

## ON THE SECOND HANKEL DETERMINANT OF AREALLY MEAN $p$ -VALENT FUNCTIONS

BY

J. W. NOONAN<sup>(1)</sup> AND D. K. THOMAS

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we determine the growth rate of the second Hankel determinant of an areally mean  $p$ -valent function. This result both extends and unifies previously known results concerning this problem.

**I. Introduction and statement of results.** Let  $f$  be regular in  $\gamma = \{z: |z| < 1\}$ , with  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ . The  $q$ th Hankel determinant of  $f$  is defined for  $q \geq 1$  by

$$H_q(n) = \begin{vmatrix} a_n & a_{n+1} & \cdots & a_{n+q-1} \\ a_{n+1} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdot \\ \cdot & & & \cdot \\ \cdot & & & \cdot \\ \cdot & & & \cdot \\ a_{n+q-1} & \cdots & \cdots & a_{n+2q-2} \end{vmatrix}.$$

If  $n(\omega)$  is the number of roots in  $\gamma$  of the equation  $f(z) = \omega$ ,  $f$  is said to be areally mean  $p$ -valent in  $\gamma$  [1] if for all  $R > 0$ ,

$$W(R, f) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^R n(\rho e^{i\theta}) \rho d\rho d\theta \leq pR^2.$$

As usual,  $f$  is normalized so that  $\max\{|a_k|: 0 \leq k \leq [p]\} = 1$ , and the class of normalized areally mean  $p$ -valent functions is denoted by  $S_p$ .

The problem of determining the rate of growth of  $H_q(n)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  when  $f \in S_p$  is well known. Ch. Pommerenke [9] has shown that for  $p \geq 1$ ,  $H_q(n) = O(1) n^{k\sqrt{q} - q/2}$  where  $k = 16p\sqrt{p}$ . The present authors have shown [8] that if  $q \geq 2$  and  $p \geq 2(q-1)$ , then  $H_q(n) = O(1)n^{2pq - q^2}$ , where the exponent is best possible. For strictly univalent functions, Pommerenke [10] has shown that for  $q \geq 2$ ,  $H_q(n) = O(1)n^{-(1/2 + \beta)q + 3/2}$ , where  $\beta > 1/4000$ . In particular,  $H_2(n) = O(1)n^{1/2 - 2\beta}$ . On the other hand, W. K. Hayman [4] has shown that  $H_2(n) = o(1)n^{1/2}$  when  $f \in S_1$ , and that this is best possible.

It is clear that the known results concerning this problem are incomplete,

---

Received by the editors August 13, 1975.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 30A34.

<sup>(1)</sup>Supported by R.S.C. grant B/SR/22779.

Copyright © 1976, American Mathematical Society

in the sense that given  $q$ , best possible growth rates for  $H_q(n)$  are known only for certain values of  $p$ . In this paper we shall examine the behavior of  $H_2(n)$  when  $f \in S_p$ . We prove

THEOREM 1. *Let  $f \in S_p$ . Then, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,*

$$H_2(n) = a_n a_{n+2} - a_{n+1}^2 = \begin{cases} o(1)n^{-1}, & 0 < p < 1/4, \\ o(1)n^{2p-3/2}, & 1/4 < p < 5/4, \\ O(1)n^{4p-4}, & p > 5/4. \end{cases}$$

If  $p > 5/4$  and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} (1-r)^{2p} M(r, f) = 0$ , then  $H_2(n) = o(1)n^{4p-4}$ .

In the opposite direction we have

THEOREM 2. *Given any positive sequence  $\{\epsilon_n\}$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_n = 0$ , there exists  $f \in S_p$  such that for infinitely many  $n$ ,*

$$|H_2(n)| > \begin{cases} \epsilon_n n^{-1}, & 0 < p < 1/4, \\ \epsilon_n n^{2p-3/2}, & 1/4 < p < 5/4. \end{cases}$$

In addition, if  $p > 5/4$  and  $f \in S_p$  satisfies  $\alpha = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} (1-r)^{2p} M(r, f) > 0$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |H_2(n)|/n^{4p-4} = \alpha^2(2p-1)/\Gamma(2p)^2$ .

