

## THE ATOMIC DECOMPOSITION FOR PARABOLIC $H^p$ SPACES

BY

ROBERT H. LATTER<sup>1</sup> AND AKIHITO UCHIYAMA

**ABSTRACT.** The theorem of A. P. Calderón giving the atomic decomposition for certain parabolic  $H^p$  spaces is extended to all such spaces. The proof given also applies to Hardy spaces defined on the Heisenberg group.

**Introduction.** The purpose of this note is to extend the atomic decomposition to two examples of Hardy spaces. In the first case we will obtain the atomic decomposition for the parabolic  $H^p$  spaces of A. P. Calderón and A. Torchinsky [2], [3] extending a result of A. P. Calderón [1]. The second example we will consider is a classical Hardy space of holomorphic functions defined on a domain in complex  $n$ -space whose boundary may be identified with the Heisenberg group  $\mathbf{H}^n$ . The methods we will use in both cases are very similar. This is because both  $\mathbf{R}^n$  with a parabolic dilation structure and  $\mathbf{H}^n$  with its natural dilation structure are examples of spaces of homogeneous type.

Earlier examples of atomic decomposition theorems may be found in [4], [11], [1], and [6]. A good exposition of the general theory of atomic Hardy spaces is [5] to which the reader may refer for many applications.

**1. Parabolic  $H^p$  spaces.** We begin with a brief review of the basic material in [2] and [3], to which the reader should refer for further details.

Let  $A_t = t^P$  ( $0 < t < \infty$ ) be a group of linear transformations on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  with infinitesimal generator  $P$  satisfying  $(Px, x) \geq (x, x)$  where  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the usual inner product in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . For each  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$  let  $\rho(x)$  denote the unique  $t$  such that  $|A_t^{-1}x| = 1$  where, as usual,  $|x| = (x, x)^{1/2}$ . The function  $\rho: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is a norm which satisfies  $\rho(A_t x) = t\rho(x)$  ( $t > 0$ ). Let  $d(x, y) = \rho(x - y)$  denote the metric associated with  $\rho$  and, for  $r > 0$ , put  $B_r(x) = \{y: d(x, y) < r\}$ . A change of variables shows that the measure of  $B_r(x)$  is  $|B_r(x)| = \omega_n \det A_t = \omega_n t^\gamma$  where  $\gamma = \text{tr } P$  and  $\omega_n$  is the volume of the unit ball in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Thus we see that  $\mathbf{R}^n$  endowed with the metric  $d$  and Lebesgue measure is a space of homogeneous type (see [5]).

Let  $\mathfrak{S}$  denote Schwartz space. If  $\varphi \in \mathfrak{S}$ ,  $t > 0$ , define  $\varphi_t(x) = t^{-\gamma}\varphi(A_t^{-1}x)$ .

---

Received by the editors July 12, 1978.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 30A78; Secondary 46J15.

<sup>1</sup>Research of the first author partially supported by the National Science Foundation under grant MCS78-02128.

© 1979 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9947/79/0000-0418/\$03.00

If  $f\varphi \neq 0$  and if  $f$  is a distribution define

$$F(x, t) = (f * \varphi_t)(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t > 0,$$

and a maximal function

$$M_\alpha f(x) = \sup_{\rho(y) < \alpha t} |F(x + y, t)|, \quad \alpha > 0.$$

We say  $f \in H^p$  ( $0 < p < \infty$ ) if  $M_\alpha f \in L^p$ . Also,  $\|f\|_{H^p} = \|M_\alpha f\|_{L^p}$ . For any other choice of  $\varphi$  and  $\alpha$  we obtain the same space  $H^p$  and an equivalent norm  $\|\cdot\|_{H^p}$ .

Let

$$\mathcal{Q}_N = \left\{ \varphi \in \mathcal{S} : \sup_{|J|, |K| < N} |x^J D^K \varphi(x)| < 1 \right\}$$

and

$$f^*(x) = \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{Q}_N} |M_\alpha f(x)|$$

where  $J, K$  denote multi-indices and  $|J|, |K|$  their orders. The proof of Theorem 4.6 in [2] shows that if we choose  $N$  sufficiently large (depending only on  $P$  and  $p$ ), then  $f \in H^p$  if and only if  $f^* \in L^p$ , and, moreover,  $\|f^*\|_{L^p}$  defines a norm on  $H^p$  equivalent to  $\|\cdot\|_{H^p}$ .

