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Abstract. We use the Loeb-measure of nonstandard analysis to prove three classical results on limit measures: Let \( \{ \mu_i \}_{i \in I} \) be a projective system of Radon measures, we use the Loeb-measure \( L(\hat{\mu}_E) \) for an infinite \( E \in {}^*I \) and a standard part map to construct a Radon limit measure on the projective limit (Prohorov's Theorem). Using the Loeb-measures on hyperfinite dimensional linear spaces, we characterize the Fourier-transforms of measures on Hilbert spaces (Sazonov's Theorem), and extend cylindrical measures on Hilbert spaces to \( \sigma \)-additive measures on Banach spaces (Gross' Theorem).

I. Introduction. It has been clear for some time now that the Loeb-measure of nonstandard analysis [11] may be useful in the construction of different kinds of limit measures. Indeed, Anderson's nonstandard construction of a Brownian motion [1] may be regarded as a direct construction of a weak limit measure (compare Billingsley [4]). Work on weak convergence from a nonstandard point of view has been carried on by Anderson and Rashid [3], and Loeb [12]. In another direction, Helms and Loeb [7], Hurd [9], and Helms [6] have used the Loeb-measure to obtain limit equilibrium measures in statistical mechanics.

In this paper we shall work with other—but related—concepts of limit measures, and we hope to show the efficiency of the Loeb-measure approach by giving simple proofs of three classical theorems.

The first of these theorems is due to Prohorov [14]: Given a projective system \( \langle X_i, \tau_i, \pi_{ij} \rangle_{i, j \in I} \) of Hausdorff spaces endowed with a cylindrical measure \( \{ \mu_i \}_{i \in I} \) of Radon measures on the \( X_i \), it gives a characterization of when there is a limit Radon measure on the projective limit. The idea of the proof is to construct the limit measure from the Loeb-measure of \( \mu_i \) for an infinite \( i \in {}^*I \), using a standard part map \( \theta_i : X_i \to X \).

The second theorem is due to Sazonov [16]; it characterizes the functions that are Fourier-transforms of probability measures on Hilbert spaces. The idea of the proof is that even when the measure does not exist on the Hilbert space \( H \), measures exist on the hyperfinite dimensional subspaces of \( {}^*H \) and we can perform the necessary calculations on these spaces.

The last theorem is by Gross [5] and is concerned with the extension of cylindrical measures on Hilbert spaces to measures on Banach spaces where the
Hilbert space is densely embedded. Again, the idea is the same: we use the Loeb-measures on hyperfinite dimensional subspaces and the standard part map.

Throughout this paper we shall work with polysaturated models for nonstandard analysis (see Stroyan and Luxemburg, [18]). For an introduction to the theory of the Loeb-measure and some of its applications, the reader should consult Loeb [13].

I would like to thank Jens Erik Fenstad and Bent Birkeland for helpful discussions and encouragement during the work on this paper.

II. Measures on inductive limits: Prohorov’s Theorem. Let \langle X_i, \tau_i, \pi_{ij} \rangle_{i,j \in I} be a projective system of Hausdorff spaces; i.e. \( I \) is a directed set; for each \( i \in I \), \langle X_i, \tau_i \rangle is a Hausdorff space; and for all \( i, j, k \in I \), \( i < j < k \), \( \pi_{ij} : X_j \to X_i \), \( \pi_{jk} : X_k \to X_j \), and \( \pi_{ik} : X_k \to X_i \) are continuous, surjective maps satisfying \( \pi_{ik} = \pi_{ij} \circ \pi_{jk} \). By the projective limit of such a system, we shall mean the Hausdorff space \langle X, \tau \rangle, where \( X \) consists of all elements \( x \in \Pi_{i \in I} X_i \) such that for all \( i, j \in I \), \( i < j \), \( x_i = \pi_{ij}(x_j) \); and where \( \tau \) is the weakest topology making all the maps \( \pi_i : X \to X_i \) defined by \( \pi_i(x) = x_i \)-continuous. Obviously \( \pi_i = \pi_{ij} \circ \pi_j \) for \( i < j \). The set \( X \) may be empty even when all the \( X_i \) are nonempty (see Bourbaki [19, p. 77], for an example); however, when the \( X_i \) are compact, this can not happen, and all the \( \pi_i \) are surjective (Bourbaki [20, p. 100]).

We shall denote the \(*\)-version \( *\langle X_i, \tau_i, \pi_{ij} \rangle_{i,j \in I} \) by \( \langle \tilde{X}_i, \tilde{\tau}_i, \tilde{\pi}_{ij} \rangle_{i \in I} \). Let \( st : *X \to X \) be the standard part map in the limit topology, and for each \( i \in I \) let \( st_i \) be the standard part map \( st_i : \tilde{X}_i \to X_i \). It is easy to see that if \( st(x) \) is defined, then \( st_i(\tilde{\pi}_i(x)) \) is defined for all \( i \in I \), and \( st(x) = \{ st_i(\tilde{\pi}_i(x)) \}_{i \in I} \). On the other hand, if \( st_i(\tilde{\pi}_i(x)) \) is defined for all \( i \in I \), then \( st_i(\tilde{\pi}_i(x)) = \pi_{ij}(st_j(\tilde{\pi}_j(x))) \) for \( j > i \), and \( st(x) \) is defined and equal to \( \{ st_i(\tilde{\pi}_i(x)) \}_{i \in I} \).

Let us recall a few simple facts of nonstandard topology: If \( X \) is a Hausdorff space and \( st : *X \to X \) the standard part map, then \( *G \supset st^{-1}(G) \) for all open sets \( G \), and \( *K \subset st^{-1}(K) \) for all compact sets \( K \). We are working with polysaturated models; and if \( A \) is an internal subset of \( *X \) in such a model, then \( st(A) \) is closed (see e.g. Stroyan and Luxemburg [18, p. 201]).

