

## MARKUSCHEVICH BASES AND DUALITY THEORY

BY

WILLIAM B. JOHNSON<sup>(1)</sup>

**Abstract.** Several duality theorems concerning Schauder bases in locally convex spaces have analogues in the theory of Markushevich bases. For example, a locally convex space with a Markushevich basis is semireflexive iff the basis is shrinking and boundedly complete.

The strong existence Theorem III.1 for Markushevich bases allows us to show that a separable Banach space is isomorphic to a conjugate space iff it admits a boundedly complete Markushevich basis, and that a separable Banach space has the metric approximation property iff it admits a Markushevich basis which is a generalized summation basis in the sense of Kadec.

**I. Introduction.** In recent years a number of papers have discussed applications of Schauder bases to the duality theory of locally convex spaces. (For example, see [2], [5], [9], [10], and [11].) However, the lack of a good existence theorem for Schauder bases severely limits the applicability of these results. In this paper we discuss a generalization of Schauder bases (called Markushevich bases or  $M$ -bases) for which there are good existence theorems. In fact, Markushevich [8] showed that every separable Banach space admits a  $M$ -basis and Theorem III.1 gives a better existence theorem for general linear topological spaces.

In §II we introduce the concepts of shrinking and boundedly complete Markushevich bases. The main results of this section are that a locally convex space with a  $M$ -basis is semireflexive iff the  $M$ -basis is shrinking and boundedly complete (Theorem II.6) and that a Banach space which admits a boundedly complete  $M$ -basis is canonically isomorphic to the adjoint of the coefficient space of the  $M$ -basis (Theorem II.5). Of course, these theorems have analogues in Schauder basis theory (see [5], [9], and [1]).

Theorem III.1 shows that every strongly separable, strongly closed, total subspace of the adjoint of a separable linear topological space  $X$  is the coefficient space of some countable  $M$ -basis for  $X$ . (This result is perhaps implicit in the results of [4], but we include a proof for completeness.) This theorem has several interesting applications. For example, a separable locally convex space has a strongly separable adjoint iff the space admits a countable shrinking  $M$ -basis (Corollary III.3). A separable Banach space is isomorphic to a conjugate Banach space iff the space admits a boundedly complete  $M$ -basis (Theorem III.4).

---

Received by the editors May 5, 1969 and, in revised form, November 17, 1969.

*AMS Subject Classifications.* Primary 4601, 4610; Secondary 4160.

*Key Words and Phrases.* Schauder basis, biorthogonal system, metric approximation property, semireflexivity.

<sup>(1)</sup> The author was partially supported by NASA traineeship NsG(T) 35.3.

Copyright © 1970, American Mathematical Society

In §IV we discuss generalized summation bases, a type of Markushevich bases introduced by Kadec [6]. We use Theorem III.1 to show that a separable Banach space admits a generalized summation basis iff the space has the metric approximation property.

We use the notation and terminology of [7].  $X$  always represents a Hausdorff linear topological space and  $X^*$  represents the set of continuous linear functionals on  $X$ . We assume that  $X^*$  is endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on  $w(X, X^*)$  bounded subsets of  $X$ , and call this topology on  $X^*$  the strong topology. When  $X^*$  is total over  $X$ , the natural embedding of  $X$  into  $X^{**}$  is denoted by “ $\hat{\phantom{x}}$ ”.

If  $f$  is a function on a set  $Z$  and  $Y$  is a subset of  $Z$ ,  $f|_Y$  denotes the restriction of  $f$  to  $Y$ . The linear span of a subset  $Y$  of a linear space is denoted by  $\text{sp}(Y)$ . The domain, range, and null space of a linear operator  $T$  are denoted, respectively, by  $D(T)$ ,  $R(T)$ , and  $\ker(T)$ .

