

## A CLASS OF $L^1$ -CONVERGENCE

BY

R. BOJANIC AND Č. V. STANOJEVIĆ

**ABSTRACT.** It is proved that if the Fourier coefficients  $\{a_n\}$  of  $f \in L^1(0, \pi)$  satisfy  $(*) n^{-1} \sum_{k=n}^{2n} k^p |\Delta a_k|^p = o(1)$ , for some  $1 < p < 2$ , then  $\|s_n - f\| = o(1)$ , if and only if  $a_n \lg n = o(1)$ . For cosine trigonometric series with coefficients of bounded variation and satisfying  $(*)$  it is proved that a necessary and sufficient condition for the series to be a Fourier series is  $\{a_n\} \in \mathcal{C}$ , where  $\mathcal{C}$  is the Garrett-Stanojević [4] class.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $f$  be a  $2\pi$ -periodic and even function in  $L^1(0, \pi)$ , and let  $\{a_k\}$  be the sequence of its Fourier coefficients. Denote by  $\mathcal{F}$  the class of sequences of Fourier coefficients of all such functions. It is well known that, in general, it does not follow from  $\{a_k\} \in \mathcal{F}$  that

$$s_n(x) = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \cos kx$$

converges to  $f$  in the  $L^1(0, \pi)$ -norm, i.e. it does not follow that  $\|s_n - f\| = o(1)$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $\|\cdot\|$  is the  $L^1(0, \pi)$ -norm.

However, there are examples of subclasses of  $\mathcal{F}$  for which  $a_n \lg n = o(1)$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , is a necessary and sufficient condition for  $\|s_n - f\| = o(1)$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**DEFINITION 1.1.** A subclass  $\mathcal{K}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  is called a class of  $L^1$ -convergence if

$$(1.1) \quad \|s_n - f\| = o(1), n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ is equivalent with } a_n \lg n = o(1), n \rightarrow \infty.$$

There are three classical examples of classes of  $L^1$ -convergence. The first one is due to Young [1] and  $\mathcal{K}$  is defined to be the class of all convex sequences  $\{a_k\}$  ( $\Delta^2 a_k > 0$ ). The second one is the class of all sequences  $\{a_k\}$  such that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k |\Delta^2 a_k| < \infty$ , introduced by Kolmogorov [2]. The latter one is an obvious extension of the first one, and both are subclasses of  $\mathcal{B}^{\vee}$ , the class of all null-sequences of bounded variation.

The third example is the Telyakovskii [3] class  $\mathcal{S}$ . A sequence  $\{a_k\}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{S}$  if there exists a monotone sequence  $\{A_k\}$  such that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k < \infty$  and  $|\Delta a_k| \leq A_k$ , for all  $k$ . Clearly  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{B}^{\vee}$ .

Garrett and Stanojević [4] introduced the following class  $\mathcal{C}$ . A null-sequence  $\{a_k\}$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{C}$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$ , independent of  $n$ , and such that

$$C_n(\delta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\delta} \left| \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \Delta a_k D_k(x) \right| dx < \varepsilon,$$

Received by the editors October 30, 1980 and, in revised form, February 13, 1981.

*AMS (MOS) subject classifications* (1970). Primary 42A20, 42A32.

*Key words and phrases.*  $L^1$ -convergence of Fourier series, integrability of cosine series.

© 1982 American Mathematical Society  
 0002-9947/82/0000-0781/\$02.75

for all  $n$ , where  $D_k$  is the Dirichlet kernel. As a corollary to their main result in [4], they proved that  $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{B} \mathcal{V}$  is a class of  $L^1$ -convergence. Later, Garrett, Rees and Stanojević [5] proved that  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{B} \mathcal{V}$ .

Recently Fomin [6] extended the Telyakovskii class  $\mathcal{S}$  in the following way. A sequence  $\{a_k\}$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{F}_p$ , if for some  $1 < p \leq 2$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} |\Delta a_k|^p}{n} \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

Fomin [6] proved that  $\mathcal{F}_p$  is a class of  $L^1$ -convergence and that  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{F}_p$ .

