

## STRUCTURE SPACES OF SEMIGROUPS OF CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

BY  
K. D. MAGILL, JR.

**Abstract.** In a previous paper, we associated a topological space with each left ideal of a semigroup. Here, we determine this space when the semigroup under consideration is the semigroup of all continuous selfmaps of any space belonging to a fairly extensive class of topological spaces and the left ideal is taken to be the kernel of the semigroup.

**1. Introduction and statement of main theorem.** In [3], we associated with each left ideal  $Z$  of a semigroup  $T$ , a structure space which we denoted by  $\mathcal{U}(T, Z)$ . This space is formed as follows: a nonempty subset  $A$  of  $T \times Z$  is a *bond* if for any finite subset  $\{(t_i, z_i)\}_{i=1}^N \subseteq A$ , the system of equations  $\{t_i x = z_i\}_{i=1}^N$  has a common solution  $x$  in  $Z$ . An *ultrabond* is a bond which is not properly contained in any other bond.  $\mathcal{U}(T, Z)$  is then defined to be the family of all such ultrabonds.  $\mathcal{U}(T, Z) \neq \emptyset$  since the existence of a bond is immediate and by Zorn's Lemma, every bond is contained in ultrabond. We topologize  $\mathcal{U}(T, Z)$  in the following manner: for each  $(t, z) \in T \times Z$ , let

$$H(t, z) = \{A \in \mathcal{U}(T, Z) : (t, z) \in A\}$$

and take  $\{H(t, z) : (t, z) \in T \times Z\}$  to be a subbasis for the closed subsets of  $\mathcal{U}(T, Z)$ . For some general facts about such spaces, one should consult [3, pp. 319–324]. In the event  $Z$  is the kernel (minimal two-sided ideal) of  $T_1$ , we refer to  $\mathcal{U}(T, Z)$  as the  $\mathcal{K}$ -*structure space of  $\mathcal{F}$*  and denote it simply by  $\mathcal{U}(T)$ .

Before we can state the Main Theorem, we need to recall some facts about  $E$ -compact spaces which were introduced by Engleking and Mrówka in [1]. We use the terminology and notation adopted in [4] which gives a rather extensive account of the theory. Let  $E$  be any Hausdorff space. A space  $X$  is  $E$ -completely regular if it is homeomorphic to a subset of some cartesian product of copies of  $E$  and it is  $E$ -compact if it is homeomorphic to a closed subset of such a product. We will refer to an  $E$ -compact space  $Y$  which contains  $X$  as a dense subspace as an  $E$ -compactification of  $X$ . An important fact about  $E$ -compactifications is that if  $E$  is compact in the usual sense, then each  $E$ -completely regular space  $X$  has a

---

Received by the editors October 14, 1969.

*AMS Subject Classifications.* Primary 5453, 2092.

*Key Words and Phrases.* Structure spaces, extensions of topological spaces, compactifications, semigroups of continuous functions.

Copyright © 1970, American Mathematical Society

largest  $E$ -compactification  $\beta_E X$  in the sense that any other  $E$ -compactification of  $X$  is a continuous image of  $\beta_E X$  under a map which keeps the points of  $X$  fixed. This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.14 of [4, p. 177]. Before stating our Main Theorem, we introduce one additional concept.

**DEFINITION.** Let  $E$  be Hausdorff. A space  $X$  is  $E$ -separated if it is Hausdorff and for each pair  $H$  and  $K$  of disjoint nonempty closed subsets of  $X$ , there exists a continuous function  $f$  from  $X$  into  $E$  and two distinct points  $p$  and  $q$  of  $E$  such that  $f(x)=p$  for  $x \in H$  and  $f(x)=q$  for  $x \in K$ .

Evidently, a space  $X$  is normal if and only if it is  $\mathcal{I}$ -separated where  $\mathcal{I}$  denotes the closed unit interval. Furthermore, it follows rather quickly from Theorem 2.1 [4, p. 165] that every  $E$ -separated space is also  $E$ -completely regular. We are now in a position to state our main result which concerns the  $\mathcal{X}$ -structure space of the semigroup  $S(X)$  of all continuous selfmaps of  $X$  where the binary operation is ordinary composition.