**II. Applications of  $M$ -bases to duality theory.** Let  $(X, T)$  be a linear topological space. Recall that a biorthogonal collection  $\{x_i, f_i\}_{i \in I}$  in  $(X, X^*)$  is a Markushevich basis ( $M$ -basis) for  $X$  iff  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$  is fundamental in  $(X, T)$  and  $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$  is total over  $X$ . The strong closure of  $\text{sp}(\{f_i\}_{i \in I})$  in  $X^*$  is called the coefficient space of the  $M$ -basis  $\{x_i, f_i\}$ .

**DEFINITION II.1.** Let  $\{x_i, f_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a  $M$ -basis for  $X$ .  $\{x_i, f_i\}$  is said to be shrinking iff  $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$  is strongly fundamental in  $X^*$ . (Equivalently,  $\{x_i, f_i\}$  is shrinking iff  $\{f_i, \hat{x}_i\}$  is a  $M$ -basis for  $X^*$  when  $X^*$  is endowed with the strong topology.)

**DEFINITION II.2.** Let  $\{x_i, f_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a  $M$ -basis for  $X$ .  $\{x_i, f_i\}$  is said to be boundedly complete iff whenever  $\{Y_d\}$  is a bounded net in  $X$  such that for each  $i$  in  $I$ ,  $\lim_d f_i(Y_d)$  exists, there is  $x$  in  $X$  such that for each  $i \in I$ ,  $f_i(x) = \lim_d f_i(Y_d)$ .

Let  $\{x_i, f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  be a Schauder basis for a locally convex space  $X$ . If  $\{x_i, f_i\}$  is shrinking as a Schauder basis, then obviously it is shrinking as a  $M$ -basis. It is also easy to see that if  $\{x_i, f_i\}$  is boundedly complete as a  $M$ -basis, then it is boundedly complete as a Schauder basis. The converses of these statements are true for uniformly bounded Schauder bases.

**THEOREM II.3.** Let  $\{x_i, f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  be a uniformly bounded Schauder basis for a locally convex space  $X$ . (1) If  $\{x_i, f_i\}$  is boundedly complete as a Schauder basis, then it is boundedly complete as a  $M$ -basis. (2) If  $\{x_i, f_i\}$  is shrinking as a  $M$ -basis, then it is shrinking as a Schauder basis.

**Proof.** Let  $\{S_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be the partial sum operators associated with  $\{x_i, f_i\}$ . (That is,  $S_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)x_i$ .) We are assuming that  $\{S_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is uniformly bounded.

To prove (1), we let  $\{Y_d : d \in D\}$  be as in Definition II.2. For each  $n$ ,  $\{S_n(Y_d) : d \in D\}$  is a Cauchy net in the finite dimensional Hausdorff space  $(R(S_n), w(R(S_n), \{f_i\}_{i=1}^n))$ , hence  $\lim_d S_n(Y_d)$  exists. Since  $\{S_n\}$  is uniformly bounded and  $\{Y_d\}$  is bounded,  $\{\lim_d S_n(Y_d)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is bounded. Since  $\{x_i, f_i\}$  is boundedly complete as a Schauder basis,  $\{\lim_d S_n(Y_d)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  must converge to, say,  $x$ . Clearly  $f_i(x)$

$=\lim_d f_i(Y_d)$ , for all  $i=1, 2, 3, \dots$ , so  $\{x_i, f_i\}$  is boundedly complete as a  $M$ -basis.

To prove (2), we note that the uniform boundedness of  $\{S_n\}$  implies that  $\{S_n^*\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is equicontinuous on  $X^*$ . Hence  $A=\{x : \lim_n S_n^*(x)=x\}$  is closed in  $X^*$ . Since  $A$  obviously contains  $\text{sp}(\{f_i\})$  and  $\{f_i\}$  is fundamental in  $X^*$ ,  $A$  must equal  $X^*$ . Then  $\{f_i, \hat{x}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is a Schauder basis for  $X^*$ , which is to say that  $\{x_i, f_i\}$  is a shrinking Schauder basis for  $X$ .

