

MAXIMAL REGULAR RIGHT IDEAL SPACE OF A PRIMITIVE RING

BY

KWANGIL KOH AND JIANG LUH

ABSTRACT. If R is a ring, let $X(R)$ be the set of maximal regular right ideals of R and $\mathfrak{L}(R)$ be the lattice of right ideals. For each $A \in \mathfrak{L}(R)$, define $\text{supp}(A) = \{I \in X(R) \mid A \not\subseteq I\}$. We give a topology to $X(R)$ by taking $\{\text{supp}(A) \mid A \in \mathfrak{L}(R)\}$ as a subbase. Let R be a right primitive ring. Then $X(R)$ is the union of two proper closed sets if and only if R is isomorphic to a dense ring with nonzero socle of linear transformations of a vector space of dimension two or more over a finite field. $X(R)$ is a Hausdorff space if and only if either R is a division ring or R modulo its socle is a radical ring and R is isomorphic to a dense ring of linear transformations of a vector space of dimension two or more over a finite field.

Introduction. For a ring R , define $X(R)$ to be the set of maximal regular right ideals of R . Then $X(R)$ is a nonempty set if and only if R is not a radical ring. If A is a right ideal of a ring R , define the support of A to be the set of maximal regular right ideals of R which do not contain A . We topologize $X(R)$ by defining that a subset is open if and only if it is an arbitrary union of finite intersections of the supports of right ideals in R ; that is, the supports of the right ideals form a subbasis for this topology. We will call $X(R)$ together with this topology the maximal regular right ideal space of the ring R . Recall that a topological space is irreducible (refer to [3, p. 13]) if it is not the union of two proper closed subsets, and it is reducible if it is not irreducible. Our main results in this paper are as follows: Let R be a (right) primitive ring. Then $X(R)$ is reducible if and only if R is isomorphic to a dense ring with nonzero socle of linear transformations of a vector space of dimension two or more over a finite field. $X(R)$ is a Hausdorff space if and only if either R is a division ring or R is isomorphic to a dense ring of linear transformations of a vector space over a finite field such that R modulo its socle is a radical ring. If R has 1, then $X(R)$ is a Hausdorff space if and only if either R is a division ring or a finite ring.

1. Preliminaries.

1.1 **Definition.** If A is a right ideal of a ring R , the *support* of A is the

Presented to the Society, May 10, 1971; received by the editors May 13, 1971.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 16A20, 16A42; Secondary 16A48.

Key words and phrases. Maximal regular right ideals, socle, reducible spaces, Hausdorff spaces, support.

set of maximal regular right ideals of R which do not contain A . It will be denoted by $\text{supp}(A)$.

1.2 Definition. For a ring R , let $X(R)$ be the set of maximal regular right ideals in R . We give a topology to $X(R)$ which is generated by the subbasis consisting of all supports of the right ideals in R . We will call $X(R)$ together with this topology the *maximal regular right ideal space* of R . It will simply be denoted by $X(R)$.

1.3 Definition. If x is an element of $X(R)$ for some ring R , then x is also a right ideal of the ring R . Therefore, it is convenient to make a distinction by writing $j(x)$ for the right ideal x and if Y is subset of $X(R)$, $j(Y) = \bigcap \{j(x) | x \in Y\}$. If E is a subset of R , we define $b(E) = \{x \in X(R) | j(x) \supseteq E\}$. $b(E)$ is called the *hull* of E and we write $\text{supp}(E) = X(R) \setminus b(E)$.

1.4 Definition. A topological space is called *irreducible* [3, p. 155] if it is not the union of two proper closed subsets. A space which is not irreducible is *reducible*.

1.5 Definition. If X is a set which is a finite union of subsets Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n , we say that X is an *irredundant* union of Y_i provided that $X \neq Y_1 \cup Y_2 \cup \dots \cup Y_{i-1} \cup Y_{i+1} \cup \dots \cup Y_n$ for every i such that $1 \leq i \leq n$.

1.6 Proposition. If R is a ring and $J(R)$ is the Jacobson radical of R then $X(R)$ is homeomorphic to $X(R/J(R))$.

Proof. Straightforward.

