LEMMA 4. (i) *If* $\alpha_n \geq 2.8$ *for* $n \geq 2$, *then*

$$(4.1) \qquad b_n > x_n \equiv \frac{n a_{n+1} + 2 a_n}{n+2} \qquad (n \geq 1).$$

(ii) *If* $\alpha_n \geq 3$ *for* $n \geq 2$, *then*

$$(4.2) \qquad \beta_n \geq 2.8 \qquad (n \geq 2).$$

(iii) *If* $\alpha_n \geq n+1$ *for* $n \geq 1$, *then*

$$(4.3) \qquad \beta_n \geq n+1 \qquad (n \geq 1).$$

Proof of Lemma 4. Since $a_n < x_n < a_{n+1}$, we have

$$\frac{P'(x)}{P(x)} > \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{x_n - a_j} - \frac{h(2.8)}{a_{n+1}}$$

$$\geqq \frac{n-1-h(\gamma)}{a_{n+1}} - \frac{n^2-4}{2n(a_{n+1}-a_n)}.$$

For $n \geqq 6$, the last expression is nonnegative and for $1 \leqq n \leqq 5$, the first expression is easily seen to be nonnegative. Hence (4.1) is proved.

Next we have, by (4.1) and (2.1), for $n \geqq 1$,

$$\frac{b_{n+1}}{b_n} \geqq \frac{2a_{n+1} + (n+1)a_{n+2}}{a_n + na_{n+1}} \frac{(n+1)}{(n+3)}.$$

Simple computation now yields (4.2) and (4.3).

LEMMA. 5. *Let* $\rho = (3 - \sqrt{5})(a_2 - a_1)/4$ *and* $A = 7.5/(a_2 - a_1)$. *Suppose* $\alpha_n \geqq 2.8$ *for* $n \geqq 2$. *Then*

(4.4) $$U_1(\rho) \cap V_1(\rho) = \varnothing,$$

and for all $z \notin U_1(\rho)$

(4.5) $$\sigma < A.$$

Proof. By a simple computation, we have

(4.6) $$a_1 + 2\rho < b_1 < a_2 - 2\rho,$$

and $a_n + 6(a_2 - a_1)/10 < b_n < a_{n+1} - 6(a_2 - a_1)/10$, $(n \geqq 2)$. Now (4.4) follows from the fact that $\rho < 2(a_2 - a_1)/10$.

To prove (4.5) we first note that for $z \notin U_1(\rho)$ and $a_n < x \leqq a_{n+1}$, $(n \geqq 2)$,

(4.7) $$\sigma \leqq \frac{n-1}{a_n - a_{n-1}} + \frac{1}{\rho} + \frac{1}{a_{n+1} - a_n - \rho} + \frac{h(2.8)}{a_{n+1}}.$$

For $z \notin U_1(\rho)$ and $a_1 < x \leqq a_2$ we have

(4.8) $$\sigma \leqq 1/\rho + 1/(a_2 - a_1 - \rho) + h(2.8)/a_2.$$

Finally for $z \notin U_1(\rho)$ and $x \leqq a_1$

$$\sigma \leqq 1/\rho + 1/(a_2 - a_1) + h(2.8)/a_2.$$

Hence for $z \notin U_1(\rho)$, either (4.7) or (4.8) holds. In either case $\sigma < A$ and (4.5) is proved.

Proof of Theorem 2. Since $\alpha_n \geqq 3$ and $a_2 - a_1 \geqq 8$ we have, by Lemma 5, $\sigma < A < 1$. Hence (2.5) and (2.8) show that for $z \notin U_1(\rho)$,

$$|P^{(k+1)}(z)|/(k+1)! < \max_{0 \leqq i \leqq k} \{|P^{(i)}(z)|/i!\}, \qquad k = 0, 1, \ldots.$$

This gives for $z \notin U_1(\rho)$, $|P^{(k)}(z)|/k! < |P(z)|$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$.

