

ON THE ZEROS OF DIRICHLET  $L$ -FUNCTIONS. II  
 (WITH CORRECTIONS TO "ON THE ZEROS OF  
 DIRICHLET  $L$ -FUNCTIONS. I" AND  
 THE SUBSEQUENT PAPERS)

BY  
 AKIO FUJII

ABSTRACT. Some consequences of the main theorem of *On the zeros of Dirichlet  $L$ -functions. I*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 196 (1974), 225–235 are proved.

**1. Introduction.** We shall give complete proofs of some consequences of the main theorem of [3]. They were first announced in [1] and have been improved in the form announced and used in [12]. In the meantime, [4]–[11] have appeared. We shall on this occasion give some corrections to [1]–[12].

Our basic estimates which we shall use below are the following  $(\alpha')$ ,  $(\alpha'')$  and  $(\beta)$ . Let  $\zeta(s)$  be the Riemann zeta function and  $S(t) = 1/\pi \arg \zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)$  as usual. Let  $N(t)$  be the number of the zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  in  $0 < \text{Im } s < t$ . Let  $T > T_0$ ,  $k$  be an integer  $> 1$  and  $h$  be a positive number. We shall denote positive absolute constants by  $A$ ,  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ . We have adapted Selberg's approach [15] to get the following  $(\alpha)$  which is our main theorem of [3].

$(\alpha)$  If  $h$  is positive and bounded, then

$$\int_T^{2T} (S(t+h) - S(t))^{2k} dt = \frac{(2k)!}{(2\pi)^{2k} k!} T(2 \log(3 + h \log T))^k + O((Ak)^{2k} T(\log(3 + h \log T))^{k-1/2}).$$

We remark that the condition for  $h$ , (namely, "bounded") has been remarked to the author by Professors Gallagher and Mueller (cf. Added in proof of Gallagher and Mueller [13]) and that the exponent to  $Ak$  is  $2k$  as is remarked in p. 172 of [12]. (The right-hand side of 1.13 of p. 172 of [12] should be added by  $HA^k(\log y)^{-r}$ .) We shall use  $(\alpha)$  in the following modified forms.

$$\int_T^{2T} (S(t+h) - S(t))^{2k} dt \ll (Ak)^k T(\log((h \wedge 1) \log T + 3) \cdot e^k)^k, \quad (\alpha')$$

where  $h \wedge 1 = \text{Min}\{h, 1\}$ .

$$\int_T^{2T} (S(t+h) - S(t))^{2k} dt \gg (Ak)^k T(\log((h \wedge 1) \log T + 3))^k$$

$\text{if } k \ll \log \log((h \wedge 1) \log T + 3). \quad (\alpha'')$

Received by the editors March 11, 1980.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 10H05, 10H10.

Key words and phrases. Riemann zeta function, Dirichlet  $L$ -functions, distribution of zeros.

© 1981 American Mathematical Society  
 0002-9947/81/0000-0401/\$03.00

We shall also use the following estimate

$$\int_T^{2T} (S(t+h) - S(t))^{2k+1} dt \ll (Ak)^k T (\log((h \wedge 1) \log T + 3) \cdot e^k)^k. \quad (\beta)$$

We shall omit writing the proofs of these, since they can be derived similarly. We remark that the remainder term in  $(\alpha)$  can be written as

$$O((Ak)^k (\log(e^k(3+h \log T)))^{k-1/2}).$$

Using  $(\alpha')$ ,  $(\alpha'')$  and  $(\beta)$  we shall prove first

**THEOREM 1.**<sup>1</sup> *Let  $T \geq T_0$  and  $C$  be a constant  $> C_0$ . Then for positive proportion of  $t$  in  $T < t < 2T$ ,*

$$N\left(t + \frac{2\pi C}{\log T}\right) - N(t) > C + A\sqrt{\log C \cdot \log \log C}$$

and for positive proportion of  $t$  in  $T < t < 2T$ ,

$$N\left(t + \frac{2\pi C}{\log T}\right) - N(t) < C - A\sqrt{\log C \cdot \log \log C}.$$

