

## FRATTINI SUBALGEBRAS OF FINITELY GENERATED SOLUBLE LIE ALGEBRAS

BY

RALPH K. AMAYO

**ABSTRACT.** This paper is motivated by a recent one of Stewart and Towers [8] investigating Lie algebras with "good Frattini structure" (definition below). One consequence of our investigations is to prove that any finitely generated metanilpotent Lie algebra has good Frattini structure, thereby answering a question of Stewart and Towers and providing a complete Lie theoretic analogue of the corresponding group theoretic result of Phillip Hall. It will also be shown that in prime characteristic, finitely generated nilpotent-by-finite-dimensional Lie algebras have good Frattini structure.

1. **Preliminaries.** We employ the notation of Amayo and Stewart [3]. For a fixed ground field  $\mathfrak{f}$ ,  $\mathfrak{A}$ ,  $\mathfrak{F}$ ,  $\mathfrak{G}$ ,  $\mathfrak{N}$  denote the classes of abelian, finite-dimensional, finitely generated, and nilpotent Lie algebras respectively. If  $\mathfrak{X}$  and  $\mathfrak{Y}$  are classes of Lie algebras, then  $\mathfrak{XY}$  is the class of all Lie algebras  $L$  having an ideal  $I \in \mathfrak{X}$  with  $L/I \in \mathfrak{Y}$ . We write  $\mathfrak{X}^2$  for the class  $\mathfrak{XX}$ , and, in general,  $\mathfrak{X}^{n+1} = \mathfrak{X}^n \mathfrak{X}$ . We also refer to  $\mathfrak{XY}$  as the class of  $\mathfrak{X}$ -by- $\mathfrak{Y}$  Lie algebras, and  $\mathfrak{X}^2$  is the class of meta- $\mathfrak{X}$  algebras. Thus  $\mathfrak{N}^2$  is the class of metanilpotent Lie algebras.

The symbol  $L$  will denote a Lie algebra of arbitrary dimension defined over the field  $\mathfrak{f}$ . The notation  $A \subseteq L$ ,  $A < L$ ,  $A \triangleleft L$ ,  $A$  si  $L$  means that  $A$  is a subset, subalgebra, ideal, and subideal of  $L$ , respectively. By  $A < \cdot L$  we mean that  $A$  is a maximal subalgebra of  $L$ . If  $A, B \subseteq L$ , then  $[A, B]$  is the subspace of  $L$  spanned by all  $[a, b]$  with  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ ,  $[A,_{n+1}B] = [[A,_{n+1}B], B]$  and  $[A,_{n+1}B] = A$ ;  $[a,_{n+1}b] = [[a,_{n+1}b], b]$ .

The Frattini subalgebra  $F(L)$  is the intersection of the maximal subalgebras of  $L$  or is  $L$  if there are no maximal subalgebras. The Frattini ideal  $\Phi(L)$  is the largest ideal of  $L$  contained in  $F(L)$ . In general,  $F(L) \neq \Phi(L)$ .

A chief factor of  $L$  is a pair  $(H, K)$  of ideals of  $L$  such that  $H > K$  and no ideal of  $L$  lies properly between  $H$  and  $K$ . We also refer to the corresponding factor ideal  $H/K$  of  $L/K$  as the chief factor.

If  $A \triangleleft B < L$ , then

---

Received by the editors November 12, 1976.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 17B30, 17B65, 17B05.

Key words and phrases. Lie algebra, Frattini subalgebra.

© American Mathematical Society 1978

$$C_L(B/A) = \{x \in L: [B, x] \subseteq A\}.$$

If  $A$  and  $B$  are ideals of  $L$ , then  $C_L(B/A)$  is also an ideal of  $L$ .

$$\psi(L) = \cap \{C_L(H/K): H/K \text{ is a chief factor of } L\}.$$

The Hirsch-Plotkin radical  $\rho(L)$  is the unique maximal locally nilpotent ideal of  $L$ . The Fitting radical  $\nu(L)$  is the sum of the nilpotent ideals of  $L$ . We always have  $\nu(L) \leq \rho(L)$ . We set

$$\tilde{\nu}(L)/\Phi(L) = \nu(L/\Phi(L)) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\psi}(L)/\Phi(L) = \psi(L/\Phi(L)).$$

We say that  $L$  has good Frattini structure if  $\nu(L)$  is nilpotent and  $\nu(L) = \rho(L) = \psi(L) = \tilde{\nu}(L)$ .

Let  $U = U(L)$  be the universal enveloping algebra of  $L$  and let  $A$  be an  $L$ -module (and hence  $U$ -module). For a two-sided ideal  $I$  of  $U$  we let

$$Z(L : I) = Z^*(L; I)/I$$

be the center of  $U/I$ .

