ABSTRACT. A simplicial space $M$ is a separable Hausdorff topological space equipped with an atlas of linearly related charts of varying dimension; for example every polyhedron is a simplicial space in a natural way. Every simplicial space possesses a natural structure complex of sheaves of piecewise smooth differential forms, and the homology of the corresponding de Rham complex of global sections is isomorphic to the real cohomology of $M$.

A cosimplicial bundle is a continuous surjection $\xi: E \rightarrow M$ from a topological space $E$ to a simplicial space $M$ which satisfies certain criteria. There is a category of cosimplicial bundles which contains a subcategory of vector bundles. To every simplicial space $M$ a cosimplicial bundle $\tau(M)$ over $M$ is associated; $\tau(M)$ is the cotangent object of $M$ since there is an isomorphism between the module of global piecewise smooth one-forms on $M$ and sections of $\tau(M)$.

Introduction. The goal of this paper is to provide some foundations for the study of differential geometry on polyhedra.

Simplicial spaces and simplicial maps are defined in §1. These spaces are generalized polyhedra and the main objects of study in this paper. In §3 the structure algebra $A(M)$ of piecewise smooth functions on the simplicial space $M$ is developed in two ways. The first approach is direct: $A(M)$ is the algebra $\Gamma(M, A(M))$ of global sections of the sheaf $A(M)$ associated to the presheaf which assigns to each open subset $U$ of $M$ the algebra $A(U)$ of continuous piecewise smooth real valued functions defined on $U$. The second approach is indirect: $U$ is viewed as being patched together and $A(U)$ is viewed as an algebra of compatible tuples of piecewise smooth functions defined on the components of $U$. This second approach motivates the development of the $A(M)$-modules $\Lambda^qE(M)$ of piecewise smooth $q$-forms on $M$, $q = 1, 2, \ldots$; $\Lambda^qE(M)$ is the module $\Gamma(M, \Lambda^qE(M))$ of global sections of the sheaf $\Lambda^qE(M)$ associated to the presheaf which assigns to each open subset $U$ of $M$ a module $\Lambda^qE(U)$ of compatible tuples of piecewise smooth $q$-forms defined on the components of $U$. There is a derivation defined on these forms which generates a de Rham complex $(\Lambda^*E(M), d(M))$. The de Rham theorem for simplicial spaces states that the homology of this cochain complex is
isomorphic to the real cohomology of \( M \).

A cosimplicial bundle, defined in §5, is a continuous surjection \( \xi: E \to M \) from a topological space \( E \) to a simplicial space \( M \) which satisfies certain criteria. There is a category of cosimplicial bundles which contains a subcategory of vector bundles. In general, however, cosimplicial bundles differ from vector bundles since fiber dimensions in cosimplicial bundles are allowed to vary. A section \( s \) of a cosimplicial bundle \( \xi: E \to M \) is a (not necessarily continuous) function \( s: M \to E \) satisfying certain criteria; the set \( \Gamma(M, \xi) \) of global sections of \( \xi \) forms an \( \mathcal{A}(M) \)-module. In the same way every smooth manifold has a related cotangent object in the category of smooth vector bundles, every simplicial space \( M \) has a related cotangent object \( \tau(M) \) in the category of cosimplicial bundles. The cosimplicial bundle \( \tau(M) \) is a cotangent object since there is an \( \mathcal{A}(M) \)-module isomorphism between the module \( E(M) \) of global piecewise smooth one-forms on \( M \) and the module \( \Gamma(M, \tau(M)) \) of global sections of \( \tau(M) \). Such cotangent objects are not usually vector bundles.

An application of this approach to the study of polyhedra is outlined in §6. Other applications will appear elsewhere.

1. Simplicial spaces. In the classical sense manifolds are topological spaces \( M \) covered by open sets \( U \) with homeomorphisms \( \psi: U \to V \) onto open subsets \( V \) of a fixed Euclidean space \( R^n \) where \( R \) is the real field and each pair \( (U, \psi) \) is a chart in an atlas of \( M \). By requiring the homeomorphisms

\[
(\psi_2|_{U_1 \cap U_2}) \circ (\psi_1|_{U_1 \cap U_2})^{-1}: \psi_1(U_1 \cap U_2) \to \psi_2(U_1 \cap U_2)
\]

of open subsets of \( R^n \) to be smooth or PL one then specifies that \( M \) is smooth or PL, for example. In what follows we consider spaces \( M \) which are not manifolds and charts \( (U, \psi) \) in which the domains \( U \subseteq M \) of the homeomorphisms \( \psi \) are not necessarily open subsets of \( M \) in a similar manner.

Let \( e_1, \ldots, e_n \) be the standard basis vectors of \( R^n \) and let \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \) be the standard coordinate functions on \( R^n \).

1.1. Definition. For any \( p = 1, \ldots, n \) and any distinct \( e_{i_1}, \ldots, e_{i_p} \), the \( p \)-corner \( s_i \) spanned by \( e_{i_1}, \ldots, e_{i_p} \) is the set

\[
s_i = \left\{ x = \sum x_k e_k \in R^n : x_k \in R^+ \text{ for } k \in i \text{ and } x_k = 0 \text{ otherwise} \right\}
\]

where \( R^+ \) is the set of nonnegative real numbers and \( i \) denotes the \( p \)-tuple \((i_1, \ldots, i_p)\). For convenience we write \( s^n \) for \( s_{(1, \ldots, n)} \). The 0-corner \( s^0 \) is the point \( R^0 \) itself.

Thus in the symbol \( s^i \) the superscript \( i \) is a natural number while in the symbol \( s_i \) the subscript \( i \) is a \( p \)-tuple.

In what follows we use the term “linear transformation” by abuse of language...
to indicate restrictions of affine transformations (both domains and ranges being restricted).

1.2. Definition. Let $M$ be a topological space. A simplicial chart on $M$ is a pair $(U, \psi)$ consisting of a nonempty subset $U$ of $M$ and a homeomorphism $\psi: U \rightarrow V$ where $V$ is an open subset of some $i$-corner $s^i$. Two simplicial charts $\psi_\alpha: U_\alpha \rightarrow V_\alpha \subseteq s^i_\alpha$ and $\psi_\beta: U_\beta \rightarrow V_\beta \subseteq s^i_\beta$ are related iff $\psi_\alpha(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$ and $\psi_\beta(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$ are open subsets of $k$-faces $s^k_\alpha$ and $s^k_\beta$, respectively, for some $k$ which depends on $U_\alpha$ and $U_\beta$, and for which

$$(\psi_\beta|_{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta}) \circ (\psi_\alpha|_{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta})^{-1}: \psi_\alpha(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \rightarrow \psi_\beta(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$$

is an invertible linear transformation. A simplicial atlas on $M$ is a collection of related simplicial charts on $M$ whose domains cover $M$ and which is maximal with respect to the inclusion of related simplicial charts. An $n$-dimensional simplicial space $M$ is a separable Hausdorff topological space equipped with a simplicial atlas such that

1. if $\psi: U \rightarrow V$ is a simplicial chart in the atlas then $\dim V \leq n$, and
2. each point in $M$ has an open neighborhood which may be written as a finite union of domains of simplicial charts in the atlas.

1.3. Remark. In the definition of "related" the subsets $U_\alpha$ and $U_\beta$ are not required to have the same dimension in any sense and the notation $s^i_\alpha$ emphasizes this. We will suppress the superscript $i$, however, and write $s_\alpha$ for $s^i_\alpha$ since the dimension $i$ is given implicitly in the description of a simplicial chart.

1.4. Definition. Let $M_1$ and $M_2$ be simplicial spaces. A simplicial map $f: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ of simplicial spaces is a continuous function such that

1. for every simplicial chart $(U_1, \psi_1)$ on $M_1$ there is a simplicial chart $(U_2, \psi_2)$ on $M_2$ for which $f(U_1) \subseteq U_2$, and
2. for all simplicial charts $(U_1, \psi_1)$ on $M_1$ and $(U_2, \psi_2)$ on $M_2$, the map $(\psi_2|_{f(U_1) \cap U_2}) \circ f(U_1) \circ (\psi_1)^{-1}$ is a linear transformation.

Simplicial spaces and simplicial maps form a category.

1.5. Proposition. There is a natural inclusion of the category of locally finite, finite dimensional simplicial complexes and simplicial maps (or the category of polyhedra for short) as a subcategory of the category of simplicial spaces.

Proof. Let $K$ be a simplicial complex and $|K|$ its geometric realization. For every $p$-simplex $\tau$ of $K$ there are linear homeomorphisms $h: |\tau| \rightarrow \Delta^p$ from the geometric realization $|\tau|$ of $\tau$ to the standard $p$-simplex

$$\Delta^p = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^p : \sum x_j \leq 1 \text{ and } x_j \geq 0 \ \forall j \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p.$$
space with respect to the atlas generated by all simplicial charts of the form 
\( (|r| \cap St \, x_0, h|_{|r| \cap St \, x_0}) \). Q.E.D.

There are simplicial spaces which cannot be considered as polyhedra. For example consider any \( n \)-corner \( s^n, n > 0 \), as a simplicial space whose atlas is generated by the single simplicial chart \( id: s^n \rightarrow s^n \) (the identity). If \( s^n \) could be considered as a polyhedron then there would have to be points of \( s^n \) corresponding to vertices other than the origin. If \( x_0 \) were such a point then \((U, \psi)\) would be a simplicial chart on \( s^n \) where \( U = \{x_0\} \) and \( \psi: U \rightarrow s^0 \). But this is impossible since if \( (s^n, id) \) and \((U, \psi)\) were related simplicial charts, the point \( x_0 \in s^n \) would have to be a 0-face of \( s^n \); however the origin is the only 0-face of \( s^n \) and \( x_0 \) was chosen to be other than the origin. (Other examples of simplicial spaces which cannot be considered as polyhedra are CW-complexes or \( R^n \) for any \( n > 0 \).)

Loosely speaking then, a simplicial space is simply a topological space equipped with a specific simplicial structure. It seems reasonable to conjecture that every simplicial space \( M \) has a subdivision with respect to which \( M \) is a polyhedron. As we will see, however, there are important geometric differences between a simplicial space \( M \) and a subdivision of \( M \). In this sense simplicial spaces are super polyhedra.

