

## SOME SPLITTING THEOREMS FOR ALGEBRAS OVER COMMUTATIVE RINGS

BY  
W. C. BROWN

**Abstract.** Let  $R$  denote a commutative ring with identity and Jacobson radical  $p$ . Let  $\pi_0: R \rightarrow R/p$  denote the natural projection of  $R$  onto  $R/p$  and  $j: R/p \rightarrow R$  a ring homomorphism such that  $\Pi_0 j$  is the identity on  $R/p$ . We say the pair  $(R, j)$  has the splitting property if given any  $R$ -algebra  $A$  which is faithful, connected and finitely generated as an  $R$ -module and has  $A/N$  separable over  $R$ , then there exists an  $(R/p)$ -algebra homomorphism  $j': A/N \rightarrow A$  such that  $\Pi j'$  is the identity on  $A/N$ . Here  $N$  and  $\Pi$  denote the Jacobson radical of  $A$  and the natural projection of  $A$  onto  $A/N$  respectively. The purpose of this paper is to study those pairs  $(R, j)$  which have the splitting property. If  $R$  is a local ring, then  $(R, j)$  has the splitting property if and only if  $(R, j)$  is a strong inertial coefficient ring. If  $R$  is a Noetherian Hilbert ring with infinitely many maximal ideals such that  $R/p$  is an integrally closed domain, then  $(R, j)$  has the splitting property. If  $R$  is a dedekind domain with infinitely many maximal ideals and  $x$  an indeterminate, then the power series ring  $R[[x]]$  together with the inclusion map 1 form a pair  $(R[[x]], 1)$  with the splitting property. Two examples are given at the end of the paper which show that  $R/p$  being integrally closed is necessary but not sufficient to guarantee  $(R, j)$  has the splitting property.

**Introduction.** In [3], the notion of a strong inertial coefficient ring  $(R, j)$  was first introduced. Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity. Let  $p$  denote the Jacobson radical of  $R$  and  $\Pi_0: R \rightarrow R/p$  the natural projection of  $R$  onto  $R/p$ . We assume there exists a ring homomorphism  $j: R/p \rightarrow R$ , mapping  $R/p$  into  $R$ , such that  $\Pi_0 j$  is the identity map of  $R/p$ . Then the pair  $(R, j)$  is called a strong inertial coefficient ring if the following property is satisfied: Given any  $R$ -algebra  $A$  which is finitely generated as an  $R$ -module and has  $A/N$  separable over  $R$ , then there exists an  $(R/p)$ -algebra homomorphism  $j': A/N \rightarrow A$  such that  $\Pi j'$  is the identity on  $A/N$ . Here  $\Pi$  and  $N$  denote the natural projection of  $A$  onto  $A/N$  and the Jacobson radical of  $A$  respectively. In [3], we showed that if  $(R, j)$  was a strong inertial coefficient ring, then  $R$  itself was an inertial coefficient ring. In [4], the author and E. Ingraham determined the structure of all semilocal inertial coefficient rings. Namely,  $R$  is an inertial coefficient ring with finitely many maximal ideals if and only if  $R$  is a finite direct sum of Hensel rings. Thus we can characterize semilocal strong inertial coefficient rings as follows: The pair  $(R, j)$  is a strong inertial coefficient ring if and only if  $R$  is a finite direct sum of split Hensel rings.

---

Received by the editors June 29, 1970.

*AMS 1970 subject classifications.* Primary 13B20, 16A16, 16A56; Secondary 13A20, 13F05, 16A21, 16A32.

*Key words and phrases.* Strong inertial coefficient ring, inertial coefficient ring, splitting property, pair  $(R, j)$ .

Copyright © 1972, American Mathematical Society

In [3, Proposition 2], we showed that any homomorphic image of a strong inertial coefficient ring was a strong inertial coefficient ring. This property prevents many rings from being strong inertial coefficient rings. For example, the integers  $\mathbb{Z}$  together with identity map 1 fail to be a strong inertial coefficient ring  $(\mathbb{Z}, 1)$ . This can easily be seen by considering the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra  $A = \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ , the integers modulo 4. The radical of  $A$  is  $2\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ , and  $A/N = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  cannot be mapped as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebras back into  $A$ . Thus we are led to the following type of question: If we attempt to modify the definition of a strong inertial coefficient ring in order to avoid examples as the one above, what class of rings will we get? We may avoid examples like  $A = \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$  by demanding that  $A$  be both faithful and connected over  $R$ . Thus the purpose of this paper is to study those pairs  $(R, j)$  which have the following property: Given any  $R$ -algebra  $A$  which is faithful, connected and finitely generated as an  $R$ -module, and has  $A/N$  separable over  $R$ , then there exists an  $(R/p)$ -algebra homomorphism  $j': A/N \rightarrow A$  such that  $\Pi j'$  is the identity on  $A/N$ . We shall show that in the local case these pairs are exactly strong inertial coefficient rings, but in the nonlocal case we get a much broader class of rings.

**Preliminaries.** Throughout this paper, all rings will be assumed to be associative and with identities. All ring homomorphisms take the identity to the identity, and all subrings of a given ring contain the identity of that ring.  $R$  will always denote a commutative ring with Jacobson radical  $p$ . By an  $R$ -algebra  $A$ , we mean a not necessarily commutative ring  $A$  together with a ring homomorphism  $\theta$  of  $R$  into the center of  $A$ . If  $\theta$  is a monomorphism, we say  $A$  is faithful over  $R$ . If  $A$  is faithful over  $R$ , we shall often just identify  $R$  with its image in  $A$ . We shall say  $A$  is finitely generated, projective, etc. over  $R$  if  $A$  is finitely generated, projective, etc. as an  $R$ -module. We shall call  $A$  connected if the only idempotents of  $A$  are 0 and 1.  $N$  will always denote the Jacobson radical of  $A$ .