We say that  $B/C$  is a chief factor submodule of  $A$  in case  $B$  and  $C$  are submodules of  $A$ ,  $B > C$  and no submodule lies strictly between  $B$  and  $C$ . If  $N \subseteq M$  are submodules of  $A$ , then

$$\text{Ann}_U(M/N) = \{u \in U: Mu \subseteq N\}.$$

We define

$$\psi(A; U) = \cap \{\text{Ann}_U(B/C): B/C \text{ is a chief factor submodule}\}.$$

Clearly  $\psi(A; U)$  is a two-sided ideal of  $U(L)$ , and if we consider  $L$  as a module over itself under the adjoint action, then

$$L \cap \psi(L; U) = \psi(L).$$

We shall prove

**THEOREM A.** *If  $L \in \mathfrak{F}$  and  $A$  is a finitely generated  $L$ -module, then there is an integer  $n$  such that  $A(\psi(A; U) \cap Z^*(L; \text{Ann}_U(A)))^n = 0$ .*

Combining this with the fact that the universal enveloping algebras of nilpotent Lie algebras have centralizing sets of generators will yield

**THEOREM B.** *If  $L \in \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{N}$  and  $A$  is a finitely generated Lie algebra, then there is an integer  $n$  such that  $A(\psi(A; U))^n = 0$ .*

When  $\mathfrak{f}$  has prime characteristic, we can prove more, namely:

**THEOREM C.** *If  $L \in \mathfrak{F}$  over a field of prime characteristic and if  $A$  is a finitely generated  $L$ -module, then there is an integer  $n$  such that  $A(\psi(A; U))^n = 0$ .*

Applications of these results yield:

**THEOREM D.** *Any finitely generated metanilpotent Lie algebra has good Frattini structure.*

**THEOREM E.** *Any finitely generated nilpotent-by-finite-dimensional Lie algebra over a field of prime characteristic has good Frattini structure.*

As is remarked in Stewart and Towers [8] we have

**COROLLARY F.** *The natural representation of  $L$  on  $\nu(L)$  induces a faithful representation of  $L/\nu(L)$  on  $\nu(L)/\Phi(L)$  whenever  $L \in \mathfrak{G} \cap \mathfrak{N}^2$ .*

**2. The Frattini ideal.** In Stewart and Towers [8] it is proposed (though their proof is incorrect) that if  $L \in \mathfrak{G} \cap \mathfrak{N}^2$ , then  $\nu(L)^2 \subseteq \Phi(L)$ . This result is, in fact, true for any Lie algebra as we now show.

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *Let  $L$  be any Lie algebra. Then:*

- (a)  $\nu(L) \triangleleft \psi(L)$ .
- (b)  $[\nu(L), \psi(L)] \subseteq \Phi(L)$ .
- (c)  $[\tilde{\nu}(L), \tilde{\psi}(L)] \subseteq \Phi(L)$ .
- (d) *If  $\tilde{\psi}(L)/\Phi(L)$  is a sum of solvable ideals of  $L/\Phi(L)$ , then  $\tilde{\nu}(L) = \tilde{\psi}(L)$ . In particular,  $\tilde{\nu}(L)/\Phi(L)$  is abelian and  $\nu(L)^2 \subseteq \Phi(L)$ .*

**PROOF.** (a) Suppose that  $I$  is a nilpotent ideal and  $H/K$  a chief factor of  $L$ . Then  $[H, I] + K$  is an ideal of  $L$  between  $H$  and  $K$ . If  $H = [H, I] + K$ , then  $H = [H_2, I] + K = \dots = [H_n, I] + K \subseteq I^n + K$  for any positive integer  $n$ . Since  $I^n = 0$  for some  $n$  this would imply that  $H = K$ , a contradiction. Thus  $[H, I] + K = K$  and  $[H, I] \subseteq K$  and  $I \subseteq \psi(L)$ .

(b) Suppose that  $M < \cdot L$  and  $[\nu(L), \psi(L)] \not\subseteq M$ . Then there is a nilpotent ideal  $I$  such that  $[I, \psi(L)] \not\subseteq M$ . If  $I^2 \not\subseteq M$ , then  $L = I^2 + M$ , whence  $I = I^2 + I \cap M = I^r + I \cap M$  for all  $r$  and so  $I = I \cap M$ , a contradiction. Thus  $I^2 \subseteq M$  and  $I^2 \subseteq I \cap M \neq I$ ,  $L = I + M$  and  $I \cap M$  is an ideal of  $L$ . As  $M < \cdot L$ ,  $I/I \cap M$  is a chief factor of  $L$  and so  $[I, \psi(L)] \subseteq I \cap M \subseteq M$ , a contradiction. So  $[\nu(L), \psi(L)] \subseteq F(L)$ , and since  $[\nu(L), \psi(L)]$  is also an ideal of  $L$ , we have  $[\nu(L), \psi(L)] \subseteq \Phi(L)$ .

