

ASYMPTOTICALLY AUTONOMOUS MULTIVALUED DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS⁽¹⁾

BY

JAMES P. FOTI

ABSTRACT. The asymptotic behavior of solutions of the perturbed autonomous multivalued differential equation $x' \in F(x) + G(t, x)$ is examined in relation to the behavior of solutions of the autonomous equation $x' \in F(x)$ assuming that all solutions of the latter approach zero as t approaches ∞ .

For multivalued functions F and G whose values are nonempty subsets of d -dimensional Euclidean space, R^d , the generalized differential equation

$$(1) \quad x' \in F(x) + G(t, x)$$

is said to be asymptotically autonomous if $G(t, x)$ becomes small in some sense as $t \rightarrow \infty$. The main result of this investigation establishes the relationship of the asymptotic behavior of solutions of (1) to that of solutions of the autonomous equation

$$(2) \quad x' \in F(x).$$

THEOREM 1. *Let F be a positive-homogeneous upper semicontinuous mapping from R^d (d -dimensional Euclidean space) to the nonempty, compact, convex subsets of R^d such that all solutions of (2) approach zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Let G be a mapping from R^{1+d} to the nonempty subsets of R^d such that $G(t, \cdot) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly on nonempty compact subsets of R^d . If ϕ is a bounded solution of (1) on $[0, \infty)$ then $\phi(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.*

If F and G are single-valued functions, denoted by f and g , respectively, the equations (1) and (2) are ordinary differential equations and the asymptotic behavior of the solutions is discussed, for example, by Strauss and Yorke. One of their results [7, p. 180] guarantees that all (classical) solutions of

$$(3) \quad x' = f(x) + g(t, x)$$

which are bounded on $[t_0, \infty)$ tend to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$ provided that f and g are

Presented to the Society, January 25, 1975; received by the editors February 11, 1975.
AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 34D05, 34D10; Secondary 34E10.

⁽¹⁾ This article was derived from the author's doctoral dissertation submitted to the University of Maryland.

continuous vector-valued functions, that all solutions of the unperturbed autonomous equation approach zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$, and that $g(t, x)$ "mostly approaches zero". The last condition, which is defined in [7, p. 176] is satisfied, if for example, $g(t, \cdot)$ approaches zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly on compact subsets of R^d . Other treatments of asymptotically autonomous ordinary differential equations may be found in [1]–[4] and [6]–[10].

A perturbation-type result for generalized differential equations was developed by Lasota and Strauss [5, p. 169] as an aid in their investigation of autonomous ordinary differential equations. This result, tailored to suit the present context, is presented below.

LEMMA 2. *Let F be a positive-homogeneous upper semicontinuous mapping from R^d to the nonempty, compact convex subsets of R^d such that every solution of (2) approaches zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Then there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and $K > 1$ such that for $t_0 > 0$ and $x_0 \in R^d$ each solution of*

$$(4) \quad x' \in F(x) + \epsilon B(|x|), \quad x(t_0) = x_0$$

can be continued to $+\infty$ and satisfies

$$(5) \quad |x(t)| \leq K|x_0| \exp(-\epsilon(t - t_0)).$$

for all $t \geq t_0$.

A solution of (1) is an absolutely continuous d -vector valued function which satisfies (1) almost everywhere on some nondegenerate interval. For $\epsilon > 0$, $x \in R^n$, and $A \subset R^n$ denote the Euclidean norm of x by $|x|$ and the norm of A by $\|A\| = \sup\{|x|: x \in A\}$. The distance from x to A is defined by $d(x, A) = \inf\{|x - y|: y \in A\}$ and the ϵ -neighborhood of A is the set $N(A, \epsilon) = \{y \in R^n: d(y, A) < \epsilon\}$. The closed-origin-centered ball of radius ϵ is denoted by $B(\epsilon)$.

The multivalued mapping H from R^n to the nonempty compact subsets of R^d is said to be *upper semicontinuous* if to each $\epsilon > 0$ and $x \in R^n$ there corresponds $\delta > 0$ such that $H(y) \subset N(H(x), \epsilon)$ provided $|x - y| < \delta$. The set-valued mapping H defined on R^n is said to be *positive-homogeneous* if $H(rx) = rH(x) = \{rz: z \in H(x)\}$ for all $x \in R^n$ and $r > 0$. The statement $H(t) \rightarrow \infty$ means that to each $\epsilon > 0$ there corresponds $T > 0$ such that $H(t) \subset B(\epsilon)$ for all $t \geq T$; that is, $\|H(t)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

A variation of Theorem 1, in which the perturbation term depends only on t , provides an approach to the proof of the main result.