On the other hand Stanojević [7] proved that  $\mathcal{F}_p \subset \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{B} \mathcal{V}$ , and obtained several new classes of  $L^1$ -convergence. A sequence  $\{a_k\}$  of Fourier coefficients belongs to the class  $\mathcal{C}_p$  if, for some  $1 < p \leq 2$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\Delta a_k|^p < \infty$ , and

$$(1.2) \quad n \left( \frac{\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} |\Delta a_k|^p}{n} \right)^{1/p} = o(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Clearly  $\{a_k\} \in \mathcal{F}_p$  implies that  $\{a_k\} \in \mathcal{C}_p$ . Hence the result of Stanojević [7] is stronger than the one of Fomin [6].

In [7], the class  $\mathcal{B} \mathcal{V}$  is extended in the following manner.

DEFINITION 1.2. A null-sequence  $\{a_k\}$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{P}$  if

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n k |\Delta a_k| = o(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

It is proved in [7] that if  $\{a_k\} \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{F}$  and if  $n \Delta a_n = O(1)$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then (1.1) holds. The proof is based on the estimate

$$(1.3) \quad \|g_n - \sigma_n\| = O\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n k |\Delta a_k|\right), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $g_n(x) = s_n(x) - a_{n+1} D_n(x)$ , and  $\sigma_n$  is the Fejér's sum of  $s_n$ .

We have a threefold objective in this paper. First, we shall, within a subclass of  $\mathcal{P}$ , remove the condition  $n \Delta a_n = O(1)$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The subclass  $\mathcal{V}_p$  of  $\mathcal{P}$  is defined as follows.

DEFINITION 1.3. A sequence  $\{a_k\}$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{V}_p$  if, for some  $1 < p \leq 2$ ,

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n k^p |\Delta a_k|^p = o(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Secondly we shall estimate  $\|s_n - f\| - |a_n| \|D_n\|$  directly without using the estimate (1.3).

Finally we shall obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for  $a_0/2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \cos kx$ ,  $\{a_n\} \in \mathcal{V}_p \cap \mathcal{B} \mathcal{V}$ , to be a Fourier series.

**2. Lemmas.** In the proof of our main theorem, instead of the condition (1.4) we shall use an equivalent one

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} k^p |\Delta a_k|^p = o(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

The following lemma establishes that equivalence.

LEMMA 2.1. Let  $\{c_k\}$  be a sequence of nonnegative numbers. Then

$$\alpha_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n c_k = o(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

if and only if

$$\beta_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=n}^{2n} c_k = o(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

PROOF. The “only if” part is obvious. For the “if” part notice that

$$2^n \beta_{2^n} = 2^{n+1} \alpha_{2^{n+1}} - 2^n \alpha_{2^n}$$

or

$$(2.2) \quad \alpha_{2^m} = \frac{\alpha_1}{2^m} + \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} 2^k \beta_{2^k}.$$

For  $2^m < n < 2^{m+1}$ , we have

$$(2.3) \quad \alpha_n \leq \frac{2^{m+1}}{n} \alpha_{2^{m+1}} \leq 2 \alpha_{2^{m+1}}.$$

Hence from (2.2) and (2.3) it follows that  $\beta_n \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty$ , implies that  $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty$ .

The next lemma provides an identity that we need in order to avoid using (1.3) explicitly in our proof.

LEMMA 2.2. Let

$$s_n(x) = \frac{1}{2} a_0 + \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \cos kx$$

and let  $\{\sigma_n(x)\}$  be the sequence of Fejér sums of the sequence  $\{s_n(x)\}$ . Then

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} s_n(x) - f(x) &= 2(\sigma_{2n-1}(x) - f(x)) - (\sigma_{n-1}(x) - f(x)) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} (2n - k) a_k \cos kx. \end{aligned}$$

The core of the proof is supplied by the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.3. Let  $\{c_k\}$  be a sequence of real numbers. Then for any  $1 < p \leq 2$  and  $n \geq 1$

$$\frac{1}{n} \int_0^\pi \left| \sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} c_k D_k(x) \right| dx \leq A_p \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} |c_k|^p \right)^{1/p},$$

where  $A_p$  is an absolute constant.

