

## FREE BOUNDARY CONVERGENCE IN THE HOMOGENIZATION OF THE ONE PHASE STEFAN PROBLEM

BY

JOSE-FRANCISCO RODRIGUES

**ABSTRACT.** We consider the one phase Stefan problem in a "granular" medium, i.e., with nonconstant thermal diffusivity, and we study the asymptotic behaviour of the free boundary with respect to homogenization. We prove the convergence of the coincidence set in measure and in the Hausdorff metric. We apply this result to the free boundary and we obtain the convergence in mean for the star-shaped case and the uniform convergence for the one-dimensional case, respectively. This gives an answer to a problem posed by J. L. Lions in [L].

**1. Introduction.** We consider the exterior nonhomogeneous one phase Stefan problem, that is, the melting of a frozen granular medium, maintained at zero degrees in contact with a liquified region. The unknown are the temperature and the free boundary which consists of the solid-liquid interface.

Consider a given bounded domain  $\Omega_0 \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  (the initial liquid region) whose boundary consists of two connected manifolds  $\Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma_0$  of class  $C^1$ , with  $\Gamma'$  lying in the interior of  $\Gamma_0$  and bounding a domain  $\omega$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be a large ball with center 0 containing  $\Omega_0$  and set  $\Theta = \mathfrak{B} \setminus \bar{\omega}$ . Consider the family of operators

$$(1.1) \quad A^\varepsilon v = - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij} \left( \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} \right), \quad \text{for } \varepsilon > 0,$$

where the  $a_{ij}$  are given functions in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , representing the coefficients of the thermal diffusivity of the medium, with period 1 in all variables,  $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$  and verifying, for a.a.  $y \in ]0, 1[$ ,

$$(1.2) \quad \alpha |\xi|^2 \leq a_{ij}(y) \xi_i \xi_j \leq M |\xi|^2, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n \quad (\alpha, M > 0).$$

We are looking for a function  $\theta^\varepsilon(x, t) \geq 0$  (the temperature) which satisfies

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{\partial \theta^\varepsilon}{\partial t} + A^\varepsilon \theta^\varepsilon = 0 \quad \text{when } \theta^\varepsilon > 0$$

where  $x$  belongs to a moving domain  $\Omega^\varepsilon(t)$  bounded by  $\Gamma'$ , where a prescribed temperature is given by

$$(1.4) \quad \theta^\varepsilon(x, t) = g(x, t), \quad x \in \Gamma', t > 0,$$

---

Received by the editors April 24, 1981 and, in revised form, October 7, 1981.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35R35; Secondary 35K60, 35K99.

*Key words and phrases.* Free boundary problems, Parabolic variational inequalities, homogenization, Stefan problem.

©1982 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9947/81/0000-0089/\$03.00

and by free boundary  $\Gamma^\epsilon(t)$  contained in  $\mathfrak{B}$  for  $0 \leq t \leq T$ , where one imposes

$$(1.5) \quad \theta^\epsilon = 0,$$

$$(1.6) \quad a_{ij} \left( \frac{x}{\epsilon} \right) \frac{\partial \theta^\epsilon}{\partial x_j} n_i^\epsilon = -k(V^\epsilon \cdot n^\epsilon),$$

being  $n^\epsilon = \{n_i^\epsilon\}$  the normal to  $\Gamma^\epsilon(t)$ ,  $V^\epsilon$  the velocity of propagation of  $\Gamma^\epsilon(t)$  and  $k > 0$  a given constant representing the heat of fusion. The initial temperature is given in the known domain  $\Omega_0$ :

$$(1.7) \quad \theta^\epsilon(x, 0) = h(x), \quad x \in \bar{\Omega}_0.$$

We assume that  $g$  and  $h$  are positive functions and Hölder continuous in their variables with  $g(x, 0) = h(x)$  if  $x \in \Gamma'$ , and  $h(x) = 0$  if  $x \in \Gamma_0$ .