Theorem 2 shows that the results of Theorem 1 are best possible, and also that when  $p > 5/4$ ,  $O(1)$  cannot in general be replaced by  $o(1)$ . These results essentially solve Problem 6.14' of [2] when  $q = 2$ .

II. Preliminary results. With  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  and  $y$  any complex number, we set  $\Delta_0(n+2, y, f) = a_{n+2}$ ,  $\Delta_1(n+1, y, f) = a_{n+1} - y a_{n+2}$ , and  $\Delta_2(n, y, f) = a_n - 2y a_{n+1} + y^2 a_{n+2}$ , so that

$$(2.1) \quad H_2(n) = \Delta_2(n, y, f) \Delta_0(n+2, y, f) - \Delta_1(n+1, y, f)^2.$$

We shall estimate the various terms in (2.1) by combining two methods due originally to W. K. Hayman [3], [4]. For the sake of brevity, we shall refer to the existing literature whenever possible.

If  $z_1 \in \gamma$  and  $z = \rho e^{i\theta}$ , Cauchy's theorem gives that

$$|\Delta_2(n, z_1, z f')| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi \rho^{n+1}} \int_0^{2\pi} |z - z_1|^2 |f'(z)| d\theta,$$

and upon integrating from  $\rho = 1 - 3/n$  to  $\rho = 1 - 2/n$ , we find that

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{|\Delta_2(n, z_1, z f')|}{n} = O(1) \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{1-3/n}^{1-2/n} |z - z_1|^2 |f'(z)| \rho d\rho d\theta.$$

Henceforth we assume that  $n$  is fixed and that  $z_1$  has been chosen so that

$|z_1| = n/(n + 1)$ ,  $|f(z_1)| = M(n/(n + 1), f)$ . We also set  $M_1 = |f(z_1)|$ ,  $M_k = e^{1-k}M_1$ ,  $k \geq 1$ .

Technical considerations dictate that we now proceed in two similar yet different ways. We first divide  $E = \{z: 1 - 3/n \leq \rho \leq 1 - 2/n\}$  into disjoint subsets  $E_k = \{z \in E: M_{k+1} \leq |f(z)| < M_k\}$ . Upon using the techniques of [3] (see also [8, p. 508]) we conclude that

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{|\Delta_2(n, z_1, zf')|}{n} = O(1) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{1-3/n}^{1-2/n} |z - z_1|^4 G_k(|f(z)|) \rho d\rho d\theta \right\}^{1/2},$$

where  $G_k(R) = M_k^2 R^2 / (M_k^2 + R^2)$ .

Following Hayman [4], we now introduce a slightly different method. Choosing  $\lambda > 2$ , applying the Schwarz inequality to (2.2), and noting that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \int_{1-3/n}^{1-2/n} |f'(z)|^2 (1 + |f(z)|^\lambda)^{-1} \rho d\rho d\theta \leq A(\lambda)$$

(see [4, p. 81]), we deduce that

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{|\Delta_2(n, z_1, zf')|}{n} \leq A(\lambda) \left\{ n^{-1/2} + \left( \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{1-3/n}^{1-2/n} |z - z_1|^4 |f(z)|^\lambda \rho d\rho d\theta \right)^{1/2} \right\}.$$

(As usual,  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  denote absolute constants, while  $A(x, y, \dots)$  denotes a constant depending only on  $x, y, \dots$ )

The estimate (2.3) will be used when  $p > 5/4$ , and (2.4) will be used when  $1/4 < p < 5/4$ .

