

C^2 -PRESERVING STRONGLY CONTINUOUS MARKOVIAN SEMIGROUPS

BY

W. M. PRIESTLEY

ABSTRACT. Let X be a compact C^2 -manifold. Let $\| \cdot \|$, $\| \cdot \|'$ denote the supremum norm and the C^2 -norm, respectively, and let $\{P^t\}$ be a Markovian semigroup on $C(X)$. The semigroup's infinitesimal generator A , with domain \mathfrak{D} , is defined by $Af = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{-1}(P^t f - f)$, whenever the limit exists in $\| \cdot \|$.

Theorem. Assume that $\{P^t\}$ preserves C^2 -functions and that the restriction of $\{P^t\}$ to $C^2(X)$, $\| \cdot \|'$ is strongly continuous. Then $C^2(X) \subset \mathfrak{D}$ and A is a bounded operator from $C^2(X)$, $\| \cdot \|'$ to $C(X)$, $\| \cdot \|$.

From the conclusion is obtained a representation of $Af \cdot (x)$ as an integro-differential operator on $C^2(X)$. The representation reduces to that obtained by Hunt [*Semi-groups of measures on Lie groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 81 (1956), 264–293] in case X is a Lie group and P^t commutes with translations.

Actually, a stronger result is proved having the above theorem among its corollaries.

1. Introduction. G. A. Hunt showed in [3] that all C^2 -functions lie in the domain of the infinitesimal generator of a translation-invariant strongly continuous Markovian semigroup on $C(X)$, where X is a Lie group. From this Hunt went on to obtain a representation of the infinitesimal generator as an integro-differential operator of a certain type. Hunt then considered the converse question of which integro-differential operators generate semigroups of the class he was considering. He thus characterized all such semigroups by writing down explicitly the general form of their generators.

Translation-invariance means, roughly speaking, that the semigroup sends smooth functions nicely into smooth functions and that the associated stochastic process on X is a homogeneous one. We undertake to obtain results similar to those of Hunt's under less restrictive hypotheses, viz., when there is no group structure on X (and therefore no notion of homogeneity) but only a notion of smooth functions. We take X to be a compact C^2 -manifold, assume that the Markovian

Received by the editors August 3, 1972.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 47D05, 60J25; Secondary 31B05, 47G05.

Key words and phrases. C^2 -function, C^2 -manifold, Markovian operator, strong derivative, Banach-Steinhaus theorem, Markovian semigroup, infinitesimal generator, integro-differential operator, normal derivative of harmonic extension.

Copyright © 1973, American Mathematical Society

semigroup sends $C^2(X)$ into itself in a strongly continuous fashion, and prove in Theorem 2 that all C^2 -functions lie in the domain of the infinitesimal generator, which is an integro-differential operator on $C^2(X)$. We do not consider the converse question of which such operators generate such semigroups.

For related results, see Nelson [5], in addition to [3]. See also Dynkin [1, Theorem 5.7, p. 152].

This paper is a condensed version of the first chapter of the writer's doctoral thesis [6], written under the direction of Edward Nelson.

2. Main Theorem. We prove a stronger result than that mentioned in the Introduction, a result which has other applications as well. A Markovian operator P is a positivity-preserving endomorphism of $C(X)$ such that $P1 = 1$.

Theorem 1. *Let X be a compact C^2 -manifold and let $P(t)$ be a function from $[0, \delta)$ to the Markovian operators on $C(X)$, with $P(0) = 1$. If the domain \mathcal{D} of the strong derivative $P'(0)$ contains a subset \mathcal{D}' dense in $C^2(X)$, $\|\cdot\|'$, then*

- (i) $C^2(X) \subset \mathcal{D}$,
- (ii) $P'(0)$ is a bounded operator from $C^2(X)$, $\|\cdot\|'$ to $C(X)$, $\|\cdot\|$,
- (iii) $P'(0)f \cdot (x)$ can be represented as the following integro-differential operator $(*)$, for f in $C^2(X)$:

$$(*) \quad \sum a_{ij}(x) D_i D_j f \cdot (x) + \sum b_i(x) D_i f \cdot (x) + \int_{X \setminus \{x\}} f(y) - f(x) - \sum D_i f \cdot (x) (x_i(y) - x_i(x)) \mu_x(dy),$$

where x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are local coordinates near x , b_i is continuous, a_{ij} is continuous or of Baire class 1, $\{a_{ij}(x)\}$ is a positive semidefinite $n \times n$ matrix, and μ_x is a positive (possibly unbounded) measure on $X \setminus \{x\}$ for which $\int_X (f(y) - f(x))^2 \mu_x(dy)$ is finite.