Extending  $\theta^\epsilon$  by zero outside the union of the domains  $\Omega^\epsilon(t)$ , for  $0 < t < T$ , Duvaut [D] introduced the new function defined in the fixed domain  $Q_T = \Theta \times ]0, T[$

$$(1.8) \quad u^\epsilon(x, t) = \int_0^t \theta^\epsilon(x, \tau) d\tau,$$

which is characterized as the unique solution of the following parabolic variational inequality (see [D, FK]):

$$(1.9) \quad u^\epsilon \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Theta)), \quad \frac{\partial u^\epsilon}{\partial t} \in L^2(Q_T),$$

$$(1.10) \quad u^\epsilon(t) \in K(t) = \left\{ v \in H^1(\Theta) : v = \int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau \text{ on } \Gamma', \right. \\ \left. v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\mathfrak{B}, \text{ and } v \geq 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Theta \right\},$$

$$(1.11) \quad \left( \frac{\partial u^\epsilon}{\partial t}, v - u^\epsilon \right) + a^\epsilon(u^\epsilon, v - u^\epsilon) \geq (f, v - u^\epsilon), \quad \forall v \in K(t),$$

$$(1.12) \quad u^\epsilon(x, 0) = 0,$$

where  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  denotes the scalar product in  $L^2(\Theta)$ ,

$$a^\epsilon(u, v) = \int_\Theta a_{ij} \left( \frac{x}{\epsilon} \right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} dx$$

is the bilinear form associated with  $A^\epsilon$  and

$$(1.13) \quad f(x) = \begin{cases} h(x) & \text{if } x \in \Omega_0, \\ -k & \text{if } x \in \Theta \setminus \Omega_0. \end{cases}$$

With minor adaptations from [FK] one can state

**PROPOSITION 1.** *The unique solution  $u^\epsilon$  to (1.9)–(1.12) is such that*

$$(1.14) \quad 0 \leq \frac{\partial u^\epsilon}{\partial t} \leq C \quad \text{a.e. in } Q_T = \Theta \times ]0, T[$$

where  $C > 0$  is a constant independent of  $\epsilon$ ;

$$(1.15) \quad \Omega_0 \subset \Omega^\epsilon(t) \subset \Omega^\epsilon(t') \quad \text{for } 0 < t < t' \leq T,$$

where  $\Omega^\epsilon(t) = \{x \in \Theta : u^\epsilon(x, t) > 0\}$ , for  $t > 0$ .

PROOF. The unique part of the proposition which does not follow from [FK] is the inclusion  $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega^\epsilon(t)$ ,  $t > 0$ . To prove this we must show that  $u^\epsilon(x, t) > 0$  for all  $(x, t) \in \Omega_T = \Omega_0 \times (0, T)$ . Since  $u^\epsilon \geq 0$ , this is an immediate consequence of the strong minimum principle for super-solutions to parabolic equations with discontinuous coefficients (cf. [Ch]). Indeed, in  $\Omega_T$ ,  $u^\epsilon$  verifies

$$\frac{\partial u^\epsilon}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij} \left( \frac{x}{\epsilon} \right) \frac{\partial u^\epsilon}{\partial x_j} \right) \geq h(x) > 0$$

(cf. (1.11) and (1.13)) and therefore we cannot have  $u^\epsilon(x, t) = 0$  in any point  $(x, t)$  of  $\Omega_T$ .  $\square$

REMARK 1. The stronger inclusion  $\Omega^\epsilon(t) \supset \Omega_0 \cup \Gamma_0$  for  $t > 0$  has been proved in [CF] for the homogeneous problem with  $a_{ij} \equiv \delta_{ij}$  and assuming that  $\Gamma_0$  satisfies the uniform inside ball property. However we were unable to extend this result, which is based on a comparison argument with a radially symmetric classical solution, to general discontinuous coefficients  $a_{ij}$ .

REMARK 2. For the homogeneous Stefan problem, that is, when the functions  $a_{ij}$  are constants, it has been proved that the formulation in variational inequality of the one phase Stefan problem is equivalent to the classical formulation in terms of the temperature  $\theta = u$ , which is a continuous function (see [C] and [CF]). Moreover in that case it is known that the free boundary is strictly contained in  $\mathfrak{B}$ , for finite times  $T$  and for  $\mathfrak{B}$  large enough (see [FK]) and is very smooth, at least differentiable in both variables (see [C]), analytic in the space variables and analytic in all variables during any interval during which the heat supply is analytic (see [KN]).