**MAIN THEOREM.** *Suppose that  $E$  is compact and that  $X$  is  $E$ -separated and contains a copy of  $E$ . Then the  $\mathcal{X}$ -structure space of  $S(X)$  is, in fact,  $\beta_E X$  the largest  $E$ -compactification of  $X$ .*

**2. Proof of the main theorem and two corollaries.** Since the proof will rely rather heavily in several instances upon Theorem (1.10) of [3, p. 322], we begin by discussing some concepts which are relevant to that result. Once again  $T$  is a semigroup and  $Z$  is a left ideal of  $T$ . If  $T$  has a left identity, then for each  $v \in Z$ ,  $A_v = \{(t, tv) : t \in T\}$  is an ultrabond [3, Lemma (1.2), p. 320] and the set of all ultrabonds of this form is denoted by  $\mathcal{R}(T, Z)$  and is referred to as the realization of  $Z$ . This is a subspace of  $\mathcal{U}(T, Z)$  and may well be a proper subspace. Now to each element  $a \in T$ , one can associate in a very natural way an element  $f_a$  in  $S(\mathcal{R}(T, Z))$  the semigroup, under composition, of all continuous selfmaps of  $\mathcal{R}(T, Z)$ . The mapping  $f_a$  is defined by  $f_a(A_v) = A_{av}$  for each  $A_v \in \mathcal{R}(T, Z)$ . Theorem (1.8) of [3, p. 321] asserts, among other things, that  $f_a$  is continuous. Now we are in a position to extract the portion of Theorem (1.10) of [3, p. 322] which we need here. It is as follows:

(1) If the pair  $(T, Z)$  is admissible and  $T$  has a left identity then  $\mathcal{U}(T, Z)$  is a Hausdorff compactification of  $\mathcal{R}(T, Z)$  and each  $f_a$  in  $S(\mathcal{R}(T, Z))$  has a unique extension to a function  $f_a^E$  in  $S(\mathcal{U}(T, Z))$ . The pair  $(T, Z)$  is defined to be admissible [3, Definition (1.6), p. 320] if

(2)  $A$  is an ultrabond and  $A \in \mathcal{C}H(t_1, z_1)$  (the complement of  $H(t_1, z_1)$  in  $\mathcal{U}(T, Z)$ ) then there exist  $(t_2, z_2)$  and  $(t_3, z_3)$  in  $T \times Z$  such that

$$A \in \mathcal{C}H(t_2, z_2) \subseteq H(t_3, z_3) \subseteq \mathcal{C}H(t_1, z_1).$$

Now suppose we turn our attention to the semigroup  $S(X)$  where  $X$  satisfies the conditions stated in the Main Theorem. For any point  $p \in X$ , we denote by  $\langle p \rangle$  the constant function which maps each point of  $X$  into  $p$ . One easily verifies

that the kernel  $K(X)$  of  $S(X)$  is precisely the family of all constant function on  $X$ . Furthermore, it is not difficult to show that

(3) For any  $f \in S(X)$  and  $\langle y \rangle, \langle z \rangle$  in  $K(X)$ ,  $f \circ \langle y \rangle = \langle z \rangle$  if and only if  $f(y) = z$ .

(4) A subset  $A$  of  $S(X) \times K(X)$  is a bond if and only if  $\{f^{-1}(z) : (f, \langle z \rangle) \in A\}$  has the finite intersection property.

(5)  $A$  is an ultrabond if and only if  $(f, \langle z \rangle) \notin A$  implies  $f^{-1}(z) \cap g_1^{-1}(y_1) \cap \dots \cap g_N^{-1}(y_N) = \emptyset$  for some finite subfamily  $\{(g_i, \langle y_i \rangle)\}_{i=1}^N$  of  $A$ .

We next want to observe that the pair  $(S(X), K(X))$  is admissible. A space  $Y$  is defined in [3, Definition (2.5), p. 327] to be a strong  $S^*$ -space if it is Hausdorff and for each pair of disjoint closed subsets  $H$  and  $K$  of  $Y$  there exist distinct points  $p$  and  $q$  of  $Y$  and a continuous selfmap  $f$  of  $Y$  such that  $f(x) = p$  for  $x \in K$ . Since  $X$  is  $E$ -separated and contains a copy of  $E$ , it follows readily that  $X$  is a strong  $S^*$ -space. Consequently, by Theorem (2.7) [3, p. 328] the pair  $(S(X), K(X))$  is admissible and (1) now applies. We will use (1) in proving that

(6)  $\mathcal{U}(S(X))$  is  $E$ -compact.