Proof. Conclusions (i) and (ii) will follow from the Banach-Steinhaus theorem, once its hypotheses are seen to be met. Conclusion (iii) will then follow by a slight modification of Hunt's argument in [3].

The machinations which follow are designed to obtain the bound required in order to invoke the Banach-Steinhaus theorem. Choose and fix a coordinate neighborhood U in X with coordinate functions x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n . Without loss of generality we may assume that, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, x_i is a C^2 -function defined on all of X with $\|x_i\| < 4$ and that $x_i(U)$ contains the open interval $(-3, 3)$. Moreover, we may assume that each of the coordinate functions belongs to \mathcal{D} as well. This last follows since \mathcal{D}' is in any case dense in $C^2(X)$, $\|\cdot\|'$ so that we may choose n functions in \mathcal{D}' sufficiently close in $\|\cdot\|'$ to the n coordinate functions respectively that these new functions could serve as coordinates in U .

If it were apparent at this stage that the squares of the coordinate functions

also belong to \mathcal{D} , then our argument could be considerably shortened. As it is, we must construct functions in \mathcal{D} , each of which behaves like the square of the distance from a fixed point in X . For abbreviative purposes we set

$$U_r = \{y \in U : |x_i(y)| < r, 1 \leq i \leq n\},$$

and we construct a family of functions $\{\phi_x\}_{x \in U_1}$ that satisfies

- (1) $\phi_x \geq 1$ on $X \setminus U_2$,
- (2) $\sup_{t>0, x \in U_1} |t^{-1} P(t) \phi_x \cdot (x)| < \infty$,
- (3) $\phi_x(y) \geq (3/4) \sum (x_i(y) - x_i(x))^2$ if $y \in U_2$.

To carry out this construction, choose and fix some C^2 -function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying (a) $f(y) = \sum x_i(y)^2$ if $y \in U_1$, (b) $f(y) > 15n + 4$ if $y \notin U_2$, (c) $D_i D_j f \cdot (y) = 0$ if $i \neq j$ and $y \in U_2$, (d) $D_i D_j f \cdot (y) \geq 2$ if $y \in U_2$. We are free to choose functions in \mathcal{D}' as close to f in $\|\cdot\|'$ as we please. Choose ϕ in \mathcal{D}' so close to f that $\|f - \phi\|' < 1$ and, for arbitrary real numbers $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n$, we have $y \in U_2$ implies

$$(4) \quad \sum D_i D_j \phi \cdot (y) \xi_i \xi_j \geq (3/2) \sum \xi_i^2.$$

It is possible to find such a ϕ since (c) and (d) guarantee that f satisfies the inequality similar to (4) with "2" replacing "3/2". Now, for x in U_1 , we define functions $\phi_x = \phi_x(y)$ by

$$\phi_x = \phi - \sum D_i \phi \cdot (x) x_i - \phi(x) + \sum D_i \phi \cdot (x) x_i(x).$$

For brevity we write $\phi_x = \phi - \alpha_x - \beta_x + \gamma_x$, where, of course, β_x and γ_x are constants, for fixed x . Since $\|x_i\| \leq 4$ and $\|D_i f - D_i \phi\| \leq 1$ it follows that for $y \notin U_2$ we have $|\alpha_x(y)| \leq 12n$, $|\beta_x| \leq 2$, $|\gamma_x| \leq 3n$. By (b) we have $\phi(y) > 15n + 3$ if $y \notin U_2$. Hence $\phi_x \cdot (y) \geq 1$ if $y \notin U_2$, proving (1).