We denote the  $n$ th positive imaginary part of the zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  by  $\gamma_n$ . Then as an immediate consequence of Theorem 1 we see that for positive proportion of  $\gamma_n$  in  $T < \gamma_n < 2T$ ,

$$\frac{\gamma_{n+r} - \gamma_n}{r} \leq \frac{2\pi}{\log T} (1 - \exp(-Ar^2/\log(r+3)))$$

and for positive proportion of  $\gamma_n$  in  $T < \gamma_n < 2T$ ,

$$\frac{\gamma_{n+r} - \gamma_n}{r} \geq \frac{2\pi}{\log T} (1 + \exp(-Ar^2/\log(r+3))),$$

where  $r$  is an integer  $\geq 1$ . We remark that this corollary for  $r = 1$  was shown to the author by Professor Montgomery.

Next, using  $(\alpha')$ , we shall prove

**THEOREM 2.** *Suppose that  $T \geq T_0$ ,  $j$  is an integer  $\geq 1$ ,  $k$  is an integer  $\geq j$ ,  $r$  is an integer  $\geq 1$  and  $h$  is a positive number  $\gg (\log T)^{-1}$ . We put*

$$d(\gamma_n, r) = (\gamma_{n+r} - \gamma_n)/r.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N(T)} \sum_{\substack{d(\gamma_n, r) > h \\ \sqrt{T} < \gamma_n < T}} d(\gamma_n, r)^j \\ \ll \frac{(Ak)^{k+j-1} (1+1/k)^{(j-1)(2k-j/2)} (\log(e^k r h \log T / (1+1/k)^{j-1}))^k}{B(k, j) (r \log T)^{j-1} \log T \cdot (r h \log T)^{2k-j+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $B(k, 1) = 1$  and  $B(k, j) = (2k-1)(2k-2) \cdots (2k-j+1)$  for  $j \geq 2$ .

Using Theorem 2 we shall prove the following two corollaries.

<sup>1</sup>The interval  $(T, 2T)$  may be replaced by  $(0, T)$ , since the intervals  $(T, 2T)$  in  $(\alpha)$ ,  $(\alpha')$ ,  $(\alpha'')$  and  $(\beta)$  may be replaced by  $(0, T)$ .

COROLLARY 1. For each integral  $k \geq 1$  and integral  $r > 1$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{N(T)} \sum_{\gamma_n < T} d(\gamma_n, r)^k \ll \frac{1}{(\log T)^k}.$$

COROLLARY 2. If  $C > C_0$  and  $r$  is an integer  $> 1$ , then

$$\frac{1}{N(T)} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_n < T \\ d(\gamma_n, r) > C/\log T}} \cdot 1 \leq e^{-ArC}.$$

We shall also prove the following theorem using  $(\alpha')$ .

THEOREM 3. Let  $K > K_0$ . Then "the number of the zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  in  $0 < \text{Im } s \leq T$  whose multiplicities are  $\geq K$ "  $\leq e^{-AK}N(T)$ .

We shall prove Theorem 1 in §2, Theorem 2 and its corollaries in §3 and Theorem 3 in §4. In §5 we shall give some corrections and complements to [1]–[12]. We remark finally that the results above can be proved also for Dirichlet  $L$ -functions if we suppose that the modulus is  $\ll T^{(1/4)-\epsilon}$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ .

Finally, the author wishes to express his thanks to Professor Gallagher, Professor Montgomery and Professor Mueller for their valuable suggestions.

**2. Proof of Theorem 1.** We put  $f(t) = S(t+h) - S(t)$  and  $h = 2\pi C/\log T$ . We put  $E_M = \{t \in (T, 2T); f(t) > M\}$  for  $M > 0$ . Let  $\varphi_M(t)$  be the characteristic function of  $E_M$ . Let  $C > C_0$  and let  $k = [A \log \log C]$  with an appropriate positive absolute constant  $A$ . We consider the integral  $I = \int_T^{2T} f^{2k+1}(t)\varphi_0(t) dt$ .