Let \( x_0 \) be a point of the simplicial space \( M \). Then for every simplicial chart \( \psi_\alpha: U_\alpha \rightarrow V_\alpha \subseteq s_\alpha \) on \( M \), \( U_\alpha \) containing \( x_0 \), \( \psi_\alpha(x_0) \) is contained in the interior of a unique face \( s_\alpha(x_0) \) of \( s_\alpha \).

1.6. Definition. An open neighborhood \( U \) of \( x_0 \) is small iff \( U \) has compact closure and \( U \) may also be written as the (finite) union of domains \( U_\alpha \) of simplicial charts \( \psi_\alpha: U_\alpha \rightarrow V_\alpha \subseteq s_\alpha \) on \( M \), \( U_\alpha \) containing \( x_0 \), such that each \( V_\alpha \) meets no face of \( s_\alpha \) of dimension less than or equal to that of \( s_\alpha(x_0) \), except \( s_\alpha(x_0) \) itself of course.

For example (see Diagram 1) if \( M \) is a polyhedron then a small open neighborhood \( U \) of \( x_0 \in M \) is the intersection of any open neighborhood of \( x_0 \) with the open star \( St \, x_0 \) of \( x_0 \) in \( M \).

1.7. Definition. A small open subset \( U \) of the simplicial space \( M \) is an open subset of \( M \) which is a small open neighborhood of some \( x_0 \in U \).

1.8. Remark. The set of small open neighborhoods of the point \( x_0 \in M \)
forms a complete neighborhood system at \( x_0 \) for the topology of \( M \), and the set of small open subsets of \( M \) forms a basis for the topology of \( M \).

The definition of simplicial space differs from the definitions of smooth and PL manifolds, for example, since the domains of charts on a simplicial space are not necessarily open. The following redefinition of simplicial spaces by “packets” remedies this, and is also required for later purposes.

**1.9. Definition.** Let \( M \) be a topological space. A **packet** on \( M \) is a pair \((U, \Psi)\) consisting of a nonempty open subset \( U \) of \( M \) which has compact closure and a collection \( \Psi \) of related simplicial charts \((U_\alpha, \psi_\alpha)\) on \( M, \alpha \) in some index set \( A \), where \( U = \bigcup U_\alpha \), the union taken over all \( \alpha \) in \( A \), and for which

1. \( U_\alpha \) is an open subset of \( U_\beta \) iff \( \alpha = \beta \), and

2. (maximality) for each \( \alpha, \beta \in A \) there is a \( \gamma \in A \) for which \( U_\alpha \cap U_\beta = U_\gamma \).

The prototype of a packet is the open star \( \text{St} \ x_0 \) of a point \( x_0 \in M \), \( M \) a polyhedron, equipped with the decomposition into subsets determined by the simplices of \( M \). Diagram 2 illustrates the natural packet structure on the small open subset of Diagram 1.

![Diagram 2](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

**1.10. Definition.** Two packets \((U', \Psi')\) and \((U'', \Psi'')\) are related whenever each simplicial chart of \( \Psi' \) is related to each simplicial chart of \( \Psi'' \). A **(packet) simplicial atlas** on the topological space \( M \) is a collection of related packets on \( M \) whose “domains” cover \( M \) and which is maximal with respect to the inclusion of related packets. An **\( n \)-dimensional (packet) simplicial space** \( M \) is a separable Hausdorff topological space equipped with a (packet) simplicial atlas such that

1. if \( \psi_\alpha : U_\alpha \to V_\alpha \) is any simplicial chart of any packet \((U, \Psi)\) in the atlas then \( \dim \ V_\alpha \leq n \), and

2. the index set \( A \) of each packet \((U, \Psi)\) in the atlas is finite.

We write (packet) simplicial space to distinguish from (simplicial chart) simplicial space. We soon show that these two definitions are equivalent and thereafter drop any mention of the particular definition used.

**1.11. Remark.** If \((U, \Psi)\) is a packet on the (packet) simplicial space \( M \) then \( U \) is a small open subset of \( M \): If not then there are two simplicial charts
ψα: Uα → Vα and ψβ: Uβ → Vβ of Ψ such that n = dim Vα = dim Vβ and
such that for every other simplicial chart ψγ: Uγ → Vγ of Ψ, n < dim Vγ. By
"maximality", Uα ∩ Uβ = ∅ would have to be the domain of some simplicial
chart of Ψ; but this is impossible since the domains of simplicial charts are re-
quired to be nonempty. Thus every packet (U, Ψ) contains a simplicial chart
(Uα, ψα) for which Uα ⊆ Uα for every other simplicial chart (Uα, ψα) of Ψ; in
fact Uα is precisely the set of points with respect to which U is a small open
neighborhood.

1.12. Remark. If (U, Ψ') and (U, Ψ") are related packets on the same
small open subset U then the domains of the simplicial charts of Ψ' and Ψ" are
the same: If (Uα, ψα) is a simplicial chart of Ψ' then Uα = ∪(Uα ∩ Uβ) where
the Uβ are the domains of the simplicial charts (Uβ, ψβ) of Ψ". Since (Uα, ψα)
is related to each simplicial chart (Uβ, ψβ) of Ψ", each Uα ∩ Uβ is the domain of
some simplicial chart of Ψ'. Thus there must be a simplicial chart (Uβ, ψβ) of
Ψ" for which Uα = Uα ∩ Uβ; that is, Uα ⊆ Uβ. Since (Uα, ψα) and (Uβ, ψβ)
are related simplicial charts and since packets in general (and Ψ" in particular) are
"maximal", Uα is the domain of some simplicial chart of Ψ".

1.13. Proposition. The definition of (simplicial chart) simplicial space
is equivalent to the definition of (packet) simplicial space.

Proof. If M is defined by packets then by ignoring the structure of the
packets as collections of related simplicial charts we may consider M to be de-
scribed by simplicial charts.

Next assume that M is defined by simplicial charts. Let U be a small open
subset of M and xo ∈ U a point with respect to which U is a small open neigh-
borhood. By Definition 1.6, U can be written as the union of sets of the form Ui, i
in some finite index set I, where ψi: Ui → Vi ⊆ s are simplicial charts on M such
that xo is contained in each Ui and such that each Vi meets no face of si of di-
menion less than or equal to that of si(xo), except s0(xo) itself, of course. If
(Ui, ψi) and (Uj, ψj) are simplicial charts in {Ui, ψi} for which ψi(Ui ∩ Uj) and
ψj(Ui ∩ Uj) are nonempty open subsets of ψi(Ui) and ψj(Uj), respectively,
then since U is compact and the map (ψi|Ui ∩ Uj) ∘ (ψj|Ui ∩ Uj)^−1 from ψi(Ui ∩ Uj)
to ψj(Ui ∩ Uj) is an invertible linear transformation, there is a simplicial chart of
the form (Uj ∪ Ui, ψ) on M. Consequently the set I may be partitioned into
subsets Iα in such a way that i and j are both in Iα iff there is a simplicial chart
of the form (Ui ∪ Uj, ψ) on M for which ψ(Ui) and ψ(Uj) are both open subsets
of ψ(Ui ∪ Uj). We can associate a simplicial chart (Uα, ψα) to each set Uα =
∪Ui, the union taken over all i ∈ Iα, and "maximizing" the resulting set {Uα,
ψα} of simplicial charts we obtain a packet structure on U.

Having done this for each small open subset U of M we obtain a collection
of packets on $M$ whose "domains" cover $M$ and which are related a priori. By adding to this collection all related packets we may describe $M$ by means of a (packet) simplicial atlas and thus consider $M$ as a (packet) simplicial space.

These two processes are trivially inverses of each other. Q.E.D.

We henceforth drop any specific mention of simplicial charts or packets when speaking of simplicial spaces.

1.14. Remark. As a result of Remark 1.11 and the proof of Proposition 1.13, a subset $U$ of the simplicial space $M$ is the "domain" of some packet $(U, \Psi)$ on $M$ iff $U$ is a small open subset of $M$.

1.15. Remark. If $(U, \Psi)$ is a packet on the simplicial space $M$ and $W$ is a small open subset of $U$ then $\Psi$ induces a packet structure on $W$ which is described by the set of all simplicial charts of the form $(W \cap U_\alpha, \Psi_\alpha|_{W \cap U_\alpha})$ for $(U_\alpha, \Psi_\alpha)$ a simplicial chart of $\Psi$ for which $W \cap U_\alpha$ is nonempty.

2. Piecewise smooth forms. The purpose of this section is to introduce the real analysis necessary for the development of the de Rham complexes on simplicial spaces.

Let $D$ be the real linear space spanned by differential operators

$$D_{q_1 \ldots q_n} = \partial^{q_1 + \ldots + q_n} / \partial x_1^{q_1} \ldots \partial x_n^{q_n}, \quad q_i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \text{ for each } i,$$

defined on the set of smooth real valued functions defined on $R^n$.

2.1. Definition. The smooth real valued function $f: \text{Int } s^n \to R$ defined on the interior $\text{Int } s^n$ of the $n$-corner $s^n$ is closure smooth iff for every $x_0 \in s^n$, the limit of $Df(x)$ at $x_0$ is finite for every $D \in D$. A closure smooth $q$-form defined on $\text{Int } s^n$ is any smooth $q$-form $\sum f_i \partial x_1 \ldots \partial x_q$ defined on $\text{Int } s^n$ for which each $f_i$ is a closure smooth function on $\text{Int } s^n$.

The closure smooth functions defined on $\text{Int } s^n$ form a subalgebra of the algebra of smooth functions defined on $\text{Int } s^n$. There is also a natural injection of the module of closure smooth $q$-forms defined on $\text{Int } s^n$ (over the algebra of closure smooth functions defined on $\text{Int } s^n$) into the module of smooth $q$-forms defined on $\text{Int } s^n$ (over the algebra of smooth functions defined on $\text{Int } s^n$). The standard derivation of smooth forms defined on $\text{Int } s^n$ induces a derivation of closure smooth forms defined on $\text{Int } s^n$ for which there is a natural injection of the closure smooth forms defined on $\text{Int } s^n$ as a cochain subcomplex of the cochain complex of smooth forms defined on $\text{Int } s^n$.

