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ABSTRACT. This paper is concerned with duality theories for metabelian (2-step nilpotent) Lie algebras. A duality theory associates to each metabelian Lie algebra $N$ with codimension $g$, another such algebra $N_D$ satisfying $(N_D)_D \cong N$, $N_1 \cong N_2$ if and only if $(N_1)_D \cong (N_2)_D$, and if $\dim N = g + p$ then $\dim N_D = g + (\frac{p}{2}) - p$. The obvious benefit of such a theory lies in its reduction of the classification problem.

Introduction. The purpose of this paper is to determine the "reasonable" duality theories for metabelian Lie algebras over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. If $N$ is a metabelian Lie algebra (i.e. $N^3 = 0$; we are including the abelian Lie algebras as "degenerate" metabelian Lie algebras although this is not standard) such that codimension $N^2 = g$, a duality theory associates to $N$ another such algebra $N_D$, the dual, satisfying the formal properties

1. $(N_D)_D \cong N$,
2. $N_1 \cong N_2$ if and only if $(N_1)_D \cong (N_2)_D$, and
3. if $\dim N = g + p$, then $\dim N_D = g + (\frac{p}{2}) - p$.

Two such theories were developed independently by Scheuneman [4] and myself [1]. Their obvious benefit is in the reduction of the classification problem.

In this paper, I define an algebraic duality theory to be an association $N \to N_D$ satisfying conditions a little stronger than the three above, plus a requirement, roughly speaking, that the structure constants of $N_D$ depend algebraically on those of $N$. These axioms, which are satisfied by both Scheuneman's and my own duality, result in a uniqueness theorem, hence the identity of the two theories just mentioned. It appeared at first that there were two algebraic duality theories. I am, however, indebted to the referee for detecting an error in my original arguments and for giving a proof of the uniqueness.

A homological approach is taken to the subject by Leger and Luks in [3]. They also arrive at a uniqueness theorem. The main difference between these two papers appears to be their assumption that a duality $D$ is a contravariant functor. Hence, for any homomorphism $\phi: N \to M$ of metabelian Lie algebras, there is a homomorphism $\phi_D: M_D \to N_D$. We make no such requirement here.

Due to the generator-relation view given to the classification of metabelian
Lie algebras in [1], the study of algebraic duality theories comes down to studying automorphisms of Grassmann varieties—the projective variety of $p$-dimensional subspaces of a space $V$. Westwick [7] showed that in most cases they are just the obvious ones—induced by $GL(V)$. However, when $2p = \dim V$, there is an additional type of automorphism arising from a correlation of subspaces; the image of $GL(V)$ is of index two in the automorphism group. This exceptional behavior causes some problems which are resolved in §3, a study of the natural representation of $GL(V)$ on $\Lambda^p(\Lambda^2 V)$. The results generated concerning automorphisms of Grassmann varieties are summarized in the First Main Theorem. I would like to thank my colleague John Fogarty for some highly beneficial discussions on algebra-geometric aspects of the problem, and for providing the proof of a key result (Proposition 3) which enabled me to study automorphisms of Grassmann varieties in an affine situation, and thus employ the elegant representation theory of simple Lie algebras.

1. Metabelian Lie algebras and duality theories. In the following, all algebras are finite-dimensional over an algebraically closed field $K$ of characteristic zero. A Lie algebra $N$ is said to be metabelian if $N^3 = 0$ where $N^{n+1} = [N^n, N]$. Let $V$ be a $g$-dimensional $K$-vector space having a basis $x_1, \ldots, x_g$ which is fixed throughout this paper. We define $N(2, g)$ to be the vector space $V \oplus \Lambda^2 V$ made into a metabelian Lie algebra by bilinearly extending the rules.

\[
[x_i, x_j] = x_i \wedge x_j, \quad [x_i \wedge x_j, x_k] = 0 = [x_i, x_j \wedge x_k], \quad [x_i \wedge x_j, x_m \wedge x_n] = 0.
\]

In [1] I established

**Theorem 1.** If $N$ is a metabelian Lie algebra and cod $N^2 = g$, $N$ is isomorphic to $N(2, g)/I$ for some ideal $I$ contained in $\Lambda^2 V = N(2, g)^2$. Every subspace $S$ of $\Lambda^2 V$ is an ideal, and if $N = N(2, g)/S$ then $N$ is a metabelian Lie algebra and cod $N^2 = g$. Furthermore, if $I$ and $J$ are subspaces of $\Lambda^2 V$, then $N(2, g)/I \cong N(2, g)/J$ if and only if there is a $\theta \in GL(V)$ such that $\Lambda^2(\theta)(I) = J$.

**Convention.** Denote by $\Lambda^r$ the homomorphism from $GL(V)$ into $GL(\Lambda^r V)$ given by $\Lambda^r(\theta)(v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_r) = \theta(v_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta(v_r)$ on decomposable $r$-vectors.

The duality theory was established in the following way. There is a canonical nondegenerate pairing $(\cdot, \cdot)$ between $\Lambda^2 V$ and $\Lambda^2(V^*)$ given on decomposable 2-vectors by

\[
(v \wedge w, \alpha \wedge \beta) = \alpha(v)\beta(w) - \beta(v)\alpha(w)
\]

where $v \wedge w \in \Lambda^2 V$ and $\alpha \wedge \beta \in \Lambda^2(V^*)$. Let $\rho$ be the representation $\Lambda^2$ of $GL(V)$ on $\Lambda^2 V$ and let $\rho^*$ be its contragredient with respect to the pairing of (2). Let $G_{\rho}(\mathcal{W})$ represent the projective Grassmann variety of $p$-dimensional sub-
spaces of the finite-dimensional space \( W \). Set \( n = (\xi) \) and consider the map \( S \rightarrow S^0 \) (right orthogonal complementation with respect to (2)) from \( G_p(\bigwedge^2 V) \) onto \( G_{n-p}(\bigwedge^2(V^*)^t) \). It is an orbit preserving bijection when \( GL(V) \) acts on \( \bigwedge^2 V \) and \( GL(V^*) \) acts on \( \bigwedge^2(V^*) \) since

\[
(p(\theta)(S))^t = \rho^*(\theta)(S^0) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho^*(\theta) = \bigwedge^2(\theta^{-1})
\]

where \( S \in G_p(\bigwedge^2 V) \) and "\( t \)" denotes transpose. Make the vector space \( V \oplus \bigwedge^2(V^*) \) into a metabelian Lie algebra isomorphic to \( N(2, g) \) by substituting the corresponding elements of the dual basis \( x_1^*, \ldots, x_g^* \) into the relations (1).