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_T^{2T} f^{2k+1}(t)\varphi_0(t)\varphi_M(t) dt \\ &\quad + \int_T^{2T} f^{2k+1}(t)\varphi_0(t)(1 - \varphi_M(t)) dt \\ &\leq \sqrt{E_M} \left( \int_T^{2T} |f(t)|^{2(2k+1)} dt \right)^{1/2} + M^{2k+1}T \\ &\leq \sqrt{E_M} (Ak)^{k+1/2} (\log C)^{k+1/2} \sqrt{T} + M^{2k+1}T, \end{aligned}$$

by  $(\alpha')$ . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \frac{1}{2} \int_T^{2T} |f(t)|^{2k+1} dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_T^{2T} f(t)^{2k+1} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} I_1 + \frac{1}{2} I_2, \end{aligned}$$

say. By  $(\alpha')$ ,  $(\alpha'')$  and  $(\beta)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\geq \frac{(\int_T^{2T} |f(t)|^{2k} dt)^{(2k-1)/2(k-1)}}{(\int_T^{2T} |f(t)|^2 dt)^{1/2(k-1)}} \\ &\gg (T(Ak)^k (\log C)^k)^{(2k-1)/2(k-1)} (T \log C)^{-1/2(k-1)} \\ &\gg T(A_1 k)^{k(2k-1)/2(k-1)} (\log C)^{k+1/2} \\ &\gg T(A_2 k)^k (\log C)^k \\ &\gg I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} |E_M| &\geq \left( \frac{T(Ak)^{k(2k-1)/2(k-1)}(\log C)^{k+1/2} - M^{2k+1}T}{\sqrt{T}(Ak)^{k+1/2}(\log C)^{k+1/2}} \right)^2 \\ &\geq Te^{-A \log \log C}, \end{aligned}$$

provided that  $M \ll \sqrt{\log C \log \log C}$ . This proves the first part of Theorem 1. The second part of Theorem 1 can be derived similarly.

### 3. Proof of Theorem 2 and its corollaries.

3-1. PROOF OF THEOREM 2. We shall prove our theorem by induction on  $j$ . We remark that  $d(\gamma_n, r) \ll (\log \log \log T)^{-1}$  for  $\sqrt{T} \leq \gamma_n \leq T$  by 9.12 of [17]. Suppose that  $d(\gamma_n, r) \geq h$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\gamma_n}^{\gamma_{n+r}-rh/2} \left( S\left(t + \frac{hr}{2}\right) - S(t) \right)^{2k} dt &\gg (hr \log T)^{2k} \left( \gamma_{n+r} - \gamma_n - \frac{rh}{2} \right) \\ &\gg (\gamma_{n+r} - \gamma_n) (hr \log T)^{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{d(\gamma_n, r) > h \\ \sqrt{T} < \gamma_n < T}} d(\gamma_n, r) &\ll \frac{1}{r(rh \log T)^{2k}} \sum_{\substack{d(\gamma_n, r) > h \\ \sqrt{T} < \gamma_n < T}} \int_{\gamma_n}^{\gamma_{n+r}-rh/2} \left( S\left(t + \frac{rh}{2}\right) - S(t) \right)^{2k} dt \\ &\ll \frac{1}{(hr \log T)^{2k}} \int_{\sqrt{T}}^{AT} \left( S\left(t + \frac{rh}{2}\right) - S(t) \right)^{2k} dt \\ &\ll \frac{(Ak)^k T (\log(e^k rh \log T))^k}{(hr \log T)^{2k}}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, suppose that our theorem is correct for  $j \geq 1$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N(T)} \sum_{\substack{d(\gamma_n, r) > h \\ \sqrt{T} < \gamma_n < T}} d(\gamma_n, r)^{j+1} &\leq \frac{1}{N(T)} \sum_{\substack{d(\gamma_n, r) > h \\ \sqrt{T} < \gamma_n < T}} d(\gamma_n, r)^j (1+k) \left( d(\gamma_n, r) - \frac{h}{1+1/k} \right) \\ &= \frac{(1+k)}{N(T)} \sum_{\substack{d(\gamma_n, r) > h \\ \sqrt{T} < \gamma_n < T}} d(\gamma_n, r)^j \int_{h/(1+1/k)}^{d(\gamma_n, r)} du \\ &\leq \frac{(1+k)}{N(T)} \int_{h/(1+1/k)}^{A/\log \log \log T} \left[ \sum_{\substack{d(\gamma_n, r) > u \\ \sqrt{T} < \gamma_n < T}} d(\gamma_n, r)^j \right] du \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\ll \frac{k(Ak)^{k+j-1}(1+1/k)^{(j-1)(2k-j/2)}}{B(k, j)(\log T)^j r^{j-1}} \\ &\quad \cdot \int_{h/(1+1/k)}^{A/\log \log \log T} \frac{(\log(e^k r u \log T / (1+1/k)^{j-1}))^k}{(ru \log T)^{2k-(j-1)}} du \\ &\ll \frac{(Ak)^{k+j}(1+1/k)^{j(2k-(j+1)/2)}(\log(e^k r h \log T / (1+1/k)^j))^k}{B(k, j+1)(\log T)^{j+1} r^j (rh \log T)^{2k-j}}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves our theorem.