2.2. Definition. The algebra $A(s^n)$ of piecewise smooth functions defined on the $n$-corner $s^n$ is the algebra of continuous real valued functions $f: s^n \to R$ such that $f$ restricted to the interior $\text{Int } s_i$ of every face $s_i$ of $s^n$ is closure smooth.
2.3. **Lemma.** Every closure smooth function $f: \text{Int } s^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has a unique extension to a piecewise smooth function $f: s^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

**Proof.** Define $f$ at the point $x_0$ on the boundary of $s^n$ as the limit of $f(x)$ at $x_0$. The remainder of the lemma is immediate. Q.E.D.

2.4. **Definition.** The module $E(s^n)$ of piecewise smooth 1-forms on the $n$-corner $s^n$ is the free $A(s^n)$-module on generators $dx_1, \ldots, dx_n$. The derivation $d(s^n): A(s^n) \rightarrow E(s^n)$ is given as follows: Each $f \in A(s^n)$ is smooth on Int $s^n$. For $i = 1, \ldots, n$, $\partial f/\partial x_i$ is defined on Int $s^n$ and, by Lemma 2.3, it may be extended to a unique piecewise smooth function, again denoted by $\partial f/\partial x_i$, defined on all of $s^n$. Let $d(s^n)(f) = \sum (\partial f/\partial x_i) dx_i$.

2.5. **Definition.** For $q = 1, 2, \ldots$, the $A(s^n)$-module $\Lambda^q E(s^n)$ of piecewise smooth $q$-forms on the $n$-corner $s^n$ is the $q$-fold exterior product of $E(s^n)$, and the derivation $d(s^n): \Lambda^q E(s^n) \rightarrow \Lambda^{q+1} E(s^n)$ is given by

$$d(s^n)(\sum_{i,i_0} f_i dx_{i_1} \cdots dx_{i_q}) = \sum_{i,i_0} (\partial f_i/\partial x_{i_0}) dx_{i_0} dx_{i_1} \cdots dx_{i_q}.$$ 

For convenience we frequently write the $q$-form

$$\sum_{i} f_i dx_{i_1} \cdots dx_{i_q} \in \Lambda^q E(s^n)$$

as $\Sigma f_i dx_i$ where $i = (i_1, \ldots, i_q)$ and $dx_i = dx_{i_1} \cdots dx_{i_q}$.

Since restrictions of piecewise smooth forms defined on $s^n$ to closure smooth forms defined on Int $s^n$ and extension of closure smooth forms defined on Int $s^n$ to piecewise smooth forms defined on $s^n$ are inverse processes, and since the derivation $d(s^n)$ is a natural extension of the standard derivation of closure smooth forms defined on Int $s^n$, $d(s^n) \circ d(s^n) = 0$. Consequently $(\Lambda^* E(s^n), d(s^n))$ is a cochain complex.

The preceding concepts can be relativized for any face $s_i$ of $s^n$ to obtain the cochain complex $(\Lambda^* E(s_i), d(s_i))$ of piecewise smooth forms defined on $s_i$. Notice that the restriction $f|_{s_i}: s_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of a piecewise smooth function $f: s^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to the face $s_i$ of $s^n$ is clearly a piecewise smooth function.

2.6. **Definition.** The homomorphism $\rho(s_i, s^n): A(s^n) \rightarrow A(s_i)$ given by $\rho(s_i, s^n)(f) = f|_{s_i}$ is the map induced by restriction. For each $q = 1, 2, \ldots$, the module homomorphism $\rho^q(s_i, s^n): \Lambda^q E(s^n) \rightarrow \Lambda^q E(s_i)$ given by

$$\rho^q(s_i, s^n)(\sum_{i} f_i dx_{i_1} \cdots dx_{i_q}) = \sum (\rho(s_i, s^n)f_i) d(\rho(s_i, s^n)x_{i_1}) \cdots d(\rho(s_i, s^n)x_{i_q})$$

is the map of $q$-forms induced by restriction.
In general if $s_i$ is a face of $s_j$, restriction gives a homomorphism $\rho(s_i, s_j)$:
$A(s_i) \rightarrow A(s_j)$ and for each $q = 1, 2, \ldots$ a module homomorphism $\rho^q(s_i, s_j)$:
$\Lambda^q E(s_i) \rightarrow \Lambda^q E(s_j)$.

2.7. Proposition. If $s_i$ is a face of $s_j$ then restriction induces a homomorphism

$$\rho^*(s_i, s_j) : (\Lambda^* E(s_j), d(s_j)) \rightarrow (\Lambda^* E(s_i), d(s_i))$$

of cochain complexes, and if $s_j$ is furthermore a face of $s_k$ (so that $s_i$ is a face of $s_k$) then there is a commutative diagram of cochain complexes: $\rho^*(s_i, s_k) = \rho^*(s_i, s_j) \circ \rho^*(s_j, s_k)$.

**Proof.** It suffices to consider the case of the face $s_i$ of $s^n$. Let $\theta = \Sigma f_j dx_j \in \Lambda^q E(s^n)$. Decompose $\theta$ into components parallel to $s_i$ and normal to $s_i$: $\theta = P\theta + N\theta$ where $P\theta = \Sigma f_j dx_j$, the summation taken over all $j \subseteq i$, and $N\theta = \theta - P\theta$. Notice that $\rho^q(s_i, s^n)(\theta) = (P\theta)_{st}$. The derivation $d(s^n) : \Lambda^q E(s^n) \rightarrow \Lambda^{q+1} E(s^n)$ can be decomposed into components parallel to $s_i$ and normal to $s_i$:

$$d(s^n) = d_P(s^n) + d_N(s^n)$$

where $d_P(s^n)(f dx_j) = \Sigma (\delta f/\delta x_j) dx_j dx_i$. Finally there is a commutative diagram:

$$\rho^{q+1}(s_i, s^n) \circ d(s^n) = d(s_i) \circ \rho^q(s_i, s^n).$$

For if $\theta \in \Lambda^q E(s^n)$ then $d(s^n)(\theta) = d_P(s^n)(P\theta) + d_P(s^n)(N\theta) + d_N(s^n)(P\theta) + d_N(s^n)(N\theta)$. Since $d_P(d(s^n)(\theta)) = d_P(s^n)(P\theta)$, $\rho^{q+1}(s_i, s^n)(d(s^n)(\theta)) = (d_P(s^n)(P\theta))_{st}$. However $\rho^q(s_i, s^n)(\theta) = (P\theta)_{si}$ and $d(s_i)(P\theta)_{si} = (d_P(s^n)(P\theta))_{st}$ since for all $j_0 \in i$, $(\delta f/\delta x_{j_0})_{st} = \delta f/\delta x_{j_0}$. Q.E.D.

The preceding concepts can be relativized to arbitrary open subsets $U \subseteq s^n$ in the obvious way.

2.8. Lemma (Poincaré). Let $U$ be a small star shaped open neighborhood of the point $x_0 \in s^n$. If $0 = d(s^n)(\theta) = 0$ then there is a $\phi \in \Lambda^q - 1 E(U)$ for which $d(s^n)(\phi) = \theta$.

**Proof.** Proceed along essentially the same lines as in the classical proof (see [1]). Indeed if $r : [0, 1] \times U \rightarrow U$ is the retraction given by $r(t, x) = x_0 + t(x - x_0)$ and $K : \Lambda^{q+1} E([0, 1] \times U) \rightarrow \Lambda^q E(U)$ is given by $K(f(t, x) dx_k) = 0$ and $K(f(t, x) dt dx_k) = (f'_{t=0} r(t, x) dt) dx_k$ then $\phi = Kr^q \theta$. The point is that since $r$ is linear, $r(t, x)$ is in the face $s_i$ of $s^n$ for any $t \in [0, 1]$ if and only if $x$ is in $s_i$. Q.E.D.

2.9. Remark. With the notation of Lemma 2.8, if $T : U \rightarrow s^n$ is an invertible simplicial map taking the faces of $U$ piecewise linearly to the faces of $s^n$ then for $\phi = Kr^q \theta \in \Lambda^q - 1 E(U)$, $d(s^n)(\phi) = \theta$. But $T^q \theta \in \Lambda^q E(T^{-1}(U))$ and $d(s^n)(T^q \theta) = 0$ so that if $\phi' = Kr^q(T^q \theta) \in \Lambda^q - 1 E(T^{-1}(U))$ then $d(s^n)(\phi') = T^q \theta$ (linear transformations preserve the star shaped property). But since $T$ is linear
and $K$ is independent of $T^*$, $\phi' = Kr^*T^*\theta = KT^*r^*\theta = T^*Kr^*\theta$ so that $\phi' = T^*\phi$.

2.10. **Remark.** With the notation of Lemma 2.8, if $s_i$ is a face of $s^n$ containing $x_0$ then $U \cap s_i$ is a small open neighborhood of $x_0$ in $s_i$ and 

$$d(s_i)(\rho^q(s_p, s^n)(\theta)) = 0.$$ 

If $\phi' = Kr^*(\rho^q(s_p, s^n)\theta)$ then $d(s_i)(\phi') = \rho^q(s_p, s^n)\theta$. But moreover $\phi' = \rho^{-1}(s_p, s^n)\phi$: If $P$ superscripts denote parallel coordinate functions and $N$ superscripts denote normal coordinate functions then $P(Kr^*(N\theta))$ has summands of the form

$$x_j^N \left( \int_{t=0}^{t=1} f_j(t \cdot x) \, dt \right) dx_{i_2}^P \cdots dx_{i_q}^P$$

and since $x_j^N|_{s_i} = 0$, $P(Kr^*(N\theta))|_{s_i} = 0$. Thus

$$\rho^{-1}(s_p, s^n)(\theta) = (P(Kr^*(\theta)|_{s_i} = (P(Kr^*(P\theta + N\theta))|_{s_i}$$

$$= (P(Kr^*(P\theta))|_{s_i} + (P(Kr^*(N\theta))|_{s_i}$$

$$= (Kr^*(P\theta))|_{s_i} = Kr^*((P\theta))|_{s_i} = \phi'.$$

3. **The de Rham theorem.** Let $M$ be a simplicial space.

