

SOME OPEN MAPPING THEOREMS FOR MARGINALS

BY

LARRY Q. EIFLER

ABSTRACT. Let S and T be compact Hausdorff spaces and let $P(S)$, $P(T)$ and $P(S \times T)$ denote the collection of probability measures on S , T and $S \times T$, respectively. Given a probability measure μ on $S \times T$, set $\pi\mu = (\alpha, \beta)$ where α and β are the marginals of μ on S and T . We prove that the mapping $\pi: P(S \times T) \rightarrow P(S) \times P(T)$ is norm open and weak* open. An analogous result for $L_1(X \times Y, \mu \times \nu)$ where (X, μ) and (Y, ν) are probability spaces is established.

1. Introduction. Let S be a compact Hausdorff space. Let $C(S)$ denote the algebra of continuous real valued functions on S and let $M(S)$ denote the linear space of real valued regular Borel measures on S of finite total variation. We identify $M(S)$ with the dual of $C(S)$. Let $P(S)$ denote the probability measures on S . In [1], Ditor and the author obtained open mapping theorems for a naturally induced mapping between spaces of probability measures on compact sets. Namely, let S and T be compact Hausdorff spaces and let $\phi: S \rightarrow T$ be continuous and onto. Then ϕ induces a mapping $\pi: P(S) \rightarrow P(T)$ defined by $\pi\mu(V) = \mu(\phi^{-1}(V))$ for each Borel subset V of T . The following results are established in [1].

(*) $\pi: P(S) \rightarrow P(T)$ is norm open.

(**) $\pi: P(S) \rightarrow P(T)$ is weak* open iff $\phi: S \rightarrow T$ is open.

One in fact obtains the following quantitative result. Let $\mu \in P(S)$ and set $\alpha = \pi\mu$. Given $\beta \in P(T)$, there exists $\nu \in P(S)$ such that $\beta = \pi\nu$ and $\|\mu - \nu\| = \|\alpha - \beta\|$.

In this paper, we consider the open mapping properties of a naturally induced operator of interest in probability theory [3], [5]. Let S and T be compact Hausdorff spaces. Define $\pi: M(S \times T) \rightarrow M(S) \times M(T)$ by $\pi\mu = (\alpha, \beta)$ where α and β are the marginals of μ on S and T , i.e., $\alpha(U) = \mu(U \times T)$ and $\beta(V) = \mu(S \times V)$ for each Borel subset U of S and V of T . The collection of all marginals is

Received by the editors July 12, 1973 and, in revised form, July 8, 1974.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 28A35, 60B05.

Key words and phrases. Marginals, open mapping theorems, probability measures.

Copyright © 1975, American Mathematical Society

$$M(S, T) = \{(\alpha, \beta) \in M(S) \times M(T) : \alpha(S) = \beta(T)\}.$$

In §2, we establish our main results.

(1) Let $\mu \in P(S \times T)$ and set $\pi\mu = (\alpha, \beta)$. Given marginals (λ, ρ) in $P(S) \times P(T)$, there exists $\nu \in P(S \times T)$ such that $\pi\nu = (\lambda, \rho)$ and $\|\mu - \nu\| \leq \|\alpha - \lambda\| + \|\beta - \rho\|$. Hence, $\pi: P(S \times T) \rightarrow P(S) \times P(T)$ is norm open.

(2) $\pi: P(S \times T) \rightarrow P(S) \times P(T)$ is weak* open.

In §3, we consider the analogous case of $L_1(X \times Y, \mu \times \nu)$ where (X, μ) and (Y, ν) are probability spaces. We also establish an open mapping result for the conditional expectation operator.

2. Probability measures on $S \times T$. In order to prove our main results

(1) and (2), we first establish a quantitative version for the case when S and T are finite. An alternate proof of Lemma 2.1 is provided by the argument in 3.2. The type of combinatorial argument given below is needed to extend the result to finite products [6].