Having now completed our survey of the topological prerequisites, we turn to measure theory: By a Radon measure \( \mu \) on a topological space \langle Y, \sigma \rangle, we shall mean a Borel probability measure on \( Y \) such that for all Borel sets \( B \) and all \( \epsilon > 0 \), there is a compact set \( K \subset B \) and an open set \( G \supset B \) such that 
\[
\mu(G) - \epsilon < \mu(B) < \mu(K) + \epsilon.
\]

By a cylindrical measure \( \{ \mu_i \}_{i \in I} \) on a projective system \langle X_i, \tau_i, \pi_{ij} \rangle_{i,j \in I} \) of Hausdorff spaces, we mean a net \( \{ \mu_i \}_{i \in I} \) of Radon measures on the \( X_i \) such that \( \pi_{ij}(\mu_j) = \mu_i \) (where the image measure \( \pi_{ij}(\mu_j) \) is defined by \( \pi_{ij}(\mu_j)(A) = \mu_i(\pi_{ij}^{-1}(A)) \)). We write \( \{ \tilde{\mu}_i \}_{i \in I} \) for the \(*\)-version \( *\{ \mu_i \}_{i \in I} \). A cylinder set in \( X \) is a set of the form \( \pi_i^{-1}(B) \) where \( B \) is a Borel set in \( X_i \), \( i \in I \).

The problem we consider in this section is the following: A cylindrical measure obviously induces a finitely additive measure on the algebra of cylinder sets, and using the Kolmogorov Extension Theorem this may be extended to a \( \sigma \)-additive measure. But can it be extended to a Radon measure on \( X \)? This is far from
obvious since the \( \sigma \)-algebra generated by the cylinder sets is in general much smaller than the Borel algebra on \( X \), and all Borel measures need not be Radon. However, Prohorov’s Theorem gives a simple characterization of when such an extension exists.

Before we turn to the proof of the theorem, we must know how Radon measures arise from Loeb-measures; the study of such problems was initiated by Anderson [2], and has since been extended by Henson [8] and Loeb [12]. We shall only need the simple

**Lemma 1.** Let \( \langle X, \mathcal{B}, \mu \rangle \) be a Borel probability space on a Hausdorff space. Assume that for each \( \epsilon > 0 \) there is a compact \( K_\epsilon \) with \( \mu(K_\epsilon) > 1 - \epsilon \). Let \( \langle Z, L(G), L(P) \rangle \) be the Loeb-space of a nonstandard probability space \( \langle Z, G, P \rangle \), and let \( \theta: Z \to X \) be a partial mapping such that \( \theta^{-1}(B) \in L(G) \) and \( L(P)(\theta^{-1}(B)) = \mu(B) \) for all \( B \in \mathcal{B} \). Assume further that \( \theta(A) \) is closed for all \( A \in G \). Then \( \mu \) is a Radon measure.

**Proof.** Let \( B \in \mathcal{B} \) be given; then \( \theta^{-1}(B) \in L(G) \) and for each \( \epsilon > 0 \) there is an \( A \in G \), \( A \subset \theta^{-1}(B) \) such that \( L(P)(\theta^{-1}(B)) - L(P)(A) < \epsilon/2 \). But \( \theta(A) \subset \theta\theta^{-1}(B) \subset B \) is closed, and \( \mu(\theta(A)) = L(P)(\theta^{-1}(\theta(A))) > L(P)(A) \) (notice that although \( A \) need not be contained in \( \theta^{-1}(\theta(A)) \), the last inequality holds since \( \theta \) is defined almost everywhere). Hence \( \theta(A) \cap K_{\epsilon/2} \) is a compact set contained in \( B \) with

\[
\mu(B) - \mu(\theta(A) \cap K_{\epsilon/2}) < \epsilon.
\]

The outer regularity follows by applying this result to \( A - B \), and hence the lemma is proved.

We may now prove Prohorov’s Theorem.

**Theorem 2 (Prohorov).** Let \( \{ \mu_i \}_{i \in I} \) be a cylindrical measure on a projective system \( \langle X_i, \tau_i, \pi_{ij} \rangle \) of Hausdorff spaces. The following is a necessary and sufficient condition that there exists a Radon measure \( \mu \) on \( X \) such that \( \pi_i(\mu) = \mu_i \) for all \( i \in I \).

\((\star)\) For all \( \epsilon > 0 \), there is a compact \( K_\epsilon \subset X \) such that \( \mu_i(\pi_i(K_\epsilon)) > 1 - \epsilon \) for all \( i \in I \).

**Proof.** That \((\star)\) is necessary is almost obvious: Let \( \epsilon > 0 \) be given; since \( \mu \) is Radon there exists a compact \( K \subset X \) such that \( \mu(K) > 1 - \epsilon \). Since \( K \) is compact, so is \( \pi_i(K) \), and hence \( \pi_i(K) \) is \( \mu_i \)-measurable. But then

\[
\mu_i(\pi_i(K)) = \mu_i(\pi_i^{-1}(\pi_i(K))) > \mu_i(K) = 1 - \epsilon
\]

which proves the necessity of \((\star)\).

We now turn to the sufficiency, and divide the proof into four steps.

1. **Construction of \( \mu \).** Let \( \omega \in \ast I \) be larger than all the elements in \( I \)—such an element exists by polysaturation—and define the partial mapping \( \theta: X_\omega \to X \) by \( \theta = \text{st} \circ \pi_\omega^{-1} \). This is well defined since \( \pi_i(\theta(x)) = \text{st}_i(\pi_{i\omega}(x)) \), and from \((\star)\) and the fact that all compact sets are near-standard, it follows that \( \theta \) is defined almost everywhere. Obviously, since \( \theta(A) = \text{st} \circ \pi_\omega^{-1}(A) \), \( \theta \) maps internal sets on closed sets.
Let $\langle \tilde{X}_\omega, L(\tilde{\Theta}_\omega), L(\tilde{\mu}_\omega) \rangle$ be the Loeb-space of $\langle X_\omega, \Theta_\omega, \mu_\omega \rangle$, where $\tilde{\Theta}_\omega$ is the $*$-Borel-algebra of $X_\omega$. Define 

$$\mu = \theta(L(\tilde{\mu}_\omega)).$$

2. $\mu$ is a Borel-measure. We first show that if $K \subseteq X$ is compact, then $\theta^{-1}(K) \in L(\tilde{\Theta}_\omega)$: The family $\{\pi_i^{-1} \mu_i(K)\}$ is decreasing, and so is $\mu_i(\pi_i(K))$ since $\mu_i(\pi_i(K)) \leq \mu_j(\pi_j^{-1} \pi_i(K)) = \mu_i(\pi_i(K))$. Let 

$$\beta = \lim_{i \to I} \mu_i(\pi_i(K)),$$

then

$$L(\tilde{\mu}_\omega)(\pi_i^{-1}(K)) = \beta,$$

since $\omega$ is infinitely large.