3.1. **Remark.** Since the set of small open subsets of a simplicial space $M$ is a basis for the topology of $M$, a presheaf on $M$ may be described as a contravariant functor defined on the category of small open subsets of $M$ (whose morphisms are inclusions).

3.2. **Definition.** A continuous function $f: U \to R$ defined on the small open subset $U$ of the simplicial space $M$ is **piecewise smooth (simplicial)** iff for every simplicial chart $\psi_\alpha: U_\alpha \to V_\alpha$ on $M$ for which $U \cap U_\alpha$ is nonempty, the composite $(f|_{U \cap U_\alpha}) \circ (\psi_\alpha|_{U \cap U_\alpha})^{-1}: \psi_\alpha(U \cap U_\alpha) \to R$ is piecewise smooth (linear).

This definition makes sense since simplicial charts are linearly related.

3.3. **Definition.** The sheaf $A(M)$ of **piecewise smooth functions** on $M$ is the sheaf associated to the presheaf which assigns to each small open subset $U$ of $M$ the algebra $A(U)$ of piecewise smooth functions on $U$ and to each inclusion $U_1 \subseteq U_2$ of small open subsets of $M$ the map $A(U_2) \to A(U_1)$ given by restriction.

3.4. **Lemma.** The sheaf $A(M)$ is fine.

**Proof.** Let $U$ be an open cover of $M$ by small open subsets. Without loss of generality assume that $U$ is neighborhood finite. Using Dieudonné’s “shrinking lemma” there is a neighborhood finite refinement $U'$ of $U$ by small open subsets such that for every $U \in U$ there is a $U' \in U'$ for which the closure of $U'$ is contained in $U$. For any $U \in U$ one can (inductively) construct a piecewise smooth function $f: U \to R^+$ which is nonzero on $U'$ and whose support is the closure of $U'$. For each $U_j \in U$ let $h_j = \sum f_j$ where the summation is taken over all
$U_i \subseteq U'$ for which $U_i \subseteq U_j$ and where $f_j$ is the corresponding piecewise smooth function described above. Then $\{\phi_j = h_j/(\sum_k h_k)\}$ is a piecewise smooth partition of unity subordinate to $U$. Q.E.D.

Again let $U$ be a small open subset of the simplicial space $M$ and let $(U, \Psi)$ be a packet on $U$. Here is an alternate description of the algebra $A(U)$ of piecewise smooth functions defined on $U$: For each simplicial chart $(U_\alpha, \psi_\alpha)$ in $\Psi$ let

$$A(U_\alpha) = \{f: U_\alpha \to R: f \circ \psi^{-1}_\alpha \in A(\psi_\alpha(U_\alpha))\}$$

and let $(\psi^{-1}_\alpha)^*: A(U_\alpha) \to A(\psi_\alpha(U_\alpha))$ be the isomorphism given by $(\psi^{-1}_\alpha)^*(f) = f \circ \psi^{-1}_\alpha$. The following lemma is immediate.

3.5. LEMMA. There is an isomorphism identifying $A(U)$ as the subalgebra of the direct product $\prod A(U_\alpha)$, the product taken over all $\alpha$ in the index set $A$ of $\Psi$, consisting of those $(f_\alpha)$ for which

$$\rho^*(s_{\alpha\beta}, s_\alpha)(\psi^{-1}_\alpha)^*(f_\alpha) = ((\psi_\beta|_{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta}) \circ (\psi_\alpha|_{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta})^{-1})^* \rho^*(s_{\beta\alpha}, s_\beta)(\psi^{-1}_\beta)^*(f_\beta)$$

for $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in $A$.

For every simplicial chart $(U_\alpha, \psi_\alpha)$ in $\Psi$ let $E(U_\alpha)$ be the free $A(U_\alpha)$-module on generators $d(U_\alpha)^{f_\alpha}$, $f_\alpha \in A(U_\alpha)$, and let $(\psi^{-1}_\alpha)^*: E(U_\alpha) \to E(\psi_\alpha(U_\alpha))$ be the isomorphism given by

$$(\psi^{-1}_\alpha)^* f_\alpha d(U_\alpha)^{s_\alpha} = ((\psi^{-1}_\alpha)^* f_\alpha d(s_\alpha))(\psi^{-1}_\alpha)^* s_\alpha).$$

3.6. DEFINITION. The module $E(U)$ of piecewise smooth 1-forms on $U$ is the subgroup of the direct product $\prod E(U_\alpha)$, the product taken over all $\alpha$ in the index set $A$ of $\Psi$, consisting of those $(\theta_\alpha)$ for which

$$\rho^*(s_{\alpha\beta}, s_\alpha)(\psi^{-1}_\alpha)^*(\theta_\alpha) = ((\psi_\beta|_{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta}) \circ (\psi_\alpha|_{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta})^{-1})^* \rho^*(s_{\beta\alpha}, s_\beta)(\psi^{-1}_\beta)^*(\theta_\beta),$$

for $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in $A$, considered as an $A(U)$-module by: for $f \in A(U)$ and $\theta \in E(U)$, $f \cdot \theta = (f_\alpha) \cdot (\theta_\alpha) = (f_\alpha \theta_\alpha)$. Let $d(U): A(U) \to E(U)$ be the derivation given by

$$d(U)(f) = (d(U_\alpha)^{f_\alpha} = ((\psi_\alpha)^* d(s_\alpha)(\psi^{-1}_\alpha)^*(f_\alpha)).$$

The definition of $d(U): A(U) \to E(U)$ makes sense since for $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in the index set $A$ of $\Psi$ a simple calculation gives

$$\rho^*(s_{\alpha\beta}, s_\alpha)(\psi^{-1}_\alpha)^*(d(U_\alpha)^{f_\alpha}) = ((\psi_\beta|_{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta}) \circ (\psi_\alpha|_{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta})^{-1})^* \rho^*(s_{\beta\alpha}, s_\beta)(\psi^{-1}_\beta)^*(d(U_\beta)^{f_\beta}).$$
Furthermore $d(U)$ is a derivation since each $d(s^*_\alpha)$ is a derivation and $(\psi^{-1}_\alpha)^*\star$ is an isomorphism.

For any $q = 1, 2, \ldots$ we can define the $A(U)$-modules $A^qE(U)$ of piecewise smooth $q$-forms on $U$ and the derivation $d(U) : A^qE(U) \rightarrow A^{q+1}E(U)$ similarly. Since $d(s^n) \circ d(s^n) = 0$ for any $n$-corner $s^n$, $d(U) \circ d(U) = 0$, and consequently there is a cochain complex $(A^*E(U), d(U))$. Using Remark 1.12 and the fact that $T^*d(s^n) = d(s^n)T^*$ for an invertible simplicial map $T^*$ of small open subsets of $s^n$ it follows that the cochain complex $(A^*E(U), d(U))$ is independent of the packet structure $\Psi$ chosen on $U$.

3.7. Definition. The cochain complex $(\Lambda^*E(M), d(M))$ of sheaves of piecewise smooth forms on the simplicial space $M$ is the cochain complex of sheaves associated to the cochain complex of presheaves which assigns to each small open subset $U$ of $M$ the cochain complex $(A^*E(U), d(U))$ of piecewise smooth forms on $U$ and to each inclusion $U_1 \subseteq U_2$ of small open subsets of $M$ the map from $(\Lambda^*E(U_2), d(U_2))$ to $(\Lambda^*E(U_1), d(U_1))$ given by restriction.

Using Lemma 3.4 one can easily verify that the sheaves $\Lambda^*E(M)$ are fine.

3.8. Definition. The de Rham complex $(\Lambda^*E(M), d(M))$ of the simplicial space $M$ is the complex of global sections of the cochain complex $(\Lambda^*E(M), d(M))$ of sheaves of piecewise smooth forms on $M$.

Here is the first main result of this paper.

3.9. Theorem (de Rham). For every simplicial space $M$ the homology of the de Rham complex $(\Lambda^*E(M), d(M))$ is isomorphic to the real cohomology of $M$.

Proof. Since the sheaves $\Lambda^*E(M)$ are fine it remains to verify the appropriate Poincaré lemma. The standard proof of the de Rham theorem for smooth manifolds (see [2]) uses no properties of smooth function algebras not also shared by piecewise smooth function algebras.

Let us first observe that since the star shaped property is preserved under linear transformations it makes sense to speak of a small open neighborhood of a point $x_0 \in M$ which is star shaped.

3.10. Lemma (Poincaré). If $U$ is a small star shaped open neighborhood of the point $x_0$ in the simplicial space $M$ then the complex $(\Lambda^*E(U), d(U))$ is exact.

Proof. Suppose that $U$ is the domain of a packet $(U, \Psi)$. If $\theta \in \Lambda^qE(U)$ is a piecewise smooth $q$-form on $U$ such that $d(U)(\theta) = 0$ then since $d(U)(\theta) = ((\psi^*\alpha)^*d(\phi_\alpha)(\psi^{-1}_\alpha)^*(\theta_\alpha), d(\phi_\alpha)(\psi^{-1}_\alpha)^*(\theta_\alpha) = 0$ for each $\alpha$ and the construction of Lemma 2.8 gives piecewise smooth $(q-1)$-forms $\phi_\alpha \in \Lambda^{q-1}E(U_\alpha)$ such that $d(\phi_\alpha)(\phi_\alpha) = (\psi^{-1}_\alpha)^*(\theta_\alpha)$. Consider $\phi = ((\psi^*\alpha)^*(\phi_\alpha))$. By Remark 2.9 and Remark 2.10
\[ \rho^*(s_{\alpha\beta}, s_\alpha) (\psi^{-1}_\alpha) \ast ((\psi_\alpha) \ast (\phi_\alpha)) \]

\[ = ((\psi_\beta|_{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta}) \circ (\psi_\alpha|_{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta})^{-1}) \ast \rho^*(s_{\beta\alpha}, s_\beta) (\psi^{-1}_\beta) \ast ((\psi_\beta) \ast (\phi_\beta)) \]

for all \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) in the index set \( A \) of \( \Psi \) so that \( \phi \in \Lambda^{q-1}E(U) \). Since \( d(U)(\phi) = \theta \), the conclusion follows. Q.E.D.