By (1),  $\mathcal{U}(S(X))$  is a Hausdorff space which is compact in the usual sense. Thus, if an embedding into a cartesian product of copies of  $E$  exists,  $\mathcal{U}(S(X))$  must necessarily be embedded as a closed subset. Consequently, we need only prove the existence of an embedding. According to Theorem (2.1) of [4, p. 165], it will be sufficient to show that for each closed subset  $W$  of  $\mathcal{U}(S(X))$  and each  $A \in \mathcal{U}(S(X))$  with  $A \notin W$ , there exists a continuous function  $f$  from  $\mathcal{U}(S(X))$  into  $E$  and a point  $q \in E$  such that  $f(B) = q$  for  $B \in W$  and  $f(A) \neq q$ .

Since  $\{H(g, \langle y \rangle) : g \in S(X), y \in X\}$  is a subbasis for the closed subsets of  $\mathcal{U}(S(X))$ , there exists a finite subfamily  $\{(g_i, \langle y_i \rangle)\}_{i=1}^N$  of  $S(X) \times K(X)$  such that

(7)  $A \notin W^*, \quad W \subseteq W^*$

where  $W^* = H(g_1, \langle y_1 \rangle) \cup \dots \cup H(g_N, \langle y_N \rangle)$ . Since  $A \notin W^*$ , there exist by (5), finite subfamilies  $\{(h_i, \langle v_i \rangle)\}_{i=1}^{N_i}$  of  $A$  with the property that  $g_i^{-1}(y_i) \cap V_i = \emptyset$  where

$$V_i = h_i^{-1}(v_i) \cap \dots \cap h_{i_{N_i}}^{-1}(v_{i_{N_i}})$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq N$ . Now let  $V^* = \bigcap \{V_i\}_{i=1}^N$  and let  $H = \bigcup \{g_i^{-1}(y_i)\}_{i=1}^N$ . Then  $H \cap V^* = \emptyset$  and since  $X$  is  $E$ -separated and contains a copy  $E^*$  of  $E$ , there exists a continuous function  $f$  mapping  $X$  into  $E^*$  and two distinct points  $p$  and  $q$  of  $E^*$  such that

(8)  $f(x) = p$  for  $x \in V^*$  and  $f(x) = q$  for  $x \in H$ .

As we observed in the discussion preceding (1), the mapping  $f_f$  defined by  $f_f(A_{\langle x \rangle}) = A_{f \circ \langle x \rangle}$  is a continuous selfmap of  $\mathcal{R}(S(X), K(X))$  which, by (1) has a unique extension to a continuous selfmap  $f_f^E$  of  $\mathcal{U}(S(X))$ . Hereafter, we will denote the space  $\mathcal{R}(S(X), K(X))$  more simply by  $\mathcal{R}(S(X))$ . Since  $X$  is an  $S^*$ -space (in fact, a strong  $S^*$ -space), the canonical map  $e$  which takes  $x \in X$  into  $A_{\langle x \rangle}$  in  $\mathcal{R}(S(X))$

is a homeomorphism from  $X$  onto  $\mathcal{R}(S(X))$  [3, Theorem (2.3), p. 325]. We assert that

$$(9) \quad f_f^E \text{ maps } \mathcal{U}(S(X)) \text{ into } e[E^*],$$

and

$$(10) \quad f_f^E(A) = e(p) \quad \text{and} \quad f_f^E(B) = e(q) \quad \text{for } B \in W^*.$$

We recall first of all that  $f$  maps all of  $X$  into  $E^*$ . Hence, for any  $A_{\langle x \rangle} \in \mathcal{R}(S(X))$ ,

$$f_f^E(A_{\langle x \rangle}) = A_{\langle f(x) \rangle} = e(f(x)) \in e[E^*].$$