**2. The homogenization result.** For  $\epsilon$  “small” the operator  $A^\epsilon$  has highly oscillating coefficients with period  $\epsilon$ , and it is quite natural to “replace”  $A^\epsilon$  by a much simpler operator  $\mathcal{Q}$ , called homogenized operator, given by (see [BLP]):

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{Q}v = -q_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$$

to which we associate

$$\mathcal{Q}(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} q_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} dx,$$

where the  $q_{ij}$  are constants verifying (1.2) and uniquely defined by

$$q_{ij} = a(\chi^i - y_i, \chi^j - y_j).$$

Here we have set

$$a(\phi, \psi) = \int_Y a_{ij}(y) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y_j} dy,$$

$Y = ]0, 1[{}^n$ , and  $\chi^i$  are the solutions of the following problems

$$\begin{cases} \chi^i \in W = \{ \phi \in H^1(Y) : \phi \text{ equal on opposite sides of } \partial Y \}, \\ a(\chi^i - Y_i, \psi) = 0 \quad \forall \psi \in W. \end{cases}$$

Introduce the following evolution variational inequality

$$(2.2) \quad u \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Theta)), \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \in L^2(Q_T),$$

$$(2.3) \quad u(t) \in K(t), \quad u(0) = 0,$$

$$(2.4) \quad \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, v - u \right) + \mathcal{Q}(u, v - u) \geq (f, v - u), \quad \forall v \in K(t),$$

corresponding to the homogenized Stefan problem.

Using the general theory of [BLP] for homogenization of parabolic variational inequalities one can state the following theorem:

**THEOREM 1.** *Under the hypothesis of the introduction, if  $u^\epsilon$  (resp.  $u$ ) denotes the solution of (1.9)–(1.12) (resp. (2.2)–(2.4)) and if we take  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  then we have*

$$(2.5) \quad u^\epsilon \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } L^2(0, T; H^1(\Theta))\text{-weakly,}$$

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{\partial u^\epsilon}{\partial t} \rightharpoonup \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \text{ in } L^\infty(Q_T)\text{-weakly}^*,$$

$$(2.7) \quad A^\epsilon u^\epsilon \rightharpoonup \mathcal{Q}u \text{ in } L^\infty(Q_T)\text{-weakly}^*,$$

$$(2.8) \quad u^\epsilon(x, t) \rightarrow u(x, t) \text{ uniformly in } (x, t) \in \bar{Q}_T.$$

**PROOF.** The first part is classical (see [BLP, p. 288]) and it has been already stated in [L]. Then (2.6) is an immediate consequence of (1.14).

From (2.5) and (1.14) one finds that  $A^\epsilon u^\epsilon$  belongs to a bounded set of  $L^2(0, T; H^{-1}(\Theta))$  independently of  $\epsilon$ , and then we can extract a subsequence such that

$$A^\epsilon u^\epsilon \rightharpoonup \xi \text{ in } L^2(0, T; H^{-1}(\Theta))\text{-weakly.}$$

But, for a.a.  $t \in ]0, T[$  one has

$$\begin{aligned} A^\epsilon u^\epsilon(t) + \frac{\partial u^\epsilon}{\partial t}(t) - f &\geq 0 \text{ in } H^{-1}(\Theta), \\ u^\epsilon(t) &\rightharpoonup u(t) \text{ weakly in } H^1(\Theta), \\ \frac{\partial u^\epsilon}{\partial t}(t) &\rightharpoonup \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t) \text{ strongly in } H^{-1}(\Theta) \text{ (by compactness).} \end{aligned}$$

Then from Theorem 2 of [M1] one finds

$$\mathcal{Q}u(t) = \xi(t) \quad \text{a.a. } t \in ]0, T[,$$

and (2.7) will be proved if we show that

$$\|A^\epsilon u^\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} \leq C \quad (C \text{ independent of } \epsilon > 0).$$

But this is a consequence of the following inequalities due to Charrier and Troianiello (cf. [CT]):

$$(2.9) \quad f \leq \frac{\partial u^\epsilon}{\partial t} + A^\epsilon u^\epsilon \leq f^+.$$

Recall (1.14) and that  $f$  is bounded by hypothesis (see (1.13)).