3.11. Remark. One can define integration of singular simplicial simplices on simplicial spaces and prove Stokes’ theorem for simplicial spaces. Integration thus provides a morphism from the de Rham complex of a simplicial space to its cochain complex of singular simplicial cochains. In this context the de Rham theorem for simplicial spaces states that this map induces an isomorphism in cohomology.

3.12. Remark. Let \( M \) be a smooth manifold. Each piecewise smooth triangulation \( T: M \rightarrow M \) of \( M \) by a simplicial space \( M \) induces a monomorphism \( T^*: A(M) \rightarrow A(M) \) from the standard smooth function algebra \( A(M) \) of \( M \) to the piecewise smooth function algebra \( A(M) \) of \( M \) which extends to a monomorphism of cochain complexes \( T^*: (\Lambda^*E(M), d(M)) \rightarrow (\Lambda^*E(M), d(M)) \) where \( (\Lambda^*E(M), d(M)) \) denotes the standard smooth de Rham complex of \( M \) and \( (\Lambda^*E(M), d(M)) \) denotes the piecewise smooth de Rham complex of \( M \). Furthermore \( T^* \) induces an isomorphism of de Rham cohomologies.

4. Coordinate systems. In this section local coordinates are defined on each packet \((U, \Psi)\) of a simplicial space \( M \). A coordinate system \( u = \{ u_k \} \) on the packet \((U, \Psi)\) is roughly a collection of simplicial functions \( u_k: U \rightarrow R \) such that for every simplicial chart \( \psi_\alpha: U_\alpha \rightarrow V_\alpha \) of \( \Psi \), \( u_k|_{U_\alpha} = 0 \) except for a subset of \( i \) functions \( u_k \in u \) and the set of functions \( u_k \circ \psi^{-1}_\alpha: V_\alpha \rightarrow R \) for \( u_k \) in this subset forms a “coordinate system” on \( V_\alpha \).

We first recall that a packet \((U, \Psi)\) on a simplicial space \( M \) consists of an open subset \( U \) of \( M \) and a “maximal” collection \( \Psi \) of related simplicial charts \( \psi_\alpha: U_\alpha \rightarrow V_\alpha \subseteq s^i_\alpha, \alpha \) in some finite index set \( A \), where \( U_\alpha \subseteq U \) and \( V_\alpha \) lies in the subset \( s^i_\alpha \) of the \( i \)-dimensional vector space \( R^i_\alpha \). Now let \( x_0 \in U \) be a point with respect to which \( U \) is a small open neighborhood. For each \( \alpha \in A \) we will consider sets \( \{ v^*_\alpha \in R^i_\alpha: * \in A_\alpha \} \) of vectors based at the corresponding points \( \psi_\alpha(x_0) \in V_\alpha, A_\alpha \) denoting some finite index set, such that

\[
\begin{align*}
(1) & \quad \text{for every } \alpha \in A, \{ v^*_\alpha \in R^i_\alpha: * \in A_\alpha \} \text{ is a basis of } R^i_\alpha, \text{ and} \\
(2) & \quad \text{if } \psi_\alpha: U_\alpha \rightarrow V_\alpha \subseteq s^j_\alpha \text{ and } \psi_\beta: U_\beta \rightarrow V_\beta \subseteq s^j_\beta \text{ are simplicial charts of } \Psi \text{ for which } U_\alpha \subseteq U_\beta \text{ then there is a subset of the set } \{ v^*_{\beta} \in R^i_\beta: * \in A_\beta \} \text{ which is the image of the set } \\
& \quad \{ v^*_\alpha \in R^i_\alpha: * \in A_\alpha \} \text{ under the unique extension of } \psi_\beta \circ \psi^{-1}_\alpha: V_\alpha \rightarrow V_\beta \text{ to a linear transformation of vector spaces.}
\end{align*}
\]
It is not difficult to show that such sets of vectors exist (see [3]).

Since \( \{u^*_a \in R^i_\alpha : \alpha \in A_\alpha \} \) is a basis of \( R^i_\alpha \), there is a dual basis \( \{y^*_a : \alpha \in A_\alpha \} \) of \( R^\alpha \) for every \( \alpha \in A \). Conditions (*) imply that if \( (U_\alpha, \psi_\alpha) \) and \( (U_\beta, \psi_\beta) \) are simplicial charts of \( \Psi \) for which \( U_\alpha \subseteq U_\beta \) and \( y_\beta \in \{y^*_a : \alpha \in A_\beta \} \) then \( y_\beta \circ \psi_\beta \circ \psi_\alpha^{-1} : V_\alpha \rightarrow R \) is either an element of \( \{y^*_a : \alpha \in A_\alpha \} \) or identically zero; in fact each \( y_\alpha \in \{y^*_a : \alpha \in A_\alpha \} \) is uniquely of the form \( y_\alpha \circ \psi_\alpha \circ \psi_\alpha^{-1} : V_\alpha \rightarrow R \) for some \( y_\beta \in \{y^*_a : \alpha \in A_\beta \} \). For each \( \alpha \in A \) and \( k \in A_\alpha \) let \( u^k_\alpha = y^k_\alpha \circ \psi_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow R \).

We define a set \( u = \{u_\alpha \} \) of simplicial functions on \( U \) as follows: The set \( \{u^*_a : \alpha \in A_\alpha \} \) can be partitioned into subsets \( u(k) \) in such a way that \( u_\alpha \in \{u^*_a : \alpha \in A_\alpha \} \) and \( u_\beta \in \{u^*_a : \alpha \in A_\beta \} \) are in \( u(k) \) iff \( u_\alpha \mid U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \in \{u^*_a : \alpha \in A_\gamma \} \) and \( u_\beta \mid U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \in \{u^*_a : \alpha \in A_\gamma \} \), where \( A_\gamma = U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \), and furthermore \( u_\alpha \mid U_\alpha \cap U_\beta = u_\beta \mid U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \). Associate a simplicial function \( u_k : U \rightarrow R \) to each such set \( u(k) \) by letting \( u_k(x) = u_\alpha(x) \) for any \( x \in \bigcup \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in u(k)\} \) and any \( u_\alpha \in u(k) \), and letting \( u_k(x) = 0 \) otherwise. In other words (see Lemma 3.5) \( u_k = (u_\alpha)_\alpha \) where \( (u_\alpha)_\alpha = u_\alpha \) if \( u_\alpha \in u(k) \) and \( (u_\alpha)_\alpha = 0 \) otherwise.

4.1. DEFINITION. A coordinate system on the packet \( (U, \Psi) \) is a collection \( u = \{u_\alpha \} \) of simplicial functions \( u_k : U \rightarrow R \) as constructed above. The point \( x_0 \in U \) is the origin of the coordinate system \( u \).

4.2. REMARK. If \( u \) is a coordinate system on the packet \( (U, \Psi) \), \( u_k \in U \) and \( (U_\alpha, \psi_\alpha) \) is any simplicial chart of \( \Psi \) then by definition either \( u_k \mid U_\alpha = u^k_\alpha \in \{u^*_a : \alpha \in A_\alpha \} \) or \( u_k \mid U_\alpha = 0 \).

4.3. REMARK. If \( (U, \Psi) \) is a packet, \( u \) is a coordinate system on \( (U, \Psi) \) and \( W \) is a small open subset of \( U \) then there are induced coordinate systems on \( W \) associated to the packet on \( W \) induced by \( \Psi \). For suppose that \( u \) is described by vectors \( \{u^*_a \in R^i_\alpha : \alpha \in A \} \) based at the points \( \psi_\alpha(x_\alpha) \in V_\alpha \) for every \( \alpha \in A \) where \( x_\alpha \in U \) is a point with respect to which \( U \) is a small open neighborhood. If \( x_1 \in W \) is any point with respect to which \( W \) is a small open neighborhood then there is an induced coordinate system on \( W \) described by parallel translating the vectors \( \{u^*_a \in R^i_\alpha : \alpha \in A_\alpha \} \) in \( R^i_\alpha \) to vectors based at the corresponding points \( \psi_\alpha(x_\alpha) \) for every \( \alpha \in A \) for which \( W \cap U_\alpha \) is nonempty.

4.4. REMARK. If \( (U, \Psi) \) and \( (U, \Psi') \) are related packets on the same small open subset \( U \) and \( u' \) is a coordinate system on \( (U, \Psi') \) then \( u' \) gives rise to a related coordinate system \( u'' \) on \( (U, \Psi'') \) as follows: In Remark 1.12 it was shown that for every simplicial chart \( (U_\alpha, \psi_\alpha) \) in \( \Psi' \) there is a simplicial chart \( (U_\beta, \psi_\beta) \) in \( \Psi'' \) for which \( U_\alpha = U_\beta \). If \( \{u^*_a \in R^i_\alpha : \alpha \in A_\alpha \} \) is the set of vectors in \( R^i_\alpha \) which gives rise to \( u' \), let \( \{u^*_a \in R^i_\beta : \alpha \in A_\beta \} \) be the image of \( \{u^*_a \in R^i_\alpha : \alpha \in A_\alpha \} \) under the unique extension of \( \psi_\beta \circ \psi_\alpha^{-1} : V_\alpha \rightarrow V_\beta \) to an invertible linear transformation of vector spaces. The set of all such sets of vectors \( \{v^*_a \in R^i_\beta : \alpha \in A_\beta \} \) gives rise to a coordinate system \( u'' \) on \( (U, \Psi'') \). Since each
coordinate function \( y_\beta \in \{ y_\beta^*: \ * \in A_\beta \} \) on a particular \( R_\beta \) associated to the basis \( \{ y_\alpha^*: \ * \in A_\alpha \} \) of \( R_\beta \) can be described with respect to the corresponding coordinate functions \( \{ y_\alpha^*: \ * \in A_\alpha \} \) on \( R_\alpha \) by \( y_\beta = y_\alpha \circ \psi_\alpha \circ \psi_\beta^{-1} \) for some \( y_\alpha \in \{ y_\alpha^*: \ * \in A_\alpha \} \), \( y_\beta = y_\beta \circ \psi_\beta = y_\alpha \circ \psi_\alpha = u_\alpha \).