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose S and T are finite sets. Let $\mu \in P(S \times T)$ and set $\pi\mu = (\alpha, \beta)$. Fix marginals (λ, ρ) in $P(S) \times P(T)$. Then there exists $\nu \in P(S \times T)$ satisfying $\pi\nu = (\lambda, \rho)$ and $\|\mu - \nu\| \leq \|\alpha - \lambda\| + \|\beta - \rho\|$.*

Proof. First consider the case where $\rho = \beta$ and where λ and α differ at exactly two points say s_1 and s_2 . We may assume $\alpha(s_1) > \lambda(s_1)$ and so $\alpha(s_2) < \lambda(s_2)$. Set $\epsilon = \alpha(s_1) - \lambda(s_1)$ and $\delta = \alpha(s_1)$. Now define $\nu(s, t) = \mu(s, t)$ if $s \neq s_1$ and $s \neq s_2$ and define $\nu(s_1, t) = (\delta - \epsilon)/\delta \cdot \mu(s_1, t)$ and $\nu(s_2, t) = \mu(s_2, t) + (\epsilon/\delta) \cdot \mu(s_1, t)$. Then $\pi\nu = (\lambda, \rho)$ and $\|\mu - \nu\| = 2\epsilon = \|\alpha - \lambda\|$.

Now fix marginals (λ, ρ) in $P(S) \times P(T)$. Suppose $\alpha \neq \lambda$. Choose s_1, s_2 in S with $\alpha(s_1) > \lambda(s_1)$ and $\alpha(s_2) < \lambda(s_2)$. Set

$$\epsilon = \min\{\alpha(s_1) - \lambda(s_1), \lambda(s_2) - \alpha(s_2)\}.$$

Now define α' by $\alpha'(s) = \alpha(s)$ if $s \neq s_1$ and $s \neq s_2$ and $\alpha'(s_1) = \alpha(s_1) + \epsilon$ and $\alpha'(s_2) = \alpha(s_2) - \epsilon$. Then α and α' differ at exactly two points and $\|\alpha - \lambda\| = \|\alpha - \alpha'\| + \|\alpha' - \lambda\|$. By repeatedly applying the above, we obtain $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n$ in $P(S)$ such that $\alpha_0 = \alpha$, $\alpha_n = \lambda$, $\|\alpha - \lambda\| = \sum \|\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i\|$ and such that α_{i-1} and α_i differ at exactly two points.

If we choose ν_1, \dots, ν_n in $P(S \times T)$ such that $\pi\nu_i = (\alpha_i, \beta)$ and $\|\nu_{i-1} - \nu_i\| = \|\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i\|$ where $\nu_0 = \mu$, then ν_n satisfies $\pi\nu_n = (\lambda, \beta)$ and $\|\mu - \nu_n\| \leq \|\alpha - \lambda\|$. Now apply the above process to ρ and β to obtain $\nu \in$

$P(S \times T)$ such that $\pi\nu = (\lambda, \rho)$ and $\|\nu_n - \nu\| \leq \|\beta - \rho\|$. Then $\|\mu - \nu\| \leq \|\mu - \nu_n\| + \|\nu_n - \nu\| \leq \|\alpha - \lambda\| + \|\beta - \rho\|$.

The above combinatorial result extends to the case where S and T are Hausdorff spaces, since the set of finite convex combinations of point mass measures on S is weak* dense in $P(S)$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\mu \in P(S \times T)$ and set $\pi(\mu) = (\alpha, \beta)$. If $(\lambda, \rho) \in P(S) \times P(T)$, then there exists $\nu \in P(S \times T)$ satisfying $\pi(\nu) = (\lambda, \rho)$ and $\|\mu - \nu\| \leq \|\alpha - \lambda\| + \|\beta - \rho\|$. Hence, π is a norm open mapping of $P(S \times T)$ onto $P(S) \times P(T)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{D}(S)$ denote the family of finite decompositions $\{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$ of S where U_1, \dots, U_n are nonempty, disjoint Borel subsets of S . Given decompositions $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ and $\{B_1, \dots, B_m\}$ of S , we write $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\} \leq \{B_1, \dots, B_m\}$ if each B_j is contained in some A_i . The relation \leq directs $\mathcal{D}(S)$. Given $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$ in $\mathcal{D}(S)$ and $\mathcal{V} = \{V_1, \dots, V_m\}$ in $\mathcal{D}(T)$, choose $x_i \in U_i$ and $y_j \in V_j$. Fix $\mu \in P(S \times T)$ and $(\lambda, \rho) \in P(S) \times P(T)$ and set $\pi(\mu) = (\alpha, \beta)$. Given $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$ in $\mathcal{D}(S)$ and $\mathcal{V} = \{V_1, \dots, V_m\}$ in $\mathcal{D}(T)$ with choice points x_1, \dots, x_n and y_1, \dots, y_m , define