The hypothesis in Theorem II.3 that  $\{x_i, f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is a uniformly bounded Schauder basis is necessary. Indeed, let  $Y$  be any separable, infinite dimensional Banach space and let  $\{x_i, f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  be any  $M$ -basis for  $Y$  which is not a Schauder basis for  $Y$ . Let  $X=\{x \in Y : \{\sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)x_i\}_{n=1}^\infty \text{ converges weakly to } x\}$ . Let  $X$  be endowed with the  $w(X, Y^*)$  topology. It is easy to see that  $\{x_i, f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is boundedly complete as a Schauder basis for  $X$ .  $\{x_i, f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is not boundedly complete as a  $M$ -basis for  $X$  because  $X$  is a proper subspace of  $Y$ . Also,  $\{x_i, f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is obviously a shrinking  $M$ -basis for  $X$ .  $\{x_i, f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is not a shrinking Schauder basis for  $X$  because  $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is not even a basic sequence in  $Y^*(=X^*)$  and  $s(Y^*, Y)=s(Y^*, X)$ .

Results from Schauder basis theory suggest that "shrinking" and "boundedly complete" should be dual concepts. Under certain circumstances, this is the case.

**THEOREM II.4.** *Let  $\{x_i, f_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a shrinking  $M$ -basis for a locally convex evaluable space  $X$ . Then  $\{f_i, \hat{x}_i\}_{i \in I}$  is a boundedly complete  $M$ -basis for  $X^*$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\{Y_d\}$  be a bounded net in  $X^*$  such that for each  $i$  in  $I$ ,  $\lim_d \hat{x}_i(Y_d)$  exists. Note that  $\{Y_d\}$  is equicontinuous on  $X$  because  $X$  is evaluable, hence  $\{Y_d\}$  has a weak\* cluster point, say,  $y$ . Clearly  $\hat{x}_i(y)=\lim_d \hat{x}_i(Y_d)$ , for all  $i$  in  $I$ , so  $\{f_i, \hat{x}_i\}$  is boundedly complete.

**THEOREM II.5.** *Let  $\{x_i, f_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a boundedly complete  $M$ -basis for a Banach space  $X$ . Let  $Y$  be the coefficient space of the basis  $\{x_i, f_i\}$ . Then the canonical embedding of  $X$  into  $Y^*$  is an isomorphism of  $X$  onto  $Y^*$ . Hence  $\{f_i, \hat{x}_i|_Y\}_{i \in I}$  is a shrinking  $M$ -basis for  $Y$ .*

**Proof.** Note that the canonical embedding of  $X$  into  $Y^*$  is one-to-one, because  $Y$  is total over  $X$ . It is norm decreasing, hence continuous. We show that it is onto  $Y^*$  (and hence an isomorphism by the open mapping theorem).

Let  $G$  be in  $Y^*$ . Let  $D$  be the collection of finite subsets of  $I$ , and direct  $D$  by inclusion. By Helly's theorem (cf., e.g., [12, p. 103]), for each  $d$  in  $D$  there is  $Y_d$  in  $X$  such that for each  $i$  in  $d$ ,  $f_i(Y_d)=G(f_i)$ , and  $\|Y_d\| \leq \|G\| + 1$ . Since  $\{x_i, f_i\}$  is boundedly complete, there is  $x$  in  $X$  such that for each  $i$  in  $I$ ,  $\lim_d f_i(Y_d)=f_i(x)$ . Clearly  $f_i(x)=G(f_i)$ , for all  $i$  in  $I$ . Since  $\{f_i\}$  is fundamental in  $Y$  and both  $\hat{x}$  and  $G$  are continuous on  $Y$ ,  $f(x)=G(f)$ , for all  $f$  in  $Y$ . Thus the canonical embedding of  $X$  into  $Y^*$  is onto.

Since  $\{x_i\}$  is fundamental in  $X$ ,  $\{\hat{x}_i|_Y\}$  is fundamental in  $Y^*$ , and thus  $\{f_i, \hat{x}_i|_Y\}$  is shrinking. This completes the proof.