Definition. Let \( N = N(2, g)/S = V \oplus \bigwedge^2 V/S \) where \( S \) is a subspace (ideal) of \( \bigwedge^2 V \). The algebra \( N^0 = V^* = \bigoplus \bigwedge^2(V^*)/S^0 \) will be called the dual of \( N \).

Theorem 2. Let \( N, N_i \) be metabelian Lie algebras having derived algebras of codimension \( g \). Then

(i) \((N^0)^0 \cong N_i^0 \) if and only if \( N^0_i \cong N^0_2 \),

(ii) \( N_i \cong N_2 \) if \( \dim N = g + p \) then \( \dim N^0 = g + (\xi) - p \), and \( \text{cod}(N^0)^2 = g \),

(iii) if \( N_i = N(2, g)/S \) and \( \theta \in GL(V) \) induces an isomorphism of \( N_1 \) onto \( N_2 \) (i.e. \( \bigwedge^2(\theta)(S_1) = S_2 \)), then \( \theta^{-1} \in GL(V^*) \) induces an isomorphism from \( N^0_1 \) onto \( N^0_2 \).

Remark. If an algebra \( N \) is viewed as \( V^* \oplus \bigwedge^2(V^*) \) for some subspace \( T \) of \( \bigwedge^2(V^*) \) then its dual is given by \( V \oplus \bigwedge^2 V/T \) where \( 0(\_\) denotes left orthogonal complementation with respect to (2). Thus, up to isomorphism, the notion of dual is independent of the space \( V \).

A duality whose formal properties are identical to those of the duality established here was constructed earlier by Scheuneman [4] using the cohomology ring of the Lie algebra. We will show later, as a consequence of the uniqueness theorem for algebraic dualities (Second Main Theorem), the identity of Scheuneman's theory with the one given above.

Motivated by Theorem 2 and the fact that \( (\_)^0 \colon G_p(\bigwedge^2 V) \rightarrow G_{n-p}(\bigwedge^2(V^*)) \) is an algebraic isomorphism (i.e. an isomorphism of projective varieties), we make the following

Definition. An algebraic duality theory for metabelian Lie algebras consists of a mapping \( N \rightarrow N_D \) for each \( g \in \mathbb{Z}_{p*} \) of the set of metabelian Lie algebras \( N \) with \( \text{cod} N^2 = g \) onto itself, given by

\[
N = V \oplus \bigwedge^2 V/S \rightarrow N_D = V^* \oplus \bigwedge^2(V^*)/D(S),
\]

\[
M = V^* \oplus \bigwedge^2(V^*)/T \rightarrow M_D = V \oplus \bigwedge^2 V/D(T),
\]
where $V$ is a fixed $g$-dimensional $K$-vector space and $V^{**}(GL(V^{**}))$ is identified with $V(GL(V))$ in the canonical way, and where

(I) if $V \otimes \Lambda^2 V/S \cong V^* \otimes \Lambda^2 (V^*)/T$ then $V^* \otimes \Lambda^2 (V^*)/D(S) \cong V \otimes \Lambda^2 V/D(T)$,

(II) $D: G_p(\Lambda^2 V) \rightarrow G_{n-p}(\Lambda^2 (V^*))$, $D: G_p(\Lambda^2 (V^*)) \rightarrow G_{n-p}(\Lambda^2 V)$ are algebraic isomorphisms and $n = \binom{g}{2}$,

(III) $(ND)_D \cong N$, and

(IV) if $\theta \in GL(V)$ induces an isomorphism of $N_1 = V \otimes \Lambda^2 V/S$ onto $N_2 = V \otimes \Lambda^2 V/T$, then $\lambda \theta^{-1} \in GL(V^*)$ induces an isomorphism of $N_1_D$ onto $N_2_D$. Hence, in conjunction with (III), we get the weaker condition

(V) $N_1 \cong N_2$ if and only if $(N_1)_D \cong (N_2)_D$.

Two such theories $D_1, D_2$ are said to be equal if $N_{D_1} \cong N_{D_2}$ for all $N$.

Notice that (I) says the duality is well defined up to isomorphism, that is, it is independent of the underlying space of generators $V$. Also, (IV) implies that if $\theta \in GL(V)$ induces an isomorphism of $N_1 = V \otimes \Lambda^2 V/S$ onto $N_2 = V \otimes \Lambda^2 V/T$, then $\lambda \theta^{-1} \in GL(V^*)$ induces an isomorphism of $(N_1)_D$ onto $(N_2)_D$. Hence, in conjunction with (IV), we get the weaker condition

(V) $N_1 \cong N_2$ if and only if $(N_1)_D \cong (N_2)_D$.

The theory established before using orthogonal complementation with respect to (2) is seen to satisfy all these axioms.

Now suppose $D_1, D_2$ are algebraic duality theories and let $V$ be as in the definition. Consider $D_1, D_2: G_p(\Lambda^2 V) \rightarrow G_{n-p}(\Lambda^2 (V^*))$. By (II), $D_2^{-1} \circ D_1$ is an automorphism of $G_p(\Lambda^2 V)$, say $\rho$. Now $D_2 \circ \rho = D_1$ and by (IV), if $\theta \in GL(V)$ then $D_2 \circ \rho \circ \Lambda^2(\theta) = D_1 \circ \Lambda^2(\theta) = \Lambda^2(\theta^{-1}) \circ D_1 = \Lambda^2(\theta^{-1}) \circ D_2 \circ \rho = D_2 \circ \Lambda^2(\theta) \circ \rho$. Thus $\rho \circ \Lambda^2(\theta) = \Lambda^2(\theta) \circ \rho$ for all $\theta \in GL(V)$, that is, $\rho$ centralizes the image of $GL(V)$ in $Aut G_p(\Lambda^2 V)$—the group of automorphisms of the variety $G_p(\Lambda^2 V)$. A careful study of this group (Westwick [7]) and of the representation $\Lambda^p \circ \Lambda^2$ of $GL(V)$ is enough to show $\rho = Id$ except in one special case. This exceptional case yields no new duality theories however, and a uniqueness theorem follows.