$$\mu(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) = \sum_{i,j} \mu(U_i \times V_j) \cdot \delta(x_i, y_j)$$

where $\delta(x_i, y_j)$ is the point mass at (x_i, y_j) . Thus, $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \mu(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ is a net and $\mu(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ converges to μ weak*. Likewise, define $\alpha(\mathcal{U}) = \sum \alpha(U_i)\delta(x_i)$ and $\beta(\mathcal{V}) = \sum \beta(V_j)\delta(y_j)$ and similarly for $\lambda(\mathcal{U})$ and $\rho(\mathcal{V})$.

We obtain ν as follows. By Lemma 5.1, there exists $\nu_{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}}$ such that $\pi(\nu_{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}}) = (\lambda(\mathcal{U}), \rho(\mathcal{V}))$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mu(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) - \nu_{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}}\| &\leq \|\alpha(\mathcal{U}) - \lambda(\mathcal{U})\| + \|\beta(\mathcal{V}) - \rho(\mathcal{V})\| \\ &\leq \|\alpha - \lambda\| + \|\beta - \rho\|. \end{aligned}$$

The net $\nu_{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}}$ has a limit point ν since $P(S \times T)$ is weak* compact. Necessarily, we have $\nu_{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}}$ converges to ν weak*. Hence, by weak* continuity of π , we have $\pi(\nu) = (\lambda, \rho)$. Also, $\|\mu - \nu\| \leq \|\alpha - \lambda\| + \|\beta - \rho\|$.

We next prove that π is weak* open. This requires a more delicate argument but again the proof rests upon Lemma 2.1.

Theorem 2.3. *Let S and T be compact Hausdorff spaces. Equip $P(S)$, $P(T)$ and $P(S \times T)$ with the weak* topology. The mapping $\pi: P(S \times T) \rightarrow P(S) \times P(T)$ is weak* open.*

Proof. Fix $\mu \in P(S \times T)$ and set $\pi(\mu) = (\alpha, \beta)$. Let $F_k \in C(S \times T)$ such that $0 \leq F_k \leq 1$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$. Fix $1 > \epsilon > 0$. Set $\Omega = \{\nu \in P(S \times T) \mid |(\mu - \nu)F_k| < 18\epsilon \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, n\}$. It suffices to show that $\pi(\Omega)$ is a weak* neighborhood of (α, β) . There exist closed disjoint subsets K_1, \dots, K_p of S and L_1, \dots, L_q of T satisfying (1) $\omega(F_k, K_i \times L_j) < \epsilon/2$ where $\omega(F_k, K_i \times L_j)$ is the oscillation of F_k on $K_i \times L_j$ and (2) $\mu(K \times L) > 1 - \epsilon/2$ where $K = \bigcup K_i$ and $L = \bigcup L_j$. Now choose G_k continuous on $S \times T$ such that $0 \leq G_k \leq 1$, G_k is constant on a neighborhood of $K_i \times L_j$ for each i and j and $\|F_k - G_k\| < \epsilon/2$. Thus, $\{\nu: |(\mu - \nu)G_k| < 17\epsilon \text{ for each } k = 1, \dots, n\}$ is contained in Ω . Choose disjoint open sets U_1, \dots, U_p and V_1, \dots, V_q such that $K_i \subseteq U_i$, $L_j \subseteq V_j$ and G_k is constant on $U_i \times V_j$ for each i, j and k . Set $U = \bigcup U_i$ and $V = \bigcup V_j$. Choose functions f_1, \dots, f_p in $C(S)$ and g_1, \dots, g_q in $C(T)$ satisfying $0 \leq f_i \leq 1$, $f_i = 1$ on K_i and $f_i = 0$ off U_i for $i = 1, \dots, p$ and similarly for g_1, \dots, g_q .