Since $K$ is compact,

$$\theta^{-1}(K) = \eta_{\omega} \pi_i^{-1}(K) \supseteq \eta_{\omega}(\pi_i(K)),$$

and thus $\theta^{-1}(K)$ contains a set of measure $\beta$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given, and choose $i \in I$ such that $\mu_i(\pi_i(K)) < \beta + \epsilon/2$. Since $\mu_i$ is Radon there is a $G_i \in \pi_i$ such that $\pi_i(K) \subseteq G_i$ and $\mu_i(G_i) < \beta + \epsilon$. Hence

$$L(\tilde{\mu}_\omega)(\pi_i^{-1}(G_i)) = \tilde{\mu}_\omega(\pi_i^{-1}(G_i)) = \tilde{\mu}_\omega(G_i) < \beta + \epsilon.$$

Since $G_i$ is open, we also have

$$\theta^{-1}(K) = \eta_{\omega} \pi_i^{-1}(K) \subseteq \eta_{\omega} \pi_i^{-1}(G_i) \subseteq \eta_{\omega}(\pi_i^{-1}(G_i)) = \eta_{\omega} G_i = \eta_{\omega}(G_i).$$

This proves that for all $\epsilon > 0$, $\theta^{-1}(K)$ is contained in a set of measure less than $\beta + \epsilon$. Since $\langle \tilde{X}_\omega, L(\tilde{\Theta}_\omega), L(\tilde{\mu}_\omega) \rangle$ is complete, it follows that $\theta^{-1}(K) \in L(\tilde{\Theta}_\omega)$, and that $L(\tilde{\mu}_\omega)(\theta^{-1}(K)) = \lim_{i \to I} \mu_i(\pi_i(K))$.

If now $F \subseteq X$ is closed, $F \cap K_{1/n}$ is compact, and hence $\theta^{-1}(\bigcup (F \cap K_{1/n})) \in L(\tilde{\Theta}_\omega)$. The set $\theta^{-1}(\bigcup (F \cap K_{1/n}))$ is a subset of the null-set $\tilde{X}_\omega - \theta^{-1}(\bigcup K_{1/n})$, and is consequently in $L(\tilde{\Theta}_\omega)$. Hence $\theta^{-1}(F) \in L(\tilde{\Theta}_\omega)$ for all closed $F$, and it follows that $\mu$ is a Borel-measure.

3. $\mu$ is a Radon measure. Since $\theta$ maps internal sets on closed sets, this follows from Lemma 1, and 2 above.

4. Consistency conditions. It remains to show that if $A \in \Theta_i$, then $\mu(\pi_i^{-1}(A)) = \mu_i(A)$: Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given, and choose a neighbourhood $G$ of $A$ in $\pi_i$ such that $\mu_i(G) < \mu_i(A) + \epsilon$. Since $\pi_i^{-1}(G)$ is open,

$$\mu(\pi_i^{-1}(A)) = L(\tilde{\mu}_\omega)(\theta^{-1}(\pi_i^{-1}(A))) = L(\tilde{\mu}_\omega)(\tilde{\pi}_i \pi_i^{-1}(\pi_i^{-1}(A)))$$

$$< L(\tilde{\mu}_\omega)(\tilde{\pi}_i \pi_i^{-1}(G)) < L(\tilde{\mu}_\omega)(\tilde{\pi}_i \tilde{\pi}_i^{-1}(G)) = \mu_i(G) < \mu_i(A) + \epsilon.$$

This implies that $\mu(\pi_i^{-1}(A)) < \mu_i(A)$, but since the same must apply to the complement of $A$, we have $\mu(\pi_i^{-1}(A)) = \mu_i(A)$. This proves the theorem.

The above theorem is Schwartz' [17, p. 74] "reformulation" of a celebrated theorem of Prohorov [14, Theorem 1.12]. The original result characterizes weakly
compact sets of measure on complete, separable metric spaces, in terms of the condition (\(\bullet\)). In the present version, cylindrical measures replace weakly convergent sequences of measures, and—since on complete, separable metric spaces the Baire and the Borel sets coincide, and all Borel-measures are Radon—it introduces new measurability aspects. The only “real” connection between the two theorems seems to be that they both characterize some sort of convergence of measures in terms of the condition (\(\bullet\)).

The original Prohorov Theorem has already received a nonstandard treatment; Anderson and Rashid [3] used a nonstandard characterization of tightness due to Müller [21], to prove it for general topological spaces when the limit measure is allowed to be a Baire-measure. If the space is completely regular, Theorem 3 of Loeb [12] can be used to extend the Baire-measure to a Radon measure. Although these papers use much of the same techniques as we have applied (some of them originating with Anderson [2]), we have not been able to use their results to simplify our own proof. For applications of Prohorov’s Theorem, the reader should consult Schwartz [17]; we shall only give one which will be useful in the next section.

**Corollary 3.** Let \(\{\mu_i\}_{i \in I}\) be a cylindrical measure on \(X\). Let \(Y\) be a set, and assume that for each \(i \in I\), \(P_i\) is a mapping of \(Y\) on \(X_{i}\) such that \(P_i = \pi_j \circ P_j\), when \(i < j\). Let \(\sigma\) be the weakest topology on \(Y\) making all the \(P_i\) continuous. Then there is a Radon measure \(\nu\) on \(Y\) such that \(\nu(P_i^{-1}(A)) = \mu_i(A)\) for all \(i \in I\) and \(A \in \mathcal{B}_i\), if and only if

\[
\text{(**) For all } \epsilon > 0 \text{ there is a compact set } K_\epsilon \subset Y \text{ such that } \mu_i(P_i(K_\epsilon)) > 1 - \epsilon \text{ for all } i \in I.
\]

**Proof.** The necessity of (**) is proved as in the proof of the theorem.

To prove the sufficiency, define \(S: Y \to X\) by \(S(y) = \{P_i(y)\}_{i \in I}\). \(S\) is continuous, and by construction \(P_i = \pi_i \circ S\). Thus \(S(K_\epsilon)\) is compact and \(\mu_i(S(K_\epsilon)) = \mu_i(P_i(K_\epsilon)) > 1 - \epsilon\) for all \(i \in I\). Using the theorem, we have a Radon measure \(\mu\) on \(X\) such that \(\mu_i(\pi_i^{-1}(A)) = \mu_i(A)\). Defining \(\nu(S^{-1}(A)) = \mu(A)\), we prove the corollary.