As was pointed out to me by the referee, axiom (III) apparently plays no role in the preceding discussion and what follows later. It is not apparent however in what way it comes from (I), (II), and (IV). I have thus included it in the list of axioms only to emphasize that the following situation cannot occur, namely that two nonisomorphic algebras might have isomorphic duals.

2. Automorphisms of $G_p(W)$. Let $W$ be any $k$-vector space and let $G_p(W)$ be the projective Grassmann variety of $p$-dimensional subspaces of $W$. If $D_p(W) = \{w_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge w_p \mid w_i \in W\}$ is the homogeneous affine subvariety of $\Lambda^p W$ consisting of decomposable $p$-vectors, then $G_p(W)$ is the projectivization of $D_p(W)$. The
next three results give a complete picture of the structure of \( \text{Aut} \ G_p(W) \).

**Proposition 3.** Every automorphism of \( G_p(W) \) is induced by a linear transformation of \( \wedge^p W \) stabilizing \( D_p(W) \).

**Proof (J. Fogarty).** Let \( \mathcal{X} = G_p(W) \) and let \( \sigma \in \text{Aut} (\mathcal{X}) \), \( \sigma \) induces an automorphism of \( \text{Pic} (\mathcal{X}) = H^1(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^*) \). The latter group, however, is \( \mathbb{Z} \). Since \( \sigma \) takes positive line bundles to positive line bundles, it must induce the identity on \( \text{Pic} (\mathcal{X}) \). If \( L \) is a line bundle in the class \( l \in \text{Pic} (\mathcal{X}) \), the Plucker embedding of \( \mathcal{X} \) is given by sections of \( L \). Thus \( \sigma \) is induced by an element of \( \text{PGL}(H^0(\mathcal{X}, L)) \).

With this result, to study \( \text{Aut} G_p(W) \) it is necessary to find the stabilizer in \( \text{GL}(\wedge^p W) \) of \( D_p(W) \), written \( \text{Stab} D_p(W) \), which was accomplished by Westwick \[7\]. His main result is the

**Theorem 4.** Let \( W \) be an \( n \)-dimensional vector space over an algebraically closed field and let \( T \) be a linear transformation of \( \wedge^n W \) stabilizing \( D_p(W) \). Then \( T \) is a compound (i.e., \( T = \Lambda \theta \) for some \( \theta \in \text{GL}(W) \)) except possibly when \( n = 2r \) in which case \( T \) could also be the product of a compound with a linear transformation \( C \) induced by a correlation of \( r \)-dimensional subspaces of \( W \). In the latter case, \( (\text{Stab} D_p(W)) : \Lambda^r \text{GL}(W) = 2 \).

**Proof \[7, pp. 1126–1127\].** Since in the case \( n = 2r \), \( \Lambda^r \text{GL}(V) \) is of index 2 in the stabilizer, the correlation \( C \) can be taken to be the same for all \( T \) which are not compounds. For our purposes it is advantageous to choose \( C \) as follows. Let \( W = \Lambda^2 V, V = (x_1, \ldots, x_g) \) and suppose \( 2r = \dim \Lambda^2 V \). Set \( x_{ij} = x_i \wedge x_j \) \((i < j)\) and order the pairs \((i, j)\), \(1 \leq ij < j \leq g\) lexicographically. Then

\[
\{x_{i_1j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i_jj_j} | (i_1, j_1) < (i_2, j_2) < \cdots < (i_p, j_p)\}
\]

is an ordered basis of \( \wedge^n W \). Let \( C \) be the linear extension of the map given by \( C(x_{i_1j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i_jj_j}) = x_{k_1l_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{k_jl_j} \) where

\[
(k_1, l_1) < (k_2, l_2) < \cdots < (k_r, l_r)
\]

and where \( \{(i_i, l_i), (k_i, l_i)\}_{i=1}^r = \{(i, j) | 1 \leq i < j \leq g\} \).

Thus, if \( T \in \text{Stab} D_p(W) \) and \( T \notin \Lambda^r \text{GL}(W) \) then

\[
T = C \circ \Lambda^r(\theta)
\]

where \( \theta \in \text{GL}(\Lambda^2 V) \) and \( C \) is given by \((4)\).

**Lemma 5.** The kernel of the projection homomorphism \( \pi: \text{Stab} D_p(\Lambda^2 V) \rightarrow \text{Aut} G_p(\Lambda^2 V) \) consists entirely of scalars.

**Proof.** Suppose \( 2p \neq \dim \Lambda^2 V \), then by Theorem 4 the stabilizer consists of compounds. Suppose \( \pi(\Lambda^p(\theta)) = \text{Id} \), that is, \( \theta \) stabilizes every \( p \)-dimensional
subspace of $\Lambda^2 V$. Let $v$ be any vector in $\Lambda^2 V$ and let $S_1, \ldots, S_m$ be a collection of $p$-dimensional subspaces of $\Lambda^2 V$ with $\langle v \rangle = \bigcap_{i=1}^m S_i$. Then $\theta(\langle v \rangle) = \langle v \rangle$ since $\theta$ stabilizes each $S_j$, that is, every vector in $\Lambda^2 V$ is an $\theta$-eigenvector. Hence $\theta$ is a scalar, and so is $\Lambda^p$.

Suppose $2p = \dim \Lambda^2 V$ and suppose $\pi(\rho) = \text{Id}$ where $\rho \in \text{Stab} D_p(\Lambda^2 V)$. If $\rho$ is a compound proceed as before to show $\rho$ is a scalar. If $\rho$ is not a compound it is of the type $\Lambda^p(\theta) \circ C$ where $C$ is given by (4). Let $w_1, \ldots, w_{2p}$ be the ordered basis $\{x_{ij} \mid i < j\}$ of $\Lambda^2 V$ where $x_{ij}$ is as before. Then $\theta(w_{p+1}) \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta(w_{2p}) = \Lambda^p(\theta) \circ C\langle w_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge w_p \rangle \in \langle w_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge w_p \rangle$ since $\pi(\rho) = \text{Id}$. Hence $\theta(w_{p+1}) \in \langle w_1, \ldots, w_p \rangle$. Similarly, considering $\Lambda^p(\theta) \circ C\langle w_2 \wedge w_{p+2} \wedge w_{p+3} \wedge \cdots \wedge w_{2p} \rangle$ and $\Lambda^p(\theta) \circ C\langle w_1, w_{p+2}, w_{p+3}, \ldots, w_{2p} \rangle \cap \langle w_1, w_{p+2}, w_{p+3}, \ldots, w_{2p} \rangle = 0$, which is a contradiction.

