

DUALITIES FOR EQUATIONAL CLASSES
OF BROUWERIAN ALGEBRAS AND HEYTING ALGEBRAS

BY

BRIAN A. DAVEY⁽¹⁾

ABSTRACT. This paper focuses on the equational class S_n of Brouwerian algebras and the equational class L_n of Heyting algebras generated by an n -element chain. Firstly, duality theories are developed for these classes. Next, the projectives in the dual categories are determined, and then, by applying the dualities, the injectives and absolute subretracts in S_n and L_n are characterized. Finally, free products and the finitely generated free algebras in S_n and L_n are described.

Recently there has been considerable interest in distributive pseudocomplemented lattices, Brouwerian algebras and Heyting algebras. In particular, activity has centered around the equational subclasses ([8], [11], [24], [35], [36]), and steps have been made towards the determination of the injectives, absolute subretracts, free products and free algebras in these classes ([1], [2], [3], [12], [19], [20], [21], [27], [31], [32], [33], [34], [46], [47]). In this work attention is focused upon the equational class S_n of Brouwerian algebras and the equational class L_n of Heyting algebras generated by an n -element chain. Firstly, a duality theory is developed for each of these classes, the dual of an algebra being a Boolean space endowed with a continuous action of the endomorphism monoid of the n -element chain. Next, the projectives in the dual categories are determined, and then, by applying the dualities, the injectives and absolute subretracts in S_n and L_n are characterized. Finally, free products and the finitely generated free algebras in S_n and L_n are described.

1. The categories. Our standard references on category theory, universal algebra, and lattice theory are S. Mac Lane [37], G. Grätzer [17], and G. Grätzer [18] respectively; for our general topological requirements we refer to J. Dugundji [13] and for a discussion of Boolean spaces we call on P. R. Halmos [23].

Received by the editors August 13, 1974.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 06A35, 18A40, 54H10; Secondary 02C05, 08A10, 08A25, 18C05, 54F05, 54G05.

Key words and phrases. Distributive lattice, Brouwerian algebra, Heyting algebra, relative Stone algebra, L -algebra, Boolean space, duality, projective, injective, weak injective, absolute subretract, free product, free algebra.

⁽¹⁾ Research supported by the Canada Council.

Copyright © 1976, American Mathematical Society

A *Brouwerian algebra* A is a (necessarily distributive) lattice in which, for all $a, b \in A$, there exists $a * b \in A$ such that $x \wedge a \leq b \iff x \leq a * b$. Since A necessarily has a unit, namely $a * a$, we regard Brouwerian algebras as universal algebras of type $\langle 2, 2, 2, 0 \rangle$ with operations $\langle \wedge, \vee, *, 1 \rangle$. A *Heyting algebra* is a Brouwerian algebra with zero, and so it is a universal algebra of type $\langle 2, 2, 2, 0, 0 \rangle$ with operations $\langle \wedge, \vee, *, 0, 1 \rangle$. The standard results on Brouwerian and Heyting algebras can be found in H. Rasiowa and R. Sikorski [43] where they are referred to as relatively pseudocomplemented lattices and pseudo-Boolean algebras respectively. In particular, recall that the classes of Brouwerian and Heyting algebras are equational and that the lattice of congruences on a Brouwerian or Heyting algebra is isomorphic to its lattice of filters. It follows immediately from the latter fact that each Brouwerian or Heyting algebra has a distributive congruence lattice, and that every equational class of Brouwerian or Heyting algebras has the congruence extension property (see Definition 4.1).

We denote the n -element chain, $0 = c_0 < c_1 < \cdots < c_{n-2} < c_{n-1} = 1$, as a Brouwerian algebra by C_n^1 and as a Heyting algebra by C_n . Note that in any chain C the operation $*$ of relative pseudocomplementation is determined as follows:

$$a * b = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \leq b, \\ b & \text{if } a > b. \end{cases}$$

A *relative Stone algebra* is a Brouwerian algebra which satisfies the identity $(x * y) \vee (y * x) = 1$. The equational class of all relative Stone algebras is denoted by S_ω and, for $1 \leq n < \omega$, S_n denotes the equational subclass generated by C_n^1 . An *L-algebra* is a Heyting algebra satisfying $(x * y) \vee (y * x) = 1$. The equation class of all *L*-algebras is denoted by L_ω and, for $1 \leq n < \omega$, L_n denotes the equational subclass generated by C_n . It is well known ([2], [7], [8], [38]) that every interval in a relative Stone algebra is a Stone algebra; whence the name. (A bounded lattice A in which the pseudocomplement $a^* = a * 0$ exists for all $a \in A$ is called *pseudocomplemented*. A *Stone algebra* is a distributive pseudocomplemented lattice satisfying the identity $x^* \vee x^{**} = 1$.) Relative Stone algebras date back to G. Grätzer and E. T. Schmidt [22] and *L*-algebras arise naturally in the study of intermediate logics ([26], [27]). T. Hecht and T. Katriňák [24] have shown that the lattices of equational subclasses of S_ω and L_ω are given by the $(\omega + 1)$ -chains $S_1 \subset S_2 \subset \cdots \subset S_\omega$ and $L_1 \subset L_2 \subset \cdots \subset L_\omega$, and that S_n and L_n are characterized by the identity

$$(x_0 * x_1) \vee (x_1 * x_2) \vee \cdots \vee (x_{n-1} * x_n) = 1.$$

Throughout this work n will be fixed with $2 \leq n < \omega$, unless otherwise stated. The following result, which is also valid for Heyting algebras, is crucial.

PROPOSITION 1.1. *Let A be a Brouwerian algebra.*

- (i) $A \in S_\omega$ if and only if for each prime filter F of A the set of prime filters containing F forms a chain.
- (ii) $A \in S_n$ if and only if for each prime filter F of A the set of prime filters containing F forms a chain with at most $n - 1$ elements.

(iii) Let $g: A \rightarrow C_n^1$ be an onto map, and for $1 \leq i < n$ let $F_i = [c_i]g^{-1}$. Then g is a homomorphism if and only if F_i is a prime filter for $1 \leq i < n$ and the chain $F_{n-1} \subset F_{n-2} \subset \dots \subset F_1$ is the set of all prime filters containing $F_{n-1} = 1g^{-1}$.

PROOF. (i) See [7], [8], [22], [38] or [48].

(ii) See [24].

(iii) Since the map g is onto, it is a homomorphism if and only if the unique Brouwerian-algebra congruence determined by the filter $F_{n-1} = 1g^{-1}$ has $\{A - F_1, F_1 - F_2, F_2 - F_3, \dots, F_{n-2} - F_{n-1}, F_{n-1}\}$ as its set of congruence classes. If F is a filter in a distributive lattice D , then the smallest congruence Ψ^F on D with F as a congruence class is described as follows (see [6] or [44]):

$$\langle a, b \rangle \in \Psi^F \iff (a \wedge f = b \wedge f \text{ for some } f \in F).$$

Let P_F be the set of prime filters of D containing F . It is well known and easily verified that

$$\langle a, b \rangle \in \Psi^F \iff (a \in P \iff b \in P \text{ for all } P \in P_F).$$

Thus it is sufficient to show that the unique Brouwerian-algebra congruence determined by a filter F of A coincides with the lattice congruence Ψ^F ; but this is proved in W. C. Nemitz [39]. \square

This proposition allows us to describe the Hom-sets of the form $S_n(A, C_n^1)$ and $L_n(A, C_n)$; in particular, we can describe the endomorphism monoids $\text{End}(C_n^1)$ and $\text{End}(C_n)$.

Let $e \in \text{End}(C_n^1)$. Then e is order preserving and there is a filter $[c_k]$ of C_n^1 such that $[c_k]e = \{1\}$ and, for all $i, j < k$, $c_i e = c_j e$ implies $i = j$. Conversely, any map $e: C_n^1 \rightarrow C_n^1$ with these properties is an endomorphism of C_n^1 . It follows that

$$|\text{End}(C_n^1)| = \binom{n-1}{0} + \binom{n-1}{1} + \dots + \binom{n-1}{n-1} = 2^{n-1}.$$

The endomorphisms of C_n are determined similarly; the only additional restriction being $0e = 0$. By identifying C_n^1 with the filter $[c_1]$ of C_{n+1} we obtain a one-to-one correspondence, in fact, a monoid isomorphism, between $\text{End}(C_n^1)$ and

$\text{End}(C_{n+1})$. Hence $|\text{End}(C_n)| = 2^{n-2}$. The identity map in both $\text{End}(C_n^1)$ and $\text{End}(C_n)$ is denoted by ι ; the zero of the monoid $\text{End}(C_n^1)$, namely the retraction onto $\{1\}$, is denoted by θ .

DEFINITION 1.2. Let $A \in S_n$ and let $F = F_k \subset F_{k-1} \subset \cdots \subset F_1$ be the chain of all prime filters containing the prime filter F . The homomorphism $g_F \in S_n(A, C_n^1)$ determined by F is defined by

$$ag_F = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \in F = F_k, \\ c_i & \text{if } a \in F_i - F_{i+1} \quad (1 \leq i < k), \\ 0 & \text{if } a \in A - F_1. \end{cases}$$

If F is a filter of an algebra $A \in L_n$, then g_F is defined in exactly the same way. Proposition 1.1 guarantees that g_F is well defined. In essence, g_F maps all the elements of F to 1 and all the other elements of A as low as possible in the chain. The following factorization lemma will prove to be particularly useful.

LEMMA 1.3. (i) Let $g \in S_n(A, C_n^1)$ and let g_\downarrow be the homomorphism determined by $F = 1g^{-1}$. Then there exists $e \in \text{End}(C_n^1)$ with $g = g_\downarrow e$.

(ii) Let $g \in L_n(A, C_n)$ and let g_\downarrow be the homomorphism determined by $F = 1g^{-1}$. Then there exists $e \in \text{End}(C_n)$ with $g = g_\downarrow e$.

PROOF. We only prove (i) since the proof of (ii) is almost identical. Let $F = F_k \subset F_{k-1} \subset \cdots \subset F_1$ be the chain of all prime filters containing F . Let $a_0 \in A - F_1$, let $a_i \in F_i - F_{i+1}$, and define $e: C_n^1 \rightarrow C_n^1$ by $c_i e = 1$ for $k \leq i < n$, and $c_i e = a_i g$ for $0 \leq i < k$. By Proposition 1.1 (iii), g is constant on $A - F_1$, $F_i - F_{i+1}$ ($1 \leq i < k$), and F_k . Thus $g = g_\downarrow e$; and e is an endomorphism of C_n^1 since it is order preserving and, for all $i, j < k$, $c_i e = c_j e$ implies $i = j$. \square

A Boolean space is a zero-dimensional compact space, or equivalently, a compact space with a basis of clopen sets. The category of Boolean spaces and continuous maps is denoted by $Z\text{Comp}$, and for $X, Y \in Z\text{Comp}$, $C(X, Y)$ denotes the set of continuous maps from X to Y . Recall that any closed subspace of a product of finite discrete spaces is a Boolean space, and hence $S_n(A, C_n^1)$ and $L_n(A, C_n)$ are Boolean spaces (regarded as subspaces of $(C_n^1)^A$ and $(C_n)^A$ respectively).

Let X be a pointed Boolean space. Then the set $E^1(X)$ of point-preserving continuous maps $\varphi: X \rightarrow X$ is a monoid with id_X as identity and the retraction onto the distinguished point as a zero. Let X_n be the category of pointed Boolean spaces which have a continuous action of the monoid $\text{End}(C_n^1)$ (that is, a semigroup homomorphism, $e \rightarrow \tilde{e}$, from $\text{End}(C_n^1)$ into $E^1(X)$ such that $\tilde{\iota} = \text{id}_X$) for which $\tilde{\theta}$ is the retraction onto the distinguished point. A map $\psi \in C(X, Y)$ is a morphism of X_n if it is a point preserving and preserves the action of $\text{End}(C_n^1)$, that is, $x \tilde{e} \psi = x \psi \tilde{e}$ for all $x \in X$ and all $e \in \text{End}(C_n^1)$. Observe

that $C_n^1 \in X_n$: 1 is the distinguished point and for all $e \in \text{End}(C_n^1)$, $\tilde{e} = e$.