Now assume $(\lambda, \rho) \in P(S) \times P(T)$ and satisfies $\sum_i |(\alpha - \lambda)f_i| < \epsilon/2$ and $\sum_j |(\beta - \rho)g_j| < \epsilon/2$. The proof will be completed if we produce ν such that $\pi(\nu) = (\lambda, \rho)$ and $|(\mu - \nu)G_k| < 17\epsilon$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$. We approximate μ, ρ, λ in norm and apply the above lemma. First, notice that $\alpha(K) - \lambda(U) \leq (\alpha - \lambda)(\sum f_i) < \epsilon/2$. Thus, $1 < \alpha(K) + \epsilon/2 < \lambda(U) + \epsilon$ and so $\lambda(U) > 1 - \epsilon$. Similarly, $\rho(V) > 1 - \epsilon$. Now define $\bar{\lambda}$ and $\bar{\rho}$ by $\lambda(U) \cdot \bar{\lambda} = \lambda|U$ and $\rho(V) \cdot \bar{\rho} = \rho|V$. Define $\bar{\mu}$ by $\mu(K \times L) \cdot \bar{\mu} = \mu|K \times L$. If θ is a probability measure and E is a θ -measurable set with $\theta(E) > 0$, then $\theta(E) \cdot \bar{\theta} = \theta|E$ implies $\|\theta - \bar{\theta}\| = 2[1 - \theta(E)]$. Hence, $\|\mu - \bar{\mu}\| < \epsilon$, $\|\lambda - \bar{\lambda}\| < 2\epsilon$ and $\|\rho - \bar{\rho}\| < 2\epsilon$. It suffices to find $\bar{\nu}$ satisfying $|(\bar{\mu} - \bar{\nu})G_k| < 14\epsilon$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$ and $\pi(\bar{\nu}) = (\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\rho})$. To see that this is sufficient, set $m = \min\{\lambda(U), \rho(V)\}$. Then $\|\lambda - m\bar{\lambda}\| = 1 - m < \epsilon$. Similarly, $\|\rho - m\bar{\rho}\| < \epsilon$. Finally, set

$$\nu = m\bar{\nu} + (1 - m)^{-1} \cdot (\lambda - m\bar{\lambda}) \times (\rho - m\bar{\rho}).$$

We have $\pi(\nu) = (\lambda, \rho)$. Also,

$$|(\mu - \nu)G_k| \leq \|\mu - \bar{\mu}\| + |(\bar{\mu} - \bar{\nu})G_k| + \|\nu - \bar{\nu}\| < 17\epsilon.$$

We now construct $\bar{\nu}$. Set $\pi(\bar{\mu}) = (\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta})$. Then $\|\alpha - \bar{\alpha}\| < \epsilon$ and $\|\beta - \bar{\beta}\| < \epsilon$. Set $\alpha_i = \bar{\alpha}(K_i)$, $\beta_j = \bar{\beta}(L_j)$, $\lambda_i = \bar{\lambda}(U_i)$, $\rho_j = \bar{\rho}(V_j)$ and $\mu_{ij} = \bar{\mu}(K_i \times L_j)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i - \lambda_i &= \bar{\alpha}(K_i) - \bar{\lambda}(U_i) \\ &= (\bar{\alpha} - \alpha)f_i + (\alpha - \lambda)f_i + (\lambda - \bar{\lambda})f_i + \bar{\lambda}(f_i) - \bar{\lambda}(U_i). \end{aligned}$$

Summing from $i = 1, \dots, p$ and using $\sum \bar{\lambda}(U_i) = 1 > \bar{\lambda}(\sum f_i)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum |\alpha_i - \lambda_i| &\leq |\bar{\alpha} - \alpha| \left(\sum f_i \right) + |\lambda - \bar{\lambda}| \left(\sum f_i \right) \\ &\quad + \sum |(\alpha - \lambda)/f_i| + 1 - \bar{\lambda} \left(\sum f_i \right) \\ &< \epsilon + 2\epsilon + \epsilon/2 + 1 - \bar{\lambda} \left(\sum f_i \right). \end{aligned}$$