Let  $\Pi$  and  $\Pi_0$  denote the projections of  $A$  and  $R$  onto  $A/N$  and  $R/p$  respectively. We shall call  $R$  split if there exists a ring homomorphism  $j: R/p \rightarrow R$  such that  $\Pi_0 j$  is the identity of  $R/p$ . We shall indicate that  $R$  is split by writing the pair  $(R, j)$ . We shall use the following lemma continually.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $A$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -algebra and let  $\bigcap (mA)$  denote the intersection of the  $mA$  as  $m$  runs over all maximal ideals of  $R$ . Then*

- (a)  $pA \subseteq N$ .
- (b) *There exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $N^n \subseteq \bigcap (mA)$ .*
- (c) *If  $A$  is projective over  $R$ , then  $pA = \bigcap (mA)$ .*
- (d) *If  $A$  is separable over  $R$ , then  $N = \bigcap (mA)$ .*

**Proof.** See [6, Lemma 1.1].

In particular, if  $A$  is finitely generated over  $R$  and  $R$  is split by  $j$ , then  $A/N$  is naturally an  $(R/p)$ -algebra, and (via  $j$ )  $A$  is also an  $(R/p)$ -algebra. We shall say that the pair  $(R, j)$  has the splitting property if, given any finitely generated, faithful,

and connected  $R$ -algebra  $A$  with  $A/N$  separable over  $R$ , there exists an  $(R/p)$ -algebra homomorphism  $j': A/N \rightarrow A$  such that  $\Pi j'$  is the identity on  $A/N$ .

Finally, we assume that the reader is familiar with the results of [1] and [6].

**1. Semilocal rings with the splitting property.** Throughout this section, we shall assume that  $R$  is semilocal, i.e.  $R$  has only finitely many maximal ideals. If  $R$  has more than one maximal ideal,  $R/p$  is a finite direct sum of fields. Since  $j(R/p) \subset R$ ,  $R$  contains proper idempotents, i.e. idempotents other than 0 or 1. Hence  $(R, j)$  satisfies the splitting property vacuously, for there are no connected, faithful  $R$ -algebras  $A$ . Thus any pair  $(R, j)$  with  $R$  a semilocal ring containing more than one maximal ideal has the splitting property.

Let us now look at the more interesting case when  $R$  is local, i.e.  $R$  has a unique maximal ideal. In this case,  $p$  is just the unique maximal ideal of  $R$ , and  $R/p$  is a field. We make no assumptions concerning chain conditions on  $R$ .

Suppose  $A$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -algebra via a ring homomorphism  $\theta: R \rightarrow A$ . If  $a_0$  is an element of  $A$ , we denote by  $\theta(R)[a_0]$  the subring of  $A$  generated by  $R$  and  $a_0$ . Thus  $\theta(R)[a_0]$  is the subring of  $A$  consisting of all polynomials in  $a_0$  with coefficients in  $\theta(R)$ .

**LEMMA 2.** *Suppose  $A$  is a finitely generated algebra over a local ring  $R$  (say via  $\theta: R \rightarrow A$ ). If  $a_0$  is an element of  $A$  such that  $\theta(R)[a_0]$  is connected, then  $a_0$  is a root of a monic polynomial  $g(x)$  in  $R[x]$  such that  $R[x]/(g)$  is connected.*

**Proof.** By  $a_0$  a root of  $g(x)$  in  $R[x]$  ( $x$  an indeterminate), we of course mean  $\theta(g)(a_0) = 0$ , i.e. if  $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n r_i x^i$ ,  $r_i$  in  $R$ , then  $\sum_{i=0}^n \theta(r_i)a_0^i = 0$  in  $A$ . Since  $A$  is finitely generated over  $R$ , it follows from [2, Theorem 8] that there exists a monic polynomial  $f(x)$  in  $R[x]$  such that the ring  $R[x]/(f)$  is mapped homomorphically onto  $\theta(R)[a_0]$  under the mapping  $\zeta: \sum_{i=0}^n r_i x^i + (f(x)) \rightarrow \sum_{i=0}^n \theta(r_i)a_0^i$ . Thus if the ring  $R[x]/(f)$  is connected we are done. If  $R[x]/(f)$  is not connected, then by [2, Lemma 3] there exist monic, relatively prime polynomials  $f_1(x)$  and  $f_2(x)$  in  $R[x]$  such that  $f(x) = f_1(x)f_2(x)$ . Thus

$$(1) \quad R[x]/(f) = (f_1)/(f) \oplus (f_2)/(f),$$

i.e.  $R[x]/(f)$  decomposes into the direct sum of two mutually orthogonal ideals. Let  $\bar{1}$  denote the identity of  $R[x]/(f)$ . Then from (1),  $\bar{1} = e_1 + e_2$ , with  $e_i$  an idempotent in  $(f_i)/(f)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and  $e_1e_2 = 0$ . Since  $\theta(R)[a_0]$  is connected,  $\zeta(e_1)$  is either 0 or 1. Assume  $\zeta(e_1) = 1$ . Then  $\zeta(e_2) = 0$  since  $\zeta$  is a ring homomorphism. Thus, we have the ring homomorphism

$$R[x]/(f_2) \xrightarrow{\cong} \left\{ \frac{R[x]}{(f)} \right\}_{e_1} \xrightarrow{\zeta} \theta(R)[a_0]$$

with  $\cong$  an isomorphism and  $\zeta$  onto. So if  $R[x]/(f)$  is not connected, we can find a monic polynomial of smaller degree  $f_2(x)$  such that  $R[x]/(f_2)$  maps onto  $\theta(R)[a_0]$

under the map sending

$$\sum_{i=0}^m r_i x^i + (f_2) \rightarrow \sum_{i=0}^m \theta(r_i) a_0^i.$$

If  $R[x]/(f_2)$  is not connected, we continue the above process. After a finite number of steps, we get the desired result.  $\square$

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $R$  be a local ring split by  $j$ . Assume the pair  $(R, j)$  has the splitting property. If  $A$  is any finitely generated, commutative and connected  $R$ -algebra such that  $A/N$  is separable over  $R$ , then  $A$  is a local ring.*

**Proof.** The proof is by contradiction. Since  $A$  is finitely generated over  $R$ ,  $A$  is semilocal. Assume  $\{m_1, \dots, m_n\}$  are the maximal ideals of  $A$  with  $n > 1$ . If we set  $F_i = A/m_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $F_i$  is a separable field extension of  $R/p$ . Choose  $\alpha$  in  $\bigcap_{i=2}^n m_i$ , but not in  $m_1$ . Let  $e$  denote the identity of  $A$ . If  $A$  is an  $R$ -algebra via a ring homomorphism  $\theta: R \rightarrow A$ , write  $re$  for  $\theta(r)$  in  $A$ . Consider the subring  $Re[\alpha] \subset A$ ,  $Re[\alpha]$  the subring of  $A$  generated by  $\theta(R)$  and  $\alpha$ . Since  $A$  is finitely generated over  $R$ ,  $A$  is an integral extension of  $Re$  and thus of  $Re[\alpha]$  also. Therefore, the Jacobson radical of  $Re[\alpha]$  is  $N \cap Re[\alpha]$ . Set  $\text{rad}(Re[\alpha]) = N \cap Re[\alpha]$ .