From (2.9) and the Hölder estimates for linear parabolic equations (cf. [LSU, p. 204]) there exist  $\alpha > 0$  and  $C > 0$ , independent of  $\varepsilon$ , such that

$$\|u^\varepsilon\|_{C^\alpha(\bar{Q}_T)} \leq C,$$

and (2.8) follows by the Ascoli-Arzelá theorem.  $\square$

**3. The convergence of the coincidence set.** Let us denote by

$$I^\varepsilon = \{(x, t) \in \bar{Q}_T: u^\varepsilon(x, t) = 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad I = \{(x, t) \in \bar{Q}_T: u(x, t) = 0\},$$

the coincidence sets of the variational inequalities (1.9)–(1.12) and (2.2)–(2.4) and by  $\chi^\varepsilon$  and  $\chi$  their characteristic functions respectively.

Since  $u^\varepsilon$  and  $u$  are continuous functions, the sets  $I^\varepsilon$  and  $I$  are compact subsets of  $\bar{Q}_T$ . The  $I^\varepsilon$  may have a very complicated structure but we shall show that they approach the homogenized coincidence set  $I$ , for which some regularity is known.

Consider all compact subsets of  $\bar{Q}_T$ , and introduce the Hausdorff distance

$$\delta(I, J) = \max \left[ \sup_{X \in I} d(X, J), \sup_{Y \in J} d(Y, I) \right]$$

where  $d(X, J) = \inf_{Y \in J} |X - Y|$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Under the conditions of Theorem 1 one has*

$$(3.1) \quad I^\varepsilon \rightarrow I \text{ in the Hausdorff distance.}$$

**PROOF.** Since the family of all compact subsets of  $\bar{Q}_T$  is a compact metric space for the Hausdorff distance (see [De, p. 42], for instance), one can extract a subsequence  $I^{\varepsilon'}$  such that

$$I^{\varepsilon'} \rightarrow I^* \text{ (Hausdorff),}$$

where  $I^*$  is a compact of  $\bar{Q}_T$ . Then for any  $(x, t) \in I^*$  there exists  $(x^{\varepsilon'}, t^{\varepsilon'}) \in I^{\varepsilon'}$ , such that  $(x^{\varepsilon'}, t^{\varepsilon'}) \rightarrow (x, t)$ . Using the triangle inequality, the continuity of  $u$  and (2.8) one easily deduces that  $u(x, t) = 0$ , and therefore  $I^* \subset I$ .

If we show the other inclusion the theorem will be proved. Since  $\partial I$  is smooth (see Remark 2), one has  $I = \overline{\text{int } I}$  and the inclusion  $I \subset I^*$  will follow if we prove

$$(3.2) \quad \text{int } I = \text{int } I^*.$$

By contradiction, assume that there exists a nonempty open set  $\Lambda \subset I \setminus I^*$ . We claim that for every  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\Lambda)$ , if we consider  $\phi$  extended by zero outside  $\Lambda$ , one has

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{Q_T} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \mathcal{Q}u - f \right) \phi \, dx \, dt = \lim_{\varepsilon'} \int_{Q_T} \left( \frac{\partial u^{\varepsilon'}}{\partial t} + A^{\varepsilon'} u^{\varepsilon'} - f \right) \phi \, dx \, dt = 0,$$

which is the desired contradiction, since  $u \equiv 0$  in  $\Lambda$  and  $f \neq 0$  a.e. Indeed (3.3) is a consequence of (2.6), (2.7) and the fact that  $\text{supp } \phi \cap I^{\varepsilon'} = \emptyset$  for every  $\varepsilon'$  sufficiently small. This can easily be seen if we consider a limit point  $(x, t)$  of a sequence of points in  $\text{supp } \phi \cap I^{\varepsilon'}$  which contradicts the facts  $d((x, t), I^*) > 0$  and  $I^{\varepsilon'} \rightarrow I^*$  (Hausdorff).

Once (3.2) is proved, one has  $I^* = I$ , and the whole sequence  $I^\varepsilon$  converges to  $I$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

REMARK 3. Similar results have been proved for elliptic variational inequalities by Codegone and Rodrigues (cf. [CR]). Theorem 3 may also be extended for smooth obstacles  $\psi^\epsilon(t) \geq 0$  and for more general convergence on the operators  $A^\epsilon$ , namely the  $G$ -convergence.

The following theorem extends the idea of Murat [M2] on the convergence in measure of the coincidence sets  $I^\epsilon$  in a general case where no regularity hypotheses on  $\partial I^\epsilon$  are assumed.

