

## ON SUBNORMAL OPERATORS

BY

M. RADJABALIPOUR

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $T$  be the adjoint of a subnormal operator defined on a Hilbert space  $H$ . For any closed set  $\delta$ , let  $X_T(\delta) = \{x \in H: \text{there exists an analytic function } f_x: \mathbb{C} \setminus \delta \rightarrow H \text{ such that } (z - T)f_x(z) \equiv x\}$ . It is shown that  $T$  is decomposable (resp. normal) if  $X_T(\partial G_\alpha)$  is closed (resp. if  $X_T(\partial G_\alpha) = \{0\}$ ) for a certain family  $\{G_\alpha\}$  of open sets. Some of the results are extended to the case that  $T$  is the adjoint of the restriction of a spectral or decomposable operator to an invariant subspace.

Putnam [17] and Stampfli [20] approach the invariant subspace problem for a hyponormal (cohyponormal) operator  $T$  by studying the analytic continuability of the local resolvents  $(z - T)^{-1}x$  for individual vectors  $x$  in the underlying Hilbert space. Here, by independent proofs, we find some necessary and sufficient conditions for normality or decomposability of a subnormal (cosubnormal) operator in terms of its local resolvents.

**1. Preliminaries.** Let  $B(H)$  denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators defined on a Hilbert space  $H$ . We recall the following definitions and facts about the elements of  $B(H)$ .

(i) An operator  $T \in B(H)$  is called spectral if  $T = S + Q$  where  $S$  is similar to a normal operator,  $Q$  is a quasinilpotent operator, and  $SQ = QS$  [8, pp. 1939 and 1947]. Moreover  $T$  has a (not necessarily orthogonal) resolution of the identity which coincides with that of  $S$ .

(ii) The restriction of a normal (resp. spectral) operator to an invariant subspace is called a subnormal (resp. subspectral) operator; the adjoint of a subnormal (resp. subspectral) operator is called a cosubnormal (resp. cosubspectral) operator.

(iii) An operator  $T \in B(H)$  is hyponormal if  $T^*T - TT^* \geq 0$  and cohyponormal if  $T^*T - TT^* \leq 0$ .

---

Received by the editors November 11, 1974.

*AMS (MOS) subject classifications* (1970). Primary 47B40.

*Key words and phrases.* Hilbert space, normal operator, spectral operator, subnormal operator, decomposable operator, spectral subspace.

Copyright © 1975, American Mathematical Society

(iv) Every subnormal operator is hyponormal.

(v) For an operator  $T \in B(H)$  and a closed subset  $\delta$  of the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  we define

$$X_T(\delta) = \{x \in H: \text{there exists an analytic function } f_x: \mathbb{C} \setminus \delta \rightarrow H \text{ such that } (z - T)f_x(z) \equiv x\}.$$

The set  $X_T(\delta)$  is a hyperinvariant linear manifold of  $T$ . If  $\delta$  and  $\gamma$  are two disjoint closed subsets of  $\mathbb{C}$ , then

$$X_T(\delta) \cap X_T(\mathbb{C} \setminus \delta^0) = X_T(\partial\delta) \quad \text{and} \quad X_T(\delta \cup \gamma) = X_T(\delta) + X_T(\gamma).$$

(Throughout this paper  $\delta^0$  and  $\partial\delta$  denote the interior and the boundary of a set  $\delta$  respectively.) The proof of the latter fact is similar to that of the Riesz decomposition theorem and uses the following identity:

$$(\mu - T)^{-1}f_x(z) = (z - \mu)^{-1}[(\mu - T)^{-1}x - f_x(z)]$$

for  $\mu \notin \sigma(T)$ .

(vi) An operator  $T \in B(H)$  has the single-valued extension property if there exists no nonzero  $H$ -valued analytic function  $f$  such that  $(z - T)f(z) \equiv 0$ . If  $T$  has the single-valued extension property, so does its restriction to an invariant subspace. If  $T$  has the single-valued extension property and  $x \in H$  one may define

$$\sigma_T(x) = \bigcap \{\delta: x \in X_T(\delta) \text{ and } \delta \text{ closed}\}.$$

It is easy to see that  $x \in X_T(\sigma_T(x))$  and  $X_T(\delta) = \{x: \sigma_T(x) \subseteq \delta\}$ .

(vii) An invariant subspace  $Y$  of  $T$  is called a spectral maximal subspace of  $T$  if  $Z \subseteq Y$  for all invariant subspaces  $Z$  of  $T$  such that  $\alpha(T|Z) \subseteq \alpha(T|Y)$ . If  $T$  has the single-valued extension property and  $X_T(\delta)$  is closed, then  $X_T(\delta)$  is a spectral maximal subspace of  $T$  and  $\alpha(T|X_T(\delta)) \subseteq \delta \cap \sigma(T)$  [7, p. 23].

(viii) Let  $n \geq 2$  be a positive integer. An operator  $T$  is called  $n$ -decomposable if for every open covering  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n$  of  $\sigma(T)$  there exist spectral maximal subspaces  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  of  $T$  such that  $H = Y_1 + Y_2 + \dots + Y_n$  and  $\sigma(T|Y_i) \subseteq \bar{G}_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ). An operator is called decomposable if it is  $n$ -decomposable for all positive integers  $n$  [7, p. 57].

(ix) Every normal operator is a spectral operator, and every spectral operator is decomposable. If  $T$  is a spectral operator with the resolution of the identity  $E$ , then  $X_T(\delta) = E(\delta)H$  for all closed sets  $\delta$  [7, p. 33].

(x) Every  $n$ -decomposable operator  $T$  has the single-valued extension property and  $X_T(\delta)$  is closed for all closed sets  $\delta$  [14, p. 215] ( $n \geq 2$ ).