Next we consider $P'(z) = P'(0) \prod_1^\infty (1 - z/b_n)$ and note that $\beta_n \geq 2.8$, for $n \geq 2$, by Lemma 4. Hence Lemma 5 applied to $P'(z)/P'(0)$ for $z \notin V_1(\rho)$ gives that

$$(4.9) \qquad \sigma' \equiv \sum_1^\infty \frac{1}{|z - b_n|} < A.$$

Consequently, for $z \notin V_1(\rho)$

$$|P'(z)| > \frac{|P^{(k+1)}(z)|}{k!} > \frac{|P^{(k+1)}(z)|}{(k+1)!}, \qquad k = 1, 2, \ldots.$$

Since $U_1(\rho) \cap V_1(\rho) = \varnothing$, the inequality (1.5) holds for every z.

5. Proof of Theorem 3.   We need two lemmas.

LEMMA 6. *Suppose $P(z)$ is defined by* (1.2) *where $a_{n+1}/a_n \geq n+1$, $n = 2, 3, \ldots$, $a_2 > a_1 > 0$. Write $B = \max(A, 2A^2)$ where $A = (7.5)/(a_2 - a_1)$. Then, for every z, $B \max\{|P(z)|, |P'(z)|\} > |P''(z)|$.*

Proof. For $z \notin U_1(\rho)$, we have, by (2.6), $|P'(z)| = |P(z)G(z)| \leq \sigma|P(z)|$ and $|P''(z)| = |P(z)\{G^2(z) + G'(z)\}| < 2\sigma^2|P(z)|$. Now $\alpha_n \geq 3$ for $n \geq 2$. Hence by Lemma 5, $\sigma < A$. It follows that for every $z \notin U_1(\rho)$

$$(5.1) \qquad |P'(z)| < B|P(z)|, \qquad |P''(z)| < B|P(z)|.$$

By Lemma 4, $\beta_n \geq n+1 \geq 3$ for $n \geq 2$. Hence the above argument may be applied to $P'(z)/P'(0)$. By (4.9) we have for every $z \notin V_1(\rho)$

$$(5.2) \qquad |P''(z)| < B|P'(z)|, \qquad |P'''(z)| < B|P'(z)|.$$

Since $U_1(\rho) \cap V_1(\rho) = \varnothing$, the lemma follows from (5.1) and (5.2).

LEMMA 7. *Let $P(z)$ be defined by* (1.2) *and suppose that*

$$a_{n+1}/a_n \geq n+1, \qquad (n = 2, 3, \ldots), \ a_{2*} > a_1 > 0.$$

Denote the zeros of $P^{(k)}(z)$ by $\{a_n^{(k)}\}_1^\infty$ with $a_{n+1}^{(k)} > a_n^{(k)}$. Then

$$(5.3) \qquad a_2^{(k)} - a_1^{(k)} \geq (3/2)^k (a_2^{(0)} - a_1^{(0)}),$$

where $a_n^{(0)} \equiv a_n$.

Proof. By (2.1) and (4.1) we have

$$a_2^{(1)} - a_1^{(1)} > (a_3 - a_1)/2 > 3(a_2 - a_1)/2.$$

An induction argument completes the proof of the lemma.

Proof of Theorem 3. We construct a new sequence $\{a_n\}_1^\infty$ as follows:

$$(5.4) \qquad \begin{aligned} &a_1 \geq \max\{15, 8\psi_1\}, \qquad a_2 \geq \max\{2a_1, 24\psi_2\}, \\ &a_{n+1} \geq \max\{(n+1)a_n, 4(n+1)(n+2)(\psi_{n+1}/\psi_{n-1})\}, \qquad n = 2, 3, \ldots. \end{aligned}$$

Let $Q(z) = \prod_1^\infty (1 - z/a_n)$. Since $a_2 - a_1 \geq 15$ we have in Lemma 6 $B = A < 8/a_1$. Hence for every z