We now estimate the last term. Namely, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \bar{\lambda} \left(\sum f_i \right) &= (\bar{\alpha} - \alpha) \left(\sum f_i \right) + (\alpha - \lambda) \left(\sum f_i \right) + (\lambda - \bar{\lambda}) \left(\sum f_i \right) \\ &< \epsilon + \epsilon/2 + 2\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\sum |\alpha_i - \lambda_i| < 7\epsilon$. Similarly, $\sum |\beta_j - \rho_j| < 7\epsilon$. Apply Lemma 5.1 to obtain a doubly stochastic matrix $[v_{ij}]$ such that $\sum_{i,j} |\mu_{ij} - v_{ij}| < 14\epsilon$, $\lambda_i = \sum_j v_{ij}$ and $\rho_j = \sum_i v_{ij}$. Define

$$\bar{\nu} = \sum_{i,j} v_{ij} (\bar{\lambda} |U_i) \times (\bar{\rho} |V_j) / \lambda_i \rho_j.$$

Then $\pi(\bar{\nu}) = (\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\rho})$. Also,

$$|(\bar{\mu} - \bar{\nu})G_k| = \left| \sum_{i,j} g_{ij}^k (\mu_{ij} - v_{ij}) \right| < 14\epsilon$$

where g_{ij}^k is the constant value of G_k on $U_i \times V_j$. This completes the proof.

If one considers π on the cone of nonnegative measures on $S \times T$, then one obtains the following open mapping results.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\mu \in M^+(S \times T)$ and set $\pi\mu = (\alpha, \beta)$.*

(1) *If $(\lambda, \rho) \in M^+(S, T)$, then there exists $\nu \in M^+(S \times T)$ satisfying $\pi\nu = (\lambda, \rho)$ and $\|\mu - \nu\| \leq 3(\|\alpha - \lambda\| + \|\beta - \rho\|)/2$.*

(2) *The mapping $\pi: M^+(S \times T) \rightarrow M^+(S, T)$ is weak* open.*

A proof for the first part may be obtained by establishing an appropriate variant of Lemma 2.1 [see Theorem 3.2(2) below], and taking limits in the weak* topology. The second part follows from Theorem 2.3.

3. Integrable functions on a probability space. Let (X, μ) be a probability space and let $P(X, \mu) = \{f \in L_1(X, \mu): f \geq 0 \text{ and } \|f\| = 1\}$ denote the nonnegative integrable functions on X of mass 1. We obtain open mapping results for the space $P(X, \mu)$ which are analogs of those in §2 and in [1]. We first consider a naturally induced operator of fundamental importance in probability theory. Let (X, \mathcal{G}, μ) be a probability space and let \mathcal{B} be a σ -subalgebra of \mathcal{G} . Let $\pi: L_1(X, \mathcal{G}, \mu) \rightarrow L_1(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ be the conditional expectation operator for \mathcal{B} . If σ denotes the inclusion mapping of $L_1(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ into $L_1(X, \mathcal{G}, \mu)$, then π is the continuous extension of the adjoint $\sigma^*: L_\infty(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \rightarrow L_\infty(X, \mathcal{G}, \mu)$. See Moy [4].

Theorem 3.1. *Let (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) be a probability space and let \mathcal{B} be a σ -subalgebra of \mathcal{A} . Let π denote the conditional expectation operator for \mathcal{B} .*

- (1) *π preserves order intervals, i.e., if $f \in L_1^+(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ and if $\pi f \geq g \geq 0$ where $g \in L_1^+(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$, then there exists $f \geq h \geq 0$ satisfying $\pi h = g$.*
- (2) *Fix $f \in L_1^+(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ and $g \in L_1^+(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$. There exists $h \in L_1^+(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ satisfying $\pi h = g$ and $\|f - h\| = \|\pi f - g\|$. Hence, $\pi: L_1^+(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu) \rightarrow L_1^+(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ is norm open.*

(3) *$\pi: L_1^+(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu) \rightarrow L_1^+(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ is open with respect to the weak topology.*

(4) *Let S and T denote the maximal ideal spaces of $L_\infty(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ and $L_\infty(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$, respectively. There exists $\phi: S \rightarrow T$ such that ϕ is continuous and open and such that $(\sigma g)^\wedge = \hat{g} \circ \phi$ for each $g \in L_\infty(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ where $\hat{\cdot}$ is the Gelfand transform and σ is the inclusion mapping of $L_\infty(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$.*

