

GROUP ALGEBRA MODULES. III

BY

S. L. GULICK⁽¹⁾, T.-S. LIU⁽¹⁾ AND A. C. M. VAN ROOIJ

Abstract. Let Γ be a locally compact group and K a Banach space. The left $L^1(\Gamma)$ module K is by definition absolutely continuous under the composition $*$ if for $k \in K$ there exist $f \in L^1(\Gamma)$, $k' \in K$ with $k = f * k'$. If the locally compact Hausdorff space X is a transformation group over Γ and has a measure quasi-invariant with respect to Γ , then $L^1(X)$ is an absolutely continuous $L^1(\Gamma)$ module—the main object we study. If $Y \subseteq X$ is measurable, let L_Y consist of all functions in $L^1(X)$ vanishing outside Y . For $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$ not locally null and B a closed linear subspace of K , we observe the connection between the closed linear span (denoted $L_\Omega * B$) of the elements $f * k$, with $f \in L_\Omega$ and $k \in B$, and the collection of functions of B shifted by elements in Ω . As a result, a closed linear subspace of $L^1(X)$ is an L_Z for some measurable $Z \subseteq X$ if and only if it is closed under pointwise multiplication by elements of $L^\infty(X)$. This allows the theorem stating that if $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$ and $Y \subseteq X$ are both measurable, then there is a measurable subset Z of X such that $L_\Omega * L_Y = L_Z$. Under certain restrictions on Γ , we show that this Z is essentially open in the (usually stronger) orbit topology on X . Finally we prove that if Ω and Y are both relatively sigma-compact, and if also $L_\Omega * L_Y \subseteq L_Y$, then there exist Ω_1 and Y_1 locally almost everywhere equal to Ω and Y respectively, such that $\Omega_1 Y_1 \subseteq Y_1$; in addition we characterize those Ω and Y for which $L_\Omega * L_\Omega = L_\Omega$ and $L_\Omega * L_Y = L_Y$.

1. Introduction. This paper, and the one which follows, arise quite naturally from our earlier papers [3] and [4]. Let us see how. Take Γ as a locally compact group, and $L^1(\Gamma)$ the Banach space of integrable functions on Γ . If we let K be an arbitrary left $L^1(\Gamma)$ module, we may inquire what are the left module homomorphisms from $L^1(\Gamma)$ to K . In [3], amongst other things, we give a (not quite complete) solution to the general question, and then give complete solutions in case $K = L^p(\Gamma)$, $p \in [1, \infty]$. In [4] we assume that Γ acts on a given locally compact space X as a transformation group and that m_X is a measure on X quasi-invariant with respect to Γ . Then we show that $L^p(X)$ may be rendered as a left $L^1(\Gamma)$ module, to which we may ask what are the left module homomorphisms from $L^1(\Gamma)$ to $L^p(X)$.

The present investigations start at that point. In this paper we discuss the more general aspects of Banach spaces K which can be represented as left $L^1(\Gamma)$ modules. We denote the module composition by $*$. We pay particular attention to those modules whose elements are factorable (i.e., $k \in K$ implies that there is an

Received by the editors June 17, 1969.

AMS 1969 subject classifications. Primary 4680, 2220.

Key words and phrases. Transformation group, quasi-invariant measure, absolutely continuous measure, approximate identity, factorable, orbit topology, vanishing algebra, group algebra module.

⁽¹⁾ Research supported in part by the National Science Foundation.

Copyright © 1970, American Mathematical Society

$f \in L^1(\Gamma)$ and $k' \in K$ such that $k = f * k'$). Such spaces we call absolutely continuous modules. For each element in such a K we can describe the notion of left shift by elements of Γ , and each such shift by $\sigma \in \Gamma$ is continuous as a function of σ .

A major reason for our study of absolutely continuous modules appears in §3. For $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$, let L_Ω consist of all $L^1(\Gamma)$ functions vanishing off Ω . If B is a closed subspace of K , we denote by $L_\Omega * B$ the closed linear span of elements of the form $f * k$, $f \in L_\Omega$ and $k \in K$. The fundamental Decomposition Theorem 3.2 states that if Ω is not locally null, then any such $L_\Omega * B$ can be approximated by sums of shifts of B by elements essentially (to be made precise in the text) in Ω . If Y is measurable in X , and L_Y has a meaning analogous to L_Ω , then $L_\Omega * L_Y$ may be approximated by sums of shifts of L_Y . In particular, $L_\Gamma * L_\Omega = L_\Gamma$, the whole space! Together with Theorem 3.9, which determines that a closed linear subspace of $L^1(X)$ is an L_Z for some measurable $Z \subseteq X$ if and only if it is closed under pointwise multiplication by $L^\infty(X)$, these results allow us to prove in Theorem 3.10 that if $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$ and $Y \subseteq X$ are both measurable, then there exists a measurable subset Z of X such that $L_\Omega * L_Y = L_Z$. We terminate the section with a sort of counterpart to the decomposition and a corollary of value in later studies.

In the rest of this paper we analyze the set Z occurring above—under the stipulation that if Γ_0 is a sigma-compact open subgroup of Γ , then $m_x(\Gamma_0 x) > 0$ for all $x \in X$. This condition gives us certain continuity conditions on the convolution which we utilize, and without the condition Z is unmanageable. It turns out that Z is essentially open—in a certain natural topology which ordinarily is stronger than the given topology on X . This new topology we call the orbit topology since it is described in terms of the orbits Γx , $x \in X$, and we discuss it in §4 when we are in the process of determining Z .

In §5 we study the relationship between the two notions $\Omega Y \subseteq Y$ and $L_\Omega * L_Y \subseteq L_Y$. That $\Omega Y \subseteq Y$ implies $L_\Omega * L_Y \subseteq L_Y$ is true and easy to prove. The converse would say that if $L_\Omega * L_Y \subseteq L_Y$ then there exist Ω_1, Y_1 l.a.e. equal to Ω, Y respectively, such that $\Omega_1 Y_1 \subseteq Y_1$. It is as yet not known, even if $X = \Gamma$ and $Y = \Omega$, except when Ω is relatively sigma-compact [6]. Nevertheless, we prove it when both Ω and Y are relatively sigma-compact. We conclude the paper by characterizing those Ω and Y for which $L_\Omega * L_\Omega = L_\Omega$ and $L_\Omega * L_Y = L_Y$.

2. Setting. We begin the definitions and notations by prescribing \emptyset to be the empty set. If A and B are two sets, then $B \setminus A$ is the complement of $A \cap B$ in B . Let Γ be a locally compact group with identity 1, m a left Haar measure on Γ , and L_Γ the Banach space of integrable functions on Γ , with the usual norm $\| \cdot \|_1$. For $\sigma \in \Gamma$ and $f \in L_\Gamma$ we have the left shift f_σ (also in L_Γ), defined by $f_\sigma(\tau) = f(\sigma\tau)$, $\tau \in \Gamma$, and the right shift f^σ (in L_Γ), defined by $f^\sigma(\tau) = f(\tau\sigma)\Delta(\sigma)$, $\tau \in \Gamma$, where Δ is the modular function for Γ . For $f \in L_\Gamma$ we let $f' \in L_\Gamma$ be defined by $f'(\tau) = \Delta(\tau^{-1})f(\tau^{-1})$. If $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$, we let L_Ω denote the collection of functions in L_Γ which vanish almost everywhere in $\Gamma \setminus \Omega$.

Let X be a locally compact (Hausdorff) space. We say that Γ acts as a transformation group on X if Γ is a group of homeomorphisms on X such that the map $\Gamma \times X \rightarrow X$ defined by $(\sigma, x) \rightarrow \sigma x$, $\sigma \in \Gamma$, $x \in X$, is jointly continuous. If m_x is a positive Radon measure on X with the property that if $Y \subseteq X$ and $m_x(Y) = 0$, then $m_x(\sigma Y) = 0$ for all $\sigma \in \Gamma$, then we say that m_x is quasi-invariant. The functions integrable on X with respect to m_x describe the space L_x , under the usual L^1 norm. For $Y \subseteq X$ and $p \in [1, \infty]$, $L_x^p = \{f \in L^p(X) : f = 0 \text{ l.a.e. on } X \setminus Y\}$. The characteristic function of $Y \subseteq X$ is written ξ_Y . We abbreviate "almost everywhere" to "a.e.", and "locally almost everywhere" to "l.a.e." We use the notation $Y \subseteq Z$ l.a.e. to mean that $Z \setminus Y$ is locally null. Then $Y = Z$ l.a.e. means that $Y \subseteq Z$ l.a.e. and $Z \subseteq Y$ l.a.e. For measure-theoretic notations we generally follow [5]. Let L_x^∞ denote the measurable, essentially bounded functions on X . We let I denote an indexing set (to serve the purpose). We denote by \mathbf{R} the additive group of real numbers with the usual topology.

Throughout the paper K will denote a Banach space, K^* the topological conjugate (dual) space under its usual dual norm. For every $\mu \in M(\Gamma)$ and every bounded continuous map $F: \Gamma \rightarrow K$ there exists by Proposition 8 of §1 of [1] a unique element $\int F d\mu \in K$ such that

$$k^* \left(\int F d\mu \right) = \int_X (k^* \circ F) d\mu, \quad k^* \in K^*.$$

Further, if K_1 is another Banach space and $T: K \rightarrow K_1$ is a continuous linear map, then $\int (T \circ F) d\mu = T \left(\int F d\mu \right)$.

If $f \in L_\Gamma$, there is a unique $\mu \in M(\Gamma)$ with $d\mu(\sigma) = f(\sigma) d\sigma$, $d\sigma$ representing the element of the left invariant Haar measure. Instead of $\int F d\mu$ we shall write $\int f(\sigma)F(\sigma) d\sigma$. Then $\| \int f(\sigma)F(\sigma) d\sigma \| \leq \int_\Gamma |f(\sigma)| \cdot \|F(\sigma)\| d\sigma$.