**2. Main results.** The main purpose of this section is to find some necessary and sufficient conditions for decomposability or normality of a cosubnormal operator (Theorems 1 and 3). Some of the results are extended to co-subspectral operators. Stampfli [20] shows that if  $T$  is a hyponormal operator, then  $X_T(\delta)$  is closed for all closed sets  $\delta$ , and if  $T$  is cohyponormal, then there exists a closed set  $\delta$  such that  $X_T(\delta) \neq \{0\}$ . In this direction we prove the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $A$  be a 2-decomposable operator defined on a Hilbert space  $K$ . Let  $H$  be an invariant subspace of  $A$  and let  $S = A|_H$ . Then  $X_S(\delta)$  is closed and  $X_S(\delta) \subseteq H \cap X_A(\delta)$  for all closed sets  $\delta$ .*

**Proof.** The fact that  $X_S(\delta) \subseteq H \cap X_A(\delta)$  follows from the single-valued extension property for  $A$ . Now let  $x_n$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $x_S(\delta)$  converging to  $x$ . Let  $A_\delta = A|_{X_A(\delta)}$ . Since  $A$  has the single-valued extension property, it follows that  $(\lambda - A_\delta)^{-1}x_n$  has values in  $H$  and converges uniformly to  $(\lambda - A_\delta)^{-1}x$  on any compact subset of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \delta$ . Thus  $x \in X_S(\delta)$  and hence  $X_S(\delta)$  is closed.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $N \in B(K)$  be an  $n$ -decomposable operator for some  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $H$  be an invariant subspace of  $N^*$ . Let  $Q: K \rightarrow K$  be the orthogonal projection onto  $H$  and let  $T = QNQ|_H$ . Then  $QX_N(\delta) \subseteq X_T(\delta)$  for all closed sets  $\delta$ . Moreover, if  $X_T(\bar{\delta}_n)$  and  $X_T(\mathbb{C} \setminus \delta_n)$  are closed for a sequence  $\{\delta_n\}$  of open sets forming a base for the topology of  $\mathbb{C}$ , then  $T$  is  $n$ -decomposable and  $T^*$  is 2-decomposable.*

**Proof.** Let  $x \in X_N(\delta)$  and let  $N_\delta = N|_{X_N(\delta)}$ . Since  $Q(\lambda - N_\delta)^{-1}x$  is analytic outside  $\delta$  and  $(\lambda - T)Q(\lambda - N_\delta)^{-1}x = x$  for  $\lambda \notin \delta$ , it follows that  $Qx \in X_T(\delta)$  and thus  $QX_N(\delta) \subseteq X_T(\delta)$ . Next let  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n$  be an open covering of  $\sigma(T)$ . Let  $G_{n+1}$  be an open set such that  $\bar{G}_{n+1} \cap \sigma(T) = \emptyset$  and  $\sigma(N) \subseteq G_1 \cup G_2 \cup \dots \cup G_{n+1}$ . Let  $x \in H$ . We have  $x = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n$  with  $x_i \in X_N(\bar{G}_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ , and  $x_n \in X_N(\overline{G_n \cup G_{n+1}})$ . Since  $X_B(F) = X_B(F \cap \sigma(B))$ , it follows that  $Qx_i \in X_T(\bar{G}_i)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) and thus

$$(\dagger) \quad H = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_T(\bar{G}_i).$$

Now assume  $X_T(\bar{\delta}_n)$  and  $X_T(\mathbb{C} \setminus \delta_n)$  are closed, where  $\{\delta_n\}$  is a sequence of open sets forming a base for the topology of  $\mathbb{C}$ . We claim  $T$  has the single-valued extension property. Assume, if possible, that there exists a nonzero  $H$ -valued analytic function  $f$  on some disc  $|z - z_0| < r$  such that

$(z - T)f(z) \equiv 0$ . Let  $f(z) = \sum a_n(z - z_0)^n$  and let  $z_0 \in \delta_k \subset \bar{\delta}_k \subset \{z: |z - z_0| < r\}$  for some  $k$ . Since  $M = X_T(\bar{\delta}_k)$  is closed,  $f^{(n)}(z) \in M$  for all  $z \in \delta_k$  and thus  $f(z) \in M$  for  $|z - z_0| < r$ . Choose  $z_1$  in the unbounded component of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \bar{\delta}_k$  such that  $|z_1 - z_0| < r$ , and  $f(z_1) \neq 0$ . It follows that there exists a  $H$ -valued analytic function  $g$  on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \bar{\delta}_k$  with  $(z - T)g(z) \equiv f(z_1)$ . On the other hand  $(z - z_1)^{-1}f(z_1)$  is a  $H$ -valued analytic function defined for  $z \neq z_1$  which agrees with  $g(z)$  on the unbounded component of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(T)$ . Thus  $g(z) = (z - z_1)^{-1}f(z_1)$  for  $z$  in the unbounded component of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \bar{\delta}_k$ , a contradiction. Hence  $T$  has the single-valued extension property.

Let  $\delta$  be an arbitrary closed set. For each point  $z \notin \delta$  there exists an integer  $k(z)$  such that  $z \in \delta_{k(z)} \subseteq \bar{\delta}_{k(z)} \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \delta$ . Since  $T$  has the single-valued extension property, it follows that

$$X_T(\delta) = \bigcap_{z \notin \delta} X_T(\mathbb{C} \setminus \delta_{k(z)})$$

and thus  $X_T(\delta)$  is closed. Therefore, in view of §1 (vii) and formula (†),  $T$  is an  $n$ -decomposable operator. The last assertion follows from the fact that the adjoint of a 2-decomposable operator is 2-decomposable [10, p. 1057].