For all $A \in S_n$ the Boolean space $S_n(A, C_n^1)$ may be lifted to an object of X_n : the constant map $\hat{1}: A \rightarrow C_n^1$ onto $\{1\}$ is the distinguished point and for all $e \in \text{End}(C_n)$, $\tilde{e} \in E^1(S_n(A, C_n^1))$ is defined by $g\tilde{e} = ge$. If $h \in S_n(A, B)$, then it is clear that

$$S_n(h, C_n^1): S_n(B, C_n^1) \rightarrow S_n(A, C_n^1),$$

defined by $gS_n(h, C_n^1) = hg$, is a morphism of X_n ; whence $S_n(-, C_n^1): S_n \rightarrow X_n^{\text{op}}$ is a well-defined functor. It is also easy to verify that for all $X \in X_n$, $X_n(X, C_n^1)$ is a subalgebra of $(C_n^1)^X$, and that for all $\psi \in X_n(X, Y)$,

$$X_n(\psi, C_n^1): X_n(Y, C_n^1) \rightarrow X_n(X, C_n^1),$$

defined by $\varphi X_n(\psi, C_n^1) = \psi\varphi$, is a homomorphism; whence $X_n(-, C_n^1): X_n^{\text{op}} \rightarrow S_n$ is a well-defined functor.

In the next section we shall show that S_n and X_n are dual categories; the next result paves the way. For each $A \in S_n$ define $\eta_A: A \rightarrow X_n(S_n(A, C_n^1), C_n^1)$ by $a\eta_A = \Gamma_a$, where $g\Gamma_a = ag$ for all $g \in S_n(A, C_n^1)$; for each $X \in X_n$ define $\epsilon_X: X \rightarrow S_n(X_n(X, C_n^1), C_n^1)$ by $x\epsilon_X = \Gamma_x$, where $\varphi\Gamma_x = x\varphi$ for all $\varphi \in X_n(X, C_n^1)$.

PROPOSITION 1.4. $\langle S_n(-, C_n^1), X_n(-, C_n^1); \eta, \epsilon \rangle$ is an adjunction from S_n to X_n^{op} .

PROOF. By [37, Theorem 2, p. 81] it is sufficient to prove that η is a natural transformation and that each η_A is universal to $X_n(-, C_n^1)$ from A . We will only establish the universal mapping property since a simple calculation shows that η is a well-defined natural transformation. Let $A \in S_n$, $X \in X_n$, and let $h: A \rightarrow X_n(X, C_n^1)$ be a homomorphism. If $\psi: X \rightarrow S_n(A, C_n^1)$ satisfies $\eta_A X_n(\psi, C_n^1) = h$, then ψ must be given by $a(x\psi) = x(ah)$, and hence we must prove that this defines a morphism of X_n . But, since each of the maps ah ($a \in A$) is continuous, point preserving, and preserves the action of $\text{End}(C_n^1)$, it follows immediately that ψ is continuous and point preserving, and that for each $e \in \text{End}(C_n^1)$, $a(x\tilde{e}\psi) = x\tilde{e}(ah) = (x(ah))\tilde{e} = (a(x\psi))\tilde{e} = a(x\psi\tilde{e})$; whence ψ preserves the action of $\text{End}(C_n^1)$. \square

Let X be a Boolean space. Then the set $E(X) = \mathcal{C}(X, X)$ is a monoid with id_X as identity. Let Y_n be the category of Boolean spaces which have a continuous action, $e \rightarrow \tilde{e}$, of the monoid $\text{End}(C_n)$; the morphisms of Y_n being the continuous maps which preserve the action of $\text{End}(C_n)$. Observe that $C_n \in Y_n$: for all $e \in \text{End}(C_n)$, $\tilde{e} = e$. The Hom-functors $L_n(-, C_n): L_n \rightarrow Y_n^{\text{op}}$ and $Y_n(-, C_n): Y_n^{\text{op}} \rightarrow L_n$ are defined exactly as they were for S_n and X_n .

Clearly the analogue of Proposition 1.4 holds for \mathbf{L}_n and \mathbf{Y}_n . As before, for each $A \in \mathbf{L}_n$ define $\eta_A: A \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}_n(\mathbf{L}_n(A, C_n), C_n)$ by $a\eta_A = \Gamma_a$, where $g\Gamma_a = ag$ for all $g \in \mathbf{L}_n(A, C_n)$, and for each $X \in \mathbf{Y}_n$ define

$$\epsilon_X: X \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_n(\mathbf{Y}_n(X, C_n), C_n)$$

by $x\epsilon_X = \Gamma_x$, where $\varphi\Gamma_x = x\varphi$ for all $\varphi \in \mathbf{Y}_n(X, C_n)$.

PROPOSITION 1.5. $\langle \mathbf{L}_n(-, C_n), \mathbf{Y}_n(-, C_n); \eta, \epsilon \rangle$ is an adjunction from \mathbf{L}_n to \mathbf{Y}_n^{op} . \square

The proofs of the duality theorems pivot around the following simple result.

LEMMA 1.6. (i) If $\varphi \in \mathbf{X}_n(\mathbf{S}_n(A, C_n^1), C_n^1)$, then $g\varphi \in \text{Im}(g)$ for all $g \in \mathbf{S}_n(A, C_n^1)$.

(ii) If $\varphi \in \mathbf{Y}_n(\mathbf{L}_n(A, C_n), C_n)$, then $g\varphi \in \text{Im}(g)$ for all $g \in \mathbf{L}_n(A, C_n)$.

PROOF. We only prove (i). Since φ preserves the action of $\text{End}(C_n^1)$, by Lemma 1.3 it is sufficient to show that $g_{\downarrow}\varphi \in \text{Im}(g_{\downarrow})$ for all $g \in \mathbf{S}_n(A, C_n^1)$. Without loss of generality, assume that g is not the constant homomorphism $\hat{1}$. Let $\text{Im}(g_{\downarrow}) = [c_{k-1}] \cup \{1\}$, $1 \leq k < n$, and let e_k be the endomorphism of C_n^1 determined by the prime filter $[c_k]$. Clearly $g_{\downarrow} = g_{\downarrow}e_k = g_{\downarrow}\tilde{e}_k$ and hence $g_{\downarrow}\varphi = g_{\downarrow}\tilde{e}_k\varphi = g_{\downarrow}\varphi\tilde{e}_k = g_{\downarrow}\varphi e_k$; whence $g_{\downarrow}\varphi \in \text{Im}(e_k) = \text{Im}(g_{\downarrow})$. \square

2. The dualities. Since we are primarily interested in representing algebras as algebras of continuous functions, our emphasis is on dualities rather than full dualities, in the following sense.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{X} be categories and assume that $D: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}^{\text{op}}$ is left adjoint to $E: \mathbf{X}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$. Then $\langle D, E \rangle$ is a *duality* (between \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{X}) if the unit $\eta: \text{id}_{\mathbf{A}} \rightarrow ED$ of the adjunction is a natural isomorphism, and is a *full duality* if the counit $\epsilon: \text{id}_{\mathbf{X}} \rightarrow DE$ is also a natural isomorphism.

Firstly, we will establish the duality between \mathbf{L}_n and \mathbf{Y}_n ; for the duality between \mathbf{S}_{n-1} and \mathbf{X}_{n-1} will then follow. In order to do so we require H. A. Priestley's duality for bounded distributive lattices.

A subset U of a poset X is *increasing* if $x \in U$ and $y \geq x$ imply that $y \in U$. A partially ordered, topological space X is *totally order disconnected* if for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \not\leq y$ there exists a clopen increasing subset U of X such that $x \in U$ and $y \notin U$. The category of compact totally order-disconnected spaces and continuous order-preserving maps is denoted by \mathbf{P} . (Note that the underlying space of an object in \mathbf{P} is a Boolean space.) The category of distributive lattices with zero and unit is denoted by \mathbf{D} . For each $A \in \mathbf{D}$ let $\mathfrak{X}(A)$ be the set of all prime filters of A , and for each $a \in A$ let $\mathfrak{X}_a = \{x \in \mathfrak{X}(A) \mid a \in x\}$. Order $\mathfrak{X}(A)$ by inclusion and let $\{\mathfrak{X}_a \mid a \in A\} \cup \{\mathfrak{X}(A) - \mathfrak{X}_a \mid a \in A\}$ be a basis for a topology on $\mathfrak{X}(A)$. Then $\mathfrak{X}(A) \in \mathbf{P}$. If $h \in \mathbf{D}(A, B)$, then $\mathfrak{X}(h): \mathfrak{X}(B) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(A)$ is defined by $x\mathfrak{X}(h) = xh^{-1}$. For each $X \in \mathbf{P}$ let $\mathfrak{U}(X)$ be the lattice of clopen increasing

subsets of X with set union and intersection as operations. If $\psi \in P(X, Y)$, then $\mathfrak{U}(\psi): \mathfrak{U}(Y) \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}(X)$ is defined by $U\mathfrak{U}(\psi) = U\psi^{-1}$. Both $\mathfrak{X}: D \rightarrow P^{op}$ and $\mathfrak{U}: P^{op} \rightarrow D$ are well-defined functors. For each $A \in D$ define $\eta_A: A \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}\mathfrak{X}(A)$ by $a\eta_A = \mathfrak{X}_a$, and for each $X \in P$ define $\epsilon_X: X \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}\mathfrak{U}(X)$ by $x\epsilon_X = \{U \in \mathfrak{U}(X) | x \in U\}$; then $\langle \mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{U}; \eta, \epsilon \rangle$ is an adjunction from D to P^{op} .

THEOREM 2.2 (H. A. Priestley [40], [41]). $\langle \mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{U} \rangle$ is a full duality between D and P . \square

REMARK 2.3. If A is a finite distributive lattice, then $\mathfrak{X}(A)$ is discretely topologized and hence A is isomorphic to the lattice of increasing subsets of the poset $\mathfrak{X}(A)$ of its prime filters.

With this tool we may now establish the duality between L_n and Y_n .

THEOREM 2.4. $\langle L_n(-, C_n), Y_n(-, C_n) \rangle$ is a duality between L_n and Y_n .

PROOF. Let $A \in L_n$. Since each pair of distinct elements of a distributive lattice can be separated by a prime filter, it follows, by Proposition 1.1, that $L(A, C_n)$ separates the points of A and hence η_A is an embedding. We now show that η_A is also a surjection and hence is an isomorphism.

Define an equivalence relation R on $L_n(A, C_n)$ by $\langle g, h \rangle \in R \iff 1g^{-1} = 1h^{-1}$ and note that $[g]R = [g_\downarrow]R$, where $[g]R$ denotes the equivalence class of g in $L_n(A, C_n)/R$. Define a partial order \leqslant on the quotient space by $[g]R \leqslant [h]R \iff 1g^{-1} \subseteq 1h^{-1}$. Observe that

$$[g]R \leqslant [h]R \iff g_\downarrow \leqslant h_\downarrow \text{ (pointwise)} \iff g_\downarrow = h_\downarrow e \text{ for some } e \in \text{End}(C_n).$$

We claim that $L_n(A, C_n)/R$ is homeomorphic and order isomorphic to $\mathfrak{X}(A)$. Define $G: L_n(A, C_n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(A)$ by $gG = 1g^{-1}$. The map G is continuous since it is clear that the preimages under G of the basic open sets \mathfrak{X}_a and $\mathfrak{X}(A) - \mathfrak{X}_a$ are open in $L_n(A, C_n)$. Since G is constant on the equivalence classes of R it induces a homeomorphism \bar{G} between $L_n(A, C_n)/R$ and $\mathfrak{X}(A)$ (see [13, Corollary 2.2, p. 227]). Furthermore,

$$[g]R \leqslant [h]R \iff 1g^{-1} \subseteq 1h^{-1} \iff gG \leqslant hG \iff ([g]R)\bar{G} \leqslant ([h]R)\bar{G},$$

and hence \bar{G} is an order-isomorphism.

If $\varphi \in Y_n(L_n(A, C_n), C_n)$, then $U = 1\varphi^{-1}$ is a clopen subset of $L_n(A, C_n)$. If $g \in U$ and $\langle g, h \rangle \in R$, then $h \in U$. Indeed, let $g = g_\downarrow e$ and $h = h_\downarrow f$ be factorizations of g and h via Lemma 1.3; then $g_\downarrow = h_\downarrow$. Since $g\varphi = 1$ we have $g_\downarrow\varphi e = g_\downarrow e\varphi = g\varphi = 1$; but $g_\downarrow\varphi \in \text{Im}(g_\downarrow)$ (Lemma 1.6) and therefore $g_\downarrow\varphi = 1$. Hence $h\varphi = h_\downarrow f\varphi = h_\downarrow\varphi f = g_\downarrow\varphi f = 1f = 1$, and so $h \in U$. Thus the clopen set U is a union of R -equivalence classes and consequently $U/R = \{[g]R | g \in U\}$ is clopen in $L_n(A, C_n)/R$. Assume that $[g]R \in U/R$. If $[g]R \leqslant [h]R$, then there exists $e \in \text{End}(C_n)$ with $g_\downarrow e = h_\downarrow$, and thus $h_\downarrow\varphi = g_\downarrow e\varphi = g_\downarrow\varphi e = 1e = 1$. Hence

$h_{\downarrow} \in U$ and so $[h]R = [h_{\downarrow}]R \in U/R$. We have shown that U/R is a clopen increasing subset of $\mathbf{L}_n(A, C_n)/R$.

