

**SMOOTHNESS OF THE BOUNDARY VALUES OF
 FUNCTIONS BOUNDED AND HOLOMORPHIC IN THE DISK**

BY
 SHINJI YAMASHITA

ABSTRACT. The non-Euclidean counterparts of Hardy-Littlewood's theorems on Lipschitz and mean Lipschitz functions are considered. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. For f holomorphic and bounded, $|f| < 1$, in $|z| < 1$, the condition that

$$f^*(z) \equiv |f'(z)| / (1 - |f(z)|^2) = O((1 - |z|)^{\alpha-1})$$

is necessary and sufficient for f to be continuous on $|z| \leq 1$ with the boundary function $f(e^{it}) \in \sigma\Lambda_\alpha$, the hyperbolic Lipschitz class. Furthermore, the condition that the p th mean of f^* on the circle $|z| = r < 1$ is $O((1 - r)^{\alpha-1})$ is necessary and sufficient for f to be of the hyperbolic Hardy class H_σ^p and for the radial limits to be of the hyperbolic mean Lipschitz class $\sigma\Lambda_\alpha^p$.

1. Introduction. We shall prove the non-Euclidean counterparts of the following Theorems A and B due to G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood [2, Theorem 4, p. 627 and Theorem 3, p. 625] (see [1, Theorem 5.1, p. 74 and Theorem 5.4, p. 78]).

Let Φ be the family of complex-valued functions φ defined on the real axis such that φ is periodic with period 2π . We say that $\varphi \in \Phi$ is of Lipschitz class Λ_α ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$) if

$$\sup_{|t-s| \leq \tau} |\varphi(t) - \varphi(s)| = O(\tau^\alpha) \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow +0.$$

Let $D = \{|z| < 1\}$ and let $D^\# = \{|z| \leq 1\}$ in the plane.

THEOREM A. *Let f be a function holomorphic in D and let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. Then f is continuous on $D^\#$ and the function $f(e^{it})$ is of class Λ_α if and only if*

$$(1.1) \quad f'(z) = O((1 - |z|)^{\alpha-1}) \quad \text{as } |z| \rightarrow 1 - 0.$$

We say that $\varphi \in \Phi$ is of mean Lipschitz class Λ_α^p ($1 \leq p < \infty, 0 < \alpha \leq 1$) if the restriction of φ to $[0, 2\pi]$ is of $L^p[0, 2\pi]$ and if

$$\sup_{0 < h \leq \tau} \left[\int_0^{2\pi} |\varphi(t+h) - \varphi(t)|^p dt \right]^{1/p} = O(\tau^\alpha)$$

as $\tau \rightarrow 0$. For $0 \leq r < 1, 0 < p < \infty$, and for v nonnegative and subharmonic in D , we set

$$\mu_p(r, v) = \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} v(re^{it})^p dt \right]^{1/p};$$

Received by the editors February 11, 1981.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30D55.

Key words and phrases. Lipschitz functions, mean Lipschitz functions, Hardy class, non-Euclidean hyperbolic distance.

this is an increasing function of r . The Hardy class H^p ($0 < p < \infty$) consists of f holomorphic in D such that $\mu_p(r, |f|) = O(1)$ as $r \rightarrow 1$, or equivalently, the subharmonic function $|f|^p$ has a harmonic majorant in D . By the boundary value of a complex-valued function g in D at the point e^{it} of the unit circle we mean the radial limit $g(e^{it}) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} g(re^{it})$. Each function $f \in H^p$ ($0 < p < \infty$) admits the boundary value $f(e^{it})$ at a.e. point e^{it} , and $f(e^{it}) \in L^p[0, 2\pi]$.

THEOREM B. *Let f be a function holomorphic in D , and let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. Then $f \in H^p$ and the function $f(e^{it})$ is of class Λ_α^p if and only if*

$$(1.2) \quad \mu_p(r, |f'|) = O((1 - r)^{\alpha-1}) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 1.$$

In the case $\alpha = 1$, (1.2) says that $f' \in H^p$.