We next assert that  $Re[\alpha]$  has exactly two maximal ideals  $m_1 \cap Re[\alpha]$  and  $m_2 \cap Re[\alpha]$ . Since  $A$  is an integral extension of  $Re[\alpha]$ , every  $m_i \cap Re[\alpha]$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , is maximal in  $Re[\alpha]$ . Since  $\alpha$  is in  $Re[\alpha]$ ,  $m_1 \cap Re[\alpha] \neq m_2 \cap Re[\alpha]$ . Let  $x$  be an element of  $m_2 \cap Re[\alpha]$ . Then  $x = r_0 e + y\alpha$  where  $r_0$  is in  $R$  and  $y$  is in  $Re[\alpha]$ . Since  $\alpha$  and  $x$  are in  $m_2$ ,  $r_0 e$  is in  $m_2 \cap Re \subset p \subset m_i$ ,  $i \geq 1$ . Therefore,  $r_0 e$  and  $\alpha$  in  $m_i$  imply  $x$  is in  $m_i \cap Re[\alpha]$ ,  $i > 1$ . Thus  $m_2 \cap Re[\alpha] \subseteq m_i \cap Re[\alpha]$ ,  $i \geq 2$ . Since  $m_2 \cap Re[\alpha]$  is maximal in  $Re[\alpha]$ ,  $m_i \cap Re[\alpha] = m_2 \cap Re[\alpha]$ ,  $i > 1$ . Thus  $Re[\alpha]$  has exactly two maximal ideals.

Now  $Re[\alpha]/\text{rad}(Re[\alpha]) \subset A/N = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n F_i$ . Therefore,  $Re[\alpha]/\text{rad}(Re[\alpha])$  is the direct sum of two fields which are separable over  $R/p$ . Thus,  $Re[\alpha]/\text{rad}(Re[\alpha])$  is separable over  $R$ . It is easy to see that one of these fields is isomorphic to  $R/p$ . So we may suppose that

$$Re[\alpha]/\text{rad}(Re[\alpha]) = R/p \oplus F_1.$$

Now  $Re[\alpha] \subset A$ . Thus  $Re[\alpha]$  is connected. So by Lemma 2, we can find a monic polynomial  $f(x)$  in  $R[x]$  such that  $S = R[x]/(f)$  has the following properties:

1.  $S$  is a commutative, finitely generated, free and connected  $R$ -algebra.
2.  $S$  is mapped epimorphically onto  $Re[\alpha]$  under the map sending

$$\zeta: \sum r_i x^i + (f) \rightarrow \sum r_i e \alpha^i.$$

So we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & N \cap Re[\alpha] & \longrightarrow & Re[\alpha] & \longrightarrow & R/p \oplus F_1 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow \zeta & & \uparrow \zeta & & \uparrow \zeta \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{rad}(S) & \longrightarrow & S & \xrightarrow{\pi} & S/\text{rad}(S) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Here  $\zeta$  is induced in the natural way from  $\zeta$ . Since  $S$  is finitely generated over  $R$ ,  $S/\text{rad}(S)$  is a finite direct sum of fields  $L_1, \dots, L_t$ ,  $t \geq 2$ . Since  $\zeta$  is onto, one easily checks that one  $L_i$  is isomorphic to  $R/p$  and one  $L_j$ ,  $j \neq i$ , is isomorphic to  $F_1$ . Let us assume we have labeled things so that  $L_1 = R/p$  and  $L_2$  is isomorphic to  $F_1$ . Since  $F_1$  is a finite-dimensional separable field extension of  $R/p$ ,  $F_1$  is generated by a primitive element  $\bar{a}_1$  in  $F_1$ . Choose  $\beta$  in  $S$  such that  $\Pi(\beta) = \bar{a}_1$ . Then as before, we can easily show that  $R[\beta] \subset S$  modulo its radical is isomorphic to  $R/p \oplus F_1$ . Hence  $R[\beta]$  is a finitely generated, faithful and connected  $R$ -algebra ( $S$  is connected) having  $R[\beta]/\text{rad}(R[\beta])$  separable over  $R$ . Since  $(R, j)$  has the splitting property,  $R[\beta]$  must contain a proper idempotent. This is impossible since  $R[\beta]$  is connected. Thus  $A$  must be local.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $(R, j)$  be a pair with  $R$  a local ring. Then  $(R, j)$  has the splitting property if and only if  $R$  is a Hensel ring.*

**Proof.** Suppose  $R$  is a Hensel ring. Then by [3, Theorem, 1]  $(R, j)$  is a strong inertial coefficient ring. Hence  $(R, j)$  clearly has the splitting property.

Suppose  $(R, j)$  has the splitting property. By [4, Theorem], in order to show  $R$  is Hensel it suffices to show that every finitely generated, commutative and faithful  $R$ -algebra  $S$  with  $S/\text{rad}(S)$  separable over  $R$  is a finite direct sum of local rings. So let  $S$  be such an  $R$ -algebra. Then we can write  $S = S_1 \oplus \dots \oplus S_t$  as the orthogonal direct sum of algebras  $S_i$  which satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 1. That is, each  $S_i$  is commutative, connected and finitely generated over  $R$  and has  $S_i/\text{rad}(S_i)$  separable over  $R$ . Thus the result follows from Theorem 1.  $\square$

**COROLLARY.** *If  $R$  is a local ring then  $(R, j)$  has the splitting property if and only if  $(R, j)$  is a strong inertial coefficient ring.*

**2. Rings with infinitely many maximal ideals and the splitting property.** In this section, we assume  $R$  has infinitely many maximal ideals and is split by a ring homomorphism  $j$ . If  $R$  is Noetherian, the pair  $(R, j)$  cannot be a strong inertial coefficient ring. This follows immediately from the following proposition:

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Suppose the pair  $(R, j)$  is a strong inertial coefficient ring. Then if  $R$  is Noetherian,  $R$  has only finitely many maximal ideals.*