Let K be a Banach space. We call $(K, *)$ a left L_Γ -module if K is a Banach space over the same scalar field as L_Γ and if $*$ is a bilinear operation with the following properties:

- (α) $*$: $L_\Gamma \times K \rightarrow K$,
- (β) $(f * g) * k = f * (g * k)$, $f, g \in L_\Gamma$, $k \in K$,
- (γ) $\|f * k\| \leq \|f\|_1 \|k\|$, $f, g \in L_\Gamma$, $k \in K$.

We should not confuse the module composition with convolution, even though they are given by the same symbol. The former acts on $L_\Gamma \times K$, and the latter on $L_\Gamma \times L_\Gamma$. A simple glance to the right and left of $*$ should tell which space $*$ acts on.

For any L_Γ -module K let us denote by K_{abs} the space $\{f * k : f \in L_\Gamma, k \in K\}$. Then K_{abs} is a closed submodule of K (Corollary 2.3 of [4]), and $(K_{\text{abs}})_{\text{abs}} = K_{\text{abs}}$. (This follows from the fact that L_Γ is factorable; see [2].) If $K = K_{\text{abs}}$, we say that K is *absolutely continuous*. It is easy to see that if K is absolutely continuous, then for an approximate identity $(u_i)_{i \in I}$ in L_Γ , we have $\lim_i (u_i * k) = k$ for each $k \in K$. As K_{abs} is closed, the converse is also true.

If K is absolutely continuous, then every element $\sigma \in \Gamma$ defines a unique linear isometry $k \rightarrow k_\sigma$ of K onto K such that

$$f * k = \int f(\tau)k_{\tau^{-1}} d\tau,$$

$$(f * k)_\sigma = f_\sigma * k, \quad \text{and} \quad f * k_\sigma = f^\sigma * k \quad (f \in L_\Gamma, k \in K, \sigma \in \Gamma).$$

k_σ is called the shift of k by σ . It is jointly continuous and satisfies $(k_\sigma)_\tau = k_{\sigma\tau}$, $k_1 = k$. We shall omit the proof of these facts. We only mention that k_σ may be defined by $k_\sigma = \lim_i u_i^\sigma * k$ ($k \in K$).

We defend the terminology “absolutely continuous module” by noting that if $K = M(\Gamma)$, then $\mu \in M(\Gamma)$ is absolutely continuous in the conventional sense (with respect to left Haar measure) if and only if $\mu \in M(\Gamma)_{\text{abs}}$.

We describe other examples of L_Γ -modules, some of which we will find are absolutely continuous, and others not. To begin with, let Γ be a locally compact transformation group acting on a locally compact Hausdorff space X , as described above. We make $C_\infty(X)$ and $M(X)$ into L_Γ -modules by

$$f * k(x) = \int_\Gamma f(\sigma)k(\sigma^{-1}x) d\sigma \quad (f \in L_\Gamma, k \in C_\infty(X), x \in X)$$

$$f * \mu(k) = \int_\Gamma (f' * k) d\mu \quad (f \in L_\Gamma, \mu \in M(X), k \in C_\infty(X)).$$

$C_\infty(X)$ is absolutely continuous ([4, 4.11]) and the shift is given by

$$k_\sigma(x) = k(\sigma x) \quad (\sigma \in \Gamma, x \in X, k \in C_\infty(X)).$$

In general, $M(X)$ will not be absolutely continuous. The space $M(X)_{\text{abs}}$ has been discussed in [7].

Let Γ act on X as above, and let m_X be a quasi-invariant measure on X . The realization of L_X as the space of all elements of $M(X)$ that are absolutely continuous with respect to m_X makes L_X an absolutely continuous submodule of $M(X)$ (Theorem 4.11 of [4]). In [4, §4] the authors have constructed a positive measurable function J on $\Gamma \times X$ such that for $f \in L_\Gamma$ and $k \in L_X$,

$$f * k(x) = \int_\Gamma f(\sigma)k(\sigma^{-1}x)J(\sigma^{-1}, x) d\sigma$$

for locally almost all $x \in X$. By means of this J we can make every L_X^p ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) into an L_Γ -module by defining

$$f * k(x) = \int_\Gamma f(\sigma)k(\sigma^{-1}x)J(\sigma^{-1}, x)^{p-1} d\sigma \quad (\text{l.a.e. } x \in X)$$

for all $f \in L_\Gamma, k \in L_X^p$. As was shown in [4, Theorem 4.11] L_X^p is absolutely continuous if $p < \infty$. Generally L_X^∞ is not. The canonical map $C_\infty(X) \rightarrow L_X^\infty$ is a module homomorphism. In particular, if $\text{supp } m_X = X, C_\infty(X)$ is an absolutely continuous submodule of L_X^∞ .

One final note on concrete examples of L_Γ absolutely continuous modules. There exist examples for which the composition is not a generalized convolution. Take X to be an abelian locally compact group and let Γ be the character group of X . For $f \in L_\Gamma$ and $k \in L_X$, put $f * k = \hat{f}k$.

Absolutely continuous L_Γ -modules have some inheritance properties. In the first place, we have already mentioned that closed submodules of absolutely continuous L_Γ -modules are themselves absolutely continuous. Next we come to sums and intersections of absolutely continuous L_Γ -modules, which we define forthwith. Let K_1 and K_2 be L_Γ -modules and let H be a closed subspace of the product $K_1 \times K_2$. We require that $(f * k_1, f * k_2) \in H$ for any $f \in L_\Gamma, (k_1, k_2) \in H$. The vector spaces H and $(K_1 \times K_2)/H$ are turned into Banach spaces $K_1 \wedge_H K_2$ and $K_1 \vee_H K_2$, respectively, by the definitions

$$\|(k_1, k_2)\| = \max(\|k_1\|, \|k_2\|),$$

$$\|(k_1, k_2) + H\| = \inf \{ \|k'_1\| + \|k'_2\| : k'_1 \in K_1, k'_2 \in K_2 \text{ and } (k'_1, k'_2) \equiv (k_1, k_2) + H \}.$$

($K_1 \wedge_H K_2$ is called the intersection of K_1 and K_2 ; $K_1 \vee_H K_2$ is their sum.)

The proofs of these facts and a general investigation of these Banach spaces occur in [8]. Carrying on, we render $K_1 \wedge_H K_2$ and $K_1 \vee_H K_2$ as L_Γ -modules by the quite natural formulas

$$f * (k_1, k_2) = (f * k_1, f * k_2), \quad f \in L_\Gamma, (k_1, k_2) \in H,$$

$$f * [(k_1, k_2) + H] = (f * k_1, f * k_2) + H, \quad f \in L_\Gamma, k_1 \in K_1, k_2 \in K_2.$$

Furthermore, if K_1 and K_2 are absolutely continuous, then so are $K_1 \wedge_H K_2$ and $K_1 \vee_H K_2$. In fact, it is simple to compute that

$$(K_1 \wedge_H K_2)_{\text{abs}} = H \cap \{(k_1, k_2) : k_1 \in (K_1)_{\text{abs}}, k_2 \in (K_2)_{\text{abs}}\},$$

$$(K_1 \vee_H K_2)_{\text{abs}} = \{(k_1, k_2) + H : k_1 \in (K_1)_{\text{abs}}, k_2 \in (K_2)_{\text{abs}}\}.$$

If we wish to investigate the dual K^* of an L_Γ -module K , a natural composition is defined by

$$(f * k^*)k = k^*(f' * k), \quad f \in L_\Gamma, k \in K, k^* \in K^*,$$

and endowed with it, K^* is an L_Γ -module. We have already used this formula to define a module structure on $M(X) = C_\infty(X)^*$. In general, K^* will not be absolutely continuous, even if K is. For example, take $K = L_X$ where $X = \Gamma = \mathbf{R}$. Then K is absolutely continuous, while $K^* = L^\infty(X)$ is not. On the other hand, if we use the criterion for absolute continuity of K that K must be factorable, then an application of the Hahn-Banach theorem shows us that if K is not absolutely continuous, then under no circumstance can K^* be. In fact K is absolutely continuous if and only if K^* is order-free. (An L_Γ -module K is said to be order-free if for each $k \in K, f * k = 0$ for all $f \in L_\Gamma$ implies $k = 0$.) For a corollary we observe that all reflexive order-free modules are absolutely continuous and have absolutely continuous duals.

3. A decomposition theorem and its consequences. Before we can give the decomposition theorem in the form we desire, we must have a preliminary discussion. Assume that X is locally compact and Hausdorff and has a positive Radon measure m_X . For a measurable set Y we define the two operators i and d as follows:

$$iY = \{x \in X : \text{there exists a measurable neighborhood } V \text{ of } x \text{ such that } m_X(V \setminus Y) = 0\},$$

$$dY = \{x \in X : \text{for every measurable neighborhood } V \text{ of } x, m_X(V \cap Y) > 0\}.$$

The operators i and d are not new; they have been discussed in [6]. Their more elementary properties are:

$$Y^0 \subseteq iY = (iY)^0 \subseteq dY = \text{Cl}(dY) \subseteq \bar{Y}, \quad iY \subseteq Y \text{ l.a.e., and } Y \subseteq dY \text{ l.a.e.}$$

$$\sigma(iY) = i(\sigma Y) \text{ and } \sigma(dY) = d(\sigma Y) \text{ for every } \sigma \in \Gamma.$$

$$Y \subseteq Y' \text{ l.a.e. implies } iY \subseteq iY' \text{ and } dY \subseteq dY'.$$

Verbally, iY is an open set containing the interior of Y , while dY is a closed set contained in the closure of Y .

In particular, the operators d and i are defined in Γ itself. One of the basic properties of d is the following.