We turn to our application: Let \(H\) be a real separable Hilbert space, and let \(I\) be the set of all finite dimensional subspaces ordered by inclusion. If \(E, F \in I\), \(E \subset F\), let \(P_E: H \to E\) and \(P_{E,F}: F \to E\) be the projections. \(\langle E, \tau_E, P_{E,F}\rangle\) is obviously a projective system of Hausdorff spaces, when \(\tau_E\) is the norm topology.

Corollary 3 should now tell us when a cylindrical measure \(\{\mu_E\}_{E \in I}\) on \(\langle E, \tau_E, P_{E,F}\rangle\) can be extended to a measure on \(H\). We introduce the following notation.

\[
B_r = \{x \in H: \|x\| < r\}
\]

is the closed ball of radius \(r\) around the origin. It is easy to check that these balls are in the \(\sigma\)-algebra generated by the cylinder-sets. Define

\[
\alpha_r^E = \mu_k(P_E(B_r)) \quad \text{for } E \in I.
\]

For fixed \(r\), the net \(\{\alpha_r^E\}_{E \in I}\) is decreasing, and we let

\[
\alpha_r = \inf_{E \in I} \alpha_r^E.
\]
The function \( r \to \alpha_r \) is increasing, and we may define
\[
\alpha = \lim_{r \to \infty} \alpha_r.
\]

**Corollary 4.** A cylindrical measure \( \{ \mu_E \} \) on \( H \) has a \( \sigma \)-additive extension \( \nu \) if and only if \( \alpha = 1 \). The extension is a Radon measure.

**Proof.** Assume the extension \( \nu \) exists. Then \( B_r \subset P_{=1}^{-1} P_{E}(B_r) \) for all \( E \in I \), and hence \( \nu(B_r) < \alpha_r \). Since \( \nu(H) = \nu(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n) = 1 \), \( \alpha_r \to 1 \), and hence \( \alpha = 1 \).

Assume now that \( \alpha = 1 \). The weakest topology on \( H \) making all the finite dimensional projections continuous is the weak topology. But on \( H \) the weak topology and the weak-* topology coincide, and hence by the Banach-Alaoglu Theorem (see e.g. Reed and Simon [15, p. 115]) all the balls \( B_r \) are compact in this topology. Given \( \varepsilon > 0 \), choose \( r_\varepsilon \) such that \( \alpha_{r_\varepsilon} > 1 - \varepsilon \). Then \( \mu_E(P_{E}(B_{r_\varepsilon})) > 1 - \varepsilon \) for all \( E \in I \). Applying Corollary 3 with \( K_\varepsilon = B_r \), we see that an extension \( \nu \) exists, and is a Radon measure with respect to the weak topology. But since the balls are \( \nu \)-measurable, \( \nu \) is then a Borel-measure with respect to the norm-topology; and since \( H \) is a complete, separable metric space, all Borel-measures are Radon (see e.g. Schwartz [17, p. 122]).

From the nonstandard point of view, Corollary 4 has the following interpretation: Let \( \{ e_n \} \) be an orthonormal basis for \( H \), and let \( \{ \tilde{e}_n \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) be its *-version. Let \( E \) be the hyperfinite dimensional subspace of \( *H \) generated by \( \tilde{e}_1, \ldots, \tilde{e}_\eta \) for some \( \eta \in \mathbb{N} \). It is then easy to see that \( \alpha_s \leq \circ \alpha_{s}^{E} \leq \alpha_r \) for \( s \in \mathbb{R}, s < r \), and thus \( \circ \alpha_{r}^{E} \to \alpha \) as \( r \to \infty \). Hence \( \mu \) has a \( \sigma \)-additive extension if and only if \( L(\tilde{\mu}_E) \) has support on the near-standard elements in the weak topology. We may perhaps say that a limit measure \( L(\tilde{\mu}_E) \) always exists; the problem is whether it has near-standard support so that we can push it down to \( H \). In the following two sections we shall try to elucidate this theme.

**III. Characteristic functionals on Hilbert spaces: Sazonov's Theorem.** A famous theorem by S. Bochner characterizes the class of Fourier-transforms of probability measures on \( \mathbb{R}^n \) as those functions \( \psi : \mathbb{R}^n \to C \) that take the value one in the origin, are positive definite and continuous. It is natural to guess that this theorem has a generalization to Hilbert spaces, and since most Hilbert space topologies coincide on finite dimensional spaces, one might conjecture that the task is "only" to find the right notion of continuity. That norm-continuity is not the right notion is easy to see; but in 1958 V. V. Sazonov [16] proved that the right topology is the one generated by the Hilbert-Schmidt operators.

We shall now give a nonstandard proof of this theorem.

Let \( H \) be a real separable Hilbert space; a Hilbert-Schmidt operator \( A : H \to H \) is a linear operator such that \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \| A e_n \|^2 < \infty \) for any orthonormal basis \( \{ e_n \} \) in \( H \). It is easy to see that the sum \( \sum \| A e_n \|^2 \) is independent of which orthonormal basis we choose.