For the following discussion let $G_1 = \Lambda^2(GL(V)) \subset GL(\Lambda^2 V)$, $G_2 = \Lambda^p G_1 \subset GL(\Lambda^p \Lambda^2 V)$, $G_3 = \pi(G_2) \subset \text{Aut} \ G_2(\Lambda^2 V)$ where $\pi$ is given in Lemma 5. Also, let $\rho \in \text{Aut} G_2(\Lambda^2 V)$ and suppose $\rho$ stabilizes $G_3$. By Proposition 3, there is an $\omega \in GL(\Lambda^p \Lambda^2 V)$ such that

$$\pi(\omega) = \rho.$$  

Lemma 6. Let $\rho, \omega, G_1$ be as above. Then $\omega$ centralizes $G_2$.

Proof. $\rho$ centralizes $G_3$, so by Lemma 5 and equation (6), for each $\theta \in G_2$ we have $\omega^{-1} \theta \omega = a_\rho \cdot \theta$ where $a_\rho \in K$. Taking determinants we see that $a_\rho$ is an $l$th root of unity where $l = \dim \Lambda^p \Lambda^2 V$. Thus, set $G'_2 = \{g \in G_2 \mid \omega^{-1} g \omega = g\}$. By the above, $G'_2$ is a subgroup of index at most $l$ in $G_2$, and quite obviously the defining condition of $G'_2$ makes it Zariski-closed in $G_2$. Furthermore, $G_2$ is Zariski-irreducible (being the image of $GL(V)$ by $\Lambda^p \circ \Lambda^2$), hence $G'_2 = G_2$.

Lemma 7. Let $\rho, \omega, G_1$ be as above and suppose $2p \neq \dim \Lambda^2 V$. Then $\rho$ is the identity element.

Proof. By Theorem 4, $\omega = \Lambda^p(\theta)$ where $\theta \in GL(\Lambda^2 V)$. Proceeding just as in the proof of Lemma 6 (since the kernel of $\Lambda^p : GL(W) \rightarrow GL(\Lambda^p W)$ consists of $p$th roots of unity) one can see that $\theta$ centralizes $G_1 = \Lambda^2 GL(V)$. But, $GL(V)$ acts irreducibly on $\Lambda^2 V$. If $sl(V)$ is the Lie algebra of trace-zero linear transformations on $V$, the differential of $\Lambda^2$ restricted to $sl(V)$ is the second fundamental (irreducible) representation of $sl(V)$ (see [2, pp. 225–227]). Hence, by Burnside's theorem, $\theta$ is a scalar and $\rho = \pi(\Lambda^p(\theta)) = \text{Id}$.

Remark. The corresponding result when $\dim V = 2p$ is complicated by the exceptional structure of $\text{Aut} G_p(\Lambda^2 V)$. It requires a careful look at the representation $\Lambda^p \circ \Lambda^2$ of $GL(V)$ on $\Lambda^p \Lambda^2 V$. Were this representation irreducible the proof...
would proceed quite easily. In general it is not. However, it proves quite useful
that $\Lambda^p \Lambda^2 V$ has lots of simple submodules of multiplicity one (i.e. simple sub-
modules which, up to isomorphism, appear only once in a decomposition of $\Lambda^p \Lambda^2 V$
as a direct sum of simple submodules).

3. The $GL(V)$-module $\Lambda^p \Lambda^2 V$. In this section we are concerned with deter-
meling some of the simple $GL(V)$-submodules of $\Lambda^p \Lambda^2 V$ of multiplicity one when
$2p = \dim \Lambda^2 V$ (this can occur if and only if $\dim V = 0$, 1 (4)). Since $\Lambda^p \circ \Lambda^2$ takes
scalars to scalars, the $GL(V)$ and $SL(V)$ submodules are identical. Also, for any
$r$, $d \Lambda^r$ (the differential of $\Lambda^r$) is the representation of $sl(V)$ given by

$$(7) \quad d \Lambda^r(v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_r) = \sum_{i=1}^r v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{i-1} \wedge \Pi(v_i) \wedge v_{i+1} \wedge \ldots \wedge v_r.$$ 

Making $\Lambda^p \Lambda^2 V$ an $sl(V)$-module according to the representation $d(\Lambda^p \circ \Lambda^2) =
d \Lambda^p \circ d \Lambda^2$, the $GL(V)$ and $sl(V)$-submodules are identical. Using the theory of
$e$-extreme modules and highest weights [2, Chapter 7] we can now begin to pick out
some simple $sl(V)$ ($GL(V)$)-submodules of multiplicity one.

Let $l + 1 = \dim V = g$ and let $V = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_g \rangle$ as before. Let $e_{ij}$ be the ele-
ment of $sl(V)$ whose matrix with respect to $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^g$ has a "1" in the $(i, j)$-position
and zeros elsewhere. Set $e_i = e_{i,i+1}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, l$, set $h_i = e_{ii} - e_{i+1,i+1}$ and
let $H$ be the Cartan subalgebra of $sl(V)$ spanned by the $h_i$'s. Define $\Lambda_i \in H^*$ by

$$(8) \quad \Lambda_i(b_i) = 1, \quad \Lambda_j(b_i) = 0 \text{ for } j > 1,$$

$$\Lambda_i(b_j) = -1, \quad \Lambda_j(b_j) = 1, \quad \Lambda_i(b_j) = 0 \text{ otherwise},$$

$$\Lambda_{r+1}(b_j) = -1, \quad \Lambda_{r+1}(b_j) = 0 \text{ for } j \neq l,$$

where $i = 2, \ldots, l$.

Then $\langle x_i \rangle$ is a weight space for $H$ of weight $\Lambda_i$. $\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_l$ are linearly in-
dependent and $\sum_{i=1}^l \Lambda_i = 0$. As before set $x_{ij} = x_i \wedge x_j$ ($i < j$) and order the pairs
$(i, j)$, $1 \leq i < j \leq g = l + 1$ lexicographically. Then $\{x_{ij}\}$ is a basis of $\Lambda^2 V$ and
notice that if $b \in H$ then

$$d \Lambda^2(b)(x_{ij}) = b(x_i) \wedge x_j + x_i \wedge b(x_j) = (\Lambda_i + \Lambda_j)(b)x_i \wedge x_j.$$ 

Similarly, the set $\{x_{i_1 j_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge x_{i_p j_p} \mid (i_1, j_1) < (i_2, j_2) < \ldots\}$ is a basis of
$\Lambda^p \Lambda^2 V$ and its members will be called standard decomposable vectors. Notice
that $\langle x_{i_1 j_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge x_{i_p j_p} \rangle$ is a weight space for $H$ of weight $\sum_{k=1}^p (\Lambda_{i_k} + \Lambda_{j_k})$.