3.1. LEMMA. *Let $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$ be measurable, $\gamma \in d\Omega$. Then L_Γ contains an approximate identity $(u_i)_{i \in I}$ such that $\|u_i\|_1 = 1$ and $(u_i)^{\gamma^{-1}} \in L_\Omega$ for every i .*

Proof. For I we take the net of all compact neighborhoods of $1 \in \Gamma$, made into a directed set by the definition $\Phi_1 < \Phi_2$, if $\Phi_1 \supseteq \Phi_2$. For $\Phi \in I$ let

$$u_\Phi = [m(\Phi \cap \Omega\gamma^{-1})]^{-1} \chi_{\Phi \cap \Omega\gamma^{-1}}.$$

(Note that $m(\Phi \cap \Omega\gamma^{-1}) \neq 0$ because $\gamma \in d\Omega$.) Then $(u_\Phi)^{\gamma^{-1}} \in L_\Omega$ and $\|u_\Phi\|_1 = 1$. Take $f \in L_\Gamma$, $\varepsilon > 0$. The set $\Phi_1 = \{\sigma \in \Gamma : \|f_\sigma^{-1} - f\| < \varepsilon\}$ is a neighborhood of $1 \in \Gamma$. It is now easy to see that $\|(u_\Phi * f) - f\|_1 < \varepsilon$ for all $\Phi \in I$ such that $\Phi \subseteq \Phi_1$. In fact, for such Φ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u_\Phi * f) - f\|_1 &= \left\| \int_\Gamma u_\Phi(\sigma) f_\sigma^{-1} d\sigma - \int_\Gamma u_\Phi(\sigma) f d\sigma \right\| \\ &\leq \int_\Gamma u_\Phi(\sigma) \|f_\sigma^{-1} - f\| d\sigma < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Let B be a closed linear subspace of an absolutely continuous L_Γ -module K . For $\sigma \in \Gamma$ we denote $\{k_\sigma : k \in B\}$ by B_σ . For $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$ measurable we indicate by $L_\Omega * B$ the closed linear subspace of K generated by $\{f * k : f \in L_\Omega, k \in B\}$. If $\Gamma = X$ and $B \subseteq L_X$ let $B' = \{f' \in L_X : f \in B\}$. In particular, if $Y \subseteq X = \Gamma$, then $L_{Y^{-1}} * L_{\Omega^{-1}} = (L_Y)' * (L_\Omega)'$ $= (L_\Omega * L_Y)'$ by direct computation.

Now we are ready for the decomposition theorem.

3.2. MODULE DECOMPOSITION THEOREM. *Let K be an absolutely continuous module over L_Γ . For any measurable $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$ and any closed subspace B of K we have*

$$L_\Omega * B = \text{Cl} \left(\sum_{\sigma \in d\Omega} B_{\sigma^{-1}} \right).$$

Proof. Let $\sigma \in d\Omega$ and $k \in B$. By Lemma 3.1 there is an approximate identity $(u_i)_{i \in I}$ in L_Γ such that $(u_i)^{\sigma^{-1}} \in L_\Omega$ for every i . Then $k_{\sigma^{-1}} = \lim_i ((u_i)^{\sigma^{-1}} * k) \in L_\Omega * B$. Thus $\text{Cl}(\sum_{\sigma \in d\Omega} B_{\sigma^{-1}}) \subseteq L_\Omega * B$. Conversely, let $f \in L_\Omega$, $k \in B$. For locally almost all $\tau \in \Omega$, we have $\tau \in d\Omega$, so that for these τ , $k_{\tau^{-1}} \in \text{Cl}(\sum_{\sigma \in d\Omega} B_{\sigma^{-1}})$. In other words, $k \in B$ implies $k_{\tau^{-1}} \in \text{Cl}(\sum_{\sigma \in d\Omega} B_{\sigma^{-1}})$ for locally almost every $\tau \in \Omega$. Take any $k^* \in K^*$ such that $k^* = 0$ on $\sum_{\sigma \in d\Omega} B_{\sigma^{-1}}$. Then $k^*(f * k) = \int_\Gamma f(\sigma) k^*(k_{\sigma^{-1}}) d\sigma = 0$ for all $f \in L_\Omega$, $k \in B$, with the result that $k^* = 0$ on $L_\Omega * B$. Thus $L_\Omega * B \subseteq \text{Cl}(\sum_{\sigma \in d\Omega} B_{\sigma^{-1}})$.

We mention that without the hypothesis of absolute continuity on K the conclusion may be invalid. Take, for example, $\Gamma = X = \mathbb{R}$. Let $B = K = L_X^\infty$. Then for each $\sigma \in \Gamma$, $B_{\sigma^{-1}} = L_X^\infty$, while $L_\Omega * B$ is the collection of uniformly continuous functions on X .

Several consequences follow directly.

3.3. COROLLARY. $L_\Omega * B = L_{d\Omega} * B$.

Corollaries 3.4 and 3.5 concern the case where Γ is a transformation group acting on X and X is endowed with a quasi-invariant measure. For $Y \subseteq X$ measurable and $\sigma \in \Gamma$ we have $(L_Y)_\sigma^{-1} = L_{\sigma Y}$. Thus

3.4. COROLLARY. If $Y \subseteq X$ is measurable, then $L_\Omega * L_Y = \text{Cl}(\sum_{\sigma \in d\Omega} L_{\sigma Y})$.

3.5. COROLLARY. Let Y and Z be measurable subsets of X and let $L_\Omega * L_Y \subseteq L_Z$. Then

- (i) For every $\sigma \in d\Omega$, $\sigma Y \subseteq Z$ l.a.e.
- (ii) $d\Omega dY \subseteq dZ$, so that if L_Ω is a subalgebra of L_Γ , then $d\Omega$ is a subsemigroup of Γ .
- (iii) $d\Omega iY \subseteq iZ$, so that if L_Ω is a subalgebra of L_Γ , then $i\Omega$ is a subsemigroup of Γ . If, in addition, $X = \Gamma$, then also
- (iv) For every $\sigma \in dY$, $\Omega\sigma \subseteq Z$ l.a.e.
- (v) $i\Omega dY \subseteq iZ$.

Proof. By the preceding corollary, if $\sigma \in d\Omega$, then $L_{\sigma Y} \subseteq L_Z$, so that $\sigma Y \subseteq Z$ l.a.e., proving (i). Then $\sigma(dY) = d(\sigma Y) \subseteq dZ$, and $\sigma(iY) = i(\sigma Y) \subseteq iZ$, thus proving both (ii) and (iii). Parts (iv) and (v) follow from (i) and (ii) via the formulas

$$L_Y^{-1} * L_\Omega^{-1} = (L_Y)' * (L_\Omega)' = (L_\Omega * L_Y)' \subseteq (L_Z)' = L_Z^{-1}.$$

For later use we file away yet another consequence.

3.6. COROLLARY. If $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$ is measurable and not locally null, then $L_\Gamma * L_\Omega = L_\Gamma$.

Proof. Since Ω is not locally null, $d\Omega \neq \emptyset$, so let $\tau \in d\Omega$. Then

$$(L_\Gamma * L_\Omega)' = (L_\Omega)' * (L_\Gamma)' = L_\Omega^{-1} * L_\Gamma = \text{Cl}\left(\sum_{\sigma \in d\Omega} L_{\sigma^{-1}\Gamma}\right) \supseteq L_{\tau^{-1}\Gamma} = L_\Gamma.$$

The space B employed in the last two corollaries has been contained in L_X . For a moment let us switch our attention to C_Y , the collection of all functions $k \in C_\infty(X)$ which vanish outside Y . Then we have

3.7. COROLLARY. $L_\Omega * C_Y = \text{Cl}(\sum_{\sigma \in d\Omega} C_{\sigma Y}) = L_{d\Omega} * C_Y$.

3.8. COROLLARY. *If Y is open in X , then $L_\Omega * C_Y = C_{(d\Omega)Y}$.*

Proof. Since evidently $\text{Cl}(\sum_{\sigma \in d\Omega} C_{\sigma Y}) \subseteq C_{(d\Omega)Y}$, we need only prove the opposite inclusion. To this end, let $f \in C_{(d\Omega)Y}$ with compact support. Since Y is open and $\text{supp } f$ is compact, there exist $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n \in d\Omega$ such that $\text{supp } f \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \tau_i Y$. By using a partition of unity one can construct $f_1, \dots, f_n \in C(X)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n f_i = f$, such that each f_i has compact support contained in $\tau_i Y$. Then $f \in \sum_{i=1}^n C_{\tau_i Y} \subseteq \sum_{\sigma \in d\Omega} C_{\sigma Y}$.

Because of Corollary 3.8, we quite involuntarily might conjecture that at least when Y is open in X , then $L_\Omega * L_Y = L_{(d\Omega)Y}$. In fact this is true, but the proof is by no means trivial. First we show that $L_\Omega * L_Y$ is an L_Z for an appropriate Z . For completeness we prove the following theorem.

3.9. THEOREM. *Let m_X be a positive Radon measure on a locally compact space X . Let B be a closed linear subspace of L_X . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) *There is a measurable set $Z \subseteq X$ such that $B = L_Z$.*
- (b) *For all $k \in B$ and $j \in L_X^\infty$, $kj \in B$ (i.e., B is a module over $L_\infty(X)$ under pointwise multiplication).*

Proof. The implication (a) to (b) is evident. Now assume (b). By Theorem 11.39 of [5] there exists a family \mathcal{F} of disjoint compact subsets of X such that for every U which is open in X and has finite measure, $\{F \in \mathcal{F} : m_X(U \cap F) > 0\}$ is countable, and such that $X \setminus (\bigcup \mathcal{F})$ is locally null. It follows that a set $Y \subseteq X$ is measurable if and only if $Y \cap F$ is measurable for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$. For each $F \in \mathcal{F}$ let $\mathcal{X}_F = \{Y \subseteq F : Y \text{ is measurable and } \xi_Y \in B\}$. Consequently,

(α) If Y_1, Y_2, \dots is a sequence in \mathcal{X}_F , then $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty Y_n \in \mathcal{X}_F$.

(β) If a measurable set Y is contained in an element of \mathcal{X}_F , then $Y \in \mathcal{X}_F$.