III. Estimate for (2.4). Applying [6, Lemma 2] and [4, Lemma 3] to  $\int_0^{2\pi} |\rho e^{i\theta} - z_1|^4 |f(\rho e^{i\theta})|^\lambda d\theta$ , we find that

$$(3.1) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} |\rho e^{i\theta} - z_1|^4 |f(\rho e^{i\theta})|^\lambda d\theta \leq A(p, \lambda) + A_1(J_1(\rho) + J_2(\rho)),$$

where

$$J_1(\rho) = \int_{1/2}^\rho \int_0^{2\pi} |\rho e^{i\theta} - z_1|^2 |f(re^{i\theta})|^\lambda r \log \rho / r d\theta dr,$$

$$J_2(\rho) = \int_{1/2}^\rho \int_0^{2\pi} |\rho e^{i\theta} - z_1|^4 |f'(re^{i\theta})|^2 |f(re^{i\theta})|^{\lambda-2} r \log \rho / r d\theta dr.$$

The essential part of our proof consists of deriving appropriate estimates for  $J_1(\rho)$  and  $J_2(\rho)$ . We begin with  $J_2(\rho)$ .

LEMMA 1. Let  $f \in S_p$ ,  $\lambda > 2$ ,  $k$  a positive integer. Then for any a satisfying  $0 < a \leq k$ , we have

$$\int_{1/2}^{\rho} \int_0^{2\pi} |re^{i\theta} - z_1|^{2k} |f'(re^{i\theta})|^2 |f(re^{i\theta})|^{\lambda-2} r \log \rho / r d\theta dr$$

$$\leq A(p, \lambda, a) \begin{cases} \left(\frac{n^{2p}}{M_1}\right)^{a^2/2p} & \text{if } 1 < 2p\lambda < 2a + 1, \\ \left(\frac{n^{2p}}{M_1}\right)^{a^2/2p} \min \{M_1, (1 - \rho)^{-2p}\}^{(2p\lambda-2a-1)/2p} & \text{if } 2p\lambda > 2a + 1. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. Divide the range of integration into subsets  $F_j = \{re^{i\theta} : 1/2 \leq r < \rho, M_{j+1} \leq |f(re^{i\theta})| < M_j\}$ ; also note that  $\log \rho / r < 2(1 - r)$ . Following Hayman [4], we suppose that  $M_{j+1} \geq M(1/2, f)$  for at least one value of  $j$ . (The opposite case is trivial.) Since  $0 < a \leq k$ ,  $|re^{i\theta} - z_1|^{2k} \leq A|re^{i\theta} - z_1|^{2a}$ , and so [5, Theorem 1]

$$|re^{i\theta} - z_1|^{2k} \leq A(p, a)(n^{2p}/M_1)^{a^2/2p}(1 - r)^{-1} |f(re^{i\theta})|^{(-2a-1)/2p}.$$

Therefore

$$\iint_{F_j} |re^{i\theta} - z_1|^{2k} |f'(re^{i\theta})|^2 |f(re^{i\theta})|^{\lambda-2} \log \rho / r d\theta dr$$

$$\leq A(p, a) \left(\frac{n^{2p}}{M_1}\right)^{a^2/2p} M_j^{-\epsilon}$$

where  $\epsilon = -\lambda + (2a + 1)/2p$ .

If  $\epsilon > 0$  (i.e.  $2p\lambda < 2a + 1$ ), we choose constants  $b$  and  $A(p)$  with  $0 < b \leq M(1/2, f) \leq A(p)$ , we define  $j_0 = j_0(n) = \max\{j: M_{j+1} \geq M(1/2, f)\}$ , and we conclude that  $b \leq M_{j_0+1} \leq A(p)$ . With  $F'' = \{re^{i\theta} : |f(re^{i\theta})| \leq M_{j_0+1}\}$ , it follows easily from the definition of the class  $S_p$  that

$$\iint_{F''} |re^{i\theta} - z_1|^{2k} |f'(re^{i\theta})|^2 |f(re^{i\theta})|^{\lambda-2} \log \rho / r dr d\theta \leq A(p, \lambda, k).$$

The above remarks therefore imply

$$\int_{1/2}^{\rho} \int_0^{2\pi} |re^{i\theta} - z_1|^{2k} |f'(re^{i\theta})|^2 |f(re^{i\theta})|^{\lambda-2} r \log \rho / r d\theta dr$$

$$= \iint_{F''} + \sum_{j=1}^{j_0} \iint_{F_j}$$

$$\leq A(p, \lambda, k) + A(p, a) \left(\frac{n^{2p}}{M_1}\right)^{a^2/2p} \sum_{j=1}^{j_0} M_j^{-\epsilon}.$$

Since  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} M_j^{-\epsilon} \leq b^{-\epsilon} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{-\epsilon j} < \infty$ , and the lemma follows.