**Proof.** We first assume  $p$ , the radical of  $R$ , is 0. Let  $I$  be any ideal of  $R$  and consider the algebra  $R/I^2$  over  $R$ . Let  $\text{rad}(R/I^2)$  denote the Jacobson radical of  $R/I^2$ . Then

$$(1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \text{rad}(R/I^2) \longrightarrow R/I^2 \xrightarrow{\Pi_0} (R/I^2)/\text{rad}(R/I^2) \longrightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence of  $R$ -algebras.  $R/I^2$  is clearly finitely generated over  $R$ .  $(R/I^2)/\text{rad}(R/I^2)$ , being a homomorphic image of  $R$ , is separable over  $R$ . By hypothesis,  $(R, 1)$  is a strong inertial coefficient ring. Hence (1) splits as  $R$ -algebras,

i.e. there exists an  $R$ -algebra homomorphism  $j'$  of  $(R/I^2)/\text{rad } (R/I^2)$  into  $R/I^2$  such that  $\Pi_0 j' = 1$ . Now  $j'$  takes the identity to the identity. Therefore

$$j'\{(R/I^2)/\text{rad } (R/I^2)\} = R/I^2.$$

So  $\text{rad } (R/I^2) = 0$ . Since  $I/I^2 \subset \text{rad } (R/I^2)$ , we have  $I = I^2$ . Since  $R$  is Noetherian,  $I$  is generated by an idempotent [11, Proposition 1.1]. Thus,  $I$  is a direct summand of the ring  $R$ . Since  $I$  was arbitrary, this implies that  $R$  is a semisimple ring with the descending chain condition. Hence  $R$  is a finite direct sum of fields.

Thus if  $p=0$  the proposition is proven. In the general case, if  $(R, j)$  is a strong inertial coefficient ring, then  $(R/p, 1)$  is a strong inertial coefficient ring. Thus  $R/p$  is a finite direct sum of fields. This implies  $R$  is semilocal.  $\square$

Thus any Noetherian ring with infinitely many maximal ideals cannot form a strong inertial coefficient ring. However, many of these rings do have the splitting property. We need the following definition:

**DEFINITION.** We call  $R$  a Hilbert ring if every prime ideal of  $R$  is the intersection of all maximal ideals containing it.

Clearly any dedekind domain with infinitely many maximal ideals or polynomial rings  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  in  $n$  indeterminates  $x_i$  over a field  $k$  are examples of Hilbert rings.

Suppose that  $R$  is an integral domain which is also a Hilbert ring. Then  $0$  is a prime ideal and thus the intersection of all the maximal ideals of  $R$ . Hence  $p=0$ . The next theorem gives conditions under which  $(R, 1)$  has the splitting property.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian, integrally closed, Hilbert domain. Then  $(R, 1)$  has the splitting property.*

**Proof.** Let  $A$  be any finitely generated, faithful, and connected  $R$ -algebra with  $A/N$  separable over  $R$ . We wish to prove that  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/N \rightarrow 0$  splits as  $R$ -algebras. From [7, Corollary 1],  $N=L(A)$  the lower radical of  $A$ . Thus  $N$  is the intersection of all prime ideals of  $A$ . Since  $A$  is finitely generated over a Noetherian ring  $R$ ,  $L(A)$  is nilpotent. Hence  $N$  is nilpotent.

We next note that  $A/N$  is connected. Since  $N$  is nilpotent, any idempotent of  $A/N$  can be pulled back to an idempotent in  $A$ . Thus,  $A$  connected implies  $A/N$  is connected.

Since the radical of  $R$  is  $0$ ,  $N \cap R = 0$  [2, Corollary, Theorem 9]. Thus  $A/N$  is a finitely generated, faithful, connected and separable  $R$ -algebra. Thus  $C(A/N)$ , the center of  $A/N$ , is a finitely generated, faithful, connected, separable and commutative  $R$ -algebra. Since  $R$  is integrally closed, it now follows from [9, Corollary 4.2] that  $C(A/N)$  is projective over  $R$ .  $A/N$  itself is projective over  $C(A/N)$ . Thus  $A/N$  is projective over  $R$ .

We have now shown that  $A$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -algebra which is a complete Hausdorff space in its  $N$ -adic topology and has  $A/N$  separable and projective over  $R$ . It follows from [6, Theorem 3.13] that  $A$  contains an inertial subalgebra  $S$ . That

is, there exists an  $R$ -separable subalgebra  $S$  of  $A$  such that  $S+N=A$ . Now  $S$  is finitely generated over  $R$  since  $R$  is Noetherian.  $S$  being separable over  $R$  implies  $S$  is central separable over  $C(S)$ , the center of  $S$ , and  $C(S)$  is separable over  $R$ . Thus  $C(S)$  is a commutative, finitely generated, faithful, connected, and separable  $R$ -algebra. Using [9, Corollary 4.2] again, we get  $C(S)$  is projective over  $R$ . Now by Lemma 1, parts (c) and (d), of this paper, the Jacobson radical,  $\text{rad}(C(S))$ , of  $C(S)$  is generated by the radical of  $R$  which is 0. Therefore  $\text{rad}(C(S))=0$ . Since  $\text{rad}(S) \cap C(S) \subseteq \text{rad}(C(S))$ . We get the radical of  $S$  when intersected with  $C(S)$  is 0. But  $S$  is central separable over  $C(S)$ . Thus

$$\text{rad}(S) = [\text{rad}(S) \cap C(S)]S = 0.$$

So the radical of  $S$  is 0. Since  $N \cap S \subseteq \text{rad}(S)$ , we have  $N \cap S=0$ . Thus  $A=S \oplus N$  as  $R$ -algebras. This is equivalent to the existence of an  $R$ -algebra homomorphism of  $A/N$  into  $A$  splitting  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/N \rightarrow 0$ .  $\square$

Thus there are numerous examples of Noetherian domains with infinitely many maximal ideals which can form pairs with the splitting property. For example,  $(k[x_1, \dots, x_n], 1)$  has the splitting property.  $(R, 1)$ ,  $R$  a dedekind domain with infinitely many maximal ideals, has the splitting property. In particular,  $(\mathbb{Z}, 1)$  has the splitting property.

We can also remove the hypothesis that  $R$  be a domain.