**Remark 1.** In Lemma 2, let  $\delta$  be a closed set such that  $\sigma(T) \cap \delta^0 \neq \emptyset$ . If  $\sigma(N) \cap \delta^0 = \emptyset$ , then  $\delta^0 \subset \sigma_p(T)$  and thus  $X_T(\delta) \neq \{0\}$ . On the other hand, if  $\sigma(N) \cap \delta^0 \neq \emptyset$ , then  $X_N(\delta) \neq \{0\}$  and thus  $QX_N(\delta) \neq \{0\}$  [1, proof of Lemma 1.4]. Hence, again,  $X_T(\delta) \neq \{0\}$ .

**Remark 2.** The proof of Lemma 2 suggests the following proposition:

*Let  $T$  be an operator on some Banach space  $Y$ . Let  $\delta_n$  be a sequence of open sets forming a base for the topology of  $\mathbb{C}$ . If  $X_T(\bar{\delta}_n)$  is closed for all  $n$ , then  $T$  has the single-valued extension property (cf. [2, Proposition 1.4]).*

The following theorem contains a necessary and sufficient condition for decomposability of a cosubspectral operator.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $N \in B(K)$  be a spectral operator, and let  $H, T$ , and  $Q$  be as in Lemma 2. If  $X_T(\partial\delta)$  is closed for some closed set  $\delta$ , then  $X_T(\delta)$  and  $X_T(\mathbb{C} \setminus \delta^0)$  are closed, and  $H = X_T(\delta) + X_T(\mathbb{C} \setminus \delta^0)$ . In particular if  $X_T(\partial\delta_n)$  is closed for a sequence  $\{\delta_n\}$  of open sets forming a base for the topology of  $\mathbb{C}$ , then  $T$  is decomposable and  $T^*$  is 2-decomposable.*

**Proof.** Assume  $X_T(\partial\delta)$  is closed. Let  $x_n$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $X_T(\delta)$  converging to  $x$ . Let  $E$  be the resolution of the identity for  $N$ . Since  $QE(\mathbb{C} \setminus \delta)x_n \in X_T(\mathbb{C} \setminus \delta^0)$  and  $x_n - QE(\delta)x_n \in X_T(\delta)$  (Lemma 2), it follows that  $QE(\mathbb{C} \setminus \delta)x_n \in X_T(\partial\delta)$  and thus  $QE(\mathbb{C} \setminus \delta)x \in X_T(\partial\delta)$ . Hence  $x (= QE(\delta)x$

+  $QE(C \setminus \delta)x$  is in  $X_T(\delta)$ . This shows that  $X_T(\delta)$  is closed. By a similar proof  $X_T(C \setminus \delta^0)$  is closed. Since  $x = QE(\delta)x + QE(C \setminus \delta)x$  for all  $x \in H$ ,  $H = X_T(\delta) + X_T(C \setminus \delta^0)$ . The rest of the proof follows from Lemma 2.

In the following we write  $H = M \oplus N$  if  $M$  and  $N$  are two (closed) subspaces of  $H$ ,  $M \cap N = \{0\}$ , and  $H = M + N$ .

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $N \in B(K)$  be a spectral operator and let  $H$ ,  $T$ , and  $Q$  be as in Lemma 2. Let  $E$  be the resolution of the identity for  $N$ . Assume  $X_T(\partial\delta) = \{0\}$  for some closed set  $\delta$ . Then  $H = X_T(\delta) \oplus X_T(C \setminus \delta^0)$  and  $\|P\| \leq L$ , where  $P: H \rightarrow H$  is the projection onto  $X_T(\delta)$  parallel to  $X_T(C \setminus \delta^0)$  and  $L = \sup\{\|E(\sigma)\|: \sigma \text{ Borel}\}$ .*

**Proof.** In view of Theorem 1,  $X_T(\delta)$  and  $X_T(C \setminus \delta^0)$  are closed, and  $H = X_T(\delta) + X_T(C \setminus \delta^0)$ . Since  $X_T(\delta) \cap X_T(C \setminus \delta^0) = X_T(\partial\delta) = \{0\}$ ,  $H = X_T(\delta) \oplus X_T(C \setminus \delta^0)$ . Therefore  $P$  is well defined and  $Px = QE(\delta)x$ . This shows that  $\|P\| \leq L$ . Q.E.D.

If  $T$  is a spectral operator on a separable Hilbert space and  $\{C_\alpha\}$  is a family of disjoint Jordan curves, then  $X_T(C_\alpha) = \{0\}$  for all but a countable number of  $\alpha$ . For a cosubspectral operator the following converse is true.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $N$ ,  $T$ ,  $K$ ,  $H$  and  $Q$  be as in Lemma 3. Assume  $X_T(\partial\delta_n) = \{0\}$  for a sequence  $\{\delta_n\}$  of open sets forming a base for the topology of  $C$ . Then  $T$  is a spectral operator. Moreover if  $N$  has an orthogonal resolution of the identity, so does  $T$ .*

**Proof.** We use a "characterization" of spectral operators stated in Theorem XVI. 4.5 of [8, p. 2147].

Note first that since  $T$  is decomposable (Theorem 1),  $T$  has the single-valued extension property and  $X_T(\delta)$  is closed for all closed sets  $\delta$ . This proves conditions (A) and (C) of the "characterization".

Now we show that if  $\delta$  is closed and  $E(\delta) = 0$ , then  $X_T(\delta) = \{0\}$  ( $E$  is the resolution of the identity for  $N$ ). Let  $\{\sigma_n\}$  be the subsequence of  $\{\delta_n\}$  consisting of all  $\delta_n$  which lie entirely in  $C \setminus \delta$ . Let  $\gamma_1 = \sigma_1$  and

$$\gamma_n = \sigma_n \setminus \bigcup_{i < n} \sigma_i \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots).$$

Let  $x \in X_T(\delta)$ . We prove by induction that  $QE(\gamma_n)x = 0$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Since  $QE(\gamma_1)x = QE(\sigma_1)x = x - QE(C \setminus \sigma_1)x \in X_T(\partial\sigma_1)$ ,  $QE(\gamma_1)x = 0$ . Assume  $QE(\gamma_i)x = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ . It follows that

$$QE(\gamma_n)x = QE(\gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2 \cup \dots \cup \gamma_n)x$$

and thus

$$QE(\gamma_n)x \in X_T(\partial\gamma_n \cap \partial(\gamma_1 \cup \dots \cup \gamma_n)) \subseteq X_T(\partial\sigma_n).$$

Hence  $QE(\gamma_n)x = 0$ . Therefore  $x = QE(\delta)x + \sum QE(\gamma_n)x = 0$  which implies that  $X_T(\delta) = \{0\}$ .