If  $\epsilon < 0$  (i.e.  $2p\lambda > 2\alpha + 1$ ), we divide the range of integration into subsets  $E_j = \{re^{i\theta} : 1/2 \leq r < \rho, N_{j+1} \leq |f(re^{i\theta})| < N_j\}$ , where  $N_1 = \min\{M_1, A(p)(1-\rho)^{-2p}\}$ ,  $N_j = e^{1-j}N_1$ . As above, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{E_j} |re^{i\theta} - z_1|^{2k} |f'(re^{i\theta})|^2 |f(re^{i\theta})|^{\lambda-2} \log \rho/r \, dr d\theta \\ & \leq A(p, a, \lambda)(n^{2p}/M_1)^{a^2/2p} N_j^{-\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Upon summing from  $j = 1$  to  $\infty$  and using the fact that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} N_j^{-\epsilon} \leq A(p, \lambda, a) N_1^{-\epsilon},$$

we arrive at the conclusion of the lemma.

We now estimate  $J_1(\rho)$ .

LEMMA 2. Let  $f \in S_p$ ,  $\lambda > 2$ , and  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ . Suppose that  $1 < 2p\lambda < 2\alpha + 3$  and  $2p\lambda \neq 2\alpha + 1$ ,  $2p\lambda \neq 3$ . Then  $J_1(\rho) \leq A(p, \alpha, \lambda) (n^{2p}/M_1)^{\alpha^2/2p}$ .

PROOF. Using [6, Lemma 2] and [4, Lemma 3], we see that

$$K(r) \leq A_1 + 16K_1(r) + 4\lambda^2 K_2(r),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K(r) &= \int_0^{2\pi} |re^{i\theta} - z_1|^2 |f(re^{i\theta})|^\lambda d\theta, \\ K_1(r) &= \int_{1/2}^r \int_0^{2\pi} |f(te^{i\theta})|^\lambda (1-t) d\theta dt, \\ K_2(r) &= \int_{1/2}^r \int_0^{2\pi} |te^{i\theta} - z_1|^2 |f(te^{i\theta})|^{\lambda-2} |f'(te^{i\theta})|^2 (1-t) d\theta dt. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 1 (with  $k = 1$ ), we find that

$$K_2(r) \leq A(p, \lambda, \alpha) \begin{cases} (n^{2p}/M_1)^{\alpha^2/2p}, & \text{if } 1 < 2p\lambda < 2\alpha + 1, \\ (n^{2p}/M_1)^{\alpha^2/2p} \min\{M_1, (1-r)^{-2p}\}^{(2p\lambda-2\alpha-1)/2p}, & \text{if } 2p\lambda > 2\alpha + 1. \end{cases}$$

Also, from [1, Theorem 3.2], we have  $\int_0^{2\pi} |f(te^{i\theta})|^\lambda d\theta \leq A(p, \lambda)(1-t)^{1-2p\lambda}$ , provided  $2p\lambda > 1$ . Thus

$$K_1(r) \leq A(p, \lambda) \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 1 < 2p\lambda < 3, \\ (1-r)^{3-2p}, & \text{if } 2p\lambda > 3. \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$K(r) \leq A(p, \lambda, \alpha) \left(\frac{n^{2p}}{M_1}\right)^{\alpha^2/2p} \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 1 < 2p\lambda < 2\alpha + 1, \\ (1-r)^{1+2\alpha-2p\lambda}, & \text{if } 2\alpha + 1 < 2p\lambda < 3 \\ & \text{or } 2p\lambda > 3. \end{cases}$$

Since  $J_1(\rho) = \int_{1/2}^{\rho} K(r) \log \rho/r dr$ , the lemma now follows immediately.