The non-Euclidean hyperbolic distance between z and w in D is defined by

$$\sigma(z, w) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{|1 - \bar{z}w| + |z - w|}{|1 - \bar{z}w| - |z - w|}.$$

We set $\sigma(z) \equiv \sigma(z, 0)$, the hyperbolic counterpart of $|z|$, $z \in D$. We say that $\varphi \in \Phi$ is of class $\sigma\Lambda_\alpha$ ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$) if φ is bounded, $|\varphi| < 1$, and if

$$\sup_{|t-s| \leq \tau} \sigma(\varphi(t), \varphi(s)) = O(\tau^\alpha) \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow +0.$$

Let B be the family of functions f holomorphic and bounded, $|f| < 1$, in D . Then, apparently, $f(e^{it})$ exists a.e. For $f \in B$, the Schwarz-Pick lemma reads

$$f^*(z) \equiv |f'(z)| / (1 - |f(z)|^2) \leq (1 - |z|^2)^{-1}, \quad z \in D.$$

We note that $\log f^*$ is subharmonic in D , so that $f^{*p} = \exp(p \log f^*)$ ($0 < p < \infty$) is subharmonic in D . The hyperbolic analogue of Theorem A is

THEOREM 1. *Let $f \in B$, and let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. Then f is continuous on $D^\#$ and the function $f(e^{it})$ is of class $\sigma\Lambda_\alpha$ if and only if*

$$(1.3) \quad f^*(z) = O((1 - |z|)^{\alpha-1}) \quad \text{as } |z| \rightarrow 1 - 0.$$

We say that $\varphi \in \Phi$ is of class $\sigma\Lambda_\alpha^p$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$) if $|\varphi(t)| < 1$ a.e., if the restriction of $\sigma(\varphi) \equiv \sigma(\varphi(t))$ to $[0, 2\pi]$ is of $L^p[0, 2\pi]$, and if

$$\sup_{0 < h \leq \tau} \left[\int_0^{2\pi} \sigma(\varphi(t+h), \varphi(t))^p dt \right]^{1/p} = O(\tau^\alpha)$$

as $\tau \rightarrow 0$. For $f \in B$ set $\sigma(f)(z) \equiv \sigma(f(z))$, the hyperbolic counterpart of $|f(z)|$ ($z \in D$). Then $\log \sigma(f)$ is subharmonic in D because $X(x) \equiv \log \sigma(e^x)$ is a convex and increasing function of $x \in (-\infty, 0)$, with $-\infty = X(-\infty) \equiv \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} X(x)$, and $\log \sigma(f) = X(\log |f|)$. For each $a \in D$, the identity $\sigma(g) = \sigma(f, a)$ holds, where $g = (f - a)/(1 - \bar{a}f) \in B$ for $f \in B$. Therefore $\log \sigma(f, a)$ and $\sigma(f, a)^p = \exp[p \log \sigma(f, a)]$ ($0 < p < \infty$) are subharmonic in D . Let H_σ^p be the set of all $f \in B$ such that $\mu_p(r, \sigma(f)) = O(1)$ as $r \rightarrow 1$, or equivalently, the subharmonic function $\sigma(f)^p$ admits a harmonic majorant in D . The hyperbolic Hardy class H_σ^p ($0 < p < \infty$) is the counterpart of H^p . We are now ready to propose a hyperbolic analogue of Theorem B.

THEOREM 2. *Let $f \in B$, and let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. Then $f \in H_p^\alpha$ and the function $f(e^{it})$ is of class $\sigma\Lambda_\alpha^p$ if and only if*

$$(1.4) \quad \mu_p(r, f^*) = O((1 - r)^{\alpha-1}) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 1.$$

In the case $\alpha = 1$ in (1.4), the subharmonic function f^{*p} admits a harmonic majorant.