THEOREM 3. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 1 one has*

$$(3.4) \quad \chi^\epsilon \rightarrow \chi \text{ in } L^p(Q_T)\text{-strongly, for all } p < \infty.$$

*In particular, we have the convergence in the Lebesgue measure of the coincidence sets  $I^\epsilon$  to  $I$ .*

Before proving this theorem in all generality we shall describe Murat’s argument assuming the following regularity hypotheses:

$$(3.5) \quad a_{ij} \text{ are } C^1\text{-functions; or}$$

$$(3.6) \quad \text{mes}(\partial I^\epsilon) = 0 \text{ for each } \epsilon > 0.$$

If one of these two conditions is fulfilled, from the proof of Theorem 1 (see (2.9)) one easily finds that

$$\frac{\partial u^\epsilon}{\partial t} + A^\epsilon u^\epsilon = f(1 - \chi^\epsilon) \quad \text{a.e. in } Q_T.$$

Then if  $\chi^\epsilon \rightarrow q$  in  $L^\infty(Q_T)$ -weakly\*, using (2.6) and (2.7), passing to the limit, one finds

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \mathcal{A}u = f(1 - q).$$

But since the limit problem can also be written in the form

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \mathcal{A}u = f(1 - \chi),$$

and remarking that  $f \neq 0$  a.e. in  $Q_T$ , one has  $\chi = q$ .

We deduce  $\chi^\epsilon \rightarrow \chi$  in  $L^p(Q_T)$  strongly, because if characteristic functions converge weakly to a characteristic function they also converge strongly for all  $p < \infty$ .

□

The proof of Theorem 3 without assumptions (3.5) or (3.6) needs more information on the sets  $I^\epsilon$ . We shall give a proof which uses Theorem 2 and again the known regularity of the limit set  $I$ . For a necessary and sufficient condition to the equivalence between the convergence in measure and in the Hausdorff metric see [B].

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. From (2.9), for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a function  $\eta^\epsilon$  verifying

$$(3.7) \quad 0 \leq 1 - \chi^\epsilon \leq \eta^\epsilon \leq 1, \quad \text{a.e. in } Q_T$$

and such that one has

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{\partial u^\epsilon}{\partial t} + A^\epsilon u^\epsilon = f\eta^\epsilon \quad \text{a.e. in } Q_T.$$

Consider a subsequence  $\eta^{\epsilon'} \rightharpoonup \eta$  in  $L^p(Q_T)$ -weakly. Using Theorem 1 and passing to the limit in (3.8) one finds  $f\eta = f(1 - \chi)$ , since the limit problem is regular. Therefore,  $\eta = 1 - \chi$ , because  $f \neq 0$  a.e.

Now consider a subsequence  $\chi^{\epsilon'} \rightharpoonup q$  in  $L^p(Q_T)$ -weakly. From (3.7) it follows that

$$0 \leq 1 - q \leq 1 - \chi \leq 1 \quad \text{a.e. in } Q_T,$$

which implies  $q = 1$  a.e. in  $I$ .

To verify that  $q = 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega = Q_T \setminus I$ , it is enough to prove that  $q = 0$  in the sets  $\Omega_\delta = \{(x, t) \in \Omega : d((x, t), \partial\Omega) > \delta\}$  for arbitrarily small  $\delta > 0$ . For any  $\delta$  fixed, from (3.1) there exists  $\epsilon^* = \epsilon^*(\delta) > 0$ , such that

$$\Omega_\delta \cap I^\epsilon = \emptyset, \quad \text{for all } 0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon^*.$$

It follows that  $\chi^\epsilon = 0$  in  $\Omega_\delta$  for all  $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon^*$ , and consequently also  $q = 0$  in  $\Omega_\delta$ .

Then  $q = \chi$  and the convergence (of all the sequences)  $\chi^\epsilon \rightarrow \chi$  holds, first in  $L^p(Q_T)$ -weakly and afterwards also strongly. The proof is complete.  $\square$

REMARK 4. We have assumed  $\mathfrak{B}$  large enough to assure the existence of the free boundary for the homogenized problem. Therefore  $\text{mes}(I) > 0$ , and from (3.4), at least for  $\epsilon$  sufficiently small one has  $\text{mes}(I^\epsilon) > 0$ . This implies the existence of a free boundary for the nonhomogeneous Stefan problem, which seems a nontrivial problem when the coefficients  $a_{ij}$  are only assumed to be  $L^\infty$ .