1.7 Proposition. If R is a ring with a unit element, then $X(R)$ is a compact space.

Proof. In view of the Alexander subbase theorem, it suffices to show that if

$$X(R) = \bigcup \{ \text{supp}(A_\alpha) | A_\alpha \}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$$

is a family of right ideals indexed by a set Λ ,

then there exists a finite subset $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ in Λ such that $X(R) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{supp}(A_{\alpha_i})$. Since $X(R) = \bigcup \{ \text{supp}(A_\alpha) | \alpha \in \Lambda \}$, $b(\sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} A_\alpha) = \bigcap \{ b(A_\alpha) | \alpha \in \Lambda \} = \emptyset$, and hence $\sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} A_\alpha = R$. Thus $1 = a_{\alpha_1} + a_{\alpha_2} + \dots + a_{\alpha_n}$ for some $a_{\alpha_i} \in A_{\alpha_i}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and $\bigcap_{i=1}^n b(A_{\alpha_i}) = \emptyset$. Therefore, $X(R) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{supp}(A_{\alpha_i})$.

1.8 Proposition. If Y is an irreducible subset of $X(R)$, then the closure \bar{Y} of Y is equal to $b(j(Y))$.

Proof. Clearly, $\bar{Y} \subseteq b(j(Y))$. Let $x \in b(j(Y))$. If $x \notin \bar{Y}$, then there exists a finite number of right ideals A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n in R such that $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{supp}(A_i)$ and $Y \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{supp}(A_i)) = \emptyset$. Hence $Y \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n b(A_i)$. Since Y is irreducible, $Y \subseteq b(A_i)$ for some A_i . Hence $A_i \subseteq j(Y) \subseteq j(x)$. This is impossible since $x \in \text{supp}(A_i)$.

1.9 **Corollary.** $X(R)$ is a T_1 -space.

Proof. Let $x \in X(R)$. Then $\{x\} = b(j(x)) = \{\bar{x}\}$ by 1.8.

1.10 **Example.** It is not true, in general, that if Y is a subset of $X(R)$ then $\overline{Y} = b(j(Y))$. For example, let R be the ring of 2×2 matrices over the field of real numbers and let $x = \{(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}) \mid a, b \text{ are real numbers}\}$ and $y = \{(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}) \mid c, d \text{ are real numbers}\}$. Then $\overline{\{x, y\}} = \{x, y\}$, but $b(j(\{x, y\})) = X(R)$.

1.11 **Proposition.** $X(R)$ is reducible if and only if there exists a finite number of right ideals $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n, n \geq 2$, in R such that $X(R)$ is an irredundant union of $b(A_1), b(A_2), \dots, b(A_n)$.

Proof. Straightforward.

1.12 **Proposition.** If R is a primitive ring and A is a right ideal of R , then either $\text{supp}(A) = \emptyset$ or $j(\text{supp}(A)) = \{0\}$.

Proof. Suppose $\text{supp}(A) \neq \emptyset$ and $j(\text{supp}(A)) \neq \{0\}$. Let $B = j(\text{supp}(A))$. Then B is a nonzero proper right ideal of R , $\text{supp}(A) \subseteq b(B)$ and $\text{supp}(B) \cap \text{supp}(A) = \emptyset$. Since B is a nonzero right ideal of a primitive ring, $\text{supp}(B) \neq \emptyset$ and $X(R) = b(B) \cup b(A)$. Let M be a faithful simple (right) R -module. Since for each $0 \neq m \in M$, $m^\perp = \{r \in R \mid mr = 0\}$ is a maximal regular right ideal of R , either $mA = 0$ or $mB = 0$. Let $M_1 = \{m \in M \mid mA = 0\}$ and $M_2 = \{m \in M \mid mB = 0\}$. Then M_1 and M_2 are subgroups of M and $M = M_1 \cup M_2$. Hence either $M = M_1$ or $M = M_2$. Therefore either $MA = 0$ or $MB = 0$. This is impossible since M is faithful.