(5.5) $(8/a_1) \max \{|Q(z)|, |Q'(z)|\} > |Q''(z)|.$

Denote the zeros of $Q^{(k)}(z)$ by $\{a_n^{(k)}\}_1^\infty$. Then $a_n^{(0)} = a_n$, and by (4.3) and (5.3) we have

$$a_{n+1}^{(k)}/a_n^{(k)} \geqq n+1, \qquad (n \geqq 1), \qquad a_2^{(k)} - a_1^{(k)} \geqq 15.$$

Hence (5.5) holds for $Q^{(k)}(z)$. Thus we have for every z and $k \geqq 0$

(5.6) $(8/a_1^{(k)}) \max \{|Q^{(k)}(z)|, |Q^{(k+1)}(z)|\} > |Q^{(k+2)}(z)|.$

By (4.1) we have

$$a_n^{(j+1)} > (n/(n+2))a_{n+1}^{(j)}, \qquad j \geqq 0, \quad n \geqq 1,$$

and hence

(5.7) $a_n^{(j)} > \dfrac{n(n+1)}{(n+j)(n+j+1)} a_{n+j}.$

In particular,

(5.7)′ $a_1^{(j)} > \dfrac{2}{(j+1)(j+2)} a_{j+1}, \qquad j \geqq 1.$

From (5.6) and (5.7)′ we have for every z and $k \geqq 0$

(5.8) $|Q^{(2k+2)}(z)| < \left\{ (2k+2)!\, 4^{(k+1)} \Big/ \left(\displaystyle\prod_{j=0}^{k} a_{2j+1} \right) \right\} \max \{|Q(z)|, |Q'(z)|\}.$

Similarly we obtain for every z and $k \geqq 0$

(5.9) $|Q^{(2k+3)}(z)| < \left\{ (2k+3)!\, 4^{k+1} \Big/ \left(\displaystyle\prod_{j=0}^{k} a_{2j+2} \right) \right\} \max \{|Q(z)|, |Q'(z)|\}.$

Since $\prod_{j=0}^{k} a_{2j+1} \geqq 4^{k+1}(2k+2)!\, \psi_{2k+1}$, we have from (5.8) that for every z and $k \geqq 0$

(5.10) $\psi_{2k+1}|Q^{(2k+2)}(z)| < \max \{|Q(z)|, |Q'(z)|\}.$

Similarly from (5.9) we have that for every z and $k \geqq 0$

(5.11) $\psi_{2k+2}|Q^{(2k+3)}(z)| < \max \{|Q(z)|, |Q'(z)|\}.$

The theorem now follows from (5.10) and (5.11).

6. **Proof of Theorem 4.**   We first prove

LEMMA 8. *Let $P(z)$ be defined by (1.2) and suppose that $a_{n+1}/a_n \geqq n+1$, $(n \geqq 1)$, $a_1 \geqq 15$. Then there exists a sequence $\{\lambda_n\}_1^\infty$ such that*

(6.1) $\lambda_n > 1, \qquad (n \geqq 1), \qquad \lambda_n \to \infty \quad as \ n \to \infty;$

and such that for every z

(6.2) $\max \{|P(z)|, |P'(z)|\} > \lambda_{j-1}|P^{(j)}(z)|, \qquad j = 2, 3, \ldots.$

Proof. Define a sequence $\{\lambda_n\}_1^\infty$ as follows:

$$\lambda_1 = 15/8, \qquad \lambda_2 = \frac{2a_1}{24}, \qquad \lambda_{n+1} = \frac{a_n \lambda_{n-1}}{4(n+2)} \qquad (n \geq 2).$$

If we replace $\{\psi_n\}_1^\infty$ by $\{\lambda_n\}_1^\infty$ in (5.4), the argument used in the proof of Theorem 3 gives (6.2). To prove (6.1) we note that $\lambda_1 > 1$, $\lambda_2 > 1$. For $k \geq 1$ we have

$$\lambda_{2k+2} > ((2k)!/(k+1)!)2^k, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{2k+1} > ((2k-1)!/(k+1)!)2^k.$$

These inequalities prove (6.1) and the lemma.