The sets of the form \( \{ x \in H : \| A_1 x \| < \delta_1, \ldots, \| A_m x \| < \delta_m \} \) where \( A_1, \ldots, A_m \) are Hilbert-Schmidt operators, and \( \delta_1, \ldots, \delta_m \) are positive real numbers, form a basis for the Hilbert-Schmidt topology. By polar decomposition, any
Hilbert-Schmidt operator $A$ can be written as the product $UT$ of a partial isometry $U$, and a symmetric, positive Hilbert-Schmidt operator $T$. Hence we may assume that $A_1, \ldots, A_m$ above are symmetric. Moreover, if $A_1, A_2$ are Hilbert-Schmidt operators, then there exists a Hilbert-Schmidt operator $B = \sqrt{A_1^*A_1 + A_2^*A_2}$ such that $\|Bx\| < \delta$ implies $\|A_1x\| < \delta$ and $\|A_2x\| < \delta$. Thus we may replace the sequence $A_1, \ldots, A_m$ above with a single, symmetric H.-S. operator $A$ and still have a basis for the topology.

Let $\varphi: H \to C$ with $\varphi(0) = 1$. Then $\varphi$ is continuous in 0 if and only if for all $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}, \epsilon > 0$, there exist a symmetric Hilbert-Schmidt operator $A$ and a $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\delta > 0$, such that if $\|Ax\| < \delta$ then $|\varphi(x) - 1| < \epsilon$. Taking the *-transfer of this, we get

$$\forall x \in *H (\|*Ax\| < \delta \to |*\varphi(x) - 1| < \epsilon).$$

By the internal definition principle this is equivalent to that for all $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}, \epsilon > 0$, there is a symmetric H.-S. operator $A$ such that

$$\forall x \in *H (\|*Ax\| \approx 0 \to |*\varphi(x) - 1| < \epsilon).$$

To prove that $\varphi$ is discontinuous in 0, it is thus enough to find a positive $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$, such that for all symmetric H.-S. operators $A$ there is an $x \in *H$ with $\|*Ax\| \approx 0$ and $|*\varphi(x) - 1| > \epsilon$.

To prove the theorem, we shall need the following notions: Let $\eta \in *\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$, and define $\Omega = \{-1, 1\}^\eta$, and let $P$ be the uniform *-probability measure on $\Omega$; $P(\omega) = (\frac{1}{2})^\eta$. By a symmetric binary random variable $\chi: \Omega \to *\mathbb{R}$ we shall mean a *-stochastic variable $\chi$ defined by $\chi(\omega) = \sum_{i\in\eta} \omega_i y_i$, where $\{y_i\}_{i \in \eta}$ is an internal sequence of hyperreal numbers. The quadratic variation of $\chi$ is defined to be the number $[\chi] = \sum_{i \in \eta} y_i^2$. (This should look familiar to readers acquainted with Anderson’s Brownian motion [1].)

**Lemma 5.** Let $\chi$ be a symmetric binary random variable, and put $\gamma^2 = [\chi]$. If $\gamma$ is finite, $\chi$ is finite a.e. in the Loeb-measure. If $\gamma$ is infinite there is a set of measure $> \frac{1}{2}$ where $|\chi| > \sqrt{\gamma}$.

**Proof.** Assume that $\gamma$ is finite. Using that the $\omega_i$ are independent with mean zero and variance one, we get

$$E(\chi^2) = E\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \omega_i y_i\right)^2\right) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\eta} y_i y_j E(\omega_i \omega_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} y_i^2 = \gamma^2.$$

Consequently $\chi$ is finite $L(P)$-a.e.

Assume that $\gamma$ is infinite. We first consider the case where there is a $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, \eta\}$ with $|y_j| > \sqrt{\gamma}$, say $y_j > \sqrt{\gamma}$. Then either $\sum_{i \neq j} \omega_i y_i > 0$ or $\sum_{i \neq j} (-\omega_j) y_i > 0$, so for each $\omega$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \omega_i y_i < \sqrt{\gamma}$ there is an $\omega' = (-\omega_1, -\omega_2, \ldots, \omega_j, \ldots, -\omega_\eta)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \omega'_i y_i > \sqrt{\gamma}$. Hence $\chi > \sqrt{\gamma}$ with probability $> \frac{1}{2}$. A similar argument applies for $y_j < -\sqrt{\gamma}$.
Assume that $\gamma$ is infinite, but $|y_j| < \sqrt{\gamma}$ for all $j$. Then $|x/\gamma| = 1$, and by the first part of the proof $x/\gamma$ is finite a.e. Moreover $y_i/\gamma \approx 0$ for all $i$. We calculate the characteristic function of the random variable $\delta(x/\gamma)$.

$$\int e^{i(x/\gamma)^2} \, dL(P) = \int e^{i\sum y_j^2/\gamma} \, dP$$

$$= \int \prod_{j=1}^{\eta} e^{i\omega_jy_j/\gamma} \, dP = \left( \prod_{j=1}^{\eta} \int e^{i\omega_jy_j/\gamma} \, dP \right)$$

$$= \prod_{j=1}^{\eta} \frac{e^{y_j^2/\gamma} + e^{-y_j^2/\gamma}}{2} = \prod_{j=1}^{\eta} \cos \left( \frac{y_j^2}{\gamma} \right)$$

$$= e^{\sum \ln(\cos(y_j^2/\gamma))} = e^{-(\sum (y_j^2/\gamma^2) + o(y_j^2))} = e^{-\gamma^2/2},$$

where we have used the independence of $\omega_i$ and $\omega_j$ for $i \neq j$, and the Taylor-expansion of $\ln(\cos x)$.

This tells us that $\delta(x/\gamma)$ is gaussian distributed with variance 1. Hence the probability that $|x/\gamma| > 1/\sqrt{\gamma}$ is larger than $\frac{1}{2}$, and so is the probability that $|x| > \sqrt{\gamma}$. This proves the lemma.

The proof of part (b) of the following proposition contains the heart of the argument.