Since $\bar{G}: \mathbf{L}_n(A, C_n)/R \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(A)$ is an isomorphism in \mathbf{P} , by Theorem 2.2 there exists $a \in A$ such that $(U/R)\bar{G} = \mathfrak{X}_a$, that is,

$$(*) \quad \text{for all } g \in \mathbf{L}_n(A, C_n), g\varphi = 1 \text{ if and only if } ag = 1,$$

We claim that $a\eta_A = \varphi$, that is, for all $g \in \mathbf{L}_n(A, C_n)$, $ag = g\varphi$.

By Lemma 1.3 it is sufficient to prove that for all $g \in \mathbf{L}_n(A, C_n)$, $ag_{\downarrow} = g_{\downarrow}\varphi$. Let $1g^{-1} = F_k \subset F_{k-1} \subset \dots \subset F_1$ be the chain of all prime filters containing $1g^{-1}$. For $1 \leq i \leq k$, let $g_i: A \rightarrow C_n$ be the homomorphism determined by the prime filter F_i , let $e_i \in \text{End}(C_n)$ be the endomorphism determined by the prime filter $[c_i]$, and observe that $g_i = g_{\downarrow}e_i$.

If $a \in F_k = 1g^{-1}$, then $ag_{\downarrow} = 1 = g_{\downarrow}\varphi$ by (*). If $a \in A - F_1$, then $ag_1 \neq 1$ and hence $g_1\varphi \neq 1$ by (*). But $g_1\varphi \in \text{Im}(g_1)$ by Lemma 1.6, and hence $g_1\varphi = 0$. Consequently $g_{\downarrow}\varphi e_1 = g_{\downarrow}e_1\varphi = g_1\varphi = 0$, and so $g_{\downarrow}\varphi = 0$. But, since $a \in A - F_1$, we also have $ag_{\downarrow} = 0$; whence $ag_{\downarrow} = 0 = g_{\downarrow}\varphi$. Finally, assume that $a \in F_l - F_{l+1}$, $1 \leq l < k$. Clearly $ag_l = 1$ and $ag_{l+1} \neq 1$. Thus by (*), $g_l\varphi = 1$ and $g_{l+1}\varphi \neq 1$. Hence $g_{\downarrow}\varphi e_l = g_{\downarrow}e_l\varphi = g_l\varphi = 1$ and $g_{\downarrow}\varphi e_{l+1} = g_{\downarrow}e_{l+1}\varphi = g_{l+1}\varphi \neq 1$, that is, $g_{\downarrow}\varphi \in [c_l] - [c_{l+1}] = [c_l]$. Hence $g_{\downarrow}\varphi = c_l$. But, since $a \in F_l - F_{l+1}$, we also have $ag_{\downarrow} = c_l$; whence $ag_{\downarrow} = c_l = g_{\downarrow}\varphi$. \square

For $n = 2$ the duality reduces to M. H. Stone's duality for Boolean algebras ([45], see also [23]) and hence is full.

For $n = 3$ the duality is also full; we sketch a proof. By Proposition 1.1, for all $A \in \mathbf{L}_3$, every prime filter $x \in \mathfrak{X}(A)$ induces a homomorphism $g_x \in \mathbf{L}_3(A, C_3)$, namely the homomorphism determined by x , and conversely, every homomorphism $g \in \mathbf{L}_3(A, C_3)$ is uniquely determined by the filter $x = 1g^{-1}$. For all $x \in \mathfrak{X}(A)$ let $x\tilde{e}_1$ be the unique maximal filter containing x . Then $\tilde{e}_1: \mathfrak{X}(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(A)$ is continuous, $\mathfrak{X}(A) \in \mathbf{Y}_3$, and the one-to-one correspondence described above is a \mathbf{Y}_3 -isomorphism between $\mathfrak{X}(A)$ and $\mathbf{L}_3(A, C_3)$. Define a partial order \leqslant on each $X \in \mathbf{Y}_3$ by $x \leqslant y \iff (x = y \text{ or } x\tilde{e}_1 = y)$. Under this partial order X is totally order disconnected, that is, $X \in \mathbf{P}$. Every clopen increasing subset U of X determines a map $\varphi_U \in \mathbf{Y}_3(X, C_3)$:

$$x\varphi_U = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in U, \\ c_1 & \text{if } x \in (U\tilde{e}_1^{-1}) - U, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin U\tilde{e}_1^{-1}, \end{cases}$$

and conversely, every map $\varphi \in \mathbf{Y}_3(X, C_3)$ is uniquely determined by the clopen increasing subset $U = 1\varphi^{-1}$. This one-to-one correspondence is an \mathbf{L}_3 -isomorphism

between $\mathfrak{Y}(X)$ and $\mathbf{Y}_3(X, C_3)$. Since $\langle \mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y} \rangle$ is a full duality between \mathbf{D} and \mathbf{P} it follows that $\langle \mathbf{L}_3(-, C_3), \mathbf{Y}_3(-, C_3) \rangle$ is a full duality between \mathbf{L}_3 and \mathbf{Y}_3 . (An alternative proof may be obtained by applying the duality for Stone algebras developed in [9] and [42].)

For $n \geq 4$ the duality is not full. Let $X = \{0, 1\}$, let $\tilde{\iota} = \text{id}_X$ and for all $e \neq \iota$ let \tilde{e} be the retraction onto the point 1. It is easily checked that the action of $\text{End}(C_n)$ is well defined and that $\mathbf{Y}_n(X, C_n) = \{\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_2\}$, where $0\varphi_0 = 1\varphi_0 = 0$, $0\varphi_1 = 0$ and $1\varphi_1 = c_{n-2}$, and $0\varphi_2 = 1\varphi_2 = 1$. Hence $\mathbf{Y}_n(X, C_n) \cong C_3$, which gives

$$|\mathbf{L}_n(\mathbf{Y}_n(X, C_n), C_n)| = |\mathbf{L}_n(C_3, C_n)| = n - 1 \neq 2;$$

whence ϵ_X is not a surjection.

We turn now to \mathbf{S}_n and \mathbf{X}_n .

THEOREM 2.5. $\langle \mathbf{S}_n(-, C_n^1), \mathbf{X}_n(-, C_n^1) \rangle$ is a duality between \mathbf{S}_n and \mathbf{X}_n .

PROOF. If $A \in \mathbf{S}_n$, then ${}_0A$, the Heyting algebra obtained by adjoining a new zero to A , is an object of \mathbf{L}_{n+1} by Proposition 1.1. If $g \in \mathbf{S}_n(A, C_n^1)$, then identifying C_n^1 with the filter $[c_1]$ of C_{n+1} , we obtain ${}_0g \in \mathbf{L}_{n+1}({}_0A, C_{n+1})$ by extending g in the obvious manner. Since $\text{End}(C_n^1) \cong \text{End}(C_{n+1})$ it follows that $\mathbf{S}_n(A, C_n^1) \cong \mathbf{L}_{n+1}({}_0A, C_{n+1})$, where the distinguished point of $\mathbf{L}_{n+1}({}_0A, C_{n+1})$ is the homomorphism $h_1: {}_0A \rightarrow C_{n+1}$ determined by the prime filter A . Note that for all $g \in \mathbf{L}_{n+1}({}_0A, C_{n+1})$, $ge_1 = h_1$. If

$$\varphi \in \mathbf{Y}_{n+1}(\mathbf{L}_{n+1}({}_0A, C_{n+1}), C_{n+1}),$$

then $h_1\varphi \in \text{Im}(h_1) = \{0, 1\}$ by Lemma 1.6. If $h_1\varphi = 0$, then for all $g \in \mathbf{L}_{n+1}({}_0A, C_{n+1})$ we have $g\varphi e_1 = ge_1\varphi = h_1\varphi = 0$ and so $g\varphi = 0$, that is, $\varphi = \hat{0}$, the identically zero map. Similarly, if $h_1\varphi = 1$, then for all $g \in \mathbf{L}_{n+1}({}_0A, C_{n+1})$, $g\varphi \in [c_1]$. It follows readily that

$$\mathbf{Y}_{n+1}(\mathbf{L}_{n+1}({}_0A, C_{n+1}), C_{n+1}) \cong \mathbf{X}_n(\mathbf{L}_{n+1}({}_0A, C_{n+1}), C_n^1) \cup \{\hat{0}\}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X}_n(\mathbf{S}_n(A, C_n^1), C_n^1) &\cong \mathbf{X}_n(\mathbf{L}_{n+1}({}_0A, C_{n+1}), C_n^1) \\ &\cong \mathbf{Y}_n(\mathbf{L}_{n+1}({}_0A, C_{n+1}), C_{n+1}) - \{\hat{0}\} \cong {}_0A - \{0\} = A. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

For $n = 2$ the duality is full. A *dual generalized Boolean algebra* (DGBA) is a distributive lattice with unit in which each principal filter is a Boolean algebra. It is well known that a DGBA is a Brouwerian algebra and that \mathbf{S}_2 is the

class of all DGBA's (see [29]). Since $\text{End}(C_2^1) = \{\iota, \theta\}$, the action of $\text{End}(C_2^1)$ is trivial and hence X_2 is isomorphic to the category of pointed Boolean spaces. For each $X \in X_2$ define the action of $\text{End}(C_3)$ on X by declaring that $\tilde{e}_1 = \tilde{\theta}$, the retraction onto the distinguished point. Then $X \in Y_3$ and it is easily seen that $Y_3(X, C_3) \cong {}_0[X_2(X, C_2^1)]$. Hence, since the duality between L_3 and Y_3 is full, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_2(X_2(X, C_2^1), C_2^1) &\cong L_3({}_0[X_2(X, C_2^1)], C_3) \\ &\cong L_3(Y_3(X, C_3), C_3) \cong X, \end{aligned}$$

and thus the duality between S_2 and X_2 is full.

For $n \geq 3$ the duality is not full. Again let $X = \{0, 1\}$, let $\tilde{\iota} = \text{id}_X$ and for $e \neq \iota$ let \tilde{e} be the retraction onto the distinguished point 1. The action of $\text{End}(C_n^1)$ is well defined and $X_n(X, C_n^1) = \{\varphi_0, \varphi_1\}$, where $0\varphi_0 = c_{n-2}$ and $1\varphi_0 = 1$, and $0\varphi_1 = 1\varphi_1 = 1$. Hence

$$|S_n(X_n(X, C_n^1), C_n^1)| = |S_n(C_2^1, C_n^1)| = n \neq 2,$$

and thus ϵ_X is not a surjection.

3. **ZComp-free functors and sur-projectives in X_n and Y_n .** If there is a faithful functor $|-|: X \rightarrow C$, then X is *grounded in C* and $|-|$ is called a *grounding*. A category X has a *C-free functor* if it is grounded in C and the grounding has a left adjoint $\mathfrak{F}: C \rightarrow X$. If $C = \text{Set}$, then \mathfrak{F} is simply called a *free functor*. Forgetful functors are the most accessible examples of groundings and the formation of free algebras in an equation class is a typical example of a free functor.

If $|-|: X \rightarrow \text{Set}$ is a grounding, then $\varphi \in X$ is a *surjection* if $|\varphi|$ is onto. An object $P \in X$ is *sur-projective in X* if for every surjection $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ and every morphism $\psi: P \rightarrow Y$ there exists $\psi': P \rightarrow X$ with $\psi'\varphi = \psi$. Recall that X is a *retract* of Y if there exist morphisms $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ and $\psi: Y \rightarrow X$ in X with $\varphi\psi = \text{id}_X$.

The following result, which is proved in [25], illustrates the importance of **ZComp-free functors**.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *If X is a category grounded in **ZComp** and $\mathfrak{F}: \text{ZComp} \rightarrow X$ is a **ZComp-free functor**, then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *P is sur-projective in X;*
- (ii) *P is a retract of $\mathfrak{F}(\beta S)$ for some set S where $\beta: \text{Set} \rightarrow \text{ZComp}$ is the Stone-Čech compactification functor;*
- (iii) *P is a retract of $\mathfrak{F}(X)$ for some compact extremally disconnected space X.* \square

X_n and Y_n are grounded in **ZComp** by the forgetful functors and we now describe their **ZComp-free functors**. As a preliminary we prove a purely universal-algebraic result.

As before, if A is an algebra and B is a subalgebra of A^X , then for each $x \in X$ the map $\Gamma_x: B \rightarrow A$ is defined by $\varphi\Gamma_x = x\varphi$ for all $\varphi \in B$. For all $a \in A$, $\hat{a}: X \rightarrow A$ denotes the constant map onto $\{a\}$. The monoid of endomorphisms of A is denoted by $\text{End}(A)$ and the constant endomorphism onto a one-element subalgebra $\{a\}$ of A is denoted by \bar{a} .