By (α) for every F there exists a $Z_F \in \mathcal{X}_F$ such that $m_X(Z_F) = \sup \{m_X(Y) : Y \in \mathcal{X}_F\}$. Then $Z = \bigcup \{Z_F : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is measurable by the comments above, and is the subset of X we desire. Now we show that $B = L_Z$. Inasmuch as both B and L_Z are closed modules over L_X^∞ under pointwise multiplication, it suffices to show that $\{Y \subseteq X : \xi_Y \in B\} = \{Y \subseteq X : \xi_Y \in L_Z\}$. To show it, first let $\xi_Y \in L_Z$ and assume that $Y \subseteq Z$ everywhere. Then for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$, we have $Y \cap F \subseteq Z \cap F = Z_F$, so that by (β), $Y \cap F \in \mathcal{X}_F$. Thus $\xi_{Y \cap F} \in B$. Since Y is of finite measure, there can exist only countably many $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $m_X(Y \cap F) > 0$. Hence $\xi_Y = \sum \{\xi_{Y \cap F} : F \in \mathcal{F}\} \in B$. On the other hand, let $\xi_Y \in B$. For each $F \in \mathcal{F}$, $\xi_{Y \cap F} = \xi_Y \xi_F \in B$, so that $Y \cap F \in \mathcal{X}_F$. Then $(Y \cap F) \cup Z_F \in \mathcal{X}_F$ by (α). It follows that $m_X((Y \cap F) \cup Z_F) \leq m_X(Z_F)$. Hence, $Y \cap F \subseteq Z_F$ a.e., which means that $Y \subseteq Z$ l.a.e., and $\xi_Y \in L_Z$.

In 3.10–3.13, Γ is again a group of homeomorphisms of a space X on which we have a quasi-invariant measure m_X .

3.10. THEOREM. *For any measurable $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$ and $Y \subseteq X$ there exists a measurable set $Z \subseteq X$ such that $L_\Omega * L_Y = L_Z$.*

Proof. Since each $L_{\sigma Y}$ is a module over L_X^∞ , for $\sigma \in d\Omega$, this means that $\text{Cl}(\sum_{\sigma \in d\Omega} L_{\sigma Y}) = L_\Omega * L_Y$ is also an L_X^∞ module.

We now arrive at the proposition promised following Corollary 3.8.

3.11. COROLLARY. *If $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$ is measurable and $Y \subseteq X$ is open, then $L_\Omega * L_Y = L_{(d\Omega)Y}$.*

Proof. Let Z be as in the preceding theorem. Since Y is open, $Y \subset iY$. By Corollary 3.5(iii), $d\Omega Y \subset d\Omega iY \subset iZ$. Since always $iZ \subseteq Z$ l.a.e., we have $(d\Omega)Y \subseteq Z$ l.a.e. On the other hand, $L_\Omega * L_Y \subseteq L_{(d\Omega)Y}$, so that $Z \subseteq (d\Omega)Y$ l.a.e. Consequently, $Z = (d\Omega)Y$ l.a.e., which is what we needed to prove.

With an added hypothesis we can go a step further.

3.12. THEOREM. *Let $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$ be measurable and $Y \subseteq X$ open, and assume that $L_\Omega * L_Y \subseteq L_Y$. Then there exist an $\Omega' \subseteq \Gamma$ and an open $Y' \subseteq X$ such that $\Omega' = \Omega$ l.a.e. and $Y' = Y$ l.a.e., and $\Omega' Y' \subseteq Y'$.*

Proof. Let $\Omega' = \Omega \cap d\Omega$ and $Y' = iY$. Then $\Omega' = \Omega$ l.a.e. and $Y' = Y$ l.a.e. By the preceding corollary, $L_{(d\Omega)Y'} = L_\Omega * L_{Y'} \subseteq L_{Y'}$, so that $(d\Omega')Y' \subseteq Y'$ l.a.e. Note that since Y' is open, $(d\Omega')Y'$ is also open. Then $\Omega' Y' \subseteq (d\Omega')Y' \subseteq i\{(d\Omega')Y'\} \subseteq iY' = i(iY) = iY = Y'$.

There is a companion to this corollary—for Y closed in X —which we presently demonstrate.

3.13. THEOREM. *Let $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$ be measurable and $Y \subseteq X$ closed, and assume that $L_\Omega * L_Y \subseteq L_Y$. Then there exist a set $\Omega' \subseteq \Gamma$ and a closed set $Y' \subseteq X$ such that $\Omega' = \Omega$ l.a.e. and $Y' = Y$ l.a.e., and $\Omega' Y' \subseteq Y'$.*

Proof. Let $\Omega' = \Omega \cap d\Omega$ and $Y' = dY$. By assumption, the Z of Theorem 3.10 has the property that $Z \subseteq Y$ l.a.e. Thus $dZ \subseteq dY$, whereupon $\Omega' Y' \subseteq d\Omega dY \subseteq dZ \subseteq dY = Y'$, by an application of Corollary 3.5(ii).

Theorem 3.10 says that if we are given measurable sets $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$ and $Y \subseteq X$, then the collection of all $k \in L_X$ such that $k \in L_\Omega * L_Y$ can be represented as L_Z for an appropriately chosen $Z \subseteq X$; sometimes—at least when Y is open—we can describe Z in a simple form merely in terms of Ω and Y . Now let us turn the question around. Suppose we are given once again measurable sets $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$ and $Y \subseteq X$, but this time we are interested in the collection of all $k \in L_X$ such that $L_\Omega * k \subseteq L_Y$. We will show that this collection forms an L_Z and we will describe Z in terms of Ω and Y .

First we have a preliminary proposition, a kind of counterpart to the Decomposition Theorem.

3.14. THEOREM. *Let K be an absolutely continuous module over L_Γ . Also let $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$ be measurable and let B be a closed linear subspace of K . Then*

$$\{k \in K : L_\Omega * k \subseteq B\} = \bigcap_{\sigma \in d\Omega} B_\sigma.$$

Proof. Let $L_\Omega * k \subseteq B$ and $\sigma \in d\Omega$. Then, by Lemma 3.1, there is an approximate identity $(u_i)_{i \in I}$ in L_Γ with $(u_i)^{\sigma^{-1}} \in L_\Omega$ for every $i \in I$. This means that $k_{\sigma^{-1}} = \lim_i (u_i^{\sigma^{-1}} * k) \in B$, so that $k \in B_\sigma$, which therefore holds for all $\sigma \in d\Omega$. On the other hand, let $k \in \bigcap_{\sigma \in d\Omega} B_\sigma$ and let $k^* \in K^*$ with the property that $k^* = 0$ on B . Then $k^*(k_{\sigma^{-1}}) = 0$ for locally almost all $\sigma \in \Omega$. Thus, for any $f \in L_\Omega$, $k^*(f * k) = \int_\Gamma f(\sigma) k^*(k_{\sigma^{-1}}) d\sigma = 0$. Since this is true for all $k^* \in K^*$ which vanish on B , we obtain $f * k \in B$. Consequently, $L_\Omega * k \subseteq B$.

We turn to more concrete examples.

3.15. **THEOREM.** *Let $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$ and $Y \subseteq X$ be measurable, $A = \{x \in X : \sigma x \in Y \text{ for locally almost all } \sigma \in \Omega\}$. Then A is measurable and $L_A^p = \{k \in L_X^p : L_\Omega * k \subseteq L_Y^p\}$ for every $p \in [1, \infty]$.*

Proof. First let $p = 1$. Inasmuch as $\bigcap_{\sigma \in d\Omega} (L_Y)_\sigma = \bigcap_{\sigma \in d\Omega} L_{\sigma^{-1}Y}$ is a module over L_X^∞ under pointwise multiplication, the space $\{k \in L_X : L_\Omega * k \subseteq L_Y\}$ is of the form L_Z , by Theorem 3.9. All we need to prove, then, is that if D is compact in X , then $D \subseteq Z$ a.e. if and only if $D \subseteq A$ a.e. Therefore let $D \subseteq A$ a.e. This means that $\{\sigma \in \Omega : \sigma x \in X \setminus Y\}$ is locally null for almost all $x \in D$, so that for any compact subset Φ of Ω ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_X \xi_D(x) \int_\Gamma \xi_\Phi(\sigma) \xi_{X \setminus Y}(\sigma x) d\sigma dx \\ &= \int_\Gamma \xi_\Phi(\sigma) \int_X \xi_D(x) \xi_{X \setminus Y}(\sigma x) dx d\sigma \\ &= \int_\Gamma \xi_\Phi(\sigma) m(D \cap \sigma^{-1}(X \setminus Y)) d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $m_X(D \cap \sigma^{-1}(X \setminus Y)) = 0$ for locally almost all $\sigma \in \Omega$. By the quasi-invariance of m_X , $0 = m_X(\sigma D \cap (X \setminus Y)) = \xi_{X \setminus Y}(\xi_{\sigma D}) = \xi_{X \setminus Y}[(\xi_D)_\sigma]$ for locally almost all $\sigma \in \Omega$. Now $(\xi_D)_\sigma$ depends continuously on σ , so that $\xi_{X \setminus Y}[(\xi_D)_\sigma] = 0$ for all $\sigma \in d\Omega$. In other words $\sigma \in d\Omega$ implies that $\sigma D \subseteq Y$ l.a.e., so that $\xi_D \in \bigcap_{\sigma \in d\Omega} L_{\sigma^{-1}Y}$ and $D \subseteq Z$ a.e. Since the procedure is reversible, $D \subseteq Z$ a.e. implies that $D \subseteq A$ a.e., and the case $p = 1$ is completed.

Next, let $p \in (1, \infty)$. By Theorem 3.14, $\{k \in L_X^p : L_\Omega * k \subseteq L_Y^p\} = \bigcap_{\sigma \in d\Omega} L_{\sigma^{-1}Y}^p$, which in turn is $\{k \in L_X^p : |k|^p \in \bigcap_{\sigma \in d\Omega} L_{\sigma^{-1}Y}\}$. However, $\bigcap_{\sigma \in d\Omega} L_{\sigma^{-1}Y} = L_A$ by the first part of the proof, so the collection is none other than L_A^p . For $p = \infty$, we obtain $\{k \in L^\infty(X) : L_\Omega * k \subseteq L_Y^\infty\} = \bigcap_{\sigma \in d\Omega} L_{\sigma^{-1}Y}^\infty = \{k \in L^\infty(X) : k\xi_D \in \bigcap_{\sigma \in d\Omega} L_{\sigma^{-1}Y}^p \text{ for every compact } D \subseteq X\} = \{k \in L^\infty(X) : k\xi_D \in L_A \text{ for every compact } D \subseteq X\} = L_A^\infty$.