THEOREM 3. *Let F and G satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 1 and in addition assume that G is independent of x . Then all solutions (not just the bounded solutions) of*

$$(6) \quad x' \in F(x) + G(t)$$

on $[0, \infty)$ approach zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

The proof of this theorem is based on the observation that if ϕ is a solution of (6) for which $G(t) \subset \epsilon B(|\phi(t)|)$ for all $t \geq 0$ then $\phi(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ according to Lemma 2; whereas, if $G(t) \not\subset \epsilon B(|\phi(t)|)$ for all $t \geq 0$ then $\epsilon B(|\phi(t)|) \subset B(\|G(t)\|)$, and $\phi(t) \rightarrow 0$ since $\|G(t)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. Let ϵ and K be as in Lemma 2 and let ϕ be a solution of (6) at least on $[0, \infty)$. Define the sets I and J by

$$(7) \quad I = \{t \geq 0: G(t) \subset \epsilon B(|\phi(t)|)\}$$

and

$$(8) \quad J = \{t \geq 0: G(t) \not\subset \epsilon B(|\phi(t)|)\};$$

clearly $I \cup J = \{t \geq 0\}$ and $I \cap J = \emptyset$. In the light of the previous remarks, it remains to be shown that $\phi(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ when both I and J are unbounded sets. Since the solution approaches zero on unbounded increasing sequences from J , it suffices to show that ϕ approaches zero along an arbitrary unbounded increasing sequence from I ; let $\{t_k\}$ be such a sequence. For $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, let I_k denote the component (maximal connected subset) of I which contains t_k and let d_k denote the length of this component. Let $s_k = \inf\{t \in I_k\}$ and assume, without loss of generality, that $s_1 > 1$; clearly, $s_k \uparrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. The continuity of ϕ provides for each positive integer k a corresponding $\delta_k < 1$ such that

$$(9) \quad |\phi(s_k) - \phi(t)| < 1/(2k) \quad \text{for } |t - s_k| < \delta_k;$$

in addition, if $d_k > 0$, choose $\delta_k < d_k$. Choose auxiliary sequences $\{\tau_k\} \subset J$ and $\{\tau_k^*\} \subset I$ such that $s_k - \delta_k \leq \tau_k \leq s_k$ and $s_k \leq \tau_k^* \leq s_k + \delta_k$ for each positive integer k ; these selections guarantee that

$$(10) \quad |\phi(\tau_k) - \phi(s_k)| < 1/(2k)$$

and

$$(11) \quad |\phi(\tau_k^*) - \phi(s_k)| < 1/(2k).$$

Consequently, for $t \in I_k$, ϕ satisfies

$$(12) \quad |\phi(t)| \leq \begin{cases} |\phi(s_k)| + 1/(2k) & \text{for } t \leq \tau_k^*, \end{cases}$$

$$(13) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} K|\phi(\tau_k^*)| \exp(-\epsilon(t - \tau_k^*)) \text{ for } t \geq \tau_k^*. \end{array} \right.$$

The estimate in (12) follows from (9) and the choice of τ_k^* ; whereas, the estimate in (13) follows from Lemma 2. These estimates can be modified by

(10) and (11) to obtain

$$(14) \quad |\phi(t)| \leq K(|\phi(\tau_k)| + 1/k) \quad \text{for } t \in I_k.$$

In particular, since $t_k \in I_k$ and $\tau_k \in J$, it follows that $\phi(\tau_k) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ which forces $\phi(t_k) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$; thus $\phi(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, which concludes the proof.

The proof of Theorem 1 follows almost as an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Let ϕ be a bounded solution of (1) which is defined at least on $[0, \infty)$, and let C denote a compact subset of R^d which contains $\phi(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$. Define the multivalued function H by

$$H(t) = \{y \in G(t, x): x \in C\}.$$

Clearly, $H(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and ϕ is a solution of

$$(15) \quad x' \in F(x) + G(t, x) \subset F(x) + H(t).$$

An application of Theorem 3 yields the desired results.

REFERENCES

1. H. A. Antosiewicz, *Stable systems of differential equations with integrable perturbation term*, J. London Math. Soc. 31 (1956), 208–212. MR 18, 42.
2. Fred Brauer and Aaron Strauss, *Perturbations of nonlinear systems of differential equations*. III, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 31 (1970), 37–48. MR 41 #3919.
3. L. Cesari, *Asymptotic behavior and stability problems in ordinary differential equations*, Ergebnisse der Math. und ihrer Grenzgebiete, N. F., Band 16, Academic Press, New York; Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1963. MR 27 #1661.
4. E. A. Coddington and N. Levinson, *Theory of ordinary differential equations*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1955. MR 16, 1022.
5. A. Lasota and Aaron Strauss, *Asymptotic behavior for differential equations which cannot be locally linearized*, J. Differential Equations 10 (1971), 152–172. MR 43 #3570.
6. S. Lefschetz, *Differential equations: Geometric theory*, 2nd ed., Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 6, Interscience, New York, 1963. MR 27 #3864.
7. Aaron Strauss and James A. Yorke, *On asymptotically autonomous differential equations*, Math. Systems Theory 1 (1967), 175–182. MR 35 #4524.
8. ———, *Perturbation theorems for ordinary differential equations*, J. Differential Equations 3 (1967), 15–30. MR 34 #3029.
9. ———, *Perturbing uniform asymptotically stable nonlinear systems*, J. Differential Equations 6 (1969), 452–483. MR 40 #5998.
10. T. Yoshizawa, *Stability theory by Lyapunov's second method*, Publ. Math. Soc. Japan, no. 9, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 1966. MR 34 #7896.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNITED STATES NAVAL ACADEMY, ANNAPOLIS, MARYLAND 21402