(c) follows from (b) and the definitions of  $\tilde{\nu}(L)$  and  $\tilde{\psi}(L)$ .

(d) Suppose that  $\tilde{\psi}(L) \neq \tilde{\nu}(L)$  and  $\tilde{\psi}(L)/\Phi(L)$  is a sum of solvable ideals of  $L/\Phi(L)$ . Then there is an ideal  $I$  of  $L$  contained in  $\tilde{\psi}(L)$  such that the derived length of  $I/\Phi(L)$  is minimal with respect to  $I^2 \not\subseteq \Phi(L)$ . Then there is  $M < \cdot L$  such that  $L = I^2 + M$ . Now  $((I^2) + \Phi(L)) \neq I$  (else as  $\Phi(L) \triangleleft L$  we would have  $I = I^{(r)} + \Phi(L)$  for all  $r$  and so  $I = \Phi(L)$ ), and hence  $(I^2 + \Phi(L))^2 \subseteq \Phi(L)$ . In particular, if  $J = I^2 + \Phi(L)$ , then  $J \cap M \triangleleft J$  and so  $J \triangleleft L$ . Now  $J/J \cap M$  is isomorphic to the chief factor  $J/\Phi(L)/(J \cap M/\Phi(L))$  of  $L/\Phi(L)$  and, hence,  $[J, \tilde{\psi}(L)] \subseteq J \cap M$ . This implies that  $(\tilde{\psi}(L))^2 \subseteq M$ , since  $\tilde{\psi}(L) = J + \tilde{\psi}(L) \cap M$  and  $J^2 \subseteq M$ . Thus  $L = J + M \subseteq (\tilde{\psi}(L))^2 +$

$M \subseteq M$ , a contradiction. This proves (d). The rest follows from (a)–(d).  $\square$

LEMMA 2.2. *If  $L \in \mathfrak{F}$  and  $A$  is an irreducible  $L$ -module then  $Z(L; \text{Ann}_U(A))$  is finite dimensional over  $\mathfrak{f}$ .*

PROOF. If  $\mathfrak{f}$  has prime characteristic, then, by a result of Curtis [5, p. 952],  $A$  and, hence,  $U/\text{Ann}_U(A)$  is finite dimensional over  $\mathfrak{f}$ .

If  $\mathfrak{f}$  has characteristic zero, then by Proposition 4.1.7 of Dixmier [7, p. 131],  $Z(L; \text{Ann}_U(A))$  is finite dimensional.

THEOREM 2.3. *Let  $L \in \mathfrak{F}$  and  $A$  be a finitely generated  $L$ -module. Suppose that  $\theta$  is an  $L$ -module endomorphism of  $A$  such that  $B\theta \subseteq C$  for any chief factor submodule  $B/C$  of  $A$ . Then there is an  $n$  such that  $A\theta^n = 0$ .*

PROOF. Let  $X = L \oplus \mathfrak{f}\theta$  so that  $A$  is a finitely generated  $X$ -module. Set  $V = U(X) = U(L) \otimes_{\mathfrak{f}} \mathfrak{f}[\theta]$ . Then if  $I = \text{Ann}_V(A)$ ,  $\theta + I \in Z(X; I)$ . Evidently every  $L$ -submodule of  $A$  is an  $X$ -submodule. Suppose it is false that  $A\theta^n = 0$  for some  $n$ . Now  $X$  is finite dimensional and so  $A$  is a noetherian  $X$ -module, whence there is a submodule  $N$  of  $A$  maximal with respect to  $A\theta^n \not\subseteq N$  for any  $n$ .

By replacing  $A$  by  $A/N$  we may assume that if  $0 \neq B \subseteq_X A$  then  $A\theta^n \subseteq B$  for some  $n$ . Thus if  $a \in A$  and  $a\theta = 0$ , then  $aV\theta = a\theta V = 0$  and so  $a = 0$ . Thus  $\theta$  is a  $V$ -module monomorphism of  $A$ .

By the proof of Theorem 3.3 of Stewart and Towers [8, p. 214] we can embed  $A$  in an  $X$ -module  $M$  such that  $M = A\mathfrak{f}[T] = AU(X \oplus \mathfrak{f}T)$ , is a finitely generated  $(X \oplus \mathfrak{f}T)$ -module,  $\theta$  is an  $(X \oplus \mathfrak{f}T)$ -module automorphism of  $M$  and  $T\theta - 1 = \theta T - 1 \in \text{Ann}_W(M)$ , where  $W = U(X \oplus \mathfrak{f}T)$ .