Historically, a major reason for considering shrinking and boundedly complete

Schauder bases was to characterize reflexivity (see [5] and [9]). Similarly, semi-reflexivity is characterized by the existence of a boundedly complete, shrinking  $M$ -basis.

**THEOREM II.6.** *Let  $\{x_i, f_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a  $M$ -basis for a locally convex space  $X$ .  $X$  is semireflexive iff  $\{x_i, f_i\}$  is both shrinking and boundedly complete.*

**Proof.** Suppose first that  $X$  is semireflexive.  $\{f_i\}$  is total over  $X$ , hence is weak\* fundamental in  $X^*$ , hence is weakly fundamental in  $X^*$ , hence is fundamental in  $X^*$ . Thus  $\{x_i, f_i\}$  is shrinking. Now let  $\{Y_\alpha\}$  be a bounded net as in Definition II.2. Since  $X$  is semireflexive,  $\{Y_\alpha\}$  has a weak cluster point, say,  $x$ . Clearly  $f_i(x) = \lim_\alpha f_i(Y_\alpha)$ , for all  $i$  in  $I$ , so that  $\{x_i, f_i\}$  is boundedly complete.

To go the other way, suppose that  $\{x_i, f_i\}$  is shrinking and boundedly complete. To show that  $X$  is semireflexive, it is sufficient to show that bounded, weakly Cauchy nets in  $X$  are weakly convergent. Let  $\{Y_\alpha\}$  be a bounded, weakly Cauchy net in  $X$ . Clearly  $\lim_\alpha f_i(Y_\alpha)$  exists for each  $i$  in  $I$ , hence by the boundedly complete assumption there is  $x$  in  $X$  such that for all  $i$  in  $I$ ,  $f_i(x) = \lim_\alpha f_i(Y_\alpha)$ . We need to show that  $\{Y_\alpha\}$  weakly converges to  $x$ . Now  $\{Y_\alpha\}$  is bounded, so  $\{\hat{Y}_\alpha\}$  is equicontinuous on  $X^*$ .  $\{\hat{Y}_\alpha\}$  converges to  $\hat{x}$  pointwise on the subset  $\{f_i\}$  of  $X^*$ . By the shrinking assumption,  $\{f_i\}$  is fundamental in  $X^*$ , hence  $\{\hat{Y}_\alpha\}$  converges to  $\hat{x}$  pointwise on  $X^*$ . That is,  $\{Y_\alpha\}$  converges weakly to  $x$ . This completes the proof.

**III. Existence theorem for countable Markushevich bases.** Unfortunately, there is no general existence theorem for Schauder bases. In contrast to this situation, Theorem III.1 provides a very fine existence theorem for  $M$ -bases.

**THEOREM III.1.** *Let  $X$  be separable and let  $Y$  be a closed, separable, total subspace of  $X^*$ . Then  $X$  admits a  $M$ -basis  $\{y_i, g_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  whose coefficient space is  $Y$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  be a fundamental subset of  $X$  and let  $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  be a fundamental subset of  $Y$ . Note that  $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is total over  $X$ . Hence we can assume, without loss of generality, that  $f_1(x_1) \neq 0$ .

Let  $y_1 = x_1$ ,  $g_1 = f_1/f_1(x_1)$ ,  $k(1) = 1$ .

Suppose that  $\{y_i, g_i\}_{i=1}^{k(n)}$  have been defined so that

- (1)  $\{y_i, g_i\}_{i=1}^{k(n)}$  is biorthogonal;
- (2)  $\text{sp}(\{y_i\}_{i=1}^{k(n)}) \supset \text{sp}(\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ ;
- (3)  $Y \supset \text{sp}(\{g_i\}_{i=1}^{k(n)}) \supset \text{sp}(\{f_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ .

A. If  $x_{n+1}$  is in  $\text{sp}(\{y_i\}_{i=1}^{k(n)})$ , we let  $k = k(n)$  and proceed to B. If  $x_{n+1}$  is not in  $\text{sp}(\{y_i\}_{i=1}^{k(n)})$ , we let  $k = k(n) + 1$ , and let  $y_k = x_{n+1} - \sum_{i=1}^{k(n)} g_i(x_{n+1})y_i$ . (1) implies that  $g_i(y_k) = 0$ , for each  $i \leq k(n)$ . By using the Hahn-Banach theorem in the space  $(X, w(X, Y))$ , we can find  $g_k$  in  $Y$  such that  $g_k(y_k) = 1$  and  $g_k(y_i) = 0$  for each  $i \leq k(n)$ . Note that  $\{y_i, g_i\}_{i=1}^k$  is biorthogonal,  $\text{sp}(\{y_i\}_{i=1}^k) \supset \text{sp}(\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{n+1})$ , and  $Y \supset \text{sp}(\{g_i\}_{i=1}^k)$ . Now proceed to B.

B. If  $f_{n+1}$  is in  $\text{sp}(\{g_i\}_{i=1}^k)$ , let  $k(n+1) = k$ . If  $f_{n+1}$  is not in  $\text{sp}(\{g_i\}_{i=1}^k)$ , let  $k(n+1)$

$=k+1$ , and let  $g_{k(n+1)} = f_{n+1} - \sum_{i=1}^k f_{n+1}(y_i)g_i$ . By using the Hahn-Banach theorem in the space  $(Y, w(Y, X))$ , we can find  $y_{k(n+1)}$  in  $X$  such that  $g_{k(n+1)}(y_{k(n+1)}) = 1$  and  $g_i(y_{k(n+1)}) = 0$  for all  $i \leq k$ .

We have thus extended the sequence  $\{y_i, g_i\}_{i=1}^{k(n)}$  to a sequence  $\{y_i, g_i\}_{i=1}^{k(n+1)}$  such that  $\{y_i, g_i\}_{i=1}^{k(n+1)}$  satisfies (1), (2), and (3) if  $n+1$  is substituted for  $n$ . The sequence  $\{y_i, g_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  obviously satisfies the conclusion of the theorem.

REMARK III.2. Theorem III.1 shows that every separable linear topological space which admits a countable total family of continuous linear functionals must also admit a countable  $M$ -basis. Unfortunately, there are separable locally convex spaces which do not admit a countable total family of continuous linear functionals. (An example is the product of  $\aleph_1$  copies of the real line with the product topology.) Of course, such spaces do not admit countable  $M$ -bases.

One instance of Theorem III.1 is of particular interest:

COROLLARY III.3. *Suppose that  $X$  is separable and  $X^*$  is total over  $X$ . Then  $X$  admits a countable shrinking  $M$ -basis iff  $X^*$  is strongly separable.*

THEOREM III.4. *Let  $X$  be a separable Banach space.  $X$  is isomorphic to a conjugate Banach space iff  $X$  admits a boundedly complete  $M$ -basis.*

**Proof.** If  $X$  is isomorphic to  $Y^*$ , then  $Y$  is separable, so that the conclusion follows from Corollary III.3 and Theorem II.4. Conversely, if  $X$  admits a boundedly complete  $M$ -basis, the conclusion follows from Theorem II.5.

Let  $X$  be a Banach space. Recall that a subspace  $Y$  of  $X^*$  is norming iff there is  $k > 0$  such that for all  $x$  in  $X$ ,  $\|x\| \leq k \sup \{|f(x)| : f \in Y, \|f\| \leq 1\}$ . Equivalently,  $Y$  is norming iff the canonical mapping of  $X$  into  $Y^*$  is an isomorphism of  $X$  into  $Y^*$ . Note that Theorem II.5 shows that the coefficient space of a boundedly complete  $M$ -basis is norming. However, there are  $M$ -bases whose coefficient spaces are not norming. This follows from Theorem III.1 and the well-known fact that there are closed total subspaces of  $c_0^*$  which are not norming. On the other hand, if  $X$  is separable it is easy to see that  $X^*$  contains a separable norming subspace. It thus follows from Theorem III.1 that every separable Banach space admits a  $M$ -basis whose coefficient space is norming.