4. The orbit topology and its consequences. From now on Γ is a group of homeomorphisms of X on which there is a quasi-invariant measure m_X . We borrow the next two theorems from [4]. After that we shall assume *throughout the rest of the paper that Γ and X satisfy any and hence all the conditions of Theorem 4.1.*

4.1. **THEOREM.** *The following three conditions are equivalent:*

(a) *If $D \subseteq X$ is compact and $m_X(D) = 0$, and if $a \in X$, then $\sigma a \notin D$ for locally almost every $\sigma \in \Gamma$.*

(b) If $\Phi \subseteq \Gamma$ is a Borel set with positive measure, then for all $a \in X$, Φa has positive outer measure.

(c) Let Γ_0 be an open σ -compact subgroup of Γ . Then for every $a \in X$, $\Gamma_0 a$ is measurable and $m_X(\Gamma_0 a) > 0$.

(We note that such Γ_0 exists in every case: every compact neighborhood of 1 generates an open σ -compact group.) The proof of this theorem is contained in 5.6 and 5.7 of [4].

The conditions of Theorem 4.1 are satisfied if X is a factor space of Γ and the action of Γ on X is the natural one [4, remark made immediately before 5.8].

4.2. THEOREM. Let $a \in X$. For $\sigma \in \Gamma$ let $\pi_a(\sigma) = \sigma a$. Then π_a is a continuous open map of Γ onto Γa . Further, Γa is the intersection of a closed and open set in X , and therefore is measurable (see 5.10 of [4]).

Next we let $\mathcal{L}^1(X)$ be the collection of functions on X which are integrable (L_X still denotes the space of all classes of integrable functions that are a.e. equal), and similarly for \mathcal{L}^∞ . For $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\Gamma)$ and $k \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(X)$ we put

$$(f \circ k)(x) = \int_{\Gamma} f(\sigma) k(\sigma^{-1}x) d\sigma$$

for all $x \in X$ for which the integral exists. Manifestly, if $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{L}^1(\Gamma)$ and $f_1 = f_2$ a.e., then $f_1 \circ k = f_2 \circ k$.

4.3. THEOREM. The following condition is equivalent to each of those stated in Theorem 4.1:

If $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\Gamma)$ and $k_1, k_2 \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(X)$ and if $k_1 = k_2$ l.a.e., then $f \circ k_1$ and $f \circ k_2$ are defined everywhere on X , and $f \circ k_1 = f \circ k_2$.

Proof. First we show that the above condition implies (a) of Theorem 4.1. Let D be compact in X with $m_X(D) = 0$, and let $a \in X$. By our assumption,

$$0 = (f \circ \xi_D)(a) = \int_{\Gamma} f(\sigma) \xi_D(\sigma^{-1}a) d\sigma \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\Gamma).$$

But this just says that $\sigma^{-1}a \notin D$ for locally almost all $\sigma \in \Gamma$, which is (a). Now we utilize (b) of Theorem 4.1 to prove the above condition. We need only take $k \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(X)$ such that $k = 0$ l.a.e., and show that for each $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\Gamma)$ and $a \in X$, $(f \circ k)(a) = 0$. By the contrapositive of (b), $k(\sigma^{-1}a) = 0$ l.a.e. in Γ . Thus $(f \circ k)(a) = \int_{\Gamma} f(\sigma) k(\sigma^{-1}a) d\sigma = 0$.

The importance of Theorem 4.3 is that we may consider $f \circ k$ as defined for $f \in L_{\Gamma}$, $k \in L_X^{\infty}$, rather than for $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\Gamma)$, $k \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(X)$ —providing the pair Γ and X satisfy the conditions of Theorem 4.1. We define $L_{\Gamma} \circ L_X^{\infty}$ as $\{f \circ k : f \in L_{\Gamma}, k \in L_X^{\infty}\}$.

Let Ω be a measurable subset of Γ and Y a measurable subset of X . By Theorem 3.9 we know there exists a measurable subset Z of X such that $L_{\Omega} * L_Y = L_Z$. In order to give an explicit form to a Z for which $L_{\Omega} * L_Y = L_Z$, we make use of two

entities, one a topology on X which is customarily different from the given topology, and the second a set T in X which is directly related to the convolution and which we will show is locally the same as Z . Hereafter we designate the original topology on X by \mathcal{T} . We begin by discussing the new topology on X , which we denote by \mathcal{O} . It is described in terms of the orbits Γx of the elements x in X .

4.4. DEFINITION. A basis for the topology \mathcal{O} consists of sets of the form $\{\Phi x : \Phi \text{ open in } \Gamma, x \in X\}$. We call this topology the *orbit topology (on X)*.

The fact that the collection just described forms a basis for a bona fide topology on X is immediate. \mathcal{O} is a natural analog of \mathcal{T} , in the sense that \mathcal{T} is generated by the sets of the form $\{\sigma U : \sigma \in \Gamma, U \text{ open in } X\}$. However, the two topologies need not be identical. For an example, let \mathbf{R} be the additive group of reals with the usual topology, and let $\Gamma = \mathbf{R}$ and $X = \mathbf{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ the one-point compactification of \mathbf{R} . Let δ_∞ be the point mass at ∞ and let m_x be defined by

$$m_x(Y) = m(\mathbf{R} \cap Y) + \delta_\infty(Y), \quad \text{for any Borel set } Y \text{ in } X.$$

Define the action of Γ on X by

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma, x) &\rightarrow x + \sigma, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}, \sigma \in \Gamma, \\ (\sigma, \infty) &\rightarrow \infty, \quad \sigma \in \Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

This system satisfies the conditions mentioned in Theorem 4.1. The set $\{\infty\}$ is not open in \mathcal{T} , while $\{\infty\} = \Gamma\{\infty\}$ is both closed and open in \mathcal{O} .

Let us nail down a few of the properties of \mathcal{O} .

- 4.5. LEMMA. (i) \mathcal{O} is at least as fine as \mathcal{T} .
 (ii) For each $x \in X$, \mathcal{O} coincides with \mathcal{T} on Γx .

Proof. (i) If U is a neighborhood of a in \mathcal{T} , then there is an open set $\Phi \subseteq \Gamma$ such that $\Phi a \subseteq U$, since the map $(\sigma, x) \rightarrow \sigma x$ is jointly continuous. But Φa is a \mathcal{O} -neighborhood of a . To prove (ii) let Φ be open in Γ , and $a \in X$. By Theorem 4.2, the map $\pi_a: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma a$ is \mathcal{T} -open, so we are done.

Lemma 4.5 yields two characterizations of \mathcal{O} . We assume henceforth that Γ_0 is an open sigma-compact subgroup of Γ .

(i) $U \subseteq X$ is \mathcal{O} -open if and only if for each $x \in X$, the set $\{\sigma \in \Gamma : \sigma x \in U\}$ is open in Γ .

(ii) $U \subseteq X$ is \mathcal{O} -open if and only if for each $x \in X$, $U \cap \Gamma_0 x$ is relatively open in $\Gamma_0 x$.

We push on with the characteristics of \mathcal{O} .

4.6. LEMMA. (i) Let $U \subseteq X$ be \mathcal{T} -open and $a \in X$. Then either $U \cap \Gamma_0 a = \emptyset$ or $m_x(U \cap \Gamma_0 a) > 0$.

(ii) Every set with finite outer measure in X intersects only countably many Γ_0 -orbits.

(iii) Every \mathcal{T} -compact set is a union of countably many \mathcal{O} -compact sets.

Proof. (i) Assume that $U \cap \Gamma_0 a \neq \emptyset$. Since Γ_0 is sigma-compact and $(\sigma, x) \rightarrow \sigma x$ is continuous, $\Gamma_0 a$ is sigma-compact; thus $U \cap \Gamma_0 a$ is measurable. There is an

open set $\Phi \in \Gamma_0$ such that $\Phi a \subseteq U$. Thus $\Phi a \subseteq (U \cap \Gamma_0 a)$. Using Theorem 4.1(c), we have $0 < m_x(\Phi a) \leq m_x(U \cap \Gamma_0 a)$.

To prove (ii), let $Y \subseteq X$ be of finite outer measure. Since X is locally compact there is an open set U such that $U \supseteq Y$ and $m_x(U) < \infty$. By (i), $m_x(U \cap \Gamma_0 x) > 0$ for every $x \in X$ such that $U \cap \Gamma_0 x \neq \emptyset$. Then there can be only countably many orbits $\Gamma_0 x$ with $U \cap \Gamma_0 x \neq \emptyset$, and (ii) is proved.

For (iii), let $D \subseteq X$ be \mathcal{F} -compact. By (ii) there exists a sequence (x_n) in X such that $D = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (D \cap \Gamma_0 x_n)$. But Γ_0 is by definition sigma-compact in Γ , whence $\Gamma_0 x_n$ is sigma-compact in X , so that $D \cap \Gamma_0 x_n$ is sigma-compact in (X, \mathcal{F}) . Inasmuch as the original and \mathcal{O} -topology coincide on each Γx_n , (iii) is also proved.

By Lemma 4.6(iii), \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{O} have the same sigma-compact sets so the notion of l.a.e. is the same with respect to both topologies.

In the next two propositions we show that \mathcal{O} is a legitimate topology to work with, with respect to Γ and m_x .