Definition. An $sl(V)$-module $M$ is called $e$-extreme if it is cyclic and a gen-
erator $v \in M$ can be chosen so that $v$ is a weight vector for $H$ and $e_i(v) = 0$ for
$i = 1, \ldots, l$. Such a vector $v$ is called a primitive vector and its weight is called
the highest weight of $M$ [2, Chapter 7]. Also if $y \in M$, $\lambda \in H^*$ and $b(y) = \lambda(b)y$ for
all $b \in H$, then we will write $wt(y) = \lambda$. 
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Lemma 8. A finite-dimensional e-extreme module is simple.

Proof. See the discussion on p. 229 of [2].

Now consider $\wedge^p V$ as an $s(V)$-module. It is a direct sum of simple submodules, and, furthermore, any simple $s(V)$-module is e-extreme [2, Chapter 7]. Thus, to find simple submodules one looks for primitive vectors. To find the multiplicities of these submodules we compare the weights of the primitive vectors, since two e-extreme submodules are isomorphic if and only if they have the same highest weight.

Definition. Let $x = \bigwedge_{i_1} \bigwedge_{i_2} \ldots \bigwedge_{i_p}$ be a standard decomposable vector. For $k = 1, \ldots, l + 1$ we define the $k$-index of $x$, written $l_k(x)$, to be the number of times the index $k$ appears on the list $\{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_p\}$.

Lemma 9. Let $x = \bigwedge_{i_1} \bigwedge_{i_2} \ldots \bigwedge_{i_p}$ and $y = \bigwedge_{j_1} \bigwedge_{j_2} \ldots \bigwedge_{j_p}$ be 2 standard decomposable vectors. Then $wt(x) = wt(y)$ if and only if $l_k(x) = l_k(y)$ for $k = 1, \ldots, l + 1$.

Proof. Recall that $wt(x) = \sum_{s=1}^{p} (\Lambda_{i_s} + \Lambda_{J_s})$. Thus ($\iff$) is direct. ($\implies$) Let $s = l_{l+1}(x)$, $t = l_{l+1}(y)$. Since $\Lambda_{l+1} = -(\Lambda_1 + \ldots + \Lambda_l)$ we have

\[ wt(x) = (l_1(x) - s)\Lambda_1 + \ldots + (l_p(x) - s)\Lambda_p, \]

\[ wt(y) = (l_1(y) - t)\Lambda_1 + \ldots + (l_p(y) - t)\Lambda_p. \]

Equating coefficients, since $\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_l$ are linearly independent, we arrive at

(9) $l_k(x) - s = l_k(y) - t, \quad k = 1, \ldots, l.$

Suppose $t > s$. Then $l_{l+1}(y) = l_{l+1}(x) + (t - s)$ and

\[ 2p = \sum_{k=1}^{l+1} l_k(y) = t + \sum_{k=1}^{l} l_k(y) = t + \sum_{k=1}^{l} (l_k(x) + (t - s)) \]

\[ = t + l(t - s) + \sum_{k=1}^{l} l_k(x) > \sum_{k=1}^{l+1} l_k(x) = 2p \]

which is clearly impossible. Reversing the roles of $x$ and $y$ we see $s = t$ and the result follows from (9).

Theorem 10. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the collection of all standard decomposable vectors of the type

\[ y_m = \bigwedge_{1} \bigwedge_{3} \ldots \bigwedge_{1m_1} \bigwedge_{x_{23}} \bigwedge_{x_{24}} \ldots \bigwedge_{x_{2m_2}} \]

\[ \ldots \bigwedge_{x_{qm}} \bigwedge_{x_{qm+1}} \bigwedge_{x_{qm+2}} \ldots \bigwedge_{x_{qm}} \]

where $m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \ldots \geq m_q$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{q} (m_i - i) = p$. Then
DUALITY THEORIES FOR METABELIAN LIE ALGEBRAS

(i) the elements of $\mathfrak{E}$ are primitive with pairwise distinct weights, and

(ii) there is no other primitive vector in $\wedge^p \wedge^2 V$ with a weight equal to that of one in $\mathfrak{E}$.

Proof. Notice that the effect of $e_i$ on $x_j$ is either to kill it or lower its index by one. This remark together with (7) shows that $e_i$ kills the elements of $\mathfrak{E}$. The rest of (i) and (ii) follows from Lemma 11. Let $y_m \in \mathfrak{E}$. If $v$ is any other standard decomposable vector then $wt(v) \neq wt(y_m)$.

Proof. By Lemma 9, $wt(v) = wt(y_m)$ implies $l_k(v) = l_k(y_m)$ for $k = 1, \ldots, l + 1$. Thus $v$ must have $m_1 - 1$ factors of the type $x_{1^*}$. But $l_{m_1+1}(y_m) = 0$, thus the $*'$s must be filled in by the indices $2, 3, \ldots, m_1$. Thus $v = x_{12} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{1m_1} \wedge \cdots$. Proceed by induction to complete the proof.

Definition. If $x \in \wedge^p \wedge^2 V$ let $(x)_{\text{mod}}$ denote the $GL(V)$ $(	ext{sl}(V))$-submodule generated by $x$.

Theorem 12. The simple submodules of $\wedge^p \wedge^2 V$ of the type $(y_m)_{\text{mod}}$ where $y_m \in \mathfrak{E}$ are of multiplicity one.

Proof. $\wedge^p \wedge^2 V$ is a direct sum of simple submodules each of which is $e$-extreme. Furthermore, simple modules are isomorphic if and only if their highest weights agree. The result now follows from Theorem 10.

4. Automorphisms of $G_2(\wedge^2 V)$ when $2p = \dim \wedge^2 V$ and the uniqueness theorem. We return now to the situation of §2. Let $G_1 = \wedge^2 GL(V) \leq GL(\wedge^2 V)$, $G_2 = \wedge^p G_1 \leq GL(\wedge^p \wedge^2 V)$, and $G_3 = \pi(G_2)$ where $\pi$ is given in Lemma 5. Suppose $\rho \in \text{Aut } G_2(\wedge^2 V)$ and it centralizes $G_3$. By Proposition 3 there is an $\omega \in GL(\wedge^p \wedge^2 V)$ such that

\[ \pi(\omega) = \rho. \]

In this section we will also assume that $\dim \wedge^2 V = 2p$; thus $\dim V = 0, 1$ (4).