1.13 **Remark.** If R is a ring with 1 and $X(R)$ is irreducible, then R is isomorphic to the ring of global sections of the simple R -sheaf over $X(R)$ (refer to [3, p. 45] for the definition of a simple sheaf). Hence R can be identified with the ring of all continuous functions from $X(R)$ to R . To see this, let $\hat{r}(x) = (x, r)$ for every $r \in R$ and x in $X(R)$. Then \hat{r} is a global section of the simple sheaf over $X(R)$. If f is an arbitrary global section, then $f(X(R)) = X(R) \times \{r\}$ for some $r \in R$ since $X(R)$ is irreducible (hence it is connected). Thus $f = \hat{r}$. Clearly, $r \mapsto \hat{r}$ is an isomorphism of R onto the ring of all global sections.

2. **Primitive rings with reducible maximal regular right ideal spaces.** In this section, we will give a structure theorem of primitive rings whose maximal regular right ideal spaces are reducible. The basic facts about a primitive ring, which we will use freely in this section, could be found in [2].

2.1 **Lemma.** Let V be a vector space over a division ring D . Assume that $V = V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_n$, where the V_i are subspaces of V , $n \geq 2$, and the union is irredundant. Then D is a finite field, and the dimension of $V/(V_1 \cap \dots \cap V_n)$ is finite.

Proof. The fact that D is a finite field follows from Lemma 2 of [1, p. 32]. Suppose that the dimension of $V/(V_1 \cap \dots \cap V_n)$ is infinite. Since $V/\bigcap_{i=1}^n V_i$ is the irredundant union of proper subspaces $V_i/\bigcap_{i=1}^n V_i$, we may assume, without loss of generality, that $\bigcap_{i=1}^n V_i = \{0\}$. Since V is infinite dimensional, there is a subspace V_{t_1} for some $t_1, 1 \leq t_1 \leq n$, such that V_{t_1} is infinite dimensional. Let i be a positive integer less than or equal to n such that $i \neq t_1$. Let $v_i \in V_i \setminus \bigcup_{k \neq i} V_k$. Let $N(t_1) = \{v_i + w \mid w \in V_{t_1}\}$. Since $N(t_1) \cap V_{t_1} = \emptyset$, $N(t_1) \subseteq \bigcup_{k \neq t_1} V_k$. Since $N(t_1)$ is an infinite set, there is a subspace V_{t_2} for some t_2 such that $1 \leq t_2 \leq n$ and $t_2 \neq t_1$ and V_{t_2} contains infinitely many $v_i + w$'s, say $v_i + w_1, v_i + w_2, \dots$, where $w_j \in N(t_1)$. It follows that $w_1 - w_j = (v_i + w_1) - (v_i + w_j) \in V_{t_2}$ for infinitely many w_j 's in V_{t_1} . Hence $V_{t_1} \cap V_{t_2}$ is an infinite set and hence it is infinite dimensional since $V_{t_1} \cap V_{t_2}$ is a vector space over the finite field D . Now assume that $V_{t_1} \cap V_{t_2} \cap \dots \cap V_{t_k}$ is infinite dimensional for some distinct positive integers t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k each of which is less than or equal to n . Let i be a positive integer less than or equal to n such that $i \notin \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k\}$. Let $v_i \in V_i \setminus \bigcup_{t \neq i} V_t$. Define $N(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k) = \{v_i + w \mid w \in \bigcap_{j=1}^k V_{t_j}\}$. Then $N(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k) \cap V_{t_j} = \emptyset$ for every $t_j, 1 \leq j \leq k$. Hence there is a subspace $V_{t_{k+1}}$ for some $1 \leq t_{k+1} \leq n$ such that $V_{t_{k+1}}$ contains infinitely many elements of $N(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k)$; hence $\bigcap_{i=1}^{k+1} V_{t_i}$ is infinite dimensional. Thus, by inductive argument, $\bigcap_{i=1}^n V_i$ is an infinite dimensional space, which is absurd.

2.2 Remark. If V is a vector space over a finite field, say D , such that $\dim V \geq 2$, then V is a finite union of proper subspaces. Let v_1, v_2 be linearly independent elements in V and let N be a subspace such that $V = Dv_1 \oplus Dv_2 \oplus N$. For every pair $(\alpha, \beta) \in D \times D$, define $U(\alpha, \beta) = D(\alpha v_1 + \beta v_2) \oplus N$. Then $\bigcup_{(\alpha, \beta) \in D \times D} U(\alpha, \beta) = V$.