The proof of Theorem 1 is not difficult and depends on Theorem A; we need comparisons of the non-Euclidean distance and the Euclidean distance. The proof of the “if” part of Theorem 2 is, in a sense, routine. Not easy is the proof of the “only if” part of Theorem 2. There is no relation between $\sigma(f)$ and f^* like that between $|f|$ and $|f'|$, namely, one cannot assert that $\sigma(f') = f^*$ even if $|f'| < 1$.

2. Proof of Theorem 1. Consider the two inequalities

$$(2.1) \quad |z - w| \leq \sigma(z, w), \quad z, w \in D,$$

$$(2.2) \quad \sigma(z, w) \leq 2|z - w|/|1 - \bar{z}w|$$

for $z, w \in D$ with $|z - w|/|1 - \bar{z}w| \leq 1/\sqrt{2}$. The inclusion formula $\sigma\Lambda_\alpha \subset \Lambda_\alpha$ follows from (2.1). If $\varphi \in \Lambda_\alpha$ and if $|\varphi(t)| < 1$ for all $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$, then $\varphi \in \sigma\Lambda_\alpha$. To observe this we set $\max|\varphi(t)| = M < 1$ because φ is continuous. Then there exist two positive constants K and δ such that

$$K\delta^\alpha \leq (1 - M^2)/\sqrt{2} \quad \text{and} \quad |\varphi(t) - \varphi(s)| \leq K\tau^\alpha$$

for all τ , $0 < \tau < \delta$, and for all t, s with $|t - s| \leq \tau$. Since

$$|\varphi(t) - \varphi(s)| \leq (1 - M^2)/\sqrt{2},$$

it follows that

$$|\varphi(t) - \varphi(s)|/|1 - \overline{\varphi(t)}\varphi(s)| \leq 1/\sqrt{2},$$

whence, by (2.2),

$$\sigma(\varphi(t), \varphi(s)) \leq [2/(1 - M^2)]|\varphi(t) - \varphi(s)| \leq K_1\tau^\alpha$$

for all t, s with $|t - s| \leq \tau < \delta$ ($K_1 = 2K/(1 - M^2)$). Therefore $\varphi \in \sigma\Lambda_\alpha$.

To prove the “only if” part of Theorem 1, we notice first that $f(e^{it}) \in \Lambda_\alpha$. Since $|f(e^{it})| < 1$ for all t , it follows from the maximum modulus principle that $A = \max\{|f(z)|; z \in D^\# \} < 1$. Since $f^* \leq |f'|/(1 - A^2)$, the conclusion (1.3) follows from (1.1).

To prove the “if” part of Theorem 1 we first note that (1.1) holds by $|f'| \leq f^*$. By Theorem A, f is continuous on $D^\#$ and $f(e^{it}) \in \Lambda_\alpha$. Now, if $|f(e^{it})| = 1$ for a certain t , then

$$\infty = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \sigma(f(re^{it}), f(0)) \leq \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \int_0^r f^*(\rho e^{it}) d\rho < \infty$$

by (1.3); this is a contradiction. Therefore $\max|f(e^{it})| < 1$, which, together with $f(e^{it}) \in \Lambda_\alpha$, shows that $f(e^{it}) \in \sigma\Lambda_\alpha$.

3. Proof of Theorem 2. For the proof of the “if” part we assume that

$$(3.1) \quad \mu_p(r, f^*) \leq K(1 - r)^{\alpha-1} \quad \text{for } 0 < r < 1,$$

where $K > 0$ is a constant. To prove that $f \in H_p^\alpha$ we apply the continuous form of the Minkowski inequality (see [3, (7), p. 20]) to

$$\sigma(f(re^{it}), f(0)) \leq \int_0^r f^*(\rho e^{it}) d\rho$$

for $0 \leq t \leq 2\pi$ ($0 < r < 1$). Then

$$\mu_p(r, \sigma(f, f(0))) \leq \int_0^r \mu_p(\rho, f^*) d\rho \leq K/\alpha < \infty$$

by (3.1). Since $\sigma(f) \leq \sigma(f, f(0)) + \sigma(f(0), 0)$, the Minkowski inequality in the usual form yields that $\mu_p(r, \sigma(f)) = O(1)$, or $f \in H_p^\alpha$. Since $\mu_p(r, \sigma(f))$ is bounded for $0 < r < 1$, the Fatou lemma shows that $|f(e^{it})| < 1$ a.e. and $\sigma(f)(e^{it}) \in L^p[0, 2\pi]$.