**THEOREM 4.** *Suppose  $R$  is a Noetherian Hilbert ring such that  $0 \rightarrow p \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/p \rightarrow 0$  is split by  $j$ . If  $R/p$  is an integrally closed domain, then  $(R, j)$  has the splitting property.*

**Proof.** Any homomorphic image of a Hilbert ring is a Hilbert ring. Hence  $R/p$  is a Noetherian, integrally closed, Hilbert domain. Let  $A$  be any finitely generated, faithful and connected  $R$ -algebra with  $A/N$  separable over  $R$ . By [7, Corollary 1], both  $p$  and  $N$  are nilpotent. Since  $N \cap R \subseteq p$ ,  $A/N$  is faithful over  $R/p$ . Thus following the procedures of Theorem 3, we get  $A/N$  is projective over  $R/p$ . So we may view  $*: 0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/N \rightarrow 0$  as a short exact sequence of  $(R/p)$ -algebras with  $A/N$  separable, projective and finitely generated over  $R/p$ . Note  $A$  is a complete Hausdorff space in its  $N$ -adic topology. We may now argue that  $*$  splits as  $(R/p)$ -algebras via induction on the index of nilpotency of  $N$ .

Let  $H_{R/p}^n(A/N, N)$  denote the  $n$ th Hochschild cohomology of  $A/N$  coefficients in  $N$ . Thus,  $H_{R/p}^n(A/N, N)$  is computed using the bar resolution of  $A/N$  [10, p. 283]. This makes sense since  $A/N$  is projective over  $R/p$ . Now  $A/N$  being separable over  $R/p$  implies  $H_{R/p}^1(A/N, N)=0$ , and thus  $H_{R/p}^2(A/N, N)=0$ . Hence if  $N$  has index of nilpotency 2,  $*$  splits as  $(R/p)$ -algebras via [10, Theorem 3.1, p. 285]. Let us suppose that  $*$  splits whenever the index of nilpotency of  $N$  is less than  $n$  ( $n > 2$ ) and consider the case of  $N$  having index  $n$ . Then  $0 \rightarrow N/N^2 \rightarrow A/N^2 \rightarrow A/N \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence of  $(R/p)$ -algebras which split by the case  $n=2$ . Thus there exists an  $(R/p)$ -subalgebra  $T \subset A/N^2$  such that  $A/N^2 = N/N^2 \oplus T$ . Hence there exists an

$(R/p)$ -subalgebra  $S$  of  $A$  such that  $S \supset N^2$  and  $S/N^2 = T$ . Since  $T$  is isomorphic to  $A/N$ ,  $T$  is semisimple, i.e. has Jacobson radical 0. Therefore, the radical of  $S$  is  $N^2$ . So  $0 \rightarrow N^2 \rightarrow S \rightarrow A/N \rightarrow 0$  is exact. By our induction hypothesis, this sequence splits as  $(R/p)$ -algebras. Since  $S \subset A$  we get  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/N \rightarrow 0$  splits as  $(R/p)$ -algebras.  $\square$

Thus pairs of the form  $(k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(x_i^2), 1)$  also have the splitting property. One may ask whether  $R$  must be a Hilbert ring in order for  $(R, j)$  to have the splitting property. The answer to this question is no. To see this, let  $R$  be a dedekind domain with infinitely many maximal ideals, e.g. the integers  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $x$  be an indeterminate and consider  $R[[x]]$ —the formal power series ring in  $x$ , coefficients in  $R$ . Then  $R[[x]]$  is an integrally closed, Noetherian domain with Jacobson radical  $(x)$ , the ideal generated by  $x$ . In any Noetherian Hilbert ring, the Jacobson radical is nilpotent. Thus  $R[[x]]$  is not a Hilbert ring.

**THEOREM 5.**  $(R[[x]], 1)$  has the splitting property.

**Proof.** Suppose  $A$  is a finitely generated, faithful and connected  $R[[x]]$ -algebra having  $A/N$  separable over  $R[[x]]$ . Since  $A$  is finitely generated over  $R[[x]]$ ,  $(x)A \subset N$ . Thus,  $A/N$  is finitely generated and faithful over  $R$ .

$A/xA$  is finitely generated over  $R$ . Thus by [6, Proposition 3.15], the Jacobson radical of  $A/xA$ , which is  $N/Ax$ , is nilpotent. Hence there exists a positive integer  $t$  such that  $N^t \subset xA$ .

Now  $R[[x]]$  is a complete Hausdorff space in its  $x$ -adic topology. Hence  $R[[x]]$  is a Zariski ring [12, vol. II, p. 264]. Thus,  $\bigcap_i (xA)^i = 0$ . So  $A$  is a Hausdorff space in its  $xA$ -adic topology. This implies  $A$  is a Hausdorff space in its  $N$ -adic topology. By [12, Theorem 5, p. 256],  $A$  is a complete Hausdorff space in its  $xA$ -topology and thus in its  $N$ -adic topology also.

$A$  being a complete Hausdorff space in its  $N$ -adic topology implies  $A$  is suitable for building idempotents. That is, any idempotent of  $A/N$  can be lifted to  $A$ . Thus  $A$  connected implies  $A/N$  is connected.

Now  $A/N$  is a finitely generated, faithful, connected and separable  $R$ -algebra. Thus using [9, Corollary 4.2] we get the center of  $A/N$  projective over  $R$ . Thus  $A/N$  is projective over  $R$ . Since  $A/N$  is projective and separable over  $R$ , each

$$0 \rightarrow N/N^n \rightarrow A/N^n \rightarrow A/N \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } n \geq 2$$

splits as  $R$ -algebras. Thus for each  $n \geq 2$  there exists an  $R$ -separable subalgebra  $S_n$  of  $A/N^n$  such that

$$A/N^n = S_n \oplus N/N^n.$$

Following the proof of Theorem 3.16 in [6], we can easily argue that each  $S_n$  is unique up to an inner automorphism of  $A/N^n$  generated by an element of  $N/N^n$ . So if  $T$  is any other  $R$ -separable (finitely generated) subalgebra of  $A/N^n$  such that  $T + N/N^n = A/N^n$ , then there exists an element  $G$  in  $N/N^n$  such that

$$(1 - G)T(1 - G)^{-1} = S_n.$$

Let  $\Pi_{n+1}: A/N^{n+1} \rightarrow A/N^n$  denote the natural projection gotten by factoring out  $N^n/N^{n+1}$ . Then  $\Pi_{n+1}(S_{n+1})$  and  $S_n$  are isomorphic via an inner automorphism generated by an element of the form  $1 - G_n$ ,  $G_n$  in  $N/N^n$ . If we lift  $G_n$  to  $G_{n+1}$  in  $N/N^{n+1}$ , such that  $\Pi_{n+1}(G_{n+1}) = G_n$ , then  $(1 - G_{n+1})S_{n+1}(1 - G_{n+1})^{-1} = S_{n+1}^1$  has the following properties.