Lemma 13. Suppose $2p = \dim \wedge^2 V$ and $\dim V \geq 5$, and let $\rho, \omega, G_i$ be as above. Then $\rho = \text{Id}$.

Proof. As in Lemma 6 we see that $\omega$-centralizes $G_2$. By Theorem 4 either $\omega = C \circ \wedge^p(\theta)$ where $\theta \in GL(\wedge^2 V)$ and $C$ is given by (4), or else $\omega = \wedge^p(\theta)$. In the latter case proceed just as in the proof of Lemma 7 to show $\rho = \text{Id}$. So assume now that $\omega = C \circ \wedge^p(\theta)$ and we will see what goes wrong.

Recall that $C(x_{i_1j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i_pj_p}) = x_{k_1l_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{k_pl_p}$ where $(k_1, l_1) < (k_2, l_2) < \cdots$ and $\{(i, j), (k, l)\}_{i, j, k, l = 1}^{p} = \{(i, j)| 1 \leq i < j \leq g\}$. Thus $C^2 = \text{Id}$, and hence
Let $\mathcal{E}$ be as in Theorem 10. Now by Lemma 6, $\omega$ centralizes $G_2$, so $\omega$ is an $sl(V)$ ($GL(V)$)-isomorphism of $\Lambda^p \Lambda^g V$. Since the simple submodules generated by elements of $\mathcal{E}$ are of multiplicity one, $\omega$ must act as a scalar multiplication on them!

**Case 1.** Suppose $\dim V > 6$. Since $2p = \dim \Lambda^2 V$, $\dim V \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$. Hence, in fact, $\dim V \geq 8$ and $\dim \Lambda^2 V \geq 28$. So $p$ is much bigger than 6 which is quite critical, because for a proper choice of $m_1 \geq m_2 \geq m_3 \geq \ldots$ there is a primitive $y_m \in \mathcal{E}$

$$y_m = x_{12} \land x_{13} \land x_{14} \land \ldots \land x_{1m_1} \land x_{23} \land x_{24} \land \ldots \land x_{2m_2} \land x_{34} \land \ldots \land x_{qm_q} \in \Lambda^p \Lambda^g V$$

which has $x_{34}$ as a factor. Let $S$ be the symmetric group on $g$-letters and let $(m, n)$ denote the cycle interchanging $m$ and $n$. Let $\Sigma \subseteq S$ be the union of the sets

$$\{(m_1, m_1 + i) \mid i = 1, \ldots, g - m_1\},$$

$$\{(m_2, m_2 + i) \mid i = 1, \ldots, g - m_2\},$$

$$\{(3, *) (4, **) \mid * < **, 3 < *, 4 < ** \text{ and } (*) (**) \text{ does not appear as an index in } y_m\}.$$  

For $\sigma \in \Sigma$ let $g_\sigma \in GL(V)$ be the unique element satisfying

$$g_\sigma(x_i) = x_{\sigma(i)},$$

and set $G_\Sigma = \{g_\sigma \mid \sigma \in \Sigma\} \subset GL(V)$.

Scaling $\omega$ if necessary, we can assume $\omega \mid_{\langle y_m \rangle_{\text{mod}}} = \text{Id}$. Since $\Lambda^p \Lambda^g G_\Sigma(y_m) \subset \langle y_m \rangle_{\text{mod}}$ we thus have

$$\omega(\Lambda^p \Lambda^g G_\Sigma(y_m)) = \Lambda^p \Lambda^g G_\Sigma(y_m).$$

Notice from (11) and the fact that $\omega$ acts as the identity on $\langle y_m \rangle_{\text{mod}}$ we have

$$\theta(x_{12}) \land \ldots \land \theta(x_{qm_q}) = \Lambda^p(\theta)(y_m) = C(y_m) = x_{k_1 l_1} \land \ldots \land x_{k_p l_p}$$

where the set $\{(k_i, l_i)\}_{i}$ complements the set of indices of $y_m$ in $\{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq g\}$. Thus

$$\theta(x_{12}) \in \langle x_{k_1 l_1}, \ldots, x_{k_p l_p} \rangle.$$  

Observe from the construction of $\Sigma$ that $x_{12}$ is a factor of $\Lambda^p \Lambda^g G_\Sigma(y_m)$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$. Thus

$$\theta(x_{12}) \land \ldots = \Lambda^p(\theta)(\Lambda^p \Lambda^g G_\Sigma(y_m)) = C(\Lambda^p \Lambda^g G_\Sigma(y_m)) = x_{k_1 l_1} \land \ldots \land x_{k_p l_p}.$$
where the pairs \((s_i, t_i)\), \(i = 1, \ldots, p\), complement the pairs which appear in the standard decomposable vector \(\wedge^p \Lambda^2 g_\sigma(y_m)\). As before, this gives

\[(15) \quad \theta(x_{12}) \in (x_{s_1 t_1}, \ldots, x_{s_p t_p}).\]

Now intersecting the results of (15) as \(\sigma\) runs over \(\Sigma\) with the results of (14), we get \(\theta(x_{12}) = 0\) since equation (15) has the effect of eliminating one of the \(x_{k_i l_i}\) of (14) from the basis of a subspace of \(\langle x_{k_1 l_1}, \ldots, x_{k_p l_p} \rangle\) which must contain \(\theta(x_{12})\). This contradiction completes Case 1.

Case 2. Suppose \(\dim V = 5\). Here consider the primitive vector \(y = x_{12} \wedge x_{13} \wedge x_{14} \wedge x_{23} \wedge x_{24}\) and let \(f_2 \in \mathfrak{sl}(V)\) be the element whose matrix with respect to \(\{x_i\}\) has "1" in the \((3, 2)\) position and zeros elsewhere. Then \(f_2(y) = x_{12} \wedge x_{13} \wedge x_{14} \wedge x_{23} \wedge x_{34} \in \langle y \rangle_{\text{mod}}\) and this vector can be used in place of the \(y_m\) of Case 1 to complete the proof.