PROOF. We write

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{n} \int_0^\pi \left| \sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} c_k D_k(x) \right| dx &= \frac{1}{n} \int_0^{\pi/n} \left| \sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} c_k D_k(x) \right| dx \\
 (2.5) \qquad \qquad \qquad &+ \frac{1}{n} \int_{\pi/n}^\pi \left| \sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} c_k D_k(x) \right| dx \\
 &= I_1 + I_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|D_k| \leq k + 1$ , for the first integral in (2.5) we have  $I_1 \leq n^{-1} \sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} |c_k|$ , and by Hölder’s inequality

$$I_1 \leq \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} |c_k|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

Let  $1/p + 1/q = 1, p > 1$ . Then by applying the Hölder inequality to the second integral in (2.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2 &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{\pi/n}^\pi \frac{1}{2 \sin(x/2)} \left| \sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} c_k \sin\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)x \right| dx \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2n} \left( \int_{\pi/n}^\pi \frac{dx}{(2 \sin(x/2))^p} \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_{\pi/n}^\pi \left| \sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} c_k \sin\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)x \right|^q dx \right)^{1/q}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\int_{\pi/n}^\pi \frac{dx}{(\sin(x/2))^p} \leq \pi^p \int_{\pi/n}^\pi \frac{dx}{x^p} \leq \frac{\pi}{p-1} n^{p-1},$$

it follows that

$$I_2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\pi}{p-1} \right)^{1/p} n^{-1/p} \left( \int_0^\pi \left| \sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} c_k \sin\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)x \right|^q dx \right)^{1/q}.$$

Let  $1 < p \leq 2$ . Then using the Hausdorff-Young inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left( \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \left| \sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} c_k \sin\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)x \right|^q dx \right)^{1/q} &\leq \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^\pi \left| \sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} c_k e^{ikx} \right|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \\
 &\leq \left( \sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} |c_k|^p \right)^{1/p}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $I_2 \leq (\pi/2)(p - 1)^{-1/p} (n^{-1} \sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} |c_k|^p)^{1/p}$ . Combining all estimates we get

$$I_1 + I_2 \leq \pi \left( 2 + \frac{1}{2} (p - 1)^{-1/p} \right) \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} |c_k|^p \right)^{1/p},$$

and the proof of Lemma 2.3 is completed.

**3. Main result.** In our main theorem we shall prove that for some  $1 < p \leq 2$ , the class  $\mathcal{V}_p \cap \mathcal{F}$  is an  $L^1$ -convergence class. To make use of our lemmas we shall reformulate that result in the following manner.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $f \in L^1(0, \pi)$  be an even and  $2\pi$ -periodic function and for some  $1 < p \leq 2$  let the sequence  $\{a_k\}$  of its Fourier coefficients satisfy*

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=n}^{2n} k^p |\Delta a_k|^p = o(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then (1.1) holds.

**PROOF.** Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n-1} (2n-k)a_k \cos kx &= \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n-1} (2n-k)a_k(D_k(x) - D_{k-1}(x)) \\ &= -na_n D_n(x) + \sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} ((2n-k)a_k - (2n-k-1)a_{k+1})D_k(x), \end{aligned}$$

from (2.4) we get

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} s_n(x) - f(x) &= a_n D_n(x) + 2(\sigma_{2n-1}(x) - f(x)) \\ &\quad - (\sigma_{n-1}(x) - f(x)) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} a_{k+1} D_k(x) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} (2n-k-1)\Delta a_k D_k(x); \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} | \|s_n - f\| - |a_n| \|D_n\| | &\leq 2\|\sigma_{2n-1} - f\| + \|\sigma_{n-1} - f\| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n} \int_0^\pi \left| \sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} a_{k+1} D_k(x) \right| dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n} \int_0^\pi \left| \sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} (2n-k-1)\Delta a_k D_k(x) \right| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.3 to the last two integrals we get

$$\frac{1}{n} \int_0^\pi \left| \sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} a_k D_k(x) \right| dx \leq A_p \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} |a_k|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{n} \int_0^\pi \left| \sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} (2n-k-1)\Delta a_k D_k(x) \right| dx \leq A_p \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} k^p |\Delta a_k|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

Since  $\|D_n\| = (4/\pi^2)\lg n + O(1)$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and since  $f \in L^1(0, \pi)$  implies that  $\|\sigma_n - f\| = o(1)$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we finally get

$$| \|s_n - f\| - |a_n| \lg n | = O\left( \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=n}^{2n} k^p |\Delta a_k|^p \right)^{1/p} \right), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

In view of (2.1) this completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. We have now a corollary to this theorem.