3-2. PROOF OF COROLLARY 1.

$$\begin{aligned} S &\equiv \sum_{\gamma_n < T} d(\gamma_n, r)^k \\ &= \sum_{\substack{d(\gamma_n, r) > C/\log T \\ \gamma_n < T}} d(\gamma_n, r)^k + \sum_{\substack{d(\gamma_n, r) \leq C/\log T \\ \gamma_n < T}} d(\gamma_n, r)^k \\ &= S_1 + S_2, \end{aligned}$$

say, where we suppose that  $C > C_0$ . By Theorem 2,

$$S_1 \ll \frac{(Ak)^k (\log(e^k r C))^k N(T)}{(\log T)^k r^{k-1} (rC)^{k+1}} + \frac{N(T)}{\sqrt{T}}.$$

On the other hand,

$$S_2 \ll \frac{C^k}{(\log T)^k} N(T).$$

Hence we get, by taking  $C = (Ak/r^2)^{k/(2k+1)}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} S &\ll \frac{N(T)}{(\log T)^k} \left[ \frac{(Ak)^k (\log(e^k r C))^k}{r^{k-1} (rC)^{k+1}} + C^k \right] \\ &\ll \frac{N(T)(Ak)^{3k/2}}{(\log T)^k r^{k-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

provided that  $r^2 \ll k$ . Thus we get Corollary 1.

3-3. PROOF OF COROLLARY 2. Suppose that  $1 \ll rC \ll A^k$ .

$$\begin{aligned} S &\equiv \sum_{\substack{d(\gamma_n, r) > C/\log T \\ \gamma_n < T}} 1 \\ &< \left( \frac{\log T}{C} \right)^k \sum_{\substack{d(\gamma_n, r) > C/\log T \\ \sqrt{T} < \gamma_n < T}} d(\gamma_n, r)^k + \frac{N(T)}{\sqrt{T}} \\ &\ll \frac{(Ak)^k (\log(e^k r C))^k N(T)}{(rC)^{2k} C} \quad (\text{by Theorem 2}) \\ &\ll \frac{(Ak)^{2k} N(T)}{(rC)^{2k} C} \ll e^{-ArC} N(T) \end{aligned}$$

by taking  $k = [A_1 r C]$ .

**4. Proof of Theorem 3.** Let  $h \log T = f(k)$ , where  $f$  will be chosen later. We consider the integral  $I \equiv \int_T^{2T} (N(t+h) - N(t))^{2k} dt$ .