Proof. To verify (1), fix $f \in L_1^+(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ and assume $g \in L_1^+(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ where $\pi f \geq g$. Set $f_0 = 0$ and $g_0 = 0$. Now define f_n and g_n for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ by the equations

$$f_{n+1} = \left(f - \sum_{i=0}^n f_i \right) \wedge \sigma \left(g - \sum_{i=0}^n g_i \right) \quad \text{and} \quad g_{n+1} = \pi f_{n+1}.$$

Now set $f_\infty = \sum_{i=1}^\infty f_i$ and $g_\infty = \sum_{i=1}^\infty g_i$. We have $f \geq f_\infty$ and $g \geq g_\infty$. Since $(f - f_\infty) \wedge \sigma(g - g_\infty) = 0$ and $\pi(f - f_\infty) \geq g - g_\infty$, we have $g = g_\infty$. Thus, π preserves order intervals. Also, notice that (1) \Rightarrow (2).

Fix $f \in P(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ and let \mathcal{U} be a weak neighborhood of f in $P(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$. To verify (3), we only need to show that $\pi\mathcal{U}$ is a weak neighborhood of πf in $P(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$. Choose disjoint sets E_1, \dots, E_n in \mathcal{A} which cover X and $\epsilon > 0$ satisfying $\{g \in P(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu): |\langle g - f, \chi_{E_i} \rangle| < \epsilon \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n\} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ and $\lambda_i = \int_{E_i} f d\mu > 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. We define $\langle h, k \rangle = \int h \cdot k d\mu$ for $h \in L_1$ and $k \in L_\infty$. Set $f_i = \lambda_i^{-1} f \cdot \chi_{E_i}$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f_i = f$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$ and $f_i \in P(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$. Now set $\mathcal{U}_j = \{g \in P(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu): |\langle g - f_j, \chi_{E_i} \rangle| < \epsilon \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n\}$. Then $\lambda_1 \mathcal{U}_1 + \dots + \lambda_n \mathcal{U}_n \subseteq \mathcal{U}$. Since $f_j \cdot \chi_{E_i} = 0$ if $i \neq j$, we have that $\mathcal{U}_j = \{g \in P(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu): |\langle g, \chi_{E_j} \rangle| > 1 - \epsilon\}$ and so, by applying (1), that $\pi\mathcal{U}_j$ is a weak neighborhood of πf_j in $P(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$. It remains to show that if \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 are weak neighborhoods of g_1 and g_2 in $P(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ and if $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1$ where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0$, then $\alpha_1 \mathcal{C}_1 + \alpha_2 \mathcal{C}_2$ is a weak neighborhood of $\alpha_1 g_1 + \alpha_2 g_2$. Fix $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_m \in L_\infty(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ such that $\|\psi_j\|_\infty \leq 1$ and $\mathcal{C}_i \supseteq \{g \in P(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu): |\langle g - g_i, \psi_j \rangle| < \epsilon \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, m\}$. Set $\phi_i = g_i / (\alpha_1 g_1 + \alpha_2 g_2)$. Set $\alpha = \max\{\alpha_1/\alpha_2, \alpha_2/\alpha_1\}$. Fix $g \in P(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ satisfying

$$|\langle g - (\alpha_1 g_1 + \alpha_2 g_2), \phi_i \psi_j \rangle| < \epsilon/2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\phi_i g\| < 1 + \epsilon/2\alpha$$

for $i = 1, 2$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$. We now have $\langle \phi_i g - g_i, \psi_j \rangle = \langle g - (\alpha_1 g_1 + \alpha_2 g_2), \phi_i \psi_j \rangle$ and $\alpha_1 \phi_1 g + \alpha_2 \phi_2 g = g$. Assume $\beta = \|\phi_1 g\| \geq 1$. Then set $h_1 = \phi_1 g / \beta$ and $h_2 = \phi_2 = \phi_2 g + \alpha_1(\phi_1 g - h_1) / \alpha_2$. Then $\alpha_1 h_1 + \alpha_2 h_2 = g$ and $|\langle h_i - g_i, \psi_j \rangle| < \epsilon$ since $\|\alpha_1(\phi_1 g - h_1) / \alpha_2\| < \epsilon/2$ and $\|\phi_1 g_1 - h_1\| < \epsilon/2$. The case $\|\phi_1 g\| < 1$ is similar.