We can now estimate  $\Delta_2(n, z_1, zf')$ . Choose  $a$  such that  $0 < a \leq 2$ , and put  $\alpha = a/2$ . Then Lemmas 1 and 2 imply that

$$(3.2) \quad J_1(\rho) + J_2(\rho) \leq A(p, \lambda, a)(n^{2p}/M_1)^{a^2/2p}$$

provided  $1 < 2p\lambda < 2a + 1$ ,  $2p\lambda \neq a + 1$ ,  $2p\lambda \neq 3$ . Upon combining (2.4), (3.1), and (3.2), we find that

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{|\Delta_2(n, z_1, zf')|}{n} \leq A(\lambda) \left\{ n^{-1/2} + A(p, a, \lambda) \left(\frac{n^{2p}}{M_1}\right)^{a^2/4p} \left\{ \int_{1-3/n}^{1-2/n} d\rho \right\}^{1/2} \right\} \\ \leq A(p, a, \lambda) n^{-1/2} \left(\frac{n^{2p}}{M_1}\right)^{a^2/4p}$$

for any  $a$  such that  $0 < a \leq 2$ ,  $1 < 2p\lambda < 2a + 1$ ,  $2p\lambda \neq a + 1$ ,  $2p\lambda \neq 3$ .

IV. Proof of Theorem 1 when  $0 < p < 1/4$  or  $1/4 < p < 5/4$ . If  $0 < p < 1/4$ , then [11]  $a_n = o(1)n^{-1/2}$ , and so trivially  $H_2(n) = o(1)n^{-1}$ . Now suppose  $1/4 < p < 5/4$ . We first note that for  $p > 1/4$ ,

$$(4.1) \quad |a_n| \leq A(p)n^{-1/2}M_1^{1-1/4p},$$

a result proved exactly as in [4] in the case  $p = 1$ . Also, with  $z_1 = e^{i\theta}n/(n + 1)$ , we have

$$\Delta_2(n, e^{i\theta}n, f) = n^{-1}\Delta_2(n, z_1, zf') + (n + 1)^{-2}e^{2i\theta}n a_{n+2}.$$

Combining this with (3.3), (4.1), and the fact that  $1/4 < p < 5/4$ , we see that

$$(4.2) \quad \Delta_2(n, e^{i\theta}n, f) \leq A(p, a, \lambda)n^{-1/2}(n^{2p}/M_1)^{a^2/4p}$$

with  $a$  as before.

We now prove that  $H_2(n) = o(1)n^{2p-3/2}$  when  $1/4 < p < 5/4$ . It follows from (4.1) and (4.2) that

$$\Delta_2(n, e^{i\theta}n, f)\Delta_0(n + 2, e^{i\theta}n, f) \leq A(p, a, \lambda)n^{2p-3/2}(n^{2p}/M_1)^\delta,$$

where  $\delta = (a^2 + 1 - 4p)/4p$ . Choose  $a = (2p\lambda - 1)/2 + \epsilon$ , where  $\lambda > 2$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  are chosen such that all previous restrictions involving  $a$  are satisfied, and also such that  $\delta < 0$ . (Elementary computations verify that such a choice

is possible; the fact that  $1/4 < p < 5/4$  is essential here.)