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_T^{2T} \sum_{t < \gamma^{(1)}, \gamma^{(2)}, \dots, \gamma^{(2k)} < t+h} \cdot 1 dt \\ &= \sum_{\substack{T < \gamma^{(1)}, \dots, \gamma^{(2k)} < 2T+h \\ \text{Min}_j(\gamma^{(j)}) > \text{Max}_j(\gamma^{(j)}) - h}} \int_{\text{Max}_j(\gamma^{(j)}) - h}^{\text{Min}_j(\gamma^{(j)})} dt \\ &\geq \sum_{\substack{T < \gamma^{(1)}, \dots, \gamma^{(2k)} < 2T+h \\ \text{Min}_j(\gamma^{(j)}) > \text{Max}_j(\gamma^{(j)}) - h}} \left( h - \left( \text{Max}_j(\gamma^{(j)}) - \text{Min}_j(\gamma^{(j)}) \right) \right) \\ &\geq h \sum_{T < \gamma^{(1)} = \dots = \gamma^{(2k)} < 2T+h} \cdot 1 \\ &\geq h \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} l^{2k} M_l(2T, T), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma^{(j)}$  runs over the imaginary parts of the zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, 2k$  and  $M_l(2T, T)$  is the number counted simply of the zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  in  $T < \text{Im } s < 2T$  whose multiplicities are exactly  $l$ .

On the other hand, by  $(\alpha')$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} I &\ll T \left( f(k) + \sqrt{k} \sqrt{\log(e^k f(k))} \right)^{2k} A^{2k} \\ &\ll N(T) h f(k)^{-1} \left( f(k) + \sqrt{k} \sqrt{\log(e^k f(k))} \right)^{2k} A^{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we take  $f(k) = k$ . Then  $I \ll N(T) h (Ak)^{2k}$ . Hence we get

$$\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} l^{2k} M_l(2T, T) \ll N(T) (Ak)^{2k}.$$

Now

$$K^{2k-1} \sum_{l=K}^{\infty} l M_l(2T, T) \leq \sum_{l=K}^{\infty} l^{2k} M_l(2T, T) \ll N(T) (Ak)^{2k}.$$

Hence we get

$$\sum_{l=K}^{\infty} l M_l(2T, T) \ll \frac{(Ak)^{2k} N(T)}{K^{2k-1}} \ll e^{-AK} N(T)$$

if  $K > K_0$ .

**5. Some corrections and complements.** In this section we shall give some corrections and complements to [1]–[12] using the same notations as in [1]–[12].

5-1. As we have noticed in §1,  $h$  in  $(\alpha)$  must be bounded. Similarly,  $h$ 's in 1.2, 1.15 and 1.17 of p. 140 of [1], 1.10 of p. 348 of [4], 1.20 of p. 51 of [7], 1.7 of p. 70 of [10] and 1.24 of p. 417 and 1.27 of p. 424 of [11] must be bounded. We may remark that since we have used bounded  $h$  in the applications, these corrections are harmless.

5-2. In p. 228 of [3], the remainder term in 1.11 should be multiplied by  $k^2$  and  $k!$  of 1.12 should be  $(Ak)^k$ . The remainder term in 1.4 of p. 230 of [3] should be multiplied by  $k^2$ . The proof of Lemma 2 in p. 228 of [3] should be simplified and corrected as follows. We may suppose that  $k \geq 2$  and  $a(p) = 1$ , for simplicity. We put  $F_1(x) = \sum_{p < x} 1/p$ . Then

$$\sum_{p_i < x}^* \frac{1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k} - k! F_1^k(x) \ll k! \sum'_{p_i < x} \frac{1}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k} \ll k! k^2 F_1^{k-2}(x),$$

where \* indicates that we sum over all primes  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{2k} < x$  such that  $p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k = p_{k+1} p_{k+2} \cdots p_{2k}$  and the prime (') indicates that we sum over all  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k$  such that some  $p_i$  and some  $p_j$  are equal for  $1 \leq i < j \leq k$ .

5-3. (iii) and (iv) of p. 234 of [3] should be erased.