2.3 Lemma. Let V be a vector space of dimension at least 2 over a finite field D . Let R be a dense ring of linear transformations of V , such that the socle S of R is not zero. Let v and w be linearly independent vectors of V . Then there is a subspace W of V such that

- (a) $V = W \oplus Dv \oplus Dw$;
- (b) for each α, β in D , $U(\alpha, \beta)^\perp \neq 0$, where $U(\alpha, \beta) = W \oplus D(\alpha v + \beta w)$ and $U(\alpha, \beta)^\perp = \{r \in R \mid U(\alpha, \beta)r = 0\}$; and
- (c) $X(R) = \bigcup_{\alpha, \beta \in D} b(U(\alpha, \beta)^\perp) \cup b(S)$.

Proof. Since S is also a dense ring of linear transformations of V , and v, w are linearly independent, there is an s in S such that $vs = v$ and $ws = 0$. Since Vs is a finite dimensional subspace of V , there exist v_2, v_3, \dots, v_n in Vs such that $\{v, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_n\}$ is a basis for Vs . Let $t \in R$ such that $vt = v$

and $v_i t = v$ for all i such that $2 \leq i \leq n$. Let $a = st$. Then $Va = Dv$, $va = v$ and $wa = 0$. In a similar manner, we can choose b in R such that $Vb = Dw$, $wb = w$ and $vb = 0$. Let $W = \text{Ker } a \cap \text{Ker } b$. Then $W = \text{Ker}(a + b)$ since $Dv \cap Dw = \{0\}$. Since $va = v$, $wb = w$, and $wa = 0 = vb$, for any x in V , $(x(a + b) - x) \cdot (a + b) = 0$. Hence $V = W \oplus Dv \oplus Dw$ and $W^\perp \supseteq \{a, b\}$. For α, β in D , let $U(\alpha, \beta) = W \oplus D(\alpha v + \beta w)$. We claim that the right ideal $U(\alpha, \beta)^\perp = \{r \in R \mid U(\alpha, \beta)r = 0\}$ is not zero. It is clear that if either $\alpha = 0$ or $\beta = 0$, then either a or b is an element of $U^\perp(\alpha, \beta)$. So assume $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\beta \neq 0$. Then $\beta w b \neq 0$ and there exists r in R such that $\beta w b r = \alpha v a$. Now $(\alpha v + \beta w) b r = \beta w b r = \alpha v a = (\alpha v + \beta w) a$. Hence $(\alpha v + \beta w)(b r - a) = 0$ and $b r - a \in U(\alpha, \beta)^\perp$. If $b r = a$, then $0 = v b r = v a = v$ and this is impossible. Thus $b r - a \neq 0$. We assert now that $X(R) = \bigcup_{\alpha, \beta \in D} b(U(\alpha, \beta)^\perp) \cup b(S)$. Indeed, if $x \in X(R)$ then either $j(x) \supseteq S$ or $R/j(x) \cong V$ as R -modules. Hence if $x \notin b(S)$, then $j(x) = \{r \in R \mid v r = 0\}$ for some $0 \neq v \in V$. In this case, $v \in U(\alpha, \beta)$ for some α, β in D and $j(x) \supseteq U(\alpha, \beta)^\perp$.

2.4 Theorem. *Let R be a (right) primitive ring. Then $X(R)$ is reducible if and only if R is isomorphic to a dense ring with nonzero socle of linear transformations of a vector space of dimension two or more over a finite field.*

Proof. Assume that $X(R)$ is reducible. Then by 1.11, there exists a finite number of right ideals A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n , $n \geq 2$, in R such that $X(R) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n b(A_i)$ and such that this union is irredundant. Let V be a faithful simple (right) R -module and let $D = \text{End}_R(V)$. Then D is a division ring, V is a left vector space over D and R is a dense ring of linear transformations of V over D . For each i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, define $V_i = \{v \in V \mid v A_i = 0\}$. Then V_i is a subspace of V and $V_i \neq V$ for every i since $A_i \neq 0$ and V is faithful. For any $0 \neq v \in V$, $v^\perp = \{r \in R \mid v r = 0\}$ is a member of $X(R)$. Therefore v^\perp is a member of some $b(A_i)$ and hence $v \in V_i$ and $V = \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_i$. Thus, by 2.1, D is a finite field and the dimension of $V/\bigcap_{i=1}^n V_i$ is finite. Therefore, there is a finite dimensional subspace M_i such that $M_i \oplus V_i = V$ for each i and $\dim V \geq 2$ since $V_i \neq V$. Thus every element in the right ideal A_i is of finite rank and the socle of R is not zero. Conversely, assume that R is isomorphic to a dense ring with nonzero socle S of linear transformations of a vector space V of dimension two or more over a finite field D . Then by 2.3(c), $X(R) = \bigcup_{\alpha, \beta \in D} b(U(\alpha, \beta)^\perp) \cup b(S)$ and hence $X(R)$ is reducible.