Let  $\sigma$  and  $\gamma$  be two disjoint closed sets. There exists a Cauchy domain  $\delta$  such that (a)  $\sigma \subset \delta$ , (b)  $\gamma \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \bar{\delta}$ , and (c)  $E(\partial\delta) = 0$ . It follows from Lemma 3 that

$$\|x\| \leq L\|x + y\| \quad (x \in X_T(\sigma), y \in X_T(\gamma)),$$

where  $L = \sup \{\|E(\delta)\| : \delta \text{ Borel}\}$ . This proves condition (B) of the "characterization".

Let  $E$  be as above. Let  $\delta$  be a closed set and let  $\sigma_n$  be an increasing sequence of closed sets converging to  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \delta$ . Since

$$x = \lim [QE(\delta)x + QE(\delta_n)x]$$

for all  $x \in H$ , it follows from Lemma 2 that every closed set  $\delta$  is in the class  $\mathcal{S}_1(T)$  of all sets  $\sigma$  with the property that vectors of the form  $x + y$  with  $\sigma_T(x) \subseteq \sigma$  and  $\sigma_T(y) \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma$  are dense in  $H$  [8, p. 2138]. Therefore to each closed set  $\delta$  there corresponds a unique projection  $F(\delta) \in B(H)$  such that  $F(\delta)x = x$  if  $\sigma_T(x) \subseteq \delta$  and  $F(\delta)x = 0$  if  $\sigma_T(x) \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \delta$  [8, p. 2138].

Now let  $\delta$  and  $\sigma_n$  be as above and assume moreover that  $X_T(\partial\delta) = X_T(\partial\sigma_n) = \{0\}$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Let  $x \in H$ . By the proof of Lemma 3,  $x = \lim y_n$  and  $\sigma(y_n) \subseteq (\delta \cup \sigma_n) \cap \sigma_T(x)$ , where  $y_n = QE(\delta \cup \sigma_n)x$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Applying the Riesz decomposition theorem to  $T|_{X_T(\delta \cup \sigma_n)}$  yields  $y_n = u_n + v_n$ , where  $\sigma_T(u_n) \subseteq \delta \cap \sigma_T(x)$  and  $\sigma_T(v_n) \subseteq \sigma_n \cap \sigma_T(x)$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). This shows that every closed set  $\delta$  with  $X_T(\partial\delta) = \{0\}$  is in the class  $\mathcal{S}_2(T)$  of all sets  $\sigma$  having the property that for every  $x \in H$  and every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there are vectors  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  with  $\sigma_T(x_1) \subseteq \sigma_T(x) \cap \sigma$ ,  $\sigma_T(x_2) \subseteq \sigma_T(x) \cap (\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma)$ , and  $\|x_1 + x_2 - x\| < \epsilon$ .

Let  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ , and let  $x \in H$ . Let  $D_r = \{z : |z - z_0| < r\}$  for  $r > 0$ . There exists a decreasing sequence  $\{r(n)\}$  converging to a number  $r(\infty)$  such that  $0 < r(\infty) < \epsilon$  and  $X_T(\partial D_{r(n)}) = \{0\}$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$ ). Let  $\delta = \bar{D}_{r(\infty)}$ ,  $\sigma_n = \mathbb{C} \setminus D_{r(n)}$ ,  $y_n = QE(\delta \cup \sigma_n)x$ ,  $u_n = F(\delta)y_n$ , and let  $v_n = F(\sigma_n)y_n$ . It follows from the proof of Lemma 3 and the uniqueness of the set function  $F$  on  $\mathcal{S}_1(T)$  that  $y_n = F(\delta \cup \sigma_n)x$  and thus  $u_n = F(\delta)x$  and  $v_n = F(\sigma_n)x$ . (Recall that the restriction of  $F$  to  $\mathcal{S}_2(T)$  is a spectral measure [8, p. 2140].) Hence

$$x = \lim [F(\delta) + F(\sigma_n)]x$$

which implies that  $\delta$  is in the class  $\mathfrak{S}(T)$  of all sets  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_2(T)$  for which there exist closed sets  $\mu_n$  and  $\nu_n$  in  $\mathfrak{S}_2(T)$  with  $\mu_n \subseteq \sigma$ ,  $\nu_n \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , and

$$x = \lim [F(\nu_n) + F(\mu_n)]x \quad (x \in H).$$

Since  $z_0$  and  $\epsilon$  are arbitrary, it follows that every complex number is interior to a set of arbitrarily small diameter belonging to  $\mathfrak{S}(T)$ . This proves condition (D) of the "characterization" and with it the theorem.

Let  $F_s$  ( $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ) be the resolution of the identity for a (bounded) Hermitian operator acting in a separable Hilbert space. There exist a family of Hilbert spaces  $H_s$  ( $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ) such that the underlying Hilbert space is unitarily equivalent to  $\int_{\mathbb{R}}^{\oplus} H_s d\mu(s)$ .