To prove (4), let $S^\#$ and $T^\#$ denote the open and closed subsets of S and T , respectively. Define $\hat{\pi}: C(S) \rightarrow C(T)$ and $\hat{\sigma}: C(T) \rightarrow C(S)$ by $\hat{\pi}(\hat{f}) = (\pi f)^\wedge$ for $f \in L_\infty(X, \mathfrak{A}, \mu)$ and $\hat{\sigma}(\hat{g}) = (\sigma g)^\wedge$ for $g \in L_\infty(Y, \mathfrak{B}, \nu)$. Thus, $\hat{\sigma}$ maps idempotents in $C(T)$ to idempotents in $C(S)$. Thus, we have $\Phi: T^\# \rightarrow S^\#$ defined by $\Phi(E) = K$ iff $\hat{\sigma}(\chi_E) = \chi_K$. Since Φ is a Boolean algebra monomorphism of T into S , Φ determines [2, p. 85] a continuous map ϕ of S onto T where $\phi^{-1}(E) = \Phi(E)$ for each $E \in T^\#$. Thus, $g \circ \phi = \hat{\sigma}(g)$ for each $g \in C(T)$. To see that ϕ is open, fix K open and closed in S . Let $U = \{t \in T: (\pi \chi_K)(t) > 0\}$. Then U is open in T and $U \subseteq \phi(K) \subseteq \bar{U}$ where \bar{U} denotes the closure of U . Since T is extremally disconnected, we have \bar{U} is open and so $\phi(K) = \bar{U}$ is open.

We now take up the problem of establishing open mapping properties for marginals of integrable functions on $(X \times Y, \mu \times \nu)$ where (X, μ) and (Y, ν) are probability spaces. Given $F \in L_1(X \times Y, \mu \times \nu)$, set $\pi F = (f_1, f_2)$ where $f_1(x) = \int F(x, y) d\nu(y)$ and $f_2(y) = \int F(x, y) d\mu(x)$. Although closely related to Theorem 2.2, our next result requires a different proof. A straightforward application of Lemma 2.1 shows that $\pi: P(X \times Y, \mu \times \nu) \rightarrow P(X, \mu) \times P(Y, \nu)$ is open with respect to the weak topology. Likewise, in case S and T are totally disconnected compact spaces, one can similarly show that $\pi: P(S \times T) \rightarrow P(S) \times P(T)$ is weak* open. One should also note that part (1) implies Lemma 2.1.

Theorem 3.2. *Let (X, μ) and (Y, ν) be probability spaces. Let $F \in L_1^+(X \times Y, \mu \times \nu)$ and set $\pi F = (f_1, f_2)$.*

(1) *Assume $\|F\| = 1$ and $(g_1, g_2) \in P(X, \mu) \times P(Y, \nu)$. Then there exists $G \geq 0$ such that $\pi G = (g_1, g_2)$ and $\|F - G\| \leq \|f_1 - g_1\| + \|f_2 - g_2\|$.*

(2) *Fix nonnegative marginals (g_1, g_2) . There exists $G \geq 0$ such that $\pi G = (g_1, g_2)$ and $\|F - G\| \leq 3(\|f_1 - g_1\| + \|f_2 - g_2\|)/2$.*

(3) *The mapping $\pi: P(X \times Y, \mu \times \nu) \rightarrow P(X, \mu) \times P(Y, \nu)$ is open with respect to the weak topology.*

Proof. As noted above, the proof of (3) is straightforward. Fix $F \geq 0$ and set $\pi F = (f_1, f_2)$. Let (g_1, g_2) be nonnegative marginals. We first

consider the case where $f_2 = g_2$. By Theorem 3.1(1), there exists $0 \leq H \leq F$ such that $\pi H = (h_1, h_2)$ where $h_1 = f_1 \wedge g_1$. We may certainly assume $\|f_1 - h_1\| = \lambda > 0$. Set $G = H + (g_1 - h_1)(g_2 - h_2)/\lambda$. One obtains $\pi G = (g_1, g_2)$ and $\|F - G\| = 2\|g_1 - h_1\| = \|f_1 - g_1\|$. The case $f_1 = g_1$ is handled similarly. To see (1), simply consider the intermediate marginals (f_1, g_2) and apply the above two cases.