The remaining case to consider is \(\dim V = 4, \dim \Lambda^2 V = 6, p = 3\). Surprisingly it turns out here that there is a nontrivial automorphism \(\rho \in \text{Aut } G_{3} (\Lambda^2 V)\) which centralizes \(G_{3}\), the image of \(GL(V)\).

By way of preparation we first write \(\Lambda^3 \wedge^2 V\) as a sum of simple submodules. Keeping the same notation as in \(\S 3\), let \(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in H^*\) be the dual basis to \(b_1, b_2, b_3 \in H\). If \(\lambda \in H^*\) is a dominant integral linear function (i.e., \(k(\lambda b_i)\) is a non-negative integer \([2, \text{Chapter 7}]\)) let \(M_\lambda\) be the unique simple \(\mathfrak{sl}(V)\)-module of highest weight \(\lambda\). If the \(\lambda_i\)'s are as in (8) then \(\Lambda_1 = \lambda_1, \Lambda_2 = \lambda_2 - \lambda_1, \Lambda_3 = \lambda_3 - \lambda_2, \Lambda_4 = -\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2 - \lambda_3\). Now \(y_1 = x_{12} \wedge x_{13} \wedge x_{14}\) and \(y_2 = x_{12} \wedge x_{13} \wedge x_{23}\) are primitive vectors and using the preceding identities we find that \(\text{wt}(y_1) = 2\lambda_1, \text{wt}(y_2) = 2\lambda_3\). Using Weyl's dimension formula \([2, (40), (41), \text{p. 257}]\) it follows quickly that \(\dim M_{2\lambda_1} = 10 = \dim M_{2\lambda_3}\). Also, since \(\dim V = 4, \dim \Lambda^3 \wedge^2 V = 20\), hence

\[(16) \quad \wedge^3 \wedge^2 V = M_1 \oplus M_2 \quad \text{where } M_1 = \langle y_1 \rangle_{\text{mod}}, M_2 = \langle y_2 \rangle_{\text{mod}}.\]

For the computations to follow we need bases of \(M_1\) and \(M_2\). Let \(f_i \in \mathfrak{sl}(V)\) be the element whose matrix in the basis \(\{x_i\}\) has a "1" in the \((i + 1, i)\) position and zeros elsewhere, and let \(g_\sigma\) be as in (12). If \(v \in \Lambda^3 \wedge^2 V\) by \(g_\sigma(v)\) we mean \((\wedge^3 \wedge^2 g_\sigma)(v)\) and by \(f_i(v)\) we mean \(\text{d}(\Lambda^3 \circ \wedge^2)(f_i)(v)\). Then \(M_1 = \langle v_{t_i=i=1}^{10} \rangle\) and \(M_2 = \langle v_{t_i=i=1}^{10} \rangle\) where:

\[
\begin{align*}
v_1 &= x_{12} \wedge x_{13} \wedge x_{14}, \\
v_2 &= -g_{(1, 2)}(v_1) = x_{12} \wedge x_{23} \wedge x_{24}, \\
v_3 &= -g_{(1, 3)}(v_1) = x_{13} \wedge x_{23} \wedge x_{34}, \\
v_4 &= -g_{(1, 4)}(v_1) = x_{14} \wedge x_{24} \wedge x_{34},
\end{align*}
\]
\[ v_5 = f_1(v_1) = -x_{12} \land x_{14} \land x_{23} + x_{12} \land x_{13} \land x_{24}, \]
\[ v_6 = f_2(v_2) = x_{13} \land x_{23} \land x_{24} + x_{12} \land x_{23} \land x_{34}, \]
\[ v_7 = f_3(v_3) = x_{14} \land x_{23} \land x_{34} + x_{13} \land x_{24} \land x_{34}, \]
\[ v_8 = f_2(v_1) = -x_{13} \land x_{14} \land x_{23} + x_{12} \land x_{13} \land x_{34}, \]
\[ v_9 = f_3(v_2) = x_{14} \land x_{23} \land x_{24} + x_{12} \land x_{24} \land x_{34}, \]
\[ v_{10} = f_3(f_2(v_1)) = -x_{13} \land x_{14} \land x_{24} + x_{12} \land x_{14} \land x_{34}, \]
\[ w_1 = x_{12} \land x_{13} \land x_{23}, \]
\[ w_2 = g(1, 4)(w_1) = x_{23} \land x_{24} \land x_{34}, \]
\[ w_3 = g(2, 4)(w_1) = x_{13} \land x_{14} \land x_{34}, \]
\[ w_4 = g(3, 4)(w_1) = x_{12} \land x_{14} \land x_{24}, \]
\[ w_5 = f_1(w_1) = x_{12} \land x_{14} \land x_{23} + x_{12} \land x_{13} \land x_{24}, \]
\[ w_6 = f_1(f_3(w_1)) = -x_{13} \land x_{23} \land x_{24} + x_{12} \land x_{23} \land x_{34}, \]
\[ w_7 = f_1(w_3) = -x_{14} \land x_{23} \land x_{34} + x_{13} \land x_{24} \land x_{34}, \]
\[ w_8 = f_2(w_1) = x_{13} \land x_{14} \land x_{23} + x_{12} \land x_{13} \land x_{34}, \]
\[ w_9 = f_1(f_4(w_1)) = -x_{14} \land x_{23} \land x_{24} + x_{12} \land x_{24} \land x_{34}, \]
\[ w_{10} = f_2(w_4) = x_{13} \land x_{14} \land x_{24} + x_{12} \land x_{14} \land x_{34}. \]

We can now determine the centralizer of \( G_3 = \pi \wedge^3 \wedge^2GL(V) \) in \( \text{Aut } G_3(\wedge^2V) \) when dim \( V = 4 \). Let \( \rho \in \text{Aut } G_3(\wedge^2V) \) and suppose \( \rho \) centralizes \( G_3 = \pi \wedge^3 \wedge^2GL(V) \). Then \( \rho = \pi(\omega) \) for some \( \omega \in GL(\wedge^3 \wedge^2V) \) and as in Lemma 6 \( \omega \) centralizes \( G_2 \). In other words, \( \omega \) is an \( s(l(V)) \)-automorphism of \( \wedge^3 \wedge^2V \).