Proof of Theorem 4. We consider $P^{(3)}(z)$ and note that $a_{n+1}^{(3)}/a_n^{(3)} \geq n+1$ $(n \geq 1)$, $a_1^{(3)} \geq 15$. By Lemma 8 we can find a sequence $\{\lambda_n\}_1^\infty$ such that $\lambda_n > 1$, $(n \geq 1)$, $\lambda_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$ and such that for every z

$$(6.3) \qquad \max\{|P^{(3)}(z)|, |P^{(4)}(z)|\} > \lambda_{j-1}|P^{(j+3)}(z)|, \qquad j = 2, 3, \ldots .$$

Since $a_2 - a_1 \geq 11$, the constant B in Lemma 6 is less than unity and hence we have, for every z, $\max\{|P(z)|, |P'(z)|\} > |P''(z)|$. By Lemma 7 we have, for every z, $\max\{|P'(z)|, |P''(z)|\} > |P'''(z)|$ and so on. Consequently, we have for every z, $\max\{|P(z)|, |P'(z)|\} > |P^{(j)}(z)|$, $j \geq 2$; and, in particular,

$$(6.4) \qquad \max\{|P(z)|, |P'(z)|\} > \max\{|P^{(3)}(z)|, |P^{(4)}(z)|\}.$$

Now define

$$(6.5) \qquad \begin{aligned} \phi_j &= 1, \qquad j = 1, 2, 3, \\ \phi_j &= \lambda_{j-3}, \qquad j \geq 4. \end{aligned}$$

Then (6.3), (6.4) and (6.5) yield Theorem 4.

7. **Remarks.** (i) A transcendental entire function $f(z)$ is said to be of bounded index if there exists an integer $N \geq 0$ such that for all z

$$(7.1) \qquad \max_{0 \leq k \leq N}\{|f^{(k)}(z)|/k!\} \geq |f^{(j)}(z)|/j!, \qquad j = 1, 2, \ldots;$$

and the smallest such integer N is called the index of $f(z)$ (cf. [2], [3], [5]).

We have proved in Theorem 1 that (7.1), with f replaced by P, holds for all z such that $|z| > R$ with $N = 1$. Now it is known that (cf. [6, pp. 132–133]) there exists an integer N such that (7.1), with f replaced by P, holds for all z with $|z| \leq R$. Thus we conclude that $P(z)$ is of bounded index.

(ii) Pugh and Shah [4] have shown that if $\{z_n\}_1^\infty$ is any sequence of complex numbers such that

$$(7.2) \qquad |z_{n+1}| \geq 5^n |z_n|, \qquad |z_1| \geq 5,$$

then the derivatives $f^{(j)}(z)$ of the corresponding canonical product $f(z)$ satisfy for all z,

$$(7.3) \qquad \max\{|f(z)|, |f'(z)|\} > |f^{(j)}(z)| \qquad (j = 2, 3, \ldots),$$

The zeros $\{a_n\}_1^\infty$ in Theorem 4 may not increase as rapidly as $\{|z_n|\}_1^\infty$ in (7.2) and yet the derivatives of the corresponding canonical product satisfy a sharper inequality (1.7). Note however that the zeros $\{a_n\}_1^\infty$ are all real and positive.

REFERENCES

1. R. P. Boas, *Entire functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1954. MR **16**, 914.

2. Fred Gross, *Entire functions of bounded index*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **18** (1967), 974–980. MR **36** #1649.

3. B. Lepson, *Differential equations of infinite order, hyper-Dirichlet series and entire functions of bounded index*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 11, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1968, pp. 298–307. MR **38** #6069.

4. W. J. Pugh and S. M. Shah, *On the growth of entire functions of bounded index*, Pacific J. Math. (to appear).

5. S. M. Shah, *Entire functions of bounded index*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (1968), 1017–1022. MR **38** #6070.

6. ———, *Entire functions satisfying a linear differential equation*, J. Math. Mech. **18** (1968/69), 131–136. MR **37** #2994.

7. E. C. Titchmarsh, *Theory of functions*, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1944.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY,
LEXINGTON, KENTUCKY 40506