Moreover if an operator  $T$  commutes with all projections  $F_s$ , then  $T$  is unitarily equivalent to an operator of the form  $\int^{\oplus} T_s d\mu(s)$ , where  $T_s \in B(H_s)$ . (For the definitions and properties of direct integrals see [13, pp. 496–503].) Since  $T$  is invertible if and only if  $T_s$  is invertible a.e.  $[d\mu]$ , it follows that  $(\lambda_n - T_s)^{-1}$  exists a.e.  $[d\mu]$  simultaneously for all elements of a sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}$  dense in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(T)$ . Thus  $\sigma(T_s) \subseteq \sigma(T)$  a.e.  $[d\mu]$ .

In the following by a Jordan domain we mean an open set enclosed by a rectifiable Jordan curve. Theorem 2 can be sharpened for cosubnormal operators as follows.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $N \in B(K)$  be a normal operator and let  $T, H$ , and  $Q$  be as in Lemma 2. Let  $\Delta$  be a totally ordered set and let  $\{D_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$  be a fixed increasing chain of Jordan domains such that  $X_T(\partial D_\alpha) = \{0\}$  for all  $\alpha \in \Delta$  and the area of the set*

$$C(\Delta_1) = \left( \bigcap_{\beta \notin \Delta_1} \bar{D}_\beta \right) \setminus \left( \bigcup_{\beta \in \Delta_1} D_\beta \right)$$

*is zero for any cut  $\Delta_1$  in  $\Delta$ . (A subset  $\Delta_1$  of  $\Delta$  is a cut in  $\Delta$  if any element in  $\Delta_1$  is less than any element in the complement of  $\Delta_1$ .) Then  $T$  is a normal operator.*

**Proof.** Assume without loss of generality that  $H$  is separable and that  $T$  has no nontrivial reducing invariant subspace on which it is normal. We claim  $H = \{0\}$ . Let  $P_\alpha$  be the projection onto  $X_T(\bar{D}_\alpha)$  parallel to  $X_T(\mathbb{C} \setminus D_\alpha)$ . Since  $\|P_\alpha\| \leq 1$ , (Lemma 3),  $\{P_\alpha\}$  is an increasing sequence of orthogonal projections commuting with  $T$ .

Let  $\pi$  be a chain of projections obtained from the completion of  $\{P_\alpha\}$ . We claim  $\pi$  has no gap. Assume, if possible,  $(P^-, P^+)$  is a gap in  $\pi$ . Let

$\Delta_1 = \{\alpha \in \Delta: P_\alpha \leq P^-\}$ . Then  $M = (P^+ - P^-)H$  is a nontrivial reducing invariant subspace of  $T$  and  $\sigma(T|M) \subseteq \sigma(T|(P_\beta - P_\alpha)H) \subseteq \bar{D}_\beta \setminus D_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in \Delta_1$  and  $\beta \in \Delta_1$ . Thus the area of  $\sigma(T|M)$  is zero and hence  $T|M$  is a normal operator, a contradiction [16]. Therefore there exists a (strictly increasing) resolution of the identity  $F_s$  ( $0 \leq s \leq 1$ ) (belonging to a Hermitian operator) whose range coincides with  $\pi$  [5, Theorem 18.1]. Thus (up to unitary equivalence):

$$H = \int_{[0,1]}^\oplus H_s d\mu(s) \quad \text{and} \quad T = \int_{[0,1]}^\oplus T_s d\mu(s),$$

where  $T_s$  is cohyponormal a.e.  $[d\mu]$ . (Actually Bastian [3] shows that  $T_s$  is cosubnormal a.e.  $[d\mu]$ .)

For  $[a, b] \subseteq [0, 1]$  let

$$T_{[a,b]} = \int_{[a,b]}^\oplus T_s d\mu(s) \quad \text{and} \quad H_{[a,b]} = \int_{[a,b]}^\oplus H_s d\mu(s).$$

It is easy to see that  $H_{[a,b]} = (F_b - F_a)H$  and  $T_{[a,b]} = T|H_{[a,b]}$ . Let  $\delta(n, k) = [(k-1)/n, k/n]$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , and  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Since

$$\mu(\{s \in \delta(n, k): \sigma(T_s) \not\subseteq \sigma(T_{\delta(n,k)})\}) = 0$$

for all  $\delta(n, k)$ , it follows that

$$(*) \quad \sigma(T_s) \subseteq \bigcap_{(n,k) \in \Gamma(s)} \sigma(T_{\delta(n,k)})$$

a.e.  $[d\mu]$ , where  $\Gamma(s) = \{(n, k): s \in \delta(n, k)\}$ . Let  $s$  satisfy (\*). Let  $\Delta_1 = \{\alpha \in \Delta: P_\alpha < F_s\}$ ,  $\Delta_2 = \{\alpha \in \Delta: P_\alpha = F_s\}$ , and  $\Delta_3 = \Delta \setminus (\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2)$ . Since  $P_\alpha$  is constant on  $\Delta_2$ , it follows that

$$\sigma(T) \subseteq \left( \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta_2} \bar{D}_\alpha \right) \cup \left( \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta_2} (C \setminus D_\alpha) \right),$$

and thus  $\sigma(T_s) \subseteq C(\Delta_1) \cup C(\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2)$ . Hence the area of  $\sigma(T_s) = 0$ . This shows that  $T_s$  is normal a.e.  $[d\mu]$ . Therefore  $T$  is normal and thus  $H = \{0\}$ . The proof of the theorem is complete.

**Definition.** An operator  $T$  is said to satisfy a boundedness condition (B) if there exists a positive constant  $L$  such that  $\|x\| \leq L\|x + y\|$  for all  $x \in X_T(\delta)$ ,  $y \in X_T(\sigma)$ , and all pairs of disjoint closed sets  $\delta$  and  $\sigma$ . (We do not impose the single-valued extension property on  $T$  [8, p. 2138].)