Now, let $0 < h \leq \tau < 1/2$, and set $s = t + h$ for $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$. Let $(h <) 1 - h < r < 1$, and set $\rho = r - h$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(f(re^{is}), f(re^{it})) &\leq \int_\rho^r f^*(\lambda e^{it}) d\lambda + \int_\rho^r f^*(\lambda e^{is}) d\lambda \\ &\quad + \int_t^s f^*(\rho e^{ix}) \rho dx. \end{aligned}$$

The third term in the right-hand side is not greater than $Kh(1 - \rho)^{\alpha-1}$ by (3.1). Applying the Minkowski inequality first in the usual and then in the continuous form we obtain

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sigma(f(re^{i(t+h)}), f(re^{it}))^p dt \right]^{1/p} \\ &\leq 2 \int_\rho^r \mu_p(\lambda, f^*) d\lambda + Kh(1 - \rho)^{\alpha-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The first term in the right-hand side is not greater than $(2K/\alpha)h^\alpha$ by (3.1), together with $(1 - \rho)^\alpha \leq (1 - r)^\alpha + h^\alpha$, while the second term is not greater than $K(1 - \rho)^\alpha \leq 2^\alpha Kh^\alpha$. Therefore the left-hand side of (3.2) is not greater than $K_1\tau^\alpha$, where $K_1 > 0$ is a constant. Letting $r \rightarrow 1$ and considering the Fatou lemma one finds that

$$\left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sigma(f(e^{i(t+h)}), f(e^{it}))^p dt \right]^{1/p} \leq K_1\tau^\alpha,$$

which completes the proof of $f(e^{it}) \in \Lambda_\alpha^p$.

For the proof of the “only if” part in the case $0 < \alpha < 1$ we remember [1, p. 74] that

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{-\pi}^\pi \frac{|t|^\alpha dt}{1 - 2r \cos t + r^2} = O((1 - r)^{\alpha-1}).$$

Fix $z = re^\theta \neq 0$ in D for a moment, and set

$$(3.4) \quad g(w) = (f(w) - f(z)) / (1 - \overline{f(z)}f(w)), \quad w \in D.$$

Since $g \in B$, the Cauchy integral formula of $g - g(e^{i\theta})$ yields

$$g'(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta|=1} \frac{g(\zeta) - g(e^{i\theta})}{(\zeta - z)^2} d\zeta,$$

whence

$$(3.5) \quad f^*(z) = |g'(z)| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{|g(e^{i(t+\theta)}) - g(e^{i\theta})|}{1 - 2r \cos t + r^2} dt.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} |g(e^{i(t+\theta)}) - g(e^{i\theta})| &\leq \sigma(g(e^{i(t+\theta)}), g(e^{i\theta})) \\ &= \sigma(f(e^{i(t+\theta)}), f(e^{i\theta})), \end{aligned}$$

it follows from (3.5) that

$$(3.6) \quad f^*(re^{i\theta}) \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\sigma(f(e^{i(t+\theta)}), f(e^{i\theta}))}{1 - 2r \cos t + r^2} dt.$$