$$S_{n+1}^1 \oplus N/N^{n+1} = A/N^{n+1}$$

and

$$\Pi_{n+1}(S_{n+1}^1) = (1 - G_n)\Pi_{n+1}(S_{n+1})(1 - G_n)^{-1} = S_n.$$

Hence we may choose the  $S_n$  at each stage such that  $\Pi_{n+1}(S_{n+1}) = S_n$ . Then clearly  $S = \text{proj lim } S_n$ , the inverse limit of the  $S_n$ , is an  $R$ -separable subalgebra of  $\text{proj lim } A/N^n = A$  such that  $S \oplus N = A$ . Hence  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/N \rightarrow 0$  splits as  $R$ -algebras.  $\square$

**3. Some examples.** In this section, we give two examples concerning Theorem 3. One may first ask if the hypothesis that  $R$  be integrally closed is necessary. Theorem 3 is false if  $R$  is not integrally closed. We see this in the following example which was suggested by E. Ingram.

**EXAMPLE.** Let  $Z$  denote the integers and  $S$  the multiplicative set consisting of all powers of a fixed prime  $p$ .  $S = \{p^i \mid i=0, 1, \dots\}$ . We assume  $p$  is not equal to 2 or 5. Let  $Z_S$  denote the ring  $Z$  localized at the multiplicative set  $S$ . Thus  $Z_S = \{a/b \mid a, b \in Z \text{ and } b \in S\}$ . Let  $R = Z_S(\sqrt{5}) = \{x + y\sqrt{5} \mid x, y \in Z_S\}$ . Then  $R$  is a Noetherian, Hilbert domain with infinitely many maximal ideals.  $R$  is not integrally closed.  $(1 - \sqrt{5})/2$  is in the quotient field of  $R$  and satisfies  $x^2 + x - 1$ , but  $(1 - \sqrt{5})/2$  is not in  $R$ . We shall show that  $(R, 1)$  does not have the splitting property. Let  $A = R[x]/(x^2 + x - 1)$ . The discriminant of  $x^2 + x - 1$  is 5. Whence it follows from [9, Theorem 2.2] that  $A$  is not a separable  $R$ -algebra, but  $A/mA$  is separable over  $R/m$  for all maximal ideals  $m$  of  $R$  except for that maximal ideal  $M$  of  $R$  lying over the ideal  $(5)$  in  $Z_S$ . Note that  $M = \{a + b\sqrt{5} \mid 5 \text{ divides the numerator of } a; a, b \in Z_S\}$  is the only maximal ideal of  $R$  lying over 5 in  $Z_S$ . The radical of  $MA$  is

$$(MA)^{1/2} = \{a + bx \mid a, b \text{ in } M \text{ or } a \text{ not in } M \text{ but } 2a - b \text{ and } a^2 + b^2 \text{ in } M\}.$$

Denote by  $\eta$  the element  $(1 + \sqrt{5}) + 2x$  in  $A$ . Then  $\bar{\eta} = (1 - \sqrt{5}) + 2x$  and  $\eta$  are mutual annihilators. Now  $\eta$  is not in  $MA$ , but  $\eta^2$  is in  $MA$ . So  $MA \subsetneq MA + A\eta \subseteq (MA)^{1/2} \subsetneq A$ . By a dimension argument, we see that  $MA + A\eta = (MA)^{1/2}$ . It now follows that the  $R$ -algebra  $A/A\eta$  is  $R$ -separable, since  $(A/A\eta)/M(A/A\eta) = A/MA + A\eta = A/(MA)^{1/2}$  is semisimple over the perfect field  $R/M$ . Separability over the other maximal ideals of  $R$  follows from the separability of  $A$  over these ideals. Here we are using the well-known result of S. Endo and Y. Watanabe: A finitely generated algebra  $A$  over a commutative ring  $R$  is separable if and only if  $A/mA$  is separable over  $R/m$  for all maximal ideals  $m$  of  $R$ .

Thus  $A/A\eta$  is separable over  $R$ . However,  $A/A\eta^2$  is not  $R$ -separable, since  $(A/A\eta^2)/M(A/A\eta^2) = A/MA$  is not semisimple.

We note that

$$\begin{aligned} A\eta &= \{(a+bx)((1+\sqrt{5})+2x) \mid a, b \text{ in } R\} \\ &= \{(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2\sqrt{5}) + (\gamma_3 + \gamma_4\sqrt{5})x \mid -\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + 2\gamma_4 \\ &\quad = -2\gamma_1 + \gamma_3 + 5\gamma_4 = 0, \gamma_i \text{ in } Z_S\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A\bar{\eta} &= \{(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2\sqrt{5}) + (\gamma_3 + \gamma_4\sqrt{5})x \mid \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + 2\gamma_4 \\ &\quad = -2\gamma_1 + \gamma_3 - 5\gamma_4 = 0, \gamma_i \text{ in } Z_S\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $A\eta \cap A\bar{\eta} = 0$  and  $A\eta \cap R = 0$ . So  $R \cap A\eta^2 = 0$  and  $A/A\eta^2$  is a finitely generated, faithful  $R$ -algebra.

One can show by a straightforward computation that  $A\eta$  is a prime ideal of  $A$ . It is well known that any finitely generated, commutative algebra over a Hilbert ring is a Hilbert ring. Thus  $A$  is a Hilbert ring. So  $A/A\eta$  is a Hilbert domain and consequently is semisimple. This implies that  $A\eta/A\eta^2$  is the Jacobson radical of  $A/A\eta^2$ . Thus

$$*: 0 \rightarrow A\eta/A\eta^2 \rightarrow A/A\eta^2 \rightarrow A/A\eta \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

We next note that  $A/A\eta^2$  is connected. For if  $e$  is any idempotent in  $A/A\eta^2$ , the image of  $e$  in  $A/A\eta$  is either 0 or 1. Thus  $e \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{A\eta/A\eta^2}$ . It now follows from [5, Lemma 1.2] that  $e = 0$  or 1. Thus  $A/A\eta^2$  is connected.

We have now proven that  $A/A\eta^2$  is a finitely generated, faithful and connected  $R$ -algebra which is separable modulo its radical  $A\eta/A\eta^2$ . Thus the counterexample will be complete if we show  $*$  cannot split as  $R$ -algebras.