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let A be a nontrivial finite algebra all of whose nontrivial subalgebras are subdirectly irreducible and assume that every algebra in the equational class $\mathbf{A} = \text{HSP}(\{A\})$ generated by A has a distributive congruence lattice. If X is a Boolean space and B is a subalgebra of $C(X, A)$ containing the constant maps, then every homomorphism $g \in \mathbf{A}(B, A)$ is of the form $\Gamma_x e$ for some $x \in X$ and some $e \in \text{End}(A)$.*

PROOF. Let $g \in \mathbf{A}(B, A)$. If $\text{Im}(g) = \{a\}$, then choose $x \in X$ arbitrarily; clearly $g = \Gamma_x \bar{a}$. If $\text{Im}(g)$ is nontrivial then it is subdirectly irreducible, and by Jónsson's lemma [28, Lemma 3.1, p. 114] there is a maximal filter F of the Boolean algebra of all subsets of X with $\Theta_F|B \leq \text{Ker}(g)$, where Θ_F is the congruence on A^X given by

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle \in \Theta_F \iff (\text{Eq}(\varphi, \psi) = \{x \in X | x\varphi = x\psi\} \in F).$$

Let $F' = \{U \in F | U \text{ is clopen in } X\}$. Then F' is a maximal filter of the Boolean algebra of clopen subsets of X , and hence, since X is a Boolean space, there exists (a unique) $x \in X$ such that $F' = \{U | U \text{ is clopen in } X \text{ and } x \in U\}$.

Now $\text{Eq}(\varphi, \psi) = \bigcup (a\varphi^{-1} \cap a\psi^{-1} | a \in A)$, and thus if $\varphi, \psi \in C(X, A)$, then $\text{Eq}(\varphi, \psi)$ is clopen in X . Hence

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle \in \Theta_F|B \iff \text{Eq}(\varphi, \psi) \in F' \iff x\varphi = x\psi.$$

Define $e: A \rightarrow A$ by $ae = \hat{a}g$. Since B contains the set $\{\hat{a} | a \in A\}$ of constant maps, e is well defined, and since A is isomorphic to $\{\hat{a} | a \in A\}$, e is an endomorphism. We claim that $g = \Gamma_x e$. If $\varphi \in B$, then $\varphi(\Gamma_x e) = x\varphi e = \widehat{(x\varphi)}g$. But $\langle \varphi, \widehat{(x\varphi)} \rangle \in \Theta_F|B$ since $x\varphi = \widehat{(x\varphi)}$, and hence $\langle \varphi, \widehat{(x\varphi)} \rangle \in \text{Ker}(g)$ since $\Theta_F|B \leq \text{Ker}(g)$. Thus $\varphi g = \widehat{(x\varphi)}g$, and consequently $\varphi(\Gamma_x e) = \varphi g$. \square

It is readily verified that for any algebra B of the same type as A , $\mathbf{A}(B, A)$ is a closed subspace of A^B , and hence $\mathbf{A}(B, A)$ is a Boolean space since A is finite.

COROLLARY 3.3. *Assume that the conditions of the proposition hold and that $\{a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$ is the set of pairwise distinct elements which form one-element subalgebras of A . Let*

$$\mathfrak{F}(X) = (X \times (\text{End}(A) - \{\bar{a}_0, \dots, \bar{a}_{n-1}\})) \dot{\cup} \{\bar{a}_0, \dots, \bar{a}_{n-1}\}$$

and define $\mu_X: \mathfrak{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}(C(X, A), A)$ by $\langle x, e \rangle \mu_X = \Gamma_x e$ and $\bar{a}_i \mu_X = \hat{a}_i$

($i < n$). Then μ_X is a homeomorphism of $\mathfrak{F}(X)$ onto $A(C(X, A), A)$.

PROOF. The proposition guarantees that μ_X is onto; we now show that it is one-to-one. Let $\langle x, e \rangle, \langle y, f \rangle \in \mathfrak{F}(X)$. If $e \neq f$, then there exists $a \in A$ with $ae \neq af$, and consequently

$$\hat{a}(\langle x, e \rangle \mu_X) = \hat{a}\Gamma_x e = ae \neq af = \hat{a}\Gamma_y f = \hat{a}(\langle y, f \rangle \mu_X).$$

If $e = f$ and $x \neq y$, then let U be a clopen subset of X with $x \in U$ and $y \notin U$. Since $\text{Im}(e)$ is nontrivial there exist $a, b \in A$ with $ae \neq be$. Thus, after defining $\varphi \in C(X, A)$ by $U\varphi = \{a\}$ and $(X - U)\varphi = \{b\}$, we have

$$\varphi(\langle x, e \rangle \mu_X) = \varphi\Gamma_x e = x\varphi e = ae \neq be = y\varphi e = \varphi\Gamma_y e = \varphi(\langle y, e \rangle \mu_X).$$

It follows at once that μ_X is one-to-one.

For each $\varphi \in C(X, A)$ and each $a \in A$ let

$$(\varphi; a) = \{g \in A(C(X, A), A) \mid \varphi g = a\}.$$

Since A is finite, $\{(\varphi; a) \mid \varphi \in C(X, A); a \in A\}$ is a subbasis for the topology on $A(C(X, A), A)$. Let $U = \{\langle x, e \rangle \in \mathfrak{F}(X) \mid x\varphi e = a\}$. If $\{a\}$ is not a subalgebra of A , then $(\varphi; a)\mu_X^{-1} = U$, and if $\{a\}$ is a subalgebra of A , then $(\varphi; a)\mu_X^{-1} = U \cup \{\bar{a}\}$. Hence to prove that μ_X is continuous it is sufficient to prove that U is open in X ; but, for every $\langle x, e \rangle \in U$, $(ae^{-1})\varphi^{-1} \times \{e\}$ is an open neighbourhood of $\langle x, e \rangle$ contained in U . Thus μ_X is continuous, and, since it is a bijection, it is a homeomorphism. \square

REMARK 3.4. Let B be a Boolean algebra and let A be a finite algebra. It is easily seen that the Boolean extension $A[B]$ of A by B (see [17]) is isomorphic to $C(X, A)$, where X is the Stone space of B . Thus Corollary 3.3 implies that, under the assumptions of the proposition, $A(A[B], A)$ is homeomorphic to $\mathfrak{F}(X)$.

For all $X \in \mathbf{ZComp}$ let $\mathfrak{F}^1(X) = X \times (\text{End}(C_n^1) - \{\theta\}) \dot{\cup} \{\theta\}$, let θ be the distinguished point of $\mathfrak{F}^1(X)$, and define the action of $\text{End}(C_n^1)$ on $\mathfrak{F}^1(X)$ by

$$\langle x, e \rangle \tilde{f} = \begin{cases} \langle x, ef \rangle & \text{if } ef \neq \theta, \\ \theta & \text{if } ef = \theta, \end{cases} \quad \text{and } \theta \tilde{f} = \theta.$$

If $\psi \in C(X, Y)$, then define $\mathfrak{F}^1(\psi) \in \mathbf{X}_n(\mathfrak{F}^1(X), \mathfrak{F}^1(Y))$ by $\langle x, e \rangle \mathfrak{F}^1(\psi) = \langle x\psi, e \rangle$ and $\theta \mathfrak{F}^1(\psi) = \theta$. Clearly $\mathfrak{F}^1: \mathbf{ZComp} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_n$ is a well-defined functor.

Similarly, for all $X \in \mathbf{ZComp}$ let $\mathfrak{F}(X) = X \times \text{End}(C_n)$ and define the action of $\text{End}(C_n)$ on $\mathfrak{F}(X)$ by $\langle x, e \rangle f = \langle x, ef \rangle$. If $\psi \in C(X, Y)$, then define $\mathfrak{F}(\psi) \in \mathbf{Y}_n(\mathfrak{F}(X), \mathfrak{F}(Y))$ by $\langle x, e \rangle \mathfrak{F}(\psi) = \langle x\psi, e \rangle$. Clearly $\mathfrak{F}: \mathbf{ZComp} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}_n$ is a well-defined functor.

Note that if $\psi \in C(X, Y)$, then $C(\psi, C_n^1): C(Y, C_n^1) \rightarrow C(X, C_n^1)$, defined

by $\varphi C(\psi, C_n^1) = \psi\varphi$, is a homomorphism, and hence $C(-, C_n^1): \mathbf{ZComp}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_n$ is a well-defined functor; the functor $C(-, C_n): \mathbf{ZComp}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_n$ is defined similarly.

THEOREM 3.5. (i) $\mathfrak{F}^1: \mathbf{ZComp} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_n$ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathbf{L}_n(C(-, C_n^1), C_n^1): \mathbf{ZComp} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_n$ and is a \mathbf{ZComp} -free functor for \mathbf{X}_n .

(ii) $\mathfrak{F}: \mathbf{ZComp} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}_n$ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathbf{S}_n(C(-, C_n), C_n): \mathbf{ZComp} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}_n$ and is a \mathbf{ZComp} -free functor for \mathbf{Y}_n .

PROOF. We only prove (i). Since the lattice of congruences of a Brouwerian algebra A is isomorphic to its lattice of filters, A is subdirectly irreducible if and only if it has a unique coatom. Hence C_m^1 is subdirectly irreducible for all $m \geq 2$ and consequently C_n^1 satisfies the conditions of Proposition 3.2. Our first claim is that $\mu: \mathfrak{F}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_n(C(-, C_n^1), C_n^1)$, as defined in Corollary 3.3, is a natural isomorphism. A simple calculation shows that μ is a natural transformation, and by Corollary 3.3, μ_X is a homeomorphism for each $X \in \mathbf{ZComp}$. Since, by definition, μ_X preserves the distinguished point, it remains only to prove that μ_X preserves the action of $\text{End}(C_n^1)$; but again this is a simple calculation.

The unit $\zeta: \text{id}_{\mathbf{ZComp}} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_n$ of the adjunction from \mathbf{ZComp} to \mathbf{X}_n is defined by $x\zeta_X = \langle x, \iota \rangle$. It is clear that ζ is a natural transformation and we now show that ζ satisfies the universal mapping property. If $Y \in \mathbf{X}_n$ and $\varphi \in C(X, Y)$, then define $\psi \in \mathbf{X}_n(\mathfrak{F}^1(X), Y)$ by $\langle x, e \rangle \psi = x\varphi e$ and $\theta\psi = 1$, where 1 denotes the distinguished point of Y . Since $x\zeta_X\psi = \langle x, \iota \rangle \psi = x\varphi$ we have $\zeta_X\psi = \varphi$, and the uniqueness of ψ is immediate. \square

The free functors from Set into \mathbf{X}_n and \mathbf{Y}_n may be obtained by composing \mathfrak{F}^1 and \mathfrak{F} respectively with β , the Stone-Čech compactification functor.

We can now describe the sur-projectives in \mathbf{X}_n and \mathbf{Y}_n ; as usual, a proof is only provided for the case of \mathbf{X}_n , which is the more technical of the two.

Recall that for $1 \leq k < n$, $e_k \in \text{End}(C_n^1)$ denotes the endomorphism determined by the prime filter $[c_k]$, and note that $e_{n-1} = \iota$. Let $E_k^1 = e_k \text{End}(C_n^1) - \{\theta\}$ be the deleted right ideal of $\text{End}(C_n^1)$ generated by e_k . If X_1, \dots, X_{n-1} are (possibly empty) Boolean spaces, then

$$Z = \dot{\cup} (X_k \times E_k^1 \mid 1 \leq k < n) \dot{\cup} \{\theta\}$$

is a subobject of $\mathfrak{F}^1(Y)$, where $Y = \dot{\cup} (X_k \mid 1 \leq k < n)$, and $\tau: \mathfrak{F}^1(Y) \rightarrow Z$, defined by

$$\langle x, e \rangle \tau = \begin{cases} \langle x, e_k e \rangle & \text{if } x \in X_k \text{ and } e_k e \neq \theta, \\ \theta & \text{if } x \in X_k \text{ and } e_k e = \theta, \end{cases} \quad \text{and } \theta \tau = \theta,$$

is a retraction onto Z .

Before stating and proving the characterization of the sur-projectives in \mathbf{X}_n we require a lemma.