Let  $N$  be a nonzero  $W$ -submodule of  $M$ . Suppose, if possible, that  $N \cap A = 0$ . Let  $a_0, \dots, a_k \in A$  and  $b = a_0 + a_1T + \dots + a_kT^k \in N \setminus 0$  be such that  $k$  is minimal. Then  $k \neq 0$  and  $a_k \notin A\theta$ . But  $b\theta^k = a_0\theta^k + a_1\theta^{k-1} + \dots + a_1\theta + a_k \in N \cap A = 0$  and, hence,  $a_k \in A\theta$ , a contradiction. Thus  $N \cap A \neq 0$ , whence  $A\theta^n \subseteq N \cap A$  for some  $n$  and so  $A = A\theta^n T^n \subseteq NT^n \subseteq N$ , so that  $A\mathfrak{f}[T] \subseteq N$  and  $N = M$ . Thus  $M$  is an irreducible  $W$ -module. By Lemma 2.2,  $Z(M; \text{Ann}_W(M))$  is finite dimensional, so there is a polynomial  $f$  of minimal degree with  $Mf(\theta) = 0$ . Let  $f(t) = \lambda_0 t^n + \dots + \lambda_n$ . As  $\theta$  is an automorphism of  $M$  we have  $\lambda_n \neq 0$ . If  $a \in A$ , then

$$a = \lambda_n^{-1}(\lambda_n a) = -\lambda_n^{-1}((f(\theta) - \lambda_n)a) \in A\theta.$$

So  $A = A\theta$  and, therefore,  $A$  is an irreducible  $X$ -module, whence  $A$  is an irreducible  $L$ -module and so  $A = A\theta = 0$ , a contradiction. This proves the result.  $\square$

The proof of Theorem 2.3 also yields the following useful corollary:

COROLLARY 2.4. *Let  $L \in \mathfrak{F}$ ,  $A$  a finitely generated  $L$ -module and  $z + I \in$*

$Z(L; \text{Ann}_U(A))$ . If  $B$  is any submodule of  $A$  maximal with respect to  $Az^n \not\subseteq B$  for any  $n$ , then  $B$  is a maximal submodule of  $A$ .  $\square$

Let  $U$  be an arbitrary associative  $\mathfrak{k}$ -algebra and  $A$  a  $U$ -module. Then we may define  $\psi(A; U)$  as before and  $Z$  as the center of  $U$ . Then  $U$  is said to have the *chief annihilator property* if  $z \in \psi(A; U) \cap Z$  and  $A$  a finitely generated  $U$ -module implies  $Az^n = 0$  for some  $n$ .

We denote by CAP the class of Lie algebras  $L$  such that  $U(L)$  has the chief annihilator property. Evidently Theorem 2.3 states a stronger property, namely that if  $z \in \psi(A; U) \cap Z^*(L; \text{Ann}(U))$  then also  $Az^n = 0$  for some  $n$ . We refer to the class of Lie algebras with this property as SCAP (strong chief annihilator property). Then we have

**COROLLARY 2.5.**  $\mathfrak{F} < \text{SCAP}$ .

We leave open the question of whether or not the inclusion is strict. We note also that SCAP is closed under homomorphic images.

### 3. Proofs of the main results.

**PROOF OF THEOREM A.** Let  $L \in \mathfrak{F}$  and  $A$  be a finitely generated  $L$ -module and set  $N = \psi(A; U) \cap Z^*(L; \text{Ann}_U(A))$ . Clearly each element of  $Z^*(L; \text{Ann}_U(A))$  induces an  $L$ -module endomorphism of  $A$  and so if  $z \in N$ , then by Theorem 2.3 there is an  $n = n(z)$  such that  $Az^n = 0$ . Using standard arguments and the fact that  $N \text{ mod } \text{Ann}_U(A)$  is commutative, it follows that given any finite-dimensional subspace  $S$  of  $N$  there exists  $n = n(S)$  such that  $AS^n = 0$ . Now  $U(L)$  has the maximal condition on right ideals and so  $UN = NU = SU = US \text{ (mod } \text{Ann}_U(A))$  for some finite-dimensional subspace  $S$  of  $U$ , whence  $A(NU)^n = A(SU)^n \subseteq AS^nU = 0$  for some  $n$  and Theorem A is proved.  $\square$

If  $L$  is a Lie algebra and  $I$  is an ideal of  $U(L)$ , then a subspace  $S$  of  $U(L)$  is said to be  $L$ -invariant (mod  $I$ ) if  $[s, x] = sx - xs \in S + I$  for any  $x \in L$  and  $s \in S$ . Now if  $L$  is nilpotent then for any  $u$  in  $U$  there is a  $c$  minimal with respect to  $[u, {}_cL] = 0$ . From this it follows easily that

- (\*) if  $L$  is nilpotent and  $S$  is  $L$ -invariant mod  $I$ , then either  $S \subseteq I$  or else  $(S + I) \cap Z^*(L; I) \not\subseteq I$ .