**Proposition 6.** Let $\{ \mu_E \}$ be a cylindrical measure on a real, separable Hilbert space $H$. Then (recall the $\alpha$ of Corollary 4)

(a) if $\alpha = 1$, then for each positive $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ there is a symmetric Hilbert-Schmidt operator $T$ on $H$, and an $r_\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $v(B_{r_\epsilon}) > 1 - \epsilon$, and for $x \in *H$:

$$\|Tx\| \approx 0 \Rightarrow \langle x, y \rangle \approx 0 \text{ for } L(\nu)\text{-almost } y \in *B_{r_\epsilon}.$$

(b) If $\alpha < 1$, there is for each symmetric Hilbert-Schmidt operator $T$ on $H$ a set $B$ with $*\mu(B) > (1 - \alpha)/4$, $a \gamma \in *N \setminus N$, and an $x \in *H$ such that

$$\|Tx\| \approx 0 \text{ and } |\langle x, y \rangle| > \gamma^{1/2} \text{ for } y \in B.$$
showing that $S$ is a trace-class operator. Hence $S = T \circ T$ for some symmetric Hilbert-Schmidt operator $T$, and we get

$$\|Tx\|^2 = \int_{B_n} \langle x, z \rangle^2 \, dv(z).$$

(a) now follows from the *-version of this.

(b) Let $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors for $T$, and let $\{\tilde{e}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a hyperfinite initial segment of $\mathcal{H} \{\langle e_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\}$. Assume $T \tilde{e}_n = \beta_n \tilde{e}_n$, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n^2 < \infty$. Let $E_T$ be the hyperfinite dimensional subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ generated by $\{\tilde{e}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Choose $\gamma \in \star \mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\hat{\mu}_{E_T}\{ y \in E_T: \|y\| > \gamma^{5/4} \} > \frac{1 - \alpha}{2};$$

such a $\gamma$ exists by definition of $\alpha$ and the internal definition principle.

Our element $x$ shall be of the form $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega_n \tilde{e}_n / \gamma^{1/4}$, where $\omega_n = \pm 1$ for all $n$. Obviously $\|T \tilde{x}\|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n^2 / \gamma^{1/2} \approx 0$. We now use Lemma 5 to choose the sequence $\{\omega_n\}$ such that $\langle x, y \rangle$ is infinite on a set of $y$'s of large enough measure.

If $y$ is such that $\|y\|_{E_T}^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n^2 > \gamma^{5/2}$, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\omega_n y_n / \gamma^{1/4})^2 > \gamma^2$. By Lemma 5 then $|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega_n y_n / \gamma^{1/4}| > \gamma^{1/2}$ for all $\omega$ in a set of measure $> \frac{1}{2}$. Let now

$$A = \left\{ (\omega, y): \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega_n y_n / \gamma^{1/4} > \gamma^{1/2} \right\},$$

and apply Fubini's Theorem to the characteristic function $K_A$.

$$\int \left[ \int K_A(\omega, y) \, d\hat{\mu}_{E_T}(y) \right] \, dP(\omega) = \int \left[ \int K_A(\omega, y) \, dP(\omega) \right] \, d\hat{\mu}_{E_T}(y)$$

$$> \frac{1}{2} \hat{\mu}_{E_T}\{ y \in E_T: \|y\| > \gamma^{5/4} \} > \frac{1 - \alpha}{4}.$$

Hence there is a $\tilde{\omega} \in \Omega$ such that $\hat{\mu}_{E_T}\{ y: \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\omega}_n y_n / \gamma^{1/4} > \gamma^{1/2} \} > (1 - \alpha)/4$.

Choose $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\omega}_n \tilde{e}_n / \gamma^{1/4}$. Then

$$\ast \mu\{ y \in \ast \mathcal{H}: |\langle x, y \rangle| > \gamma^{1/2} \} = \hat{\mu}_{E_T}\{ y \in E_T: \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\omega}_n y_n / \gamma^{1/4} \right| > \gamma^{1/2} \} > \frac{1 - \alpha}{4},$$

which proves (b).

It is now easy to prove

**THEOREM 7 (SAZONOV).** Let $\varphi: \mathcal{H} \to C$ be a complex-valued function on a real, separable Hilbert space. Then $\varphi$ is the Fourier-transform of a Borel probability measure on $\mathcal{H}$ if and only if: $\varphi(0) = 1$, $\varphi$ is positive definite and continuous in the Hilbert-Schmidt topology.

**Proof.** Assume $\varphi(x) = \int e^{i \langle x, y \rangle} \, d\mu(y)$, where $\mu$ is a probability measure on $\mathcal{H}$; then $\varphi(0) = 1$ and $\varphi$ is positive definite. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Since $\alpha = 1$, we may apply Proposition 6(a) to get a symmetric Hilbert-Schmidt operator $T$ and a set $B_{\varepsilon/3}$ with $\mu(B_{\varepsilon/3}) > 1 - \varepsilon/3$ such that $\|T \tilde{x}\| \approx 0 \Rightarrow \langle x, y \rangle \approx 0$ for almost all $y \in \ast B_{\varepsilon/3}$. Consequently $e^{i \langle x, y \rangle} \approx 1$ on a set of measure $> 1 - \varepsilon/3$, and hence $|\varphi(x) - 1| < \varepsilon$. By our nonstandard characterization of H.-S.-continuity, it follows.
that \( \varphi \) is continuous in 0. Since \( \varphi \) is positive definite this implies that \( \varphi \) is H.-S.-continuous everywhere.

Assume now that \( \varphi \) is a positive definite, H.-S.-continuous function with \( \varphi(0) = 1 \). Using Bochner's Theorem on the finite dimensional subspaces of \( H \), we see that \( \varphi \) is the Fourier-transform of a cylindrical measure \( \{ \mu_x \} \) on \( H \). We shall show that \( \alpha = 1 \) by proving that if \( \alpha < 1 \), then \( \varphi \) cannot be H.-S.-continuous.