LEMMA 3.6. *Let $X \in \mathbf{ZComp}$ and assume that P is a subobject of $\mathfrak{F}^1(X)$ which is a retract. Then $\langle x, e \rangle \in P$ implies that $\langle x, e_\downarrow \rangle \in P$ for all $e \in \text{End}(C_n^1) - \{\theta\}$.*

PROOF. Let $\tau: \mathfrak{F}^1(X) \rightarrow P$ be a retraction and let $\langle x, e \rangle \in P$. If $\langle x, e \rangle \tau = \theta$, then $\langle x, e \rangle = \langle x, e \rangle \tau = \langle x, e \rangle \tilde{e} \tau = \langle x, e \rangle \tau \tilde{e} = \theta \tilde{e} = \theta$, a contradiction; hence there exists $y \in X$ and $f \in \text{End}(C_n^1)$ such that $\langle x, e \rangle \tau = \langle y, f \rangle$.

Now $\langle x, e \rangle = \langle x, e \rangle \tau = \langle x, e \rangle \tilde{e} \tau = \langle x, e \rangle \tau \tilde{e} = \langle y, f \rangle \tilde{e} = \langle y, fe \rangle$, and thus $x = y$ and $e = fe$. But $e = fe$ implies that $af = a$ for all $a \in C_n^1$ for which $ae \neq 1$. Hence $fe_\downarrow = e_\downarrow$, and since $\langle x, f \rangle \in P$ it follows that $\langle x, e_\downarrow \rangle = \langle x, fe_\downarrow \rangle = \langle x, f \rangle \tilde{e}_\downarrow \in P$. \square

THEOREM 3.7. *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) P is sur-projective in \mathbf{X}_n ;
- (ii) P is a retract of $\mathfrak{F}^1(X)$ for some compact extremally disconnected space X ;
- (iii) there are compact extremally disconnected spaces X_1, \dots, X_{n-1} such that P is isomorphic, in \mathbf{X}_n , to

$$\dot{\bigcup} (X_k \times E_k^1 \mid 1 \leq k < n) \dot{\cup} \{\theta\}.$$

PROOF. By Proposition 3.1, (i) is equivalent to (ii). If (iii) holds, then P is a retract of $\mathfrak{F}^1(\dot{\bigcup}(X_k \mid 1 \leq k < n))$ by the discussion above. Since each X_k is compact and extremally disconnected so is $\dot{\bigcup}(X_k \mid 1 \leq k < n)$; hence (ii) holds. It remains only to prove that (ii) implies (iii).

Without loss of generality, assume that P is a subobject of $\mathfrak{F}^1(X)$; let $\tau: \mathfrak{F}^1(X) \rightarrow P$ be a retraction. Let $X_{n-1} = \{x \in X \mid \langle x, e \rangle \in P\}$ and for $1 \leq k < n-1$ let $X_k = \{x \in X \mid \langle x, e_k \rangle \in P, x \notin X_{k+1}\}$. Since $e_\downarrow \in \{e_k \mid 1 \leq k < n\}$ for all $e \in \text{End}(C_n^1) - \{\theta\}$ it follows, by Lemma 3.6, that

$$P = \dot{\bigcup} (X_k \times E_k^1 \mid 1 \leq k < n) \dot{\cup} \{\theta\}.$$

A clopen subset of a retract of a compact extremally disconnected space is compact and extremally disconnected (see [16], [23]), and hence, since θ is an isolated point of $\mathfrak{F}^1(X)$, it is sufficient to show that $\dot{\bigcup}(X_k \mid 1 \leq k < n) \dot{\cup} \{\theta\}$ is a retract of $X \dot{\cup} \{\theta\}$ and that each X_k is clopen in $\dot{\bigcup}(X_k \mid 1 \leq k < n)$.

Let $Y = \dot{\bigcup}(X_k \mid 1 \leq k < n)$ and define $\sigma: X \dot{\cup} \{\theta\} \rightarrow Y \dot{\cup} \{\theta\}$ by $\theta\sigma = \theta$ and $x\sigma = \langle x, e_1 \rangle \tau\pi$, where $\pi: \mathfrak{F}^1(X) \rightarrow X \dot{\cup} \{\theta\}$ is the obvious projection. If $\langle x, e \rangle \in P$, then $\langle x, e_\downarrow \rangle \in P$, by Lemma 3.6, and hence $\langle x, e_1 \rangle = \langle x, e_\downarrow e_1 \rangle = \langle x, e_\downarrow \rangle \tilde{e}_1 \in P$. Thus for all $x \in Y$, $x\sigma = \langle x, e_1 \rangle \tau\pi = \langle x, e_1 \rangle \pi = x$.

If U is open in X , then

$$\begin{aligned} U\sigma^{-1} &= \{x \in X | \langle x, e_1 \rangle \tau \pi \in U\} \\ &= \{x \in X | \langle x, e_1 \rangle \tau \in U \times (\text{End}(C_n^1) - \{\theta\})\} \\ &= [(X \times \{e_1\}) \cap (U \times (\text{End}(C_n^1) - \{\theta\}))\tau^{-1}] \pi, \end{aligned}$$

which is open in X since τ is continuous and π is open. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \{\theta\}\sigma^{-1} &= \{x \in X | \langle x, e_1 \rangle \tau = \theta\} \cup \{\theta\} \\ &= [(X \times \{e_1\}) \cap \{\theta\}\tau^{-1}] \pi \cup \{\theta\}, \end{aligned}$$

which is open in $X \dot{\cup} \{\theta\}$ since θ is an isolated point of $\mathfrak{F}^1(X)$, τ is continuous, and π is open. Hence σ is a continuous retraction of $X \dot{\cup} \{\theta\}$ onto $Y \dot{\cup} \{\theta\}$.

To show that each X_k is clopen in Y it is sufficient to prove that for $1 \leq k < n$ the set $U_k = \{x \in X | \langle x, e_k \rangle \in P\}$ is clopen in Y . Since τ is continuous and π being a projection parallel to a compact factor is both open and closed, it follows that

$$V_k = [(X \times \{e_k\}) \cap (X \times \{e_k\})\tau^{-1}] \pi$$

is clopen in X . We claim that $U_k = V_k \cap Y$. If $x \in U_k$, then $\langle x, e_k \rangle \in P$ and hence $x \in V_k \cap Y$ since $\langle x, e_k \rangle \tau = \langle x, e_k \rangle$. Conversely, assume that $x \in V_k \cap Y$. Then $\langle x, e_k \rangle \tau = \langle y, e_k \rangle \in P$ for some $y \in X$, and there exists l , with $1 \leq l < n$, such that $\langle x, e_l \rangle \in P$. If $l \leq k$, then $e_k e_l = e_l$, and hence $\langle x, e_l \rangle = \langle x, e_l \rangle \tau = \langle x, e_k \rangle \tilde{e}_l \tau = \langle x, e_k \rangle \tau \tilde{e}_l = \langle y, e_k \rangle \tilde{e}_l = \langle y, e_l \rangle$; which implies that $x = y$. Thus $\langle x, e_k \rangle = \langle y, e_k \rangle \in P$. If $l > k$, then $e_l e_k = e_k$, and hence $\langle x, e_k \rangle = \langle x, e_l \rangle \tilde{e}_k \in P$ since $\langle x, e_l \rangle \in P$. In either case $\langle x, e_k \rangle \in P$, giving $x \in U_k$ as required. \square

Let $E_k = e_k \text{End}(C_n)$ be the right ideal of $\text{End}(C_n)$ generated by e_k . If X_1, \dots, X_{n-1} are (possibly empty) Boolean spaces, then

$$Z = \dot{\bigcup} (X_k \times E_k | 1 \leq k < n)$$

is a subobject of $\mathfrak{F}(Y)$, where $Y = \dot{\bigcup} (X_k | 1 \leq k < n)$, and $\tau: \mathfrak{F}(Y) \rightarrow Z$, defined by

$$\langle x, e \rangle \tau = \langle x, e_k e \rangle \text{ for } x \in X_k,$$

is a retraction onto Z .

THEOREM 3.8. *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) P is sur-projective in \mathbf{Y}_n ;
- (ii) P is a retract of $\mathfrak{F}(X)$ for some compact extremally disconnected space X ;

(iii) there are compact extremely disconnected spaces X_1, \dots, X_{n-1} such that P is isomorphic, in \mathbf{Y}_n , to

$$\dot{\cup} (X_k \times E_k \mid 1 \leq k < n). \quad \square$$

4. Injectives and absolute subretracts in \mathbf{S}_n and \mathbf{L}_n . We recall some definitions; throughout this preamble \mathbf{A} denotes an equational class of universal algebras.

DEFINITION 4.1. Let $A \in \mathbf{A}$. A is an *injective in \mathbf{A}* if for all $B, C \in \mathbf{A}$, with B a subalgebra of C , and every $g \in \mathbf{A}(B, A)$, there exists $g' \in \mathbf{A}(C, A)$ with $g'|B = g$. A is a *weak injective in \mathbf{A}* if for all $B, C \in \mathbf{A}$, with B a subalgebra of C , and every surjection $g \in \mathbf{A}(B, A)$, there exists $g \in \mathbf{A}(C, A)$ with $g'|B = g$. A is an *absolute subretract in \mathbf{A}* if it is a retract of each of its extensions in \mathbf{A} . A is *self-injective* if for each subalgebra B of A and every $g \in \mathbf{A}(B, A)$, there exists $g' \in \mathbf{A}(A, A)$ with $g'|B = g$. A *maximal subdirectly irreducible algebra in \mathbf{A}* is a subdirectly irreducible algebra with no subdirectly irreducible, proper extension in \mathbf{A} . Let $(A_\delta \mid \delta \in \Delta)$ be a family of algebras and let $g: A \rightarrow \Pi(A_\delta \mid \delta \in \Delta)$ be an embedding of A as a subdirect product; if g also embeds A as a retract of $\Pi(A_\delta \mid \delta \in \Delta)$, then A is a *subdirect retract* of the family $(A_\delta \mid \delta \in \Delta)$. A has *enough injectives* if every algebra in \mathbf{A} has an injective extension in \mathbf{A} . Finally, \mathbf{A} satisfies the *congruence extension property* if for all $A, B \in \mathbf{A}$, with A a subalgebra of B , and every congruence Θ on A , there is a congruence Θ' on B with $\Theta'|A = \Theta$.

Some of the relationships which tie these concepts together are indicated in the following result (see [4], [10], [20], and [21]).

- PROPOSITION 4.2.** (i) Every injective in \mathbf{A} is a weak injective in \mathbf{A} , and every weak injective in \mathbf{A} is an absolute subretract in \mathbf{A} .
- (ii) Every maximal subdirectly irreducible algebra in \mathbf{A} is an absolute subretract in \mathbf{A} .
- (iii) A subdirect retract of a family of weak injectives in \mathbf{A} is itself a weak injective in \mathbf{A} .
- (iv) If \mathbf{A} satisfies the congruence extension property, then A is a weak injective in \mathbf{A} if and only if it is an absolute subretract in \mathbf{A} .
- (v) If \mathbf{A} has enough injectives, then (in \mathbf{A}) the concepts of injective, weak injective, and absolute subretract are equivalent.
- (vi) Assume that every algebra in \mathbf{A} has a distributive congruence lattice and that $\mathbf{A} = \text{ISP}(\{A\})$, where A is a finite, subdirectly irreducible algebra whose subalgebras are either injective or subdirectly irreducible. Then the following are equivalent: (a) A has enough injectives; (b) A is injective in \mathbf{A} ; (c) A is self-injective. \square

Before tackling the injectives and absolute subretracts in S_n and L_n we prove two further results.

If $A, B \in \mathbf{A}$ and Θ and Φ are congruences on A and B respectively, then define a congruence $\langle \Theta, \Phi \rangle$ on $A \times B$ by

$$\langle \langle a_1, b_1 \rangle, \langle a_2, b_2 \rangle \rangle \in \langle \Theta, \Phi \rangle \iff (\langle a_1, a_2 \rangle \in \Theta \text{ and } \langle b_1, b_2 \rangle \in \Phi).$$

If for all algebras $A, B \in \mathbf{A}$ every congruence on $A \times B$ can be factored in this manner, then \mathbf{A} has the *product property on congruences*. Note that if Ψ is a congruence on $A \times B$ which factors as $\Psi = \langle \Theta, \Phi \rangle$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a_1, a_2 \rangle \in \Theta &\iff \langle \langle a_1, b \rangle, \langle a_2, b \rangle \rangle \in \Psi \text{ for some } b \in B \\ &\iff \langle \langle a_1, b \rangle, \langle a_2, b \rangle \rangle \in \Psi \text{ for all } b \in B; \end{aligned}$$

the congruence Φ behaves similarly. It is well known (see [15]) that if every algebra in \mathbf{A} has a distributive congruence lattice, then \mathbf{A} has the product property on congruences.