For many applications, it is desirable to have a stronger existence theorem for  $M$ -bases than Theorem III.1. In particular, which nonseparable Banach spaces admit  $M$ -bases? (Dyer [3] has noted that for  $T$  uncountable,  $m(T)$  has no  $M$ -bases.) Let  $X$  be a separable Banach space. Is there a  $M$ -basis  $\{x_i, f_i\}$  for  $X$  such that  $\{x_i\}$  is bounded in  $X$ ,  $\{f_i\}$  is bounded in  $X^*$ , and the coefficient space of  $\{x_i, f_i\}$  is norming?

#### IV. Generalized summation bases in spaces with the metric approximation property.

In this section we assume that  $X$  is a separable Banach space,  $\{x_i, f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  is a  $M$ -basis for  $X$ , and  $\{S_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is the sequence of operators defined by  $S_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)x_i$ .  $I$  is the identity operator on  $X$ .

Following Kadec [6] we say that  $\{x_i, f_i\}$  is a generalized summation basis (g.s.b.) for  $X$  iff there is a sequence  $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of linear operators with  $R(T_n) \subset D(T_n) = \text{sp}(\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n)$  such that the sequence  $\{T_n S_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of linear operators on  $X$  converges pointwise to  $I$ . Kadec pointed out that not every countable  $M$ -basis is a g.s.b. Indeed, this follows from the comments at the end of §III and the easily verified fact that the coefficient space of a g.s.b. is norming (see [6] and [4]).

It is not known whether every separable Banach space admits a g.s.b. Note that the existence of a g.s.b. for  $X$  implies that  $X$  has the metric approximation property—i.e., that there is a sequence of continuous linear operators of finite range (but not necessarily of norm 1) on  $X$  which converges pointwise to  $I$ . In fact, Theorem IV.1 shows that the metric approximation property is equivalent to the existence of a g.s.b.

**THEOREM IV.1.** *Let  $X$  be a separable Banach space which has the metric approximation property. Then  $X$  admits a generalized summation basis.*

**Proof.** Let  $\{L_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of linear operators of finite range on  $X$  which converges pointwise to  $I$ . Let  $\{x_i, f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  be any  $M$ -basis for  $X$  such that the coefficient space of  $\{x_i, f_i\}$  contains  $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty R(L_n^*)$ . (By Theorem III.1, such a  $M$ -basis exists.)

Write  $L_1(x) = \sum_{i=1}^p g_i(x)y_i$ , where  $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^p \subset R(L_1)$  and  $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^p \subset R(L_1^*)$ . If  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a positive integer  $n(1)$  such that for each  $i \leq p$ , there are  $\bar{x}_i$  in  $\text{sp}(\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{n(1)})$  and  $\bar{f}_i$  in  $\text{sp}(\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{n(1)})$  such that  $\|\bar{x}_i - y_i\| < \epsilon$  and  $\|\bar{f}_i - g_i\| < \epsilon$ .

Let  $T_{n(1)}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^p \bar{f}_i(x)\bar{x}_i$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{n(1)}(x) - L_1(x)\| &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^p (\bar{f}_i(x) - g_i(x))\bar{x}_i + \sum_{i=1}^p g_i(x)(\bar{x}_i - y_i) \right\| \\ &\leq \left[ \sum_{i=1}^p \|\bar{f}_i - g_i\| \|\bar{x}_i\| + \sum_{i=1}^p \|g_i\| \|\bar{x}_i - y_i\| \right] \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus if  $\epsilon$  is sufficiently small, we can assure that  $\|T_{n(1)} - L_1\| < 1$ .