In this context an atom is defined as follows: A  $p$ -atom ( $0 < p < 1$ ) is a function  $a$  which is supported on a ball  $B_r(x_0)$  and which satisfies

- (i)  $|a(x)| < |B_r(x_0)|^{-1/p}$ ;
- (ii)  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x^J a(x) dx = 0, |J| < [\gamma(1/p - 1)]$ .

We are now ready to state our theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $f \in H^p$  ( $0 < p < 1$ ). Then there exist a sequence  $a_i$  of  $p$ -atoms and a sequence  $\lambda_i > 0$  such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^p < B \|f\|_{H^p}^p \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i a_i. \tag{1.2}$$

*Conversely, if  $f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i a_i$ , where each  $a_i$  is a  $p$ -atom and  $\{\lambda_i\} \in l^p$ , then  $f \in H^p$  and*

$$A \|f\|_{H^p}^p < \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_i|^p. \tag{1.3}$$

*The constants  $A$  and  $B$  depend only on the choice of norm for  $H^p$ .*

A. P. Calderón [1] has obtained this theorem in the case where  $P$  is diagonalizable over the complex numbers.

**PROOF.** The converse is quite easy. One need only show that for each

$p$ -atom  $a$ ,  $\|M_1 a\|_{L^p} < C$  for some choice of  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$ . See, for example, [11].

We begin the proof of the hard direction by noticing that  $L^1 \cap H^p$  is dense in  $H^p$ . Thus, a limiting argument (see [11]) allows us to assume  $f \in L^1 \cap H^p$ . Then  $f^* \in L^p$ . Put  $\Omega_k = \{x: f^*(x) > 2^k\}$ ,  $k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ . Using Lemma 1.6 of [2] we obtain for each  $k$  a sequence of balls  $B_i^k = B_{r_i^k}(x_i^k)$  which satisfy, for each  $k$ ,

$$\Omega_k = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i^k, \tag{1.4}$$

there are constants  $\alpha > \beta > 1$  such that  $B_{\beta r_i^k}(x_i^k) \subset \Omega_k$  and  $B_{\alpha r_i^k}(x_i^k) \cap \Omega^c \neq \emptyset$ , (1.5)

there is a constant  $c < 1$  such that the balls  $B_{c r_i^k}(x_i^k)$  are disjoint, (1.6)

there is a constant  $M$  such that no point of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  lies in more than  $M$  of the balls  $B_{\beta r_i^k}(x_i^k)$ . (1.7)

Here the constants  $\alpha, \beta, c$ , and  $M$  depend only on  $P$ .

Let  $\Psi$  be a  $C^\infty$  function,  $0 < \Psi < 1$ ,  $\Psi \equiv 1$  on  $B_1(0)$  and  $\Psi \equiv 0$  off  $B_\beta(0)$ . Let  $\psi_i^k(x) = \Psi(A_{r_i^k}^{-1}(x_i^k - x))$ , and let

$$\varphi_i^k(x) = \psi_i^k(x) / \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \psi_j^k(x).$$

We note the following properties of  $\varphi_i^k$ :

$$\varphi_i^k \text{ is } C^\infty, \quad 0 < \varphi_i^k < 1, \tag{1.8}$$

$$\varphi_i^k \text{ is supported on } B_{\beta r_i^k}(x_i^k), \tag{1.9}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_i^k = \chi_{\Omega_k}. \tag{1.10}$$

Denote by  $V_i^k$  the Hilbert space of polynomials of degree  $< [\gamma(1/p - 1)]$  with the norm

$$\|P\|_{\varphi_i^k}^2 = \left( \int \varphi_i^k \right)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |P(x)|^2 \varphi_i^k(x) dx.$$

For each  $i$  and  $k$  let  $P_i^k$  be the projection of  $f$  into  $V_i^k$ ; i.e.,

$$\int [A_{r_i^k}(x - x_i^k)]^J P_i^k \varphi_i^k dx = \int [A_{r_i^k}(x - x_i^k)]^J f \varphi_i^k dx$$

( $|J| < [\gamma(1/p - 1)]$ ). Also let  $P_{ij}^{k+1}$  be the projection of  $(f - P_j^{k+1})\varphi_i^k$  into  $V_j^{k+1}$ . Notice that  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P_{ij}^{k+1} \varphi_j^{k+1} = 0$ .