LEMMA 4.3. *If \mathbf{A} has the product property on congruences and $\prod(A_\delta | \delta \in \Delta)$ is an absolute subretract in \mathbf{A} , then each A_δ is also an absolute subretract in \mathbf{A} .*

PROOF. Suppose that $f: A_\gamma \rightarrow B$ is an embedding. Let $\Delta' = \Delta - \{\gamma\}$ and for all $a \in \prod(A_\delta | \delta \in \Delta)$ let $a\bar{f} = \langle a_\gamma, a' \rangle$, where a' is the restriction of a to $\prod(A_\delta | \delta \in \Delta')$; clearly

$$\bar{f}: \prod(A_\delta | \delta \in \Delta) \rightarrow B \times \prod(A_\delta | \delta \in \Delta')$$

is an embedding. Let

$$g: B \times \prod(A_\delta | \delta \in \Delta') \rightarrow \prod(A_\delta | \delta \in \Delta)$$

be a retraction of \bar{f} . Let $c \in \prod(A_\delta | \delta \in \Delta')$ and define $h: B \rightarrow A_\gamma$ by $bh = \langle b, c \rangle g\pi_\gamma$, where $\pi_\gamma: \prod(A_\delta | \delta \in \Delta) \rightarrow A_\gamma$ is the natural projection.

We claim that h is independent of the choice of c . Since \mathbf{A} has the product property on congruences there exist congruences Θ and Φ on B and $\prod(A_\delta | \delta \in \Delta')$ respectively such that $\text{Ker}(g\pi_\gamma) = \langle \Theta, \Phi \rangle$. Clearly it is sufficient to prove that for all $c, d \in \prod(A_\delta | \delta \in \Delta')$, $\langle c, d \rangle \in \Phi$, that is, there exists $b \in B$ such that $\langle b, c \rangle g\pi_\gamma = \langle b, d \rangle g\pi_\gamma$. Let $a \in A_\gamma$; then $b = af$ will suffice since $\langle b, c \rangle g\pi_\gamma = \langle af, c \rangle g\pi_\gamma = \langle a, c \rangle \bar{f}g\pi_\gamma = \langle a, c \rangle \pi_\gamma = a$, and similarly, $\langle b, d \rangle g\pi_\gamma = a$.

It follows immediately that h is a homomorphism, and, since $fh = \text{id}_{A_\gamma}$, we are through. \square

The following result was proved in [21] for the case in which \mathbf{A} is an equational class of distributive pseudocomplemented lattices.

PROPOSITION 4.4. *If A is a finite, weak injective algebra in \mathbf{A} , then $C(X, A)$ is a weak injective in \mathbf{A} for every compact extremally disconnected space X .*

PROOF. It is readily verified that the functor $C(-, A): \mathbf{ZComp}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ has the following properties: (a) If φ is onto, then $C(\varphi, A)$ is one-to-one; (b) if φ is one-to-one, then $C(\varphi, A)$ is onto; (c) if $(X_\delta | \delta \in \Delta)$ is a family of Boolean spaces and $X = \beta(\dot{\bigcup}(X_\delta | \delta \in \Delta))$ is their coproduct in \mathbf{ZComp} , then $C(X, A) \cong \prod(C(X_\delta, A) | \delta \in \Delta)$. Indeed, (a) is trivial, (b) follows from the fact that every finite space is injective in \mathbf{ZComp} , and (c) follows from well-known properties of the Stone-Čech compactification.

For every $X \in \mathbf{ZComp}$ there is a surjection $\psi \in C(\beta S, X)$ for some discrete space S (e.g. let S be the underlying set of X), from which it follows, by (a), (b), and (c), that $C(\psi, A)$ is an embedding of $C(X, A)$ into $C(\beta S, A) \cong A^S$ as a subdirect product. Since X is extremally disconnected it is sur-projective in \mathbf{ZComp} (see [16] or [23]) and hence $\psi: \beta S \rightarrow X$ is a retraction. Since any functor preserves retractions it follows that $C(\psi, A)$ embeds $C(X, A)$ in $C(\beta S, A)$ as a retract, whence $C(X, A)$ is a subdirect retract of copies of A . Hence $C(X, A)$ is a weak injective in \mathbf{A} by Proposition 4.2(iii). \square

REMARK 4.5. Since compact extremally disconnected spaces are precisely the Stone spaces of complete Boolean algebras (see [23]), Proposition 4.4 may be restated as follows (cf. Remark 3.4).

'If A is a finite, weak injective algebra in \mathbf{A} , then for every complete Boolean algebra B the Boolean extension $A[B]$ of A by B is a weak injective in \mathbf{A} .'

We now have more than enough machinery to handle the injectives and absolute subretracts in \mathbf{S}_n and \mathbf{L}_n .

LEMMA 4.6. *Let $3 \leq n < \omega$. Then for any nonempty Boolean space X , $C(X, C_n^1)$ is not self-injective, and for $2 \leq k < n$, $C(X, C_k^1)$ is not an absolute subretract in \mathbf{S}_n .*

PROOF. Let $A = \{c_{n-2}, 1\} \subset C_n^1$. Then $C(X, A)$ is a subalgebra of $C(X, C_n^1)$. Define $g: A \rightarrow C_n^1$ by $c_{n-2}g = 0$ and $1g = 1$, and define $\bar{g}: C(X, A) \rightarrow C(X, C_n^1)$ by $\varphi\bar{g} = \varphi g$. If $C(X, C_n^1)$ were self-injective there would be a homomorphism $h: C(X, C_n^1) \rightarrow C(X, C_n^1)$ satisfying $\varphi h = \varphi \bar{g}$ for all $\varphi \in C(X, A)$. For each $c \in C_n^1$ let $\hat{c} \in C(X, C_n^1)$ be the corresponding constant map. Since $\hat{0} = \hat{c}_{n-2}\bar{g} = \hat{c}_{n-2}h$ and $\hat{c}_{n-2} * \hat{0} = \hat{0}$ we obtain

$$\hat{0} * \hat{0}h = \hat{c}_{n-2}h * \hat{0}h = (\hat{c}_{n-2} * \hat{0})h = \hat{0}h,$$

which gives the contradiction $\hat{0} > \hat{0}h$. Hence $C(X, C_n^1)$ is not self-injective.

Now let $g: C_k^1 \rightarrow C_n^1$ embed C_k^1 as a filter of C_n^1 , and define $\bar{g}: C(X, C_k^1)$

$\rightarrow C(X, C_n^1)$ by $\varphi\bar{g} = \varphi g$. If $C(X, C_k^1)$ is an absolute subretract, then there exists a retraction $h: C(X, C_n^1) \rightarrow C(X, C_k^1)$ of \bar{g} . Since $0g > 0$ it follows that $\hat{0}\bar{g} * \hat{0} = \hat{0}$, and hence

$$\hat{0} * \hat{0}h = \hat{0}\bar{g}h * \hat{0}h = (\hat{0}\bar{g} * \hat{0})h = \hat{0}h;$$

again we have the contradiction $\hat{0} > \hat{0}h$. Hence $C(X, C_k^1)$ is not an absolute subretract in S_n . \square

If B is a Boolean algebra, then let

$$n[B] = \{(b_0, \dots, b_{n-2}) \in B^{n-1} \mid b_0 \leq b_1 \leq \dots \leq b_{n-2}\}.$$

It is easily seen that $n[B]$ is a Brouwerian (in fact, Heyting) algebra in which

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle a_0, \dots, a_{n-2} \rangle * \langle b_0, \dots, b_{n-2} \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \bigwedge_{i=0}^{n-2} a'_i \vee b_i, \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n-2} a'_i \vee b_i, \dots, a'_{n-2} \vee b_{n-2} \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, it is readily verified that $n[B] \cong C(X, C_n^1)$, where X is the Stone space of B , and hence $n[B] \in S_n$.

For the definition and a discussion of *n-valued Post algebras* we refer to G. Epstein [14]. Only the following facts are required here: (a) for every Boolean algebra B , $n[B]$ is an *n*-valued Post algebra, and conversely, each *n*-valued Post algebra A is isomorphic to $n[B]$, where B is the centre of A (the *centre* of a bounded distributive lattice is its Boolean algebra of complemented elements); (b) an *n*-valued Post algebra is complete if and only if its centre is complete. For convenience, we regard the one-element algebra as an *n*-valued Post algebra.

THEOREM 4.7. *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *A is a weak injective in S_n ;*
- (ii) *A is an absolute subretract in S_n ;*
- (iii) *there is a compact extremally disconnected space X such that $A \cong C(X, C_n^1)$;*
- (iv) *there is a complete Boolean algebra B such that $A \cong n[B]$;*
- (v) *A is a complete n-valued Post algebra.*

PROOF. (i) \iff (ii). This equivalence follows from Proposition 4.2(iv) since Brouwerian algebras have the congruence extension property.

(ii) \iff (iii). As we noted in the proof of Theorem 2.4, the set $S = S_n(A, C_n^1)$ separates the points of A . Thus A is isomorphic to a subalgebra of $(C_n^1)^S \cong C(\beta S, C_n^1)$, and so A is a retract of $C(\beta S, C_n^1)$. It follows that $S_n(A, C_n^1)$

is a retract of $\mathbf{S}_n(C(\beta S, C_n^1), C_n^1)$, which, by Theorem 3.5, is isomorphic (in \mathbf{X}_n) to $\mathfrak{F}^1(\beta S)$. Since βS is extremely disconnected, Theorem 3.7 implies that there are (possibly empty) compact extremely disconnected spaces X_1, \dots, X_{n-1} such that $\mathbf{S}_n(A, C_n^1)$ is isomorphic to

$$Z = \dot{\bigcup}(X_k \times E_k^1 \mid 1 \leq k < n) \dot{\cup} \{\theta\}.$$

For $1 \leq k < n$, $Z_k = (X_k \times E_k^1) \dot{\cup} \{\theta\}$ is a subobject of Z , and if $\varphi_k \in \mathbf{X}_n(Z_k, C_n^1)$, then $\varphi \in \mathbf{X}_n(Z, C_n^1)$ may be defined by $\varphi|Z_k = \varphi_k$; in fact, Z is the \mathbf{X}_n -coproduct of the family $(Z_k \mid 1 \leq k < n)$. Hence, by Theorem 2.5,

$$\begin{aligned} A &\cong \mathbf{X}_n(\mathbf{S}_n(A, C_n^1), C_n^1) \cong \mathbf{X}_n(Z, C_n^1) \\ &\cong \prod(\mathbf{X}_n(Z_k, C_n^1) \mid 1 \leq k < n). \end{aligned}$$

We claim that $\mathbf{X}_n(Z_k, C_n^1) \cong C(X_k, C_{k+1}^1)$. If $\varphi \in \mathbf{X}_n(Z_k, C_n^1)$, then

$$\langle x, e_k \rangle \varphi = \langle x, e_k \rangle \tilde{e}_k \varphi = \langle x, e_k \rangle \varphi e_k,$$

and thus $\langle x, e_k \rangle \varphi \in \text{Im}(e_k) = \{0, c_1, \dots, c_{k-1}, 1\}$. Hence φ induces a map $\hat{\varphi} \in C(X_k, C_{k+1}^1)$ defined by $x\hat{\varphi} = \langle x, e_k \rangle \varphi$. Conversely, each map $\psi \in C(X_k, C_{k+1}^1)$ induces a map $\hat{\psi} \in \mathbf{X}_n(Z_k, C_n^1)$ defined by $\langle x, e \rangle \hat{\psi} = x\psi e$ and $\theta\hat{\psi} = \theta$. The map $\varphi \rightarrow \hat{\varphi}$ is clearly a homomorphism and since $\hat{\hat{\varphi}} = \varphi$ and $\hat{\psi} = \psi$ it follows that $\mathbf{X}_n(Z_k, C_n^1) \cong C(X_k, C_{k+1}^1)$.

Thus $A \cong \prod(C(X_k, C_{k+1}^1) \mid 1 \leq k < n)$; but, since A is an absolute subretract, Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.6 imply that X_k is empty for $1 \leq k < n-1$, and hence (iii) follows.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). Since Brouwerian algebras have the congruence extension property and since C_n^1 is a maximal subdirectly irreducible algebra in \mathbf{S}_n it follows, by Proposition 4.2(ii) (iv), that C_n^1 is a weak injective in \mathbf{S}_n . Hence (iii) implies (i) by Proposition 4.4.

(iii) \iff (iv) \iff (v). Since a Boolean algebra is complete if and only if its Stone space is extremely disconnected these equivalences follow from the discussion preceding the statement of the theorem. \square

THEOREM 4.8. \mathbf{S}_n has enough injectives if and only if $n = 2$. An algebra in \mathbf{S}_2 is injective if and only if it is a complete Boolean algebra. And for $3 \leq n < \omega$, \mathbf{S}_n has only trivial injectives.