We next consider the case $(g_1, g_2) \leq (f_1, f_2)$ which denotes $g_1 \leq f_1$ and $g_2 \leq f_2$. Choose $F \geq B \geq 0$ such that B is maximal with respect to property $\pi B \leq (g_1, g_2)$. Set $\pi B = (b_1, b_2)$ and $h_i = g_i - b_i$. We may assume $\|h_i\| > 0$. Next, choose $F - B \geq C \geq 0$ such that C is maximal with respect to the property $\pi(F - B - C) \geq (h_1, h_2)$. If we set $A = F - B - C$ and $\pi A = (a_1, a_2)$ and $\pi C = (c_1, c_2)$, then we have $A = 0$ on $[h_1(x) > 0] \times [h_2(y) > 0]$ and on $[h_1(x) = 0] \times [h_2(y) = 0]$ and we have $h_i = a_i$ on $[h_i > 0]$. Thus,

$$\|f_1 - g_1\| + \|f_2 - g_2\| = \|c_1\| + \|c_2\| + \|a_1 - h_1\| + \|a_2 - h_2\|.$$

Then $\pi H = (h_1, h_2)$. It suffices to show

$$\|A - H\| \leq \frac{3}{2}(\|a_1 - h_1\| + \|a_2 - h_2\|)$$

since setting $G = B + H$ yields $\pi G = (g_1, g_2)$ and

$$\|F - G\| \leq \|C\| + \|A - H\| \leq \frac{3}{2}(\|f_1 - g_1\| + \|f_2 - g_2\|).$$

Using $\|h_1\| = \|a_2 - h_2\|$ and $\|h_2\| = \|a_1 - h_1\|$, we have

$$\|A - H\| = \|A\| + \|H\| = \|a_1 - h_1\| + \|h_2\| = \frac{3}{2}(\|a_1 - h_1\| + \|a_2 - h_2\|).$$

We now complete the proof of (2). Set $k_i = f_i \wedge g_i$. Set $k_i = f_i \wedge g_i$. We may assume $\|k_1\| \leq \|k_2\|$. Fix $1 \geq \epsilon, \delta \geq 0$ such that $(k_1 + \epsilon(f_1 - k_1), k_2)$, and $(k_1 + \delta(g_1 - k_1), k_2)$ are marginals. By the case considered above, there exists $K \geq 0$ satisfying $\pi K = (k_1 + \epsilon(f_1 - k_1), k_2)$ and

$$\|F - K\| \leq \frac{3}{2}(\|f_2 - k_2\| + (1 - \epsilon)\|f_1 - k_1\|).$$

Now apply (1) to obtain H satisfying $\pi H = (k_1 + \delta(g_1 - k_1), k_2)$ and $\|K - H\| \leq \epsilon\|f_1 - k_1\| + \delta\|g_1 - k_1\|$. Choose $G \geq 0$ satisfying $\pi G = (g_1, g_2)$ and $\|H - G\| = (1 - \delta)\|g_1 - k_1\|$. Then we have

$$\|F - G\| \leq \|F - K\| + \|K - H\| + \|H - G\| \leq \frac{3}{2}(\|f_1 - g_1\| + \|f_2 - g_2\|).$$

Acknowledgement. The author wishes to thank the referee for suggestions on the presentation of the results.

REFERENCES

1. S. Z. Ditor and L. Q. Eifler, *Some open mapping theorems for measures*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **164** (1972), 287–293.
2. P. R. Halmos, *Lectures on Boolean algebras*, Van Nostrand Math. Studies, no. 1, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1963. MR 29 #4713.
3. H. G. Kellerer, *Masstheoretische Marginalprobleme*, *Math. Ann.* **153** (1964), 168–198. MR 28 #5160.
4. S.-T. C. Moy, *Characterizations of conditional expectation as a transformation on function spaces*, *Pacific J. Math.* **4** (1954), 47–63. MR 15, 722.
5. V. Strassen, *The existence of probability measures with given marginals*, *Ann. Math. Statist.* **36** (1965), 423–439. MR 31 #1693.
6. L. Q. Eifler, *Open mapping theorems for probability measures on metric spaces* (to be submitted to *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, Grenoble).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI, KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI 64110