We will now show that  $|P_i^k \varphi_i^k| < C2^k$ . Fix  $i$  and  $k$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $(A_{r_i^k}x) + x_i^k$  allows us to assume  $\varphi_i^k$  is supported on  $B_\beta(0)$ . Let  $\pi_0, \dots, \pi_L$  be

an orthonormal basis for  $V_i^k$ . An elementary argument shows that the coefficients of the  $\pi_j$  are all bounded by a constant depending only on  $P$ . It follows that

$$C_N \left( \int \varphi_i^k \right)^{-1} \pi_j(x) \varphi_i^k(x) = \Phi_j(y_i^k - x)$$

where  $y_i^k \in B_{\varrho_i^k}(x_i^k) \cap \Omega^c$  and  $\Phi_j \in \mathcal{Q}_N$ . Thus

$$\left| \left( \int \varphi_i^k \right)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \pi_j \varphi_i^k dx \right| < C_N f^*(y_i^k) < C 2^k.$$

Because

$$P_i^k = \sum_{j=0}^L \left( \left( \int \varphi_i^k \right)^{-1} \int f \pi_j \varphi_i^k \right) \pi_j$$

we see  $|P_i^k \varphi_i^k| < C 2^k$  as required. In the same way we may show  $|P_{ij}^{k+1} \varphi_j^{k+1}| < C 2^{k+1}$ .

For  $k = 0, \pm 1, \dots$  we write

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \left( f \chi_{\Omega_k} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P_i^k \varphi_i^k \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (f - P_i^k) \varphi_i^k \\ &= g_k + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (f - P_i^k) \varphi_i^k. \end{aligned} \tag{1.11}$$

(This decomposition for  $f \in H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  may be found in [6].) Because  $g_k \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow -\infty$  and  $g_k \rightarrow f$  a.e. as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$  we see

$$f = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (g_{k+1} - g_k) \text{ a.e.} \tag{1.12}$$

Now, by (1.11),

$$\begin{aligned} g_{k+1} - g_k &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (f - P_i^k) \varphi_i^k - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (f - P_j^{k+1}) \varphi_j^{k+1} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[ (f - P_i^k) \varphi_i^k - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (f - P_j^{k+1}) \varphi_j^{k+1} \varphi_i^k \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\{ (f - P_i^k) \varphi_i^k - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} [(f - P_j^{k+1}) \varphi_i^k - P_{ij}^{k+1}] \varphi_j^{k+1} \right\} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_i^k. \end{aligned} \tag{1.13}$$

Notice that  $|\beta_i^k| < C 2^{k+1}$ ,  $\beta_i^k$  is supported on  $B_{\varrho_i^k}(x_i^k)$ , and  $\int x^J \beta_i^k = 0$  whenever  $|J| < [\gamma(1/p - 1)]$ . Thus we may write

$$\beta_i^k = C 2^k |B_i^k|^{1/p} a_i^k = \lambda_i^k a_i^k \tag{1.14}$$

where  $a_i^k$  is a  $p$ -atom. Combining (1.14), (1.13), and (1.12), we obtain (1.2).

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i^k)^p &= C \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (2^k)^p |B_i^k| = C \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{k-1} (2^k)^{p-1} |\Omega_k| \\ &\leq C \int_0^{\infty} \lambda^{p-1} |\{f^*(x) > \lambda\}| d\lambda \leq C \|f^*\|_{L^p}^p \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{H^p}^p \end{aligned}$$

which gives (1.1).

The inequality (1.1) shows that the sum in (1.2) converges to  $f$  in  $H^p$ . That it also does so in the sense of distributions follows from the discussion below.

Thus Theorem 1 is proved.

REMARK 1. Notice that the proof shows that the number of moments which we require to vanish in our definition of  $p$ -atom may be increased or decreased. The number  $[\gamma(1/p - 1)]$  is the minimum number needed to make (1.3) true. But, in some cases, we may not need to require even this many vanishing moments to obtain (1.3). For example, if  $P$  is a diagonal matrix with eigenvalues  $k_1 > \dots > k_n > 1$  then we need only require that  $\int x^J a(x) = 0$  if  $J = (j_1, \dots, j_n)$  where  $k_1 j_1 + \dots + k_n j_n \leq [\gamma(1/p - 1)]$ .