PROOF. C_2^1 is trivially self-injective and by Lemma 4.6, with $|X| = 1$, C_n^1 is not self-injective for all $n \geq 3$. Hence, by Proposition 4.2(vi), only \mathbf{S}_2 has enough injectives. By Proposition 4.2(v) and Theorem 4.7 an algebra in \mathbf{S}_2 is injective if and only if it is a complete Boolean algebra since a 2-valued Post algebra is nothing more than a Boolean algebra. Since injective algebras are both

self-injective and weak injective, Lemma 4.6 and Theorem 4.7 imply that for $n \geq 3$, S_n has only trivial injectives. \square

We turn now to L_n . Since the proofs are very similar to the corresponding proofs for S_n they will only be sketched.

LEMMA 4.9. *Let $4 \leq n < \omega$. Then for any nonempty Boolean space X , $C(X, C_n)$ is not self-injective, and for $3 \leq k < n$, $C(X, C_k)$ is not an absolute subretract in L_n .*

PROOF. Mimic the proof of Lemma 4.6. Assume that $C(X, C_n)$ is self-injective and let $A = \{0, c_{n-2}, 1\}$. Define $g: A \rightarrow C_n$ by $0g = 0$, $c_{n-2}g = c_1$, and $1g = 1$, define $\bar{g}: C(X, A) \rightarrow C(X, C_n)$ by $\varphi\bar{g} = \varphi g$, and let h be an extension of \bar{g} to an endomorphism of $C(X, C_n)$. We find that $\hat{c}_1 * \hat{c}_1 h = \hat{c}_1 h$, and so $\hat{c}_1 h = \hat{0}$, giving the contradiction

$$\hat{0} = \hat{0}h = (\hat{c}_1 * \hat{0})h = \hat{c}_1 h * \hat{0}h = \hat{0} * \hat{0} = \hat{1}.$$

Assume that $C(X, C_k^1)$ is an absolute subretract in L_n , and let $g: C_k \rightarrow C_n$ be the embedding characterized by $0g = 0$ and $[c_1]g$ is a filter of C_n . Define $\bar{g}: C(X, C_k) \rightarrow C(X, C_n)$ by $\varphi\bar{g} = \varphi g$, and let h be a retraction of \bar{g} . Again we find that $\hat{c}_1 * \hat{c}_1 h = \hat{c}_1 h$, and so $\hat{c}_1 h = \hat{0}$. This gives rise to the contradiction $\hat{0} = \hat{1}$, as above. \square

THEOREM 4.10. *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *A is a weak injective in L_n ;*
- (ii) *A is an absolute subretract in L_n ;*
- (iii) *there are compact extremally disconnected spaces X_0 and X_1 such that $A \cong C(X_0, C_2) \times C(X_1, C_n)$;*
- (iv) *there are complete Boolean algebras B_0 and B_1 such that $A \cong B_0 \times n[B_1]$;*
- (v) *there is a complete Boolean algebra B and a complete n -valued Post algebra P such that $A \cong B \times P$.*

PROOF. A proof can be obtained by making the obvious changes in the proof of Theorem 4.7. In particular, note that for any compact extremally disconnected space X , $C(X, C_2)$ is isomorphic to the complete Boolean algebra of clopen subsets of X , and hence $C(X, C_2)$ is an injective in L_n since every complete Boolean algebra is an injective Heyting algebra (see [3]). Clearly, where Lemma 4.6 was applied in the proof of Theorem 4.7 we now call on Lemma 4.9. \square

Except for the characterization of the injectives in L_3 , the following result is due to A. Day [12].

THEOREM 4.11. *L_n has enough injectives if and only if $n = 2$ or $n = 3$. An*

algebra in L_2 is injective if and only if it is a complete Boolean algebra. An algebra in L_3 is injective if and only if it is isomorphic to the direct product of a complete Boolean algebra with a complete 3-valued Post algebra. For $4 \leq n < \omega$, an algebra in L_n is injective if and only if it is a complete Boolean algebra.

PROOF. Only the characterization of the injectives in L_n for $n \geq 4$ requires more than a direct translation of the proof of Theorem 4.8. As was noted in the proof of the previous theorem, complete Boolean algebras are injective in L_n . If A is injective in L_n , $n \geq 4$, then it is a weak injective in L_n and hence there are Boolean algebras B_0 and B_1 such that $A \cong B_0 \times n[B_1]$. We shall show that if B_1 is nontrivial, then $n[B_1]$ is a retract of $B_0 \times n[B_1]$. Since a retract of an injective algebra is injective this contradicts the fact that $n[B_1]$ is not self-injective.

Let F be a maximal filter of $n[B_1]$ and define $g: n[B_1] \rightarrow B_0 \times n[B_1]$ by

$$ag = \begin{cases} \langle 1, a \rangle & \text{if } a \in F, \\ \langle 0, a \rangle & \text{if } a \notin F. \end{cases}$$

By Proposition 1.1(iii), g is a homomorphism, and it is clear that the natural projection of $B_0 \times n[B_1]$ onto $n[B_1]$ is a retraction of g . \square

REMARK 4.12. Recently, T. Katriňák and A. Mitschke [30] have characterized Post algebras, and R. Beazer [5] has characterized algebras of the form $B_0 \times n[B_1]$, in terms of their Brouwerian algebra structure. These characterizations may be used to give algebraic proofs of Theorem 4.7 and Theorem 4.10 along the lines of the proof of Theorem 2 in G. Grätzer and H. Lakser [21].

5. Free products and free algebras in S_n and L_n . Free products in S_n and L_n are readily described via the dualities. The free product of the family $(A_\delta | \delta \in \Delta)$ is denoted by ${}^*\Pi(A_\delta | \delta \in \Delta)$.

THEOREM 5.1. (i) *Let $(A_\delta | \delta \in \Delta)$ be a family of algebras of S_n and let $X_\delta = S_n(A_\delta, C_n^1)$. Then*

$${}^*\Pi(A_\delta | \delta \in \Delta) \cong X_n\left(\prod(X_\delta | \delta \in \Delta), C_n^1\right).$$

(ii) *Let $(A_\delta | \delta \in \Delta)$ be a family of nontrivial algebras of L_n and let $X_\delta = L_n(A_\delta, C_n)$. Then*

$${}^*\Pi(A_\delta | \delta \in \Delta) \cong Y_n\left(\prod(X_\delta | \delta \in \Delta), C_n\right).$$

PROOF. We only prove (i). Let X'_n be the image, under the functor $S_n(-, C_n^1)$, of S_n . Since S_n is equivalent to the dual of X'_n it follows that the image, under the functor $X_n(-, C_n^1)$, of a direct product in X'_n is a coproduct in S_n . Free products are only distinguished from coproducts by the requirement that the natural homomorphism $g_\gamma: A_\gamma \rightarrow {}^*\Pi(A_\delta | \delta \in \Delta)$ be an embedding. But

$g_\gamma = \eta_{A_\gamma} X_n(\pi_\gamma, C_n^1)$, where $\pi_\gamma : \Pi(X_\delta | \delta \in \Delta) \rightarrow X_\gamma$ is the natural projection, and thus g_γ is an embedding since π_γ is a surjection. \square

In 5.1(ii) the algebra A_δ is assumed to be nontrivial so that X_δ will be nonempty; the free product of C_1 and C_2 does not exist in L_n and hence this requirement is necessary.

For all $A \in S_n$ let $P_n^1(A)$ be the subset of $S_n(A, C_n^1)$ consisting of those homomorphisms which are determined by some prime filter of A (see Definition 1.2). If $P_n^1(A)$ is ordered pointwise, then the correspondence $F \rightarrow g_F$ is an order-isomorphism between the poset $\chi(A)$ of prime filters of A and the poset $P_n^1(A)$. Observe that if $g, h \in P_n^1(A)$, then

$$g \leq h \text{ if and only if } ge = h \text{ for some } e \in \text{End}(C_n^1).$$

Since each finite distributive lattice is determined by its poset of prime filters (see Remark 2.3) it follows that every finite algebra $A \in S_n$ is determined by the poset $P_n^1(A)$. For an algebra $A \in L_n$, $P_n(A)$ is defined similarly, and again, if A is finite, then the poset $P_n(A)$ determines A .

Using this observation we can completely describe the finitely generated free algebras in S_n and L_n . For any equational class A and any ordinal κ let $\mathfrak{F}_A(\kappa)$ denote the κ -generated free algebra in A with free generators $\{x_\gamma | \gamma < \kappa\}$.

Define the action of $\text{End}(C_n^1)$ on $(C_n^1)^\kappa$ pointwise; then it is clear that the map $\rho_\kappa : S_n(\mathfrak{F}_{S_n}(\kappa), C_n^1) \rightarrow (C_n^1)^\kappa$, defined by $g\rho_\kappa = \langle x_\gamma g \rangle_{\gamma < \kappa}$, is an isomorphism in X_n . Let $P_n^1(\kappa)$ be the image of $P_n^1(\mathfrak{F}_{S_n}(\kappa))$ under ρ_κ and define a partial order on $P_n^1(\kappa)$ by

$$a \leq b \iff (a\tilde{e} = b \text{ for some } e \in \text{End}(C_n^1)).$$

Clearly $P_n^1(\mathfrak{F}_{S_n}(\kappa))$ and $P_n^1(\kappa)$ are order-isomorphic.

PROPOSITION 5.2. (i) $\mathfrak{F}_{S_n}(\kappa) \cong X_n((C_n^1)^\kappa, C_n^1)$.

(ii) Let $a \in (C_n^1)^\kappa$. Then $a \in P_n^1(\kappa)$ if and only if there exists l , with $1 \leq l < n$, such that

$$\{a_\gamma | \gamma < \kappa\} \cup \{1\} = (c_{l-1}] \cup \{1\}.$$

PROOF. (i) Apply the duality.

(ii) If $g \in S_n(\mathfrak{F}_{S_n}(\kappa), C_n^1)$, then $\text{Im}(g)$ is the subalgebra of C_n^1 generated by $\{x_\gamma g | \gamma < \kappa\}$, and hence $\text{Im}(g) = \{x_\gamma g | \gamma < \kappa\} \cup \{1\}$, since for all $c, d \in C_n^1$, $c * d \in \{c, d, 1\}$. Thus g is determined by a prime filter of $\mathfrak{F}_{S_n}(\kappa)$ if and only if $\{x_\gamma g | \gamma < \kappa\} \cup \{1\} = (c_{l-1}] \cup \{\rho\}$, where $1 \leq l < n$. After translating from $S_n(\mathfrak{F}_{S_n}(\kappa), C_n^1)$ to $(C_n^1)^\kappa$ via ρ_κ the result follows. \square

Recall that ${}_0A$ is obtained from A by adjoining a new zero.

THEOREM 5.3. (i) $\mathfrak{F}_{S_2}(m) \cong (C_2^1)^{2^m - 1}$ for all $m < \omega$.

(ii) For $n \geq 3$, $\mathfrak{F}_{S_n}(0) \cong C_1^1$ and for $1 \leq m < \omega$,

$$\mathfrak{F}_{S_n}(m) \cong \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} [{}_0(\mathfrak{F}_{S_{n-1}}(k))]^{\binom{m}{k}}.$$

PROOF. It is clear that $\mathfrak{F}_{S_n}(0) \cong C_1^1$ for all $n \geq 2$, and hence we assume that $m \geq 1$.

By applying Proposition 5.1(i) we find that $\mathfrak{F}_{S_2}(m) \cong (C_2^1)^{2^m - 1}$ since $X_2((C_2^1)^m, C_2^1)$ is the set of all morphisms which map $\langle 1, \dots, 1 \rangle$ to 1 and map each of the other $2^m - 1$ elements of $(C_2^1)^m$ arbitrarily into C_2^1 .

Now consider $n \geq 3$. If $a \in P_n^1(m)$, then, by Proposition 5.2(ii), there exists $i < m$ such that $c_i = 0$. Thus if $a, b \in P_n^1(m)$ and $a \leq b$, then $a_i = 0 \iff b_i = 0$ (if $a\tilde{e} = b$, then $0e = 0$; for otherwise $a\tilde{e} \notin P_n^1(m)$). Hence $M^1 = (C_2^1)^m - \{\langle 1, \dots, 1 \rangle\}$ is the set of maximal elements of $P_n^1(m)$, and, in fact, $P_n^1(m)$ is the disjoint union of the family $((a] | a \in M^1)$. By Remark 2.3, $\mathfrak{F}_{S_n}(m)$ is isomorphic to the lattice of increasing subsets of $P_n^1(m)$ and so is isomorphic to $\Pi(\mathfrak{U}((a]) | a \in M^1)$, where $\mathfrak{U}((a])$ is the lattice of increasing subsets of $(a]$. It is easily verified that if $a \in M^1$ has exactly $k < m$ coordinates equal to 1 (and hence $m - k$ equal to 0), then $(a]$ is order-isomorphic to $P_{n-1}^1(k) \cup \{\langle 1, \dots, 1 \rangle\}$. Since there are $\binom{m}{k}$ elements of M^1 with exactly k coordinates equal to 1 and since the lattice of increasing subsets of $P_{n-1}^1(k) \cup \{\langle 1, \dots, 1 \rangle\}$ is isomorphic to ${}_0(\mathfrak{F}_{S_{n-1}}(k))$ the result follows. \square

The action of $\text{End}(C_n)$ on $(C_n)^\kappa$ is defined pointwise. The isomorphism ρ_κ and the poset $P_n(\kappa)$ are defined for the category Y_n as they were for X_n . As before, the partial order on $P_n(\kappa)$ is defined by

$$a \leq b \iff (a\tilde{e} = b \text{ for some } e \in \text{End}(C_n)).$$

The proof of the following result is similar to the proof of Proposition 5.2 and is omitted.