**PROOF OF THEOREM B.** Let  $L \in \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{R}$ ,  $U = U(L)$ , and  $A$  be a finitely generated submodule and  $M = \psi(A; U)$ . Clearly if  $E$  is a submodule or quotient module of  $A$ , then  $M \subseteq \psi(E; U)$ . Suppose that  $AM^n \neq 0$  for any  $n$ . As  $A$  is a noetherian  $U$ -module we may replace  $A$  by a suitable quotient of  $A$  and assume then without loss of generality that if  $B$  is a nonzero submodule of  $A$  then  $AM^n \subseteq B$  for some  $n$  depending on  $B$ . Consider the set of ideals of  $U$  which are annihilators of nonzero submodules of  $A$  and let  $I$  be a maximal

element ( $U$  is noetherian) and  $B$  a nonzero submodule of  $A$  with  $I = \text{Ann}_U(B)$ . If  $M \subseteq I$ , then  $AM^n \subseteq B$  and so  $AM^{n+1} = 0$  for some  $n$ , a contradiction. Assume that  $M \not\subseteq I$ . Then  $M + I \neq I$  and  $M + I$  is an  $L$ -invariant (mod  $I$ ) subspace of  $\psi(B; U)$  ( $M$  is an ideal of  $U$ ), and, hence, by (\*),  $N_1 = (M + I) \cap Z^*(L; I) \not\subseteq I$ , and  $N_1 \subseteq N = \psi(B; U) \cap Z^*(L; I)$ . By Theorem A there exists  $r$  minimal with respect to  $BN^r = 0$ , whence  $I < NU + I = UN + I \subseteq \text{Ann}_U(BN^{r-1})$  and  $BN^{r-1}$  is a nonzero submodule of  $A$ , and this contradicts the maximality of  $I$ . This proves Theorem B.  $\square$

Theorem C will follow from the more general Theorem 3.1 below. From Amayo and Stewart [3, pp. 225–232] we have the definition of the class Max-cu as consisting of all Lie algebras  $L$  with the property that if  $U = U(L)$ , then there exists a noetherian subring  $R = \mathbb{f}[z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m]$  of the center of  $U$  such that  $U = Ru_1 + \dots + Ru_n$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module. By the results of Curtis [5] and Amayo and Stewart [3, Chapter 11] we have the following facts:

- (1)  $\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{A} < \text{Max-cu}$ .
- (2) In prime characteristic,  $\mathfrak{F} < \text{Max-cu}$ .
- (3) For  $L \in \text{Max-cu}$ ,  $U = U(L)$  and  $R = \mathbb{f}[z_1, \dots, z_r] \subseteq \text{center of } U$ , such that  $U = Ru_1 + \dots + Ru_n$ ;
  - (a) every irreducible  $L$ -module is finite dimensional;
  - (b)  $U$  is a noetherian  $R$ -module and so satisfies the maximal conditions on left and right ideals;
  - (c) there exists  $n = n(L)$  such that to any  $u \in U$  there correspond  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n \in R$  for which

$$(**) \quad u^n + r_1u^{n-1} + \dots + r_{n-1}u + r_n = 0;$$

- (d) every finitely generated  $L$ -module is noetherian.
- Let  $A$  be an  $L$ -module and  $U = U(L)$ . Clearly for any submodules  $B, C$  of  $A$  with  $C \subseteq B$ , we have  $\psi(A; U) \subseteq \psi(B/C; U)$ . We refer to the factor module  $B/C$  and any  $L$ -module isomorphic to it (as  $L$ -modules) as an  $L$ -module section of  $A$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.** *If  $L \in \text{Max-cu}$  and  $A$  is a finitely generated  $L$ -module, then there is an integer  $m$  such that  $A(\psi(A; U))^m = 0$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $U = U(L) = Ru_1 + \dots + Ru_n$ , where  $R = \mathbb{f}[z_1, \dots, z_r] \subseteq \text{center of } U$  as above and let  $A$  be a finitely generated  $L$ -module for which the conclusion fails. Define

$$\zeta = \{ \psi(X; U) : X \text{ is an } L\text{-module section of } A \text{ and } X(\psi(X; U))^m \neq 0 \text{ for all } m \}.$$

As  $U$  is noetherian there is a section  $Y$  of  $A$  for which  $N = \psi(Y; U)$  is a maximal element of  $\zeta$ . Pick a submodule  $E$  of  $Y$  maximal with respect to the property that  $YN^m \not\subseteq E$  for any  $m$ . Then if  $A_1 = Y/E$  we have  $N \subseteq \psi(A_1; U)$  and so  $A_1\psi(A_1; U)^m \neq 0$  for any  $m$ , whence

$$N = \psi(A_1; U) = \psi(B; U) \quad \text{and} \quad A_1N^k \subseteq B \quad \text{for some } k,$$

for any nonzero  $L$ -submodule  $B$  of  $A_1$ . Let  $\zeta_1 = \{\text{Ann}_U(B); 0 \neq B \subseteq_L A_1\}$  and pick  $A_2$  such that  $J = \text{Ann}_U(A_2)$  is a maximal element of  $\zeta_1$ . Finally let

$$\zeta_2 = \{\text{Ann}_R(a); 0 \neq a \in A_2\}$$

and let  $A_3 = aU$  be such that  $P = \text{Ann}_R(a)$  is a maximal element of  $\zeta_2$ . By replacing  $A$  by  $A_3$  we now have:

- (i)  $N = \psi(A; U) = \psi(B; U)$  for any nonzero submodule  $B$  of  $A$ ;
- (ii) if  $0 \neq C \subseteq_L B \subseteq_L A$ , then  $B(\psi(A; U))^k \subseteq C$  for some  $k = k(C)$ ;
- (iii)  $J = \text{Ann}_U(A) = \text{Ann}_U(B)$  if  $0 \neq B \subseteq_L A$ ;
- (iv)  $P = \text{Ann}_R(A) = \text{Ann}_R(b)$  for all  $0 \neq b \in A$ .

Now let  $u \in N \setminus 0$ . Then there exist by (\*\*),  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n \in R$  such that

$$(v) \quad u^n + r_1u^{n-1} + \dots + r_n = 0.$$

Suppose if possible that for some  $i$ ,  $r_i \notin P$  and let  $i$  be maximal such and set  $r = r_i$ . Thus  $r_{i+1}, \dots, r_n \in P$  in case  $i < n$ . Then  $r$  defines an  $L$ -module monomorphism of  $A$  by (iv). As in the proof of Theorem 2.3 we can embed  $A$  in an  $(L \oplus \mathfrak{f}T)$ -module  $M = A\mathfrak{f}[T]$  such that  $rT = Tr = \text{identity map on } M$ . By (v),

$$\begin{aligned} ru^{n-i} + r_{i-1}u^{n-i+1} + \dots + r_1u^{n-1} + u^n \\ = \text{element of } PU \subseteq J \subseteq \text{Ann}(M), \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$Mu^{n-i} = (Mr)u^{n-i} \subseteq Mu^{n-i+1} \subseteq Mu^{n-1}.$$

Thus

$$Mu^{n-i} = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} Mu^k = Mu^n.$$

Let  $V = U(L \oplus \mathfrak{f}T) = U(L) \otimes_{\mathfrak{f}} \mathfrak{f}[T]$ . Then  $V = R_1u_1 + R_1u_2 + \dots + R_1u_n$ , where  $R_1 = R \otimes \mathfrak{f}[T] \cong \mathfrak{f}[z_1, \dots, z_s, T] \subseteq \text{center of } V$  and so  $L_1 = L \oplus \mathfrak{f}T \in \text{Max-cu}$ . Suppose  $B$  is a nonzero submodule of  $M$ . Then it is easy to check that  $B \cap A \neq 0$ , and so  $Au^k \subseteq B \cap A$  for some  $k (u \in N)$  by (ii) and hence  $Mu^k \subseteq B$ . Thus if  $\mu(M) = \bigcap \{B; 0 \neq B \text{ is a submodule of } M\}$  then  $Mu^n \subseteq \mu(M)$ . Now if  $\mu(M) \neq 0$ , then  $\mu(M)$  is an irreducible  $L_1$ -module and so is finite dimensional, whence  $\mu(M) \cap A = D$  is a finite-dimensional nonzero submodule of  $A$ , so  $DN^l = 0$  for some  $l$  and, hence,  $AN^{k+l} \subseteq DN^l = 0$  for some  $k$ , a contradiction. So  $Mu^n \subseteq \mu(M) = 0$ , and  $Au^n = 0$ .

Thus given  $u \in N \setminus 0$ , we have that  $u$  satisfies (v) for some  $r_j$  in  $R$ . If all  $r_j$  are in  $P$ , then  $Au^n = 0$ . If not, then as above,  $Au^n = 0$  anyway. Therefore  $N/J$  is a nil ideal of  $U/J$  and  $U/J$  satisfies the left and right ascending chain conditions and so, by a well-known result (see, for example, Divinsky [6, Theorem 16, p. 51]),  $N/J$  is nilpotent, so  $N^m \subseteq J$  for some  $m$  or  $AN^m = 0$  for some  $m$ , a contradiction.