4.5. Lemma. If \( u' \) and \( u'' \) are coordinate systems on the packet \( (U, \Psi) \), which have the same origin then for every \( u \in u' \) there are unique real constants \( c_{ij} \) for which \( u = \sum c_{ij} u_j \) for \( u_j \in u'' \).

Proof. Observe that if \( u \in u' \) and \( (U_\alpha, \psi_\alpha) \) is a simplicial chart of \( \Psi \) for which \( u|_{U_\alpha} = u_\alpha^l \in \{ u_\alpha^*: \ * \in A_\alpha^l \} \) then

\[
u|_{U_\alpha} = u_\alpha^l = y_\alpha^l \circ \psi_\alpha = \sum_j c_{ij}^l y_\alpha^j \circ \psi_\alpha = \sum_j c_{ij}^l u_j|_{U_\alpha}
\]

for unique real constants \( c_{ij}^l \) and \( u_j \in u'' \). Now suppose that \( (U_\alpha, \psi_\alpha) \) and \( (U_\beta, \psi_\beta) \) are simplicial charts of \( \Psi \) for which \( U_\alpha \subseteq U_\beta \) and that \( u \in u' \) is such that \( u|_{U_\alpha} = u_\alpha \in \{ u_\alpha^*: \ * \in A_\alpha^l \} \) (hence \( u|_{U_\beta} = u_\beta^l \in \{ u_\beta^*: \ * \in A_\beta^l \} \)). Then since either \( u|_{U_\alpha} = u_\alpha \in \{ u_\alpha^*: \ * \in A_\alpha'' \} \) or \( u|_{U_\alpha} = 0 \) for each \( u_j \in u'' \),

\[
\sum_j c_{ij}^l u_j = u|_{U_\alpha} = (u|_{U_\beta}) u_\alpha = \sum_j c_{ij}^l u_j
\]

where the summations are taken over all \( j \) for which \( u_j \in u'' \). Thus \( \sum_j c_{ij}^l y_\alpha^j = \sum_j c_{ij}^l y_\beta^j \) which implies that \( c_{ij}^l = c_{ij}^\beta \) for every \( j \) for which \( u_j \in u'' \) and \( u_j|_{U_\alpha} = u_\alpha^l \in \{ u_\alpha^*: \ * \in A_\alpha'' \} \).

For each \( u \in u' \) and each \( u_j \in u'' \) for which there is a simplicial chart \( (U_\alpha, \psi_\alpha) \) of \( \Psi \) for which \( u|_{U_\alpha} = u_\alpha \in \{ u_\alpha^*: \ * \in A_\alpha'' \} \) and \( u_j|_{U_\alpha} = u_\alpha^l \in \{ u_\alpha^*: \ * \in A_\alpha'' \} \) let \( c_{ij} = c_{ij}^\alpha \) for any \( \alpha \) for which \( u_j|_{U_\alpha} = u_\alpha \in \{ u_\alpha^*: \ * \in A_\alpha'' \} \) and \( u_j|_{U_\alpha} = u_\alpha \in \{ u_\alpha^*: \ * \in A_\alpha'' \} \) (\( c_{ij} \) is independent of the choice of \( \alpha \in A \) as a result of the preceding paragraph). Since either \( u_j|_{U_\alpha} = u_\alpha^l \in \{ u_\alpha^*: \ * \in A_\alpha'' \} \) or \( u_j|_{U_\alpha} = 0 \) for each \( u_j \in u'' \), \( (\sum_j c_{ij}^l u_j) u_\alpha = \sum_j c_{ij}^l u_\alpha^l = u|_{U_\alpha} \) for any simplicial chart \( (U_\alpha, \psi_\alpha) \) of \( \Psi \) so that \( u = \sum_j c_{ij} u_j \). Q.E.D.

Now let \( u \) be a coordinate system on the packet \( (U, \Psi) \).

4.6. Definition. For each \( u \in u \) the star \( St u \) of \( u \) is the union of the set of all simplicial domains \( U_\alpha \) of \( \Psi \) for which \( u|_{U_\alpha} = u_\alpha \in \{ u_\alpha^*: \ * \in A_\alpha \} \).

4.7. Definition. For each \( u \in u \) the derivation \( \partial/\partial u_i: A(U) \to A(St u) \) is described as follows: For every simplicial chart \( (U_\alpha, \psi_\alpha) \) of \( \Psi \) for which \( u|_{U_\alpha} = u_\alpha \in \{ u_\alpha^*: \ * \in A_\alpha \} \) let

\[
((\partial/\partial u_i))_\alpha = \psi_\alpha^*(\partial(\psi_\alpha \circ \psi_\alpha^{-1})/\partial y_\alpha^j),
\]

and for any simplicial chart \( (U_\gamma, \psi_\gamma) \) of \( \Psi \) for which there is a simplicial chart \( (U_\alpha, \psi_\alpha) \) of \( \Psi \) such that \( U_\gamma \subseteq U_\alpha \) and \( u|_{U_\alpha} = u_\alpha \in \{ u_\alpha^*: \ * \in A_\alpha \} \) let
This definition makes sense since taking the restriction to the face $s_i$ of a piecewise smooth function defined on $s^n$ commutes with taking partial derivatives with respect to coordinate functions describing the face $s_i$ of $s^n$ so that whenever $(U_\alpha, \psi_\alpha)$ and $(U_\beta, \psi_\beta)$ are simplicial charts of $\Psi$ for which $y_\alpha^* \circ \psi_\alpha | U_\alpha \cap U_\beta = y_\beta^* \circ \psi_\beta | U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$, $y_\alpha^* \circ \psi_\alpha | U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \in \{ y_\alpha^* : * \in A_\alpha \}$ and $y_\beta^* \circ \psi_\beta | U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \in \{ y_\beta^* : * \in A_\beta \}$, $\rho^*(s_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}) \cdot (\partial(f \circ \psi_\alpha^{-1})/\partial y_\alpha^*) = ((\psi_\beta | U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)^* (\psi_\alpha | U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)^{-1}) \cdot \rho^*(s_{\alpha\beta})$. 

4.8. Proposition. Let $(U, \Psi)$ be a packet on the simplicial space $M$ and let $u$ be a coordinate system on $(U, \Psi)$. The $A(U)$-module $E(U)$ of piecewise smooth 1-forms on $U$ may be identified as the free Abelian group on generators $f \, du_i$ where $u \in u$ and $f \in A(St u_i)$ with an $A(U)$-module structure given by: for $f \in A(U)$ and $\theta = \sum f_i du_i \in E(U)$, $f \cdot \theta = \Sigma(f_i |_{St u_i}) f_i du_i$. The derivation $d(U) : A(U) \rightarrow E(U)$ of piecewise smooth functions may be identified as $d(U)f = \Sigma(\partial f/\partial u_i) du_i$ where $\partial f/\partial u_i \in A(St u_i)$ for each $i$.

Proof. Let $f \, du_i$ be any generator as described in the statement of the proposition and $\theta = (\theta_\alpha)$ where $\theta_\alpha = (f_i |_{U_\alpha}) du_i \in E(U_\alpha)$ if $u_i |_{U_\alpha} = u_i \in \{ u_i^* : * \in A_\alpha \}$ and $\theta_\alpha = 0$ otherwise; this makes sense since whenever $u_i |_{U_\alpha} = u_i \in \{ u_i^* : * \in A_\alpha \}$, $U_\alpha \subseteq St u_i$. To show that $\theta$ is actually an element of $E(U)$ we must show that if $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are in the index set $A$ of $\Psi$ such that $U_\alpha \subseteq U_\beta$ then $(f_i |_{U_\beta}) du_i d((u_i |_{U_\beta}) |_{U_\alpha}) = (f_i |_{U_\alpha}) d(u_i |_{U_\alpha})$; however this is obvious. If, on the other hand, $\theta = (\theta_\alpha) = (\Sigma f_i^\alpha du_i^\alpha) \in E(U)$ where $\theta_\alpha = \Sigma f_i^\alpha du_i^\alpha \in E(U_\alpha)$ then "compatibility" of the forms $\theta_\alpha$ means that if $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are in the index set $A$ of $\Psi$, $U_\alpha \subseteq U_\beta$, $\theta_\alpha = \Sigma f_i^\alpha du_i^\alpha$ and $\theta_\beta = \Sigma f_i^\beta du_i^\beta$ then for each $i$ there is a unique $j$ such that $(f_j^\beta |_{U_\alpha}) d(u_i |_{U_\alpha}) = f_i^\alpha du_i^\alpha$ (and for each $j$ either $u_i^\beta |_{U_\alpha} = 0$ or there is a unique $i$ such that $(f_j^\beta |_{U_\alpha}) d(u_i |_{U_\alpha}) = f_i^\alpha du_i^\alpha$). For each $u_i \in u$ one can thus obtain a compatible collection $\{ f_i^\alpha \in A(U_\alpha) \}$ of piecewise smooth functions defined on the simplicial domains $U_\alpha$ of $\Psi$ for which $u_i |_{U_\alpha} = u_i \in \{ u_i^* : * \in A_\alpha \}$. Since the subsets $U_\alpha$ form a neighborhood finite closed cover of $U$ we may define a piecewise smooth function $f_i \in A(St u_i)$ for every $u_i \in u$ such that $f_i |_{U_\alpha} = f_i^\alpha$ whenever $U_\alpha \subseteq St u_i$. Thus $\theta = \Sigma f_i du_i$.

The rest of the proposition is immediate. Q.E.D.