5-4.  $C\sqrt{q} \cdot \log \log q / \sqrt{\log C}$  in 1.18 of p. 61, 1.4 of p. 62 and 1.5 of p. 63 of [8] should be replaced by  $C \log C \sqrt{q} \log^2 q$ .

5-5. As we have seen in the proof of Theorem 1 in the present paper,  $\varepsilon$  in 1.15 and 1.17 of p. 140 of [1], 1.11 and 1.13 of p. 399 of [6], 1.5 and 1.12 of p. 50 and 1.4, 1.6, 1.18 and 1.23 of p. 56 of [7] should be omitted.

5-6. [4] is generalized in [12].

5-7. [9] should be corrected and improved as in the present paper.

5-8. (1) in p. 52 of [7] can be improved as follows.

$$\sum_x' (R_x(t, \chi))^{2k} \ll (Ak)^{2k} q. \tag{1'}$$

We may omit writing the proofs of (1') above and (2) in p. 52 of [7], (even though it was announced that these proofs would be published).

5-9. In the statement of Theorem 2 of [5], the condition  $\lambda(q) < t(q)$  should be replaced by  $\lambda(q) = o(t(q))$ , because  $(q - 2)/2\pi$  should be multiplied to the first term of 1.16, the first two terms of 1.17 and the term of 1.18 of p. 142 and the final result is

$$\sum_{|\gamma| < |t(q)|} e^{i\alpha\gamma} = (q - 2) \sin(at(q)) (\log qt(q)/2\pi) / \pi a + O(q(at(q) + a^{-1})).$$

We remark also that  $O(q)$  should be added to the right-hand side of 1.13, 1.14 and 1.15 in p. 142.

REFERENCES

1. A. Fujii, *On the distribution of the zeros of the Riemann zeta function in short intervals*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **81** (1975), 139-141.
2. \_\_\_\_\_, *A comparative study of the zeros of Dirichlet L-functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **81** (1975), 199-201.
3. \_\_\_\_\_, *On the zeros of Dirichlet L-functions. I*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **196** (1974), 225-235.
4. \_\_\_\_\_, *On the zeros of Dirichlet L-functions. III*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **219** (1976), 347-349.
5. \_\_\_\_\_, *On the zeros of Dirichlet L-functions. IV*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **286/287** (1976), 139-143.
6. \_\_\_\_\_, *On the zeros of Dirichlet L-functions. V*, Acta Arith. **28** (1976), 395-403.

7. \_\_\_\_\_, *On the zeros of Dirichlet L-functions*. VI, Acta Arith. **29** (1976), 49–58.
8. \_\_\_\_\_, *On the zeros of Dirichlet L-functions*. VII, Acta Arith. **29** (1976), 59–68.
9. \_\_\_\_\_, *On the difference between  $r$  consecutive ordinates of the zeros of the Riemann zeta function*, Proc. Japan Acad. **51** (1975), 741–743.
10. \_\_\_\_\_, *On the sums of the ordinates of the zeros of the Riemann zeta function*, Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul. **24** (1975), 69–71.
11. \_\_\_\_\_, *A remark on the zeros of some L-functions*, Tôhoku Math. J. **29** (1977), 417–426.
12. \_\_\_\_\_, *On the uniformity of the distribution of the zeros of the Riemann zeta function*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **302** (1978), 167–185.
13. P. X. Gallagher and J. H. Mueller, *Primes and zeros in short intervals*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **303/304** (1978), 205–220.
14. H. L. Montgomery, *The pair correlation of the zeros of the zeta function*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 24, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1973, pp. 181–193.
15. A. Selberg, *Contributions to the theory of the Riemann zeta function*, Årbok Univ. Bergen Mat.-Natur. Ser. **48** (1946), 89–155.
16. \_\_\_\_\_, *Contributions to the theory of Dirichlet L-functions*, Skr. Norske Vid.-Akad. Oslo I **3** (1946), 2–62.
17. E. C. Titchmarsh, *The theory of the Riemann zeta function*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1951.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RIKKYO UNIVERSITY, TOKYO, JAPAN