We shall next prove that the  $R$ -subalgebra  $(R+MA)/A\eta^2$  is an inertial subalgebra of  $A/A\eta^2$ , i.e.  $(R+MA)/A\eta^2$  is separable over  $R$  and  $(R+MA)/A\eta^2 + A\eta/A\eta^2 = A/A\eta^2$ . Recall  $M$  lies over 5. Let  $m'$  be any other maximal ideal of  $R$ . Then  $R+MA+m'A=A$  (since  $m'+M=R$ ), and so  $R+MA \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/m'A$  is onto. Moreover,  $m'(R+MA)=\{a+bx \mid a \text{ in } m', b \text{ in } Mm'=M \cap m'\}=(R+MA) \cap m'A$ , so  $(R+MA)/m'(R+MA) \cong A/m'A$  which is separable over  $R/m'$ . Thus

$$((R+MA)/A\eta^2)/m'((R+MA)/A\eta^2)$$

is  $(R/m')$ -separable for all maximal ideals  $m' \neq M$  in  $R$ .

Now we have

$$A\eta^2 = \{(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2\sqrt{5}) + (\gamma_3 + \gamma_4\sqrt{5})x \mid 2|\gamma_2, 10|\gamma_3 \text{ and } \gamma_1, \gamma_i \text{ in } Z_S\}.$$

Since  $MA$  is generated over  $R$  by  $5, \sqrt{5}, 5x$  and  $\sqrt{5}x$ , of which the first three are in  $M+M^2A$ , and

$$\sqrt{5}x = [(-20-8\sqrt{5})+(-10-5\sqrt{5})x]+[(20+8\sqrt{5})+(10+6\sqrt{5})x]$$

is in  $M+M^2A+A\eta^2$ , we get  $MA \subseteq M+M^2A+A\eta^2$ . Therefore

$$(R+MA)/A\eta^2/M((R+MA)/A\eta^2) = (R+MA)/(M+M^2A+A\eta^2)$$

has dimension one over  $R/M$  and thus is separable over  $R/M$ . We have now shown that  $(R+MA)/A\eta^2$  is separable over  $R$ . Also,  $(R+MA)/A\eta^2 + A\eta/A\eta^2 = A/A\eta^2$  since  $R+MA+A\eta=R+(MA)^{1/2}=A$ . So  $(R+MA)/A\eta^2$  is an inertial subalgebra of  $A/A\eta^2$ .

We next note that  $(R+MA)/A\eta^2 + A\eta/A\eta^2$  is not a direct sum, since  $(R+MA) \cap A\eta = \{a+bx \text{ in } A\eta \mid b \text{ is in } M\} \not\cong A\eta^2$ .

Now in a commutative, finitely generated algebra over a Noetherian ring  $R$ , inertial subalgebras are unique [6, Proposition 2.9]. Thus if  $*$  splits as  $R$ -algebras,  $(R+MA)/A\eta^2$  would be a direct summand of  $A/A\eta^2$ . This is impossible. Hence  $R$  is an example of a Noetherian, Hilbert domain for which  $(R, 1)$  does not have the splitting property.

By looking at the theorems in §2 of this paper, one could conjecture that any Noetherian, integrally closed domain which splits forms a pair with the splitting property. This is false even in the semisimple case.

**EXAMPLE 2.** Let  $Q$  denote the field of rational numbers, and  $x$  and  $y$  indeterminates. Let  $Q[x]$  denote the polynomial ring of polynomials in  $x$ , coefficients in  $Q$ . Let  $Q[x]_{(x)}$  denote the ring  $Q[x]$  localized at the prime ideal generated by  $x$ . So

$$Q[x]_{(x)} = \{g(x)/h(x) \mid g(x), h(x) \text{ in } Q[x] \text{ and } x \text{ does not divide } h(x)\}.$$

Let  $R = \{Q[x]_{(x)}\}[y]$  the ring of polynomials in  $y$  with coefficients in  $Q[x]_{(x)}$ . Then  $R$  is a Noetherian, integrally closed domain. By [8, Theorem 4, p. 12],  $R$  is semisimple, i.e. has Jacobson radical  $p=0$ . We shall show that  $(R, 1)$  does not have the splitting property.

Let  $A^1 = R \oplus R/(y) \cong R \oplus Q[x]_{(x)}$ . Set  $z = (0, x)$  in  $A^1$ . Then  $z$  is a quasiregular element of  $A^1$  which satisfies the equation  $z^2 - xz = 0$ . Consider the subring  $R[z]$  of  $A^1$ . Thus  $R[z]$  is the subring of  $A^1$  consisting of all linear combinations  $r_u(0, x)^n + \dots + r_0$  with  $r_i$  in  $R$ . If we let  $(z)$  denote the ideal generated by  $z$  in  $R[z]$ , then  $(z)$  is the Jacobson radical of  $R[z]$ . Since  $R$  is semisimple,  $R[z] = (z) \oplus R$  as  $R$ -algebras.

We next note that  $R[z]$  is connected. For, suppose there exists a  $t$  in  $R[z]$  such that  $t^2 = t$ . Then  $t$  can be written uniquely as  $g+r$  for  $g$  in  $(z)$  and  $r$  in  $R$ . Thus

$$g^2 + 2rg + r^2 = g + r$$

or

$$g^2 + 2rg - g = r - r^2.$$

On the left-hand side, we have an element of  $(z)$  and on the right an element of  $R$ . Since  $(z) \cap R = 0$  we get  $r^2 = r$ . Thus  $r = 0$  or 1. If  $r = 0$ ,  $t = g$  is an element of  $(z)$  the radical of  $R[z]$ . Hence  $g$  is both quasiregular and idempotent. Therefore  $g = 0$ . If  $r = 1$ ,  $t = g + 1$  is a unit in  $R[z]$ . Hence  $t = 1$ . In either case, we see the only idempotents of  $R[z]$  are 0 and 1. So  $R[z]$  is a commutative, finitely generated, faithful and connected  $R$ -algebra.

Let  $X$  be another indeterminate and consider the polynomial  $f(X) = X^2 - (zx - 1)$  in  $R[z][X]$ . Since  $zx$  is quasiregular in  $R[z]$ ,  $zx - 1$  is a unit in  $R[z]$ . Hence the

discriminant of  $f(X)$  being the unit  $4(zx - 1)$  in  $R[z]$  implies  $f(X)$  is a separable polynomial, i.e.  $A = R[z][X]/(f(X))$  is a separable  $R[z]$ -algebra.