2.5 Theorem. *Let R be a primitive ring and S be the socle of R . If $X(R)$ is a Hausdorff space, then either R is a division ring or R/S is a radical ring and R is a dense ring of linear transformations of a vector space over a finite field.*

Proof. If $X(R)$ is a Hausdorff space and R is not a division ring, then certainly $X(R)$ is reducible. Hence by 2.4, R has nonzero socle and it is isomorphic to a dense ring of linear transformations of a vector space V of dimension two or more over a finite field D . If R/S is not a radical ring, then $b(S) \neq \emptyset$. Let x, y be two points in $X(R)$ such that $x \notin b(S)$ and $y \in b(S)$. We shall show that x and y cannot be separated in $X(R)$. Since $x \notin b(S)$, $j(x) = v^\perp$ for some $0 \neq v \in V$. Suppose there exist right ideals $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_p, T_1, T_2, \dots, T_q$ such that $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^p \text{supp}(S_i)$, $y \in \bigcap_{j=1}^q \text{supp}(T_j)$ such that $(\bigcap_{i=1}^p \text{supp}(S_i)) \cap (\bigcap_{j=1}^q \text{supp}(T_j)) = \emptyset$. First we note that the separation still holds if we replace S_i by $S_i S$ for every i , $1 \leq i \leq p$, since $x \in \text{supp}(S_i) \cap \text{supp}(S) = \text{supp}(S_i S)$. Thus, without loss of generality, we may assume that $S_i \subseteq S$ for every i . Since $j(x) = v^\perp$ and $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^p \text{supp}(S_i)$, $v S_i \neq 0$ for every i . Let $s_i \in S_i$ such that $v s_i \neq 0$ for every i . Since each s_i is of finite rank, $V/\text{Ker } s_i$ is finite dimensional. Thus, $V/\bigcap_{i=1}^p \text{Ker } s_i$ being a subdirect sum of the vector spaces $V/\text{Ker } s_i$ is finite dimensional. Note that $T_j \not\subseteq j(y)$ for every j , $1 \leq j \leq q$, and $S \subseteq j(y)$. Therefore $T_j \setminus S \neq \emptyset$ for every j . Let $t_j \in T_j \setminus S$ and let $W_j = \{w \in \bigcap_{i=1}^p \text{Ker } s_i \mid w t_j \in \sum_{i=1}^q D v t_i\}$ for every j , $1 \leq j \leq q$. We claim that $\bigcap_{i=1}^p \text{Ker } s_i / W_j$ is infinite dimensional for every j . For if $\bigcap_{i=1}^p \text{Ker } s_i / W_j$ is finite dimensional for some j and if $\bigcap_{i=1}^p \text{Ker } s_i = W_j \oplus U_j$ for some finite dimensional subspace U_j , then $(\bigcap_{i=1}^p \text{Ker } s_i) t_j = W_j t_j + U_j t_j$ is a finite dimensional subspace of V . Since $V/\bigcap_{i=1}^p \text{Ker } s_i$ is finite dimensional, there is a finite dimensional subspace W such that $V = (\bigcap_{i=1}^p \text{Ker } s_i) \oplus W$. Then $V t_j = (\bigcap_{i=1}^p \text{Ker } s_i) t_j + W t_j$ is also finite dimensional and $t_j \in S$. This is impossible since, by choice, $t_j \notin S$. Thus $\bigcap_{i=1}^p \text{Ker } s_i / W_j$ is infinite dimensional for each j and $\bigcap_{i=1}^p \text{Ker } s_i \neq \bigcup_{j=1}^q W_j$ by 2.1. Hence there exists $w \in \bigcap_{i=1}^p \text{Ker } s_i$ such that $w \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^q W_j$. This means that $w s_i = 0$ for every i , $1 \leq i \leq p$, and $w t_j \notin \sum_{i=1}^q D v t_i$ for every j , $1 \leq j \leq q$. Now, we consider the vector $v + w$. Then $(v + w) s_i = v s_i \neq 0$ for every i such that $1 \leq i \leq p$ and $(v + w) t_j \neq 0$ for every j , $1 \leq j \leq q$. For if $(v + w) t_j = 0$ for some j , then $w t_j = -v t_j \in \sum_{i=1}^q D v t_i$. Thus $(v + w)^\perp \in (\bigcap_{i=1}^p \text{supp}(S_i)) \cap (\bigcap_{j=1}^q \text{supp}(T_j)) = \emptyset$. This is a contradiction.