Since $\phi_x(x) = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(t) \phi_x \cdot (x) &= (P(t) \phi_x - \phi_x) \cdot (x) \\ &= (P(t) \phi - \phi) \cdot (x) - \sum D_i \phi \cdot (x) (P(t) x_i - x_i) \cdot (x). \end{aligned}$$

Dividing by $t > 0$ and taking the limit as $t \rightarrow 0$ shows that $\lim t^{-1} P(t) \phi_x \cdot (x) = P'(0) \phi \cdot (x) - \sum D_i \phi \cdot (x) P'(0) x_i \cdot (x)$, and (2) follows.

To verify (3), note that ϕ_x and its gradient vanish at x . Also note that $D_i D_j \phi_x \cdot (y) = D_i D_j \phi \cdot (y)$ if $y \in U$. These facts and Taylor's theorem imply that for $z \in U_2$ there is some $y \in U_2$ such that

$$\phi_x(z) = 2^{-1} \sum D_i D_j \phi \cdot (y) (x_i(z) - x_i(x)) (x_j(z) - x_j(x)).$$

This and (4) imply (3).

With the aid of the functions just constructed, we may obtain the bound we seek. Let g be a C^2 -function, let x be in U_1 and let $g_x = g - g(x) - \sum D_i g \cdot (x)(x_i - x_i(x))$. Since g_x vanishes to second order at x , there is some N such that $y \in U_2$ implies

$$-N \sum (x_i(y) - x_i(x))^2 \leq g_x(y) \leq N \sum (x_i(y) - x_i(x))^2.$$

We cannot expect this inequality to hold for all y in X , since the x_i 's are only *local* coordinates. However, this local inequality and (3) imply that on U_2 we have the functional inequality

$$-(4/3) N \phi_x \leq g - g(x) - \sum D_i g \cdot (x) (x_i - x_i(x)) \leq (4/3) N \phi_x.$$

The function in the middle here is bounded on X (independently of x in U_1), and $\phi_x \geq 1$ outside U_2 , so by adjusting N appropriately we may regard the preceding inequality as a *global* one, holding for each x in U_1 , with N independent of x . This inequality is then preserved if we apply $P(t)$ to each member, divide by $t > 0$, and evaluate the terms of the resulting inequality at x , from which it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &|t^{-1}(P(t)g - g)(x) - \sum D_i g \cdot (x) t^{-1}(P(t)x_i - x_i) \cdot (x)| \\ &\leq (4/3) N t^{-1} P(t) \phi_x \cdot (x). \end{aligned}$$

Now (2) shows that the expression inside the absolute value sign is bounded independently of x in U_1 and $t > 0$. So is $\sum D_i g \cdot (x) t^{-1}(P(t)x_i - x_i) \cdot (x)$ since $x_i \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\|D_i g\| < \infty$. It follows that $g \in C^2(X)$ implies

$$(5) \quad \sup_{t > 0, x \in U_1} |t^{-1}(P(t)g - g) \cdot (x)| < \infty.$$

In (5), " U_1 " may be replaced by " X ", since X is compact. By the principle of uniform boundedness there is some M such that

$$\sup_{t > 0, x \in X} |t^{-1}(P(t)g - g) \cdot (x)| \leq M \|g\|'.$$

Thus M is a uniform bound for the norms of the continuous linear maps $A(t) = t^{-1}(P(t) - I)$ from $C^2(X)$, $\|\cdot\|'$ to $C(X)$, $\|\cdot\|$. Since $A(t)g \rightarrow P'(0)g$ for each g in a dense subset of $C^2(X)$, the Banach-Steinhaus theorem [2, p. 41] implies conclusions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1.

To prove (iii) we follow Hunt [3]. Let ψ_x be some C^2 -function bounded away from zero on the complement of U and agreeing with $\sum (x_i - x_i(x))^2$ on U . The mapping which sends f to $P'(0)(f\psi_x) \cdot (x)$ is readily seen to be defined on $C^2(X)$ and to be a positive, hence bounded, linear functional on $C^2(X)$, $\|\cdot\|$. It therefore admits a unique extension to $C(X)$ since $C^2(X)$ is dense in $C(X)$.