This proves Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

**4. Applications.**

**THEOREM D.** *Every finitely generated metanilpotent Lie algebra has good Frattini structure.*

**PROOF.** Let  $L \in \mathfrak{G} \cap \mathfrak{N}^2$ . To show that  $L$  has good Frattini structure it is enough, as in Stewart and Towers [8], to assume that  $L \in \mathfrak{G} \cap \mathfrak{AN}$  and to show that  $\psi(L) = \nu(L)$ . Let  $A$  be an abelian ideal of  $L$  for which  $L/A \in \mathfrak{G} \cap \mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{N}$ , and let  $N = \psi(L)$  and  $X = N/A \triangleleft Y + L/A$ . Consider  $A$  as an  $L$ -module under the adjoint action. Then  $A$  is a finitely generated  $L$ -module (Amayo and Stewart [2]) and so a finitely generated  $Y$ -module. Now  $X \subseteq \psi(A; U)$ , where  $U = U(Y)$  and so, by Theorem D, there exists  $m$  such that  $0 = AX^m = [A, {}_m N]$ . But  $N^c \subseteq A$  for some  $c$ , and hence  $N^{c+m} = 0$  whence  $N = \psi(L) \subseteq \nu(L) \subseteq \psi(L)$  and the proof is complete.  $\square$

For a Lie algebra  $L$  and  $b \in L$  we define

$$E_L(b) = \{x \in L: [x, {}_n b] = 0 \text{ for some } n = n(x, b)\}.$$

The Lie algebra  $L$  is said to be an Engel algebra in case  $L = E_L(b)$  for any  $b$  in  $L$ .

The class Max is the class of all Lie algebras satisfying the maximal condition on subalgebras. By Amayo and Stewart [2],  $\text{Max-cu} \triangleleft \text{Max}$ . Furthermore by Amayo [1],  $\mathfrak{G} \cap \text{Max} \triangleleft \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{N}$ , where  $\mathfrak{G}$  denotes the class of Engel Lie algebras.

Let  $L \in \mathfrak{G} \cap (\mathfrak{N} \text{ Max-cu}) \cup \mathfrak{G} \cap (\mathfrak{F} \text{ Max-cu})$ . Evidently  $N = \nu(L) \in \mathfrak{N}$  and  $L$  has good Frattini structure if and only if  $L/N^2$  has good Frattini structure (see Amayo and Stewart [3, p. 133] and Proposition 2.1). Thus to show that  $L$  has good Frattini structure we may assume that  $\nu(L)$  is abelian.

Suppose if possible that  $L$  does not have good Frattini structure. As  $L$  satisfies the maximal condition for ideals (trivially if  $L \in \mathfrak{G} \cap (\mathfrak{F} \text{ Max-cu})$  and, by Amayo and Stewart [3, pp. 225–240], if  $L \in \mathfrak{G} \cap \mathfrak{N} \text{ Max-cu}$  and  $\nu(L) \in \mathfrak{N}$ ), we may factor out an ideal  $I$  of  $L$  maximal with respect to  $L/I$  not having good Frattini structure. Replacing  $L$  by this quotient we may now assume that

- (i) If  $0 \neq J \triangleleft L$ , then  $L/J$  has good Frattini structure.
- (ii)  $A = \nu(L)$  is abelian.



(iii)  $L \in \mathfrak{G}$  and has an ideal  $I$  such that  $L/I \in \text{Max-cu}$  and either  $I$  is abelian or else  $I$  is finite dimensional.

Let  $N = \psi(L)$ ,  $M = \tilde{\nu}(L)$  and  $P = \rho(L)$ . Then  $A$  may or may not be zero. If  $L \in \mathfrak{F}$  then by Barnes and Newell [4],  $L$  has good Frattini structure, a contradiction. So  $L$  is infinite dimensional.

*Claim 1.*  $M \leq N$ .

Let  $B/C$  be a chief factor with  $[B, M] \not\subseteq C$ . If  $C \neq 0$  then  $L/C$  has good Frattini structure and  $(M + C)/C \subseteq \tilde{\nu}(L/C) = \psi(L/C)$ , hence  $[B, M] \subseteq C$ . Suppose then that  $C = 0$ . If  $J \triangleleft L$  and  $J \neq 0$ , then  $(B + J)/J$  is zero or a chief factor of  $L/J$  and so  $0 \neq [B, M] \subseteq B \cap J < B$ . Thus

$$B \subseteq \mu(L) = \bigcap \{J : 0 \neq J \triangleleft L\}.$$

If  $I = 0$ , then  $L \in \text{Max-cu}$ ,  $B$  is an irreducible  $L$ -module and so  $B$  is finite dimensional. If  $I \neq 0$  and  $I$  is finite dimensional, then  $B \subseteq I$ , so  $B$  is finite dimensional. If  $I \neq 0$  and  $I$  is abelian, then  $0 \neq B \subseteq I$ ,  $I$  is a finitely generated  $L/I$ -module and, by Amayo and Stewart [3, pp. 225–240], this implies that  $I$ , and so  $B$ , is finite dimensional. So in all cases  $B$  is finite dimensional and  $L \in \text{Max}$ . Now let  $x \in M$ . Then for some  $r$ ,

$$[B, r x] = [B, r+1 x] = \dots = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} [B, i x]$$