2.6 Theorem. *Let R be a primitive ring and S be the socle of R . If R/S is a radical ring and R is isomorphic to a dense ring of linear transformations of a vector space V over a finite field D , then $X(R)$ is a Hausdorff space.*

Proof. Let x, y be two distinct members of $X(R)$. Since R/S is a radical ring, there exist v and w in V such that $j(x) = v^\perp$, $j(y) = w^\perp$ and $Dv \cap Dw = \{0\}$. Moreover, $v^\perp \cap S \not\subseteq w^\perp$ and $w^\perp \cap S \not\subseteq v^\perp$. Let $a \in (w^\perp \cap S) \setminus v^\perp$ and $b \in (v^\perp \cap S) \setminus w^\perp$. Then $wa = vb = 0$, $va \neq 0$, and $wb \neq 0$. Since $a \in S$, $Va = Dva \oplus U$ for some finite dimensional subspace U of V . Hence there is r in R such that

$Ur = 0$ but $var = v$. Therefore, $Var = Dv$, $war = 0$, and $V = \text{Ker } ar \oplus Dv$. Likewise there is r_0 in R such that $Vbr_0 = Dw$, $vbr_0 = 0$, $wbr_0 = w$, and $V = \text{Ker } br_0 \oplus Dw$. Since $\text{Ker } ar \neq \text{Ker } br_0$ and the codimensions of $\text{Ker } ar$ and $\text{Ker } br_0$ are 1, $V = \text{Ker } ar + \text{Ker } br_0$. Thus $\dim(\text{Ker } ar / \text{Ker } ar \cap \text{Ker } br_0) = \dim(V / \text{Ker } br_0) = 1$. Hence $\text{codim}(\text{Ker } ar \cap \text{Ker } br_0) = \dim(V / \text{Ker } br_0) + \dim(\text{Ker } ar / \text{Ker } ar \cap \text{Ker } br_0) = 2$ and therefore, $V = (\text{Ker } ar \cap \text{Ker } br_0) \oplus Dv \oplus Dw$. For every α, β in D , define $U(\alpha, \beta) = (\text{Ker } ar \cap \text{Ker } br_0) \oplus D(\alpha v + \beta w)$. Then $V = \bigcup_{\alpha, \beta \in D} U(\alpha, \beta)$. We shall show that $U(\alpha, \beta)^\perp \neq 0$ for each pair $(\alpha, \beta) \in D \times D$. Clearly $ar \in U(0, \beta)^\perp$ and $br_0 \in U(\alpha, 0)^\perp$. Assume $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\beta \neq 0$. Then there is $c \in R$ such that $\alpha v c = \beta w$. Consequently, $(\alpha v + \beta w)arc = \alpha v arc = \alpha v c = \beta w = (\alpha v + \beta w)br_0$ since $var = v$ and $wbr_0 = w$. Hence $D(\alpha v + \beta w) \cdot (arc - br_0) = 0$. Clearly, $arc - br_0 \neq 0$ since $warc = 0$ and $wbr_0 = w \neq 0$. Thus $0 \neq arc - br_0 \in U(\alpha, \beta)^\perp$. Let

$$\mathcal{O}_1 = \bigcap_{\substack{(\alpha, \beta) \in D \times D \\ \beta \neq 0}} \text{supp}(U(\alpha, \beta)^+) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{O}_2 = \bigcap_{\substack{(\alpha, \beta) \in D \times D \\ \alpha \neq 0}} \text{supp}(U(\alpha, \beta)^\perp).$$