So assume \( \alpha < 1 \): Given a symmetric H.-S.-operator \( T \), there exist by Proposition 6(b) a set \( B \) with \( \mu(B) > (1 - \alpha)/4 \), a \( \gamma \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N} \), and an \( x \in H \) such that

\[
\| T x \| \approx 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |\langle x, y \rangle| > \gamma^{1/2} \quad \text{for} \ y \in B.
\]

Let \( \lambda \) be the Lebesgue measure on \( \mathbb{R} \). It is easy to see that for infinite \( z \)

\[
*\lambda\left\{ a \in [1, 2] : az \in \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\{ *\left[ 2k\pi + \frac{\pi}{2}, 2k\pi + \frac{3\pi}{2} \right]\right\} \right\} \approx \frac{1}{2} > \frac{1}{3}.
\]

Define

\[ A = \left\{ (a, y) : a \langle x, y \rangle \in \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\{ *\left[ 2k\pi + \frac{\pi}{2}, 2k\pi + \frac{3\pi}{2} \right]\right\} \right\}. \]

By Fubini's Theorem

\[
\int_1^2 \left( \int_B K_A(a, y) \ d*\mu(y) \right) d*\lambda(a) = \int_B \left[ \int_1^2 K_A(a, y) \ d*\lambda(a) \right] d*\mu(y) \\
> \frac{1}{3} \mu(B) > \frac{1 - \alpha}{12}.
\]

Hence there exist an \( a \) such that

\[ *\mu\left\{ y : a \langle x, y \rangle \in \bigcup \left\{ *\left[ 2k\pi + \frac{\pi}{2}, 2k\pi + \frac{3\pi}{2} \right]\right\} \right\} > \frac{1 - \alpha}{12}. \]

For such an \( a \), \( \text{Re}(e^{i(x,y)}) = \cos(\langle ax, y \rangle) < 0 \) for all \( y \) in a set of measure \( > (1 - \alpha)/12 \), and consequently

\[ \left| \int e^{i(x,y)} d*\mu(y) - 1 \right| > \frac{1 - \alpha}{12}. \]

Since \( \| T(ax) \| \approx 0 \), this implies that \( \varphi \) is not H.-S.-continuous in 0, and the theorem is proved.

What I would like to point out about the proof above is the following: In this case the hard thing is to find out what happens when the limit measure does not exist. But in the nonstandard universe we do have a kind of limit measure \( L(\tilde{\mu}_x) \), which lives on a space \( E_T \) with all the algebraic and topological structure of a linear space. We can thus perform all the necessary calculations of Proposition 6 and Theorem 7 on this space. The argument could probably be carried through in a standard way working on the finite dimensional subspace of \( H \), by picking suitable sequences of elements and the right notion of convergence of measures, but the clarity of the argument would then most likely be lost in a haze of convergence results.
IV. Cylindrical measures extended to Banach spaces: Gross' Theorem. In the first section we inquired when a cylindrical measure \( \{ \mu_E \} \) on a Hilbert space can be extended to a countably additive measure \( \mu \) on \( H \); and we saw indirectly how such a measure could be obtained from \( L(\mu_E) \) on a hyperfinite dimensional subspace \( E \) of \( *H \) using a standard part map. We may ask ourselves in cases where such an extension is not possible, whether we may find some other reasonable limit measure, perhaps living on a larger space. One way of doing this in a nonstandard setting would be to use a standard part map connected with a weaker topology; this would make more points near-standard. We shall now apply this strategy to prove a theorem of Gross [5] on the extension of cylindrical measures to Banach spaces.

Let \( H \) be a real, separable Hilbert space with an inner product \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) generating a norm \( \| \cdot \| \), and let \( | \cdot | \) be another norm on \( H \), continuous with respect to \( \| \cdot \| \). An element \( y \in *H \) is called \( | \cdot | \)-pre-near-standard if for all \( \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}, \epsilon > 0 \), there is an \( x \in H \) with \( |x - y| < \epsilon \). If \( E \) is a hyperfinite dimensional subspace of \( *H \), define an equivalence relation \( \sim_E \) on \( E \) by \( x \sim_E y \iff |x - y| \approx 0 \). Let \( NS| |(E) \) denote the \( | \cdot | \)-pre-near-standard elements of \( E \), and let \( ^oE = NS| |(E)/\sim_E \). Let \( ^o| \cdot | \) be the norm defined on \( ^oE \) by \( ^o|x| = st|x| \), where \( x \) is the equivalence class of \( x \).

The following result is well known. For a proof see Stroyan and Luxemburg [18, p. 213].

**Lemma 8.** Let \( E \in *I \) be such that \( H \subset E \). Then \( (^oE, ^o| \cdot |) \) is the completion of \( H \) in \( | \cdot | \)-norm.

From now on we write \( B \) for \( ^oE \) and \( | \cdot | \) for \( ^o| \cdot | \); and we have hence shown the existence of a standard part map \( st| : NS| |(E) \rightarrow B \).

Let \( \mathcal{F} \) be the set of finite dimensional projections in \( H \). If \( \mu = \{ \mu_F \}_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \) is a cylindrical measure on \( H \), the norm \( | \cdot | \) is called \( \mu \)-measurable if for all \( \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+ \) there is a \( P_0 \in \mathcal{F} \) such that

\[
\mu\{|Px| > \epsilon\} < \epsilon \quad \text{for all } P \in \mathcal{F}, P \perp P_0.
\]

Gross' Theorem says that if \( | \cdot | \) is \( \mu \)-measurable, then \( \mu \) has an extension to Borel-measure on \( B \). The key observation is the following.

**Lemma 9.** If \( | \cdot | \) is \( \mu \)-measurable, then \( L(\mu_E)(NS| |(E)) = 1 \) (\( E \) is as in Lemma 8.)

**Proof.** Let

\[
A_m = \left\{ x \in E : \exists v \in H \left| \left| v - x \right| < \frac{1}{m} \right. \right\}.
\]

If \( P \in \mathcal{F} \), let \( P_E^\perp \) be the orthogonal projection to \( *P \) in \( E \). For \( n > m \), find a \( P \in \mathcal{F} \) such that

\[
\hat{\mu}_E\left\{ |P_E^\perp(x)| > \frac{1}{n} \right\} < \frac{1}{n},
\]

by \( \mu \)-measurability of \( | \cdot | \). Then the set

\[
\left\{ x \in E : P(x) \in NS| |(E) \right\} \cap \left\{ x : |P_E^\perp(x)| < \frac{1}{n} \right\}
\]
is contained in \( A_m \), and has Loeb-measure \( 1 - \frac{1}{n} \) (notice that \( L(\tilde{\mu}_E)\{x \in E: P(x) \in NS|\ |(E)\} = 1 \), since \( P \) is a projection on a standard finite dimensional space, where the measure must be near-standardly concentrated). Since this holds for all \( n > m \), \( L(\tilde{\mu}_E)(A_m) = 1 \). But \( NS|\ |(E) = \bigcap_m A_m \), and hence

\[
L(\tilde{\mu}_E)(NS|\ |(E)) = 1,
\]
which proves the lemma.