We now introduce some Lipschitz spaces. Let  $\beta > 0$  and  $K = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  satisfy  $k_j > 1$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ). Let  $\mathcal{G}_K$  denote the collection of all linear combinations of monomials  $x^J$  where  $(J, K) < \beta$  and define  $\mathcal{L}(\beta, K)$  to be those  $\varphi \in L^1_{loc}$  for which

$$\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}(\beta, K)} = \sup_{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+} \left[ t^{-\beta} \inf_{P \in \mathcal{G}_K} \frac{1}{|B_t(x)|} \int_{B_t(x)} |\varphi(y) - P(y)| dy \right] < \infty.$$

Notice that if  $P \in \mathcal{G}_K$ , then  $\|P\|_{\mathcal{L}(\beta, K)} = 0$ . Thus the elements of  $\mathcal{L}(\beta, K)$  are the equivalence classes obtained by identifying two elements if they differ by a polynomial in  $\mathcal{G}_K$ . If  $\beta = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(\beta, K) = \text{BMO}$ . In any case, if  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(\beta, K)$ , then  $\varphi$  grows no faster at  $\infty$  than a polynomial of sufficiently large degree. If  $K_0 = (1, \dots, 1)$  we denote  $\mathcal{L}(\beta, K_0)$  by  $\mathcal{L}_\beta$ .

The following corollary follows easily from Theorem 1.

COROLLARY 1. Let  $0 < p < 1$ . The dual space of  $H^p$  is  $\mathcal{L}_\beta$  where  $\beta = \gamma(1/p - 1)$ . That is, if  $L$  is a continuous linear functional on  $H^p$ , then there exists a unique  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_\beta$  such that  $\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_\beta} \leq A_p \|L\|$  and

$$L\varphi = \int f\varphi, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}_p, \tag{1.15}$$

where  $\mathcal{D}_p$  is the set of all finite linear combinations of  $p$ -atoms. Conversely, let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_\beta$ . Then, if  $f \in \mathcal{D}_p$ ,

$$\left| \int f\varphi \right| \leq B_p \|f\|_{H^p} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}_\beta}.$$

Thus  $Lf = \int f\varphi$  extends to a continuous linear functional on  $H^p$ .

For  $p = 1$ , this is a result of Calderón and Torchinsky [3] who also

obtained a somewhat different characterization of  $(H^p)^*$  ( $p < 1$ ).

In case  $P$  is diagonalizable over the complex numbers we may use Remark 1 to obtain a better characterization of  $(H^p)^*$  ( $p < 1$ ). Calderón [1] has shown that in this case  $P$  may be replaced by a symmetric matrix whose eigenvalues are the real parts of the eigenvalues of  $P$  without changing the corresponding  $H^p$ . For simplicity we will assume  $P$  is diagonal and has eigenvalues  $k_1 > \dots > k_n > 1$ .

Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  satisfy  $0 < \alpha_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_n$ . Let  $J = (j_1, \dots, j_n)$  be a multi-index. We say  $J$  is *maximally admissible* for  $\alpha$  if

$$\gamma_\alpha(J) = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{j_i}{\alpha_i} > 0,$$

but if  $J' \neq J$  satisfies  $j'_i \geq j_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) then  $\gamma_\alpha(J') \leq 0$ . We say  $\varphi \in L(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \text{loc})$  if whenever  $J$  is maximally admissible for  $\alpha$ , then  $D^J \varphi$  exists, is continuous, and

$$\sup_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ i=1, \dots, n}} \|D^J \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)\|_{\Lambda_{\alpha, \gamma_\alpha(J)}(\mathbb{R})} < \infty$$

where the Lipschitz spaces  $\Lambda_\alpha$  are defined in [13]. As with  $\mathcal{L}(\beta, K)$ , the elements of  $L(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \text{loc})$  are equivalence classes.

Now using Remark 1 we obtain the result that if  $K = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$ , then the dual space of  $H^p$  is  $\mathcal{L}(\beta, K)$  where  $\beta = \gamma(1/p - 1)$ . By adapting arguments of Krantz [9] to our case one may show that if  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(\beta, K)$ , then  $\varphi$  may be redefined on a set of measure zero so that  $\varphi \in L(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \text{loc})$  where  $\alpha_j = \beta/k_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ). That  $L(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \text{loc}) \subset \mathcal{L}(\beta, K)$  is easy. These arguments also give the equivalence of norms. We thus have the following result.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $P$  be diagonalizable over the complex numbers. Let  $k_1 > \dots > k_n > 1$  be the real parts of the eigenvalues of  $P$ . Then there is a change of coordinates  $\rho$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that the dual space of  $H^p$  ( $p < 1$ ) may be identified with  $\{\varphi: \varphi \circ \rho^{-1} \in L(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \text{loc})\}$  where  $\alpha_j = (\gamma/k_j)(1/p - 1)$ . The pairing is given by (1.15).*

Details of the proof are left to the interested reader.