4.7. LEMMA. *Every \mathcal{O} -open set U is m_x -measurable, and if $U \neq \emptyset$, then $m_x(U) > 0$.*

Proof. Let U be \mathcal{O} -open in X . By 11.31 of [5] we only need to show that $U \cap V$ is measurable for every $V \subseteq X$ that is open in the \mathcal{F} -topology and has finite measure. Since V is automatically \mathcal{O} -open, we may assume that $U = U \cap V$. At least we know that U has finite outer measure. Now we show it is measurable. By Lemma 4.6(ii), there is a sequence x_1, x_2, \dots such that $U = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (U \cap \Gamma_0 x_n)$. Since U is \mathcal{O} -open and \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{O} coincide on $\Gamma_0 x$, for each n there is an \mathcal{F} -open $W_n \subseteq X$ such that $U \cap \Gamma_0 x_n = W_n \cap \Gamma_0 x_n$. Then $U = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (W_n \cap \Gamma_0 x_n)$, which is measurable. To show that if $U \neq \emptyset$ then $m_x(U) > 0$, we let $x \in U$ and find a \mathcal{F} -open V in X such that $x \in V \cap \Gamma_0 x = U \cap \Gamma_0 x$. By (i) of Lemma 4.6, $m_x(U) \geq m_x(V \cap \Gamma_0 x) > 0$.

We remark that Lemma 4.7 says that if Φ is open in Γ and $x \in X$, then $m_x(\Phi x) > 0$.

4.8. THEOREM. *(X, \mathcal{O}) is locally compact, and Γ acts as a transformation group on (X, \mathcal{O}) . Furthermore, m_x is a quasi-invariant Radon measure on (X, \mathcal{O}) .*

Proof. Since each Γx is, in the \mathcal{F} -topology, the intersection of an open and a closed subset of X by Theorem 4.2, it is locally compact. But Γx is \mathcal{O} -open, so \mathcal{O} is a locally compact topology for X . To show that Γ acts as a transformation group on (X, \mathcal{O}) , we note that $\sigma \in \Gamma$ implies σ is an \mathcal{O} -homeomorphism. Now we show that $(\sigma, x) \rightarrow \sigma x$ is \mathcal{O} -jointly continuous. Let (σ, a) be fixed in $\Gamma \times X$ and U an \mathcal{O} -neighborhood of σa . Straight from the definition of \mathcal{O} , there is a neighborhood Φ of 1 in Γ such that $\sigma(\Phi\Phi)a \subseteq U$. Then $\sigma\Phi, \Phi a$ are neighborhoods of σ, a respectively, and $(\sigma\Phi)(\Phi a) \subseteq U$.

Finally we prove that m_x is a Radon measure on (X, \mathcal{O}) . We denote by m^* and m_* the outer and inner measure respectively of m_x . Let Y be any subset of X . Since every \mathcal{F} -open set is \mathcal{O} -open,

$$\begin{aligned}
 m^*(Y) &= \inf \{m_x(Z) : Y \subseteq Z, Z \mathcal{F}\text{-open}\} \\
 &\geq \inf \{m^*(Z) : Y \subseteq Z, Z \mathcal{O}\text{-open}\} \geq m^*(Y).
 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.7, if Z is \mathcal{O} -open, then it is measurable, so we may write $m_x(Z)$ instead of $m^*(Z)$. Thus $m^*(Y) = \inf \{m(Z) : Y \subseteq Z, Z \text{ } \mathcal{O}\text{-open}\}$. On the other hand, every \mathcal{O} -compact set in X is \mathcal{T} -compact, while every \mathcal{T} -compact set is the union of an increasing sequence of \mathcal{O} -compact sets. Therefore for any $Y \subseteq X$, $m_*(Y) = \sup \{m(D) : D \subseteq X \text{ and } D \text{ } \mathcal{O}\text{-compact}\}$. The formulas for m^* and m_* yield m_x —the same as m_x with respect to the original topology— a Radon measure with respect to \mathcal{O} . Thus m_x is quasi-invariant with respect to \mathcal{O} .

4.9. LEMMA. (i) \mathcal{O} is the weakest topology on X for which $L_\Gamma \circ L_X^\infty \subseteq C(X)$.

(ii) $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{T}$ if and only if for each compact $\Psi \subseteq \Gamma$ and each relatively \mathcal{T} -compact measurable $D \subseteq X$, the map $x \rightarrow m\{\sigma \in \Psi : \sigma^{-1}x \in D\}$ is continuous.

Proof. Let $a \in X$ and let $f \in L_\Gamma, k \in L_X^\infty$. Then for each $\sigma \in \Gamma$,

$$(f \circ k)(\sigma a) = \int_\Gamma f(\tau)k(\tau^{-1}\sigma a) d\tau = (f_\sigma \circ k)(a).$$

But the shift in L_Γ is continuous, and $\pi_a: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma a$ is an open map, so on the orbit $\Gamma a, f \circ k$ is \mathcal{O} -continuous. Since a is arbitrary and Γa is \mathcal{O} -open, $f \circ k$ is \mathcal{O} -continuous and for the topology \mathcal{O} on $X, L_\Gamma \circ L_X^\infty \subseteq C(X)$. Conversely, let U be an \mathcal{O} -neighborhood of $a \in X$. We shall find $f \in L_\Gamma$ and $k \in L_X^\infty$ such that $f \circ k(a) \neq 0$ and $f \circ k = 0$ on $X \setminus U$; this proves that $L_\Gamma \circ L_X^\infty \subseteq C(X)$ can not hold for any topology that is strictly weaker than \mathcal{O} . Since Γ acts as a transformation group on (X, \mathcal{O}) there is a neighborhood Φ of $1 \in \Gamma$ such that $m(\Phi) < \infty$ and $\Phi a \subseteq U$. Then there is an \mathcal{O} -open, hence measurable, set $Z \subseteq X$ such that $a \in Z$ and $\Phi Z \subseteq U$. Then $\xi_\Phi \in L_\Gamma$ and $\xi_Z \in L_X^\infty$. Clearly $\xi_\Phi \circ \xi_Z = 0$ on $X \setminus U$, and $\xi_\Phi \circ \xi_Z(a) = m\{\sigma \in \Phi : \sigma^{-1}a \in Z\} > 0, \{\sigma \in \Phi : \sigma^{-1}a \in Z\}$ being an open neighborhood of 1 in Γ .

Now we prove (ii). We note that if $\Psi \subseteq \Gamma$ is compact and $D \subseteq X$ is relatively compact, then $\xi_\Psi \circ \xi_D(x) = m\{\sigma \in \Psi : \sigma^{-1}x \in D\}$, so that the assumption $L_\Gamma \circ L_X^\infty \subseteq C(X)$ implies the condition. To prove the converse it suffices to consider any compact set $\Psi \subseteq \Gamma$ and any measurable set $Y \subseteq X$ and show that $\xi_\Psi \circ \xi_Y$ is continuous at any given $a \in X$. To that end, we note that $\Psi^{-1}a$ is compact in X , so that there is a D , compact in X , whose interior contains $\Psi^{-1}a$. Let W be a neighborhood of a such that $\Psi^{-1}W \subseteq D$, and let $Q = D \cap Y$. If $y \in W$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_\Psi \circ \xi_Q(y) &= m\{\sigma \in \Psi : \sigma^{-1}y \in Q\} = m\{\sigma \in \Psi : \sigma^{-1}y \in Q \cap \Psi^{-1}W\} \\ &= m\{\sigma \in \Psi : \sigma^{-1}y \in Y \cap \Psi^{-1}W\} = m\{\sigma \in \Psi : \sigma^{-1}y \in Y\} = (\xi_\Psi \circ \xi_Y)(y). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, on $W, \xi_\Psi \circ \xi_Y = \xi_\Psi \circ \xi_Q$. Since Q is relatively compact and Ψ is compact, the hypothesis that $x \rightarrow \{\sigma \in \Psi : \sigma^{-1}x \in Q\}$ be continuous merely says that $\xi_\Psi \circ \xi_Q$ is continuous on X , and hence on W . Therefore, $\xi_\Psi \circ \xi_Y$ is continuous on W , and hence at a . Since a was arbitrary, $\xi_\Psi \circ \xi_Y$ is continuous, as desired.

In the proofs of the theorems which we are leading up to, we employ the \mathcal{O} -continuity of functions $f \circ k$. What will especially interest us are the sets where various $f \circ k$ are nonzero, which we know to be \mathcal{O} -open via Lemma 4.9(i). Let $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$ and $Y \subseteq X$ be measurable.

4.10. DEFINITION. Let $T = \{x \in X : \text{there exist } \Phi \subseteq \Gamma, D \subseteq X \text{ each with finite measure, such that } \Phi \subseteq \Omega \text{ l.a.e. and } D \subseteq Y \text{ l.a.e., and such that } (\xi_\Phi \circ \xi_D)(x) > 0\}$.

We begin discussing T by proving a lemma connecting \circ and $*$.

4.11. LEMMA. (i) $x \in T$ if and only if there exist compact sets $\Phi' \subseteq \Omega$ and $D' \subseteq X$ such that $(\xi_{\Phi'} \circ \xi_{D'})(y) > 0$.

(ii) Let $\Phi \subseteq \Gamma$ and $D \subseteq X$ be compact. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_\Phi * \xi_D &> 0 \text{ l.a.e. on } \{x \in X : (\xi_\Phi \circ \xi_D)(x) > 0\}, \\ \xi_\Phi * \xi_D &= 0 \text{ l.a.e. on } \{x \in X : (\xi_\Phi \circ \xi_D)(x) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (i) The “if” part is trivial. Now assume $x \in T$. Let Φ, D be as in the definition of T . By Theorem 4.3 and finite measures of Φ and D we may assume $\Phi \subseteq \Omega$ and $D \subseteq Y$, and also that Φ, D are sigma-compact. Let Φ_1, Φ_2, \dots and D_1, D_2, \dots be compact sets such that $\Phi = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty \Phi_k, D = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty D_n$. Then

$$0 < (\xi_\Phi \circ \xi_D)(x) = m(\{\sigma \in \Phi : \sigma^{-1}x \in D\}) = m\left(\bigcup_{k,n} \{\sigma \in \Phi_k : \sigma^{-1}x \in D_n\}\right).$$

It follows that for some k and $n, m(\{\sigma \in \Phi_k : \sigma^{-1}x \in D_n\}) > 0$. Put $\Phi' = \Phi_k, D' = D_n$, and we have $(\xi_{\Phi'} \circ \xi_{D'})(x) > 0$.