Hence by (16), scaling \( \omega \) if necessary, we can assume
\[
(17) \quad \omega|_{M_1} = \text{Id}, \quad \omega|_{M_2} = r \text{ Id}, \quad r \in K'.
\]

By Theorem 4 either \( \omega = \wedge^3(\theta), \theta \in GL(\wedge^2V) \), or else \( \omega = C \circ \wedge^3(\theta) \) where \( C \) is given by (4). In the former case proceed as in the proof of Lemma 7 to get \( \rho = \text{Id} \). So suppose \( \omega = C \circ \wedge^3(\theta) \), or equivalently, since \( C^2 = \text{Id}, \ C \circ \omega = \wedge^3(\theta) \).

Now \( x_{23} \land x_{24} \land x_{34} = C \circ \omega(v_1) = \wedge^3(\theta)(v_1) = \theta(x_{12}) \land \theta(x_{13}) \land \theta(x_{14}) \), thus \( \theta(x_{12}) \in (x_{23}, x_{24}, x_{34}) \). Similarly, from the relation \( C \circ \omega(v_2) = \wedge^3(\theta)(v_2) \) it follows that \( \theta(x_{12}) \in (x_{13}, x_{14}, x_{34}) \). Intersecting these two conditions on \( \theta(x_{12}) \) we see that \( \theta(x_{12}) \in (x_{34}) \). In a similar monotonic fashion one can show
\[
(18) \quad \theta(x_{ij}) \in x_{kl} \quad \text{whenever } i, j, k, l \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}.
\]

Pick \( \lambda_{ij} \in K' \) such that
Using (19) and picking off coefficients from the identities \( C \circ \omega(w_1) = \Lambda^3(\theta)(w_1), \)
\( C \circ \omega(w_2) = \Lambda^3(\theta)(w_2), \)
\( C \circ \omega(w_3) = \Lambda^3(\theta)(w_3) \) we get the respective identities

\[ -r = \lambda_{12}\lambda_{13}\lambda_{23}, \quad -1 = \lambda_{12}\lambda_{23}\lambda_{24}, \]

\[ -r = \lambda_{23}\lambda_{24}\lambda_{34}, \quad -1 = \lambda_{13}\lambda_{23}\lambda_{34}. \]

Dividing the third by the second, and the fourth by the first, we get the simultaneous relations

\[ \lambda_{34}/\lambda_{12} = r, \quad \lambda_{34}/\lambda_{12} = 1/r, \]

hence

\[ r^2 = 1, \quad r = \pm 1. \]

If \( r = 1, \omega = \text{Id} \) by (17) and \( \rho = \pi(\omega) = \text{Id}. \) Setting

\[ \sigma(x_{12}) = -x_{34}, \quad \sigma(x_{13}) = -x_{24}, \quad \sigma(x_{14}) = -x_{23}, \]

\[ \sigma(x_{23}) = x_{14}, \quad \sigma(x_{24}) = x_{13}, \quad \sigma(x_{34}) = x_{12}, \]

and letting

\[ \omega = C \circ \Lambda^3(\sigma) \]

one can check using the bases \( \{v_i\}, \{w_i\} \) of \( M_1, M_2 \) that

\[ \omega|_{M_1} = \text{Id}, \quad \omega|_{M_2} = -\text{Id} \]

and \( \omega \) centralizes \( G_2. \)

**First Main Theorem.** Let \( \rho \in \text{Aut} \, G_p(\Lambda^2 V) \) where \( G_p(\Lambda^2 V) \) is the projective Grassmann variety of \( p \)-dimensional subspaces of \( \Lambda^2 V \) and \( V \) is a finite-dimensional vector space over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. If \( \rho \) centralizes the image of \( GL(V) \) by \( \pi \circ \Lambda^p \Lambda^2 \) (\( \pi \) in Lemma 5 is the projection map), then \( \rho = \text{Id} \) except in the case \( \dim V = 4, p = 3 \) when \( \rho = \text{Id} \) or \( \rho = \pi(\omega) \) where \( \omega \) is given by (25), (24), (23) and (4).

**Proof.** Lemma 7, Lemma 13 and the discussion immediately preceding the statement of this theorem.

**Second Main Theorem.** There is only one algebraic duality theory.

**Proof.** By the First Main Theorem and the discussion at the end of §1, there are only two possible algebraic duality theories: \( D_1 \) and \( D_2. \) Furthermore, they agree except possibly on the 7-dimensional metabelian algebras \( N \) for which \( \text{cod } N^2 = 4. \) By \([1, \S 7]\) there are only six isomorphism classes of such algebras. They correspond to the following elements of \( \Lambda^3 \Lambda^2 V: \)
In terms of the bases \{v_i\}, \{w_i\} of \(M_1, M_2\) (recall \(\Lambda^3 \Lambda^2 V = M_1 \oplus M_2\)) we have
\[
I_1 = w_1, \quad I_2 = v_1, \quad I_3 = (v_5 + w_5)/2, \quad I_4 = (v_6 + w_6)/2 - (v_{10} + w_{10})/2, \quad I_5 = -\left(v_4 + w_4\right), \quad I_6 = -\left(v_5 + w_5\right)/2 - \left(v_7 + w_7\right)/2.
\]
Hence if \(\omega\) is as in the First Main Theorem, \(\omega(I_1) = -w_1, \quad \omega(I_2) = v_1, \quad \omega(I_3) = (v_5 - w_5)/2, \quad \omega(I_4) = (v_6 - w_6)/2 - (v_{10} - w_{10})/2, \quad \omega(I_5) = (-v_4 + w_4), \quad \omega(I_6) = -\left(v_5 - w_5\right)/2 - \left(v_7 - w_7\right)/2.

Now to prove \(D_1\) and \(D_2\) are identical it is sufficient to find linear transformations \(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_6\) of \(V\) satisfying \(\langle \omega(l_j) \rangle = \langle \Lambda^3 \Lambda^2 (\sigma_j(l_j)) \rangle\) for \(j = 1, \ldots, 6\). For \(\sigma_1, \sigma_2\) it is clear we can pick the identity. For the rest we take:
\[
\sigma_3(x_i) = x_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad \sigma_4(x_i) = x_i, \quad i = 1, 4, \quad \sigma_5(x_i) = x_i, \quad i = 3, 4,
\]
\[
\sigma_3(x_3) = x_4, \quad \sigma_4(x_3) = x_3, \quad \sigma_5(x_4) = x_2,
\]
and \(\sigma_6 = \sigma_3\).

Corollary. The Scheuneman duality theory and the one given here are identical.

Proof. It is straightforward to verify that Scheuneman’s theory satisfies the axioms (I), \(\ldots\), (IV) of an algebraic duality theory. So the result follows from the preceding theorem.
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