**4. The convergence of the free boundaries.** In his report (see [L]) on the asymptotic behaviour of solutions of variational inequalities with highly oscillating coefficients J. L. Lions posed the question as to in what sense the free boundary

$$\Gamma^\epsilon = \partial I^\epsilon \cap Q_T = \bigcup_{0 < t < T} \Gamma^\epsilon(t)$$

gives an ‘‘approximation’’ of the free boundary in the homogenized problem:  $\Gamma = \partial I \cap Q_T$ ?

From the convergence of the coincidence sets and using some regularity of  $\Gamma^\epsilon$  we can answer this question of J. L. Lions in two directions: from the convergence in measure of the coincidence sets we establish the convergence in mean of the star-shaped free boundaries and from the Hausdorff convergence we deduce the uniform convergence of the free boundary in the case of one-dimensional space variable.

4.1. *The star-shaped case.* Let us assume that for each  $\epsilon > 0$  the free boundary  $\Gamma^\epsilon$  possesses a representation of the form

$$(4.1) \quad \Gamma^\epsilon(t) : \rho_\epsilon = \rho_\epsilon(\theta, t), \quad \theta \in \Theta, 0 < t \leq T,$$

where  $\Gamma^\epsilon(\bar{t}) = \Gamma^\epsilon \cap \{t = \bar{t}\}$  and  $\rho, \theta$  are polar coordinates in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\rho = |x|$  and the angles  $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n-1}) = \arg x$  range in the set

$$\Theta : -\pi \leq \theta_i \leq \pi, \quad i < n - 1, 0 \leq \theta_{n-1} \leq \pi \quad (-\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi, \text{ if } n = 2).$$

Friedman and Kinderlehrer have established sufficient conditions to (4.1) in their work [FK]. For instance if the  $a_{ij}$  are twice continuously differentiable functions, the fixed boundary  $\Gamma'$  is of class  $C^{2+\alpha}$ , representable by  $\rho = r(\theta)$ ,  $\theta \in \Theta$  (i.e.,  $\omega$  is star-shaped with reference to 0) and if  $\Gamma'$  is ‘‘quite flat’’ with respect to the growth of  $g(x, t)$  (in particular, if  $g$  is constant and  $\Gamma'$  is a sphere this condition is fulfilled),

then for each fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$  our assumption (4.1) is verified (see [FK, p. 1030])  $\rho_\varepsilon$  being a continuous function of  $\theta$  and  $t$ , Lipschitz in the angles  $\theta$  and monotone increasing in  $t$ .

Consequently, we also assume that the homogenized free boundary  $\Gamma$  is also representable by

$$(4.2) \quad \Gamma(t): \rho = \rho(\theta, t), \quad \theta \in \Theta, 0 < t \leq T.$$

**THEOREM 4.** *If the free boundaries  $\Gamma^\varepsilon$  and  $\Gamma$  are star-shaped and representable in polar coordinates by (4.1) and (4.2), then as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we have*

$$(4.3) \quad \rho_\varepsilon^n \rightarrow \rho^n \text{ in } L^1(\Theta \times ]0, T[) \text{-strongly.}$$

**PROOF.** The result is a corollary of Theorem 3. Indeed, passing to polar coordinates in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  with  $dx = \rho^{n-1} d\rho d\Theta$ , one has

$$\int_{Q_T} |\chi^\varepsilon - \chi| dx dt = \frac{1}{n} \int_{\Theta \times ]0, T[} |\rho_\varepsilon^n - \rho^n| d\Theta dt,$$

and the theorem is an immediate consequence of (3.4).  $\square$

**REMARK 5.** As in Theorem 3 one can show that if  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , then  $\chi^\varepsilon(t) \rightarrow \chi(t)$  in measure a.a.  $t \in ]0, T[$ . From this one also deduces that  $\rho_\varepsilon^n(t) \rightarrow \rho^n(t)$  in  $L^1(\Theta)$ -strongly a.a.  $t$ .