The structure of the $A(U)$-module $E(U)$ of piecewise smooth 1-forms is now clear: A general element $\theta \in E(U)$ may be formally written $\theta = \Sigma f_i du_i$ with $u_i \in u$ and $f_i \in A(St u_i)$. The forms $\{ 1_{St u_i} du_i \in E(U) \}$, where $1_{St u_i} \in A(St u_i)$ are the piecewise smooth functions which are identically 1 on $St u_i$ and thus form a set of generators for $E(U)$ as an $A(U)$-module. However there are no unique extensions of the coefficient functions $f_i \in A(St u_i)$ to functions of $A(U)$ and thus $E(U)$ is not, in general, free.
5. Cosimplicial bundles. In this section we define the category of cosimplicial bundles. This category contains a subcategory of vector bundles over simplicial spaces. In general, however, cosimplicial bundles differ from vector bundles since fiber dimensions in cosimplicial bundles are allowed to vary. The reason for introducing cosimplicial bundles is that in the same way every smooth manifold has a cotangent object in the category of smooth vector bundles, every simplicial space has a cotangent object in the category of cosimplicial bundles; these cotangent objects are cosimplicial bundles and not, in general, vector bundles.

In the sequel all vector spaces are finite dimensional and real.

5.1. Definition. A cosimplicial bundle is a continuous surjection $\xi: E \to M$ from a topological space $E$ to a simplicial space $M$ such that $\xi^{-1}(x)$ is a vector space for each $x \in M$ and

1. for every simplicial chart $(U_\alpha, \psi_\alpha)$ on $M$ there is a vector space $F_\alpha$ (unique up to isomorphism) and a map $\phi_\alpha: \xi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \to U_\alpha \times F_\alpha$ such that $\phi_\alpha^{-1}(x) \to \{x\} \times F_\alpha$ is a linear surjection for every $x \in U_\alpha$,

2. if $(U_\alpha, \psi_\alpha)$ and $(U_\beta, \psi_\beta)$ are simplicial charts on $M$ for which $U_\alpha \subseteq U_\beta$, then $\phi_\alpha^{-1}(x) = l_{\alpha \beta} \circ \phi_\beta^{-1}(x)$ for all $x \in U_\alpha$ and some fixed linear surjection $l_{\alpha \beta}: F_\beta \to F_\alpha$,

3. if $(U_\alpha, \psi_\alpha), (U_\beta, \psi_\beta)$ and $(U_\gamma, \psi_\gamma)$ are simplicial charts on $M$ for which $U_\alpha \cup U_\beta \subseteq U_\gamma$ then $l_{\alpha \gamma} = l_{\alpha \beta} \circ l_{\beta \gamma}$, and

4. if $(U_\alpha, \psi_\alpha)$ and $(U_\beta, \psi_\beta)$ are simplicial charts on $M$ for which $U_\alpha$ is a relatively open subset of $U_\beta$ then $\dim F_\alpha = \dim F_\beta$.

5.2. Example. Let $M$ be the simplicial space associated to the polyhedron $K$ which has three vertices $x_0, x_1, x_2$, and which has two one-simplices $(x_0, x_1), i = 1, 2$. Let $\pi_1: M \times R^2 \to M$ be the product vector bundle over $M$ whose fiber at each point is the vector space $R^2$ equipped with the standard basis vectors $e_i, i = 1, 2$ and where $\pi_1$ denotes projection onto the first factor. Let $E$ be the subspace of $M \times R^2$ consisting of those $(x, v)$ for which $v$ is a multiple of $e_i$ if $x \in \{x_0, x_i\} \setminus \{x_0\}$, and $v \in R^2$ if $x = x_0$, $((x_0, x_i))$ denotes the geometric realization of the one-simplex $(x_0, x_i), i = 1, 2$. If $\xi: E \to M$ is the map given by $\xi(x, v) = x$ for $(x, v) \in E$ then $\xi$ is a cosimplicial bundle. The structure fibers $F_\alpha$ of $\xi$ are as follows: $F_\alpha$ is the zero vector space if $U_\alpha = \{x_0\}$ and otherwise $F_\alpha$ is the vector space over one generator $e_i$ whenever $U_\alpha \subseteq \{x_0, x_i\}, i = 1, 2$.

The structure maps $\phi_\alpha: \xi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \to U_\alpha \times F_\alpha$ of $\xi$ are as follows: $\phi_\alpha(x_0, v) = (x_0, 0)$ if $U_\alpha = \{x_0\}$ and otherwise if $U_\alpha$ is the domain of a simplicial chart $(U_\alpha, \psi_\alpha)$ on $M$ for which $x_0 \in U_\alpha$ and $U_\alpha \subseteq \{x_0, x_i\}, i = 1, 2$, then $\phi_\alpha(x_0, c_1 e_1 + c_2 e_2) = (x_0, c_1 e_1)$ and $\phi_\alpha(x, c e_i) = (x, c e_i)$ if $x \neq x_0$. Notice that $\xi$ is clearly not a vector bundle since $\xi^{-1}(x_0) = R^2$ and $\xi^{-1}(x) = R^1$ for all other $x \in M$. (See Diagram 3.)
For any cosimplicial bundle \( \xi: E \to M \), the inverse image \( \xi^{-1}(x_0) \) of any \( x_0 \in M \) is given constructively by the \( \{F_{a}; l_{a}\beta\} \). This is why in Example 5.2 the structure fiber \( R^0 \) of \( \xi \) associated to the simplicial chart with domain \( \{x_0\} \) and the inverse image \( \xi^{-1}(x_0) = R^2 \) of \( x_0 \) are so radically different.

5.3. Definition. A morphism \( f: \xi_1 \to \xi_2 \) of cosimplicial bundles \( \xi_i: E_i \to M_i \), \( i = 1, 2 \), consists of a simplicial map \( f_1: M_1 \to M_2 \), a map \( f_2: E_1 \to E_2 \) taking the fiber \( \xi_1^{-1}(x) \) over each \( x \in M_1 \) linearly to the fiber \( \xi_2^{-1}(f_1(x)) \) over \( f_1(x) \in M_2 \) and linear maps \( f_{\alpha_2\alpha_1}: F_{\alpha_1} \to F_{\alpha_2} \), where the \( F_{\alpha_i} \) are the structure fibers of \( \xi_i \) corresponding to simplicial charts \( (U_{\alpha}, \psi_{\alpha}) \) on \( M_i \), \( i = 1, 2 \), for which \( f_1(U_{\alpha_1}) \subseteq U_{\alpha_2} \), such that \( \phi_{\alpha_2}(f_2(u)) = f_{\alpha_2\alpha_1}(\phi_{\alpha_1}(u)) \) for \( u \in \xi_1^{-1}(U_{\alpha_1}) \), and such that if \( (U_{\alpha_i}, \psi_{\alpha_i}) \) and \( (U_{\beta_i}, \psi_{\beta_i}) \) are simplicial charts on \( M_i \), \( i = 1, 2 \), for which \( U_{\alpha_i} \subseteq U_{\beta_i} \), \( f_1(U_{\alpha_1}) \subseteq U_{\alpha_2} \) and \( f_1(U_{\beta_1}) \subseteq U_{\beta_2} \) then \( f_{\alpha_2\alpha_1} \circ l_{\alpha_1\beta_1} = l_{\alpha_2\beta_2} \circ f_{\beta_2\beta_1} \).

Cosimplicial bundles and morphisms of cosimplicial bundles form a category.

5.4. Remark. If \( \xi: E \to M \) is a cosimplicial bundle over the simplicial space \( M \) for which \( \dim F_{\alpha} = \dim \xi^{-1}(x) = m \) for all simplicial charts \( (U_{\alpha}, \psi_{\alpha}) \) on \( M \) and \( x \in U_{\alpha} \), then \( \xi \) is an \( m \)-dimensional vector bundle over \( M \).

Sections of cosimplicial bundles are defined next. First, however, observe that if \( \xi: E \to M \) is the cosimplicial bundle of Example 5.2 and \( s: M \to E \) is any continuous function for which \( \xi \circ s = \text{id}_M \), then \( s(x_0) \in \xi^{-1}(x_0) = R^2 \) is necessarily zero. In order to avoid such restrictions on sections of cosimplicial bundles, a certain amount of discontinuity will be allowed in the definition of section.

5.5. Definition. A section of the cosimplicial bundle \( \xi: E \to M \) over the simplicial space \( M \) is a function (not necessarily a continuous function) \( s: M \to E \) such that \( \xi \circ s = \text{id}_M \) and

1. for each simplicial chart \( (U_{\alpha}, \psi_{\alpha}) \) on \( M \) and corresponding structure map
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\( \phi_\alpha: \xi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \to U_\alpha \times F_\alpha \) of \( \xi \), the coordinate functions of the transformation \( \pi_2 \circ \phi_\alpha \circ s: U_\alpha \to F_\alpha \) are piecewise smooth, \( \pi_2: U_\alpha \times F_\alpha \to F_\alpha \) denoting projection onto the second factor, and

(2) if \((U_\alpha, \psi_\alpha)\) and \((U_\beta, \psi_\beta)\) are simplicial charts on \( M \) for which \( U_\alpha \subseteq U_\beta \) and \( \phi_\alpha: \xi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \to U_\alpha \times F_\alpha \) and \( \phi_\beta: \xi^{-1}(U_\beta) \to U_\beta \times F_\beta \) are the corresponding structure maps of \( \xi \), then \( \phi_\alpha \circ \phi_\beta \mid U_\alpha \times U_\beta \) of \( M \) for which \( U_\alpha \subseteq U_\beta \) and the map \( l_{\alpha \beta}: F_\beta \to F_\alpha \) for which \( \phi_\alpha \mid \xi^{-1}(U_\alpha) = l_{\alpha \beta} \circ \phi_\beta \mid \xi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \) is a linear transformation.

5.6. Remark. If \( \xi \) is a cosimplicial bundle which is a vector bundle in the sense of Remark 5.4 then the sections of the cosimplicial bundle \( \xi \) are precisely the (continuous) piecewise smooth sections of the vector bundle \( \xi \).

5.7. Remark. The set \( \Gamma(M, \xi) \) of global sections of the cosimplicial bundle \( \xi \) forms an \( \mathcal{A}(M) \)-module with respect to pointwise addition and pointwise multiplication by elements of \( \mathcal{A}(M) \).

We now construct cotangent objects for simplicial spaces in the category of cosimplicial bundles. The cosimplicial bundle \( \xi: E \to M \) of Example 5.2 is a prototype for this construction: \( \xi \) is the cotangent object of \( M \). Usage of the term “cotangent object” is justified by Theorem 5.12.