Now, it is an easy exercise to observe that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \sigma(f(e^{i(t+\theta)}), f(e^{i\theta}))^p d\theta \leq K_2 |t|^{p\alpha}$$

for all t , $|t| < \pi$, where $K_2 > 0$ is a constant. The Minkowski inequality, together with (3.3), asserts from (3.6) that, for $0 < r < 1$,

$$\mu_p(r, f^*) = O((1 - r)^{\alpha-1}).$$

To prove that $\mu_p(r, f^*) = O(1)$ if $f \in H^p_\sigma$ and if $f(e^{it}) \in \sigma\Lambda^p_\sigma$ we need some properties of $F \in H^p_\sigma$ with $F(e^{it}) \in \sigma\Lambda^p_\sigma$. Since $\sigma\Lambda^p_\sigma \subset \sigma\Lambda^1_\sigma \subset \Lambda^1_\sigma$, $F(e^{it})$ is equal a.e. to a function of bounded variation on $[0, 2\pi]$ (see [1, Lemma 1, p. 72]). Since $F \in B \subset H^1$, $F(e^{it})$ can be considered as an absolutely continuous function on $[0, 2\pi]$ by [1, Theorem 3.10, p. 42]. Furthermore, by [1, Theorem 3.11, p. 42],

$$F'_*(e^{it}) \equiv \frac{d}{dt} F(e^{it}) = ie^{it} \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} F'(re^{it}) = e^{it} F'(e^{it})$$

exists a.e. on $[0, 2\pi]$; this derivative $F'_*(e^{it})$ is of class $L^1[0, 2\pi]$. The principal point we need is the fact that

$$F^*(e^{it}) \equiv |F'_*(e^{it})| / (1 - |F(e^{it})|^2)$$

for $t \in [0, 2\pi]$ is of class $L^p[0, 2\pi]$. In effect, since $F(e^{it}) \in \sigma\Lambda^p_\sigma$, there exist constants $K_3 > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left[\frac{\sigma(F(e^{i(t+h)}), F(e^{it}))}{|h|} \right]^p dt \leq K_3$$

for all h with $0 < |h| < \delta$. Letting $h \rightarrow 0$ and considering the Fatou lemma, one obtains that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} F^*(e^{it})^p dt \leq K_3.$$

Now, consider g of (3.4). Since $f \in H^p_\sigma$ and $f(e^{it}) \in \sigma\Lambda^p_\sigma$, it follows that $g \in H^p_\sigma$ and $g(e^{it}) \in \sigma\Lambda^p_\sigma$. Therefore g is absolutely continuous and $g'_*(e^{it})$ is of $L^1[0, 2\pi]$. Differentiating the Poisson integral

$$g(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P(R, s - t)g(e^{it}) dt$$

with respect to s , where $w = Re^{is} \neq 0$, and $P(R, s - t) = (1 - R^2)/|e^{it} - Re^{is}|^2$, one observes that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.7) \quad iw g'(w) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} P(R, s - t)g(e^{it}) dt \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} P(R, s - t) \right] g(e^{it}) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P(R, s - t)g'_*(e^{it}) dt. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$|g'_*(e^{it})| = \frac{|f'_*(e^{it})|(1 - |f(z)|^2)}{|1 - \overline{f(z)}f(e^{it})|^2} \leq f^*(e^{it}).$$

It then follows from (3.7), together with $f^*(e^{it}) \in L^p[0, 2\pi]$ that

$$|w|^p |g'(w)|^p \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P(R, s - t)f^*(e^{it})^p dt.$$

On setting $w = z = re^{i\theta}$, one obtains that

$$|z|^p f^*(z)^p \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P(r, \theta - t)f^*(e^{it})^p dt,$$

so that $\mu_p(r, f^*) = O(1)$.

REFERENCES

1. Peter L. Duren, *Theory of H^p spaces*, Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 38, Academic Press, New York and London, 1970.
2. Godefroy H. Hardy and John E. Littlewood, *A convergence criterion for Fourier series*, Math. Z. **28** (1928), 612-634.
3. John E. Littlewood, *Lectures on the theory of functions*, Oxford Univ. Press, London, 1944.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY, FUKAZAWA, SETAGAYA, TOKYO 158, JAPAN