To prove the theorem, it only remains to push \( L(\tilde{\mu}_E) \) down to \( B \) using the standard part map. However, we must first agree on what it means for a measure on \( B \) to extend a cylindrical measure on \( H \): Let \( B^* \) be the dual of \( B \). If \( y_1, \ldots, y_n \in B^* \) and \( A \) is a Borel set in \( \mathbb{R}^n \), then the set \( \{x \in B: (y_1(x), \ldots, y_n(x)) \in A\} \) is called a cylinder set in \( B \). We define a finitely additive measure \( \hat{\mu} \) on the cylinder sets by

\[
\hat{\mu}\{x \in B: (y_1(x), \ldots, y_n(x)) \in A\} = \mu\{x \in H: \langle x, y_1 \rangle, \ldots, \langle x, y_n \rangle \in A\},
\]
where we have identified \( H \) and \( H^* \) and embedded \( B^* \) in \( H^* \).

\textbf{Theorem 10 (Gross).} Let \( | \ | \) be \( \mu \)-measurable. Then \( \hat{\mu} \) has an extension to a \( \sigma \)-additive Borel-measure on \( B \).

\textbf{Proof.} Let \( E \) be as in Lemmas 8 and 9. Define a measure \( \nu \) on \( B \) by

\[
\nu = st_{|\ |}(L(\tilde{\mu}_E));
\]
this is a well defined probability measure since \( L(\tilde{\mu}_E)(NS|\ |(E)) = 1 \) by Lemma 9. To prove that \( \nu \) is a Borel-measure, it is enough to prove that all balls

\[
B(a, r) = \{x \in B: |x - a| < r\}, \quad a \in H,
\]
are \( \nu \)-measurable, since \( B \) is separable. But

\[
st_{|\ |}^{-1}(B(a, r)) = \bigcup_n \left\{ x \in E: |x - a| < r - \frac{1}{n} \right\} \cap NS|\ |(E)
\]
which is \( L(\tilde{\mu}_E) \)-measurable, and hence \( \nu \) is a Borel-measure.

It remains to prove that \( \nu \) and \( \hat{\mu} \) agree on the cylinder sets: Since

\[
st_{|\ |}^{-1}\{x \in B: (y_1(x), \ldots, y_n(x)) \in A\} = \{x \in E: (y_1(x), \ldots, y_n(x)) \in st^{-1}(A)\},
\]
we have

\[
\nu\{x \in B: (y_1(x), \ldots, y_n(x)) \in A\} = L(\tilde{\mu}_E)\{x \in E: (y_1(x), \ldots, y_n(x)) \in st^{-1}(A)\}.
\]
But \( E \subset *H \), and hence \( y_j(x) = \langle x, y_j \rangle \) and so

\[
\nu\{x \in B: (y_1(x), \ldots, y_n(x)) \in A\} = L(\tilde{\mu}_E)\{x \in E: \langle x, y_1 \rangle, \ldots, \langle x, y_n \rangle \in st^{-1}(A)\}.
\]
On the other hand

\[
\hat{\mu}\{x \in B: (y_1(x), \ldots, y_n(x)) \in A\} = L(\tilde{\mu}_E)\{x \in E: (y_1(x), \ldots, y_n(x)) \in *A\}
\]

\[
= L(\tilde{\mu}_E)\{x \in E: \langle x, y_1 \rangle, \ldots, \langle x, y_n \rangle \in *A\}.
\]
Keeping \(y_1, \ldots, y_n\) fixed and letting \(A\) run through the Borel sets,
\[
\bar{\mu}_E\{x \in E: (\langle x, y_1 \rangle, \ldots, \langle x, y_n \rangle) \in \ast A\} = \mu_E\{x \in F: (\langle x, y_1 \rangle, \ldots, \langle x, y_n \rangle) \in A\}
\]
(where \(F\) is the subspace of \(H\) generated by \(y_1, \ldots, y_n\)) defines a Radon measure on \(\mathbb{R}^n\). Given an \(\epsilon > 0\), there is thus an open set \(G \supset A\), and a compact set \(C \subset A\), such that
\[
\mu_E\{x \in E: (\langle x, y_1 \rangle, \ldots, \langle x, y_n \rangle) \in \ast C\} + \epsilon > \mu_E\{x \in E: (\langle x, y_1 \rangle, \ldots, \langle x, y_n \rangle) \in A\} > \mu_E\{x \in E: (\langle x, y_1 \rangle, \ldots, \langle x, y_n \rangle) \in \ast G\} - \epsilon.
\]
Now \(\ast C \subset \text{st}^{-1}(C)\) and \(\ast G \supset \text{st}^{-1}(G)\), and hence
\[
\{x \in E: (\langle x, y_1 \rangle, \ldots, \langle x, y_n \rangle) \in \ast C\} \subseteq \{x \in E: (\langle x, y_1 \rangle, \ldots, \langle x, y_n \rangle) \in \text{st}^{-1}(A)\} \subseteq \{x \in E: (\langle x, y_1 \rangle, \ldots, \langle x, y_n \rangle) \in \ast G\}.
\]
Since \(\epsilon\) is arbitrary, this implies that \(\nu\) and \(\bar{\mu}\) agree on the cylinder sets, and the theorem is proved.

The theorem above is more general than the versions in e.g. Gross [5], or Kuo [10] as they treat only the case where \(\mu\) is normally distributed. I have found no references to the actual theorem in the literature, but it certainly ought to be well known. For applications the reader should consult Kuo [10].

The pattern of the proof is again the same; a limit measure exists naturally on an infinite element \(E\), and using a suitable standard part map it is pushed down to a standard space. That we have been able to prove three central theorems in the theory of limit measures using this simple idea, should indicate that the Loeb-measure approach is both natural and promising; and I certainly hope that some original research may be carried on along these lines. Promising subjects should include stochastic integration in Hilbert spaces, and partial stochastic differential equations.
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