We next note [6] that

$$(4.3) \quad |\Delta_1(n + 1, e^{i\theta} n, f)| \leq A(p, \lambda) \begin{cases} n^{2p-2\sqrt{p}}, & 1/4 < p < 1, \\ n^{2p-2}, & p \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

and so

$$|\Delta_1(n + 1, e^{i\theta} n, f)|^2 = o(1)n^{2p-3/2},$$

where again we have used  $1/4 < p < 5/4$ . We thus conclude from (2.1) and the above remarks that

$$|H_2(n)|/n^{2p-3/2} \leq A(p)(M_1/n^{2p})^{-\delta} + o(1).$$

If  $M_1 = M(n/(n + 1), f) = o(1)n^{2p}$ , then (since  $-\delta > 0$ )  $H_2(n) = o(1)n^{2p-3/2}$ . If  $M_1 \neq o(1)n^{2p}$ , it is well known [1] that  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} (1 - r)^{2p} M(r, f) > 0$ . From (3.1) and Lemmas 1 and 2, it follows that with  $\lambda > 2$  fixed,  $\int_0^{2\pi} |\rho e^{i\theta} - z_1|^4 |f(\rho e^{i\theta})|^\lambda d\theta$  is uniformly bounded for  $0 < \rho < 1$ . We now use exactly the same technique as does Hayman [4, p. 90] to conclude that  $\Delta_2(n, z_1, zf') = o(1)n^{1/2}$ , and then as above we deduce that

$$H_2(n) = o(1)n^{2p-3/2}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1 in the case  $1/4 < p < 5/4$ .

V. Estimate for (2.3). We now assume  $p > 5/4$ . Our method is essentially that of [8], the major difference being that since we are dealing with the specific case  $q = 2, p > 5/4$ , we can make more efficient use of the two-point modulus bound than was possible in [8]. In view of the technical nature of this modification, we shall merely indicate the sort of changes to be made in [8]. Verification of the complete details will be left to the interested reader.

Recalling that  $G_k(R) = M_k^2 R^2 / (M_k^2 + R^2)$ , we see upon using [6, Lemma 2] and [4, Lemma 3] that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |\rho e^{i\theta} - z_1|^4 G_k(|f(\rho e^{i\theta})|) d\theta$$

can be estimated in terms of seven integrals (see [8, p. 511]), of which the most troublesome is

$$(5.1) \quad \int_{1/2}^p (1 - t) \int_0^{2\pi} |te^{i\theta} - z_1|^2 G_k(|f(te^{i\theta})|) d\theta t dt.$$

Reapplying [6, Lemma 2] and [4, Lemma 3], we can estimate the inner integral of (5.1) in terms of seven more integrals, the most troublesome being

$$(5.2) \quad \int_{1/2}^t (1 - t_1) \int_0^{2\pi} |t_1 e^{i\theta} - z_1|^2 |f'(t_1 e^{i\theta})|^2 \frac{M_k^4 (M_k^2 - R^2)}{(M_k^2 + R^2)^3} d\theta t_1 dt_1.$$

In order to estimate this integral we first replace the range of integration by  $\Omega = \{t_1 e^{i\theta} : 1/2 \leq t_1 < t, |f(t_1 e^{i\theta})| \leq B_1\}$ , where  $B_1 = \min\{M_k, A(p)(1-t)^{-2p}\}$ . We now divide  $\Omega$  into subsets  $\Omega_m = \{t_1 e^{i\theta} \in \Omega : B_{m+1} \leq |f(t_1 e^{i\theta})| < B_m\}$ , where  $B_m = e^{1-m} B_1$ . An application of the two-point modulus bound (put  $a = b = 1$  in [5, Theorem 1]) allows us to conclude that integration over  $\Omega_m$  contributes at most  $A(p)(n^{2p}/M_1)^{1/2p} B_m^{(4p-3)/2p}$ , and upon summing from  $m = 1$  to  $\infty$ , we conclude that (5.2) is bounded above by  $A(p)(n^{2p}/M_1)^{1/2p} \min\{M_k^{2-3/2p}, (1-t)^{3-4p}\}$ . Combining this estimate with the technique of [8, p. 517], we see that integration of (5.2) contributes at most  $A(p)e^{-(4p-5)k/2p} (n^{2p}/M_1)^{1/2p} M_1^{(4p-5)/2p}$  to (5.1).