Stampfli [20] shows that a cohyponormal operator satisfying a boundedness condition (B) has a nontrivial invariant subspace. The following theorem shows that such cosubnormal (resp. cosubspectral) operators are indeed normal (resp. spectral).

**Theorem 4.** *A cosubnormal (resp. cosubspectral) operator  $T \in B(H)$  satisfying a boundedness condition (B) is normal (resp. spectral).*

**Proof.** Assume without loss of generality that  $H$  is separable. Let  $N \in B(K)$  be the adjoint of a normal (resp. spectral) extension of  $T^*$  and let  $K$  be separable. Let  $E$  be the resolution of the identity for  $N$ . Let  $\{C_\alpha\}$  be an arbitrary family of disjoint rectifiable Jordan curves. Since  $K$  is separable,  $E(C_\alpha) = 0$  for all but a countable number of  $\alpha$ . Let  $\delta$  be a closed set such that  $E(\delta) = 0$ . Let  $G_n$  be a decreasing sequence of open sets converging to  $\delta$ . The sequence  $E(G_n)$  converges strongly to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $x \in X_T(\delta)$ . It follows from the boundedness condition (B) and Lemma 2, that  $\|x\| \leq L\|x - QE(C \setminus G_n)x\|$  for all  $n$ . Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  yields  $x = 0$ . Thus  $X_T(\delta) = \{0\}$  and hence, in view of Theorem 3 (resp. Theorem 2),  $T$  is a normal (resp. spectral) operator.

**3. Eigenvalues of cosubnormal operators.** Let  $\sigma_p(T)$  be the set of all eigenvalues of an operator  $T \in B(H)$ . Let  $\sigma_{p\perp}(T)$  be the set of all eigenvalues  $\lambda$  of  $T$  such that the null space  $N(\lambda - T)$  reduces  $T$ . Let  $\sigma_{p0}(T)$  be the set of all complex numbers  $\lambda$  such that  $\lambda$  is in the domain of some nonzero  $H$ -valued analytic function  $f(z)$  which has a connected domain and satisfies  $(z - T)f(z) \equiv 0$ . It is true that  $\sigma_{p0}(T) \subseteq \sigma_p(T)$  [7, p. 22] and  $\sigma_{p0}(T) \cap \sigma_{p\perp}(T) = \emptyset$ . (Because if  $\lambda \in \sigma_{p\perp}(T)$  and  $\lambda$  is in the domain of an analytic function  $f$  satisfying  $(z - T)f(z) \equiv 0$ , then  $f(z) \perp N(\lambda - T)$  for all  $z$  and  $\lambda \in \sigma_p(T | N(\lambda - T)^\perp)$ , a contradiction.) Also if  $S$  is the restriction of an operator  $N \in B(K)$  to an invariant subspace  $H$  (of  $N$ ), then

$$(**) \quad \sigma(S^*) \setminus \sigma(N^*) \subseteq \sigma_{p0}(S^*).$$

(Let  $Q$  be the projection onto  $H$  and let  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  be two points of  $\sigma(S^*)$  lying in the same component  $G$  of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(N^*)$ . Let  $x$  be a nonzero vector in  $H$  such that  $(\mu - T)x = 0$ . Then  $f(z) = (z - \mu)^{-1}x - Q(z - N^*)^{-1}x$  ( $z \in G \setminus \{\mu\}$ ) is a nonzero analytic function having  $\lambda$  in its (connected) domain and satisfying  $(z - T)f(z) \equiv 0$ .) (In view of the Wold decomposition theorem for isometry operators, formula (\*\*) provides another proof for Lemma 1.7 of [7, p. 10].)

The following lemmas study the relation between  $\sigma_p(T)$  and the geometrical shape of  $\sigma(T)$  for a cosubnormal or cohyponormal operator  $T$ .

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $T$  be a cohyponormal operator. Let  $\lambda \in \partial\sigma(T)$ . Assume there exists a constant  $K$  and a sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}$  in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(T)$  such that  $\lim \lambda_n = \lambda$  and  $|\lambda - \lambda_n| \leq K \text{ dist}(\lambda_n, \sigma(T))$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then  $\lambda \in \sigma_{p\perp}(T)$  if  $\lambda \in \sigma_p(T)$ .*

**Proof.** Assume without loss of generality that  $N(\bar{\lambda} - T^*) = \{0\}$ . We claim

$N(\lambda - T) = \{0\}$ . By [18, p. 469]

$$\|(\lambda_n - T)^{-1}\| \leq 1/\text{dist}(\lambda_n, \sigma(T)) \leq K/|\lambda - \lambda_n| \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Therefore  $H = N(\lambda - T) \oplus \bar{R}(\lambda - T)$  [12, p. 62]. (Here  $\bar{R}$  denotes the closure of the range.) Since  $N(\bar{\lambda} - T^*) = \{0\}$ ,  $\bar{R}(\lambda - T) = H$  and thus  $N(\lambda - T) = \{0\}$ . (For special cases of Lemma 4 see [15] and [19, p. 135].)

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $E$  be a compact subset of the plane. Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be a family of analytic functions having  $E$  in their domains. Let  $H$  be the span of  $\mathcal{Q}$  in  $L^2(E, dx dy)$ . Let  $S$  be the multiplication by  $z$  in  $H$  and let  $T = S^*$ . Then*

- (a)  $X_S(\delta) = \{0\}$  for all closed subsets  $\delta$  of  $E^0$ ,
- (b)  $(E^0)^* \subseteq \sigma_{p0}(T)$ ,

where  $\Delta^* = \{\lambda: \lambda \in \Delta\}$ . In particular  $S$  and  $T$  are not 2-decomposable if  $E^0 \neq \emptyset$ .

**Proof.** By the area mean value theorem the elements of  $H$  are analytic in  $E^0$ . Thus if  $f \in X_S(\delta)$ , it follows from Lemma 1 that  $f(z) = 0$  for all  $z \notin \delta$  and thus  $f \equiv 0$  on  $E$ . This proves (a).