**REMARK 6.** Instead of the global result of Theorem 4, which needs strong geometrical hypotheses on the data, one could also give a local convergence in mean of the free boundary. For instance, if the free boundary  $\Gamma^\varepsilon$  admits the local representation

$$\Gamma^\varepsilon: x_n = \phi_\varepsilon(x', t), \quad \text{for } \varepsilon \geq 0, x' = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$$

from Theorem 3 one also obtains the convergence in  $L^1$  of  $\phi_\varepsilon$  to  $\phi$ .

**4.2. The one-dimensional case.** In the one-dimensional homogenized problem the coefficient of  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a positive constant given by the inverse of the mean in  $]0, 1[$  of  $1/a(y)$ , and  $\Gamma$  is a  $C^\infty$  curve.

If we only assume  $a \in L^\infty(0, 1)$ , one can still use the argument of Theorem 2.8 of [KS, p.287] to show that the free boundary  $\Gamma^\varepsilon$  is a Lipschitz curve in the coordinates obtained from  $(x, t)$  by rotating through  $\pi/4$ , being the Lipschitz constant independent of  $\varepsilon$ . In particular, the sets  $I^\varepsilon$  verify an exterior cone property uniformly with respect to  $\varepsilon$ , which together with  $I^\varepsilon \rightarrow I$  (Hausdorff) implies  $\bar{\Gamma}^\varepsilon \rightarrow \bar{\Gamma}$  (Hausdorff), by Theorem 3.2 of [R].

Then we can state

**THEOREM 5.** *In the one-dimensional one phase Stefan problem the free boundary  $\Gamma^\varepsilon$  approaches uniformly the homogenized free boundary  $\Gamma$ .*

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.** The author is thankful to F. Murat and A. Damlamian for their helpful discussions and suggestions, and also to the referee for useful comments.

## REFERENCES

- [B] G. A. Beer, *Hausdorff metric and convergence in measure*, Michigan Math. J. **21** (1974), 63–64.
- [BLP] A. Bensoussan, J. L. Lions and G. Papanicolaou, *Asymptotic analysis for periodic structures*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.
- [C] L. A. Caffarelli, *The regularity of free boundaries in higher dimensions*, Acta Math. **139** (1978), 155–184.
- [CF] L. A. Caffarelli and A. Friedman, *Continuity of the temperature in the Stefan problem*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **28** (1979), 53–70.
- [CT] P. Charrier and G. M. Troianiello, *Un résultat d'existence et de régularité pour les solutions fortes d'un problème unilatéral d'évolution avec obstacle dépendant du temps*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A **281** (1975), 621–623.
- [Ch] F. Chiarenza, *Principio di massimo forte per sottosoluzioni di equazioni paraboliche di tipo variazionale*, Matematiche (Catania) **32** (1978), 32–43.
- [CR] M. Codegone and J. F. Rodrigues, *Convergence of the coincidence set in homogenization of the obstacle problem*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse **3** (1981), 275–285.
- [De] C. Dellacherie, *Ensembles analytiques. Capacités. Mesures de Hausdorff*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 295, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.
- [D] G. Duvaut, *Résolution d'un problème de Stefan*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **276** (1973), 1461–1463.
- [FK] A. Friedman and D. Kinderlehrer, *A one phase Stefan problem*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **24** (1975), 1005–1035.
- [KN] D. Kinderlehrer and L. Nirenberg, *The smoothness of the free boundary in the one phase Stefan problem*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **31** (1978), 257–282.
- [KS] D. Kinderlehrer and G. Stampacchia, *An introduction to variational inequalities and their applications*, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- [LSU] G. A. Ladyženskaya, V. A. Solonnikov, and N. N. Uralceva, *Linear and quasi-linear equations of parabolic type*, Transl. Math. Monos., vol. 23, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1968.
- [L] J. L. Lions, *Asymptotic behaviour of solutions of variational inequalities with highly oscillating coefficients*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 503, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976, pp. 30–55.
- [M1] F. Murat, *Sur l'homogénéisation d'inéquations elliptiques du 2ème ordre relatives au convexe  $k(\psi_1, \psi_2)$* , Thèse d'Etat, Université Paris VI, 1976.
- [M2] \_\_\_\_\_, Oral communication, Paris, June 1980.
- [R] J. F. Rodrigues, *Sur le comportement asymptotique de la solution et de la frontière libre d'une inéquation variationnelle parabolique*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse **4** (1982) (to appear).

C. M. A. F. 2, AV. PROF. GAMA PINTO, 1699 LISBOA, PORTUGAL