By the Riesz-Markoff theorem this extended mapping is implemented by some finite positive regular Borel measure ν_x on X so that

$$P'(0)(f\psi_x) \cdot (x) = \int_X f(y)\nu_x(dy).$$

We define a positive (possibly unbounded) measure μ_x on $X \setminus \{x\}$ by $\mu_x = \psi_x^{-1}\nu_x$.

For f in $C^2(X)$, let f_x be the Taylor polynomial with terms up to and including order two of f , expanded at x . Then $f - f_x = b\psi_x$ for some b in $C(X)$ with $b(x) = 0$ so that

$$P'(0)(f - f_x) \cdot (x) = P'(0)(b\psi_x) \cdot (x) = \int_{X \setminus \{x\}} b(y)\nu_x(dy).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} P'(0)f \cdot (x) &= P'(0)f_x \cdot (x) + P'(0)(f - f_x) \cdot (x) \\ &= \sum c_{ij}(x)D_iD_jf \cdot (x) + \sum b_i(x)D_i f \cdot (x) + \int_{X \setminus \{x\}} (f(y) - f_x(y))\mu_x(dy) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$b_i(x) = P'(0)x_i \cdot (x)$$

and

$$2c_{ij}(x) = P'(0)[(x_i - x_i(x))(x_j - x_j(x))] \cdot (x).$$

By (ii) of Theorem 1, b_i and c_{ij} are continuous. When $f_x(y)$ is written out explicitly in the integrand, one sees that the second order term in it is integrable with respect to μ_x , and this term can therefore be deleted, provided we adjust the coefficient functions c_{ij} appropriately. (*) results. The new coefficient functions a_{ij} are such that $\{a_{ij}(x)\}$ is a positive semidefinite $n \times n$ matrix, as may be shown just as in [3].

By now the only assertion in Theorem 1 which is not yet obvious is that a_{ij} is the pointwise limit of a sequence of continuous functions. To see this, let $r_x = (x_i - x_i(x))(x_j - x_j(x))$ so that r_x is in $C^2(X)$. From (*) it follows that $2a_{ij}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n(x)$, where $q_n(x) = P'(0)[r_x \exp(-n\psi_x)] \cdot (x)$. We can easily arrange to choose the functions $\{\psi_x\}$ in such a way that $\|\psi_x - \psi_y\|' \rightarrow 0$ as $y \rightarrow x$. Since $\|r_x - r_y\|' \rightarrow 0$ as $y \rightarrow x$ it follows that

$$\|r_x \exp(-n\psi_x) - r_y \exp(-n\psi_y)\|' \rightarrow 0$$

as $y \rightarrow x$. By (ii) of Theorem 1 we then have

$$\|P'(0)[r_x \exp(-n\psi_x)] - P'(0)[r_y \exp(-n\psi_y)]\| \rightarrow 0$$

as $y \rightarrow x$, from which it follows that $q_n(y) \rightarrow q_n(x)$ as $y \rightarrow x$. Hence a_{ij} is a pointwise limit of a sequence of continuous functions. (In case

$i = j$, the sequence $\{q_n\}$ is monotonically decreasing. Hence a_{ii} is upper semi-continuous.) This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

On pp. 18–21 of [6] we present an example which shows that a_{ij} need not be continuous.

3. **C^2 -preserving Markovian semigroups.** The following theorem is known [3] in case X is a Lie group and P^t commutes with translations, in which case hypotheses (a) and (b) are automatically fulfilled.

Theorem 2. *Let X be a compact C^2 -manifold and let A , with domain \mathcal{D} , be the infinitesimal generator of a Markovian semigroup $\{P^t\}$ on $C(X)$ satisfying*

- (a) $P^t: C^2(X), \|\cdot\|' \rightarrow C^2(X), \|\cdot\|'$ is continuous, $t \geq 0$,
- (b) $\|P^t f - f\|' \rightarrow 0$ for each f in $C^2(X)$.