Let  $\lambda$  be the center of a disc  $|z - \lambda| < r$  lying entirely on  $E^0$ . We can assume without loss of generality that  $\lambda = 0$  and  $r = 1$ . Let  $V$  be the bilateral weighted shift  $Ve_n = [(n + 1)/(n + 2)]^{1/2}e_{n+1}$  for  $n \geq 0$  and  $Ve_n = e_{n+1}$  for  $n < 0$  defined on some Hilbert space  $K_1$ . Let  $W$  be the multiplication by  $z$  in  $K_2 = L^2(E \setminus D, dx dy)$ , where  $D$  is the unit disc. Let  $K = K_1 \oplus K_2$  and  $N = W \oplus V$ . It is easy to see that  $\sigma(N) \cap D = \emptyset$ . In view of [11, Problem 25] the mapping  $U: H \rightarrow K$  defined by

$$Uf = (f|_{E \setminus D}) \oplus \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(0)}{n!} [\pi/(n + 1)]^{1/2} e_n$$

is an isometry and  $US = NU$ . Therefore  $S$  is unitarily equivalent to a part of  $N$ . Since  $D \subseteq \sigma(S)$ , it follows from (\*\*\*) that  $D \subseteq \sigma_{p0}(T)$ . Statement (b) is proved.

The last assertion follows from the fact that  $T$  does not have the single-valued extension property. The proof of the theorem is complete.

For a compact set  $X$  and a (positive) measure  $\mu$  on  $X$ , let  $C(X)$ ,  $\mathcal{R}(X)$ ,  $R(X)$ , and  $R^2(X, d\mu)$  denote the continuous functions on  $X$ , the rational functions with poles off  $X$ , the uniform closure of  $\mathcal{R}(X)$ , and the closure of  $\mathcal{R}(X)$  in  $L^2(X, d\mu)$ , respectively.

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $X$  be a compact subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  such that, for any open disc  $D$ ,  $X \cap D \neq \emptyset$  implies  $R(X \cap \bar{D}) \neq C(X \cap \bar{D})$ . Then there exists a com-*

pletely nonnormal cosubnormal operator  $T$  such that

$$\overline{\sigma_p(T)} = \sigma(T) = X.$$

(An operator is called completely nonnormal, if it has no nonzero reducing invariant subspaces on which it is normal.)

**Proof.** In view of Theorem 5, we can assume without loss of generality that  $X^0 = \emptyset$ . Let  $Y = X^*$ . Following the argument in [6, p. 242] we can find a sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}$  dense in  $Y$  and a sequence of Borel probability measures  $\{\mu_n\}$  such that

$$(***) \quad f(\lambda_n) = \int_Y f d\mu_n \quad (f \in R(Y))$$

and  $\mu_n(\{\lambda\}) < 1$ . By replacing  $\mu_n$  by  $[\mu_n - \mu_n(\{\lambda_n\})]/[1 - \mu_n(\{\lambda_n\})]$ , we can assume without loss of generality that  $\mu_n(\{\lambda_n\}) = 0$ . Let  $A_n$  be the multiplication by  $z$  in  $R^2(Y, d\mu_n)$ . It follows from (\*\*\*) and the Schwarz inequality that the nonzero linear functional  $f \rightarrow f(\lambda_n), f \in R(Y)$ , has a bounded extension to  $R^2(Y, d\mu_n)$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Therefore the range of  $\lambda_n - A_n$  lies in a closed subspace of codimension 1 of  $R^2(Y, d\mu_n)$ , and hence  $\bar{\lambda}_n \in \sigma_p((A_n)^*)$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Obviously  $\lambda_n$  is not an eigenvalue of  $A_n$ , because  $\mu_n(\{\lambda_n\}) = 0$ . Thus  $A_n$  is a nonnormal subnormal operator. Let  $B_n$  be the completely nonnormal part of  $A_n$ . It follows that  $\lambda_n \in \sigma(B_n) \subseteq \sigma(A_n) \subseteq Y$ . Let

$$S = \Sigma \oplus B_n \quad \text{and} \quad T = S^*.$$

The operator  $T$  satisfies all the requirements of the theorem.

**Remark 3.** Brennan [4, pp. 314–315] constructs a Swiss cheese  $E$  with the following properties:

(a) the linear functional  $f \rightarrow f(\lambda)$  ( $f \in \mathcal{R}(E)$ ) has a bounded extension to  $R^2(E, dx dy)$  for almost every point  $\lambda$  in  $E$  (such points  $\lambda$  are called bounded point evaluations of  $R^2(E, dx dy)$ ),

(b) whenever two functions in  $R^2(E, dx dy)$  coincide on a set of positive area in  $E$ , they coincide a.e.  $[dx dy]$ .

Let  $E$  be such a set and let  $S$  be the multiplication by  $z$  in  $R^2(E, dx dy)$ . Let  $T = S^*$ . It follows that  $\sigma_{p0}(T) = \sigma_{p1}(T) = \emptyset$ , and the area of  $E^* \setminus \sigma_p(T)$  is zero. (Note that, in view of Lemma 4, there are points in  $\sigma(T)$  which are not eigenvalues of  $T$ .) Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two open sets such that

(i)  $\sigma(S) \subseteq G_1 \cup G_2$ ,

(ii) the sets  $E \setminus \bar{G}_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) have positive areas. Let  $f_i \in X_T(\bar{G}_i)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). By Lemma 1,  $f_i = 0$  on  $E \setminus \bar{G}_i$  and thus  $f_i = 0$  on  $E$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ).

Thus  $S$  (and hence  $T$ ) is not 2-decomposable, though it has a nowhere dense spectrum.

One may raise the following question.