PROPOSITION 5.4. (i) $\mathfrak{F}_{L_n}(\kappa) \cong Y_n((C_n)^\kappa, C_n)$.

(ii) Let $a \in (C_n)^\kappa$. Then $a \in P_n(\kappa)$ if and only if there exists l , with $0 \leq l < n$, such that

$$\{0\} \cup \{a_\gamma | \gamma < \kappa\} \cup \{1\} = \{0\} \cup [c_1, c_{l-1}] \cup \{1\}. \quad \square$$

THEOREM 5.5. (i) $\mathfrak{F}_{L_2}(m) \cong (C_2)^{2^m}$ for all $m < \omega$.

(ii) For $n \geq 3$ and $m < \omega$,

$$\mathfrak{F}_{L_n}(m) \cong \prod_{k=0}^m [{}_0(\mathfrak{F}_{S_{n-1}}(k))]^{m \choose k}.$$

PROOF. Again it is clear that $\mathfrak{F}_{L_n}(0) \cong C_2$ for all $n \geq 2$, and hence we assume that $m \geq 1$.

That $\mathfrak{F}_{L_2}(m) \cong (C_2)^{2^m}$ follows immediately from Proposition 5.4(i) since C_2 has no proper endomorphisms.

Now consider $n \geq 3$. It follows as in the proof of Theorem 5.3 that $M = (C_2)^m$ is the set of maximal elements in the poset $P_n(m)$ and that $P_n(m)$ is the disjoint union of the family $([a] \mid a \in M)$. Consequently $\mathfrak{F}_{L_n}(m)$ is isomorphic to $\Pi(\mathfrak{U}([a]) \mid a \in M)$, where $\mathfrak{U}([a])$ is the lattice of increasing subsets of $[a]$. Now if $a \in M$ has exactly k coordinates equal to 1, then $[a]$ is order-isomorphic to $P_{n-1}^1(k) \cup \{(1, \dots, 1)\}$ since

- (a) if $a, b \in P_n(m)$ and $a \leq b$, then $a_i = c_1 \iff b_i = c_1$, and
- (b) by identifying C_{n-1}^1 with the filter $[c_1]$ of C_n we find that $\text{End}(C_n) \cong \text{End}(C_{n-1}^1)$.

Since there are ${m \choose k}$ elements of M with exactly k coordinates equal to 1 and since the lattice of increasing subsets of $P_{n-1}^1(k) \cup \{(1, \dots, 1)\}$ is isomorphic to ${}_0(\mathfrak{F}_{S_{n-1}}(k))$ the result follows. \square

The following simple result allows us to relate the free algebras in the various classes and also enables us to describe the finitely generated free algebras in S_ω and L_ω .

LEMMA 5.6. (i) Let $A \in S_\omega$. If A is n -generated then $A \in S_{n+1}$.

(ii) Let $A \in L_\omega$. If A is n -generated, then $A \in L_{n+2}$.

PROOF. (i) Let $A \in S_\omega$ be n -generated and let F be a prime filter of A . We shall prove that the chain of prime filters containing F has at most n elements; whence $A \in S_{n+1}$ by Proposition 1.1(ii).

Let Ψ^F be the unique congruence on A with F as a congruence class. For all $a, b \in A$, $(a * b) \vee (b * a) = 1 \in F$ and hence either $a * b \in F$ or $b * a \in F$, that is, $[a] \Psi^F * [b] \Psi^F = [1] \Psi^F$ or $[b] \Psi^F * [a] \Psi^F = [1] \Psi^F$. But a Brouwerian algebra C is a chain if and only if for all $a, b \in C$, $a * b = 1$ or $b * a = 1$; thus A/Ψ^F is a chain. Since A/Ψ^F is generated by the images of the n generators of A it follows that $|A/\Psi^F| = n + 1$. Hence the chain of all prime filters containing F has at most n elements by Proposition 1.1(iii).

(ii) Let $A \in L_\omega$ be n -generated. Then A is $(n + 1)$ -generated as an object of S_ω . It follows, by (i), that $A \in S_{n+2}$ and hence $A \in L_{n+2}$. \square

Our final result now follows easily; simply observe that if B is an equational subclass of an equational class A and every m -generated algebra in A is an algebra in B , then $\mathfrak{F}_A(m) \cong \mathfrak{F}_B(m)$.

- THEOREM 5.7.** (i) $\mathfrak{F}_{S_\omega}(m) \cong \mathfrak{F}_{S_{m+1}}(m)$.
(ii) $\mathfrak{F}_{L_\omega}(m) \cong \mathfrak{F}_{L_{m+2}}(m) \cong \mathfrak{F}_{L_{m+2}}(m)$.
(iii) If $n \geq m + 1$, then $\mathfrak{F}_{S_n}(m) \cong \mathfrak{F}_{S_{m+1}}(m)$.
(iv) If $n \geq m + 2$, then $\mathfrak{F}_{L_n}(m) \cong \mathfrak{F}_{L_{m+2}}(m)$.
(v) $\mathfrak{F}_{S_\omega}(m) \cong \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} [{}_0(\mathfrak{F}_{S_\omega}(k))]^{\binom{m}{k}}$.
(vi) $\mathfrak{F}_{L_\omega}(m) \cong \prod_{k=0}^m [{}_0(\mathfrak{F}_{S_\omega}(k))]^{\binom{m}{k}} \cong \mathfrak{F}_{S_\omega}(m) \times {}_0(\mathfrak{F}_{S_\omega}(m))$. \square

REMARK 5.8. The finitely generated free algebras in L_ω were first described by A. Horn [27]. Theorem 5.3, Theorem 5.5, and Theorem 5.7 first appeared in P. Köhler [31].

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. R. Balbes and G. Grätzer, *Injective and projective Stone algebras*, Duke Math. J. 38 (1971), 339–347. MR 43 #7378.
2. R. Balbes and A. Horn, *Stone lattices*, Duke Math. J. 37 (1970), 537–546. MR 43 #3181.
3. ———, *Injective and projective Heyting algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 148 (1970), 549–559. MR 41 #1607.
4. B. Banaschewski, *Injectivity and essential extensions in equational classes of algebra*, Proc. Conf. on Universal Algebra (Queen's Univ., Kingston, Ont., 1969), Queen's Univ., Kingston, Ont., 1970, pp. 131–147. MR 41 #3354.
5. R. Beazer, *Post-like algebras and injective Stone algebras* (preprint).
6. G. Birkhoff, *Lattice theory*, 3rd ed., Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 25, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1967. MR 37 #2638.
7. W. H. Cornish, *Normal lattices*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 14 (1972), 200–215. MR 47 #1703.
8. B. A. Davey, *Some annihilator conditions on distributive lattices*, Algebra Universalis 4 (1974), 316–322.
9. ———, *Topological duality for quasi-varieties of universal algebras* (preprint).
10. A. Day, *Injectivity in equational classes of algebras*, Canad. J. Math. 24 (1972), 209–220. MR 45 #145.
11. ———, *Varieties of Heyting algebras. I* (preprint).
12. ———, *Varieties of Heyting algebras. II* (preprint).
13. J. Dugundji, *Topology*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, Mass., 1966. MR 33 #1824.
14. G. Epstein, *The lattice theory of Post algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 95 (1960), 300–317. MR 22 #3701.
15. G. A. Fraser and A. Horn, *Congruence relations in direct products*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 26 (1970), 390–394. MR 42 #169.
16. A. M. Gleason, *Projective topological spaces*, Illinois J. Math. 2 (1958), 482–489. MR 22 #12509.
17. G. Grätzer, *Universal algebra*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1968. MR 40 #1320.
18. ———, *Lattice theory. First concepts and distributive lattices*, Freeman, San Francisco, Calif., 1971. MR 48 #184.
19. G. Grätzer and H. Lakser, *Some applications of free distributive products*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (1969), 405. Abstract #69T-A27.

20. G. Grätzer and H. Lakser, *The structure of pseudocomplemented distributive lattices. II. Congruence extension and amalgamation*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 156 (1971), 343–358. MR 43 #124.
21. ———, *The structure of pseudocomplemented distributive lattices. III. Injectives and absolute subretracts*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 169 (1972), 475–487. MR 46 #8926.
22. G. Grätzer and E. T. Schmidt, *On a problem of M. H. Stone*, Acta Math. Akad. Sci. Hungar. 8 (1957), 455–460. MR 19, 1154.
23. P. R. Halmos, *Lectures on Boolean algebras*, Van Nostrand Studies, no. 1, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1963. MR 29 #4713.
24. T. Hecht and T. Katriňák, *Equational classes of relative Stone algebras*, Notre Dame J. Formal Logic 13 (1972), 248–254. MR 45 #5039.
25. K. H. Hofmann, M. Mislove and A. Stralka, *The Pontrjagin duality of compact 0-dimensional semilattices and its applications*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 396, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1974.
26. A. Horn, *Logic with truth values in a linearly ordered Heyting algebra*, J. Symbolic Logic 34 (1969), 395–408. MR 40 #7089.
27. ———, *Free L-algebras*, J. Symbolic Logic 34 (1969), 475–480. MR 40 #7099.
28. B. Jónsson, *Algebras whose congruence lattices are distributive*, Math. Scand. 21 (1967), 110–121. MR 38 #5689.
29. T. Katriňák, *Remarks on W. C. Nemitz's paper "Semi-Boolean lattices"*, Notre Dame J. Formal Logic 11 (1970), 425–430. MR 45 #40.
30. T. Katriňák and A. Mitschke, *Stonesche Verbände der Ordnung n und Post-algebren*, Math. Ann. 199 (1972), 13–30. MR 47 #8379.
31. P. Köhler, *Freie endlich erzeugte Heyting-Algebren*, Diplomarbeit, Math. Institut Giessen, 1973.
32. ———, *Freie S-Algebren* (preprint).
33. ———, *S-Algebren mit endlichem Zentrum* (preprint).
34. H. Lakser, *Injective hulls of Stone algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 24 (1970), 524–529. MR 41 #119.
35. ———, *The structure of pseudocomplemented distributive lattices. I. Subdirect decomposition*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 156 (1971), 334–342. MR 43 #123.
36. K. B. Lee, *Equational classes of distributive pseudo-complemented lattices*, Canad. J. Math. 22 (1970), 881–891. MR 42 #151.
37. S. Mac Lane, *Categories for the working mathematician*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1971.
38. M. Mandelker, *Relative annihilators in lattices*, Duke Math. J. 37 (1970), 377–386. MR 41 #1606.
39. W. C. Nemitz, *Implicative semilattices*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 117 (1965), 128–142. MR 31 #1212.
40. H. A. Priestley, *Representations of distributive lattices by means of ordered Stone spaces*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 2 (1970), 186–190. MR 42 #153.
41. ———, *Ordered topological spaces and the representation of distributive lattices*, Proc. London Math. Soc. Ser. (3) 24 (1972), 507–530. MR 46 #109.
42. ———, *Stone lattices: A topological approach*, Fund Math. 84 (1974), 127–143.
43. H. Rasiowa and R. Sikorski, *The mathematics of metamathematics*, Monografie Mat., Tom 41, PWN, Warsaw, 1963. MR 29 #1149.
44. T. P. Speed, *Two congruences on distributive lattices. I. Prime ideals*, Bull. Soc. Roy. Sci. Liège 38 (1969), 610–628. MR 42 #154.
45. M. H. Stone, *The theory of representations for Boolean algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 40 (1936), 37–111.
46. A. Urquhart, *Free distributive pseudo-complemented lattices*, Algebra Universalis 3 (1973), 13–15.

47. A. Urquhart, *Free Heyting algebras*, Algebra Universalis 3 (1973), 94–97.
48. J. Varlet, *On the characterization of Stone lattices*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 27 (1966), 81–84. MR 33 #2580.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA, WINNIPEG,
MANITOBA, CANADA R3T 2N2

Current address: Department of Mathematics, LaTrobe University, Bundoora, Victoria,
Australia 3083