Then

- (i) $C^2(X) \subset \mathcal{D}$,
- (ii) A is a bounded operator from $C^2(X), \|\cdot\|'$ to $C(X), \|\cdot\|$,
- (iii) $Af \cdot (x)$ may be represented by $(*)$ for f in $C^2(X)$,
- (iv) $\{P^t\}$ is determined by the restriction of A to $C^2(X)$.

Proof. The infinitesimal generator A is of course just the strong derivative at 0 of the mapping $t \rightarrow P^t$. Hypotheses (a) and (b), as is well known [2, p. 307], imply the existence of a dense subspace \mathcal{D}' of $C^2(X), \|\cdot\|'$ such that $t^{-1}(P^t f - f)$ converges in $\|\cdot\|'$ —and a fortiori in $\|\cdot\|$ —for f in \mathcal{D}' . Hence Theorem 1 implies (i), (ii), (iii). The proof of Theorem 5.4 of [4] proves (iv), since we are assuming $C^2(X)$ invariant under $\{P^t\}$.

4. **Normal derivatives of harmonic extensions.** As a second application of Theorem 1, we give a new proof of a known result.

Theorem 3. *Let S^n be the unit sphere in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} , and let f be in $C^2(S^n)$. Let \tilde{f} be the continuous function on the closed unit ball that is harmonic in the interior of the ball and agrees with f on the boundary. Then $\partial\tilde{f}/\partial n$, the derivative of \tilde{f} in the direction of the inward normal, exists and is continuous throughout S^n .*

Proof. For f in $C(S^n)$, \mathbf{Q} in S^n , let $P(t)$ be defined by

$$P(t)f \cdot (\mathbf{Q}) = \tilde{f}((1-t)\mathbf{Q}).$$

Thus $P(0) = I$ and $P(t)$ is a strongly continuous function from $[0, 1)$ to the Markovian operators on $C(S^n)$. The existence of $P'(0)f$ obviously implies the existence and continuity of $\partial\tilde{f}/\partial n$. Hence, to prove the theorem, we need only show that $C^2(S^n) \subset \mathcal{D}$, where \mathcal{D} is the domain of $P'(0)$.

Let \mathcal{D}' be the set of all functions in $C(S^n)$ which are the restrictions to S^n of harmonic functions whose domains are open sets containing the closed unit ball. Obviously we have $\mathcal{D}' \subset \mathcal{D}$, and it is easy to see that $P(t)f$ is in \mathcal{D}' if $t > 0$. Moreover, if f is in $C^2(S^n)$ then $\|P(t)f - f\|' \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, because $P(t)$ is strongly continuous and commutes with each rotation of S^n . Therefore \mathcal{D}' is dense in $C^2(S^n)$, $\|\cdot\|'$. By Theorem 1 we have $C^2(S^n) \subset \mathcal{D}$, completing the proof.

The normal derivative of \tilde{f} may be represented by the integro-differential operator (*). However, it is also possible, and more desirable, to represent the normal derivative as a singular integral operator acting on f . For an explicit formula in spherical coordinates when $n = 2$, see [6, p. 94].

REFERENCES

1. E. B. Dynkin, *Markov processes*. Vol. 1, Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1963; English transl., *Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften*, Band 122, Academic Press, New York; Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1965. MR 33 #1886; #1887.
2. E. Hille and R. S. Phillips, *Functional analysis and semi-groups*, rev. ed., Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 31, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1957. MR 19, 664.
3. G. Å. Hunt, *Semi-groups of measures on Lie groups*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 81 (1956), 264–293. MR 18, 54.
4. E. Nelson, *Dynamical theories of Brownian motion*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1967. MR 35 #5001.
5. ———, *Representation of a Markovian semigroup and its infinitesimal generator*, *J. Math. Mech.* 7 (1958), 977–987. MR 20 #7224.
6. W. M. Priestley, *Markovian semigroups on non-commutative C^* -algebras: An elementary study*, Ph.D. Thesis, Princeton University, University Microfilms, Ann Arbor, Mich., 1972.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE SOUTH, SEWANEE, TENNESSEE 37375

Current address: Department of Mathematics, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47401