**Question 1.** Is there a nonnormal 2-decomposable subnormal operator?

In view of Theorem 3, a negative answer to the following question will provide a negative answer to Question 1.

**Question 2.** Is there a decomposable operator  $T \in B(H)$  such that  $X_T(C_\alpha) \neq \{0\}$  for an uncountable number of disjoint (piecewise smooth) Jordan curves  $C_\alpha$ ?

**Remark 4.** The proof of Theorem 6 contains a negative answer to a question raised by Putnam in [15, p. 282].

Let  $X$  be a compact set. A point  $x \in X$  is called a peak point of  $R(X)$  if there exists a function  $f \in R(X)$  such that  $f(x) = 1$  and  $f(y) < 1$  for all  $y \in X \setminus \{x\}$ . (Such a function  $f$  is said to peak at  $x$ .) Let  $p(X)$  denote the set of all peak points of  $R(X)$ . We prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 7.** *If  $T \in B(H)$  is a cosubnormal operator, then  $p(\sigma(T)) \cap \sigma_p(T) \subseteq \sigma_{p\perp}(T)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\lambda \in p(\sigma(T)) \cap \sigma_p(T)$ . We may and shall assume without loss of generality that  $\lambda = 0$ . Let  $S = T^*$ ,  $A$  be the minimal normal extension of  $S$ , and let  $E$  be the resolution of the identity for  $A$ . Let  $x$  be a unit vector such that  $Tx = 0$ . We prove  $Sx = 0$ . Since  $(Sy | x) = 0$  for all  $y \in H$ ,  $(g(A)x | x) = (g(S)x | x) = g(0)$  for all  $g \in \mathcal{R}(\sigma(S))$ . Thus  $(g(A)x | x) = g(0)$  for all  $g \in \mathcal{R}(\sigma(S))$ . Hence if  $f \in R(\sigma(S))$  and  $f$  peaks at 0, then  $(f^n(A)x | x) = 1$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . (Note that  $0 \in p(\sigma(S))$ .) Therefore by dominated convergence theorem

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \lim (f^n(A)x | x) = \lim \int f^n d\|Ex\|^2 \\ &= \int \lim f^n d\|Ex\|^2 = \|E(\{0\})x\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $Ax = 0$  and consequently  $Sx = 0$ . It follows that  $N(S) \supseteq N(T) \supseteq N(S)$  which completes the proof of the theorem.

**Note.** In view of Proposition 3.6 and Theorem 6.1 of [22, pp. 13 and 45],

$$\sigma_p(T) \setminus \sigma_{p\perp}(T) \subseteq \sigma(T) \setminus \bigcup \partial G_i,$$

where  $T$  is a cosubnormal operator and  $\{G_i\}$  is the class of all components of  $C \setminus \sigma(T)$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. C. Apostol, *Restrictions and quotients of decomposable operators in a Banach space*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 13 (1968), 147–150. MR 37 #6786.
2. ———, *Roots of decomposable operator-valued analytic functions*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 13 (1968), 433–438. MR 38 #1548.

3. J. Bastian, Dissertation, Indiana University, 1973.
4. J. E. Brennan, *Approximation in the mean and quasianaliticity*, J. Functional Analysis 12 (1973), 307–320.
5. M. S. Brodskiĭ, *Triangular and Jordan representations of linear operators*, "Nauka", Moscow, 1969; English transl., Transl. Math. Monographs, vol 32, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1971. MR 41 #4283.
6. K. F. Clancey and C. R. Putnam, *The local spectral behavior of completely subnormal operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 163 (1972), 239–244. MR 45 #934.
7. I. Colojoară and C. Foiaş, *The theory of generalized spectral operators*, Gordon & Breach, New York, 1968.
8. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators. III: Spectral operators*, Interscience, New York, 1971.
9. C. Foiaş, *Some applications of spectral sets. I. Harmonic-spectral measure*, Akad. R. P. Romîne. Stud. Cerc. Mat. 10 (1959), 365–401; English transl., Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) 61 (1967), 25–62. MR 22 #8340.
10. S. Frunză, *A duality theorem for decomposable operators*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 16 (1971), 1055–1058. MR 46 #710.
11. P. R. Halmos, *A Hilbert space problem book*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1967. MR 34 #8178.
12. A. S. Markus, *Some criteria for the completeness of a system of root vectors of a linear operator in a Banach space*, Mat. Sb. 70 (112) (1966), 526–561; English transl., Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) 85 (1969), 51–91. MR 35 #7151.
13. M. A. Naĭmark, *Normed rings*, GITTL, Moscow, 1956; English transl., Noordhoff, Groningen, 1959. MR 19, 870; 22 #1824.
14. S. Plafker, *On decomposable operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 24 (1970), 215–216. MR 40 #1804.
15. C. R. Putnam, *Eigenvalues and boundary spectra*, Illinois J. Math. 12 (1968), 278–282. MR 37 #2030.
16. ———, *An inequality for the area of hyponormal spectra*, Math. Z. 116 (1970), 323–330. MR 42 #5085.
17. ———, *Resolvent vectors, invariant subspaces, and sets of zero capacity*, Math. Ann. 205 (1973), 165–171. MR 48 #4772.
18. J. G. Stampfli, *Hyponormal operators and spectral density*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 117 (1965), 469–476; errata, *ibid.*, 115 (1965), 550. MR 30 #3375; 33 #4686.
19. ———, *A local spectral theory for operators. III: Resolvents, spectral sets and similarity*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 168 (1972), 133–151. MR 45 #4182.
20. ———, *Spectral subspaces of hyponormal operators* (to appear).
21. A. E. Taylor, *Introduction to functional analysis*, Wiley, New York; Chapman & Hall, London, 1958. MR 20 #5411.
22. L. Zalcman, *Analytic capacity and rational approximation*, Lecture Notes in Math., no. 50, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1968. MR 37 #3018.