**2. The Heisenberg group.** Let

$$U_n = \{z = (z_1, z') \in \mathbb{C}^n: \text{Im } z_1 - |z'|^2 > 0\}.$$

$U_n$  is equivalent to the unit ball in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  via a linear fractional transformation.  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  acts on  $U^n$  in the following manner: If  $(\xi, \zeta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ , then

$$(\xi, \zeta) \cdot (z_1, z') = \left( z_1 + \xi + 2i \sum_{j=2}^n \bar{\zeta}_j z_j + i|\zeta|^2, z' + \zeta \right). \tag{2.1}$$

This action turns  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  into a group with the group law

$$(\xi, \zeta) \cdot (\xi', \zeta') = (\xi + \xi' - 2 \text{Im } \zeta' \cdot \bar{\zeta}, \zeta + \zeta') \tag{2.2}$$

where  $z \cdot \bar{w} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} z_i \bar{w}_i$ . This group is the Heisenberg group  $\mathbf{H}^n$ . Notice that  $\mathbf{H}^n$  acts simply transitively on  $\partial U_n$  so that  $\partial U_n$  may be identified with  $\mathbf{H}^n$  by  $g \Leftrightarrow g \cdot 0$ . If  $g = (\xi, \zeta) \in \mathbf{H}^n$ , define the dilation group  $A_t g = (t^2 \xi, t \zeta)$  ( $t > 0$ ). Then  $(A_t g) \cdot 0 \in \partial U_n$  if  $g \in \mathbf{H}^n$ ,  $t > 0$ . For  $g \in \mathbf{H}^n$  define  $\rho(g)$  to be the unique  $t$  such that  $|(\xi/t^2, \zeta/t)| = 1$  as in §1.  $\rho$  is the homogeneous norm on  $\mathbf{H}^n$ . This norm, along with Haar measure (which is Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{C}^{n-1}$ ) makes  $\mathbf{H}^n$  into a space of homogeneous type. More details of the above may be found in [10].

The Hardy spaces in  $U_n$  are defined as follows. If  $z = (z_1, z')$   $\in U_n$ , let  $h(z) = \text{Im } z_1 - |z'|^2$ . Notice that if  $g \in \mathbf{H}^n$  and  $z \in U_n$ , then  $h(g \cdot z) = h(z)$ . If  $t > 0$ , the level set  $\{z: h(z) = t > 0\}$  may be identified with  $\{g \cdot (te): g \in \mathbf{H}^n\}$  where  $e = (i, 0, \dots, 0) \in U_n$ . We define  $\mathcal{H}^p(U_n)$  ( $0 < p < \infty$ ) to be those holomorphic functions  $F$  on  $U_n$  for which

$$\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}^p} = \sup_{t>0} \left[ \int_{\mathbf{H}^n} |F(g \cdot (te))|^p dg \right]^{1/p} < \infty. \tag{2.3}$$

We remark that these spaces are not equivalent to the spaces  $\mathcal{H}^p(B_n)$  on the unit ball.

If  $F \in \mathcal{H}^p$ , then  $F(g) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} F(g \cdot (te))$  exists a.e. on  $\mathbf{H}^n$  and is a subspace of  $L^p(\mathbf{H}^n)$ . We identify  $\mathcal{H}^p$  with this subspace.  $\mathcal{H}^2$  is a Hilbert space, and we denote by  $P: L^2(\mathbf{H}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^2$  the orthogonal projection.

Atoms on  $\mathbf{H}^n$  are defined much as in the case of parabolic  $H^p$  spaces only our definition must reflect the group structure of  $\mathbf{H}^n$ . If  $0 < p < 1$ , a  $p$ -atom for  $\mathbf{H}^n$  is a function  $a$  on  $\mathbf{H}^n$  which is supported on a ball  $B_r(g) = \{h: \rho(h^{-1}g) < r\}$  and which satisfies

- (i)  $|a(h)| < |B_r(g)|^{-1/p}$ ,  $h \in \mathbf{H}^n$ ,
- (ii)  $\int_{\mathbf{H}^n} (h^{-1}g)^{(j, J_1, J_2)} a(h) dh = 0$  for all  $(j, J_1, J_2)$  satisfying  $2j + |J_1| + |J_2| < [2n(1/p - 1)]$  where if  $g = (\xi, \zeta) \in \mathbf{H}^n$ ,  $g^{(j, J_1, J_2)} = \xi^j \zeta^{J_1} \bar{\zeta}^{J_2}$ .