**COROLLARY 3.1.** *The class  $\mathcal{C}_p \cap \mathfrak{F}$  is a  $L^1$ -convergence class.*

**PROOF.** Since  $n^{p-1} \sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} |\Delta a_k|^p = o(1)$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , implies

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} k^p |\Delta a_k|^p = o(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

it follows that  $\mathcal{C}_p \subset \mathfrak{V}_p$ .

**4. Necessary and sufficient integrability conditions.** Let  $\{a_k\} \in \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{V}$ . Then

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \cos kx$$

converges pointwise to some  $f$  in  $(0, \pi]$ . In order to prove that (4.1) is a Fourier series it suffices to show that  $f \in L^1(0, \pi)$ .

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $\{a_k\} \in \mathfrak{V}_p \cap \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{V}$ . Then (4.1) is a Fourier series if and only if  $\{a_k\} \in \mathcal{C}$ .*

**PROOF.** *The “if” part.* Garrett and Stanojević [4] proved that if  $\{a_k\} \in \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{V}$ , then  $\|g_n - f\| = o(1)$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , if and only if  $\{a_k\} \in \mathcal{C}$ . Hence  $\{a_k\} \in \mathcal{C}$  is always a sufficient condition for  $f \in L^1(0, \pi)$  whenever  $\{a_k\} \in \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{V}$ .

*The “only if” part.* The identity (3.1) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} s_n(x) - a_{n+1} D_n(x) - f(x) &= g_n(x) - f(x) \\ &= 2(\sigma_{2n-1}(x) - f(x)) - (\sigma_{n-1}(x) - f(x)) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} a_{k+1} D_k(x) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=n-1}^{2n-1} \Delta a_k D_k(x) + \frac{\Delta a_n D_n(x)}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Assuming that  $f \in L^1(0, \pi)$  and applying Lemma 2.3 we get

$$\|g_n - f\| = O\left(\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=n}^{2n} k^p |\Delta a_k|^p\right)^{1/p}\right), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since  $\{a_k\} \in \mathfrak{V}_p \cap \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{V}$  we have that  $\|g_n - f\| = o(1)$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and finally that  $\{a_k\} \in \mathcal{C}$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.

**COROLLARY 4.1.** *Let  $\{a_k\} \in \mathcal{C}_p \cap \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{V}$ . Then (4.1) is a Fourier series if and only if  $\{a_k\} \in \mathcal{C}$ .*

**PROOF.**  $\mathcal{C}_p \subset \mathfrak{V}_p$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{N}$  be a class of all monotone null-sequences  $\{a_k\}$ . As a consequence to Corollary 4.1 we obtain a partial answer to the classical outstanding question: Let  $\{a_k\} \in \mathfrak{N}$ . What are necessary and sufficient conditions for (4.1) to be a Fourier series?

**COROLLARY 4.2.** *Let  $\{a_k\} \in \mathfrak{V}_p \cap \mathfrak{N}$ . Then (4.1) is a Fourier series if and only if  $\{a_k\} \in \mathcal{C}$ .*

Theorem 4.1, Corollary 4.1 and Corollary 4.2 extend the integrability classes found by Stanojević [7].

The authors would like to thank the referee for his valuable advice.

## REFERENCES

1. W. H. Young, *On the Fourier series of bounded functions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) **12** (1913), 41–70.
2. A. N. Kolmogorov, *Sur l'ordre de grandeur des coefficients de la série de Fourier-Lebesgue*, Bull. Internat. Acad. Polon. Sci. Lettres Cl. Sci. Math. Natur. Sér. A (1923), 83–86.
3. S. A. Telyakovskii, *On a sufficient condition of Sidon for integrability of trigonometric series*, Mat. Zametki **14** (1973), 317–328.
4. J. W. Garrett and Č. V. Stanojević, *Necessary and sufficient conditions for  $L^1$ -convergence of trigonometric series*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **60** (1976), 68–71.
5. J. W. Garrett, C. S. Rees and Č. V. Stanojević,  *$L^1$ -convergence of Fourier series with coefficients of bounded variation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **80** (1980), 423–430.
6. G. A. Fomin, *A class of trigonometric series*, Mat. Zametki **23** (1978), 213–222.
7. Č. V. Stanojević, *Classes of  $L^1$ -convergence of Fourier and Fourier-Stieltjes series*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **82** (1981), 209–215.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, COLUMBUS, OHIO 43210

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI-ROLLA, ROLLA, MISSOURI 65401