After re-examining the arguments of [8] in light of the changes suggested above, we find that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |\rho e^{i\theta} - z_1|^4 G_k(|f(\rho e^{i\theta})|) d\theta \leq A(p)e^{-(4p-5)k/4p} (n^{2p}/M_1)^{4/2p} n^{4p-5}.$$

Summing these estimates (as required by (2.3)), we find that

$$(5.3) \quad \frac{|\Delta_2(n, z_1, zf')|}{n} \leq A(p) \left(\frac{n^{2p}}{M_1}\right)^{4/4p} n^{2p-3}.$$

The important point concerning this method is that if  $p > 5/4$ , the presence of the convergence factor  $e^{-(4p-5)k/2p}$  allows us to sum from  $k = 1$  to  $\infty$  and obtain (5.3).

VI. Proof of Theorem 1 when  $p > 5/4$ . The estimate (5.3) leads, in the same manner as in the case  $1/4 < p < 5/4$ , to the estimate

$$|\Delta_2(n, e^{i\theta} n, f)| \leq A(p)(n^{2p}/M_1)^{4/4p} n^{2p-3}.$$

Upon combining this with (4.1), we find that

$$(6.1) \quad |\Delta_2(n, e^{i\theta} n, f)\Delta_0(n+2, e^{i\theta} n, f)| \leq A(p)n^{4p-4}(M_1/n^{2p})^{4p-5/4p}.$$

From (4.3) we have  $|\Delta_1(n+1, e^{i\theta} n, f)|^2 \leq A(p)n^{4p-4}$ , and upon combining this with (6.1) and (2.1), we conclude that  $H_2(n) = O(1)n^{4p-4}$ , as required. (Here we have used the facts  $p > 5/4$  and  $M_1 \leq A(p)n^{2p}$ .)

If  $M(r, f) = o(1)(1-r)^{-2p}$ , it is clear that  $o(1)$  replaces  $O(1)$  in (6.1), and from [7, Theorem 1] we have

$$\Delta_1(n+1, e^{i\theta} n, f) = o(1)n^{2p-2} \quad \text{for } p > 1.$$

Thus  $H_2(n) = o(1)n^{4p-4}$ .

If  $\alpha = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} (1-r)^{2p} M(r, f) > 0$ , it follows from [7, Theorem 4] that for  $p > 5/4$ ,



$$(6.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|H_2(n)|}{n^{4p-4}} = \frac{\alpha^2(2p-1)}{\Gamma(2p)^2}.$$

Thus  $O(1)$  cannot in general be replaced by  $o(1)$  when  $p > 5/4$ .

VII. Examples when  $p < 5/4$ . Since (6.2) applies when  $p > 5/4$ , we see that it remains only to prove the first statement of Theorem 2. Put  $f_0(z) = 2^{2p}\pi(1-z)^{-2p} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n z^n$  and  $\varphi(z) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ , where  $\{b_n\}_2^{\infty}$  is any sequence of nonnegative numbers with  $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n \leq 1$ ,  $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n b_n^2 \leq p$ . In [7] it is shown that if  $p \geq 1/2$ , the function  $f$  given by

$$f(z) = f_0(z) + \varphi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

is areally mean  $p$ -valent.

Suppose now that  $\{\epsilon_n\}$  is as in Theorem 2, and choose  $\{b_n\}$  such that for some subsequence  $\{n_k\}$ ,  $b_{n_k} = b_{n_k+2} = 0$ ,  $b_{n_k+1} = \epsilon_{n_k} n_k^{-1/2}$ . Direct calculation, in which we use the fact that  $a_n = A_n + b_n$ , shows that

$$(7.1) \quad H_2(n_k, f) = H_2(n_k, f_0) - 2A_{n_k+1}b_{n_k+1} - b_{n_k+1}^2.$$

Since  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} (1-r)^{2p} M(r, f_0) > 0$ , it follows from (6.2) that

$$\lim_{n_k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H_2(n_k, f_0)}{n_k^{2p-3/2}} = 0,$$

where we have used strongly the fact that  $p < 5/4$ . Also,  $b_{n_k+1}^2/n_k^{2p-3/2} = \epsilon_{n_k}^2/n_k^{(4p-1)/2}$ , and

$$\frac{A_{n_k} b_{n_k+1}}{n_k^{2p-3/2}} = \frac{2^{2p}\pi\epsilon_{n_k}}{\Gamma(2p)} (1 + o(1)),$$

since  $A_n \sim 2^{2p}\pi n^{2p-1}/\Gamma(2p)$ . Theorem 2 (for  $p \geq 1/2$ ) now follows immediately from these estimates and (7.1).