If  $A = Pa$  where  $a$  is a  $p$ -atom then  $A$  is called a *holomorphic  $p$ -atom*. It is not difficult to show (using the results of [11]) that  $A \in \mathcal{H}^p$  and  $\|A\|_{\mathcal{H}^p} < C_{p,n}$ .

The maximal function associated with  $\mathcal{H}^p$  is defined by

$$MF(g) = \sup_{\rho(h^{-1}g)^2 < t} |F(h \cdot (te))|$$

whenever  $F$  is a function on  $U_n$ . Koranyi [10] has shown that if  $F \in \mathcal{H}^p$ , then

$$\|MF\|_{L^p} \leq B_{p,n} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}^p} \quad (0 < p < \infty). \tag{2.4}$$

We are now ready to state the main theorem of this section.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $0 < p < 1$ . If  $F \in \mathcal{H}^p$ , then there exist a sequence  $A_i$  of holomorphic  $p$ -atoms and a sequence  $\lambda_i > 0$  such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^p \leq B_{p,n} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}^p \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$\left\| F - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i A_i \right\|_{\mathcal{H}^p} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.6)$$

Conversely, if  $F = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i A_i$  where each  $A_i$  is a holomorphic  $p$ -atom and  $\{\lambda_i\} \in l^p$ , then  $F \in \mathcal{H}^p$  and

$$C_{p,n} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}^p < \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_i|^p. \quad (2.7)$$

**COROLLARY 2.** Let  $F$  be holomorphic on  $U_n$ . Then  $F \in \mathcal{H}^p$  ( $0 < p < \infty$ ) if and only if  $MF \in L^p(\mathbb{H}^n)$ . Moreover,

$$A_{n,p} \|MF\|_{L^p} \leq \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}^p} \leq B_{n,p} \|MF\|_{L^p}. \quad (2.8)$$

The case  $p > 1$  of Corollary 2 is well known (see Koranyi [10]).

**PROOF OF THEOREM 3.** Note that the proof of Theorem 1 depends in no essential way on the group structure of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We thus may obtain a decomposition of  $F$  into atoms by the same methods. The proof that  $MF \in L^p$  implies the fact that a suitable "grand maximal function"  $F^* \in L^p$  may be found in Geller [8]. The proof is completed by the same methods used in [7].

#### REFERENCES

1. A. P. Calderón, *An atomic decomposition of distributions in parabolic  $H^p$  spaces*, *Advances in Math.* **25** (1977), 216–225.
2. A. P. Calderón and A. Torchinsky, *Parabolic maximal functions associated with a distribution*, *Advances in Math.* **16** (1975), 1–63.
3. ———, *Parabolic maximal functions associated with a distribution. II*, *Advances in Math.* **24** (1977), 101–171.
4. R. R. Coifman, *A real variable characterization of  $H^p$* , *Studia Math.* **51** (1974), 269–274.
5. R. R. Coifman and G. Weiss, *Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **83** (1977), 569–645.
6. C. Fefferman, N. M. Riviere and Y. Sahger, *Interpolation between  $H^p$  spaces, the real method*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **191** (1974), 75–82.
7. J. B. Garnett and R. H. Latter, *The atomic decomposition for Hardy spaces in several complex variables*, *Duke Math. J.* **45** (1978), 815–845.
8. D. Geller, *Fourier analysis on the Heisenberg group. I, Schwartz space* (to appear).
9. S. G. Krantz, *Generalized function spaces of Campanato type* (to appear).
10. A. Koranyi, *Harmonic functions in Hermitian hyperbolic space*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **139** (1969), 507–516.
11. A. Koranyi and S. Vagi, *Singular integrals on homogeneous spaces and some problems of classical analysis*, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.* **25** (1971), 575–648.
12. R. H. Latter, *A decomposition of  $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  in terms of atoms*, *Studia Math.* **62** (1977), 92–101.
13. E. M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1970.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF GENERAL EDUCATION, TÔHOKU UNIVERSITY, KAWAUCHI, SENDAI, JAPAN