Note that  $T_{n(1)} = T_{n(1)}S_{n(1)}$  and  $R(T_{n(1)}) \subset \text{sp}(\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{n(1)})$ .

A simple extension of this argument shows that there is an increasing sequence  $\{n(i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$  of positive integers and a sequence  $\{T_{n(i)}\}_{i=1}^\infty$  of linear operators on  $X$  such that for each  $i$ ,

$$\|T_{n(i)} - L_i\| < 1/i, \quad R(T_{n(i)}) \subset \text{sp}(\{x_j\}_{j=1}^{n(i)}), \quad \text{and} \quad T_{n(i)} = T_{n(i)}S_{n(i)}.$$

$\{T_{n(i)}\}_{i=1}^\infty$  converges pointwise to  $I$  because  $\{\|T_{n(i)} - L_i\|\}_{i=1}^\infty$  converges to 0 and  $\{L_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  converges pointwise to  $I$ .

We complete the sequence  $\{T_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  by defining

$$\begin{aligned} T_j &= S_j, & \text{if } j < n(1), \\ &= T_{n(i)}, & \text{if } n(i) \leq j < n(i+1). \end{aligned}$$

Note that for each  $j$ ,  $T_j = T_j S_j$ . We have seen that  $\{T_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  converges pointwise to  $I$ ,

so  $\{T_j S_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  converges pointwise to  $I$ . Clearly  $R(T_j) \subset \text{sp}(\{x_i\}_{i=1}^j)$ , so we have shown that  $\{x_i, f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  is a g.s.b. for  $X$ .

Theorem II.6 and the proof of Theorem IV.1 yield a (slight) strengthening of Theorem 4 of [6].

**COROLLARY IV.2.** *Let  $X$  be a separable reflexive Banach space which has the metric approximation property. Then every  $M$ -basis for  $X$  is a g.s.b.*

#### REFERENCES

1. Leon Alaoglu, *Weak topologies of normed linear spaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) **41** (1941), 252–267. MR **1**, 241.
2. E. Dubinsky and J. R. Retherford, *Schauder bases and Köthe sequence spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (1968), 265–280. MR **38** #510.
3. J. A. Dyer, *Generalized Markushevich bases*, Israel J. Math. **7** (1969), 51–59.
4. V. F. Gapoškin and M. I. Kadec, *Operator bases in Banach spaces*, Mat. Sb. **61** (103) (1963), 3–12. (Russian) MR **27** #1810.
5. R. C. James, *Bases and reflexivity of Banach spaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) **52** (1950), 518–527. MR **12**, 616.
6. M. I. Kadec, *Bi-orthogonal systems and summation bases*, Funkcional. Anal. i Primenen. (Trudy 5 Konf. po Funkcional'nomu Analizu i ego Primeneniju) Izdat. Akad. Nauk Azerbaidžan. SSR, Baku 1961, pp. 106–108. (Russian) MR **26** #2858.
7. J. L. Kelley, I. Namioka, et al., *Linear topological spaces*, The University Series in Higher Math., Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1963. MR **29** #3851.
8. A. Markushevich, *Sur les bases (au sens large) dans les espaces linéaires*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **41** (1943), 227–229. MR **6**, 69.
9. J. R. Retherford, *Bases, basic sequences and reflexivity of linear topological spaces*, Math. Ann. **164** (1966), 280–285. MR **33** #6351.
10. J. R. Retherford and E. Dubinsky, *Schauder bases in compatible topologies*, Studia Math. **28** (1966/67), 221–226. MR **36** #640.
11. Ivan Singer, *Basic sequences and reflexivity of Banach spaces*, Studia Math. **21** (1961/62), 351–369. MR **26** #4155.
12. Albert Wilansky, *Functional analysis*, Blaisdell, Waltham, Mass., 1963. MR **30** #425.

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY,  
AMES, IOWA 50010  
UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON,  
HOUSTON, TEXAS 77004