(ii) For $f \in L_\Gamma$ and $k \in L_X^\sigma$, we have (see §2) that $f * k(x) = \int_\Gamma f(\sigma)k(\sigma^{-1}x)J(\sigma^{-1}, x) d\sigma$ for locally almost every x . It follows that for l.a.e. $x, (\xi_\Phi * \xi_D)(x) = 0$ if and only if $\xi_\Phi(\sigma)\xi_D(\sigma^{-1}x) = 0$ l.a.e. on Γ . This proves (ii).

4.12. LEMMA. (i) T is \mathcal{O} -open, and hence measurable.

(ii) $T \subseteq \Omega Y$.

(iii) $L_\Omega * L_Y \subseteq L_T$.

Proof. Since each $f * k$ is \mathcal{O} -continuous, T is \mathcal{O} -open, and by Lemma 4.7, T is measurable, so we have (i) sewed up. To prove (ii), we note that if $x \notin \Omega Y$, then for any $\Phi \subseteq \Omega$ and $Z \subseteq Y$ with finite measure, $0 = \int_\Gamma \xi_\Phi(\sigma)\xi_Z(\sigma^{-1}x) d\sigma = \xi_\Phi \circ \xi_Z(x)$, so $x \notin T$. Finally, we prove that $L_\Omega * L_Y \subseteq L_T$. Since for any compact sets $\Psi \subseteq \Omega$ and $D \subseteq Y, \xi_\Psi * \xi_D$ is 0 a.e. on $X \setminus T$ (see Lemma 4.11(ii)), we have $\xi_\Psi * \xi_D \in L_T$. But $L_\Omega * L_Y$ is generated by convolutions of such functions. Thus $L_\Omega * L_Y \subseteq L_T$.

Next we see how T is affected by the operators d and i (see the beginning of §3). Of course d and i depend upon the topology of X . If we consider d and i with respect to the \mathcal{O} -topology, we will denote them by $d_\mathcal{O}$ and $i_\mathcal{O}$. In \mathcal{S} we will just use the plain d and i . Now we produce a lemma closely associated with Corollary 3.5.

4.13. LEMMA. (i) $d\Omega d_\mathcal{O} Y$ is contained in the \mathcal{O} -closure of T .

(ii) $d\Omega i_\mathcal{O} Y \subseteq T$.

Proof. To prove (i), note that $L_\Omega * L_Y \subseteq L_T$. Hence $(d\Omega)(d_\mathcal{O} Y) \subseteq d_\mathcal{O} T$ by Corollary 3.5(ii). Further, $d_\mathcal{O} T$ lies in the \mathcal{O} -closure of T . Now we prove (ii). Let $\sigma \in d\Omega$ and $a \in i_\mathcal{O} Y$ and let D be a \mathcal{O} -compact neighborhood of a such that $m_X(D \setminus Y) = 0$. In

addition let Φ be a neighborhood of the identity in Γ of finite measure and such that $\Phi^{-1}a \subseteq D$. Inasmuch as $\tau \in \sigma\Phi \cap \Omega$ implies that $\tau^{-1}\sigma a \in \Phi^{-1}a \subseteq D$, we have the following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} (\xi_{\sigma\Phi \cap \Omega} \circ \xi_{D \cap Y})(\sigma a) &= \int_{\Gamma} \xi_{\sigma\Phi \cap \Omega}(\tau) \xi_{D \cap Y}(\tau^{-1}\sigma a) d\tau && \text{(by Theorem 4.3)} \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} \xi_{\sigma\Phi \cap \Omega}(\tau) \xi_D(\tau^{-1}\sigma a) d\tau \\ &\geq \int_{\Gamma} \xi_{\sigma\Phi \cap \Omega}(\tau) d\tau \\ &> 0 && \text{(since } \sigma \in d\Omega) \end{aligned}$$

so that $\sigma a \in T$.

4.14. THEOREM. $L_{\Omega} * L_Y = L_T$.

Proof. In the first place, $L_{\Omega} * L_Y \subseteq L_T$ by Lemma 4.12(iii). To prove the reverse inclusion, we refer to the measurable $Z \subseteq X$ for which $L_{\Omega} * L_Y = L_Z$ (Theorem 3.9). Let us prove that $T \subseteq i_{\emptyset}Z$. To begin, let $a \in T$. Then there are compact sets $\Phi \subseteq \Omega$ and $D \subseteq Y$ such that $(\xi_{\Phi} \circ \xi_D)(a) > 0$. If $U = \{x \in X : (\xi_{\Phi} \circ \xi_D)(x) > 0\}$, then U is an \emptyset -neighborhood of a , and since $\xi_{\Phi} \circ \xi_D > 0$ on U , we must have $U \subseteq Z$ l.a.e. Thus $a \in i_{\emptyset}Z$. Consequently $T \subseteq i_{\emptyset}Z \subseteq Z$ l.a.e., so that $L_T \subseteq L_Z = L_{\Omega} * L_Y$.

5. **Vanishing modules.** The central problem we tackle in this section is the relationship between the two expressions $\Omega Y \subseteq Y$ and $L_{\Omega} * L_Y \subseteq L_Y$. They look as though they ought to be related; perhaps they are even equivalent. However, even at the outset trouble looms, because $\Omega Y \subseteq Y$ is set-theoretic and $L_{\Omega} * L_Y \subseteq L_Y$ is measure-theoretic. Nevertheless, let us work on the problem and see what we can harvest.

5.1. THEOREM. *If $\Omega Y \subseteq Y$, then $L_{\Omega} * L_Y \subseteq L_Y$.*

Proof. Straightforward from the convolution formula (see §2).

That was simple. However, the converse of Theorem 5.1 is by no means so simple, even if $X = \Gamma$. A conjecture arose in [10] that if $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$ is relatively sigma-compact in Γ , and if $L_{\Omega} * L_{\Omega} \subseteq L_{\Omega}$, then there exists an $\Omega' \subseteq \Gamma$ such that $\Omega' = \Omega$ a.e. and $\Omega'\Omega' \subseteq \Omega'$. Finally this was proved in [6]. For Ω which is not relatively sigma-compact the answer is yet unknown, so far as we can determine. Consequently the extension from subalgebras of L_{Γ} to submodules of L_X can be expected to bring great difficulty.

A subalgebra L_{Ω} of L_{Γ} with the property that $L_{\Omega} * L_{\Omega} \subseteq L_{\Omega}$ has been christened a vanishing algebra [10], since it vanishes outside Ω . A subspace L_Y of L_X with the property that for a specific L_{Ω} in L_{Γ} one has $L_{\Omega} * L_Y \subseteq L_Y$ might be called a vanishing submodule of L_X . In our proposition we refer to symbolic notation for clarity and simplicity.

5.2. THEOREM. *Let $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$ and $Y \subseteq X$ be measurable relatively sigma-compact sets. Then there exist $\Omega_0 \subseteq \Gamma$ and $Y_0 \subseteq X$ such that $\Omega_0 = \Omega$ a.e., and $Y_0 = Y$ a.e., and such that $\Omega_0 Y_0 = T$.*

Proof. For the present we assume that Ω and Y are relatively compact. For each $x \in X$, let $Y_x = \{\sigma \in \Gamma : \sigma^{-1}x \in Y\}$. If Φ is any compact neighborhood of $1 \in \Gamma$, define $f_{\Phi,Y}$ and $g_{\Phi,\Omega}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\Phi,Y}(x) &= m(Y_x \cap \Phi)/m(\Phi), & x \in X, \\ g_{\Phi,\Omega}(\sigma) &= m(\sigma^{-1}\Omega \cap \Phi)/m(\Phi), & \sigma \in \Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

First we note that $f_{\Phi,Y}$ and $g_{\Phi,\Omega}$ are measurable. Given any measurable set $Z \subseteq X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_Z [\xi_Y(x) - f_{\Phi,Y}(x)] dx \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{m(\Phi)} \int_Z \int_{\Phi} [\xi_Y(x) - \xi_{Y_x}(\sigma)] d\sigma dx \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{m(\Phi)} \int_{\Phi} d\sigma \int_Z |\xi_Y(x) - \xi_{\sigma Y}(x)| dx \\ &= \frac{1}{m(\Phi)} \int_{\Phi} m_X(Z \cap (Y\Delta\sigma Y)) d\sigma \\ &\leq \sup_{\sigma \in \Phi} m_X(Y\Delta\sigma Y), \end{aligned}$$

where Δ is used to denote the symmetric difference of sets. Thus $\|\xi_Y - f_{\Phi,Y}\|_1 \leq 2 \sup_{\sigma \in \Phi} m_X(Y\Delta\sigma Y)$. Similarly, $\|\xi_{\Omega} - g_{\Phi,\Omega}\|_1 \leq 2 \sup_{\sigma \in \Phi} m(\Omega\Delta\Omega\sigma^{-1})$. Since Ω and Y are relatively compact, the functions $\sigma \rightarrow m_X(Y\Delta\sigma Y)$ and $\sigma \rightarrow m(\Omega\Delta\Omega\sigma^{-1})$ are continuous at 1 by Corollary 3.6 of [4]. Hence, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a neighborhood Φ of 1 such that $\|\xi_Y - f_{\Phi,Y}\|_1 < \varepsilon$ and $\|\xi_{\Omega} - g_{\Phi,\Omega}\|_1 < \varepsilon$.