5.8. Construction. For every simplicial space \( M \) there is a canonical cosimplicial bundle \( \tau(M): TM \to M \) over \( M \) called the cotangent object of \( M \) which is constructed as follows.

Let \((U, \Psi)\) be a packet on \( M \) and \( u \) a coordinate system on \((U, \Psi)\). Let \( \Psi \) be the free \( \mathcal{A} \)-module on generators \( du_\lambda \) for \( u_\lambda \in u \), and let \( \pi_1: U \times V \to U \) be the product vector bundle where \( \pi_1 \) denotes projection onto the first factor. Let \( \pi^{-1}(U) \) be the subspace of \( U \times V \) consisting of those ordered pairs \((x, v)\) such that \( v = \sum c_i du_i \) where for each \( i \) there is a simplicial chart \((U_\alpha, \psi_\alpha)\) of \( U \) such that \( x \in U_\alpha \) and for which \( u_i \mid U_\alpha = u_i \in \{u_\lambda^*: \lambda \in \Lambda \} \). Finally let \( \pi: \pi^{-1}(U) \to U \) denote projection onto the first factor.

5.9. Lemma. The map \( \pi: \pi^{-1}(U) \to U \) is a cosimplicial bundle.

Proof. If \( x \in U \), \( \pi^{-1}(x) \) is the free \( \mathcal{A} \)-module on generators \( du_i \) where for each \( u_i \) there is a simplicial chart \((U_\alpha, \psi_\alpha)\) of \( U \) such that \( x \in U_\alpha \) and for which \( u_i \mid U_\alpha = u_i^\alpha \in \{u_\alpha^*: \alpha \in \Lambda \} \). For each simplicial chart \((U_\alpha, \psi_\alpha)\) of \( U \) let \( F_\alpha \) be the free \( \mathcal{A} \)-module on generators \( du_\alpha^\lambda \) \( u_\alpha^\lambda \in \{u_\alpha^*: \alpha \in \Lambda \} \) and let \( \phi_\alpha: \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \to U_\alpha \times F_\alpha \) be the map given by \( \phi_\alpha(x, \sum c_i du_i) = (x, \sum' c_i du_i^\lambda) \) where the summation \( \sum' c_i du_i^\lambda \) is taken over all \( i \) for which \( u_i \mid U_\alpha = u_\alpha^\lambda \in \{u_\alpha^*: \alpha \in \Lambda \} \). If \((U_\alpha, \psi_\alpha)\) and \((U_\beta, \psi_\beta)\) are simplicial charts of \( U \) for which \( U_\alpha \subseteq U_\beta \), let \( l_{\alpha \beta}: F_\beta \to F_\alpha \) be the map given by \( l_{\alpha \beta}(\sum c_i du_i^\beta) = \sum' c_i du_i^\alpha \) where the summation \( \sum' c_i du_i^\alpha \) is taken over all \( i \) for which \( u_i \mid U_\alpha = u_i^\alpha \in \{u_\alpha^*: \alpha \in \Lambda \} \). With this
structure $\pi$ is clearly a cosimplicial bundle. Q.E.D.

Define the total space $TM$ of $\tau(M)$ by covering $M$ with all possible combinations of packets $(U, \Psi)$ equipped with coordinate systems $u$, and letting $TM = \Pi \pi^{-1}(U)/T$ where $\Pi \pi^{-1}(U)$ is the free union of all the topological spaces $\pi^{-1}(U)$ as constructed above and $T$ is the equivalence relation given as follows: Let $(x', v') \in \pi^{-1}(U')$ and $(x'', v'') \in \pi^{-1}(U'')$ where $(U', \Psi')$ and $(U'', \Psi'')$ are packets on $M$ equipped with coordinate systems $u'$ and $u''$, respectively. If $U'$ and $U''$ are small open neighborhoods of the point $x_0 \in M$ then $U = U' \cap U''$ is a small open neighborhood of $x_0$. Observe that in this case $\Psi'$ and $\Psi''$ induce packet structures on $U = U' \cap U''$ (see Remark 1.15) which are essentially the same (see Remark 1.12), and that $u'$ and $u''$ induce coordinate systems on $U$, again denoted by $u'$ and $u''$, respectively, both with origin $x_0 \in U$ (see Remark 4.3). We define $(x', v') \tau(x'', v'')$ iff $x' = x''$ and $v'' = \Sigma_{i,j} c_{ij} du_j$ for $u_j \in u''$ where $v' = \Sigma_i c_i du_i$, $u_i \in u'$, and for every $u_i \in u'$, $u_i = \Sigma_c c_{ij} u_j$ where $u_j \in u''$ and $c_{ij} \in R$ (see Remark 4.5). Let $\tau(M): TM \rightarrow M$ be the induced projection.

5.10. Lemma. The map $\tau(M): TM \rightarrow M$ is a cosimplicial bundle.

**Proof.** Let $(U_\alpha, \psi_\alpha)$ be a simplicial chart on $M$ and let $(U, \Psi)$ be a packet on $M$ for which $U_\alpha \subseteq U$. (For example if $x_0$ is a point in the face of $U_\alpha$ of smallest dimension, we may take $U$ to be the largest small open neighborhood of $x_0$ in $M$.) Without loss of generality assume that $(U_\alpha, \psi_\alpha)$ is a simplicial chart of $\Psi$. Let $u$ be a coordinate system on $(U, \Psi)$, let $F_\alpha$ be the vector space on generators $du_\alpha$ where each $u_\alpha$ corresponds to a $u_i \in u$ for which $u_i \mid U_\alpha = u_\alpha \in \{u_\alpha^*: \alpha \in A_\alpha\}$. Let us define the map $\phi_\alpha: \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \rightarrow U_\alpha \times F_\alpha$ by $\phi_\alpha(x, \Sigma c_i du_i) = (x, \Sigma c_i du_i)$ where the summation $\Sigma_c c_i du_i$ is taken over all $i$ for which $u_i \mid U_\alpha = u_\alpha \in \{u_\alpha^*: \alpha \in A_\alpha\}$. To verify that $\tau(M)$ is a cosimplicial bundle it suffices to observe that if $u'$ and $u''$ are two different coordinate systems on $(U, \Psi)$ and $\phi'_\alpha: \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \rightarrow U_\alpha \times F'_\alpha$ and $\phi''_\alpha: \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \rightarrow U_\alpha \times F''_\alpha$ are the associated structure maps of $\tau(M)$ as described above, then the linear map $l: F'_{\alpha} \rightarrow F''_{\alpha}$ for which $l \circ (\Sigma_{i} c_{ij} du_i) = \Sigma_{i} c_{ij} du_i$ is given by taking each generator $du_i \in F'_\alpha$ to $\Sigma_i c_{ij} du_i \in F''_\alpha$ (see Lemma 4.5). The rest of the proof is immediate. Q.E.D.

5.11. Definition. The cosimplicial bundle $\tau(M): TM \rightarrow M$ constructed above is the cotangent object of $M$.

Here is the second main result of this paper.

5.12. Theorem. For every simplicial space $M$ there is an $A(M)$-module isomorphism between the module $\Gamma(M, \tau(M))$ of global sections of the cotangent object $\tau(M)$ of $M$ and the module $E(M)$ of global piecewise smooth 1-forms on $M$.

**Proof.** It suffices to work locally, so let $(U, \Psi)$ be a packet on $M$ and $u$ a coordinate system on $(U, \Psi)$. Each section $s \in \Gamma(U, \tau(M))$ may be written
s(x) = (x, Σ f_i(x)du_i) where each f_i is a function defined on St u_i. From the definition of section it follows that each f_i ∈ A(St u_i). We define the isomorphism \( \Gamma(U, \tau(M)) \rightarrow E(U) \) by taking each such section \( s \in \Gamma(U, \tau(M)) \) to the piecewise smooth 1-form \( \theta = \Sigma f_i du_i \in E(U) \). Q.E.D.

6. Closing remarks. The reference for this section is [3].

A simplicial bundle is a continuous surjection \( \xi: E \rightarrow M \) from a topological space \( E \) to a simplicial space \( M \) which satisfies criteria dual to the defining criteria for cosimplicial bundles. To every simplicial space \( M \) a simplicial bundle \( \tau^*(M) \) over \( M \) is associated; \( \tau^*(M) \) is a tangent object for \( M \) not only since \( \tau^*(M) \) is the dual of the cotangent object \( \tau(M) \) of \( M \) but also since there is a correspondence between piecewise smooth flows on \( M \) and sections of \( \tau^*(M) \).

Using a relative de Rham theorem for simplicial spaces a de Rham cohomology class \( [O_{2n}(\xi, s)] \) may be associated to each oriented simplicial bundle \( \xi \) over a polyhedron \( M \) and each nonzero section \( s \) of \( \xi \) defined on the \((2n - 1)\)-skeleton of \( M \). The class \( [O_{2n}(\xi, s)] \) is an obstruction class since \( s \) extends to a nonzero section of \( \xi \) defined on the \(2n\)-skeleton of \( M \) iff \( [O_{2n}(\xi, s)] = 0 \). (In fact \( [O_{2n}(\xi, s)] \) plays exactly the same role for simplicial bundles that the Euler class plays for vector bundles.)

Finally there is a Gauss-Bonnet theorem for combinatorial manifolds. This theorem states that if \( M \) is a closed oriented combinatorial manifold of dimension \( 2n \) then the tangent object \( \tau^*(M) \) of \( M \) is oriented; if \( F \) is any piecewise smooth flow on \( M \) which has only a finite number of nondegenerate fixed points each of which is in the interior of a \( 2n \)-simplex of \( M \) then \( F \) gives rise to a section \( X_F \) of \( \tau^*(M) \) which is nonzero on the \((2n - 1)\)-skeleton of \( M \) and the integral of \( O_{2n}(\tau^*(M), X_F) \) is the Euler characteristic of \( M \). The proof of this theorem is modeled after Chern's intrinsic proof. The existence of piecewise smooth flows as described in the statement of the Gauss-Bonnet theorem for combinatorial manifolds is easily verified. As a consequence of this verification it also follows that if \( M \) is a compact combinatorial manifold whose Euler characteristic is zero then there is a nonvanishing piecewise smooth flow on \( M \).
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