Recall $j(x) = v^\perp$ and $j(y) = w^\perp$. If $x \notin \mathcal{O}_1$ then $U(\alpha, \beta)^\perp \subseteq v^\perp$ for some $\beta \neq 0$ in D . For every $f \in U(\alpha, \beta)^\perp$, $vf = 0$. Consequently, $wf = 0$ also since $\beta = 0$ and hence $Vf = 0$. This means that $U(\alpha, \beta)^\perp = 0$, a contradiction. Thus $x \in \mathcal{O}_1$. A similar argument shows that $y \in \mathcal{O}_2$. We now claim that $\mathcal{O}_1 \cap \mathcal{O}_2 = \emptyset$. For if $z \in \mathcal{O}_1 \cap \mathcal{O}_2$ then $j(z) = v_0^\perp$ for some $v_0 \in V$ and $v_0 = v' + \alpha v + \beta w$ for some $v' \in \text{Ker } ar \cap \text{Ker } br_0$ and $\alpha, \beta \in D$. It follows that $v_0^\perp \supseteq U(\alpha, \beta)^\perp$ and $z \notin \mathcal{O}_1 \cap \mathcal{O}_2$, a contradiction. Therefore, $X(R)$ is Hausdorff.

2.7 Corollary. *If R is a primitive ring with 1, then $X(R)$ is a Hausdorff space if and only if either R is a division ring or R is a finite ring.*

Proof. If R is a finite ring or a division ring then certainly $X(R)$ is a finite T_1 -space. Hence it is a Hausdorff space. Conversely, if $X(R)$ is a Hausdorff space, then by 2.5, R is either a division ring or a dense ring of linear transformations of finite rank of a vector space over a finite field. In the latter case, since $1 \in R$, R must be the complete ring of linear transformations of a finite dimensional vector space over a finite field. Thus, R is a finite ring.

2.8 Example. Let \mathbb{Z} be the ring of integers and let V be the set of finite sequences over $\mathbb{Z}/(2)$. Then V becomes an \aleph_0 -dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{Z}/(2)$. Let R be the ring of linear transformations on V and S be the ideal of linear transformations of finite rank. Then R/S is a simple ring with 1 (refer to [2, Theorem 1, p. 93]). Hence by 2.5, $X(R)$ is not a Hausdorff space. However, $X(R)$ is a reducible space by 2.4 and $X(S)$ is a Hausdorff space by 2.6.

2.9 Example. Let R be the ring of infinite row finite matrices of the following form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_n & & & * \\ & 0 & & \\ & & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & & & \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

where A_n is an $n \times n$ matrix over $Z/(2)$ for some n . Then R is a dense ring of the vector space of sequences over $Z/(2)$. If S is the socle of R then R/S is a radical ring.

3. Finite dimensional maximal regular right ideal space of a primitive ring. If X is a topological space, then the combinatorial dimension of X , $\dim X$, is the supremum of the positive integer n such that there is a strictly ascending chain of nonempty closed irreducible subsets of X , $\emptyset \neq F_0 \subsetneq F_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq F_n$ (refer to [4, p. 156]).

3.1 Theorem. If R is a dense ring of linear transformations of a vector space M over an infinite division ring D , then $\dim M = n + 1$ if and only if $\dim X(R) = n$.