By (1),  $\mathcal{R}(S(X))$  is dense in  $\mathcal{U}(S(X))$  and since  $E^*$  is compact, we get

$$f_f^E[\mathcal{U}(S(X))] = f_f^E[\text{cl } \mathcal{R}(S(X))] \subseteq \text{cl } f_f^E[\mathcal{R}(S(X))] \subseteq \text{cl } e[E^*] = e[E^*]$$

where  $\text{cl}$  denotes closure. This verifies (9). Now we want to show that

$$(11) \quad A \in \text{cl } \{A_{\langle x \rangle} : x \in V^*\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{C}[H(k_1, \langle r_1 \rangle) \cup \dots \cup H(k_m, \langle r_m \rangle)]$  be any basic open subset of  $\mathcal{U}(S(X))$  which contains  $A$ . Then by (5), there exist finite subfamilies  $\{(t_i, \langle a_i \rangle)\}_{i=1}^{M_i}$  of  $A$  such that  $k_i^{-1}(r_i) \cap U_i = \emptyset$  where

$$U_i = t_{i_1}^{-1}(a_{i_1}) \cap \dots \cap t_{i_{M_i}}^{-1}(a_{i_{M_i}}).$$

By (4), there exists a point  $x$  in  $V^* \cap U_1 \cap \dots \cap U_M$ . Thus,  $x \notin k_i^{-1}(r_i)$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots, M$  from which it follows that  $(k_i, \langle r_i \rangle) \notin A_{\langle x \rangle}$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots, M$ . Therefore,

$$A_{\langle x \rangle} \in \mathcal{C}[H(k_1, \langle r_1 \rangle) \cup \dots \cup H(k_M, \langle r_M \rangle)]$$

and this proves (11). Now for any  $x \in V^*$ ,  $f(x)=p$  and we have

$$f_f^E(A_{\langle x \rangle}) = A_{\langle f(x) \rangle} = e(f(x)) = e(p).$$

This fact, together with (11) implies that  $f_f^E(A)=e(p)$  which is the first half of (10). In much the same way that we verified (11), one can show that if  $B \in W^*$ , then  $B \in \text{cl } \{A_{\langle x \rangle} : x \in H\}$  and since  $f(x)=q$  for  $x \in H$ , it follows that  $f_f^E(A_{\langle x \rangle})=e(q)$  for each  $x$  in  $H$ . Therefore,  $f_f^E(B)=e(q)$  for each  $B \in W^*$  and this completes the proof of statement (10). In view of the discussion immediately following statement (6), it is a consequence of (9) and (10) that (6) is valid, that is,  $\mathcal{U}(S(X))$  is  $E$ -compact.

Now we are in a position to show that  $\mathcal{U}(S(X))$  is  $\beta_E X$ . Actually, we show that  $\mathcal{U}(S(X))$  is  $\beta_E \mathcal{R}(S(X))$  but since the canonical map  $e$  maps  $X$  homeomorphically onto  $\mathcal{R}(S(X))$  we identify the two spaces. In order to conclude that  $\mathcal{U}(S(X))$  is  $\beta_E \mathcal{R}(S(X))$  it is sufficient, according to Theorem 4.14 of [4, p. 177] to show that  $\mathcal{U}(S(X))$  is  $E$ -compact and also that every continuous function from  $\mathcal{R}(S(X))$  into  $E$  can be continuously extended to a function which maps  $\mathcal{U}(S(X))$  into  $E$ . We have yet to verify the latter and for this, it will be sufficient to show that any continuous

function  $f$  from  $\mathcal{R}(S(X))$  into  $e[E^*]$  has a continuous extension to a function which maps  $\mathcal{U}(S(X))$  into  $e[E^*]$ . To get this extension we note that  $g = e^{-1} \circ f \circ e$  belongs to  $S(X)$  and hence  $f_g^E$  is a continuous selfmap of  $\mathcal{U}(S(X))$  by (1). For any  $A_{\langle x \rangle} \in \mathcal{R}(S(X))$ , we have

$$f_g^E(A_{\langle x \rangle}) = A_{g \circ \langle x \rangle} = A_{\langle g(x) \rangle} = e(g(x)) = f(e(x)) = f(A_{\langle x \rangle}).$$

Thus  $f_g^E$  is indeed an extension of  $f$  which (since  $e[E^*]$  is compact and  $\mathcal{U}(S(X))$  is dense in  $\mathcal{U}(S(X))$ ) maps  $\mathcal{U}(S(X))$  into  $e[E^*]$ . This completes the proof of the Main Theorem.