( $B$  is finite dimensional) and, since also  $M \triangleleft L$  and  $M^c \subseteq B$  for some  $c$  ( $L/B$  has good Frattini structure), we have

$$[L, c+1+r x] \subseteq [B, r x] = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} [B, i x] \subseteq [L, c+2+r x].$$

Thus with  $s = c + r + 1$ , we have

$$[L, s x] = [L, s+1 x], \text{ and, hence, } L = E_L(x) + [L, s x] = E_L(x) + B.$$

Let  $D = C_L(B) \triangleleft L$ . Then  $L/D \in \mathfrak{F}$  and  $L \notin \mathfrak{F}$ , so  $D \neq 0$  and  $B \subseteq D$  (since  $B \subseteq \mu(L)$ ). Then  $D \neq B$ , as otherwise,  $L \in \mathfrak{F}$  and  $D = D \cap (E_L(x) + B) = (D \cap E_L(x)) + B$ . Evidently,  $E_L(x) \cap D \triangleleft E_L(x)$  and  $[E_L(x) \cap D, B] = 0$ , and so  $0 \neq E_L(x) \cap D \triangleleft L$ , so that  $B \subseteq E_L(x) \cap D$ . Therefore,  $L = E_L(x)$  and  $M = E_M(x)$ , whence  $M \in \mathfrak{G} \cap \text{Max} < \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{R}$ . Thus  $M = \nu(L) < \psi(L)$ , a contradiction which proves Claim 1.

The proof of Claim 1 also shows that we may assume that:

(iv)  $L$  has no minimal ideals (in particular, if  $I \neq 0$  then  $I$  is not finite dimensional).

(v) If  $J_1, J_2$  are nonzero ideals of  $L$ , then  $J_1 \cap J_2 \neq 0$ .

(vi)  $P = \rho(L) < \psi(L)$  (proved in the same way as Claim 1).

If now  $I \neq 0$ , then from above,  $I$  is abelian and a finitely generated  $L/I$  module under the action  $u(x + I) = [u, x]$  for  $u \in I$  and  $x \in L$  and,

evidently,  $(N + I)/I \subseteq \psi(I; U(L/I))$  and  $L/I \in \text{Max-cu}$  and, hence, by Theorem 3.1,  $[I, N] = 0$  for some  $r$ . But  $N^c \subseteq I$  for some  $c$  ( $L/I$  has good Frattini structure) and, hence,  $N^{c+r} \subseteq [I, N] = 0$ , a contradiction. Similarly if  $A = \nu(L) \neq 0$  we obtain a contradiction.

So we may finally assume that  $I = 0$  and  $L \in \text{Max-cu} \cap \mathfrak{G}$ ,  $\nu(L) = 0$ ,  $N = \psi(L) \supseteq \tilde{\nu}(L) + \rho(L)$ . But then  $L$  is finitely generated as a module over itself under the adjoint action and  $N \subseteq \psi(L; U(L))$ , whence by Theorem 3.1,  $0 = L(N)^r = [L, N]$  for some  $r$ . Thus  $N^{r+1} = 0$  and  $N < \nu(L)$ , a contradiction.

So we have proved

**THEOREM 4.1.** *If  $L \in \mathfrak{G} \cap (\mathfrak{N} \text{ Max-cu})$  or  $L \in \mathfrak{G} \cap (\mathfrak{F} \text{ Max-cu})$ , then  $L$  has good Frattini structure.*

Since we do have  $\mathfrak{F} < \text{Max-cu}$  in prime characteristic, Theorem 4.1 implies Theorem E. Further, Corollary F follows from Theorem D and the remarks in Stewart and Towers [8].

#### REFERENCES

1. R. K. Amayo, *Engel Lie rings with chain conditions*, Pacific J. Math. **54** (1974), 1–12.
2. R. K. Amayo and I. N. Stewart, *Finitely generated Lie algebras*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **5** (1972), 697–703.
3. \_\_\_\_\_, *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, Noordhoff, Groningen, 1974.
4. D. W. Barnes and M. L. Newell, *Some theorems on saturated homomorphisms of soluble Lie algebras*, Math. Z. **115** (1967), 231–234.
5. C. W. Curtis, *Non-commutative extensions of Hilbert rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1953), 945–955.
6. N. J. Divinsky, *Rings and radicals*, Univ. of Toronto Press, 1965.
7. J. Dixmier, *Algèbres enveloppantes*, Cahier Scientifiques, fasc. 37, Gauthier-Villiers, Paris, 1974.
8. D. Towers and I. Stewart, *The Frattini subalgebras of certain infinite-dimensional soluble Lie algebras*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **11** (1975), 207–215.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT, STORRS, CONNECTICUT 06268

*Current address:* Department of Mathematics, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, Illinois 62901