In order to prove Theorem 2 for  $1/4 < p < 1/2$ , we use the same technique as above, except that we are forced to alter the function  $f$  slightly. Given  $p$  with  $1/4 < p < 1/2$ , construct  $F \in S_p$  as follows (see [3], [4]). Put  $g(z) = (1-z)^{-1}$ ,  $\chi = 2/\cos p\pi$ ,  $G(z) = g(z)^{2p} + \chi$ , and  $F(z) = G(z) + \varphi(z)$ , where  $\varphi$  is as before. Clearly all we need do to prove Theorem 2 is to show that  $F \in S_p$ .

Note first that  $G$  maps  $\gamma$  conformally into the sector

$$E = \{\omega : |\arg(\omega - \chi)| < p\pi\}.$$

Put  $\omega = \chi + te^{i\theta}$ , so that  $|\omega|^2 = t^2 + \chi^2 + 2t\chi \cos \theta$ . If  $\omega \in E$ , it follows from the definition of  $\chi$  that  $|\omega|^2 \geq (t + 2)^2$ , and so  $t \leq |\omega| - 2$ .

Set  $E_R = E \cap \{\omega: |\omega| \leq R\}$ , and let  $A(R)$  be the area of  $E_R$ . If  $R < \chi$ , then  $A(R) = 0$ , while if  $R > \chi$ ,  $A(R) < p\pi(R - 2)^2$ . The argument employed by Hayman [3] now shows that  $F \in S_p$ . As noted above, this proves Theorem 2 for  $1/4 < p < 1/2$ . In conclusion, we note that the example given in [1, p. 49] shows that Theorem 2 also holds for  $0 < p < 1/4$ .

## REFERENCES

1. W. K. Hayman, *Multivalent functions*, Cambridge Tracts in Math. and Math. Phys., no. 48, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1958. MR 21 #7302.
2. ———, *Research problems in function theory*, Athlone Press, London, 1967. MR 36 #359.
3. ———, *On successive coefficients of univalent functions*, J. London Math. Soc. 38 (1963), 228–243. MR 26 #6382.
4. ———, *On the second Hankel determinant of mean univalent functions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 18 (1968), 77–94. MR 36 #2794.
5. K. W. Lucas, *A two-point modulus bound for areally mean  $p$ -valent functions*, J. London Math. Soc. 43 (1968), 487–494. MR 37 #1587.
6. ———, *On successive coefficients of areally mean  $p$ -valent functions*, J. London Math. Soc. 44 (1969), 631–642. MR 39 #4379.
7. J. W. Noonan, *Coefficient differences and Hankel determinants of areally mean  $p$ -valent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 46 (1974), 29–37.
8. J. W. Noonan and D. K. Thomas, *On the Hankel determinants of areally mean  $p$ -valent functions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 25 (1972), 503–524. MR 46 #5605.
9. Ch. Pommerenke, *On the coefficients and Hankel determinants of univalent functions*, J. London Math. Soc. 41 (1966), 111–122. MR 32 #2575.
10. ———, *On the Hankel determinants of univalent functions*, Mathematika 14 (1967), 108–112. MR 35 #6811.
11. ———, *Über die Mittelwerte und Koeffizienten multivalenter Funktionen*, Math. Ann. 145 (1961/62), 285–296. MR 24 #A3282.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HOLY CROSS COLLEGE, WORCESTER,  
MASSACHUSETTS 01610

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF SWANSEA,  
SWANSEA, GLAMORGAN, WALES