If, in the Main Theorem, we take  $E$  to be the closed unit interval, we immediately get the following result which first appeared in [3, p. 329] as Corollary (2.8).

**COROLLARY 1.** *Suppose  $X$  is normal, Hausdorff and contains an arc. Then the  $\mathcal{X}$ -structure space of  $S(X)$  is the Stone-Čech compactification of  $X$ .*

A partition of a space  $X$  is any finite collection of mutually disjoint subsets of  $X$  which are both closed and open and whose union is all of  $X$ . A 0-dimensional space here will mean a space whose Lebesgue dimension is zero, that is, one with the property that every open cover has a refinement by a partition of the space.

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $X$  be a normal 0-dimensional Hausdorff space. Then the  $\mathcal{X}$ -structure space of  $S(X)$  is the Stone-Čech compactification of  $X$ .*

**Proof.** Here again we apply the Main Theorem and in this case we take  $E$  to be the two-point discrete space  $\mathcal{D}$ . The conclusion is immediate if  $X$  has only one point so we assume that  $X$  has more than one point and, consequently contains a copy of  $\mathcal{D}$ . To show that  $X$  is  $\mathcal{D}$ -separated, let  $H$  and  $K$  be two disjoint closed subsets of  $X$ . Then  $\{\mathcal{C}H, \mathcal{C}K\}$  is a cover of  $X$  and hence has a refinement by a partition  $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^N$  of  $X$ .

Let  $W = \bigcup \{V_i : V_i \subset \mathcal{C}H\}$ . Then  $W$  is a subset of  $X$  which is both closed and open. Furthermore,  $H \subset \mathcal{C}W$  and since  $K \subset \mathcal{C}H$  and  $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^N$  is a refinement of  $\{\mathcal{C}H, \mathcal{C}K\}$  it readily follows that  $K \subset W$ . Therefore, if  $p$  and  $q$  denote the two points of  $\mathcal{D}$ , the function which maps all of  $W$  into  $p$  and  $\mathcal{C}W$  into  $q$  is continuous and we conclude that  $X$  is  $\mathcal{D}$ -separated. Then by the Main Theorem, the  $\mathcal{D}$ -structure space of  $S(X)$  is  $\beta_{\mathcal{D}}X$ , the largest  $\mathcal{D}$ -compactification of  $X$ . Now it is well known that the Stone-Čech compactification  $\beta X$  of  $X$  is the largest among all the compactifications of  $X$ . So, in order to conclude that  $\beta_{\mathcal{D}}X = \beta X$ , it is sufficient to observe that  $\beta X$  is a  $\mathcal{D}$ -compactification of  $X$ . In [2, p. 243], a modified definition of Lebesgue dimension is used. However, the definition there agrees with the usual one for normal spaces. Consequently, it follows from Theorem 16.11 of [2, p. 245] that  $\beta X$  is 0-dimensional. Then  $\beta X$  is also 0-dimensional in the sense of [4], that is, it has a basis of sets which are both open and closed. But this implies that  $\beta X$  is  $\mathcal{D}$ -compact [4, p. 176] and hence that  $\beta X$  is a  $\mathcal{D}$ -compactification of  $X$ .

## REFERENCES

1. R. Engleking and S. Mrówka, *On E-compact spaces*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astr. Phys. **6** (1958), 492–436. MR **20** #3522.
2. L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, The University Series in Higher Math., Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1960. MR **22** #6994.
3. K. D. Magill, Jr., *Topological spaces determined by left ideals of semigroups*, Pacific J. Math. **24** (1968), 319–330. MR **36** #7125.
4. S. Mrówka, *Further results on E-compact spaces. I*, Acta Math. **120** (1968), 161–185. MR **37** #2165.

STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT BUFFALO,  
BUFFALO, NEW YORK 14226