Proof. Assume $\dim M = n + 1$ and let $\{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{n+1}\}$ be a basis for the vector space M . Then $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n+1} m_i^\perp = \{0\}$. Hence $X(R) = b(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n+1} m_i^\perp) \supsetneq b(\bigcap_{i=2}^{n+1} m_i^\perp) \supsetneq \dots \supsetneq b(m_1^\perp \cap m_2^\perp) \supsetneq b(m_1^\perp) = \{m_1^\perp\}$. Since R is a simple artinian ring, if $x \in X(R)$ then $v(x) = v^\perp$ for some vector $v \neq 0$ in M . Hence if $x \in b(\bigcap_{i=t}^{n+1} m_i^\perp)$, then $v \in \sum_{i=t}^{n+1} Dm_i$. Therefore, if $b(\bigcap_{i=t}^{n+1} m_i^\perp)$ were reducible, then, as in the case of proof of 2.4, the vector space $\sum_{i=t}^{n+1} Dm_i$ would be a finite union of proper subspaces and D would be a finite field by 2.1. Thus $\dim X(R) \geq n$. Now let $F_{n+1} \supsetneq F_n \supsetneq \dots \supsetneq F_1 \supsetneq F_0 = \emptyset$ be a strictly descending closed irreducible subsets of $X(R)$. Let $A_i = j(F_i)$, $0 \leq i \leq n + 1$. Then $A_{n+1} \subsetneq A_n \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq A_1 \subsetneq A_0$ is a strictly ascending chain of right ideals of R since $b(j(F_i)) = F_i$ for each i by 1.8. Since R is a simple artinian ring, every right ideal of R is a direct summand of R . Hence $A_0 = K_1 \oplus A_1$, $A_1 = K_2 \oplus A_2$, \dots , $A_n = K_{n+1} \oplus A_{n+1}$ for some nonzero right ideals K_1, K_2, \dots, K_{n+1} , and $R = K_0 \oplus K_1 \oplus \dots \oplus K_{n+1} \oplus A_{n+1}$ for some right ideal K_0 of R . This means that R is a direct sum of at least $n + 2$ minimal right ideals. This is impossible since R is a direct sum of $n + 1$ minimal right ideals and the number of summands is unique. Conversely, let us assume now that $\dim X(R) = n$. Let B be a basis for the vector space M . If B is a finite set then, by the first part of the theorem, the number of elements in B must be $n + 1$. So suppose that $\dim M = \infty$. Let $Y = \{m^\perp \mid m \in M, m \neq 0\}$. Then Y is a nonempty subspace of $X(R)$ and $\dim Y \leq \dim X(R) = n$ by [4, 9.3, p. 156].

Let $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k, \dots$ be distinct elements in B . Then the chain of subsets $b(b_1^\perp) \cap Y \subsetneq b(b_1^\perp \cap b_2^\perp) \cap Y \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq b(\bigcap_{i=1}^k b_i^\perp) \cap Y \subsetneq \dots$ is strictly ascending. Since D is an infinite division ring, each $b(\bigcap_{i=1}^k b_i^\perp) \cap Y$ is irreducible in Y as in the case of proof of 2.4. Hence $\dim Y$ is not finite and this is a contradiction.

3.2 Theorem. *Let R be a primitive ring. Then R is right artinian if and only if $X(R)$ satisfies the descending chain condition on the subbasic open sets.*

Proof. If R is right artinian, then R is a simple artinian ring. Hence if A is a right ideal of R , then $j(b(A)) = A$. Hence if $\text{supp}(A_1) \supseteq \text{supp}(A_2) \supseteq \dots \supseteq \text{supp}(A_n) \supseteq \dots$ is a chain of subbasic open sets for some right ideals $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n, \dots$ then $b(A_1) \subseteq b(A_2) \subseteq \dots \subseteq b(A_n) \subseteq \dots$ and $jb(A_1) = A_1 \supseteq jb(A_2) = A_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq jb(A_n) = A_n \supseteq \dots$. Thus the chain must terminate. Conversely, assume that the descending chain condition holds on the subbasic open sets. Let V be a faithful simple right R -module. To prove that R is artinian, it suffices to show that V is a finite dimensional vector space. So suppose the dimension V is infinite. Then there exist infinite independent vectors v_1, v_2, \dots such that $\text{supp}(v_1^\perp) \supset \text{supp}(v_1^\perp \cap v_2^\perp) \dots$. This is a contradiction.

Acknowledgement. We are deeply indebted to the referee for many invaluable suggestions for the preparation of this paper.

REFERENCES

1. A. Białyński-Birula, J. Browkin and A. Schinzel, *On the representation of fields as finite union of subfields*, Colloq. Math. 7 (1959), 31–32. MR 22 #2601.
2. N. Jacobson, *Structure of rings*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 37, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1964. MR 36 #5158.
3. R. S. Pierce, *Modules over commutative regular rings*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No. 70 (1967). MR 36 #151.
4. R. G. Swan, *Algebraic K-theory*, Lecture Notes in Math., no. 76, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1968. MR 39 #6940.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY, RALEIGH,
NORTH CAROLINA 27607