We note that  $f(X)$  has no roots in  $R[z]$ . For suppose there existed a  $t$  in  $R[z]$  such that  $t^2 = zx - 1$ . If we write  $t = g + r$  with  $g$  in  $(z)$  and  $r$  in  $R$ , we get

$$g^2 + 2gr - zx = -r^2 - 1.$$

Thus  $r^2 = -1$  which is impossible. It now follows from [6, Corollary 3.9] that  $A$  is connected. So  $A = R[z][X]/(f(X))$  is a finitely generated, commutative, connected and faithful  $R$ -algebra which is both free and separable over  $R[z]$ .

By Lemma 1,  $N$  the radical of  $A$  is generated by  $z$ , i.e.  $N = zA$ . Since  $A$  is separable over  $R[z]$ ,  $A/N = A/zA$  is separable over  $R[z]/(z) = R$ . Thus  $A$  is a commutative, finitely generated, faithful and connected  $R$ -algebra such that  $A/N$  is separable over  $R$ . We shall show that  $(R, 1)$  does not have the splitting property by showing that  $*: 0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/N \rightarrow 0$  does not split as  $R$ -algebras.

Set  $\varepsilon = X + (f(X))$  in  $A$ . Then  $A$  is the free  $R[z]$ -module on two generators 1 and  $\varepsilon$ .  $\varepsilon$  satisfies the relation  $\varepsilon^2 = zx - 1$ . Let  $\bar{\varepsilon}$  denote the image of  $\varepsilon$  in  $A/N$ . Then  $\bar{\varepsilon}^2 = -1$ . Thus if  $*$  splits as  $R$ -algebras, there would exist an element  $t$  in  $A$  such that  $t^2 = -1$ . We shall show that this is impossible. Suppose such a  $t$  existed in  $A$ .

Write  $t = l_1 + l_2\varepsilon$  for  $l_1, l_2$  in  $R[z]$ . Then  $t^2 = -1$  implies

$$1^\circ. 2l_1l_2 = 0,$$

$$2^\circ. l_1^2 + l_2^2(zx - 1) = -1.$$

Set  $l_1 = g_1 + r_1$  and  $l_2 = g_2 + r_2$  with  $g_i$  in  $(z)$  and  $r_i$  in  $R$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Looking at  $1^\circ$  we get  $2(g_1 + r_1)(g_2 + r_2) = 0$  or  $g_1g_2 + r_1g_2 + r_2g_1 = -r_1r_2$ . Thus

$$3^\circ. r_1r_2 = 0,$$

$$4^\circ. g_1g_2 + r_1g_2 + r_2g_1 = 0.$$

Since  $R$  is a domain, either  $r_1 = 0$ , or  $r_2 = 0$ . Now from  $2^\circ$ , we get

$$(g_1 + r_1)^2 + (g_2 + r_2)^2(zx - 1) = -1.$$

Separating the part which is in  $R$ , we get  $r_1^2 - r_2^2 = -1$ . Thus  $r_2 \neq 0$ . Therefore  $r_1 = 0$  and  $r_2 = \pm 1$ .

In either case,  $l_2 = g_2 \pm 1$  is a unit in  $R[z]$ . Hence  $1^\circ$  implies  $l_1 = 0$ . Thus we are down to an equation of the form  $(g \pm 1)^2\varepsilon^2 = -1$  with  $g$  an element of  $(z)$  in  $R$ .

Suppose  $r_2 = 1$ . Then we have  $(g + 1)^2(zx - 1) = -1$ . Since  $z^2 = zx$  we get  $(g + 1)^2(z^2 - 1) = -1$  or

$$5^\circ. (g^2 + 2g + 1)z^2 = g^2 + 2g \text{ in } R[z].$$

Now  $g$  in  $(z)$  has the form  $a_nz^n + \dots + a_1z$  where the  $a_i$  are polynomials in  $y$  with coefficients in  $Q[x]_{(x)}$ . Thus  $5^\circ$  becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \{[a_n(0, x)^n + \dots + a_1(0, x)]^2 + 2[a_n(0, x)^n + \dots + a_1(0, x)] + 1\}(0, x^2) \\ &= [a_n(0, x)^n + \dots + a_1(0, x)]^2 + 2[a_n(0, x)^n + \dots + a_1(0, x)]. \end{aligned}$$

Counting degrees in  $x$ , we see that  $y$  must divide  $a_n$ , i.e.  $a_n$  has no constant term in  $Q[x]_{(x)}$ . Thus  $a_n(0, x)^n = 0$ . Continuing in this way, we get  $a_i(0, x)^i = 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Therefore  $g = 0$  which is impossible. The case  $r = -1$  is similar.

Thus the ring  $R = Q[x]_{(x)}[Y]$  is an example of a Noetherian, integrally closed domain with radical 0 for which the pair  $(R, 1)$  does not have the splitting property.

#### REFERENCES

1. M. Auslander and O. Goldman, *The Brauer group of a commutative ring*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **97** (1960), 367–409. MR **22** #12130.
2. G. Azumaya, *On maximally central algebras*, Nagoya Math. J. **2** (1951), 119–150. MR **12**, 669.
3. W. C. Brown, *Strong inertial coefficient rings*, Michigan Math. J. **17** (1970), 73–84.
4. W. C. Brown and E. C. Ingraham, *A characterization of semilocal inertial coefficient rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **26** (1970), 10–14. MR **41** #5354.
5. S. Greco, *Algebras over nonlocal Hensel rings*, J. Algebra **8** (1968), 45–59. MR **36** #1435.
6. E. C. Ingraham, *Inertial subalgebras of algebras over commutative rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **124** (1966), 77–93. MR **34** #209.
7. ———, *On the occasional equality of the lower and Jacobson radicals in noetherian rings*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **20** (1969), 267–269. MR **39** #5616.
8. N. Jacobson, *Structure of rings*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 37, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1964. MR **36** #5158.
9. G. J. Janusz, *Separable algebras over commutative rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **122** (1966), 461–479. MR **35** #1585.
10. S. Mac Lane, *Homology*, Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, Band 114, Academic Press, New York; Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1963. MR **28** #122.
11. W. Vasconcelos, *On finitely generated flat modules*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (1969), 505–512. MR **39** #199.
12. O. Zariski and P. Samuel, *Commutative algebra*. Vol. II, University Series in Higher Math., Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1960. MR **22** #11006.

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST LANSING, MICHIGAN 48823