Now we drop the condition that Ω and Y be relatively compact. By the hypotheses, there exist increasing sequences $(\Omega_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of relatively compact sets in Γ and X respectively such that $\Omega = \bigcup_n \Omega_n$ and $Y = \bigcup_n Y_n$. For each n we may choose a compact neighborhood Φ_n of 1 such that $\|\xi_{\Omega_n} - g_{\Phi_n,\Omega_n}\|_1 \leq n^{-2}$ and $\|\xi_{Y_n} - f_{\Phi_n,Y_n}\|_1 \leq n^{-2}$. Since $0 \leq 1 - f_{\Phi_n,Y} \leq 1 - f_{\Phi_n,Y_n}$, we obtain

$$\|[1 - f_{\Phi_n,Y}] \xi_{Y_n}\|_1 \leq \|[1 - f_{\Phi_n,Y_n}] \xi_{Y_n}\|_1 \leq \|\xi_{Y_n} - f_{\Phi_n,Y_n}\|_1 \leq n^{-2}.$$

It follows that $\lim_n \{[1 - f_{\Phi_n,Y}] \xi_{Y_n}\} = 0$ a.e., so that $\lim_n f_{\Phi_n,Y} = 1$ a.e. on Y . In the same way one can prove that $\lim_n g_{\Phi_n,\Omega} = 1$ a.e. on Ω .

We have set the stage for Ω_0 and Y_0 . Let $\Omega_0 = \{\sigma \in \Omega : \lim_n g_{\Phi_n,\Omega}(\sigma) = 1\}$, and let $Y_0 = \{x \in Y : \lim_n f_{\Phi_n,Y}(x) = 1\}$. Then $\Omega_0 = \Omega$ a.e. and $Y_0 = Y$ a.e. We already know from Lemma 4.12(ii) that $T \subseteq \Omega_0 Y_0$. To prove the opposite inclusion, let $\sigma \in \Omega_0$, $y \in Y_0$. There is an n such that $f_{\Phi_n,Y}(y) > \frac{1}{2}$ and $g_{\Phi_n,\Omega}(\sigma) > \frac{1}{2}$. If we let $\Phi = \Phi_n$ then Φ is a neighborhood of 1 in Γ such that $m(\sigma^{-1}\Omega \cap \Phi) > \frac{1}{2}m(\Phi)$ and $m_X(Y_y \cap \Phi) > \frac{1}{2}m(\Phi)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} m(\Phi \cap \sigma^{-1}\Omega \cap Y_y) &= m(\Phi \cap \sigma^{-1}\Omega) + m(\Phi \cap Y_y) - m(\Phi \cap (\sigma^{-1}\Omega \cup Y_y)) \\ &> \frac{1}{2}m(\Phi) + \frac{1}{2}m(\Phi) - m(\Phi) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{\sigma\Phi\cap\Omega} \circ \xi_{\Phi^{-1}Y\cap Y}(\sigma y) &= m(\sigma\Phi \cap \Omega \cap (\Phi^{-1}y \cap Y)_{\sigma y}) \\ &= m(\sigma\Phi \cap (\Phi^{-1}y)_{\sigma y} \cap \Omega \cap Y_{\sigma y}) \\ &= m(\sigma\Phi \cap \Omega \cap Y_{\sigma y}) \\ &= m(\Phi \cap \sigma^{-1}\Omega \cap Y_y) \\ &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

which means that $\sigma y \in T$, because $\xi_{\sigma\Phi\cap\Omega} \in L_\Omega$ and $\xi_{\Phi^{-1}Y\cap Y} \in L_Y$.

5.3. THEOREM. *Let $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$ and $Y \subseteq X$ be measurable relatively sigma-compact sets. Assume also that $L_\Omega * L_Y \subseteq L_Y$. Then there exist $\Omega_1 \subseteq \Gamma$ and $Y_1 \subseteq X$ such that $\Omega_1 = \Omega$ a.e. and $Y_1 = Y$ a.e., and such that $\Omega_1 Y_1 \subseteq Y_1$.*

Proof. By the preceding theorem, $\Omega_0 Y_0 = T$. In view of the assumption $L_\Omega * L_Y \subseteq L_Y$ and the identity $L_Y = L_{Y_0}$, Theorem 4.14 tells us that $T \subseteq Y_0$ l.a.e. Since T is \mathcal{O} -open, $T \subseteq i_{\mathcal{O}} Y_0$. But then $(d\Omega_0)T \subseteq T$ by Lemma 4.13(ii). Now let $\Omega_1 = \Omega_0 \cap d\Omega_0$ and $Y_1 = Y_0 \cup T$. Then $\Omega_1 = \Omega$ l.a.e., $Y_1 = Y$ l.a.e., and $\Omega_1 Y_1 \subseteq \Omega_0 Y_0 \cup (d\Omega_0)T \subseteq T \subseteq Y_1$.

We mention that Theorem 5.3 generalizes Theorem 4.3 of [6]. We do not know if we may eliminate the sigma-compactness hypothesis. Under certain circumstances—when Y is either open or closed—we can, as demonstrated in Corollaries 3.12 and 3.13.

As might be expected, if we further restrict our attention to those $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$ and $Y \subseteq X$ for which $L_\Omega * L_Y = L_Y$, we can furnish more explicit information. For this case we give a complete solution to the converse of Theorem 5.1. First we prove the theorem for $X = \Gamma$.

5.4. THEOREM. *$L_\Omega * L_\Omega = L_\Omega$ if and only if there is an open set Ω_0 in Γ such that $\Omega_0 = \Omega$ l.a.e. and such that $\Omega_0 \Omega_0 = \Omega_0$.*

Proof. Assume that $L_\Omega * L_\Omega = L_\Omega$. We will show that we can take Ω_0 to be T . By Theorem 4.14, $T = \Omega$ l.a.e., by Lemma 4.12(i) T is open ($\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{S}$!). In order that the definition of Ω_0 as T satisfy the conclusions of the theorem, we need only show that $TT = T$. Since

$$TT \subseteq (i_{\mathcal{O}}T)(i_{\mathcal{O}}T) = (i_{\mathcal{O}}\Omega)(i_{\mathcal{O}}T) \subseteq (d_{\mathcal{O}}\Omega)(i_{\mathcal{O}}T),$$

Lemma 4.13(ii) yields $TT \subseteq T$, whereas $T \subseteq TT$ by Lemma 4.12(ii) since $L_T = L_\Omega$.

To prove the converse, we first show that $\Omega = T$ l.a.e. By Lemma 4.12(ii) $T \subseteq \Omega_0 \Omega_0 = \Omega_0$, while by Lemma 4.13(ii), $\Omega_0 \Omega_0 \subseteq T$, since Ω_0 is open. Then Theorem 4.14 wraps it up: $L_\Omega * L_\Omega = L_T = L_\Omega$.

5.5. THEOREM. *$L_\Omega * L_Y = L_Y$ if and only if there exist $\Omega_0 \subseteq \Omega$ and \mathcal{O} -open $Y_0 \subseteq X$ such that $\Omega_0 = \Omega$ l.a.e., $Y_0 = Y$ l.a.e., and such that $\Omega_0 Y_0 = Y_0$.*

Proof. We show that $\Omega_0 = \Omega \cap d\Omega$ and $Y_0 = T$ satisfy the requirements, under the assumption that $L_\Omega * L_Y = L_Y$. Clearly $\Omega_0 = \Omega$ l.a.e. By virtue of Theorem 4.14, $T = Y$ l.a.e. This means that $L_{\Omega_0} * L_T = L_T$, so that by Lemma 4.12(ii) $T \subseteq \Omega_0 T$. On the other hand, $\Omega_0 T \subseteq (d\Omega)(i_\emptyset T) = (d\Omega)(i_\emptyset Y) \subseteq T$ by Lemma 4.13(ii). The converse can be proved virtually the same as the converse in Theorem 5.4.

Assuredly, if $L_\Gamma \circ L_X^\infty \subseteq C(X)$, then T is open in \mathcal{T} , so we obtain Y_0 open in that topology.

If $1 \in d\Omega$, then by Lemma 3.1, L_Ω contains an approximate identity $(u_i)_{i \in I}$ of L_Γ . For every $k \in L_Y$ we then have $k = \lim_i u_i * k \in L_\Omega * L_Y$. Thus we obtain

5.6. THEOREM. *If $1 \in d\Omega$ and $L_\Omega * L_Y \subseteq L_Y$, then $L_\Omega * L_Y = L_Y$.*

5.7. COROLLARY. *Let $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma$ be such that $1 \in d\Omega$ and L_Ω is a subalgebra of L_Γ . Then there is an open $\Omega_0 \subseteq T$ such that $\Omega_0 = \Omega$ l.a.e. and $\Omega_0 \Omega_0 = \Omega_0$.*

It is worth noticing that Γ may well contain open subsets Ω with $\Omega\Omega = \Omega$ while $1 \notin d\Omega$. For an example, let Γ be the additive group of the reals with the discrete topology, and $\Omega = \{\sigma \in \Gamma : \sigma > 0\}$.

REFERENCES

1. N. Bourbaki, *Intégration*. Chapitre 6: *Intégration vectorielle*, Actualités Sci. Indust., no. 1281, Hermann, Paris, 1959. MR 23 #A2033.
2. P. J. Cohen, *Factorization in group algebras*, Duke Math. J. **26** (1959), 199–205. MR 21 #3729.
3. S. L. Gulick, T.-S. Liu and A. C. M. van Rooij, *Group algebra modules*. I, Canad. J. Math. **19** (1967), 133–150. MR 36 #5712.
4. ———, *Group algebra modules*. II, Canad. J. Math. **19** (1967), 151–173. MR 36 #5713.
5. E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract harmonic analysis*. Vol. 1: *Structure of topological groups. Integration theory, group representations*, Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, Band 115, Academic Press, New York and Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1963. MR 28 #158.
6. T.-S. Liu, *Invariant subspaces of some function spaces*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **14** (1963), 231–239. MR 27 #1561.
7. T.-S. Liu and A. C. M. van Rooij, *Transformation groups and absolutely continuous measures*. II, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A **73** = Indag. Math. **32** (1970), 57–61.
8. ———, *Sums and intersections of normed linear spaces*, Math. Nachr. **42** (1969), 29–42.
9. W. Rudin, *Measure algebras on abelian groups*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **65** (1959), 227–247. MR 21 #7404.
10. A. B. Simon, *Vanishing algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **92** (1959), 154–167. MR 23 #A1240.

UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND,
COLLEGE PARK, MARYLAND 20742
UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS,
AMHERST, MASSACHUSETTS 01002
CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY,
NIJMEGEN, THE NETHERLANDS